

# Supply networks in urban logistics– Which strategies for 3PL?

Odile Chanut, Gilles Paché

# ► To cite this version:

Odile Chanut, Gilles Paché. Supply networks in urban logistics– Which strategies for 3PL?. EMNet Conference, Dec 2011, Limassol, Cyprus. hal-01766875

# HAL Id: hal-01766875 https://hal.science/hal-01766875v1

Submitted on 14 Apr 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Paper presented at the 2011 EMNet Conference December 1 – 3, 2011, Limassol, Cyprus (http://emnet.univie.ac.at/)

# Supply networks in urban logistics– Which strategies for 3PL?

**Odile CHANUT & Gilles PACHÉ** 

Aix-Marseille Université Centre de Recherche sur le Transport et la Logistique (CRET-LOG) 413 Avenue Gaston Berger – 13625 Aix-en-Provence Cedex (France) odile.chanut@univmed.fr, gilles.pache@univmed.fr

**Abstract.** The paper examines the evolution of supply networks as influenced by urban logistics, from the point of view of third party logistics (3PL) companies. 3PL are the firms which have facilitated the development of large retailers for thirty years by offering them highly complex systems of warehouses and platforms to supply stores efficiently. 3PL also initiated sophisticated logistical techniques to reduce stocks in store while controlling order picking costs. Their major strength is the achievement of significant economies of scale through resource sharing for several large retailers, resulting in ever larger warehousing infrastructures. As they developed pooling expertise early on, 3PL could acquire a prominent position in the emerging market in city logistics, in which local authorities are highly involved, a perspective that can only be considered if 3PL are able to develop a first mover advantage.

**Key words.** City logistics, Pooling, Retailing industry, Supply networks, Sustainability, Third party logistics (3PL), Urban goods distribution.

## **1** INTRODUCTION

The retailing industry has undergone numerous changes in the past few years. Convenience stores are back in force, e-commerce for consumers wishing for home deliveries is developing and contractual networks (franchises), historically very present in city centers, are constantly expanding. This development represents a break with a long-lasting trend which led mass market retailing to locate their ever larger stores on the outskirts of cities and to use extreme "massification" of supply flows (for example, truckload deliveries). New emerging models now favour "capillarity" patterns taking into account the destination of goods (small shops or consumers' homes). In a societal context of sustainable development, quality of the air and life in densely populated areas are top priorities, this produces extremely complex goods circulation issues in cities. Supply networks have hence dramatically changed in large cities giving rise to radically new questions such as: how can firms manage traffic restrictions imposed by local authorities? Will pooled logistical organisations be implemented to reduce urban infrastructure congestion? Who are the different stakeholders and who should or can deal with urban logistical issues and reorganise them? Do delivery rounds require a planning system based on new productivity measures? The works coordinated by Taniguchi & Thompson (2008) and McKinnon et al. (2010) list a number of such questions.

We wish to approach the restructuration of supply networks as influenced by urban logistics, from the point of view of third party logistics (3PL) companies. 3PL are the firms which, for thirty years, have facilitated the development of large food retailers by offering them highly complex systems of warehouses and platforms to supply stores efficiently. 3PL also initiated sophisticated logistical techniques, such as cross docking, to reduce stocks in store while controlling order picking costs (Fulconis et al., 2011). Their major strength is the achievement of significant economies of scale through resource sharing for several large retailers, resulting in ever larger warehousing infrastructures. To optimise their delivery systems, 3PL install these infrastructures at the hub of store networks to be supplied, in logistics areas far from cities so as to take advantage of the lower cost of land. Since 3PL developed spatial and managerial strategies that do not position them at any advantage when faced with the current challenges of urban logistics, will they be able to accommodate these new challenges?

The paper aims at being comprehensive. It reviews a number of works conducted on 3PL and on logistics, to underline how we are now at the crossroad in terms of organisation of supply networks in urban logistics. We take it as axiomatic that it is impossible to let firms implement their supply chains independently from one another particularly at the level of last mile management. The outcome would be such anarchy in the transportation of products into city center stores and/or consumers' homes that traffic would be paralysed. To believe that a sort of "spontaneous order" might emerge from the aggregation of individual actions is wishful thinking. In fact, it becomes urgent to develop collective action reasoning to efficiently coordinate all individual actions. This is why the central issue from now on is to know how to initiate a pooling of activities connected with city logistics. The multiplication of urban shared-use freight terminals perfectly corresponds to this radical evolution, and it has become important to analyse which supply chain member has the expertise to best manage them.

To illustrate and understand the major issues, the paper is organised in three parts. In the first part, we describe the current process of resource pooling, which corresponds to an in-depth mutation in the way of considering the operation of supply chains: competing firms must learn how to share resources for a more "sustainable" behaviour. What is at stake is important enough for local authorities to play an increasingly significant part in terms of urban planning, in a growing number of cities in Europe. The second part underlines the potential role that 3PL may play in the new emerging patterns, having first briefly recalled the major characteristics of the logistics industry. The central issue is to know whether 3PL, considering their former experience, may offer essential assistance to local authorities, in spite of the limitations indicated above. In the third part, we discuss the opportunities for better managing city logistics by redistributing the urban freight traffic across all times of day, and the way 3PL are here confronted with highly differentiated market segments whose requirements make it difficult to implement a single business model.

# 2 POOLING AND CITY LOGISTICS

The mastering of logistics is a source of competitive advantage (Christopher, 2011). This explains why firms in direct competition built their supply chains in an independent, redundant and compartmentalised way. But cooperation based on the implementation of shared logistical systems can be considered as a legitimate move in given contexts, as suggested in co-opetition analyses (Yami & Le Roy, 2010). This is particularly true in a context of a sustainable economy, concerned about avoiding waste and limiting negative externalities associated with consuming behaviours. Pooling logistical resources has now become a societal emergency. Finally, there is nothing original in this reasoning if we adopt the *supply chain network* view, where interfaces belonging to several supply chains are identifiable, and whose efficient management leads to a pooling of resources, competences and expertise. This is quite apparent in the new urban logistics patterns driven by public pooling policies.

