

From scientific workflow patterns to 5-star linked open data

Alban Gaignard, Hala Skaf-Molli, Audrey Bihouée

To cite this version:

Alban Gaignard, Hala Skaf-Molli, Audrey Bihouée. From scientific workflow patterns to 5-star linked open data. TaPP 2016: 8th USENIX Workshop on the Theory and Practice of Provenance, Jun 2016, Washington D.C., United States. hal-01768449

HAL Id: hal-01768449 <https://hal.science/hal-01768449v1>

Submitted on 17 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

From scientific workflow patterns to 5-star linked open data

Alban Gaignard

Nantes Academic Hospital, France alban.gaignard@univ-nantes.fr

Hala Skaf-Molli

LINA – Nantes University, France hala.skaf@univ-nantes.fr

Audrey Bihouée

INSERM, UMR1087, L'Institut du Thorax, Nantes, France CNRS, UMR 6291, Nantes, France Universite de Nantes, France ´ audrey.bihouee@univ-nantes.fr

Abstract

Scientific Workflow management systems have been largely adopted by data-intensive science communities. Many efforts have been dedicated to the representation and exploitation of provenance to improve reproducibility in data-intensive sciences. However, few works address the mining of provenance graphs to annotate the produced data with domain-specific context for better interpretation and sharing of results. In this paper, we propose *PoeM*, a lightweight framework for mining provenance in scientific workflows. *PoeM* allows to produce linked *in silico* experiment reports based on workflow runs. *PoeM* leverages semantic web technologies and reference vocabularies (*PROV-O*, *P-Plan*) to generate provenance mining rules and finally assemble linked scientific experiment reports (*Micropublications*, *Experimental Factor Ontology*). Preliminary experiments demonstrate that *PoeM* enables the querying and sharing of Galaxy¹-processed genomic data as 5-star linked datasets.

Keywords Scientific Workflows, Provenance, Rules, Linked Data

1. Introduction

Life scientists generate tremendous amounts of biological data, especially in the field of genomics. The availability of next generation sequencing equipments led to an unprecedented growth of sequenced human genomes. The number of data has been doubled every 7 months (Stephens et al. 2015), which even exceeds manufacturers own predictions. Not only the volume of acquired raw genomic sequences is rapidly growing, but also the volume of high value-added processed data. The question is: are the underlying infrastructures ready to preserve both the raw and the processed data ? Sharing and reusing high value-added biomedical data becomes crucial to limit the duplication of computing and storage efforts.

Many guidelines to publish Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable datasets have been proposed². Tim Berners Lee pro-

Copyright remains with the owner/author(s).

poses the 5-star model³ to enhance data sharing through Linked Open Data principles. These principles have been used to assemble reference Linked Open Dataset and Ontologies in Life Sciences (Noy et al. 2009; Callahan et al. 2013; Jupp et al. 2014). Although life scientists benefit from these curated and trusted open databases in their daily practice, it is often not feasible for small research groups to publish their processed data through the 5-star recommendations. This is mainly due to the human cost and the technicality of data curation activities.

In Life Sciences, scientific workflows systems such as Galaxy, Taverna, Vistrails, or Wings/Pegasus have gained a large adoption because they define explicitly the main parameters and processing steps, and enhance, therefore, trust in the produced results. A lot of approaches address provenance capture and management towards better reproducibility of *in silico* experiments. Several provenance models have been proposed (OPM, PROV, ProvOne, PAV). However, few works (Alper et al. 2014) address provenance exploitation towards better sharing of massively produced data.

In this paper, we address the issue of sharing data produced by scientific workflow engines by reusing Linked Open Vocabularies. Our in progress work relies on manually annotated workflow patterns, and rules generator. The rules mine generic provenance metadata and produce domain-specific linked experiment reports. We propose with *PoeM*, a method for populating Linked Data repositories (Bizer et al. 2009) with experiment reports, at a reduced data curation cost.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a motivating scenario in the field of bioinformatics. Section 3 describes our approach for mining generic provenance metadata. Section 4 reports preliminary results in a real-life experiment. Section 5 summarizes related works. Finally, conclusion and future works are outlined in Section 6.

