



HAL
open science

Glyphosate herbicide: is it as safe as we thought?

Natalia Montero, Vincent Fauvelle, Andrew Banks, Sarit Kaserzon, Jochen F
Mueller

► **To cite this version:**

Natalia Montero, Vincent Fauvelle, Andrew Banks, Sarit Kaserzon, Jochen F Mueller. Glyphosate herbicide: is it as safe as we thought?. *Austral Ecology*, In press, 10.1111/aec.12603 . hal-01768833

HAL Id: hal-01768833

<https://hal.science/hal-01768833>

Submitted on 19 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Glyphosate herbicide: is it as safe as we thought?

Natalia Montero, Vincent Fauvelle, Andrew Banks, Sarit Kaserzon, Jochen F. Mueller (Ikerbasque; Aix-Marseille Université; University of Queensland)

- Glyphosate is the most widely used broad-spectrum herbicide and is not as innocuous as once thought.
- Studies reveal higher mobility of glyphosate in soils than expected and longer half-lives in soils and water.
- Mortality of non-target organisms at glyphosate levels found in the environment are not expected, but sublethal effects have been observed at very low concentrations.
- Regulatory authorities suggest there is no cancer risk to humans at realistic exposure levels, but studies on long-term effects are needed.



Glyphosate-based herbicides are widely used to control noxious aquatic weeds, raising concerns about contamination of aquatic ecosystems. Photo by N. Montero.

Glyphosate, the active ingredient of more than 750 herbicides, is the most widely used herbicide in Australia (15,000 tons/y) and worldwide (826,000 tons/y).

Glyphosate is considered environmentally safe due to its physico-chemical properties, presenting: (i) strong binding to soil; (ii) relatively rapid degradation; and (iii) potentially negligible risk to non-target organisms (mode of action specific to plants, fungi and some bacteria). However, studies reveal higher mobility of glyphosate in soils than expected and longer half-lives in soils (days-months) and water (<4-315 days).

Generally, acute effects are not expected at glyphosate levels found in the environment. However, several sublethal effects have been observed in different organisms (rats, fish, amphibians, invertebrates) at glyphosate concentrations below regulatory guidelines: hepatorenal damage, endocrine disruption, reproductive impairment, genotoxicity, morphological alterations, oxidative stress. Toxicity is species- and development-specific, with higher toxicity expected in amphibians and the early-life stages of organisms. In addition, the outbreak of glyphosate-resistant weeds (12 Australia; 32 worldwide) has led to increased use (multiple sprayings per season) of glyphosate, alone or combined with other herbicides. After the controversy arose in 2015, several regulatory authorities, in Australia and worldwide, concluded that glyphosate does not pose a carcinogenic risk to humans at realistic exposure levels.

Recent studies suggest that glyphosate-based herbicides are not as innocuous as expected. Some surfactants used in commercial formulations are more toxic than glyphosate itself. Thus, whole mixtures need to be evaluated to establish their potential risk. Comprehensive studies on the persistence of glyphosate in the environment and the associated chronic long-term effects, at population- and ecosystem-level, are still needed.



Ecological Society of Australia
<https://www.ecolsoc.org.au>

**Further information
about this topic
contact:**

Natalia Montero
nmontero@azti.es
+34 667102131

Chair, Hot Topics
Editorial Board
[Dr Rachel Standish](#)