# 2.1 Pooling at the heart of major discussions

From the 1980s to the 2000s, works on logistical resources management were constantly stressing on the competitive lever that an efficient monitoring of product and information flows is for firms to satisfy consumers' demands in the best cost and service conditions (Lambert, 2008; Mangan, 2011). Developments dedicated to SCM extended the approach to a group of firms linked by "chain solidarities" (for example between a manufacturer, a large retailer and a 3PL). But they argued about the fact that competition pits supply chains against one another, forcing players to implement logistical resources to acquire an individual competitive advantage (Christopher, 2011). The most famous example is the uncoordinated multiplication of increasingly larger warehouses without any consultation between the members of a given supply chain, when these infrastructures did not operate at their maximum capacities. The outcome was a systematic duplication of investments and a waste of resources.

There is nothing really surprising here. In a liberal economy, a competitive advantage is obtained at micro-economic level (firms), even meso-economic level (firms operating inside a supply chain); the high-performance ecosystems are those showing the better medium-term survival capacity. But it should be acknowledged that this way of considering the individual performance of ecosystems, and in the case we are speaking of, of supply chains, poses a genuine problem: the non-optimal use of resources at collective level, the multiplication of waste due a redundancy of physical means. This leads to nuisances for involved parties across society at two levels:

- First the *economic level*, by preventing a mobilisation of the resources used (rare and not renewable by nature) for a more efficient alternative use.

- Second, the *environmental level*, by multiplying physical resources (warehouses, platforms), saturating urban and peri-urban areas and flows (journeys, deliveries), increasing pollution.

To face current mutations that will require a sustainable use of logistical resources, organised answers are indispensable; they extensively concern 3PL, whose expertise is actually to pool material and human resources to serve supply chain networks. 3PL are clearly at the forefront of emerging green logistics initiatives, as supply chain activities have many adverse environmental effects, particularly in the transport of goods. Among these initiatives, logistical resource pooling becomes increasingly important for decision makers, local authorities and managers. Pooling basically consists in organising the sharing of resources between firms who may also be in direct competition in the market (Chanut & Paché, 2011). The pooling idea is not revolutionary as it finds its roots in the resort to 3PL by manufacturers and large retailers as early as the 1980s. What is new is to consider pooling as a vital collective strategy at the service of societal objectives, which has to be applied to firms' individual strategies. From this point of view, we are probably at a crucial point in the evolution of supply chains, with mutations and consequences at numerous levels.

For years, there was no comprehensive vision of what was at stake in urban logistics. It can even be said that there was complete anarchy where each player was trying, without any sense of consultation, to develop his own organisation of flows to deliver to his own customers even if it meant bearing negative externalities due to identical behaviours from competitors (congested infrastructures, delays in deliveries, etc.). In economics terminology, individual (and selfish) preferences of agents for a specific urban freight policy lead, when aggregating, to uncontrollable, now well-known environmental nuisances (Paglione et al., 2007). In other words, without a comprehensive or systemic vision, there is a high risk of development of significant overcapacities in logistical equipment, each firm investing in its own distribution network to develop a sustainable competitive advantage. Overcapacities will have a social cost for the community, a cost that will quickly become unbearable in the opinion of citizens concerned about their environment.

For example, to let each franchisor act freely in matters of logistics will result in a congestion of residential areas with an anarchic multiplication of delivery vehicles, not necessarily optimised as to their filling rate. The impact on the environment (CO<sub>2</sub>, emissions, recurring traffic jams) and economic malfunctions (inability to comply with the time windows proposed to stores) would soon be unbearable for everybody. A harmonious combination of private initiatives and of public policies seems indispensable for developing a sustainable system of urban freight. In France, the Mayor of Paris is now one of the most media-successful spokesmen for this new interventionist policy. He has always insisted, since his first, then his second election, that it is urgent to greatly reduce traffic in the city, including the use of very restrictive and highly unpopular measures, like the massive reduction of parking spaces in streets to preserve the living environment of current and future generations.

Initiatives are numerous and converging today. In individual passenger transport, let us mention the generalisation of the well-known "Vélib" since 2007, with 1,233 stations in July 2011 in Paris, and the launching of "Autolib" on December 1, 2011 with electric cars in self-service with direct traceability, the objective being to have 1,120 stations in 46 cities in the Greater Paris by 2012. In the transport of goods, the city of Paris was the first to implement a charter of good practices in the transport and delivery of goods in Paris (see Box 1). Comparatively as dynamic actions have been in existence in London for several years (Browne et al., 2011). The experiments in Paris and London, in spite of certain differences in origins and choices in city logistics management, emphasise a high congruence of policy objectives to reduce the negative impacts of goods transportation on the environment.

### Box 1. Charter of good practices in transport

A charter of good practices in goods transport and delivery in Paris was signed in 2006. It has 28 pages and is the result of four years of consultation. The charter preamble describes flows: 32 million of tons of goods arrive in Paris every year, only 1 million of which by rail and 2.5 million by waterways; 20% of vehicles are dedicated to goods transport. The charter deals with in-coming and out-going flows, and also with the downstream of supply chains, with distribution in green vehicles in the various districts. The city of Paris displays an objective of *urbanity*, i.e. of respect for the environment and the peace and quiet of inhabitants. Paris chose to do so in consultation with 47 professional and institutional partners: shippers, retailers, infrastructure managers and railroad and waterways operators (SNCF, RATP, RFF, Port Autonome de Paris), parcel delivery firms, whose representative organisations faced up to their responsibilities when committing themselves to the partnership. The institutional signatories are the city of Paris, the Conseil Régional, the Groupement des Activités de Transport et de Manutention de la Région Ile-de-France, CCIP, EDF, GDF and ADEME.

