

SOME LOGARITHMICALLY COMPLETELY MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS AND INEQUALITIES FOR MULTINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS AND MULTIVARIATE BETA FUNCTIONS

Feng Qi, Da-Wei Niu, Dongkyu Lim, Bai-Ni Guo

▶ To cite this version:

Feng Qi, Da-Wei Niu, Dongkyu Lim, Bai-Ni Guo. SOME LOGARITHMICALLY COMPLETELY MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS AND INEQUALITIES FOR MULTINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS AND MULTIVARIATE BETA FUNCTIONS: Completely monotonic functions and inequalities. 2018. hal-01769288v1

HAL Id: hal-01769288 https://hal.science/hal-01769288v1

Preprint submitted on 17 Apr 2018 (v1), last revised 31 Oct 2020 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SOME LOGARITHMICALLY COMPLETELY MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS AND INEQUALITIES FOR MULTINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS AND MULTIVARIATE BETA FUNCTIONS

FENG QI, DA-WEI NIU, DONGKYU LIM, AND BAI-NI GUO

ABSTRACT. In the paper, the authors extend a function arising from the Bernoulli trials in probability and involving the gamma function to its largest ranges, find logarithmically complete monotonicity of these extended functions, and, in the light of logarithmically complete monotonicity of these extended functions, derive some inequalities for multinomial coefficients and multivariate beta functions. These results recover, extend, and generalize some known conclusions.

Contents

1. Background and motivation	1
2. Logarithmically completely monotonic functions	3
3. Inequalities for multinomial coefficients	7
4. Inequalities for multivariate beta functions	9
4.1. First inequality for multivariate beta function	9
4.2. Second inequality for multivariate beta function	9
4.3. Third inequality for multivariate beta function	10
5. Recoveries of known results	10
5.1. First recovery	10
5.2. Second recovery	10
5.3. Third recovery	10
References	11

1. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

Let us denote by $P_{n,k}(p)$ the probability of achieving exactly k successes in n Bernoulli trials with success probability p, that is,

$$P_{n,k}(p) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n-k+1)} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}, \qquad (1.1)$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26A48; Secondary 05A20, 26D07, 33B15, 44A10, 60C05.

Key words and phrases. logarithmically complete monotonicity; extension; gamma function; combinatorial inequality; multinomial coefficient; multivariate beta function; Bernoulli trial; binomial probability.

This paper was typeset using \mathcal{AMS} -IATEX.

where $\Gamma(z)$ denotes the classical Euler gamma function which can be defined [1, 14, 15] by

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} \,\mathrm{d}\,t, \quad \Re(z) > 0$$

or by

$$\Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! n^z}{\prod_{k=0}^n (z+k)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}.$$

In the technical report [9], Leblanc and Johnson considered a problem: which is more likely to happen: k successes in n trials or 2k successes in 2n trials? They proved that

$$P_{2n,2k}(p) \le P_{n,k}(p), \quad 0 \le k \le n, \quad p \in (0,1).$$
 (1.2)

This means that k successes in n trials is more likely to happen than 2k successes in 2n trials. One year later, the same authors generalized the inequality (1.2) in the paper [10] by

$$P_{(j+1)n,(j+1)k}(p) \le P_{jn,jk}(p), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (1.3)

About ten years later, Alzer extended the inequality (1.3) in the note [2] by considering the function

$$G(x) = G_{k,n,p}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(nx+1)}{\Gamma(kx+1)\Gamma((n-k)x+1)} p^{kx} (1-p)^{(n-k)x}$$
(1.4)

and essentially proving that the function G(x) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$, where k and n are integers with $0 \leq k \leq n, p \in (0,1)$, and an infinitely differentiable and positive function F(x) is said to be logarithmically completely monotonic on an interval I if and only if $(-1)^m [\ln F(x)]^{(m)} > 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in I$. We observe that we can write

$$G(x) = G_{k,n,p}(x) = \binom{nx}{kx} p^{kx} (1-p)^{(n-k)x} = \frac{n}{k(n-k)} \frac{p^{kx} (1-p)^{(n-k)x}}{x \operatorname{B}(kx, (n-k)x)},$$

where

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt, \quad \Re(x), \Re(y) > 0$$