Supporting Evidence

Title	Aim	Key Results
Adu-Yeboah P., Boutsalis P., Hooper P., Gill G. S. & Preston C. (2014) Use of alternative herbicide mixtures to manage glyphosate-resistant <i>Lolium rigidum</i> Gaud. along crop margins in South Australia. <i>Crop & Pasture Science</i> 65, 1349-1356.	To examine a range of herbicide options to control infestations of glyphosate-resistant annual ryegrass (AR) along crop margins.	AR populations growing at all four sites had 12-24 fold resistance to glyphosate compared with a standard susceptible population in dose-response experiments. Mixtures of paraquat+diquat+diuron, glufosinate ammonium+diuron and two applications of paraquat+diquat 14 days apart consistently provided high levels of control (>90% reduction in seed-head production) of glyphosate-resistant AR at all locations.
Amrhein N., Deus B., Gehrke P. & Steinrücken H. C. (1980) The site of inhibition of the shikimate pathway by glyphosate. II. Interference of glyphosate with chorismate formation in vivo and in vitro. <i>Plant Physiology</i> 66, 830-834.	To investigate what is the mode of action of glyphosate in plants and bacteria, in vivo and in vitro.	Glyphosate inhibits a step in the biosynthetic sequence from shikimate to chorismate, as demonstrated by the accumulation of shikimate in glyphosate treated buckwheat hypocotyls and <i>G. mollugo</i> cells. The same was also observed in cell-free extracts of <i>A. aerogenes</i> .
Annett R., Habibi H. R. & Hontela A. (2014) Impact of glyphosate and glyphosate-based herbicides on the freshwater environment. <i>Journal of Applied Toxicology</i> 34, 458-479.	(i) To present the current exposure data of glyphosate and glyphosate-based herbicides in the aquatic environment and (ii) to critically evaluate our current understanding of their effects in aquatic organisms.	Sensitivity to glyphosate and the constituents of commercial formulas is highly species-specific, but the primary source of toxicity can be attributed to surfactants. Acute toxicity is highly species dependant across all taxa, with toxicity depending on the timing, magnitude and route of exposure. Amphibians are particularly sensitive to environmental exposure. More investigation is required to determine the long-term effects of GBH exposure in the aquatic environment.
ANZECC/ARMCANZ (2000) Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality. Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand, 314 pp.	To provide an authoritative guide for setting water quality objectives required to sustain current or likely future environmental values for natural and semi-natural water resources in Australia and New Zealand.	N/A
Australian Glyphosate Sustainability Working Group (2016) Glyphosate resistant weeds in Australia. http://www.glyphosateresistance.org.au/database/index.php (accessed 20/10/2016).	To list glyphosate resistant weeds in Australia.	Since 1996, 12 glyphosate resistant weeds, 8 grass species and 4 broadleaf species have been documented.
Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (2017) Final regulatory position: Consideration of the evidence for a formal reconsideration of glyphosate. Australian Government, 45 pp.	To assess the latest information of glyphosate (IARC monograph, risk assessments of regulatory agencies and expert international bodies) to determine whether there are sufficient scientific grounds to warrant placing the chemical under formal reconsideration.	Exposure to glyphosate does not pose a carcinogenic or genotoxic risk to humans. There are no scientific grounds for placing glyphosate and products containing glyphosate under formal reconsideration. The APVMA will continue to maintain a close focus on any new assessment reports or studies that indicate that this position should be revised.
Bach N. C., Natale G. S., Somoza G. M. & Ronco A. E. (2016) Effect on the growth and development and induction of abnormalities by a glyphosate commercial formulation and its active ingredient during two developmental stages of the South-American Creole f	To assess the lethal and sublethal effects of Roundup ULTRA® MAX and technical-grade glyphosate on the Gosner stages (Gss 25 and 36) of the frog <i>Leptodactylus latrans</i> larvae through the use of acute toxicity bioassays.	Both technical-grade glyphosate (GLY) and Roundup ULTRA® MAX produced sublethal effects on <i>L. latrans</i> tadpoles, but RU was five orders of magnitude more toxic than GLY. RU induced sublethal effects on all the experimental endpoints evaluated, which could affect to the fitness and survival of frogs. Adverse impacts on the fitness of anuran larvae inhabiting agroecosystems can be expected at environmentally relevant concentrations.
Battaglin W. A., Meyer M. T., Kuivila K. M. & Dietze J. E. (2014) Glyphosate and its degradation product AMPA occur frequently and widely in U.S. soils, surface water, groundwater, and precipitation. <i>Journal of American Water Resources Association</i> 50, 275	To broadly summarize glyphosate and AMPA occurrence and concentration in water and sediment samples collected in 2001 through 2010 from diverse hydrologic settings and a wide geographic range of locations in the United States.	Glyphosate and AMPA are usually detected together, mobile, and occur widely in the environment. Glyphosate (median <0.02 µg/L; maximum 476 µg/L) and AMPA (median 0.04 µg/L; maximum 397 µg/L) were detected frequently in soils and sediment, ditches and drains, precipitation, rivers and streams; and less frequently in lakes, ponds, and wetlands; soil water and groundwater.