Traffic and delivery rules have been simplified to limit the traffic of the most cumbersome and polluting vehicles. Three traffic periods are identified: from 7 a.m. to 5 p.m., only less than 29 m<sup>3</sup> vehicles can deliver; from 5 p.m. to 11 p.m., only less than 29 m<sup>3</sup> and green vehicles can deliver; from 11 p.m. to 7 p.m., vehicles up to 43 m<sup>3</sup> can deliver but have to reduce their noise pollution. Professional training for urban deliverymen/women is available to this effect. To accelerate vehicle rotation, stops in delivery areas are limited to 30 min, a period controlled with a compulsory parking disc. In addition, infrastructure managers, railroad and waterways operators and the city of Paris have committed themselves to the preservation of areas whose function is to house logistical activities inside facilities owned by them (logistical platforms and hotels). 8 sites with good transport facilities and suited to railroad transportation were selected, as well as 21 port facilities in the city of Paris itself.

Source: adapted from http://www.paris.fr.

### 2.2 Local authorities' interventionism

Today, everybody obviously wishes to control the flow of products in cities by forcing firms to share logistical infrastructures for transportation and warehousing: "Urban land use planning policy can control the number and location of home delivery fulfilment facilities... and the times at which home delivery vehicles can operate at

them. Planners can also decide whether there is a role for the urban authority in the development and operation of such facilities, and whether they will be operated by one or many companies" (Browne et al., 2001:34). This emerging planning has led to the creation of various urban logistical areas in several French cities: urban distribution centers, vehicle reception points, goods reception points, and even reception boxes. Despite their technical differences, all such points participate in the coherent organisation of urban goods traffic based on the harmonious geographical distribution of transhipment locations.

The planning of urban logistics, necessary for functional reasons (more flexible service), environmental reasons (reduction of pollution emissions) and economic reasons (delivery and picking cost control), should ultimately result in a homogenisation of the logistical performance level, since all user firms will have the same freight processing centers, at the same time, in shared urban logistical areas. Although the local authorities' interventionism in retailers' logistics that is strongly established in city centers has been confirmed in the medium term, in order to control by force firms unable to coordinate locally and to avoid the multiplication of private last mile management systems, it will be difficult for any retailer to rely on his logistical excellence considered as a specific asset to outdistance his competitors. These competitors will have direct access to the same shared expertise which will lose its capacity to make a difference between the firms benefiting from pooling. This is what seems to emerge from some current or already older experiments. This could be the cause of persisting mental blocks that some private operators display about urban logistical areas launched by local authorities?

If one adopts an open outlook on interactions between private actions and public interventionism, one must recognise that the pooling of logistical resources fits into a project of the "sustainable city" perfectly, with concerns about how to avoid environmental saturation and pollution for lack of an adequate coordination of goods flows. Experiments and operational schemes in urban logistics planning are growing in Europe, particularly in Germany around the concept of *City Logistik* (see Box 2), and have led local authorities' to significantly increase their intervention in the management of logistical activities around urban distribution centers. Their mission is to concentrate flows on a limited number of pooling points whose operation is entrusted to 3PL if need be, then to organise shared rounds to stores. Franchise networks, based on small shops in city centers, are particularly concerned by such approaches.

#### Box 2. City Logistik in Germany

In several German cities (Nuremberg, Kassel, Koln, among others) and Swiss cities (Zurich, Basel), voluntary cooperation for a collective organisation of deliveries has been developing in the past few years. Hauliers, sometimes on the initiative of a Chamber of Commerce, decided to group themselves to share geographical areas of delivery in city centers, for instance. What distinguishes such initiatives from the partnerships commonly developed by French firms for the delivery of goods in cities is the cities' involvement and the hauliers' advertised objective of a green city organisation. German city authorities often aim at facilitating hauliers' self-

discipline, through the granting of quality labels for example, or even participate as shareholders in the limited company generally created to manage the service (as is the case in Nuremberg). But the firms belonging to the urban distribution center remain subjected to the city's general regulations on delivery hours or on routes for utilitarian vehicles. The purpose of such services, uneasy to achieve in practice, is to achieve full financial autonomy: it is a commercial activity which should prove profitable in the end.

Source: adapted from http://www.transports-marchandises-en-ville.org.

Pooling consequently leads to a public-private partnership on largely renewed bases, where regulatory constraints or a feeling of restriction must give way to an opportunity for firms: to accept the indispensable articulation of their corporate strategies with the requirements of a sustainable city, by reconsidering the structuration of the final downstream of supply chains and the management of the last mile. We agree with Bremmers et al.'s (2005) conclusions to their research on sustainable business development. The authors emphasise the quality of communication between local authorities and the industry to achieve sustainability performance objectives, as we can identify them in city logistics. According to Bremmers et al. (2005:13), "creating synergy between company goals and non-commercial sustainability goals could bridge the gap between public policy and private interest, to the benefit of the environment".

# **3 3PL** AND CITY LOGISTICS

The subject of the organisation of urban areas was ignored by management science and of course by logistics and SCM for a long time. Being the business of regional development, political science, or very simply of town planning, it was understood that management tools were irrelevant to the case. A sharp break occurred in the 2000s, leading to a new awareness: the need for a joint planning of goods traffic in cities (Boudouin, 2006). Without such planning, numerous environmental and economic threats loom over urban areas with the risk of damaging the competitiveness of local territories. The pooling of logistical resources becomes significant as 3PL can play a major part in it.

