



**HAL**  
open science

## Assessing submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) and nitrate fluxes in highly heterogeneous coastal karst aquifers: Challenges and solutions

Daniel Montiel, Natasha Dimova, Bartolomeo Andreo, Jorge Prieto, Jordi Garcia-Orellanac, Valenti Rodellas

### ► To cite this version:

Daniel Montiel, Natasha Dimova, Bartolomeo Andreo, Jorge Prieto, Jordi Garcia-Orellanac, et al.. Assessing submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) and nitrate fluxes in highly heterogeneous coastal karst aquifers: Challenges and solutions. *Journal of Hydrology*, 2018, 557, pp.222-242. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.12.036 . hal-01765568

**HAL Id: hal-01765568**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01765568v1>**

Submitted on 22 Jun 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Assessing submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) and nitrate fluxes in**  
2 **highly heterogeneous coastal karst aquifers: challenges and solutions**

3

4 Daniel Montiel<sup>1\*</sup>, Natasha Dimova<sup>1</sup>, Bartolomé Andreo<sup>2</sup>, Jorge Prieto<sup>2</sup>, Jordi García-  
5 Orellana<sup>3,4</sup>, Valentí Rodellas<sup>4,5</sup>

6

7

8 <sup>1</sup>Department of Geological Sciences, University of Alabama, 35487 Tuscaloosa, USA

9 <sup>2</sup>Center of Hydrogeology of the University of Malaga (CEHIUMA), 29590 Malaga, Spain

10 <sup>3</sup>Institut de Ciència i Tecnologia Ambientals (ICTA) Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona,  
11 08193 Bellaterra, Catalunya, Spain

12 <sup>4</sup>Departament de Física, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Catalunya,  
13 Spain.

14 <sup>5</sup>CEREGE, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, Coll France, 13545 Aix-en-Provence,  
15 France

16

17

18 Corresponding author: Daniel Montiel

19 Email address: [dmontielmartin@crimson.ua.edu](mailto:dmontielmartin@crimson.ua.edu)

20 Tel.: 001 205 861 4772

21 Postal address: Department of Geological Sciences, University of Alabama, 35487

22 Tuscaloosa, USA

23

24 Email address of coauthors:

25 Natasha Dimova: ntdimova@ua.edu

26 Bartolomé Andreo: andreo@uma.es

27 Jorge Prieto: prieto@uma.es

28 Jordi García-Orellana: jordi.garcia@uab.cat

29 Valentí Rodellas: rodellas@cerege.fr

30

31 **Abstract**

32 Groundwater discharge in coastal karst aquifers worldwide represents a substantial  
33 part of the water budget and is a main pathway for nutrient transport to the sea.  
34 Groundwater discharge to the sea manifests under different forms, making its assessment  
35 very challenging particularly in highly heterogeneous coastal systems karst systems. In this  
36 study, we present a methodology approach to identify and quantify four forms of  
37 groundwater discharge in a mixed lithology system in southern Spain (Maro-Cerro Gordo)  
38 that includes an ecologically protected coastal area comprised of karstic marble. We found  
39 that groundwater discharge to the sea occurs via: (1) groundwater-fed creeks, (2) coastal  
40 springs, (3) diffuse groundwater seepage through seabed sediments, and (4) submarine  
41 springs. We used a multi-method approach combining tracer techniques (salinity,  $^{224}\text{Ra}$ ,  
42 and  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ ) and direct measurements (seepage meters and flowmeters) to evaluate the  
43 discharge. Groundwater discharge via submarine springs was the most difficult to assess  
44 due to their depth (up to 15 m) and extensive development of the springs conduits. We

45 determined that the total groundwater discharge over the 16 km of shoreline of the study  
46 area was at least  $11 \pm 3 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  for the four types of discharge assessed. Groundwater-  
47 derived nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) fluxes to coastal waters over ~3km (or 20%) in a highly populated  
48 and farmed section of Maro-Cerro Gordo was  $641 \pm 166 \text{ mol d}^{-1}$ , or ~75% of the total  $\text{NO}_3^-$   
49 loading in the study area. We demonstrate in this study that a multi-method approach must  
50 be applied to assess all forms of SGD and derived nutrient fluxes to the sea in highly  
51 heterogeneous karst aquifer systems.

52

53 **Keywords:** Coastal karst aquifers, submarine groundwater discharge, nitrate fluxes, multi-  
54 method approach.

55

## 56 **1 Introduction**

57 Coastal karst aquifers are 46% of the Mediterranean coastline, and play a key role in  
58 regional socioeconomic, providing residents with essential water resources (Fleury et al., 2007;  
59 Bakalowicz, 2015; Arfib and Charlier, 2016; Trezzi et al., 2017). Groundwater is often the only  
60 available source as Mediterranean precipitation is scarce and sporadic, and often limited runoff  
61 due to efficient infiltration and percolation through the karst aquifers (McCormack et al., 2014).

62 Typically, karstified carbonate aquifers are comprised of a complex set of fractures,  
63 conduits, and cavities generating high spatial and temporal heterogeneity in groundwater flow  
64 (Worthington, 1999; Bakalowicz et al., 2005; Barberá and Andreo, 2015). This in turn results in  
65 challenging water resources management and attempts to develop water budgets and numerical  
66 models describing karst systems often fail or result in estimates with large uncertainties  
67 (Butscher and Huggenberger, 2007; Martínez -Santos and Andreu, 2010; Rapaglia et al., 2015).

68 When a karst system is hydraulically connected to the sea, a significant part of  
69 groundwater can flow directly to the sea in different forms (Stringfield and Legrand, 1971;  
70 Pinault et al., 2004; Custodio, 2010). Groundwater discharge to the sea can occur via submarine  
71 springs (Fleury et al., 2007; Bakalowicz et al., 2008; Dimova et al., 2011) or subaerial coastal  
72 springs near the shoreline (Aunay et al., 2003; Mejías et al., 2008; García-Solsona et al., 2010)  
73 depending on the geologic structure (Bonacci and Roje-Bonacci, 1997; Benac et al., 2003;  
74 Stamatis et al., 2011). Conduits and fractures buried under seabed sediments near the shoreline  
75 can also produce diffuse groundwater seepage (e.g. Tovar-Sánchez et al., 2014; Rodellas et al.,  
76 2012). Conversely, impervious strata can create enough hydraulic pressure for inland springs  
77 whose runoff flows to the sea as groundwater-fed creeks without experiencing infiltration (e.g.  
78 Yobbi, 1992; Katz et al., 2009). In this work, we will use the widely accepted term submarine

79 groundwater discharge (SGD) for the submerged forms of groundwater discharge to the sea as  
80 defined by Burnett et al. (2003) and Moore (2010).

81 Groundwater discharge of unconsolidated sedimentary coastal aquifers has been  
82 considered an insignificant component in water and nutrient budgets, mostly due to its relatively  
83 small (<10%) volumetric contribution compared to surface water (Burnett and Dulaiova, 2003;  
84 Moore 2010). However, in karst systems with limited runoff, SGD is a major component of the  
85 coastal aquifer water and nutrient budget. Where excess of nutrients are delivered in coastal  
86 systems, these produce ecological perturbations such as harmful algae blooms (e.g. Hallegraeff,  
87 1993; Smith and Swarzenski, 2012), and seagrass habitat modification (e.g. Valiela et al., 1992)  
88 to mention a few.

89 Coastal karst SGD can have very different composition due to the wide range of  
90 groundwater residence time and complex pathways of the discharging waters (Weinstein et al.,  
91 2011; Tovar-Sánchez et al., 2014; Trezzi et al., 2016). It is therefore necessary to apply a multi-  
92 method approach to adequately identify and assess all forms of groundwater discharge when they  
93 coexist.

94 A number of techniques have been developed to identify and estimate groundwater  
95 discharge to coastal areas under different climatic conditions and geologic settings. For instance,  
96 naturally occurring radon and radium isotopes are effective groundwater tracers of SGD (e.g.  
97 Cable et al., 1996; García-Solsona et al., 2010; Rodellas et al., 2015; Dimova et al., 2015) as they  
98 are chemically conservative and are typically a few orders of magnitude higher concentrations in  
99 groundwater than surface waters, allowing for easy detection in receiving coastal waters (Burnett  
100 et al., 2003). Furthermore, mass balance determination of radium and radon excess in near-shore  
101 surface quantifies the magnitude of groundwater fluxes (Cable et al., 1996; Wong et al., 2013;

102 Tovar-Sánchez et al., 2014). Specifically,  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 3.8$  d) and  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  ( $t_{1/2} = 3.6$  d) which have  
103 relatively short half-lives, and in the time-scale range of typical coastal mixing processes can be  
104 used in combination to assess SGD (Moore, 1996; Cable et al., 1996; Burnett and Dulaiova,  
105 2003).

106 Alternatively, in areas of faster groundwater flow regimes (e.g. karst and volcanic  
107 systems), salinity (e.g. Knee et al., 2010; Stieglitz et al., 2010; García -Solsona et al., 2010;  
108 Dimova et al., 2011) and thermal anomalies along the shoreline at the points of discharge are  
109 proven to be good indicators of SGD (e.g. Pluhowski, 1972; Johnson et al., 2008; Peterson et al.,  
110 2009; Mejías et al., 2012; Tamborski et al., 2015). In areas where permeable sediments are  
111 present, direct measurements of SGD using seepage meters are used in parallel with radiotracer  
112 techniques (Lee, 1977; Burnett et al., 2006; Sadat-Noori et al., 2015).

113 The mixed lithology Maro-Cerro Gordo coastal area is an example where identifying and  
114 quantifying all components of groundwater discharge are critical to building a comprehensive  
115 water budget that addresses adequately the existing economic and ecological demands of the  
116 adjacent coastal communities and ecosystems. Land use includes intensive agriculture with 1.3  
117 km<sup>2</sup> of greenhouses and additional surface tropical crops, in combination with accelerating  
118 tourism in the area during the past decades. These land uses are increasingly satisfied by  
119 groundwater extractions, in this case from the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer (Andreo and  
120 Carrasco, 1993), a highly fissured and karstified marble formation within the study site.

121 In order to assess the severity and impact of the increasing groundwater abstractions,  
122 water managers require a comprehensive water budget for the area. The current water budget  
123 based on a mass balance approach indicates total fresh water of  $50 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$  for the Sierra  
124 Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer (Castillo et al., 2001). This budget was suggested to be comprised

125 of: (1) inland springs and intermittent creeks ( $32 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ ), (2) extraction for irrigation and  
126 consumption purposes ( $12 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ ), (3) water transfer to the Neogene-Quaternary coastal  
127 formations, and the remaining part to (4) groundwater discharge to the sea ( $6 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ ). A  
128 more recent water mass balance budget using the APLIS (A = altitude, P = slope, L = lithology, I  
129 = infiltration landforms, S = soil type) method (Andreo et al., 2008) included an infiltration  
130 coefficient of 40 - 45% and confirmed that the total budget of the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas  
131 Aquifer is  $50 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$  for 2003 – 2005, which were notably dry years (Pérez-Ramos and  
132 Andreo, 2007). This assessment based on 2003-2005 data found a slightly higher natural  
133 drainage through springs ( $38 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ ) and extraction ( $15 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ ) compared to the  
134 estimate by Castillo et al. (2001), suggesting that groundwater discharge is negligible. However,  
135 more recently SGD was found to be significant at  $1 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$  in Maro-Cerro Gordo using a  
136 combination of GIS-based approach, hydrometeorological methods, and preliminary  $^{224}\text{Ra}$   
137 evaluations (Andreo et al., 2017).

138 In this study, we performed a comprehensive assessment of the total groundwater  
139 discharge to the sea in the Maro-Cerro Gordo area through the application of a set of methods  
140 selective to each form of discharge. We used a combination of radiotracers ( $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and  $^{224}\text{Ra}$ ) and  
141 salinity mass balances, seepage meter measurements, and flowmeter measurements to: (1)  
142 identify point discharges to the sea; and (2) quantify the total groundwater discharge from the  
143 Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer to adjacent coastal waters. We further (3) estimated  
144 groundwater-derived nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) fluxes to the coastal waters of Maro-Cerro Gordo and  
145 compared these  $\text{NO}_3^-$  fluxes in an ecologically protected area (with low anthropogenic activities)  
146 to unprotected zones (with intense agriculture and overpopulation). The sampling campaigns  
147 were conducted during base flow conditions to provide a conservative estimate of the total



148 groundwater discharge to the sea and nitrate fluxes of the Sierra Almijara-Alberquillas Aquifer  
149 and Maro-Cerro Gordo coastal area. Finally, (4) we compared the applicability of each method to  
150 assess the forms of groundwater discharge and made recommendations for applying this  
151 approach to other karst aquifer systems worldwide.