denotes the classical Euler beta function and $B(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$. Recall from [12, Chapter XIII], [23, Chapter 1], and [24, Chapter IV] that an infinitely differentiable and nonnegative function F(x) is said to be completely monotonic on an interval I if and only if $(-1)^{m-1}F^{(m-1)}(x) > 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in I$. The famous Bernstein-Widder theorem [24, p. 161, Theorem 12b] states that a necessary and sufficient condition for f(x) to be completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$ is that $f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} d\mu(t)$ for $x \in (0,\infty)$, where μ is a positive measure on $[0,\infty)$ such that the above integral converges. In other words or simply speaking, a function is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it is a Laplace transform. Recall from [3, 5, 17, 23] that a logarithmically completely monotonic function must be completely monotonic on the same defined interval, but not conversely. This is why we restate here the main result in [2] in terms of the logarithmically complete monotonicity. For more information on new developments of this topic, please refer to [4, 6, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23] and closely related references therein.

In this paper, we first consider the function

$$Q(x) = Q_{\alpha,\beta,p}(x) = \frac{\Gamma((\alpha + \beta)x + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha x + 1)\Gamma(\beta x + 1)}p^{\alpha x}(1 - p)^{\beta x}$$

= $\binom{(\alpha + \beta)x}{\alpha x}p^{\alpha x}(1 - p)^{\beta x} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha\beta}\frac{p^{kx}(1 - p)^{(n-k)x}}{x \operatorname{B}(\alpha x, \beta x)}$ (1.5)

for $x \in (0, \infty)$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. It is easy to see that the function Q(x) is an extension of G(x) and $P_{n,k}(p)$ and satisfies

$$Q_{\alpha,\beta,p}(x) = Q_{\beta,\alpha,1-p}(x), \quad Q_{k,n-k,p}(x) = G_{k,n,p}(x), \quad Q_{k,n-k,p}(1) = P_{n,k}(p).$$

In Section 2, we will verify that the function Q(x) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

More generally, we can consider the function

$$\mathcal{Q}(x) = \mathcal{Q}_{a,p;m}(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} \Gamma(1 + a_i x)} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_i x}$$
$$= \left(\frac{x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i}{a_1 x, a_2 x, \dots, a_m x}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_i x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_i} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_i x}}{x^{m-1} \operatorname{B}(a_1 x, a_2 x, \dots, a_m x)} \quad (1.6)$$

for $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ with $a_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le m$, $\boldsymbol{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)$ with $p_i \in (0, 1)$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$, $\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \\ a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \end{pmatrix}$ denotes the multinomial coefficient, and

$$B(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = \frac{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)\cdots\Gamma(a_m)}{\Gamma(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m)}$$

is called the multivariate beta function. It is obvious that the function $\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{p};m}(x)$ is a generalization and an extension of the functions $Q_{\alpha,\beta,p}(x)$, $G_{k,n,p}(x)$, and $P_{n,k}(p)$ defined in (1.1), (1.4), and (1.5) respectively. Concretely speaking,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{(\alpha,\beta),(p,1-p);2}(x) = Q_{\alpha,\beta,p}(x), \quad \mathcal{Q}_{(k,n-k),(p,1-p);2}(x) = G_{k,n,p}(x),$$
$$\mathcal{Q}_{(k,n-k),(p,1-p);2}(1) = P_{n,k}(p).$$

In Section 2, we will show that the function Q(x) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

In Section 3, in the light of logarithmically complete monotonicity of Q(x) and Q(x), we will offer some inequalities for multinomial coefficients. In Section 4, we will reformulate combinatorial inequalities obtained in Section 3 in terms of multivariate beta functions, that is, we will present some inequalities for multivariate beta functions. In Section 5, the last section of this paper, we will recover some known results from inequalities obtained in Section 3 for multinomial coefficients.