2. Motivating example

Our work is motivated by data management issues raised in the field of bioinformatics and genomics. *RNAseq* is a high throughput sequencing technology aimed at measuring gene expression levels from multiple experimental conditions. The goal is to identify genetic markers involved in biological or pathological processes.

Figure 1 illustrates a typical *RNAseq* data analysis workflow (Trapnell et al. 2012). The first step consists in mapping the RNA sequence reads of two biological samples (*Sample#1* and *Sample#2*) to an annotated reference genome (*Reference-Genome)*. The resulting mapped reads are counted with *CuffLinks* to quantify the expression of each gene (or transcript) in the corresponding biological sample. Finally, based on the initial experimental conditions, *CuffDiff* is responsible for the analysis and selection of the

¹ *<https://galaxyproject.org>*

² <https://www.force11.org/group/fairgroup>

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. TaPP 2016, June 8–9, 2016, Washington, DC.

³ <http://5stardata.info/en/>

Figure 1. A typical RNA-seq bioinformatics workflow aimed at filtering the top-*k* differentially expressed genes.

top-*k* most differentially expressed genes. *TopHat* and *Cufflinks* are CPU intensive tasks and their re-computation should be avoided whenever possible. For instance, a typical RNA-seq sample alignment to a reference human genome may involve 2 paired-end input sequences of 17 GB each, and produce, after 170 hours of singlecore computing, a 12 GB aligned sequence. These computations are heavy and time consuming. Even if parallelization helps, the computational cost is still challenging, *e.g.*, in a study with hundreds of biological samples. Without descriptive enough metadata, the reuse and sharing of produced data is particularly difficult.

Most of scientific workflow management systems address reproducibility and interoperability issues through the capture of provenance metadata. PROV⁴ is the *de facto* standard for describing and exchanging provenance graphs. PROV is a domainagnostic provenance ontology relying on entities, activities and agents (software or people). In bioinformatics applications, PROV can be used to document data analysis, at a very fine grain (at each tool invocation), as well as attribution and versioning. However, when it comes to share and reuse produced raw data, PROV traces fail in describing required domain-specific informations for life scientists, such as the associated experimental condition, the biological or medical hypothesis or the nature of biological samples and results.

Need for domain-specific linked experiment reports

 $TCGA⁵$ and $ICGC⁶$ are international initiatives aimed at sharing multi-modality clinical, imaging, and omics datasets in the context of cancer research. Providing meaningful domain-specific metadata is the cornerstone of successful data sharing and reuse in cancer research. Existing data processing tools and pipeline should be able to generate these meaningful metadata based on biomedical context.

Several reference domain ontologies are already available to represent these metadata. In our motivating example, $EDAM^7$ (Ison et al. 2013) could be used as a common terminology to describe the nature of the processing tools involved in bioinformatics workflows, as well as the format and the nature of tools parameters. Regarding the representation of biomedical experimental factors, EFO⁸, the *Experimental Factor Ontology* (Malone et al. 2010), is of particular interest. Finally, the *Micropublications* (Clark et al. 2014) enables to formally represent scientific approaches and evidences towards machine-tractable academic papers. However, documenting datasets produced by scientific workflow managements systems with these domain-specific ontologies is generally a manual task that requires a deep knowledge of semantic web technologies and ontologies.

⁸ <https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/EFO>

A coherent set of domain-specific metadata would bridge together biomedical claims and evidences, experimental factors, and produced data. This would definitely accelerate the availability of query-able data repositories in the direction of machine- and human-tractable scientific reports.

3. Provenance mining rules

We propose *PoeM*, an approach aimed at rewriting annotated workflow patterns and experiment report templates into provenance mining rules. These rules are used for the annotation of scientific data. They are grounded to the PROV vocabulary to be as much as possible independent from any workflow management system implementation.

Figure 2. Automated generation of provenance mining rules to produce 5-star linked experiment reports.