152

## 153 **2 Study site and hydrogeological settings**

154 The study site, Maro-Cerro Gordo, is located along the coastal fringe between Nerja and  
155 La Herradura in the easternmost section of the Malaga Province and part of the western Granada  
156 Province (Southern Spain) along 16 km of shoreline (Fig. 1). Approximately 80% of the study  
157 area is within the environmentally protected Maro-Cerro Gordo Natural Area, which comprises  
158 3.58 km<sup>2</sup> and 14.31 km<sup>2</sup> of terrestrial and marine surface respectively. The area is characterized  
159 by a typical Mediterranean climate with average annual precipitation of 500 mm y<sup>-1</sup> occurring  
160 almost entirely during fall and winter (Andreo and Carrasco, 1993). We have divided the area in  
161 three sections based on their predominant lithology and associated forms of discharge. From east  
162 to west these are: (1) karst section, which includes the Cerro Gordo cape from Cañuelo Beach to  
163 La Herradura; (2) schist section, confined between El Cañuelo Beach and Maro Beach; and (3)  
164 conglomerate section, which comprises the area between Maro Beach and Nerja (city) (Fig. 1).

165

166 **Figure 1: Study site location and geological map showing water table contour lines (from**  
167 **Pérez-Ramos and Andreo, 2007), groundwater flow direction, important wells and piezometers,**  
168 **sampling points, and terrestrial springs. Groundwater discharge to the sea (TGD) is represented in**  
169 **purple and is based on this study. Coastal springs are represented as CS, groundwater-fed creeks as**  
170 **GC, diffuse groundwater seepage as GS, and submarine springs as SS. The study area is divided in**

171 **three sections: conglomerate section, schist section, and karst section. The distribution of seagrass**  
172 **beds are based on Bañares-España et al. (2002), and Aranda and Otero (2014).**

173

## 174 **2.1 Alberquillas Aquifer Unit**

175 The main aquifer formation in the study area is the Alberquillas Aquifer Unit, a  
176 telogenetic karst formation that underlines the southeast sector of the Sierra Almirajara Aquifer  
177 unit and is comprised of highly fissured and karstified Triassic marble (Andreo and Carrasco,  
178 1993). The lithology varies from dolomitic to calcitic marble over the 600 m maximum  
179 thickness from basement to the surface (Andreo et al., 1993). In general, the primary porosity of  
180 this unit is negligible and the degree of karstification is locally higher in the lower portion, with  
181 the exception of Nerja Cave, which is a  $3 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$  cavity located 158 m above sea level and 930  
182 m from the shoreline (Durán, 1996; Andreo et al., 1993; Jordá et al., 2011).

183 The Alberquillas Aquifer Unit exhibits an elongated shape towards the southeast and a  
184 total surface of  $60 \text{ km}^2$ , and is directly in contact with the Mediterranean Sea only along the karst  
185 section (Fig. 1). The two aquifer units (Alberquillas and Almirajara Aquifer units) are  
186 hydraulically connected, constituting the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer ( $142 \text{ km}^2$ ), which  
187 recharges from local precipitation (Carrasco et al., 1998). Conceptual hydrogeological models  
188 developed for the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer suggest that groundwater generally flows  
189 from north to south, and SGD occurs mainly in eastern Cerro Gordo (Andreo and Carrasco 1993;  
190 Carrasco et al., 1998; Pérez-Ramos and Andreo, 2007).

191 The first attempt to locate SGD in Maro-Cerro Gordo was performed by Espejo et al.  
192 (1988) via airborne infrared thermal (IRT) remote sensing. Two thermal anomalies were detected  
193 during this survey, one in the Maro area (conglomerate section), and one in the vicinity of Cerro

194 Gordo and Cantarrijan (karst section); these were also confirmed by lower surface seawater  
195 salinity (Espejo et al., 1988).

196 A cluster of three submarine caves with active springs (Cantarrijan Caves) were  
197 identified at water depths of about 7 m near the Cantarrijan Beach (Fig. 1) during SCUBA diving  
198 explorations in the karst section during this study. The vents of these springs are located at the  
199 same depth and only 2 – 3 m away from each other. Thus, in our assessments the flux of these  
200 three springs was treated as a single discharge point. We identified the vents of two additional  
201 submarine springs at water depths of 12 m (Palomas Cave) and 15 m (Sifon Cave) in anchialine  
202 caves with up to 10 m of horizontal development (Fig. 1). These caves, together with the three  
203 small Cantarrijan Cave springs, represent the deep SGD of Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer  
204 in the karst section. From SCUBA diving observations, we found that all three discharge points  
205 are related to highly-developed karstic conduits at depth. We found during this study that they  
206 were active even during droughts (Fig. 2), showing base flow conditions of Sierra Almirajara-  
207 Alberquillas Aquifer water budget drainage.

## 208 **2.2 Schist formation**

209 Alberquillas Aquifer Unit is overlaying a concordant Paleozoic schist formation along  
210 most of its extension and is tectonically in contact through a set of faults (Andreo et al., 1993).  
211 Metamorphosed during the Alpine Orogeny it presents a maximum thickness of 500 m and very  
212 low hydraulic conductivity (Andreo et al., 1993). Outcrops of the schist formation can be found  
213 almost along the entire schist section (Fig. 1). The schist formation serves as a hydrogeological  
214 barrier for SGD in this area (Andreo and Carrasco, 1993), and groundwater discharge to the sea  
215 in this section manifests as four small-size creeks and one coastal spring. The four creeks  
216 include: Tierras Nuevas Creek which emanates from a soil layer on the schist formation; the

217 Colmenarejos Creek which was dry over the sampling campaigns; Miel Creek which is of  
218 particular interest as it is solely fed by permanently discharging springs located along the Sierra  
219 Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer in the sea (Andreo and Carrasco, 1993). The Maro Stream was  
220 observed to be produced by irrigation excess from abundant agriculture located nearby.

221 Lastly, the Alberquillas coastal spring, located 20 m from the shore at the Alberquillas  
222 Beach at the contact between the highly permeable Alberquillas Aquifer Unit karstic marble and  
223 impermeable schist, was found to have a perennial flow regime.

### 224 **2.3 Conglomerate, breccia, and travertine formations**

225 Pliocene conglomerate and breccia deposits with a maximum thickness of 60 m, form ~  
226 90% of the conglomerate section of the study area, representing the second most important  
227 permeable formation in the study site (Fourniguet, 1975; Andreo et al., 1993; Guerra-Merchán  
228 and Serrano, 1993). This formation is comprised of marble fragments cemented by a red matrix,  
229 showing signs of dissolution in the upper portion due to its calcite composition. Its hydraulic  
230 conductivity permits limited groundwater flow mainly due to primary porosity and the slight  
231 presence of fractures (Andreo and Carrasco, 1993). In the coastline comprised of this formation,  
232 groundwater discharge can be identified visually as two coastal springs, the Doncellas and  
233 Barranco Maro Spring and the groundwater-fed creek (Caleta Creek) which originates from a  
234 spring located near the town of Maro (Fig. 1). On the easternmost sector of the conglomerate  
235 section, south from the town of Maro, a 30 m thick highly porous and permeable quaternary  
236 travertine is present in direct contact with the sea at Maro Cliff to the south (Jordá, 1988). The  
237 two small coastal springs of Huerto Romero and Maro Beach are present in this formation along  
238 with the intermittent groundwater-fed creek of Maro Creek. We have observed that excess water  
239 from the Maro Spring located approximately 1 km to the north of Maro Cliff, flows as Maro

240 Creek and discharges to the sea from the travertine cliff as a waterfall that is intermittently active  
241 depending on irrigation times. The Maro Sprig is well-known and it was included in the Sierra  
242 Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer water budget, and it is utilized for drinking and irrigation  
243 purposes (Liñán et al., 2000). The Sanguino Creek remained dry throughout this study.

244  
245 **Figure 2: Average monthly precipitation and sea level change during 2010, 2015, and 2016. Error**  
246 **bars show the monthly rainfall variability during this study. In December of 2015 slight**  
247 **precipitation occurred only during the first week, however, abundant rainfall took place during**  
248 **September-November. Sea level showed lower values during January-May and higher during**  
249 **August-December. Sampling campaigns were conducted during May-July (dry periods) and**  
250 **December (wet periods).**

251

### 252 **3 Methods**

253 Water fluxes to the sea from karstic submarine springs were quantified using (1)  $^{224}\text{Ra}$   
254 and (2) salinity mass balances, groundwater seepage was quantified using both (3) a  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  box  
255 model mass balance and (4) seepage meter deployments in the locations where radon anomalies  
256 were observed. Subaerial groundwater discharge from coastal springs and groundwater-fed  
257 creeks was measured directly using a flowmeter (5).

#### 258 **3.1 Tracer techniques**

##### 259 **3.1.1 $^{224}\text{Ra}$ distribution and submarine springs discharge assessment**

260 A total of 38 discrete  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  samples of 60 L each were collected in September of 2006  
261 and 2010 to survey the schist and karst sections (Fig. 1). All seawater samples were collected at a  
262 depth of 0.3 m, with the exception of SW- 7 and SW-21 that were collected at the submarine  
263 springs at 8 m and 15 m respectively. Seawater (n = 30) and groundwater (n = 8) samples were

264 obtained using a submersible pump, and were later passed through a PVC column filled with  
 265 about 25 g of MnO<sub>2</sub>-coated-fibers (Mn-fibers) at a flow rate of approximately 1 L min<sup>-1</sup> to allow  
 266 for a quantitative absorption of <sup>224</sup>Ra (Moore, 1976; 2008). Mn-fibers were then transferred to  
 267 the lab, rinsed with Ra-free water, and partially dried (Sun and Torgersen, 1998). Activities  
 268 of <sup>224</sup>Ra were measured using a Radium Delayed Coincidence Counter (RaDeCC) system  
 269 (Moore and Arnold, 1996; García-Solsona et al., 2008). The Mn-fibers were counted twice, with  
 270 the first time immediately after collection to assess the total <sup>224</sup>Ra in the water, and a second time  
 271 after a month to evaluate the supported <sup>224</sup>Ra in equilibrium with <sup>228</sup>Th. The excess of <sup>224</sup>Ra was  
 272 used to construct a <sup>224</sup>Ra mass balance following Charette et al. (2001), which assumes that  
 273 the <sup>224</sup>Ra excess in coastal waters is the result of groundwater inputs (Eq. 1). This approach was  
 274 applied to assess water fluxes ( $F_{SGD}$ , m<sup>3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) originated from the three submarine springs present  
 275 in the karst section of the study site (Fig 1):

$$276 \quad F_{SGD} = \frac{(Ra_{sw} - Ra_{ow}) \times V}{t} + Ra_{sw} \times V \times \lambda \quad (1)$$

277 where  $Ra_{sw}$  is the <sup>224</sup>Ra activity in coastal waters, i.e. the surface water end-member (dpm m<sup>-3</sup>);  
 278  $Ra_{ow}$  is the offshore <sup>224</sup>Ra activity in open waters (dpm m<sup>-3</sup>);  $Ra_{SGD}$  is the activity of the  
 279 groundwater end-member (dpm m<sup>-3</sup>);  $t$  is the coastal water residence time (d);  $V$  is the volume  
 280 (m<sup>3</sup>) of coastal water affected by each submarine spring (SGD plume; and  $\lambda$  is the <sup>224</sup>Ra decay  
 281 constant (0.1894 d<sup>-1</sup>).

282 Because this area is greatly exposed to prevailing west winds, large waves, and intensive  
 283 mixing, we assumed that the residence time ( $t$ ) of the water in this high energy coastline must be  
 284 no more than one day. Thus, we used the one-day value in our mass balance model. The volume  
 285 affected by SGD ( $V$ ) was constrained using the surface area of the salinity anomaly created by  
 286 the spring plume and corresponding water depths, obtained from the Andalusia Council of

287 Environment bathymetry database: <http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/medioambiente/site/rediam>.  
288 To obtain the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  activity ( $Ra_{sw}$ ) surface water end-member representative of the whole water  
289 column, average values of water samples collected from the surface and at the depth of the  
290 spring discharge were used.

### 291 **3.1.2 Salinity anomalies and submarine spring discharge evaluation**

292 To identify and quantify submarine springs discharge following the 1-meter isobath  
293 parallel to the shoreline, three salinity boat surveys were performed in May, July, and December  
294 of 2015 along the entire stretch of the studied coastline. Electrical conductivity (EC) and  
295 temperature ( $T$ , °C) were measured continuously at a constant depth of 0.3 m with accuracies of  
296  $\pm 20 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  and  $\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$  by towing a conductivity-temperature-depth sensor (CTD, Solinst®)  
297 from boat at a speed of about  $2 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ . Seawater salinity values were obtained from EC using the  
298 conversion method 2520B (Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater,  
299 APHA, 1999). Data were recorded in 2 min intervals concurrently with precise GPS boat  
300 positioning recorded in 30-sec intervals (Garmin Etrex® 20x) with an accuracy of  $\pm 3 \text{ m}$ .  
301 Electrical conductivity and temperature of groundwater samples were measured using a Pro2030  
302 (YSI Inc.) handheld instrument with accuracies of  $\pm 1 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ , and  $\pm 0.3^\circ\text{C}$ . Before sampling,  
303 the CTD sensor and handheld instrument (YSI) were calibrated using two conductivity solutions  
304 (Oakton®):  $1413 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  and  $12,880 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ , measured at  $25^\circ\text{C}$ . Temperature correction for EC  
305 was automatically performed using linear compensations of  $2\% \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$  and  $1.91\% \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$  for the CTD  
306 sensor and the handheld instrument respectively.