2. Logarithmically completely monotonic functions

We now start out to prove our first main result in this paper: the function Q(x) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.1. For $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $p \in (0, 1)$, the function $Q(x) = Q_{\alpha,\beta,p}(x)$ defined in (1.5) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Proof. Straightforward computation yields

$$\ln Q(x) = \ln \Gamma((\alpha + \beta)x + 1) - \ln \Gamma(\alpha x + 1) - \ln \Gamma(\beta x + 1) + \alpha x \ln p + \beta x \ln(1 - p),$$

$$[\ln Q(x)]' = (\alpha + \beta)\psi((\alpha + \beta)x + 1) - \alpha\psi(\alpha x + 1) - \beta\psi(\beta x + 1) + \alpha \ln p + \beta \ln(1 - p),$$

$$[\ln Q(x)]'' = (\alpha + \beta)^2\psi'((\alpha + \beta)x + 1) - \alpha^2\psi'(\alpha x + 1) - \beta^2\psi'(\beta x + 1).$$

From

$$\psi'(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{1 - e^{-t}} e^{-zt} \,\mathrm{d}\,t, \quad \Re(z) > 0$$

in [1, p. 260, 6.4.1], it follows that

$$\psi'(\tau z + 1) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{1 - e^{-t}} e^{-(\tau z + 1)t} \,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{e^t - 1} e^{-\tau zt} \,\mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\infty h\left(\frac{v}{\tau}\right) e^{-vz} \,\mathrm{d}v,$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $h(t) = \frac{t}{e^t - 1}$. Accordingly, we have

$$\left[\ln Q(x)\right]'' = \int_0^\infty \left[(\alpha + \beta)h\left(\frac{v}{\alpha + \beta}\right) - \alpha h\left(\frac{v}{\alpha}\right) - \beta h\left(\frac{v}{\beta}\right) \right] e^{-xv} \,\mathrm{d}\,v.$$
(2.1)

For $w \in (0, \infty)$ for A > 1, it is standard to obtain that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,w} \left(\frac{1}{A^{1/w} - 1}\right) = \frac{A^{1/w}\ln A}{w^2 \left(A^{1/w} - 1\right)^2},$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\,w^2} \left(\frac{1}{A^{1/w} - 1}\right) = \frac{A^{1/w}\ln A}{w^4 \left(A^{1/w} - 1\right)^3} \left[\left(A^{1/w} + 1\right)\ln A - 2w \left(A^{1/w} - 1\right)\right]$$

$$\triangleq \frac{A^{1/w}\ln A}{w^4 \left(A^{1/w} - 1\right)^3} H_A\left(\frac{1}{w}\right),$$

and, by virtue of convexity of the function A^u with respect to $u \in [0, \infty)$ and by the aid of the left hand side of the Hermite–Hadamard integral inequality

$$\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \ge \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) \,\mathrm{d}\, x \ge f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$$

for any convex function f(x) on [a, b], see [13, p. 53, Section 1.9] or the papers [21, 25] and closely related references therein,

$$H_A(w) = 2\left(\frac{A^w + 1}{2}\ln A - \frac{A^w - 1}{w}\right) = 2\left(\frac{A^w + 1}{2} - \frac{1}{w}\int_0^w A^u \,\mathrm{d}\, u\right)\ln A > 0.$$

Hence, the function $\frac{1}{A^{1/w}-1}$ is convex with respect to $w \in (0,\infty)$. Recall from [11, p. 650] that

- (1) a function $\phi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be star-shaped if $\phi(\alpha x) \le \alpha \phi(x)$ for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $x \ge 0$;
- (2) a real function ϕ defined on a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be super-additive if $x, y \in S$ implies $x + y \in S$ and $\phi(x + y) \ge \phi(x) + \phi(y)$.

In [11, p. 650], it was obtained that

- (1) if ϕ is a real function defined on $[0, \infty)$, $\phi(0) \leq 0$, and ϕ is convex, then ϕ is star-shaped, but convexity is not a property of all star-shaped functions;
- (2) if $\phi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is star-shaped, then ϕ is super-additive.