### 3.1 What are 3PL?

The analysis of supply chain networks currently represents a major issue in management, both for practitioners and academics. In an increasingly systematic way, the process of value creation involves various firms, which have to coordinate between them a number of logistical activities in the best conditions of cost, timeliness and customer service. This leads to the implementation of often complex monitoring procedures and a continuous interaction process to make mutual adjustments in case of problems, for instance an increase of stock-outs. 3PL have been the key players in the thorough reorganisations of supply chains for about twenty years now. Roughly speaking, a 3PL can be considered as a service provider taking charge of part or all

logistical operations in the supply chain of another firm with the status of shipper. Traditionally, 3PL manage materials and/or finished goods transportation and storage activities for their customers for both the manufacturing industry and the retailing industry. To do so, they invest in a variably dense network of warehouses and platforms (Fulconis et al., 2011).

We now have to admit that logistics providers in the broad sense have acquired a growing importance in western economies, in Europe, in North America and in South-East Asia, but also more recently in North Africa. For historical reasons, France is one of the most dynamic countries in the matter, together with the United Kingdom. 3PL built very large warehouses in both countries and simultaneously developed an expertise enabling them to better answer their customers' needs by offering competitive solutions for flow piloting and control. Table 1 lists the top ten 3PL in France (2010). It should be noted that the market is more or less oligopolistic as the top five 3PL hold a third of the French market.

3PL	Logistics turnover (M€)	Warehouse number	Storage area	Logistics workforce
Geodis	595	53	1,100,000 m <sup>2</sup>	3,500
Kuehne+Nagel	560	58	1,500,000 m <sup>2</sup>	9,000
Norbert Dentressangle	504	76	2,124,000 m <sup>2</sup>	4,835
DHL Supply Chain	400	85	1,000,000 m <sup>2</sup>	4,500
STEF-TFE	371	85	4,100,000 m <sup>3</sup>	2,935
Groupe Bolloré	360	84	506,000 m <sup>2</sup>	600
FM Logistic	291	25	961,000 m <sup>2</sup>	3,400
ID Logistics	252	39	1,136,000 m <sup>2</sup>	3,100
Wincanton	170	30	600,000 m <sup>2</sup>	1,300
Olano Services	160	16	520,000 m <sup>3</sup>	800

**Table 1.** The top ten 3PL in the French market (2010)(adapted from Supply Chain Magazine, May 2011)

An abundant literature has been trying in the ten last years to determine the perimeters or borders of logistical services in reference to the more or less complex operations, depending on contracts, executed by 3PL. A survey conducted in France under the auspices of the *Observatoire de la Prestation Logistique* identifies three major types of business: the first is called *"Core business"*, the second *"Additional customer services"* and the third *"New professions"* (Roques & Michrafy, 2003). Table 2 describes the various components in the service offer. It shows that certain operations, such as the management of call centers or co-packing, have little in common with what is usually presented as associated with logistics.

Core business	Additional customer services	New professions		
<ul> <li>Order preparation</li> <li>Stocking/storage</li> <li>Inventory management</li> <li>Transport</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>After sales service</li> <li>Customer billing</li> <li>Archiving</li> <li>Shelf display</li> <li>Storage on behalf of customers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site installation</li> <li>Co-manufacturing</li> <li>Wrapping</li> <li>Managing a call center</li> <li>Co-packing</li> <li>Boxing</li> <li>Information technologies</li> </ul>		

# Table 2. 3PL Service offer: three dimensions (adapted from Roques & Michrafy, 2003)

The case of co-manufacturing is particularly representative of the widening of the 3PL's service offer beyond plain product shipment. An increasingly significant number of 3PL have diversified and have taken charge of co-manufacturing operations, which have gradually transformed them into "module assemblers" on behalf of supply chains. For example, French 3PL have invested in specific assets to ensure the final assembly of mobile phones and PCs in their warehouses, which as a consequence look like modular platforms in the sense that Sanchez (2007) gives them. Once assembled, finished goods are shipped to stores or home-delivered to consumers. This rising new generation of 3PL is being studied by Trentin (2011), among others. The French case is far from being atypical and isolated. Many 3PL in Northern Europe, such as Kuehne+Nagel, reason similarly and have largely extended their service offer, originally revolving around transport and warehousing, and now around the management of multiple relation interfaces with their customers.

The prevailing idea, widely shared by supply chain operation observers, is based on the fact that 3PL support manufacturers' and large retailers' realignment strategies on their core competences: the design of new products and services, communication and advertisement on powerful brands, development of original selling techniques, etc. The executing by 3PL of basic operations associated with the physical transfer of products between different geographical points corresponded at a given time with their customers' wishes to progressively free themselves from logistical activities they considered to be peripheral. The strategic vision of certain 3PL was to anticipate, then to satisfy far more sophisticated emerging expectations for services with high added value. Can current developments on green urban planning leave some space for the more dynamic 3PL?

# 3.2 What place for 3PL?

In the past ten years, several countries have developed experiments on urban goods distribution systems, the purpose of which is product flow pooling and the optimisation of rounds to stores in a given area (Quak & de Koster, 2009). The issue is now to "industrialise" them, i.e. to transform them from experiments into a global organisational model, in order to reduce the number of delivery vehicle journeys, to create possibilities of using less polluting materials and to improve the management

and occupation of increasingly congested public streets. In practice, such an organisation often means grouping goods for a given delivery area on a single platform. These are called urban delivery centers in the generic sense, whose function is to promote a city and its activities by replacing the multiple journeys of personal vehicles by goods flows more "professionally" managed according to an environmentally-friendly massification pattern. The example of the Sephora stores in France is an excellent illustration of current mutations (see Box 3).