307 To compare data points from all surveys and identify consistent spatial salinity variations  
308 (i.e. permanent groundwater fluxes) independent of seasonal fluctuations, salinity values from  
309 each survey were normalized based on their total average. Salinity anomalies were defined as

310 positive when salinity is higher than average and negative when it is lower than the average  
311 value.

312 A salinity mass balance based on the salinity anomalies generated by submarine springs  
313 inputs was constructed to determine groundwater fluxes ( $F_{SGD}$ ,  $m^3 d^{-1}$ ) in the karst section using  
314 Eq. 2 and following Crusius et al. (2005) and Knee et al. (2010). To calculate the fresh water  
315 fraction of the spring discharge, we used the approach described in Knee et al. (2010), which  
316 indirectly defines the groundwater salinity end-member ( $Sal_{SGD}$ ) to be zero (Eq. 2):

$$317 \quad F_{SGD} = \frac{(Sal_{ow} - Sal_{sw}) \times V}{t \cdot Sal_{ow}} \quad (2)$$

318 where,  $Sal_{ow}$  and  $Sal_{sw}$  represent salinity values of open water and coastal surface waters. As in  
319 Eq. 1,  $V$  is the volume of coastal water affected by SGD ( $m^3$ ); and  $t$  is the coastal water residence  
320 time (d). We used the same end-member values as in the  $^{224}Ra$  mass balance. Note that this mass  
321 balance assumes a groundwater salinity end-member ( $Sal_{SGD}$ ) of zero in order to quantify only  
322 the fresh component of SGD (Knee et al., 2010).

### 323 **3.1.3 $^{222}Rn$ surveys and groundwater seepage assessment**

324 Seawater  $^{222}Rn$  concentrations were measured in surface coastal waters (at about 0.3 m  
325 depth) in July, December of 2015, and July of 2016 along all sections using a RAD AQUA set  
326 up (Durrige Co., Inc.) as described in Dulaiova et al. (2005) and further improved by Dimova et  
327 al. (2009). To obtain radon-in-water concentrations, the measured radon-in-air was corrected  
328 using the temperature-dependent Ostwald's solubility coefficient (Macintyre et al., 1995) (Eq. 3):

$$329 \quad \alpha = 0.105 + 0.405e^{(-0.05027 \times T)} \quad (3)$$

330 where  $T$  ( $^{\circ}C$ ) is the water temperature, measured in 2 min intervals using a temperature data  
331 logger (HOBO<sup>®</sup>, Onset<sup>®</sup> Inc.). Analytical uncertainties of  $^{222}Rn$  in water were most of the time  
332 lower to 10%. The RAD AQUA system was run stationary for at least 20 min at the beginning of



333 all surveys to achieve water/air and radioactive equilibrium (Dimova et al., 2009), and set up to  
334 measure in 10 min intervals while moving at boat speed of 2 km h<sup>-1</sup> to allow for detection of  
335 rapid <sup>222</sup>Rn changes. These data were coupled with GPS coordinates to be mapped later.

336 Diffuse groundwater seepage was quantified using a <sup>222</sup>Rn mass balance (box model) as  
337 described in Burnett and Dulaiova (2003) during July of 2015 and 2016, and December of 2016.  
338 To evaluate diffuse groundwater seepage using a <sup>222</sup>Rn mass balance (Eq. 4), <sup>222</sup>Rn time-series (1  
339 - 2 days long) were conducted in the Maro Cliff and Cantarrijan Beach seepage area. For this  
340 study, this mass balance was modified to account for radon inputs to the sea from a small  
341 groundwater-fed waterfall (Maro Creek) (Fig. 1). Radon fluxes ( $F_{GW}$ ) (dpm m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) from  
342 groundwater discharge were determined using mass balance equation where total <sup>222</sup>Rn inputs to  
343 the water column are balanced by radon:

$$344 \quad F_{GW} = F_{Atm} + F_{Mix} - F_{Waterfall} - C_{Ra} - F_{Diff} \quad (4)$$

345 where  $F_{Atm}$  is the <sup>222</sup>Rn atmospheric evasion fluxes through the water/air interphase;  $F_{Mix}$  are  
346 mixing losses due to tidal variations and horizontal mixing;  $F_{waterfall}$  are fluxes of <sup>222</sup>Rn into the  
347 system from a groundwater-fed waterfall present in Maro Cliff;  $C_{Ra}$  is the production of <sup>222</sup>Rn  
348 from <sup>226</sup>Ra decay within the water column; and  $F_{Diff}$  is <sup>222</sup>Rn diffusion flux from seabed  
349 sediments.

350 To assess the contribution of <sup>222</sup>Rn from Maro Creek the radon flux from the creek  
351 ( $F_{waterfall}$ ) was calculated by multiplying the average creek radon concentration by the water flux  
352 which was based of flowmeter measurements and specific area of discharge. This correction was  
353 only done during Creek flow regime. Corrections for the production of <sup>222</sup>Rn from  
354 dissolved <sup>226</sup>Ra (i.e. supported radon) in coastal waters ( $C_{Ra}$ ) were done utilizing the Mn-fiber  
355 collected in September of 2006 (one sample) and in July of 2016 (two samples) in Maro Cliff

356 and Cantarrijan Beach at the time series stations. The average concentration (September 2006  
 357 and July 2016) was applied in the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  mass balance. The procedure follows the technique  
 358 described in details in (Charette et al., 2001). The  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  equilibrated samples were counted via  
 359 gamma spectrometry (HPGe well detector, Canberra GCW3522) using the  $^{214}\text{Pb}$  peak at 352  
 360 keV. Atmospheric evasion of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  ( $F_{Am}$ ) was calculated based on the water/air interphase  $^{222}\text{Rn}$   
 361 concentration gradient ( $\text{dpm m}^{-3}$ ),  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  Ostwald solubility coefficient, and  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  gas transfer  
 362 velocity ( $k$ ,  $\text{m h}^{-1}$ ). The gas transfer velocity ( $\text{m h}^{-1}$ ) was calculated using Eq. 5 as described in  
 363 Macintyre et al. (1995):

$$364 \quad k(600) = 0.45 \times u_{10}^{1.6} \left( \frac{S_c}{600} \right)^{-b} \quad (5)$$

365 where  $u_{10}$  is wind velocity at 10 m above sea level ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) acquired from an internet web service  
 366 (<http://www.wunderground.com/>),  $S_c$  is the Schmidt number, and  $b$  is a factor that ranges from  $\frac{1}{2}$   
 367 ( $u_{10} < 3.6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) to  $\frac{2}{3}$  ( $u_{10} > 3.6 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ).

368 During high wind conditions (July of 2015), we used Eq. 6 (all terms are defined in Eq.  
 369 5) designed in Kremer et al. (2003) for shallow waters and a wide range of wind speeds  
 370 (Cockenpot et al., 2015):

$$371 \quad k(600) = 1.65 \times e^{(u_{10})} \left( \frac{S_c}{600} \right)^{-b} \quad (6)$$

372 Molecular diffusion flux of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  from seabed sediments ( $F_{Diff}$ ) was determined using the  
 373 approach described in Martens et al. (1980).

374 After all corrections were made, negative fluxes were considered mixing losses ( $F_{Mix}$ ).  
 375 The pore water  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentration and porosity of sediments were determined based on the  
 376 procedure reported in Corbett et al. (1998). Groundwater seepage velocity ( $SGD$ ,  $\text{cm d}^{-1}$ ) was  
 377 then calculated (Eq. 7) by dividing  $SGD$ -derived  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  fluxes by the representative  
 378 groundwater  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentration end-member ( $Rn_{SGD}$ ,  $\text{dpm m}^{-3}$ ) (Burnett and Dulaiova, 2003).

379 The groundwater end-member ( $Rn_{SGD}$ ) was assessed in groundwater collected from a  
380 small cavity in the travertine cliff located 1 m a.s.l. in Maro Cliff, and GW-Well in Cantarrijan  
381 Beach (Fig.1). Groundwater  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentrations were analyzed with a RAD7 using a RAD H<sub>2</sub>O  
382 set up in duplicate 250 mL samples.

$$383 \quad \text{SGD} = \frac{F_{\text{GW}}}{Rn_{\text{SGD}}} \quad (7)$$

384 In order to calculate groundwater fluxes ( $F_{\text{SGD}}$ , m<sup>3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), the obtained seepage velocities  
385 were multiplied by the total area through which SGD occurs using Eq. 8:

$$386 \quad F_{\text{SGD}} = \text{SGD} \times A \quad (8)$$

387 where  $\text{SGD}$  represents seepage velocity (cm d<sup>-1</sup>), and  $A$  is the seepage area (m<sup>2</sup>). To constrain the  
388 seepage area ( $A$ ) at the beach face, we conducted a high-resolution  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  survey by manually  
389 moving a small boat at a speed of 15 m h<sup>-1</sup>. For more precise results, during this survey, the RAD  
390 AQUA system was let to equilibrate for 20 min every 2 - 10 m of shoreline. The high-  
391 resolution  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  survey data was mapped using a linear ordinary kriging interpolation method  
392 (ArcGIS 10), each concentration interval was then contoured (using the ArcGIS 10 contour  
393 spatial analyst) to obtain  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentration isolines. The seepage area ( $A$ ) was delineated by  
394 creating a polygon that followed the  $35 \times 10^3$  dpm m<sup>-3</sup> isoline as a threshold in Maro Cliff and  $6$   
395  $\times 10^3$  dpm m<sup>-3</sup> in Cantarrijan Beach. The seepage area (m<sup>2</sup>) was obtained by calculating the  
396 polygon geometry based on the ETRS 1989 UTM Zone 30N projected coordinate system.

#### 397 **3.1.4 Fresh SGD assessment in diffuse seepage**

398 As defined by Taniguchi et al. (2002), diffuse groundwater seepage is comprised of two  
399 components: (1) a fresh (meteoric) groundwater component and (2) a recirculated seawater  
400 component. To calculate the fresh water component in seepage areas of the study site (e.g. in  
401 Cantarrijan Beach), we applied a salinity mixing model (Eqs. 9 and 10) as described in

402 Taniguchi et al., (2005) and used by many others (e.g. Charette et al., 2007; Taniguchi et al.,  
403 2008; Santos et al., 2009). The approach relies on two basic equations:

$$404 \quad f_{\text{FSGD}} + f_{\text{RSGD}} = 1 \quad (9)$$

$$405 \quad \text{Sal}_{\text{FSGD}} \times f_{\text{FSGD}} + \text{Sal}_{\text{RSGD}} \times f_{\text{RSGD}} = \text{Sal}_{\text{SGD}} \times f_{\text{SGD}} \quad (10)$$

406 where  $f_{\text{FSGD}}$  and  $f_{\text{RSGD}}$  represent the fresh and recirculated fractions of SGD, and  $\text{Sal}_{\text{FSGD}}$  and  
407  $\text{Sal}_{\text{RSGD}}$  are the salinity values measured in fresh groundwater (GW-Well) and maximum  
408 recirculated salinity (GW-PZ-4).

### 409 **3.2 Direct groundwater flow measurements**

#### 410 **3.2.1 Flowmeter measurements of coastal springs and creeks**

411 The location of coastal springs and inland springs feeding groundwater-fed creeks were  
412 identified via field observations. The discharge from the identified coastal springs (Doncellas,  
413 Barranco Maro, Huerto Romero, Maro Beach, and Alberquillas) and groundwater-fed creeks  
414 (Caleta Creek, Tierras Nuevas Creek, and Miel Creek) entering the sea, were measured using a  
415 flowmeter (OTT C2, OTT Hydromet GmbH) with an accuracy of  $\pm 10\%$ . Channel widths were  
416 between 10 cm and 140 cm and depths were below 30 cm in all springs and creeks. Flow  
417 velocity measurements at the average water depths were recorded in 10 cm intervals across the  
418 stream cross section right before discharge into the sea. Water fluxes were calculated for each  
419 interval multiplying width (10 cm) and depth by flow velocity. Total water flux ( $\text{m}^3 \text{d}^{-1}$ ) was then  
420 calculated by adding water fluxes in all intervals. To observe differences between dry and wet  
421 periods measurements were conducted during July and December of 2016.

#### 422 **3.2.2 Seepage meter measurements of diffuse seepage**

423 To verify  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ -based SGD estimates, we deployed multiple seepage meters in July of  
424 2016. Lee-type seepage meters, built following the procedure described in Lee (1977) were

425 deployed near the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  time series station in areas of active groundwater seepage (Maro Cliff  
426 and Cantarrijan Beach) during July of 2016. Four seepage meters were deployed in Maro Cliff  
427 and nine in Cantarrijan Beach to obtain a representative evaluation of the seepage area. These  
428 were made of a bottomless 60 L plastic drum with an area of  $0.12\text{ m}^2$  with a plastic bag attached  
429 to a two-way valve (Isiorho and Meyer, 1999; Schincariol and McNeil, 2002; Rosenberry, 2008).  
430 The seepage meters were submerged and slowly inserted in the sediments leaving 2 cm of space  
431 between the sediments and the drum interior top. The seepage meter was positioned inclined in  
432 order to leave the valve side slightly higher allowing any gas to escape before plastic bag  
433 attachment; the water volume entering the plastic bag and time elapsed were then recorded (Lee,  
434 1977). Seepage velocities ( $SGD$ ,  $\text{cm d}^{-1}$ ) were calculated using Eq. 11 modified from Lee (1977):

$$435 \quad \text{SGD} = \frac{68.79 \times V}{t} \quad (11)$$

436 where  $V$  is volume of water entering the plastic bag (mL);  $t$  is the time elapsed (s), and 68.79 is a  
437 unit conversion factor specific to the  $0.12\text{ m}^2$  flow area to obtain seepage velocity in  $\text{cm d}^{-1}$ .  
438 Groundwater discharge ( $\text{m}^3\text{ d}^{-1}$ ) was calculated using Eq. 8.