Consequently, since $\lim_{w\to 0^+} \frac{1}{A^{1/w}-1} = 0$, the function $\frac{1}{A^{1/w}-1}$ is star-shaped, and then super-additive, on $(0,\infty)$. Furthermore, the function $wh(\frac{v}{w}) = \frac{v}{e^{v/w}-1}$ is sup-additive on $w \in (0,\infty)$ for any fixed v > 0. As a result, it follows that

$$(\alpha + \beta)h\left(\frac{v}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \ge \alpha h\left(\frac{v}{\alpha}\right) + \beta h\left(\frac{v}{\beta}\right).$$

Combining this with (2.1) reveals that the second derivative $[\ln Q(x)]''$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

By complete monotonicity of $[\ln Q(x)]''$, we see that the first derivative $[\ln Q(x)]'$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, hence,

$$\begin{split} \left[\ln Q(x)\right]' &\leq \lim_{x \to \infty} \left[(\alpha + \beta)\psi((\alpha + \beta)x + 1) - \alpha\psi(\alpha x + 1) - \beta\psi(\beta x + 1) \right] \\ &+ \alpha \ln p + \beta \ln(1 - p) \\ &= \lim_{x \to \infty} \left[(\alpha + \beta)\psi((\alpha + \beta)x) - \alpha\psi(\alpha x) - \beta\psi(\beta x) - \frac{1}{x} \right] \\ &+ \alpha \ln p + \beta \ln(1 - p) \\ &= \lim_{x \to \infty} \left((\alpha + \beta)[\psi((\alpha + \beta)x) - \ln((\alpha + \beta)x)] - \alpha[\psi(\alpha x) - \ln(\alpha x)] \right] \\ &- \beta[\psi(\beta x) - \ln(\beta x)] - \frac{1}{x} + (\alpha + \beta)\ln((\alpha + \beta)x) \\ &- \alpha \ln(\alpha x) - \beta \ln(\beta x) \right) + \alpha \ln p + \beta \ln(1 - p) \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)\ln(\alpha + \beta) - \alpha \ln \frac{\alpha}{p} - \beta \ln \frac{\beta}{1 - p} \\ &= \left[p\frac{\alpha}{p} + (1 - p)\frac{\beta}{1 - p} \right] \ln \left[p\frac{\alpha}{p} + (1 - p)\frac{\beta}{1 - p} \right] \\ &- p\left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\ln\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) - (1 - p)\left(\frac{\beta}{1 - p}\ln\frac{\beta}{1 - p}\right) \\ &< 0, \end{split}$$

where we used the facts that the function $x \ln x$ is convex on $(0, \infty)$ and that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} [\ln x - \psi(x)] = 0, \qquad (2.2)$$

see [7, Theorem 1] and [8, 19]. In conclusion, the function Q(x) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

We now prove our second main result in this paper: the function Q(x) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$ with $a_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $\boldsymbol{p} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m)$ with $p_i \in (0, 1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$. Then the function $\mathcal{Q}(x) = \mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{p};m}(x)$ defined in (1.6) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Direct calculation gives

$$\ln \mathcal{Q}(x) = \ln \Gamma \left(1 + x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln \Gamma (1 + a_i x) + x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \ln p_i,$$
$$[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]' = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \right) \psi \left(1 + x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \psi (1 + a_i x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \ln p_i,$$

and

$$[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)]'' = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right)^2 \psi' \left(1 + x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 \psi'(1 + a_i x).$$

As did in the proof of Theorem (2.1), we can obtain

$$\left[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)\right]'' = \int_0^\infty \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i\right) h\left(\frac{v}{\sum_{i=1}^m a_i}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i h\left(\frac{v}{a_i}\right) \right] e^{-xv} \,\mathrm{d}\,v.$$
(2.3)

Since the function $wh(\frac{v}{w}) = \frac{v}{e^{v/w}-1}$ is sup-additive on $w \in (0,\infty)$ for any fixed v > 0, by induction, it follows that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right) h\left(\frac{v}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i}\right) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i h\left(\frac{v}{a_i}\right).$$

Combining this with (2.3) yields that the second derivative $[\ln Q(x)]''$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Complete monotonicity of $[\ln Q(x)]''$ implies that the first derivative $[\ln Q(x)]'$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\ln \mathcal{Q}(x)\right]' &\leq \lim_{x \to \infty} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \psi \left(1 + x \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \psi(1 + a_i x) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \ln p_i \\ &= \lim_{x \to \infty} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \psi \left(x \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \psi(a_i x) - \frac{m-1}{x} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \ln p_i \\ &= \lim_{x \to \infty} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \left[\psi \left(x \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) - \ln \left(x \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \right] \right] \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^m a_i [\psi(a_i x) - \ln(a_i x)] + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \ln \left(x \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \ln(a_i x) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \ln p_i \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \ln a_i + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \ln p_i \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \frac{a_i}{p_i} \right) \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_i \frac{a_i}{p_i} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i \frac{a_i}{p_i} \ln \frac{a_i}{p_i} \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where, as did in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we used the limit (2.2) and convexity of the function $x \ln x$ on $(0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

3. Inequalities for multinomial coefficients

In the light of logarithmically complete monotonicity of Q(x) and Q(x), we now offer some inequalities for multinomial coefficients.