### Box 3. Sephora and the challenge of a sustainable city

What is starting to appear in large foreign cites is going to occur sooner or later in France too: restricted access by polluting transport means to city centers. Sephora, a retailer specialised in the sale of perfume, was looking for technical solutions to this long-term issue when Deret, the 3PL Sephora had been working with for years, presented in May 2009 its project for the creation of 22 suburban platforms in France, with last-mile deliveries by electric trucks. Sephora would have to thoroughly modify their store restocking hours and their national transport plan. The decision was made at the beginning of June 2009. All suburban platforms were operational six months later. The result was very positive, with more flexibility and reactivity in case of unforeseen events, such as the heavy snow falls in France just before Christmas 2009. In the end, 1,000 tons of  $CO_2$  were saved each year, during final journeys and the supplying of suburban platforms by truckload. For Sephora management, everything that is delivered in city centers in small volumes, from two to four palettes, is eligible for pooling.

#### Source: adapted from Supply Chain Magazine, March 2010.

The merit of Hesse's (2008) work is to replace current strategies in urban logistics into a historical perspective. He suggests that, with time, we evolved from cities as market places to cities as terminals. Cities at first were perceived as an occasional meeting point between offer and demand, and Braudel (1979) emphasised the importance of fair towns in the progressive emergence of a system of exchange that led to modern capitalism. The Industrial Revolution emptied the countryside of its work force that was urgently needed by factories, leading to an urbanisation process whose magnitude was so far unknown in the history of mankind. The process never stopped growing. The United Nations foresee a 61% rate of urbanisation in 2030 at world scale (87% for North America, 80% for Europe). Consequently, supply networks associated with cities cannot but be radically transformed, particularly by creating logistical areas dedicated to optimised deliveries to urban markets, usually located in suburban areas (see Table 3). The exceptional density of incoming flows (products) and outgoing flows (waste) could not afford to wait for spontaneous patterns to appear, without consultation between players, at the risk of rapidly causing the asphyxia of cities.

	Function		Location		Examples
The city as a market place	Traditional place of goods (The city as a location for regional distribution)	•	Historical urban centers Temporary use of areas for warehousing and transshipment	•	Market places Traditional locations for urban retail Warehouses
Port cities, inland-port cities	Traditional place of goods exchange (The city as a location for long- distance distribution	•	Traditionally at shorelines Large inland waterways Intersections of distant trade-routes	•	Port and port- infrastructures Storage buildings Warehouses
Rail freight terminals	Development of new transshipment points according to the industrial urbanisation	•	Main stations and their backyards, close to the urban core	•	Rail terminals and railyards, until recently in all major cities with railway access
Wholesale, freight forwarding	Suburbanisation of distribution functions out of the core city (first outward drift)	•	Urban peripheric locations, close to highway intersections	•	Transportation intensive land uses

# **Table 3.** The city: from market place to terminal(adapted from Hesse, 2008)

The urban distribution center archetype is an efficient solution for 3PL sharing the market of city deliveries. Most of the time, independently form one another, they do not have the quantities of products enabling them to organise their flows on the basis of optimised transport units (a full round every day for example). Besides, 3PL are faced with increasingly heavy constraints (congestion, road sharing, customers' requirements, etc.), having an influence on their decision to travel the last mile by their own means or not, with limitations imposed on vehicles occupying a lot of space at this level. Urban distribution centers are a credible alternative to such constraints; they allow 3PL to have an optimal area for unloading the goods to be sent to the area covered by the said urban distribution centers. For local authorities, property investments in urban distribution centers are a means of rationalising goods flows in their territories, of optimising vehicle loading and unloading and of organising store deliveries within less busy time brackets. To design city logistics is to take into account the urban space planned and laid out to facilitate product flows without forgetting return flows. As Delaitre et al. (2009) remind us so aptly, the issue of urban logistics refers to two inextricably linked dimensions: the location of the areas involved in logistical activities and such location facilitating goods transit.

Must we suppose that it is dramatic for stores in city centers, particularly those belonging to franchise networks, forced to share the same 3PL in the end, and therefore lose a source of competitive advantage based on logistical excellence? Of course, some franchise networks developed their own concepts of logistical performance, which is "sold" to franchisees as a major competitive advantage ensuring, for example, a longer product shelf life for final customers (this is the case for Monceau Fleurs). Franchisors offer their franchised partners a competitive advantage based on the current *doxa* stating that the maximisation of logistical service quality must be looked at through three major components:

- No late deliveries (products received within a time window);
- Delivery quality (efficient management of orders as per detailed specifications stated by the stores);
- Delivery conditions (products delivered without any damage or any packaging error).

However, we must admit that the logistical service is but one element among others in the service offer, and its significance has to be reassessed in the large retailers' business model. The other elements in the mix are just as essential (product assortment, innovation, selling price, brand image, etc.). It is not necessarily because products are delivered in time to stores that managers will systematically be accordingly satisfied. Logistical performance is in fact a minimum prerequisite, a sort of entrance barrier that firms have to bypass to hope for a good position on the market, without it being a sufficient condition. In such conditions, urban logistics planning imposed by local authorities on firms could represent a unique chance for them. If the management of logistical activities does not appear essential for building lasting customer fidelity to a store, resources and competences should then be dedicated to other, ultimately more useful and profitable factors in the service offer.

Through the determined action and pressure of local authorities, large retailers will avoid costly investments which, in any case, are not called for to hope for a more competitive position on the market. By taking over the pooling of logistical resources dedicated to order preparation and/or final deliveries, 3PL enable local authorities to guide firms in their strategic process of focalisation on other key elements of their service offer, while significantly increasing their chance of more successfully corresponding to the expectations of city center stores. There lies the interest of urban shared-use freight terminals that have multiplied over the past ten years in Europe (Regan & Golob, 2005; Marcucci & Danielis, 2008). But firms will have to accept to resort to middlemen, that they are convinced are not acting opportunistically, for example by taking advantage of information asymmetries.