### 439 **3.3 Groundwater chemistry, stable isotopic composition, and $\text{NO}_3^-$ fluxes**

440 During July and December of 2015, and in July of 2016 water stable isotopes ( $\delta^2\text{H}$  and  
441  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ),  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  were measured in all points of groundwater discharge to the sea, Maro  
442 Spring, and a well located in the conglomerate section (Nerja Cave) (Fig. 1). A total of 23  
443 samples were collected in Maro Cliff and Cantarrijan Beach (GW-Well) ( $n = 4$ ), all subaerial  
444 coastal springs (Doncellas, Barranco Maro, Huerto Romero, Maro Beach, and Alberquillas,  $n =$   
445 14), and groundwater-fed creeks (Maro Creek and Miel Creek,  $n = 5$ ) during all sampling  
446 campaigns.

447 Water samples were collected for analysis in 150 mL bottles and stored at 4°C until  
448 measurement, diluted to 1 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, and filtered before analysis. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations  
449 were analyzed at the CEHIUMA (Center of Hydrogeology of the University of Malaga)  
450 laboratory via ionic chromatography (Metrohm 881 Compact IC Pro) with an accuracy of ± 2%.  
451 Water stable isotopes (δ<sup>2</sup>H and δ<sup>18</sup>O) were also analyzed at the CEHIUMA using a Laser Cavity  
452 Ring-Down Spectrometer (Picarro CRDS L2120-i) with accuracies of ± 1‰ and ± 0.1‰ for δ<sup>2</sup>H  
453 and δ<sup>18</sup>O respectively. Isotopic ratios were calculated using the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean  
454 Water (VSMOW, in ‰). Nitrate fluxes ( $F_{NO_3^-}$ , mmol d<sup>-1</sup>) to the sea were calculated by  
455 multiplying NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations at each point of discharge during each sampling campaign by  
456 corresponding measured groundwater flux (Eq. 12):

$$457 \quad F_{NO_3^-} = F_{SGD} \times [NO_3^-] \quad (12)$$

458 where  $[NO_3^-]$  represents nitrate concentrations (mmol m<sup>-3</sup>), and  $F_{SGD}$  is the groundwater flux  
459 (m<sup>3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>)

460

## 461 **4 Results**

### 462 **4.1 Detecting submarine spring discharge using <sup>224</sup>Ra and salinity**

#### 463 **4.1.1 <sup>224</sup>Ra activities in seawater and groundwater**

464 During the <sup>224</sup>Ra sampling campaign in September of 2010, the average <sup>224</sup>Ra  
465 concentrations in surface waters along the study area was 17 ± 2 dpm m<sup>-3</sup> (n=30) ranging from  
466 56 ± 4 dpm m<sup>-3</sup> to 8 ± 1 dpm m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. 3; Supplementary material Table A.1). In general, <sup>224</sup>Ra  
467 values along the schist and karst sections of the study area were lower compared to other  
468 Mediterranean regions (e.g. Moore, 2006; García-Solsona et al., 2010; Rodellas et al., 2014).  
469 However, two distinctive <sup>224</sup>Ra-high anomalies in coastal surface waters were identified during

470 this survey; these were associated with water inputs with average salinity of 0.8 from the  
471 groundwater-fed Miel Creek ( $56 \pm 4$  dpm  $m^{-3}$ ), and the three clustered submarine springs  
472 discharging from Cantarrijan Caves ( $51 \pm 4$  dpm  $m^{-3}$ ) located in the Cantarrijan area (Fig. 3).  
473 Miel Creek (average salinity anomaly = 0.3), which flows through the marble formation of  
474 Alberquillas Aquifer Unit for a total length of approximately 5 km, has a perennial flow, a firm  
475 indication that it is fed by groundwater (Fig. 3). Indeed, a set of springs located predominantly in  
476 the southernmost section of the Creek at the marble-schist contact have been observed to  
477 maintain the constant creek flow regime all year long. The second peak of  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  was located  
478 right above the three submarine springs (Cantarrijan Caves) near Cantarrijan Beach.  
479 Average  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  values significantly higher ( $20 \pm 7$  dpm  $m^{-3}$ ) than offshore background activity ( $8$   
480  $\pm 1$  dpm  $m^{-3}$ ) were detected also along the karst section from Cantarrijan to Cerro Gordo, where  
481 Alberquillas Aquifer Unit is directly in contact with the sea (Fig. 3).

482

483 **Figure 3: Interpolated  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  activity concentrations in September of 2010 along the schist and karst**  
484 **sections. Two areas of high  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  in coastal waters were identified in the schist section near**  
485 **groundwater-fed Miel Creek ( $56 \pm 4$  dpm  $m^{-3}$ ), and the three clustered submarine springs**  
486 **discharging from Cantarrijan Caves ( $51 \pm 4$  dpm  $m^{-3}$ ) located in the Cantarrijan area (karst**  
487 **section).**

488

489 Radium-224 in groundwater in Cantarrijan Beach varied from  $660 \pm 30$  dpm  $m^{-3}$  (salinity  
490 anomaly = 1.6) in a shallow well (GW-Well, Supplementary material Table A.1) to  $5500 \pm 430$   
491 dpm  $m^{-3}$  (salinity = 31.1) in five piezometers installed on the shore (GW-Pz-1-5, Supplementary  
492 material Table A.1). Activity of  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  in groundwater collected from two wells in the karstic  
493 marble (GW-CG-1 and GW-CG-2, Supplementary material Table A.1) showed similar activities,

494  $1260 \pm 90 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  and  $1020 \pm 80 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  with salinities of 2.2 and 0.6 respectively. The  
495 variation of  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  concentrations in GW-Well and Pz-1-5 (Fig 5c) can be explained by the  
496 seawater recirculation in the beach sediments. Radium concentration in fresh waters is very low  
497 due to adsorption onto particles. However, in pore water with higher ionic strength (i.e. brackish  
498 and salt water) radium desorbs due to cation exchange. This process increases dissolved  $^{224}\text{Ra}$   
499 concentration (Webster et al., 1995).

#### 500 **4.1.2 Salinity anomalies**

501 The average salinity in coastal waters of the study site during continuous measurements  
502 in September of 2010 was  $36.4 \pm 0.2$ , during May of 2015 was  $36.6 \pm 4.0$ , in July 2015 was  $37.2$   
503  $\pm 1.2$ , and in December of 2015 was  $34.5 \pm 1.7$ . A negative salinity anomaly of -1.2 to -1.7 was  
504 observed during all sampling campaigns in the conglomerate section in the vicinity of multiple  
505 coastal springs (Doncellas, Barranco Maro, Huerto Romero, and Maro Beach) and two  
506 groundwater-fed creeks (Caleta Creek, and Tierras Nuevas Creek) (Fig. 4). However, in the  
507 schist section where Alberquillas coastal spring enters the sea, salinity was generally similar to  
508 the average throughout the coastline with a value of 36.3 in September of 2010, 37.1 in May of  
509 2015, 37.1 in July of 2015, and 34.4 in December of 2015. Similarly, small salinity variation  
510 (Fig. 4) associated with high  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  (Fig. 3) was observed near the outlet of the groundwater-fed  
511 Miel Creek.

512

513 **Figure 4: Salinity anomaly map showing combined results from May, July, and December of 2015.**  
514 **Two areas of negative salinity anomalies were found in the conglomerate (salinity anomaly = -1.2 to**  
515 **-1.7) and karst (salinity anomaly = -0.3 to -1.0) sections almost perfectly aligned with their**  
516 **delineated extent.**

517



518 In the karst section (Fig. 1), where the karstic marble formation is in contact with the sea,  
519 negative salinity anomalies (-0.3 to -1.0) coincided with previously observed high  $^{224}\text{Ra}$   
520 concentrations in coastal waters near the Palomas and Sifon Caves submarine springs. The  
521 largest salinity anomaly (-1.0) in the karst section was found in Cantarrijan Beach, which could  
522 be related to Cantarrijan Caves springs. However, the lowest values are distributed along the  
523 beach area, slightly deviated to the East off Cantarrijan Caves, where groundwater seepage  
524 through marine sediments can, therefore, also be occurring.

## 525 **4.2 Evaluating submarine spring discharge using $^{224}\text{Ra}$ and salinity**

### 526 **4.2.1 $^{224}\text{Ra}$ mass balance**

527 To assess groundwater discharge in the areas of high  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  ( $12 - 51 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ) and  
528 negative salinity anomalies (-0.3 to -1.0), i.e. the areas of Cantarrijan Caves, Palomas Cave, and  
529 Sifon Cave submarine springs (Figs. 2 and 3), we used a  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  mass balance following Moore  
530 (1996) and Charette et al. (2001; Eq.1). The main assumption in this approach is that the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$   
531 excess in the karst section is considered to be originated solely by submarine springs.

532 SGD through Cantarrijan Caves was quantified using the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  coastal water end-member  
533 measured in SW-6, SW-7, SW-13 and SW-24 ( $Ra_{sw} = 25 \pm 2 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ,  $n = 4$ ), where SW-7 was  
534 collected at the depth of discharge (8 m). Average offshore background activity ( $8 \pm 1 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ )  
535 measured in SW-23, SW-27, SW-29, and SW-30 was used as the open water end-member ( $Ra_{ow}$ )  
536 (Supplementary material Table A.1). Groundwater samples collected from well GW-CG-1  
537 (Fig.1), which is the closest well to the submarine springs and is representative of the marble  
538 aquifer formation, was used as the groundwater end-member ( $1260 \pm 90 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ , salinity = 2.2).  
539 Following the same approach, SGD was also evaluated in the area of Palomas Cave using SW-  
540 SW-20, SW-21, and SW-25 ( $Ra_{sw} = 18 \pm 2 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ,  $n = 3$ ), where SW-21 was collected at 15 m

541 depth of discharge. In Sifon Cave samples SW-14, SW-22, and SW-26 were used as the coastal  
542 water end-member. The same open water ( $Ra_{ow}$ ) and groundwater ( $Ra_{SGD}$ ) end-members were  
543 used:  $1260 \pm 90$  dpm  $m^{-3}$  and  $8 \pm 1$  dpm  $m^{-3}$  respectively (Fig. 3; Table 1).

544 The estimated groundwater fluxes ( $F_{SGD}$ ) through Cantarrijan Caves was  $4.7 \pm 0.5 \times$   
545  $10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$ , at Palomas cave was  $4.3 \pm 0.5 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$ , and for Sifon Cave was  $3.7 \pm 0.4 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   
546  $d^{-1}$ . This represents a total flux of  $12.8 \pm 1.4 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  via submarine springs, where reported  
547 errors are based on analytical uncertainties of  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  measurements.

#### 548 **4.2.2 Salinity mass balance**

549 The salinity anomaly created by the Cantarrijan, Palomas, and Sifon Caves in the karst  
550 section (Fig. 4, Table 1) allowed us to construct individual mas-balances at each location and  
551 calculate SGD independently of the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  approach. Considering that the salinity of groundwater  
552 discharge of these springs is the same value of the endmember used for the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  method (Table  
553 1), and applying Eq. 2 we calculated a total groundwater flux of  $0.8 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  in  
554 Cantarrijan Caves,  $0.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  in Palomas Cave, and  $0.5 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  through  
555 Sifon Cave; representing a total of  $2.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$ .

### 556 **4.3 Assessing diffuse groundwater seepage using a $^{222}\text{Rn}$ mass balance and seepage** 557 **meter measurements**

#### 558 **4.3.1 $^{222}\text{Rn}$ distribution in seawater**

559 High  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentrations were measured in two distinct areas in the Maro Cliff area  
560 (conglomerate section) and Cantarrijan Beach (karst section) with maximum concentrations of  
561  $44 \pm 3 \times 10^3$  dpm  $m^{-3}$  and  $30 \pm 2 \times 10^3$  dpm  $m^{-3}$  respectively (Fig. 5). In general, along the  
562 conglomerate section,  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  activities were within background levels ( $1.9 \pm 0.6 \times 10^3$  dpm  $m^{-3}$ )  
563 with the mentioned exception of a cove in the Maro Cliff area where the travertine formation is

564 in contact with the sea. In this location, a 15-m travertine cliff ends in coarse seabed sand  
565 through which groundwater seepage was identified underlying a 1.5-m water column. In the  
566 karst section, high  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  was detected only along the Cantarrijan Beach where a steep ravine,  
567 formed in the marble formation, ends in a big opening comprised of coarse sand, pebbles,  
568 cobbles, and even boulders suggesting flash flooding events in the ravine after significant  
569 precipitations.