Theorem 3.1. For $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ with $a_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $x_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, and $\lambda_j \in (0, 1)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j = 1$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right) \\ a_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j}, a_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j}, \dots, a_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j}\right) \\ \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{a_{1} x_{j}, a_{2} x_{j}, \dots, a_{m} x_{j}\right)^{\lambda_{j}} \quad (3.1)$$

and the equality in (3.1) holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_\ell$. In particular, when $\ell = m = 2$,

$$\binom{(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)(a_1 + a_2)}{a_1(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)} \le \binom{(a_1 + a_2)x_1}{a_1 x_1}^{\lambda_1} \binom{(a_1 + a_2)x_2}{a_1 x_2}^{\lambda_2}$$
(3.2)

and the equality in (3.2) is valid if and only if $x_1 = x_2$.

Proof. Logarithmically complete monotonicity in Theorem 2.2 implies that the function Q(x) is logarithmically convex on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, we acquire

$$\mathcal{Q}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\lambda_j x_j\right) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{Q}^{\lambda_j}(x_j).$$

Making use of the expression

$$\mathcal{Q}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \\ a_1 x, a_2 x, \dots, a_m x \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_i x}$$

arrives at

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right) \\ a_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j}, a_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j}, \dots, a_{m} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}^{a_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{j} x_{j}} \\ \leq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[\begin{pmatrix} x_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \\ a_{1} x_{j}, a_{2} x_{j}, \dots, a_{m} x_{j} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}^{a_{i} x_{j}} \right]^{\lambda_{j}}$$

which can be rearranged as (3.1).

The inequality (3.2) can also be independently derived from logarithmically complete monotonicity of Q(x). The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.

Theorem 3.2. For $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ with $a_i > 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and let $x_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Then

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \binom{x_j \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i}{a_1 x_j, a_2 x_j, \dots, a_m x_j} < \binom{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right)}{a_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j, a_2 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j, \dots, a_m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j}.$$
 (3.3)

In particular, when $\ell = m = 2$,

$$\binom{(a_1+a_2)x_1}{a_1x_1}\binom{(a_1+a_2)x_2}{a_1x_2} < \binom{(x_1+x_2)(a_1+a_2)}{a_1(x_1+x_2)}.$$
(3.4)

Proof. In [2, Lemma 3], it was established that, if $g : [0, \infty) \to (0, 1]$ is differentiable and $\frac{g'}{g}$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, then g(x)g(y) < g(x + y) for $x, y \in (0, \infty)$. From this, we can inductively derive

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} g(x_j) < g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j\right).$$

Applying this inequality to the function Q(x) yields

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[\begin{pmatrix} x_j \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \\ a_1 x_j, a_2 x_j, \dots, a_m x_j \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_i x_j} \right] \\
< \begin{pmatrix} (\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j) (\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i) \\ a_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j, a_2 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j, \dots, a_m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j}$$

which can be rewritten as (3.3).

The inequality (3.4) can also be independently derived from logarithmically complete monotonicity of Q(x). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete.

Theorem 3.3. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ with $a_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le m$. If $0 < a \le c$ and x > 0, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} (a+x)\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \\ a_{1}(a+x), a_{2}(a+x), \dots, a_{m}(a+x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \\ a_{1}c, a_{2}c, \dots, a_{m}c \end{pmatrix} \\ \leq \begin{pmatrix} a\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \\ a_{1}a, a_{2}a, \dots, a_{m}a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (c+x)\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \\ a_{1}(c+x), a_{2}(c+x), \dots, a_{m}(c+x) \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.5)

and the equality in (3.5) holds if and only if a = c. In particular, when m = 2,

$$\binom{(a+x)(a_1+a_2)}{a_1(a+x)}\binom{c(a_1+a_2)}{a_1c} \le \binom{a(a_1+a_2)}{a_1a}\binom{(c+x)(a_1+a_2)}{a_1(c+x)}$$
(3.6)

and the equality in (3.6) holds if and only if a = c.