Title	Aim	Key results
Benbrook C. M. (2016) Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally. <i>Environmental Sciences Europe</i> 28:3.	To present trends in glyphosate use to help in the understanding of the risks and benefits of the use of glyphosate based herbicides.	The emergence of glyphosate resistant weeds led to the increase of the number of applications of glyphosate and the amount sprayed. Other factors favouring the increase on the use of glyphosate are (i) the increase in number of glyphosate-tolerant crops, (ii) its use to speed up the harvesting of crops and (iii) the declining price. Total worldwide glyphosate use has increased 12-fold from 1995 (67 million kg) to 2014 (826 million kg).
Borggaard O. K. & Gimsing A. L. (2008) Fate of glyphosate in soil and the possibility of leaching to ground and surface waters: a review. <i>Pest Management Science</i> 64, 441-456.	(i) To highlight the state of knowledge on glyphosate fate in soils and (ii) to identify areas where more research is needed because of limited or inconclusive data.	Sorption of glyphosate in soils depends of different physico-chemical factors. The major path of degradation of glyphosate in soils is mediated by bacteria. There is a high variability in the half-life times of glyphosate in soils, ranging from few days to years, depending on the characteristics of soils. Glyphosate leaching and surface runoff is mainly determined by soil structure and rainfall.
Contardo-Jara V., Klingelmann E. & Wiegand C. (2009) Bioaccumulation of glyphosate and its formulation Roundup Ultra in <i>Lumbricus variegatus</i> and its effects on biotransformation and antioxidant enzymes. <i>Environmental Pollution</i> 157, 57-63.	To investigate if a sediment living organism, such as <i>L. variegatus</i> is negatively influenced by an exposition to pure glyphosate and the formulation Roundup Ultra (RUU) in surface waters.	Glyphosate accumulated in <i>L. variegatus</i> after exposure to both substances, the pure glyphosate and the RUU. Both substances enhanced the enzymatic activity, but this alteration was stronger after exposure to RUU. The commercial formulation RUU induced oxidative stress in <i>L. variegatus</i> . The formulation RUU is of more ecotoxicological relevance than the glyphosate itself.
Cuhra M., Bøhn T. & Cuhra P. (2016) Glyphosate: too much of a good thing? <i>Frontiers in Environmental Science</i> 4:28.	(i) to review specific aspects of the history, chemistry and safety of glyphosate and glyphosate-based herbicides (GBHs) and (ii) to provide insights into the shortcomings of current regulatory framework of GBHs.	Glyphosate is not a single chemical, it is a family of compounds with different chemical, physical and toxicological properties. Glyphosate is increasingly recognized as having more profound toxicological effects than assumed from previous assessments. Based on current knowledge, restrictions to the use of GBHs should be applied, to ensure that are used sensibly and with moderation, until more efficient, cost-effective and less harmful alternatives are found.
Cuhra M., Traavik T. & Bøhn T. (2013) Clone-and age-dependent toxicity of a glyphosate commercial formulation and its active ingredient in <i>Daphnia magna</i>. <i>Ecotoxicology</i> 22, 251-262.	To test the acute and chronic toxicity of Roundup (RU) and glyphosate (isopropylamine salt of glyphosate; IPA) to <i>Daphnia magna</i> .	RU (EC50= 3.7-10.6 mg a.i./L) showed slightly lower toxicity than IPA (EC50=1.4-7.2 mg a.i./L) alone in acute tests, whereas negative and serious effects (reduced juvenile size, increased mortality, high abortion) were observed at very low RU concentrations (<0.45-1.35 mg/L) in chronic tests. If more conservative EC50 were used, glyphosate would be classified as 'toxic' or 'moderately toxic' to aquatic invertebrates.
de Castilhos Ghisi N. & Cestari M. M. (2013) Genotoxic effects of the herbicide Roundup® in the fish <i>Corydoras paleatus</i> (Jenyns 1842) after short-term, environmentally low concentration exposure. <i>Environmental Monitoring and Assessment</i> 185, 3201-3207.	To evaluate the genotoxic potential of Roundup® (RU) to blood and liver cells in the Neotropical fish <i>Corydoras paleatus</i> following short-term exposure to an environmentally realistic concentration of the herbicide.	The piscine micronucleus (PMT) test did not show differences between the control and the exposed organisms. The comet assay showed a high rate of DNA damage in groups exposed to RU (in blood and hepatic cells). Short-term exposure to the pesticide RU is genotoxic to <i>C. paleatus</i> , even at a relatively low concentration.
Folmar L. C., Sanders H. O. & Julin A. M. (1979) Toxicity of the herbicide glyphosate and several of its formulations to fish and aquatic invertebrates. <i>Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology</i> 8, 269-278.	(i) To evaluate the toxicity of technical grade glyphosate, the isopropylamine salt of glyphosate (IPA), Roundup (RU) and the RU surfactant to four species of aquatic invertebrates and four species of fish by means of acute toxicity tests and (ii) to determine the sublethal effects of RU and the isopropylamine salt of glyphosate on reproduction, avoidance reactions and invertebrate stream drift.	Acute toxicities for RU ranged 2.3- 43 mg/L. Apart from increasing the toxicity of glyphosate, the surfactant was the primary toxic compound in RU. Fish exposed to RU (2 mg/L) presented glyphosate in fillets (80 mg/kg) and eggs (60 µg/kg). Increased stream drift of midge larvae was observed after exposure to RU but not to isopropylamine.