570

571 **Figure 5: Radon-222 distribution map based on surveys during July and December of 2015, and**  
572 **July of 2016. Two radon peaks were in found in Maro Cliff (conglomerate section) and Cantarrijan**  
573 **Beach (karst section) with maximum concentrations of  $44 \pm 3 \times 10^3$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-3}$  and  $30 \pm 2 \times 10^3$  dpm**  
574  **$\text{m}^{-3}$  respectively.**

575

#### 576 **4.3.2 Groundwater discharge assessments in the conglomerate section (Maro** 577 **Cliff)**

578 Diffuse groundwater seepage was identified in this area during all  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  surveys (July  
579 and December of 2015, and July of 2016) suggesting the seepage is maintained by base  
580 groundwater flow (Fig. 6a). We calculated that  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  contribution from the waterfall (i.e.,  
581  $F_{\text{Waterfall}}$ ) was on average  $16 \pm 6$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$  (Table 2). To account for the production of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$   
582 from  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  dissolved in coastal waters ( $C_{\text{Ra}}$ ), we used the averaged concentration ( $280 \pm 50$  dpm  
583  $\text{m}^{-3}$ ,  $n = 3$ ) measured in September of 2006 ( $306 \pm 40$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-3}$ ,  $n = 1$ ) and July of 2016 ( $260 \pm 50$   
584 dpm  $\text{m}^{-3}$ ,  $n = 2$ ) at the time series station (Table 2, Fig. 6b). Atmospheric evasion ( $F_{\text{Atm}}$ ) was  
585 calculated using Eq 8 except in July of 2015, when wind speed was much higher ( $8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) and we  
586 used Eq. 6 instead. Diffusive flux of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  from seabed sediments ( $F_{\text{Diff}}$ ) was  $619 \pm 57$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-2}$   
587  $\text{d}^{-1}$  accounting for only 0.2 – 0.5% of total  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  fluxes (Fig. 7). As one would expect in coastal

588 waters with little protection against wind and waves, mixing losses in this study represent the  
589 main  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  loss in the model (Fig. 7).

590  
591 **Figure 6: (a) Groundwater seepage velocity averaging results from radon mass balance and seepage**  
592 **meters in areas of diffuse seepage (Maro Cliff and Cantarrijan Beach). Radon distribution in (b)**  
593 **Maro Cliff (conglomerate section) and (c) Cantarrijan Beach (karst section) where cylinder**  
594 **symbols represent seepage meter locations and the star radon time series stations.**

595  
596 The  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentrations in groundwater end-members ( $Rn_{SGD}$ ) were  $350 \pm 50 \times 10^3$  dpm  
597  $\text{m}^{-3}$ ,  $440 \pm 60 \times 10^3$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-3}$ , and  $320 \pm 30 \times 10^3$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-3}$  during July, December of 2015, and  
598 July of 2016 respectively, with an average value of  $370 \pm 50 \times 10^3$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-3}$ . Based on these  
599 estimates (Table 2) and applying Eq. 4, we obtained average seepage velocities of  $39 \pm 10$   $\text{cm d}^{-1}$   
600 ( $n = 43$ ) in July 2015,  $40 \pm 11$   $\text{cm d}^{-1}$  ( $n = 78$ ) in December of 2015, and  $38 \pm 10$   $\text{cm d}^{-1}$  ( $n = 90$ )  
601 during July of 2016. Reported SGD uncertainties are calculated based on  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  variations from  
602 all samples collected in the area to obtain the groundwater end-members ( $Rn_{SGD}$ ) (Burnett et al.,  
603 2007); which ranged from  $320 \pm 30 \times 10^3$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-3}$  to  $690 \pm 50 \times 10^3$  dpm  $\text{m}^{-3}$  ( $n = 8$ ) during this  
604 study.

605 Utilizing Eq. 8 we estimated total groundwater fluxes based on the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  box model to be  
606  $3.0 \pm 0.8 \times 10^3$   $\text{m}^3 \text{d}^{-1}$  during July of 2015,  $3.1 \pm 0.8 \times 10^3$   $\text{m}^3 \text{d}^{-1}$  in December of 2015, and  $2.9 \pm$   
607  $0.7 \times 10^3$   $\text{m}^3 \text{d}^{-1}$  in July of 2016 (Table 2). Using Eq. 11 an average seepage velocity of  $28 \pm 6$   $\text{cm}$   
608  $\text{d}^{-1}$  (Table 3) was calculated. This assessment is in good agreement with the average  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ -based  
609 value of  $38 \pm 10$   $\text{cm d}^{-1}$ . Using Eq. 8 and a seepage area of  $7.7 \times 10^3$   $\text{m}^2$  based on the radon  
610 concentration (Fig. 6b), we calculated a groundwater flux of  $2.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^3$   $\text{m}^3 \text{d}^{-1}$  in July of  
611 2016 (Table 3).

### 4.3.3 Groundwater fluxes in the karst section (Cantarrijan Beach)

Multiple radon surveys in this area (July and December of 2015, and July of 2016) indicated a strong seasonality in seawater  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentrations, with an average of  $75 \pm 3 \times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  in December and  $11 \pm 2 \times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  in July, suggesting higher SGD during the wet period. The average  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  concentration ( $C_{\text{Ra}}$ ) was  $170 \pm 40 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  measured in September of 2006 ( $169 \pm 30 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ,  $n = 1$ ) and July of 2016 ( $170 \pm 40 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ,  $n = 2$ ) (Table 2). The variation of the groundwater  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  end-member ( $240 \pm 60 \times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  to  $350 \pm 40 \times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ,  $n = 6$ ) was used to calculate the final groundwater discharge flux uncertainties. Seepage velocities in December and July were on average  $52 \pm 8 \text{ cm d}^{-1}$  ( $n = 61$ ) and  $22 \pm 3 \text{ cm d}^{-1}$  ( $n = 115$ ) respectively (Table 3).

We found that groundwater seepage occurs only in the westernmost sector at the end of the Cantarrijan Ravine (Fig. 6c). Seepage velocities, determined from all seepage meters deployed along the beach, show that groundwater seepage ceases exactly at the location where seepage meter SM-4 was deployed (Fig. 6c). We used this as a criterion to define the seepage face and decided to use the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  contour line of  $6 \pm 1 \times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  to calculate the seepage area, and averaged SM-1-4 to determine a seepage velocity of  $23 \pm 7 \text{ cm d}^{-1}$ . Using the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  method we found that the total diffuse groundwater seepage in the Cantarrijan Beach area ranged from  $2.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  during the wet period to  $0.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  during dry conditions; whereas seepage meter measurements resulted in  $0.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ .

631

**Figure 7: Radon fluxes result of each component of the mass balance box model during all sampling campaigns in Maro Cliff (conglomerate section) and Cantarrijan Beach (karst section). The largest tracer losses occurred via mixing due to the high exposure of both areas to waves and currents.**

635 **Greater difference in SGD-<sup>222</sup>Rn fluxes was found between wet periods (December) and dry periods**  
636 **(July) in Cantarrijan Beach compared to Maro Cliff.**

637  
638 During all sampling campaigns, the groundwater sampled from the shallow well at Cantarrijan  
639 Beach (GW-Well, Fig.1) always had salinity values of 1.6 – 3.2. During September of 2010,  
640 salinities of 6.6 – 31.1 were also observed in pore water samples collected in all five piezometers  
641 installed on the beach (GW-PZ-1-5, Fig 1). Based on the salinity mixing model (using Eqs. 9 and  
642 10) we calculated that the fresh fraction of SGD at this site was 48% of the total groundwater  
643 seepage. Therefore, when constructing the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer freshwater water  
644 budget for July of 2016, only  $0.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  (representative of the 48% freshwater  
645 component) should be taken into account.

#### 646 **4.4 Groundwater contribution from coastal springs and groundwater-fed creeks**

647 Groundwater discharge to the sea during dry conditions calculated using flowmeter  
648 measurements in July of 2016 from coastal spring Huerto Romero was  $37 \pm 3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , from Maro  
649 Beach was  $26 \pm 2 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , from Barranco Maro was  $17 \pm 1 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , from Doncellas was  $460 \pm 40$   
650  $\text{m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , and from Alberquillas was  $1060 \pm 90 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  (Supplementary material Table A.2). The  
651 discharge from groundwater-fed creek Miel Creek was  $1230 \pm 110 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , from Caleta Creek  $160$   
652  $\pm 10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , and from Tierras Nuevas Creek was  $110 \pm 10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ . The total discharge from all  
653 creeks was  $3100 \pm 280 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ; which represents 33% of the total groundwater discharge in the  
654 study area.

655 In December of 2016, during high flow conditions, discharge from coastal springs Huerto  
656 Romero was  $100 \pm 9 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , from Maro Beach was  $43 \pm 4 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , from Barranco Maro was  $69 \pm 6$   
657  $\text{m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , from Doncellas was  $530 \pm 50 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , and from Alberquillas was  $1590 \pm 140 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ .  
658 Discharge via groundwater-fed Miel Creek was  $1820 \pm 160 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , from Caleta Creek was  $220 \pm$

659  $20 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , and from Tierras Nuevas Creek was  $190 \pm 20 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ; which constitutes  $4580 \pm 60 \text{ m}^3$   
660  $\text{d}^{-1}$  or 37% of the total discharge in the study area (Supplementary material Table A.2).

661  
662 **4.5 Groundwater isotopic composition, water chemistry, and nitrate fluxes** Isotopic  
663 values are widely scattered along a linear trend (Local Groundwater Line, LGL) with a slope of  
664 6.06, with averages of -42‰ and -7.1‰ for  $\delta^2\text{H}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  respectively (Figs. 8a and 8b). All  
665 samples fall between the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL; Craig, 1961) and the Western  
666 Mediterranean Meteoric Water Line (WMMWL; Gat and Garmi, 1970), samples collected in or  
667 derived from Maro Spring are situated slightly above the WMMWL. Deuterium values ranged  
668 from  $-33 \pm 1\text{‰}$  to  $-46 \pm 1\text{‰}$ , while  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  were between  $-5.6 \pm 0.1\text{‰}$  and  $-7.8 \pm 0.1\text{‰}$   
669 (Supplementary material Table A.2). We observed that groundwater collected from Maro Spring  
670 (conglomerate section) has the lightest isotopic signature of -46‰ and -7.7‰ for  $\delta^2\text{H}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$   
671 respectively. Samples collected in the conglomerate section from coastal springs Barranco Maro,  
672 Huerto Romero, Maro Beach, and groundwater-fed Maro Creek showed values that ranged from  
673 -45 and -7.6 to -43‰ and -7.2‰. At the point of seepage discharge in the sea, Maro Cliff showed  
674 slightly higher values of -44‰ and -7.4‰ for  $\delta^2\text{H}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ . Coastal springs Doncellas,  
675 Alberquillas, and groundwater-fed Miel Creek all located in the schist section, are grouped  
676 together with Nerja Cave (conglomerate section), showing average values of -33‰ and -5.5‰  
677 for  $\delta^2\text{H}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ . Samples collected from GW-Well (Cantarrijan Beach), have the highest values  
678 in the study area with averages of -22‰ and -3.8‰ for  $\delta^2\text{H}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ .

679  
680 **Figure 8: (a) Isotopic composition ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ,  $\delta^2\text{H}$ ) of water samples collected during all sampling**  
681 **campaigns. Local Groundwater Line (LGL) represents the linear trend based on all groundwater**  
682 **samples collected in the study area. Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) is based on Craig, (1961)**

683 **and the Western Mediterranean Meteoric Water Line (WMMWL) on Gat and Garmi, (1970).**  
684 **White color represents points of discharge in conglomerate and breccia lithology, while light grey**  
685 **are in travertine (conglomerate section); dark grey shows points in schist (schist section); and black**  
686 **color show locations in karstic marble (karst section). Maro Spring and Nerja Cave well (not points**  
687 **of discharge to the sea) are represented with a black and white star respectively. Water samples**  
688 **collected at each section (conglomerate section, schist section, and karst section) are grouped in**  
689 **dashed squares. (b) Isotopic composition of samples collected from points of discharge from the**  
690 **travertine formation in the conglomerate section. The two groundwater end-members (Maro**  
691 **Spring and irrigation waters) are circled, while diffuse seepage in Maro Cliff is represented with**  
692 **squares.**

693  
694 Groundwater sulphate ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) concentrations ranged from  $500 \pm 10 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$  in  
695 Alberquillas coastal spring to  $3220 \pm 64 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$  in Cantarrijan Beach ( $n = 23$ )  
696 (Supplementary material Table A.2). Samples collected in the conglomerate section from coastal  
697 springs Doncellas, Barranco Maro, Huerto Romero, Maro Beach; groundwater-fed Maro Creek,  
698 and diffuse seepage in Maro Cliff had similar  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentrations ranging between 1500 and  
699  $3000 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ . All samples (including Maro Spring) collected from Sierra Almirajara  
700 Alberquillas Aquifer in this area fall within a  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentration range of  $1700 - 2600 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ .  
701 Miel Creek and coastal spring Alberquillas (schist section) showed lower values ranging from  
702  $500 \pm 10$  to  $710 \pm 14 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ . Water collected in Cantarrijan Beach had the highest  
703 concentration in the study area, with an average of  $3030 \pm 60 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$  (Supplementary material  
704 Table A.2).