Proof. For 0 < a < c, define

$$V(x) = \ln \mathcal{Q}(a+x) + \ln \mathcal{Q}(c) - \ln \mathcal{Q}(a) - \ln \mathcal{Q}(c+x).$$

Since

$$V'(x) = \frac{\mathcal{Q}'(a+x)}{\mathcal{Q}(a+x)} - \frac{\mathcal{Q}'(c+x)}{\mathcal{Q}(c+x)}$$

and logarithmically complete monotonicity of $\mathcal{Q}(x)$ implies that $\frac{\mathcal{Q}'(x)}{\mathcal{Q}(x)}$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, we conclude that V'(x) < 0 and V(x) < V(0) = 0. Therefore,

$$\ln \mathcal{Q}(a+x) + \ln \mathcal{Q}(c) \le \ln \mathcal{Q}(a) + \ln \mathcal{Q}(c+x),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\ln \left[\begin{pmatrix} (a+x)\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \\ a_1(a+x), a_2(a+x), \dots, a_m(a+x) \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_i(a+x)} \right] \\ + \ln \left[\begin{pmatrix} c\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \\ a_1c, a_2c, \dots, a_mc \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_ic} \right] \le \ln \left[\begin{pmatrix} a\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \\ a_1a, a_2a, \dots, a_ma \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_i^{a_ia} \right]$$

$$+\ln\left[\binom{(c+x)\sum_{i=1}^{m}a_{i}}{a_{1}(c+x),a_{2}(c+x),\ldots,a_{m}(c+x)}\prod_{i=1}^{m}p_{i}^{a_{i}(c+x)}\right].$$

This can be simplified as (3.5).

The inequality (3.6) can also be independently derived from logarithmically complete monotonicity of Q(x). The proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete.

4. Inequalities for multivariate beta functions

For $a_i > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the multinomial coefficient and the multivariate beta function have the relation

$$\binom{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_i} \frac{1}{\mathbf{B}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)}.$$

Therefore, from those inequalities for multinomial coefficients in Section 3, we can derive some inequalities for the multivariate beta function $B(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$. In other words, Theorems (3.1) to (3.3) can be respectively reformulated as the following forms.

4.1. First inequality for multivariate beta function. For $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$ with $a_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le m$, $x_j > 0$ for $1 \le j \le \ell$, and $\lambda_j \in (0, 1)$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j = 1$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j x_j\right)^{m-1} \mathbf{B}\left(a_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j x_j, a_2 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j x_j, \dots, a_m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \lambda_j x_j\right)$$
$$\geq \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[x_j^{m-1} \mathbf{B}(a_1 x_j, a_2 x_j, \dots, a_m x_j)\right]^{\lambda_j} \quad (4.1)$$

and the equality in (4.1) holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_\ell$. In particular, when $\ell = m = 2$,

$$(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) \operatorname{B}(a_1(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2), a_2(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)) \geq x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \operatorname{B}^{\lambda_1}(a_1 x_1, a_2 x_1) \operatorname{B}^{\lambda_2}(a_1 x_2, a_2 x_2)$$
(4.2)

and the equality in (4.2) is valid if and only if $x_1 = x_2$.

The inequality (4.1) or (4.2) implies that $x \operatorname{B}(a_1x, a_2x, \ldots, a_mx)$ is logarithmically concave with respect to $x \in (0, \infty)$. More generally, we claim that the reciprocal $\frac{1}{x \operatorname{B}(a_1x, a_2x, \ldots, a_mx)}$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function of $x \in (0, \infty)$.