Figure 2 describes the mains steps of *PoeM*. Our contribution is represented with plain arrows, the results produced by *PoeM* are highlighted in blue. We make the hypothesis that the underlying workflow enactment system is capable of producing PROV metadata to document each data consumption and production activities. Step \bullet consists in manually annotating workflow patterns with domain specific concepts. Step ❷ consists in manually annotating an experiment template to capture domain-specific knowledge. These annotations cover the nature of the experiment parameters, the expected results, as well as the associated scientific hypothesis or evidences. The core of our contributions is in step ❸. We propose a query rewriting algorithm responsible for the generation of semantic web rules, materialized by SPARQL CONSTRUCT queries. Step ❹ consists in extracting PROV metadata from workflow engine execution traces. Finally step ❺ consists in applying the resulting rule on PROV metadata to generate a 5-star linked experiment report. This report can document the raw produced data with meaningful domain concepts, including the associated scientific hypothesis or evidences.

Workflow annotation patterns (**0**)

More than forty Workflow patterns⁹ have been proposed to capture dependencies between process activities, *e.g.*, sequence, parallelism, choice, synchronization, *etc.*. These patterns were developed to address business process requirements (van der Aalst et al. 2003). They can also apply to address scientific workflow requirement as detailed in (Yildiz, U. et al 2009). In Figure 1, we use the *Sequence* pattern where an activity is enabled after the completion of another activity in the same workflow. We rely on *Step* class and on *isPrecededBy* property of *P-Plan* (Garijo et al. 2012) ontology to describe the sequence pattern. In addition, we rely on

⁴ <https://www.w3.org/TR/prov-o/>

⁵ <https://browser.cghub.ucsc.edu>

⁶ <https://dcc.icgc.org>

⁷ <https://bioportal.bioontology.org/ontologies/EDAM>

⁹ <http://www.workflowpatterns.com/>

EDAM to describe the functionality of processing steps, as well as input/output variables, with bioinformatics concepts.

Experiment annotation templates (❷)

The annotation template aims at gathering the domain-specific annotations to be propagated on the produced raw data as a linked experiment report. We rely on the *Micropublications* ontology to represent the hypothesis, claims, material and methods involved in *in silico* experiments chained together through *supports* predicates. We also rely on the *Web Annotation Data Model*¹⁰ to refer to domain-specific concepts (*Experimental Factor Ontology*, *NCBI Taxonomy*). Figure 3 details a linked experiment report associated to an RNAseq experiment.

Figure 3. An experiment annotation template representing material & methods of an *in silico* RNA-seq experiment (Figure 1).

Provenance mining rule generation (❸)

Algorithm 1 describes how *PoeM* generates a provenance mining rule. Lines 2 and 3 retrieve the annotated inputs and outputs from the workflow pattern. Line 5 consists in producing a provenance graph between the outputs of the last workflow step and the inputs of the first step. Line 6 binds the *hasTarget* annotations of the experiment annotation template to the provenance graph. Finally, line 8 assembles the inference rule, noted $\frac{\text{set of premises}}{\text{conclusion}}$. The set of premises consists in the required data lineage conditions, resulting from line 5, and the conclusion consists in the modified experiment report annotations, attached to the provenance graph.

Algorithm 1: genRule generates a provenance mining rule based on a sequence workflow pattern, and a domain-specific annotation template.

```
Input : W : Workflow annotated pattern \bullet,
            S_1: First step of W,
            \mathcal{S}_2 : Last step of W,A : Annotation template ❷.
   Output: R: Provenance mining rule.
1 begin
2 | IN_{S1} \leftarrow getInputStream(S_1)3 \cup OUT_{S2} \leftarrow getOutputs(S_2)4
\text{5} provGraph \leftarrow genDataLineage(OUT_{S2}, IN_{S1})6 reportGraph \leftarrow</math>rebindReportTargets(provGraph, A)7
         R \leftarrow\textit{provGraph-edge}_1 \wedge ... \wedge \textit{provGraph-edge}_NreportGraph
```
4. Implementation and experiment