705 Nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) concentrations were highest in coastal springs of the conglomerate section  
706 including Doncellas, Barranco Maro, Huerto Romero, and Maro Beach with an average of  $446 \pm$



707 50 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> (n = 6). Water samples that were directly derived from Maro Spring (Maro spring,  
708 Maro Creek) and did not experience infiltration (i.e. had no fertilizer added), showed NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>  
709 concentration of 5 – 21 mmol m<sup>-3</sup>. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in diffuse groundwater seepage in Maro  
710 Cliff were consistently near 130 ± 3 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> (n = 2). Samples collected in the schist section  
711 from groundwater-fed Miel Creek and coastal spring Alberquillas, and in the karst section from  
712 Cantarrijan Beach, showed levels of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ranging from 55 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> in Miel Creek to 168 mmol  
713 m<sup>-3</sup> in Cantarrijan Beach (n = 5) (Supplementary material Table A.2).

714 Nitrate fluxes were unevenly distributed in the three sections of the study site (Table 4).  
715 The combination of high NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in coastal springs and high flow groundwater seepage results in  
716 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> fluxes in the conglomerate section of 550 ± 140 mol d<sup>-1</sup> and 730 ± 190 mol d<sup>-1</sup>. During this  
717 study, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> fluxes in the schist and karst section together were 150 ± 20 mol d<sup>-1</sup> during dry and  
718 250 ± 40 mol d<sup>-1</sup> during wet periods (Table 4).

719

## 720 **5 Discussion**

### 721 **5.1 Method selection and assessment of each form of groundwater discharge to the** 722 **sea**

723 *Submarine springs:* Quantifying submarine springs discharge in the karst section was  
724 found to be the most difficult part of this study. None of the data collected during the three <sup>222</sup>Rn  
725 boat surveys along the coastline showed tracer anomalies in the areas of submarine spring  
726 discharge (Fig. 5). However, we were able to detect <sup>224</sup>Ra and salinity anomalies produced by the  
727 three submarine springs discharging from Cantarrijan, Palomas, and Sifon Caves (Fig. 3 and 4).  
728 Concentrations of <sup>224</sup>Ra were on average four times higher (20 ± 7 dpm m<sup>-3</sup>, n = 10) compared to  
729 offshore waters (8 ± 1 dpm m<sup>-3</sup>, n = 4) in locations where no <sup>222</sup>Rn signal was detected.

730           Considering that  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  have similar half-lives and experience similar mixing,  
731 we hypothesize that the lower  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentrations in coastal waters of the karst section must be  
732 due to degassing. Similar effects on dissolved  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  have been observed in coastal  
733 waters by Dulaiova and Burnett (2006) and Stieglitz et al. (2010). As found in this study site,  
734 both studies showed a strong correlation between  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentration and salinity or  $^{222}\text{Rn}$   
735 and  $^{224}\text{Ra}$ , trends that are consistent with a  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  deficiency due to atmospheric evasion (Stieglitz  
736 et al, 2010). Furthermore, when combining the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentration data with salinity anomalies  
737 from all boat surveys along the entire shoreline, we observe that  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentrations decrease at  
738 a faster rate than salinity when closer to the groundwater source (Fig. 9a, b). If wind conditions  
739 are constant during the surveys (which there were), following the 1<sup>st</sup> Fick's Law, degassing due  
740 to molecular diffusion through the water-atmosphere interphase must be enhanced when  
741 seawater  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentration is higher (the concentration gradient is higher). Thus, this effect is  
742 most likely created because the water column-atmosphere concentration gradient in areas close  
743 to the groundwater source is at its highest, favoring atmospheric evasion.

744

745 **Figure 9: Mixing plots of  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  (a) and  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  versus salinity (b) showing best fit linear and**  
746 **exponential mixing lines, respectively, during surface water surveys along the coastline in**  
747 **September of 2010, July and December of 2015.**

748

749           Based on these findings, we concluded that  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  (Eq. 1) and salinity (Eq. 2) mass  
750 balances are the two methods better suited to evaluate groundwater discharge from submarine  
751 springs of the ones utilized in this study. However, we found a significant difference between the  
752 springs discharge assessments obtained using these two tracers; the flux based on the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  mass  
753 balance was  $12.8 \pm 1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , whereas using the salinity mass balance we calculated a

754 discharge of  $2.3 \pm 0.2 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ . We suggest that the difference could be explained by  
755 selection of the end-member in the mixing model, which has been previously described by others  
756 as a factor in correct determination of groundwater discharge (e.g. Peterson et al., 2008; Moore,  
757 1996; Cerdà-Domènech et al., 2017). A critical component in any tracer study is the selection of  
758 a representative groundwater end-member ( $Ra_{SGD}$  and  $Sal_{SGD}$ ) collected at the point of discharge.

759 All submarine springs described here are located in submarine caves (Cantarrijan,  
760 Palomas, and Sifon Caves) with vents parallel to the surface (i.e. horizontal geometry) at depths  
761 of 8 – 15 m below sea level. The springs discharge occurs parallel to the land surface at about 5 –  
762 10 m landward from the caves entrance. SCUBA diving to the springs vents for representative  
763 groundwater/spring water end-member was challenging, thus the presented estimates of spring  
764 discharge are based on groundwater from the closest located well (GW-CG-1, Fig. 1) with  
765 a  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  concentration of  $1260 \pm 90 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  and salinity of  $2.2 \pm 0.1$ .

766 Because springs conduits are subject to seawater intrusion, we suggest that the salinity of  
767 the discharging spring water could be slightly to significantly higher than groundwater salinity  
768 sampled from the inland well (GW-CG-1) which we used as the groundwater end-member  
769 ( $Ra_{SGD}$  and  $Sal_{SGD}$ ). While in fresh water, radium is mostly attached to particles and its dissolved  
770 concentration is very low, in brackish spring water radium would be mostly dissolved and we  
771 would have observed higher  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  in the end-member waters (Burnett et al., 2006; Cerdà-  
772 Domènech et al., 2017). Based on the correlation between salinity and  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  desorption, a  
773 salinity increase of 5 to the groundwater end-member (GW-CG-1) would produce an  
774 extrapolated  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  increase of  $60 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ , resulting in a decrease spring flux of about 65%,  
775 which is closer to the salinity mass balance estimation. A salinity of 5 in submarine springs  
776 seems reasonable as it is similar to those found by García-Solsona et al. (2010) in a coastal karst

777 aquifer in eastern Spain, where annual average salinity of outflowing brackish submarine springs  
778 was 6.8. Furthermore, in well GW-CG-1 located 500 m inland from the submarine springs in the  
779 karstic marble, a salinity value of 2.2 was measured, also indicating that a value of 5 was  
780 plausible.

781         The salinity mass balance method estimates only the fresh water fraction of the spring  
782 discharge. In this way, the difference in discharge estimation using a  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  and a salinity mass  
783 balance is found because the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  method estimates the total (brackish) discharge, while the  
784 salinity method accounts only for the fresh portion. Therefore, only the spring discharge based  
785 on the salinity model should be used in the water budget of Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer.

786         *Diffuse groundwater seepage through seabed sediments:* In contrast to the case of  
787 submarine spring discharge, we found that groundwater seepage through seabed sediments  
788 (Maro Cliff, conglomerate section; and Cantarrijan Beach, karst section) was most adequately  
789 detectable using  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  as a tracer and direct measurements (i.e. seepage meters). The  
790 observed  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentrations in receiving surface waters were as high as  $44 \pm 3 \times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$   
791 at both groundwater seepage areas, i.e. Maro Cliff and Cantarrijan Beach (Fig. 4).

792         Although, we also observed high  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  concentrations in samples collected near  
793 Cantarrijan Beach (Fig. 3), these were associated with nearby discharge from the Cantarrijan  
794 Caves submarine spring (Fig. 3, sampling points SW-6, SW-7, and SW-13). Indeed, during one  
795 of the dry period sampling events (July of 2016), we did not detect any  $^{224}\text{Rn}$  in coastal waters of  
796 Cantarrijan Beach giving us confidence that the previously observed signals (September 2010)  
797 were from the springs and not from the diffuse seepage. For the same reason, salinity could not  
798 be used as a tracer in either of the seepage areas (Maro Cliff and Cantarrijan Beach) because

799 salinity anomalies were also created mostly by nearby coastal and submarine springs  
800 respectively, overwhelming the salinity signal that is only due to diffuse seepage.

801 In this complex scenario,  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  was the only groundwater tracer able to uniquely identify  
802 diffuse groundwater seepage to the sea and a  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  mass balance was thus used to quantify  
803 groundwater seepage fluxes. Based on this mass balance in the Maro Cliff area, we calculated a  
804 discharge of  $2.9 \pm 0.8 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  (Table 2), whereas using seepage meter deployments ( $n = 4$ )  
805 the discharge was  $2.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ . In the Cantarrijan Beach we found very similar seepage  
806 flux estimates using the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  approach ( $0.9 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) and using seepage meters ( $0.8 \pm$   
807  $0.2 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ) with a total of 9 deployments (Table 2). While the two methods agree very well,  
808 we recommend using the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  method over seepage meters. The main advantages of the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$   
809 technique are (1) fully automatic data collection with very little field efforts, (2) temporal and  
810 spatially integrated SGD estimates, which allow capturing small hydraulic conductivity  
811 variations over large areas of diffuse seepage (Burnett et al., 2001).

812 *Subaerial forms of groundwater discharge to the sea (coastal springs and groundwater-*  
813 *fed creeks):* Coastal springs, and creeks that are primarily groundwater sustained, were only  
814 present at the conglomerate and schist sections of the study site that are comprised of  
815 conglomerate and schist, where permeabilities are lower than in the marble formation (karst  
816 section). During boat surveys  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  activity levels in coastal waters of these two sections were  
817 always within background offshore values ( $1.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ , Fig. 5) even at the points of  
818 coastal springs and groundwater-fed creeks discharge that were visually identified. We attributed  
819 the observed low  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentrations to degassing and radioactive decay during groundwater  
820 transit from land to the ocean. For instance,  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentration at the point of the groundwater-  
821 fed Maro Creek origin (Maro Spring,  $250 \pm 90 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ) was significantly higher than at the

822 point of the Creek entrance to the sea (Maro Creek 3,  $16 \pm 7$  dpm  $m^{-3}$ ) (Fig. 1; Supplementary  
823 material Table A.2). However, we were able to find groundwater signature of the Miel Creek and  
824 the Alberquillas coastal spring in coastal waters of the schist section using  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  concentration  
825 anomalies; radium was  $56 \pm 4$  dpm  $m^{-3}$  and  $12 \pm 2$  dpm  $m^{-3}$  respectively at their point of entry to  
826 the sea (Fig. 1). While coastal springs were easily located visually, additional field efforts were  
827 usually required to determine whether the creeks were solely fed by groundwater inputs from the  
828 karst aquifer in order to be considered (or not) in the total groundwater budget of Sierra  
829 Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer.

830 *Total groundwater discharge to Maro-Cerro Gordo coastal area:* Combining all  
831 groundwater fluxes to the sea in Maro-Cerro Gordo, we estimate a daily groundwater discharge  
832 that ranged between  $9 \pm 2 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  during dry periods and  $12 \pm 3 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  during wet  
833 periods, with an average of  $11 \pm 3 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$ . This combined discharge is composed of: 3.6 –  
834  $4.0 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  in the conglomerate section,  $2.4 - 3.6 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  in the schist section, and  $3.3 -$   
835  $4.7 \times 10^3$   $m^3$   $d^{-1}$  in the karst section (Supplementary material Table A.2). As described above,  
836 differences in the geologic settings in each section, have defined the form of groundwater flow to  
837 the sea (Fig. 10, Table 4).

838

839 **Figure 10: Total groundwater discharge (TGD) in the study area divided in forms of discharge (GS:**  
840 **groundwater diffuse seepage, CS: coastal springs, GC: groundwater-fed creeks, SS: submarine**  
841 **springs), showing maximum and minimum flux during wet and dry periods.**

842

843 In the conglomerate section, most of the groundwater flow takes place preferentially  
844 through the travertine formation as groundwater seepage and it is 25 - 31% of the total discharge  
845 in the study area, whereas small coastal springs dispersed along the section contribute to 5 – 6%,

846 and groundwater-fed creeks for 1 – 2%. The ubiquitous presence of a schist formation in the  
847 schist section impedes direct submarine flow and groundwater discharge converges in two  
848 points: groundwater-fed Miel Creek, and Alberquillas coastal spring which account for 25 – 30%  
849 of the total discharge. In the karst section, where Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer is in direct  
850 connection with the sea, SGD takes place as groundwater seepage through marine sediments in  
851 Cantarrijan Beach assessed to account for 10 - 18%. Karstic submarine springs in Cantarrijan  
852 Caves, Palomas Cave, and Sifon Cave represent 19 - 25% of the total groundwater discharge to  
853 the sea.