4.2. Second inequality for multivariate beta function. For $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$ with $a_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le m$ and let $x_j > 0$ for $1 \le j \le \ell$. Then

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} B(a_1 x_j, a_2 x_j, \dots, a_m x_j)}{B\left(a_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j, a_2 \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j, \dots, a_m \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j\right)} > \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_i}\right)^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j}{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j}\right)^{m-1}.$$

In particular, when $\ell = m = 2$,

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(a_1x_1, a_2x_1) \,\mathcal{B}(a_1x_2, a_2x_2)}{\mathcal{B}(a_1(x_1 + x_2), a_2(x_1 + x_2))} > \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2}\right).$$

4.3. Third inequality for multivariate beta function. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$ with $a_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le m$. If $0 < a \le c$ and x > 0, then

$$\left(\frac{c}{c+x}\right)^{m-1} \frac{B(a_1c, a_2c, \dots, a_mc)}{B(a_1(c+x), a_2(c+x), \dots, a_m(c+x))} \geq \left(\frac{a}{a+x}\right)^{m-1} \frac{B(a_1a, a_2a, \dots, a_ma)}{B(a_1(a+x), a_2(a+x), \dots, a_m(a+x))}$$
(4.3)

and the equality in (4.3) holds if and only if a = c. In particular, when m = 2,

$$\frac{c}{c+x}\frac{B(a_1c, a_2c)}{B(a_1(c+x), a_2(c+x))} \ge \frac{a}{a+x}\frac{B(a_1a, a_2a)}{B(a_1(a+x), a_2(a+x))}$$
(4.4)

and the equality in (4.4) holds if and only if a = c.

The inequality (4.3) implies that the function

$$\left(\frac{t}{t+x}\right)^{m-1} \frac{\mathbf{B}(a_1t, a_2t, \dots, a_mt)}{\mathbf{B}(a_1(t+x), a_2(t+x), \dots, a_m(t+x))}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, a_i > 0$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

5. Recoveries of known results

From Theorems 3.1 to 3.3, we can recover three combinatorial inequalities in [2].

5.1. First recovery. Taking $a_1 = k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_2 = n - k \in \mathbb{N}$ in (3.2) results in

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)n\\ (\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2)k \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} x_1n\\ x_1k \end{pmatrix}^{\lambda_1} \begin{pmatrix} x_2n\\ x_2k \end{pmatrix}^{\lambda_2}, \quad x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0, \quad \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$$
(5.1)

and the equality in (5.1) holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 > 0$. This recovers [2, Corollary 1]. When further letting $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and setting $x_1 = j - 1$ and $x_2 = j + 1$ in (5.1), we recover a combinatorial inequality in [10, p. 4, Section 4].

5.2. Second recovery. Setting $a_1 = k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_2 = n - k \in \mathbb{N}$ in (3.4) gives

$$\binom{nx_1}{kx_1}\binom{nx_2}{kx_2} < \binom{n(x_1+x_2)}{k(x_1+x_2)}, \quad x_1, x_2 > 0$$

which is a recovery of [2, Corollary 2].

5.3. Third recovery. Letting $a_1 = k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_2 = n - k \in \mathbb{N}$ in (3.6) deduces

$$\binom{(a+x)n}{(a+x)k}\binom{cn}{ck} \le \binom{an}{ak}\binom{(x+c)n}{(x+c)k}$$
(5.2)

for $0 < a \leq c$ and x > 0 and the equality is valid if and only if a = c > 0. The inequality (5.2) is a recovery of [2, Corollary 3].