We implemented *PoeM* through SPARQL query generation algorithms. Provenance mining rules have been instantiated with SPARQL CONSTRUCT-WHERE queries. The premises are represented in the WHERE clause, and the conclusion is represented in the CONSTRUCT clause. We rely on SPARQL 1.1 PROPERTY PATH expressions to enable the matching of a sequence of processing steps with intermediate steps and data. For instance, we use the property path expression prov:wasDerivedFrom* to match data lineage paths of multiple length. A short web demonstration of *PoeM* is available at [http://poem.univ-nantes.fr.](http://poem.univ-nantes.fr)

We experimented *PoeM* on a real-life RNAseq workflow as introduced in section 2. Its goal is to highlight differentially expressed gene on two mice populations, a first group of young mice (6 weeks) and a second group of older mice (45 weeks). The workflow has been implemented in the Galaxy workflow management system, on top of a bioinformatics cluster.

Since Galaxy does not provide yet provenance as Linked Data, we implemented a Java tool which transforms Galaxy workflow traces (histories of actions) into PROV graphs. This tool is based on the *Blend4J* library for communicating with the Galaxy Rest API. The remaining steps of *PoeM* have also been implemented in Java and rely on the *Jena* semantic web library.

We run the workflow on two biological samples and we show that the computational cost of *PoeM* is negligible compared to raw data processing. We parallelized the genome alignment step with 12 CPU cores. For a single biological sample with a single CPU core computer, we observed a mean execution time of approximately 60 hours. We also measured 21Gb as the mean disk space required for both the input and the generated data for a single workflow execution on a single biological sample. Provenance capture and mining with *PoeM* is negligible, in terms of time and space since we measured less than 3 seconds to extract 81 PROV triples (❹) and around 2 seconds to generate the rule and apply it $(\mathbf{\Theta}, \mathbf{\Theta})$, finally producing 35 domain-specific triples.

In this experiment, a usage scenario for the produced Linked Experiment Report would consists in retrieving Galaxy datasets with SPARQL queries based on the underlying scientific hypothesis/claims, or domain specific classes (*Experimental Factor Ontology*, *NCBI taxonomy*, or *EDAM* ontology). Another usage scenario would consists in populating an RDF metadata repository dedicated to i) biomedical data sharing and ii) preventing re-computation of already aligned sampled and measured gene expressions.

5. Related works

PoeM is a continuation of the approach proposed in (Gaignard et al. 2014). We follow the same idea of summarizing provenance meta-data into domain-specific annotations and apply it to bioinformatics. More importantly, we address its main limitation by semiautomatically generating provenance mining rules that was originally, manually written.

LabelFlow (Alper et al. 2014) tackles similar challenges through the semi-automated labelling of data artifacts. Our approach is completely in line with *LabelFlow* but tend to alleviate the programming effort for data annotation. Even if not yet supporting data collections and limited for the moment to sequence patterns, *PoeM* does not require additional programming task. The cost of writing the annotation template and the workflow pattern is only paid once, and these domain-specific annotations can later be shared as Linked Data.

Other initiatives such as *ReproZip* (Chirigati et al. 2013) or *RefineryPlatform*11 address reproducibility of biomedical research

¹⁰ <https://www.w3.org/TR/annotation-model/>

¹¹ <http://www.refinery-platform.org>

through internal provenance representation and exploitation. These approaches give valuable insight on the nature and parameters of experiments, however, we argue in *PoeM* that Open Linked Data approaches are a step towards machine- and human-tractable experiment reports.

6. Conclusion and perspectives

We propose *PoeM*, a provenance mining approach aimed at populating 5-star Linked Open Data repositories with scientific experiment reports. *PoeM* is non-invasive and can adapt to different workflow engines that export PROV metadata. *PoeM* is a declarative lightweight approach based on semantic web standards, and does not require additional programming effort.

PoeM is in progress work and presents many opportunities. For the moment the identification of processing steps and variables is based on label matching. We plan to use semantic tagging to improve genericity. We also plan to extend the supported workflow patterns with multi-sequences, split-and-join patterns, or based on common motifs (Garijo et al. 2014). To assess the accuracy of the produced linked experiment reports, we plan to conduct a user evaluation based on competency questions. To assess the versatility of *PoeM*, we also plan to produce Research Objects (Belhajjame et al. 2015) as a machine-tractable way of reporting research. Finally, as a continuation of this work, we will evaluate how *PoeM* can adapt to other domains such as bioimaging, high energy physics workflows, and how it can scale to face large scale, real-life, data-science research studies in the context of the SyMeTRIC collaborative personalized medicine project.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the SyMeTRIC project, funded by the Région Pays de la Loire Connect Talent research call. We are grateful to the BiRD bioinformatics facility for providing support and computing resources.