## 854 **5.2 Complexity of Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas karst aquifer hydrodynamics**

855 The large spatial variations in the different forms of groundwater discharge in this coastal  
856 karst aquifer were also reflected in the seasonal variability of discharge during contrasting  
857 periods (Fig. 2). For instance, in Maro Cliff (conglomerate section, Fig. 1) we expected higher  
858 seepage rates in December during the wet period (Fig. 2). However, the discharge estimations for  
859 the dry period (July) and the wet period (December) were statistically identical: groundwater  
860 seepage in Maro Cliff during the dry period was  $2.9 \pm 0.8 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  and  $3.0 \pm 0.8 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$   
861 during the wet period.

862 There are two hypotheses that attempt to explain the observed lack of seasonal variation  
863 of groundwater discharge in Maro Cliff. The first hypothesis suggests that the observed steady  
864 flow is maintained by continuous infiltration of agricultural irrigation water used by the adjacent  
865 greenhouse fields. A second hypothesis, proposed by Espejo et al. (1988) and Castillo et al.  
866 (2001) suggests that the surplus of groundwater that maintains the base flow during the dry  
867 season originates from the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer. The authors propose that  
868 groundwater is transferred from the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer to the coast through the

869 adjacent conglomerate unit and travertines near the small town of Maro. These two  
870 hydrogeologic units are indeed in immediate contact with the sea (Fig. 1). To test both hypotheses  
871 we used two common geochemical approaches.

872 We first differentiated between heavier isotopic ( $\delta^2\text{H}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ) compositions (more  
873 positive values), associated with evaporation processes or mixing with seawater (Gat, 1971). The  
874 isotopic composition of groundwater collected from Maro Spring (i.e. in the conglomerate  
875 section) showed the most negative values (-46‰, -7.7‰) on the local groundwater line (LWL) in  
876 this area (Fig. 8b). These values correspond to the composition of deep groundwater flow in  
877 Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer (Liñán et al., 2000). Groundwater seepage in Maro Cliff  
878 presents average isotopic values (-44‰ and -7.4‰) that are similar but slightly higher than Maro  
879 Spring, suggesting that water transfer from the marble formation is a plausible option.

880 To differentiate between irrigation water and water transferred from the Sierra Almirajara-  
881 Alberquillas Aquifer to the travertines, the water stable isotopes end-members have to be very  
882 different than the deep/spring groundwater which has a negative composition compared to more  
883 positive values of evaporated irrigation water. We know that the water used for irrigation is  
884 withdrawn by farmers from Maro Spring. However, once extracted and in contact with the  
885 atmosphere, the water isotopic composition changes to more positive isotopic values. We also  
886 found that the collected spring water utilized for irrigation is typically stored in holding tanks  
887 and used when needed. During this holding time and in the process of irrigation, the water  
888 experiences further evaporation, and as a result, it should result in even more positive isotopic  
889 signature such as the waters of the coastal spring Barranco Maro (up to -43 and -7.3). The  
890 isotopic signature of irrigation water is thus, very different from the original Maro Spring water,  
891 allowing us to define a two-end-member system where coastal spring Huerto Romero represents



892 the most evaporated irrigation water (Fig. 8b). Based on a mixing model using these end-  
893 members we found that seeping groundwater at Maro Cliff is indeed composed mostly from  
894 infiltrating irrigation water. We also found that the ratio of Maro Spring water to irrigation  
895 changes depending on the season with highest percentage (up to 82%) of irrigation water during  
896 the dry period compared to the wet period (74%).

897 To further confirm these finding, we utilized water quality parameters, such as sulfate  
898 ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) and nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) concentrations, to differentiate between these two water sources.  
899 Infiltrated irrigation water should have much higher  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations and constant  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  
900 whereas deep groundwater should natural concentrations of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . Thus,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  content can be  
901 used as an indication of irrigation origin. The isotopic composition of Sierra Almirajara-  
902 Alberquillas Aquifer in this area fall within a narrow  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentration range (1700 – 2600  
903  $\text{mmol m}^{-3}$ ) (Fig. 11), being naturally high in Maro Spring groundwater (Liñán et al., 2000).  
904 When plotting  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations in water, all water samples derived from Maro  
905 Spring before infiltration showed low  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration (5 – 21  $\text{mmol m}^{-3}$ ) and naturally high  
906 levels of  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  (Fig. 11). All samples collected from coastal springs that discharge to the sea  
907 (Doncellas, Barranco Maro, Huerto Romero, and Maro Beach coastal springs), showed levels of  
908  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  typical of Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer and high levels of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (more than 350  
909  $\text{mmol m}^{-3}$ ) indicating fertilizer inputs. On the other hand, samples collected at the area of  
910 seepage through seabed sediments in the Maro Cliff, showed  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentrations (130  $\text{mmol m}^{-3}$ )  
911 <sup>3</sup>) that are closer to the observed background levels of Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas groundwater  
912 representative (5 – 21  $\text{mmol m}^{-3}$ ) than to the contaminated infiltrated water (Fig. 11).

913

914 **Figure 11: Groundwater ionic relationship between  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  where groundwater samples**  
915 **with similar composition are grouped in dashed squares. Symbols are presented as in Fig. 8 based**

916 **on the section and lithology they are located. In the conglomerate section Maro Spring, Nerja Cave,**  
917 **and Maro Creek show similar  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentration with low  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , while Doncellas, Barranco Maro,**  
918 **Huerto Romero, and Maro Beach present  $\text{NO}_3^-$  contamination. Samples from the schist section**  
919 **(Miel and Alberquillas) present a distinctive signal with low  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentrations.**  
920 **Groundwater collected in Cantarrijan Beach (karst section) shows seawater influence from**  
921 **saltwater recirculation in beach sediments. Arrows indicate the geochemical change before**  
922 **irrigation and after fertilizers application ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), where Maro cliff (diffuse seepage) shows mixing**  
923 **between both groups.**

924

925       Therefore, we are confident that groundwater seepage to the sea observed and quantified  
926 in Maro Cliff is mostly generated as a result of infiltrated irrigation water. We found uniform  
927 groundwater flux (independently of rainfall) in this area throughout the year (Fig. 6a) supporting  
928 this hypothesis. Irrigation occurs constantly throughout the year, and infiltration through the  
929 highly porous travertine constitutes a constant source of water that flows towards the coast and  
930 ultimately discharges into the sea as groundwater seepage. Irrigation water from greenhouse  
931 farming is collected from the Maro Spring and thus SGD in the Maro Cliff should not be added  
932 to the total budget of the aquifer system as it has been already accounted as groundwater from  
933 Maro Spring on land. This is a significant new finding and should be considered when compiling  
934 the total water budget of the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer.

935       In contrast to the groundwater seepage dynamics in Maro Cliff (conglomerate section),  
936 the seepage rates in the Cantarrijan Beach (karst section) were seasonally modulated; the  
937 groundwater flux that the Cantarrijan Beach area received in the dry period was  $0.9 \pm 0.1 \times$   
938  $10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  which was half of its wet period discharge ( $2.3 \pm 0.3 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ ). Differences in  
939 aquifer recharge and sea level variations between the dry and wet periods have control on the

940 magnitude of SGD (Carrasco et al, 1998; Santos et al., 2009). During dry periods precipitation  
941 was absent for up to two months, decreasing the recharge from infiltrated meteoric water in  
942 Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer. Although during December of 2015 the area did not  
943 receive any rainfall, rain events occurred during September-November contributed to the  
944 recharge of Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer, deriving in higher seepage fluxes in  
945 Cantarrijan Beach (Fig. 2). Additionally, the mean sea level measured during 2010-2016 near the  
946 study area (Permanent Service for Mean Sea Level,  
947 <http://www.psmsl.org/data/obtaining/stations/1940.php>) showed seasonal fluctuations, with  
948 lower sea levels during January-May compared to higher levels during August-December (Fig.  
949 2). The observed moderate increase of 15 cm during the dry periods has contributed to the  
950 observed higher salinity of groundwater in Cantarrijan Beach, as well as the overall lower  
951 groundwater seepage rates during the dry periods.

952 Based on the salinity mixing model (Eqs. 9 and 10), we calculated that the fresh fraction  
953 of SGD was 48% of the total groundwater seepage, which means that during July of 2016, only  
954  $0.4 \pm 0.1 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  should be taken into account as part of the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas  
955 Aquifer water budget.

956 The salinity gradient observed from GW-Well to GW-Pz-4 is an indication that there is  
957 saltwater recirculation in the beach sediments and with the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  approach we have captured  
958 both the fresh and recirculated SGD (Fig. 6c). We did not repeat these measurements during the  
959 wet period, but we can hypothesize that this percentage was very similar based on the salinity  
960 measured in GW-Well during December of 2015 (Supplementary material Table A.2).

961 **5.3 Importance of groundwater discharge for the water budget of Sierra Almirajara-**  
962 **Alberquillas Aquifer and nitrate loading to Maro-Cerro Gordo coastal area**

963 To estimate the portion of the annual fresh groundwater discharge to the sea from Sierra  
964 Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer water budget, we extrapolated average daily fluxes to obtain an  
965 annual range for the wet and dry periods (Table 4). During this study, we found that the total  
966 groundwater discharge to the sea was  $1.9 \pm 0.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$  during the dry period, and  $2.6 \pm 0.8 \times$   
967  $10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$  wet period (Table 4). Based on Pérez-Ramos and Andreo (2007) and Castillo et al.  
968 (2001) the total annual groundwater budget of the Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas Aquifer is  $50 \times$   
969  $10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ , and thus the flux we estimated represents 4 - 5% of the water resources of this karst  
970 system. This is a conservative estimate and should be considered as groundwater flow at base  
971 conditions because sampling campaigns were purposely not conducted after big rain events (Fig.  
972 2).

973 In these calculations, we do not account for groundwater seepage in Maro Cliff  
974 (conglomerate section), coastal springs Doncellas, Barranco Maro, Huerto Romero, and Maro  
975 Beach, and groundwater-fed Tierras Nuevas Creek as they are originated from irrigation water  
976 that has been already accounted as outputs from Maro Spring.

977 Based on the groundwater discharge to the sea,  $\text{NO}_3^-$  fluxes per unit of shore length in the  
978 conglomerate section were  $205 \pm 90 \text{ mmol m}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , while the schist and karst sections together  
979 receive between  $15 \pm 3 \text{ mmol m}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$  on average. When normalized by shore length,  $\text{NO}_3^-$   
980 discharge in the conglomerate section is  $3.5 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , which compared to other  
981 anthropogenically impacted sites of coastal karst aquifers in the Mediterranean, is very similar.  
982 For example, García-Solsona et al. (2010) estimated a nitrate flux of  $8.3 - 1.5 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in  
983 eastern Spain, and Rodellas et al. (2014) found  $0.97 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  in Majorca Island (Balearic  
984 Islands), whereas in a site in Menorca Island the flux was  $18 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  (García-Solsona et al.,  
985 2010b). It is important to note that while it only represents 20% (or 3 km) of the total shoreline

986 length (16 km), the conglomerate section receives about 75% of the total  $\text{NO}_3^-$  delivered to  
987 Maro-Cerro Gordo coastal waters (Supplementary material Table A.2).

988         Since 1989 the Maro-Cerro Gordo Natural Area (schist and karst sections), has been  
989 protected due to the presence of endemic and endangered flora and fauna by the Council of  
990 Environment of Andalusia. The European Commission designated the area as a Special  
991 Protection Area (SPA), Specially Protected Area of Mediterranean Importance (SPAMI), Site of  
992 Community Importance (SCI), and Special Area of Conservation (SAC) (Aranda and Otero,  
993 2014). Specifically, the conservation area hosts three species of marine phanerogams (*Zostera*  
994 *marina*, *Posidonia oceanica*, and *Cymodocea nodosa*), included in the IUCN Red List of  
995 Threatened Species. Seagrass provides a unique habitat for a wide range of species (Hughes et  
996 al., 2009); including the largest bivalve in the Mediterranean *Pinna nobilis* (Theodorou et al.,  
997 2015), endangered fish *Epinephelus marginatus* (Gallego et al., 2015), and marine turtle *Caretta*  
998 *caretta* (Tomas et al., 2001) among others. As other studies have demonstrated, nitrate surplus  
999 loading often alters the primary producers community (Rapport and Whitford, 1999), and fast  
1000 growing micro and macroalgae can proliferate preventing seagrasses *Zostera marina* and  
1001 *Posidonia oceanica* from having enough sunlight and space (Hauxwell et al., 2001; Deegan et  
1002 al., 2002). For example, Valiela et al. (2002) demonstrated that seagrass production could  
1003 decrease up to 90% when nitrogen inputs are higher than  $500 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ . Only in the  
1004 groundwater seepage area of Maro Cliff we have found that nitrogen fluxes (as nitrate) was  
1005 about  $2500 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ , which is five times higher than the Valiela et al.'s assessment and  
1006 should be a major concern for the ecological status of the marine system in the conglomerate  
1007 section (Fig. 1). However, to further understand the implications of nutrients fluxes to the sea on

1008 the marine ecosystem (particularly on endemic seagrass and fauna), additional investigation in  
1009 the area must be conducted.

## 1010 **5.4 Sensitivity analysis of methods applied**

1011 To further compare the applicability of methodologies utilized in the presented multi-  
1012 method approach, we constructed a sensitivity analysis including each method's main  
1013 assumptions.