In their research on the decision of logistics outsourcing, Hsiao et al. (2005) emphasise that the complexity of supply chain characteristics (the collective settings in which the logistical system operates) has a direct impact on the strategy to resort to 3PL. But the authors take care to point out that the outsourcing decision made by firms depends on transaction costs associated with the degree of uncertainty perceived in carrying out the transaction. There is nothing original here compared to Williamson's (1985) analyses, but such findings are capital in a context of city logistics. Through pooling, 3PL have expertise and a finely tuned knowledge of the operational costs that their customers will no longer incur: won't they take advantage of the

situation to over-invoice logistical services with impunity? And we should not forget that pooling will produce powerful economies of scale whose amount is uneasy to assess, not to mention a fair distribution between stakeholders. 3PL have all weapons to develop opportunistic behaviours which could hinder the pooling desired by local authorities.

# 4 **DISCUSSION**

3PL involved in supply networks in urban logistics are directly threatened by other logistical operators able to manage delivery flow capillarity and take advantage of their location close to cities: hauliers specialised in parcel delivery, urban passenger transport network operators, and also parking lot operators or other potential storage area operators, wholesalers specialised in catering, etc. Urban logistics involve many private or public players such as local authorities likely to enforce delivery planning in order to prevent urban congestion due to an anarchic multiplication of vehicles. The *City Logistik* experiments conducted in Germany are excellent examples of this new phenomenon. The movement has no other choice than to expand in the next few years in view of environmental care requirements in towns and cities that now must be "sustainable". It is important to determine whether 3PL, currently occupying a central position in the logistics industry in national and international flows, are well prepared to deploy sufficient and suitable resources in the management of supply networks in urban logistics.

To address this question, we examined a number of works and concrete examples underlining the issues of logistical pooling in a context of sustainable development. It was possible to compare convergences and divergences between strategies conducted in the food retailing industry and those in contractual networks (Chanut & Paché, 2011). In contractual networks, logistics is organised by franchisors to optimise deliveries to stores. Franchisors implement *vertical pooling* between their networks' members who are bound by solidarity in terms of image, marketing offer and also economic and financial performance. However, logistics in contractual networks also considers environmental issues which encourage *horizontal pooling* between distinct contractual networks that operate within the same urban area (Chanut et al., 2011). Consequently, they are bound by solidarity in terms of logistical optimisation. These preliminary investigations enable us to examine those logistical operators who developed a *first mover* advantage in urban logistics.

One of the most interesting examples is that of DHL in Germany who raised the question of how to bypass the obstacle of the last mile early on (Gouin, 2011). This 3PL soon understood that absent customers at the time of delivery, coupled with the operational complexity of scheduling round deliveries in increasingly saturated urban areas, were likely to be a major hindrance to the development of online sales. The last mile is the shortest part in the supply chain, but it is also the most expensive, up to 75% of the total logistical cost in some extreme cases, particularly when the time windows negotiated with customers are narrow. For DHL, the solution was to create

unattended delivery locations at particular sites, called PackStations (see Box 4). This approach perfectly illustrates how an innovating 3PL positioned itself at the interface between a number of e-tailers and local authorities, to select the most suitable sites in function of both groups' technical and business constraints. The expertise acquired by DHL in the management of supply chain operations clearly played in favour of this innovative solution which can also be found in part in the drive technique.

#### Box 4. The PackStation, between e-tailers and local authorities

About one in 15 cases of home delivery fails at the first attempt because the consignee is not at home. The DHL PackStation was designed to address this issue. It is operated by DHL, and partnerships are established with e-tailers such as QVC, Amazon, Tchibo, etc. The PackStation is an unattended delivery location at particular sites (companies, local authorities, universities, other strategic locations) for shipment in the B2C segment. The processes related to a delivery using the PackStation are the following:

- 1. Registration: the customer receives a PIN and a smart card for login to the PackStation.
- 2. Order a parcel: common practice is to order parcels from e-tailers.
- 3. Delivery of parcel and message to consignee: DHL delivers the parcel to the PackStation, and once the delivery is made, the consignee receives a message.
- 4. Go to PackStation: the consignee can pick up his parcel at his own convenience.
- 5. Login with PIN and smart card: the consignee has to login with his PIN and smart card to receive his parcel.
- 6. Collect the parcel.

Home delivery using locker boxes is an innovative approach for organising last mile processes efficiently. Compared to traditional doorstep deliveries (parcel or grocery), the concept considers alternative delivery locations, time windows for the delivery as well as alternative redelivery strategies, if the consignee is not at home. The PackStation offers the possibility of having access to the ordered parcel 7 days a week, 24 hours a day for a broad range of products (parcels, spare parts for service technicians, online payments with credit cards, return shipment). Local authorities have been involved in the first stage to deal with permits and the choosing of sites. It takes about six months between the site selection and the first use of a PackStation. Customers do not need to pay extra money for the service and PackStation is fully financed by DHL through the savings in logistics.

Source: adapted from http://www.osmose-os.org.

The works initiated by different academicians in the last few years are interesting in the sense that they explore both the firms' and the local authorities' point of view, and this simultaneously. Very often, the considered options wish to be generic, suited to any urban architecture, although the expected results depend *in fine* on the specific characteristics of each town, among which their historical heritage (we know for instance that the structure of towns in France is different from the structure of towns in Germany). Following the example of the PackStation, the multiplication of experiments in several continents is a sign of extensive developments combining public and private action reasoning. Such a mix has proved to be urgent as incidents that can disturb freight delivery in a city logistics environment come from malfunctions involving local authorities as much as firms: (1) from the clients served (delivery time changes, new customer requests, etc.); (2) from the road infrastructure and environment (road works, street markets, etc.); and (3) from the delivery vehicles (accidents, mechanical failures, etc.) (Zeimpekis, 2011).