Title	Aim	Key results
Giesy J. P., Dobson S. & Solomon K. R. (2000) Ecotoxicological risk assessment for Roundup® herbicide. <i>Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology</i> 167, 35-120.	(i) To review the current state of knowledge on the ecological toxicity of Roundup (RU) and glyphosate and (ii) to consider this information in a comprehensive ecological risk assessment.	Glyphosate tends to bind tightly to soil, being essentially unavailable to plants or soil organisms. Acute effects of the surfactant are greater than glyphosate alone for aquatic animals. Minimal acute and chronic risk for non-target terrestrial organisms is expected. Use of RU for aquatic restoration is safe, but application rate, depth of water and vegetation coverage should be considered.
Guilherme S., Gaivão I., Santos M. A. & Pacheco M. (2010) European eel (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>) genotoxic and pro-oxidant responses following short-term exposure to Roundup®- a glyphosate-based herbicide. <i>Mutagenesis</i> 25, 523-530.	To evaluate the genotoxic potential of Roundup® (RU) to blood cells of fish (<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>), following short-term exposure to environmentally realistic concentrations, addressing its possible association with oxidative stress.	Present data demonstrated the RU genotoxic potential to blood cells of <i>A. anguilla</i> exposed to environmentally realistic concentrations. The herbicide induced both DNA damage and cytogenetic effects. Antioxidants were unresponsive to RU, indicating that oxidative stress caused by this herbicide in blood was not severe. Environmentally relevant concentrations of RU can pose a health risk for fish populations.
Harayashiki C. A. Y., Varela A. S. Jr., Machado A. A., Cabrera Lda. C., Prímel E. G., Bianchini A. & Corcini C. D. (2013) Toxic effects of the herbicide Roundup in the guppy <i>Poecilia vivipara</i> acclimated to fresh water. <i>Aquatic Toxicology</i> 142-143, 176-184.	To evaluate the sublethal effects of Roundup (RU) on a suite of reproductive and biochemical parameters in the Brazilian guppy <i>Poecilia vivipara</i> .	Exposure to RU reduced the sperm quality in the guppy <i>P. vivipara</i> . RU did not affect the biochemical parameters in adults of <i>P. vivipara</i> . Exposure to environmentally relevant concentrations of RU may negatively affect at long-term the reproduction of <i>P. vivipara</i> , with consequent changes in fish populations inhabiting environments contaminated with the herbicide.
Mensah P. K., Palmer C. G. & Odume O. N. (2015) Chapter 4: Ecotoxicology of glyphosate and glyphosate-based herbicides- Toxicity to wildlife and humans. In: <i>Toxicity and Hazard of Agrochemicals</i>, Larramendi M. (Ed.), InTech, 93-112.	To review the impacts of glyphosate and glyphosate-based herbicides (GBHs) on wildlife and humans using measured endpoint effects caused by genotoxicity, cytotoxicity and reproductive toxicity.	Glyphosate and its formulations may not only be considered as having genotoxic, cytotoxic or endocrine disrupting properties but they may also be causative agents or reproduction abnormalities in both wildlife and humans.