References

- Z. D. Stephens, S. Y. Lee, F. Faghri, R. H. Campbell, C. Zhai, M. J. Efron, R. Iyer, M. C. Schatz, S. Sinha, and G. E. Robinson. Big data: Astronomical or genomical? *PLoS Biol*, 13(7):e1002195, 07 2015.
- S. Jupp, J. Malone, J. Bolleman, M. Brandizi, M. Davies, L. Garcia, A. Gaulton, S. Gehant, C. Laibe, N. Redaschi, S. M. Wimalaratne, M. Martin, N. Le Novère, H. Parkinson, E. Birney, and A. M. Jenkinson. The EBI RDF platform: Linked open data for the life sciences. *Bioinformatics*, 2014.
- A. Callahan, J. Cruz-Toledo, P. Ansell, and M. Dumontier. Bio2RDF release 2: Improved coverage, interoperability and provenance of life science linked data. In *The semantic web: semantics and big data*, pages 200–212. Springer, 2013.
- N. F. Noy, N. H. Shah, P. L. Whetzel, B. Dai, M. Dorf, N. Griffith, C. Jonquet, D. L. Rubin, M.-A. Storey, C. G. Chute, et al. Bioportal: ontologies and integrated data resources at the click of a mouse. *Nucleic acids research*, page gkp440, 2009.
- J. Malone, E. Holloway, T. Adamusiak, M. Kapushesky, J. Zheng, N. Kolesnikov, A. Zhukova, A. Brazma, and H. Parkinson. Modeling sample variables with an experimental factor ontology. *Bioinformatics*, 26(8):1112–1118, 04 2010.
- J. Ison, M. Kalaš, I. Jonassen, D. Bolser, M. Uludag, H. McWilliam, J. Malone, R. Lopez, S. Pettifer, and P. Rice. Edam: an ontology of bioinformatics operations, types of data and identifiers, topics and formats. *Bioinformatics*, 29(10):1325–1332, 05 2013.
- T. Clark, P. N. Ciccarese, and C. A. Goble. Micropublications: a semantic model for claims, evidence, arguments and annotations in biomedical communications. *Journal of Biomedical Semantics*, 5(1):1–33, 2014.
- C. Trapnell, A. Roberts, L. Goff, G. Pertea, D. Kim, D. R. Kelley, H. Pimentel, S. L. Salzberg, J. L. Rinn, and L. Pachter. Differential

gene and transcript expression analysis of rna-seq experiments with tophat and cufflinks. *Nat. Protocols*, 7(3):562–578, 03 2012.