Muñuzuri et al. (2005) listed possible initiatives for improving urban goods distribution. They show that local authorities can act both as *facilitators*, when they put logistical assets at the disposal of private firms, and as *coercive agents*, when they define space and time restrictions for access to urban areas. Couldn't they also become *coordinators* for the numerous players likely to interact in urban logistics operations? The interest of the approach lies in suggesting ways of thinking adopting the firms' point of view of course, but also combining it to the local authorities' point of view, and in highlighting the complex relation between freight transport and goods distribution in urban areas. With this in mind, it will be important to know whether strategies developed in the past by 3PL will not slow down new developments in the next few years, and how to avoid such slowing down with an adequate redeployment of their logistical resources. This probably also requires that local authorities' knowledge of and awareness in the field of urban freight transport improve in comparison with today (Lindholm, 2010).

If we accept the idea that the best way of fighting traffic congestion for city logistics is to redistribute urban freight traffic across all times of day, in order to optimise the use of road capacity (Hensher & Puckett, 2004), we must question the scope left to 3PL to use wide delivery hours. For example, the home deliveries following online orders on the Web could be restricted by e-consumers who demand their products to be delivered after 6 p.m., at the end of their day of work. The main 3PL know-how, acquired with truckload deliveries to stores in suburban areas, would be completely unsuitable, whatever their ability to manage round deliveries in urban areas, unless 3PL are able to make use of technological innovations, such as reception boxes in e-consumers' homes, where products could be left. This idea was quite popular in the 2000s in e-grocery logistics, but without outstanding results (Kämäräinen et al., 2001). Another solution for 3PL would be to simply manage collection and delivery points where e-consumers would recover the ordered products; this scenario has already be chosen by different 3PL (Durand et al., 2010).

But the issue of the pooled supply of contractual networks and of convenience stores controlled by large retailers is different: in this specific context, the smoothing of deliveries by 3PL during the day could find a relative legitimacy. The successful experiment of the Cityporto in Padua, Italy, confirms the feasibility of the option in a green city (Morana & Gonzalez-Féliu, 2011). But as Hensher & Puckett (2004) note, this means identifying the different types of players in the supply chains (shippers, government policy makers, freight forwarders, residents, etc.), as well as the elements that each stakeholder considers as essential in his decision making process, for example in terms of flow organisation, stock management, JIT strategy implementation, etc. It is far from certain that each and everyone's objectives meet in terms of level of service offered to customers. Imitating DHL and its PackStation, 3PL could play the part of arbitrators capable of proposing "overall solutions" for all supply chain members. This echoes Tixier et al.'s (1983) early intuitions and confirms their convincing and lasting qualities, almost thirty years later.

City logistics leads to positioning flow inducers and flow controllers face to face (see Figure 1), when their objectives are not identical. At present, the major flow inducers, in terms of business activities, are contractual networks, e-commerce operators and more recently, large retailers developing a network of convenience stores. They obviously try to use a potential logistical competence as a competitive lever to develop their market shares, even if this results in a multiplication of individual initiatives in conflict with a sustainable view of last mile management. Flow controllers are the local authorities, who have an overall approach of environmental constraints and are able to impose policies on urban logistics collective patterns. But if local authorities gradually acquire expertise in the *design* of pooled systems, it is not certain that they have operation tools at their disposal. On the other hand, with time, 3PL have acquired tools and routines in manufacturers' and large retailers' flow monitoring, that they could reproduce in a context of private-public partnership. In this intermediation role, 3PL will have to make numerous mutual adjustments, on two complementary levels: the structuration of their service offer; the formalisation of outsourcing contracts, in the definition of the scope of their responsibilities and the sharing of costs and gains.





**5 CONCLUDING REMARKS** 

Recently, following the report coordinated by Brundtland (1987), the subject of sustainable development has enjoyed keen interest in Western countries and specifically in Europe. In France, Reynaud's (2010) reference works show that firms include sustainable development in their major action variables and in their corporate strategy, even if the *green washing* syndrome is not completely absent from the phenomenon. Consultations made on how to improve city logistics operation clearly belong to the mainstream of sustainable development, even if current pooling policies are also based on economic considerations on profitability (maximisation of vehicle loading rate, economies of scale in warehouses, etc.). The time has come to reflect on supply networks in urban logistics in terms of concerted action, including by resorting to coercion, in contrast to the past freedom that firms possessed in organising storage

and delivery rounds to stores or consumers' homes. Such freedom is no longer acceptable for environmental and economic reasons and local authorities are pressing and will go on doing so with all their might to impose pooled solutions, like shared-use freight terminals.

However, it would be unwise to consider that current developments in matters of urban logistics concern but a small number of supply chains, and are only a question of technical issues connected with forced last mile optimisation. It is true that many works on city logistics limit themselves to this narrow view, although it is necessary for decision making (Taniguchi & Thompson, 2008). In contrast, our paper stated that the performance of supply networks in urban logistics raises the issue of whether the supply chain members have or do not have the resources and competences to succeed. A strategic and organisational approach is therefore essential, as it was essential twenty years ago, to understand and explain the expansion of 3PL in the market of the modern retailing industry (Fulconis et al., 2011). More widely, current mutations in city logistics interest academicians working on alliances, co-operations and networks. Pooling policies, imposed by local authorities, will lead competing firms to work together, and that choice will not result from a deliberate strategy from their part. Will they accept this external constraint without displaying heavy inertia, thus threatening the success of experiments under way? The discussion is open and largely conditions the future of new city logistics patterns and more widely the long journey toward a "greener world".

#### References

Boudouin, D. (2006), Les espaces logistiques urbains, La Documentation Française, Paris.