Mercurio P., Flores F., Mueller J. F., Carter S. & Negri A. P. (2014) Glyphosate persistence in seawater. <i>Marine Pollution Bulletin</i> 85, 385-390.	To quantify the persistence of glyphosate in seawater in standard tests but under natural conditions and at environmentally relevant concentrations.	Degradation of glyphosate was mediated by the bacteria present in the flasks. Half-lives of glyphosate in seawater was light-dependant, ranging from 47, at low light levels, to 315 days in the dark. In the marine environment, glyphosate is moderately persistent under low light conditions and highly persistent in the dark. During flood plumes in the tropics, dissolved and sediment-bound glyphosate might be delivered far from shore.
Mesnage R., Arno M., Costanzo M., Malatesta M., Séralini G-E. & Antoniou M. N. (2015a) Transcriptome profile analysis reflects rat liver and kidney damage following chronic ultra-low dose Roundup exposure. <i>Environmental Health</i> 14:70.	To obtain insight into the alterations in gene expression profiles associated with the exposure to ultra-low concentrations of Roundup (RU).	Gene disturbances reflect a liver and kidney lipotoxic condition and increased cellular growth. Observed alterations in gene expression confirm pathology observations made at an anatomical, histological and biochemical level. Chronic exposure to glyphosate based herbicides at an ultra-low, environmental dose, can result in liver and kidney damage with potential significant health implications for animal and human populations.
Mesnage R., Defarge N., Spiroux de Vendômois J., Séralini G. E. (2015b) Potential toxic effects of glyphosate and its commercial formulations below regulatory limits. <i>Food and Chemical Toxicology</i> 84, 133-153.	To provide a review of effects of glyphosate and its formulations on laboratory mammals below regulatory limits.	Higher toxicity is caused by the adjuvants present in the commercial formulations than by glyphosate. Sublethal effects (endocrine disruption, oxidative stress, cellular damage), especially affecting the liver and kidneys might be expected after exposure to commercial formulations below regulatory levels. Further studies are needed to investigate the risk of glyphosate and its formulations, especially at levels below regulatory limits and over longer durations (chronic studies).
Pérez G. L., Vera M. S. & Miranda L. A. (2011) Chapter 16: Effects of herbicide glyphosate and glyphosate-based formulations on aquatic ecosystems. In: <i>Herbicides and Environment</i>, Kortekamp A. (Ed.), InTech, 343-368.	(i) To provide an overview of direct and indirect effects of glyphosate and commercial formulations of glyphosate on aquatic ecosystems and (ii) to present a revision of their toxicity to non-target species (algae, aquatic plants, protozoa, crustaceans, molluscs, fish and amphibians).	Glyphosate and its formulations are hazardous for the aquatic environment. Toxicity depends on environmental factors, type of organism and its life stage. Sublethal endpoints are more sensitive indicators of effects than mortality. Glyphosate itself exerts less toxicity to aquatic organisms than the surfactant POEA present in commercial formulations.