References

- M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, 10th printing, Dover Publications, New York and Washington, 1972.
- [2] H. Alzer, Complete monotonicity of a function related to the binomial probability, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 459 (2018), no. 1, 10–15; Available online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa. 2017.10.077.
- C. Berg, Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function, Mediterr. J. Math. 1 (2004), no. 4, 433-439; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00009-004-0022-6.
- [4] B.-N. Guo and F. Qi, A completely monotonic function involving the tri-gamma function and with degree one, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012), no. 19, 9890-9897; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.03.075.
- [5] B.-N. Guo and F. Qi, A property of logarithmically absolutely monotonic functions and the logarithmically complete monotonicity of a power-exponential function, Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys. 72 (2010), no. 2, 21–30.
- [6] B.-N. Guo and F. Qi, On the degree of the weighted geometric mean as a complete Bernstein function, Afr. Mat. 26 (2015), no. 7, 1253–1262; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10. 1007/s13370-014-0279-2.
- [7] B.-N. Guo and F. Qi, Two new proofs of the complete monotonicity of a function involving the psi function, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47 (2010), no. 1, 103–111; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/bkms.2010.47.1.103.
- [8] B.-N. Guo, F. Qi, J.-L. Zhao, and Q.-M. Luo, Sharp inequalities for polygamma functions, Math. Slovaca 65 (2015), no. 1, 103-120; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/ ms-2015-0010.
- [9] A. Leblanc and B. C. Johnson, A Family of Inequalities Related to Binomial Probabilities, Tech. Report, Department of Statistics, University of Manitoba, 2006-03.
- [10] A. Leblanc and B. C. Johnson, On a uniformly integrable family of polynomials defined on the unit interval, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 8 (2007), no. 3, Article 67, 5 pp. Available online at https://www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/article878.html.
- [11] A. W. Marshall, I. Olkin, and B. C. Arnold, Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications, 2nd Ed., Springer Verlag, New York-Dordrecht-Heidelberg-London, 2011; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-68276-1.
- [12] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1993; Available online at http: //dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-1043-5.
- [13] C. P. Niculescu and L.-E. Persson, Convex Functions and their Applications, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 23. Springer, New York, 2006; Available online at https://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-31077-0.
- [14] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark (eds.), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010; Available online at http://dlmf.nist.gov/.
- [15] F. Qi, Limit formulas for ratios between derivatives of the gamma and digamma functions at their singularities, Filomat 27 (2013), no. 4, 601-604; Available online at http://dx.doi. org/10.2298/FIL1304601Q.
- [16] F. Qi, Properties of modified Bessel functions and completely monotonic degrees of differences between exponential and trigamma functions, Math. Inequal. Appl. 18 (2015), no. 2, 493–518; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7153/mia-18-37.
- [17] F. Qi and C.-P. Chen, A complete monotonicity property of the gamma function, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 296 (2004), 603-607; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa. 2004.04.026.
- [18] F. Qi and W.-H. Li, Integral representations and properties of some functions involving the logarithmic function, Filomat 30 (2016), no. 7, 1659–1674; Available online at https: //doi.org/10.2298/FIL1607659Q.
- [19] F. Qi and A.-Q. Liu, Notes on complete monotonicity related to the difference of the psi and logarithmic functions, HAL archives (2018), available online at https://hal. archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01728682.

- [20] F. Qi and S.-H. Wang, Complete monotonicity, completely monotonic degree, integral representations, and an inequality related to the exponential, trigamma, and modified Bessel functions, Glob. J. Math. Anal. 2 (2014), no. 3, 91–97; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.14419/gjma.v2i3.2919.
- [21] F. Qi, Z.-L. Wei, and Q. Yang, Generalizations and refinements of Hermite-Hadamard's inequality, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 35 (2005), no. 1, 235-251; Available online at http: //dx.doi.org/10.1216/rmjm/1181069779.
- [22] F. Qi, X.-J. Zhang, and W.-H. Li, Lévy-Khintchine representations of the weighted geometric mean and the logarithmic mean, Mediterr. J. Math. 11 (2014), no. 2, 315–327; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00009-013-0311-z.
- [23] R. L. Schilling, R. Song, and Z. Vondraček, Bernstein Functions—Theory and Applications, 2nd ed., de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 37, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, Germany, 2012; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110269338.
- [24] D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.
- [25] H.-P. Yin, J.-Y. Wang, and F. Qi, Some integral inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type for s-geometrically convex functions, Miskolc Math. Notes (2018), in press.

(Qi) Institute of Mathematics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, Henan, 454010, China; College of Mathematics, Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, Tongliao, Inner Mongolia, 028043, China; Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Tian-Jin Polytechnic University, Tianjin, 300387, China; Institute of Fundamental and Frontier Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610054, China

 $Email\ address:\ \tt{qifeng618@pmail.com,\ qifeng618@hotmail.com,\ qifeng618@qq.com}\ URL:\ \tt{https://qifeng618.wordpress.com}$

(Niu) Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai, 200241, China

Email address: nnddww@gmail.com

URL: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4033-79110000-0003-4033-7911

(Lim) Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, 16419, South Korea

Email address: dgrim84@gmail.com, dgrim84@skku.edu

URL: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4033-79110000-0002-0928-8480

(Guo) School of Mathematics and Informatics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, Henan, 454010, China

Email address: bai.ni.guo@gmail.com, bai.ni.guo@hotmail.com *URL*: http://www.researcherid.com/rid/C-8032-2013

12