- Braudel, F. (1979), *Civilisation matérielle, économie et capitalisme: XV<sup>e</sup>–XVIII<sup>e</sup> siècle,* Armand Colin, Paris.
- Bremmers, H., Haverkamp, D.-J., & Omta, O. (2005), The influence of the chain and network information and communication structure on sustainable business development, *Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> EMNet Conference*, Budapest, pp. 1-18 (CD-rom).
- Browne, M., Allen, J., & Leonardi, J. (2011), Evaluating the use of an urban consolidation centre and electric vehicles in central London, *IATSS Research*, Vol. 35, No. 1, pp. 1-6.
- Browne, M., Allen, J., Anderson, S., & Jackson, M. (2001), @ Your home: new markets for customer service and delivery, UK Retail Logistics Task Force, London.

Brundtland, G.-O. (1987), Our common future, Oxford University Press, New York (NY).

- Chanut, O., & Paché, G. (2011), Stratégies logistiques émergentes: de la grande distribution alimentaire aux réseaux contractuels, *Marché et Organisations*, No. 14, forthcoming.
- Chanut, O., Capo, C., & Bonet Fernandez, D. (2011), De la mutualisation verticale à la mutualisation horizontale: les enjeux et les critères de choix logistiques des réseaux sélectifs contractuels, *Revue Française de Gestion Industrielle*, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp. XX-XX.
- Christopher, M. (2011), *Logistics and supply chain management*, FT Prentice Hall, Harlow, 4<sup>th</sup> ed.
- Delaitre, L., Molet, H., Awasthi, A., & Breuil, D. (2009), Characterising urban freight solutions for medium sized cities, *International Journal of Services Sciences*, Vol. 2, No. 3-4, pp. 281-302.

- Durand, B., Gonzalez-Féliu, J., & Henriot, F. (2010), La logistique urbaine, facteur clé de développement du BtoC, *Logistique & Management*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 7-19.
- Fulconis, F., Paché, G., & Roveillo, G. (2011), *La prestation logistique: origines, enjeux et perspectives*, Editions Management & Société, Caen.
- Gouin, C. (2011), L'offre de service de DHL en Allemagne permet-elle de contourner l'obstacle du dernier kilomètre?, Grin Verlag, Munich.
- Hensher, D., & Puckett, S. (2004), Freight distribution in urban areas: the role of supply chain alliances in addressing the challenge of traffic congestion for city logistics, Institute of Transport Studies, Working Paper No. 04-15, Sydney.
- Hesse, M. (2008), *The city as a terminal: the urban context of logistics and freight transport*, Ashgate Publishing, Aldershot.
- Hsiao, H.-I., van der Vorst, J., & Omta, O. (2005), A decision framework for levels of logistics outsourcing in food supply chain networks, *Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> EMNet Conference*, Budapest, pp. 1-18 (CD-rom).
- Kämäräinen, V., Saranen, J., & Holmström, J. (2001), The reception box impact on home delivery efficiency in the e-grocery business, *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 31, No. 6, pp. 414-426.
- Lambert, D., ed. (2008), *Supply chain management: processes, partnerships, performance,* Supply Chain Management Institute, Sarasota (FL), 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.
- Lindholm, M. (2010), A sustainable perspective on urban freight transport: factors affecting local authorities in the planning procedures, *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 6205-6216.
- Mangan, J. (2011), *Global logistics and supply chain management*, John Wiley & Sons, New York (NY), 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.
- Marcucci, E., & Danielis, R. (2008), The potential demand for a urban freight consolidation center, *Transportation*, Vol. 35, No. 2, pp. 269-284.
- McKinnon, A., Cullinane, S., Browne, M., & Whiteing, A., eds. (2010), *Green logistics: improving the environmental sustainability of logistics*, Kogan Page, London.
- Morana, J., & Gonzalez-Féliu, J. (2011), Le transport vert de marchandises: l'expérience de la ville de Padoue en Italie, *Gestion*, Vol. 36, No. 2, pp. 18-26.
- Muñuzuri, J., Larrañeta, J., Onieva, L., & Cortés, P. (2005), Solutions applicable by local administrations for urban logistics improvement, *Cities*, Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 15-28.
- Paglione, G., Batley, R., Ibánez, J., & Whiteing, A. (2007), Co-operation to reduce the environmental impact of urban logistics: developing a random utility model of inter-agency choice between different city logistics strategies, *Proceedings of the 12<sup>th</sup> Logistics Research Network Conference*, Kingston Upon Hull, pp. 370-375.
- Quak, H., & de Koster, M. (2009), Delivering goods in urban areas: how to deal with urban policy restrictions and the environment, *Transportation Science*, Vol. 43, No. 2, pp. 211-227.
- Regan, A., & Golob, T. (2005), Trucking industry demand for urban shared use freight terminals, *Transportation*, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 23-36.
- Reynaud, E., ed. (2010), *Stratégies d'entreprises en développement durable*, L'Harmattan, Paris.
- Roques, T., & Michrafy, M. (2003), Logistics service providers in France–2002 survey: actors' perceptions and changes in practice, *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal*, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 34-52.
- Sanchez, R. (2007), *Modularity, strategic flexibility, and knowledge management,* Oxford University Press, New York (NY).

- Taniguchi, E., & Thompson, R., eds. (2008), *Innovations in city logistics*, Nova Science Publishers, New York (NY).
- Tixier, D., Mathe, H., & Colin, J. (1983), La logistique au service de l'entreprise: moyens, mécanismes et enjeux, Dunod, Paris.
- Trentin, A. (2011), Third-party logistics providers offering form postponement services: value propositions and organisational approaches, *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 49, No. 6, pp. 1685-1712.
- Williamson, O. (1985), *The economic institutions of capitalism: firms, markets, relational contracting*, The Free Press, New York (NY).
- Yami, S., & Le Roy, F., eds. (2010), *Stratégies de coopétition: rivaliser et coopérer simultanément*, De Boeck, Bruxelles.
- Zeimpekis, V. (2011), Goods distribution management in city logistics environment: a systemic approach, *Advances in Management & Applied Economics*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 151-170