Title	Aim	Key results
<p>Puértolas L., Damásio J., Barata C., Soares A. M. V. M. & Prat N. (2010) Evaluation of side-effects of glyphosate mediated control of a giant reed (Arundo Donax) on the structure and function of a nearby Mediterranean river ecosystem. Environmental Resea</p>	<p>To evaluate the effect of the application of the herbicide Herbolex (glyphosate as active ingredient) to control giant reed (Arundo donax) on the structure and function of a nearby river ecosystem.</p>	<p>Macroinvertebrate communities (number of taxa, abundance) were not affected by herbicide application. Specific toxic effects on transplanted D. magna (feeding inhibition, oxidative stress) and field collected H. exocellata (oxidative stress) were observed at glyphosate concentrations ranging 20-137 µg/L.</p>
<p>Relyea R. A. (2005) The impact of insecticides and herbicides on the biodiversity and productivity of aquatic communities. Ecological Applications 15(2), 618-627.</p>	<p>To investigate the impact of two insecticides (carbaryl and malathion) and two herbicides (2,4-D and glyphosate) on the diversity of the communities in an outdoor aquatic mesocosms and on the survival and biomass of each taxon in the community.</p>	<p>Species richness was reduced with Sevin (15%), malathion (30%) and glyphosate (22%). Glyphosate (3.8 mg/L) and 2,4-D did not have effects on periphyton, zooplankton, insect predators or snails. However, Roundup (RU) eliminated two species of tadpoles and nearly exterminated a third species. Current application rates of RU can be highly lethal to many species of amphibians.</p>
<p>Séralini G-E., Clair E., Mesnage R., Gress S., Defarge N., Malatesta M., Hennequin D. & Spiroux de Vendômois J. (2014) Republished study: long-term toxicity of a Roundup herbicide and a Roundup-tolerant genetically modified maize. Environmental Sciences</p>	<p>(i) To investigate the health effects associated to the consumption of Roundup-tolerant genetically modified (GM) maize (with or without Roundup (RU) application) and the exposure to RU in drinking water, in a study performed for 2 years in rats, (ii) to compare the results with the short-term study performed by Monsanto in similar conditions but for 90 days and (iii) to determine if low doses of full commercial RU formulation at permitted levels were toxic.</p>	<p>The consumption of GM maize with or without RU application or RU alone gave similar pathologies in rats. The observed disease in kidney and liver may result from endocrine disruptive effects. All treatments enhanced large tumour incidence in both sexes, but especially mammary tumours in female rats.</p>
<p>Stachowski-Haberkorn S., Becker B., Marie D., Haberkorn H., Coroller L. & De la Broise D. (2008) Impact of Roundup on the marine microbial community, as shown by an in situ microcosm experiment. Aquatic Toxicology 89, 232-241.</p>	<p>To examine the effects of Roundup (RU), at environmentally relevant concentrations, on marine microbial communities by means of microcosms in situ.</p>	<p>The herbicide RU can affect natural coastal microbial communities after a 7-d exposure at 1 µg/L for prokaryotes and at 10 µg/L for some picoeukaryotes. Glyphosate pollution during run-off events can modify microbial communities in some coastal areas.</p>
<p>Uren Webster T. M. U. & Santos E. M. (2015) Global transcriptomic profiling demonstrates induction of oxidative stress and of compensatory cellular stress responses in brown trout exposed to glyphosate and Roundup. BMC Genomics 16:32.</p>	<p>To investigate and compare the global transcriptional responses to glyphosate and Roundup (RU) in the liver of female juvenile brown trouts (Salmo trutta).</p>	<p>Observed transcriptional changes are consistent with generation of oxidative stress and the induction of compensatory cellular stress response pathways. There was an increase in cell proliferation and cellular turnover, and an up-regulation of metabolic processes. Glyphosate and RU induce oxidative stress in fish, including at concentrations measured in the environment.</p>