- C. Bizer, T. Heath, and T. Berners-Lee. Linked data the story so far. *Int. J. Semantic Web Inf. Syst.*, 5(3):1–22, 2009.
- W. van der Aalst, A. ter Hofstede, B. Kiepuszewski, and A. Barros. Workflow patterns. *Distributed and Parallel Databases*, 14(1):5–51, 2003.
- U. Yildiz, 1. Guabtni, and A.H. Ngu, A. H. Towards scientific workflow patterns. In *the 4th Workshop on Workflows in Support of Large-Scale Science*, (p. 13). ACM. 2009.
- D. Garijo and Y. Gil. Augmenting prov with plans in p-plan: Scientific processes as linked data. In *Second International Workshop on Linked Science: Tackling Big Data (LISC), held in conjunction with the International Semantic Web Conference (ISWC)*, Boston, MA, 2012.
- A. Gaignard, J. Montagnat, B. Gibaud, G. Forestier, and T. Glatard. Domain-specific summarization of life-science e-experiments from provenance traces. *Web Semantics: Science, Services and Agents on the World Wide Web*, 29:19 – 30, 2014. Life Science and e-Science.
- P. Alper, K. Belhajjame, C. A. Goble, and P. Karagoz. *Provenance and Annotation of Data and Processes: 5th International Provenance and Annotation Workshop, IPAW 2014, Cologne, Germany, June 9- 13, 2014. Revised Selected Papers*, chapter LabelFlow: Exploiting Workflow Provenance to Surface Scientific Data Provenance, pages 84–96. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015.
- F. Chirigati, D. Shasha, and J. Freire. Reprozip: Using provenance to support computational reproducibility. In *Presented as part of the 5th USENIX Workshop on the Theory and Practice of Provenance*, Lombard, IL, 2013. USENIX.
- K. Belhajjame, J. Zhao, D. Garijo, M. Gamble, K. Hettne, R. Palma, E. Mina, O. Corcho, J. M. Gómez-Pérez, S. Bechhofer, G. Klyne, and C. Goble. Using a suite of ontologies for preserving workflow-centric research objects. *Web Semantics: Science, Services and Agents on the World Wide Web*, 32:16 – 42, 2015.
- D. Garijo, P. Alper, K. Belhajjame, O. Corcho, Y. Gil, and C. Goble. Common motifs in scientific workflows: An empirical analysis. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 36:338 – 351, 2014.

A. Detailed algorithms

Algorithm 2: genProvBGP to generate a BGP matching a provenance path between two processing steps. Input : W the set of RDF triples describing the workflow pattern. **Output:** $\text{prox} \, BGP$ the set of triple patterns matching a PROV path, M a HashMap binding workflow pattern variables to SPARQL query variables. 1 begin 2 | $S_1 \leftarrow getFirstStepTriples(W)$ $3 \mid S_2 \leftarrow getLastStepTriples(W)$ 4 \vert prov \overline{BGP} += "?step1 rdf:type prov:Activity ." 5 $\not\vert$ prov BGP += "?step1 prov:wasAssociatedWith ?soft1 ." 6 /* Iterate over pattern INPUT variables */ 7 $i \leftarrow 0$ 8 foreach $(inVar \in S_1)$ do

9 $M \leftarrow (inVar, "?in"$ $M \leftarrow (inVar, "?in" + i+ "S1."')$ ¹⁰ provBGP += "?step1 prov:used ?in"+i+"S1." 11 $\vert \vert \cdot \vert \cdot \vert \leftarrow i+1$ 12 \vert prov BGP += "?outS1 prov:wasGeneratedBy ?step1 ." 13 \vert prov BGP += "?in2 (rdfs:label | ^rdfs:label | prov:wasDerivedFrom)∗ ?outS1 ." 14 | $\text{prox} BGP == "?step2 \text{ prov:used ?in2}.$ 15 prov BGP += "?step2 prov:wasAssociatedWith ?soft2." 16 /* Iterate over pattern OUTPUT variables */ 17 $j \leftarrow 0$ 18 **foreach** $(\text{outVar} \in S_2)$ **do**

19 $M \leftarrow (\text{outVar."3}^{\circ}$ $M \leftarrow (outVar, \overline{"?} \mathtt{out} "+j+ \overline{"S2."})$ ²⁰ provBGP += "?out"+j+"S2 prov:wasGeneratedBy ?step2 ." 21 $j \leftarrow j + 1$

Algorithm 3: genReportBGP to generate a BGP describing the linked experiment report.

Algorithm 4: genProvMiningRule to write the resulting SPARQL CONSTRUCT query.

```
Input : P the set of PROV triples resulting from a workflow run,
             R the set of RDF triples describing the annotation template,
             W the set of RDF triples describing the workflow pattern.
   Output: Q the SPARQL CONSTRUCT provenance mining rule.
1 begin
2 | Q \neq = mergePrefixes(W,R,P)\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & \left| & Q \right. + = \text{"CONSTRUCT} \left\{ & ^+ \right. + \left. \left. genReportBGP(W, M) \right. + \text{ " } \right\} \text{''} \end{array}4 | Q \nightharpoonup = "WHERE { " + genProvBGP(W, M) + " }"
```