### 1014 **5.4.1 Parameter sensitivity of $^{224}\text{Ra}$ and salinity mass balance methods for** 1015 **determining discharge of submarine springs**

1016 Three terms represent the major source of uncertainty in the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  and salinity mass  
1017 balances we used to calculate submarine spring discharge, including (1) the residence time of the  
1018 receiving coastal waters ( $t$ ), (2) the volume of the SGD plume ( $V$ ), and (3) the uncertainty in the  
1019 determination of groundwater end-member ( $Ra_{SGD}$ ) (Table 5).

1020 The largest uncertainty in this model is given by the assumption of a one day residence  
1021 time ( $t$ ). A common technique for assessing water ages of coastal waters is based on short-lived  
1022 radium isotopes,  $^{223}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  (Moore, 2000). However, during this study we could not  
1023 measure both radium isotopes and we were unable to apply this method. The karst section of  
1024 Maro-Cerro Gordo displays rocky cliff areas spread across the geographically exposed to  
1025 prevailing west winds coastline which reflects the influence of high energy waves. The karst  
1026 aquifer has very high secondary porosity and permeability which provides the opportunity for  
1027 extensive groundwater-surface water exchange. The estimate of a one day residence time for this  
1028 study is based on a comparison to similar high-energy coastal environments that are typical for  
1029 the Mediterranean coastline. Under similar hydrogeological conditions in eastern Spain, using  
1030 the methodology presented in Moore (2000), García-Solsona et al. (2010) assessed residence

1031 time ( $t$ ) between 1.1 d and 2.7 d, whereas Tovar-Sánchez et al. (2014) found residence times of  
1032 1.7, and 1.2 days in three coves in a karst system in the eastern shore of the Majorca Island.  
1033 Considering these studies and specifics of this study site, we suggest using residence times of  
1034 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, and 3.0 days in the sensitivity analysis.

1035 To determine the volume ( $V = \text{plume area} \times \text{depth}$ ) of the SGD plume, we used areas of  
1036 salinity sea surface anomalies created by the submarine springs discharge at Maro-Cerro Gordo.  
1037 Specifically, we utilized salinity anomalies of -0.3, 0.0, 0.3, and 0.6 isolines. For vertical scale  
1038 we use water depths acquired from the bathymetry database of the Andalusia Council of  
1039 Environment (<http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/medioambiente/site/rediam>) assuming a well-  
1040 mixed water column. However, Garcia-Solsona et al. (2010) found in eastern Spain that although  
1041 complete mixing in the water column could be found near the karst springs vents, the salinity  
1042 anomaly measured in surface waters was limited to the 0.5 m upper most layer. Similar settings  
1043 are possible in Maro-Cerro Gordo; thus, we also calculated  $V$  based on the 0.0 salinity anomaly  
1044 isoline and considering a depth of 0.5 m (Table 5)

1045 There are only two groundwater wells in the karst section of Maro-Cerro Gordo, (GW-  
1046 CG-1 and GW-CG-2). For the sensitivity analysis we used  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  concentration in GW-CG-1  
1047 ( $1260 \pm 90 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ) and GW-CG-2 ( $1020 \pm 80 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ) as end-members, both sampled in  
1048 September of 2006.

1049 After tabulating these parameters, both the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  and salinity mass balance models  
1050 showed the highest sensitivity to variations in the volume affected by SGD ( $V$ ). We found that  
1051 the total discharge from submarine springs decreases by 80% when using salinity isolines of 0.6  
1052 to -0.3 in a well-mixed water column; however, if the fresh plume is limited to the 0.5 m top  
1053 layer of the water column, the decrease is up to 97%. Residence time ( $t$ ) was the second most

1054 important variable affecting the variability of discharge from submarine springs, increasing by  
1055 92% when changing the residence time from 0.25 to 3.0 days (Table 5). The  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  mass balance  
1056 showed limited sensitivity to varying groundwater end-member values ( $Ra_{SGD}$ ), when using the  
1057 GW-CG-2 value instead of GW-CG-1, all estimations increased only by 20%. The maximum  
1058 variation utilizing the three variables simultaneously in the  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  mass balance was 100% with a  
1059 total discharge of  $0.2 - 90.5 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ . The salinity mass balance presented a total variation of  
1060 100% to changing  $t$  and  $V$ , with a total discharge of  $0.03 - 13.5 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ , which represents  
1061 the purely fresh discharge (Table 5).

#### 1062 **5.4.2 Parameter sensitivity of the $^{222}\text{Rn}$ mass balance and seepage meters** 1063 **measurements in determining diffuse seepage**

1064 In the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  model, we considered that only the seepage area ( $A$ ) could be subject to  
1065 ambiguity because all terms in the model (including the groundwater end-member) have been  
1066 carefully measured. To delineate the size of the seepage face we used the following  $^{222}\text{Rn}$   
1067 concentration isolines: for the Cantarrijan Beach we used the 10, 8, 6, and 5  $\text{dpm m}^{-3}$ , while in  
1068 Maro Cliff we used the 25, 30, 35, and 45  $\text{dpm m}^{-3}$  (Table 5). For comparison reasons, were used  
1069 the same seepage areas to calculate SGD when using the seepage meters approach. Using the  $25$   
1070  $\times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$   $^{222}\text{Rn}$  concentration isoline in Maro Cliff produces 86% higher for both dry and  
1071 wet periods, compared to seepage area based on the  $45 \times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$  isoline. The discharge  
1072 ranged from  $0.9 - 6.7 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  and  $0.9 - 7.5 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  during dry and wet periods  
1073 respectively. In Cantarrijan Beach we found an increase of 82%, with a discharge estimation that  
1074 ranged between  $0.2 - 1.2 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  and  $0.5 - 2.9 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  during dry and wet periods. The  
1075 radon method showed higher sensitivity (89%) than the seepage meter assessments (87%) (Table  
1076 5).



### 1077 **5.4.3 Implications for the water budget of Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas**

#### 1078 **Aquifer**

1079 Using the presented ranges of fluxes of each methodology, we calculated a total  
1080 groundwater discharge to the sea of  $4.3 - 105.1 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$  from all forms of discharge (Table  
1081 6). The fresh water component of the total discharge can be obtained from the salinity mass  
1082 balance in submarine springs,  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  mass balance and seepage meters for diffuse seepage in  
1083 Cantarrijan Beach (47% fresh, based on the salinity mixing model), Caleta and Miel Creeks, and  
1084 Alberquillas Spring (see sections 5.2 and 5.3). Applying a residence time of 0.25 d, the lowest  
1085 estimated volumes affected by the SGD plume ( $V$ ) at each submarine spring ( $0.2 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ,  $0.18$   
1086  $\times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ,  $0.19 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ) derived from a 0.5 m water column, and the largest estimates for  
1087 seepage face in Cantarrijan Beach ( $A = 1.0 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^2$ ) for the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  model and seepage meter  
1088 methods, the minimum fresh groundwater discharge is  $0.9 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ . Applying the assumption  
1089 of a 3-days residence time, the largest  $V$  at each submarine spring ( $4.6 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ,  $8.7 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ,  
1090  $7.1 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ ), and the largest  $A$  in cantarrijan Beach ( $5.7 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^2$ ) for the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  model and  
1091 seepage meter methods, the maximum fresh groundwater discharge from Sierra Almirajara-  
1092 Alberquillas Aquifer is  $6.8 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ . A total groundwater discharge of  $6.8 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$  seems  
1093 plausible but unlikely during base flow conditions when compared to the total water budget of  
1094 Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas ( $50 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ ). However, a residence time of 1 day seems more  
1095 realistic based on other studies conducted in similar areas. The application of this sensitivity  
1096 analysis including all terms and applying a 1-day residence time gives a total discharge of  $1.0 -$   
1097  $3.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ .

#### 1098 **5.5 Global applicability of the presented methodology approach**

1099           The modes of discharge found in Maro-Cerro Gordo are commonly observed in other  
1100 areas worldwide independent of climate. About half of the Mediterranean and Adriatic coastline  
1101 is comprised of karst aquifers and hydrogeological settings similar to Maro-Cerro Gordo can be  
1102 expected (Fleury et al., 2007, 2005; Surić et al., 2015). In most scenarios authors often point out  
1103 that groundwater discharge was not quantified due to the complex settings where at least two  
1104 forms of discharge occur in multiple locations (e.g. Fleury et al., 2007; Burnett et al., 2008).  
1105 Similar problems are encountered in karst coastlines in the Yucatan Peninsula (Mexico), a karst  
1106 platform located in the Caribbean Sea. Gonnee et al. (2014) assumed that submarine springs  
1107 were representative of the total SGD in the study area, but pointed out that diffuse discharge  
1108 away from springs was not measured. Null et al. (2014) assessed SGD in the eastern shore of the  
1109 Yucatan Peninsula where submarine springs and diffuse seepage are present. Due to the lack of a  
1110 field method to quantify groundwater seepage, they used analytical calculations to establish a  
1111 first order approximation of SGD. Furthermore, SGD in volcanic systems, although geologically  
1112 different than karst, have comparable dynamics due to the similar intrinsic porosity and  
1113 permeability (Burnett et al, 2008; Johnson et al., 2008; Peterson et al, 2009, Dimova et al, 2012).

1114           Common characteristics of these sites include (1) simultaneous groundwater discharge to  
1115 the sea occurring in two or more forms due to highly heterogeneous geological settings, (2) high  
1116 infiltration rates resulting in negligible riverine freshwater inputs, and (3) significant  
1117 groundwater inputs.

1118

## 1119 **6 Conclusions**

1120           Work presented here demonstrates that determining total groundwater discharge to the  
1121 ocean from coastal karst aquifers is not trivial and it requires a very good understanding of the

1122 geology and groundwater origin to constrain an adequate water budget. Specifically, as a result  
1123 of the complex geology of Maro-Cerro Gordo coastal area and highly heterogeneous Sierra  
1124 Almirajara-Alberquillas coastal karst aquifer, we found that groundwater discharge manifests in  
1125 four different forms: (1) groundwater-fed creeks, (2) coastal springs, (3) groundwater seepage  
1126 through seabed sediments, and (4) submarine springs. These expressions of discharge are typical  
1127 for karst systems and should be expected in similar geological settings elsewhere including  
1128 volcanic systems, which although having different rock composition, behave hydrologically very  
1129 similar. We found that only the application of a set of methods specific for each form of  
1130 discharge adequately characterizes and gives a realistic evaluation of groundwater discharge to  
1131 the sea and thus recommend the following approaches (Table 6).

1132         Continuous  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  measurements in coastal waters via boat surveys proved to be the most  
1133 reliable method for detecting diffuse groundwater seepage through seabed sediments. The  
1134 technique complements well with a  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  mass balance model based on time-series  
1135 measurements performed with the same instrumentation. We found that this method gives  
1136 similar results to direct measurements carried out from Lee-type seepage meters.

1137         The presence of submarine springs was reliably detected using continuous salinity  
1138 measurements and discrete  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  sampling. However, because negative salinity anomalies in  
1139 coastal waters could be the result of discharge of other freshwater inputs (e.g. groundwater-fed  
1140 creeks and coastal springs) which are likely to occur in karst systems, salinity alone is not a  
1141 reliable tracer for SGD in coastal karst systems. We were able to confirm the presence of  
1142 submerged springs only when combined with high concentrations of  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  concentrations and  
1143 direct observations via SCUBA diving. Direct flowmeter measurements or applying the  $^{222}\text{Rn}$

1144 method would have been technically and economically challenging, given the springs depth and  
1145 their lateral vent geometry.

1146 Subaerial coastal springs and groundwater-fed creeks are easily detectable visually in the  
1147 field. However, we found that tracer surveys are helpful to identify sources of springs and  
1148 assessing the total discharge from both forms of subaerial groundwater discharge.

1149 We found that the combination of hydrochemistry ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) and water stable  
1150 isotopes ( $\delta^2\text{H}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ) was ideal to decipher the origin of each point of groundwater discharge  
1151 to the sea.

1152 Nitrate fluxes, in an area where endemic and protected seagrasses *Zostera marina*,  
1153 *Posidonia oceanica* are present, were found to be comparable with other coastal karst aquifers  
1154 environmentally impacted by anthropogenic activities in the Mediterranean.

1155 Based on our experience, we strongly recommend the application of the described  
1156 methodology approach in coastal karst systems to assess total groundwater discharge to the sea  
1157 and associated nutrients fluxes.

1158

## 1159 **Acknowledgments**

1160 This research was partially funded by the University of Alabama Graduate School Research and  
1161 Travel Support Fund, the UA Department of Geological Sciences W. Gary Hooks Geological  
1162 Sciences Advisory Board Fund, and the A.S. Johnson Travel Fund. This work is a contribution to  
1163 the Research Group RNM-308 of the Junta de Andalucía, and CGL2015-65858-R of DGICYT.  
1164 V.R. acknowledges support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation  
1165 program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 748896. J.G.O would like to  
1166 thank the support of the Generalitat de Catalunya to MERS (2014 SGR – 1356). We would also

1167 want to thank José Antonio Espejel Carrión, Guillermo González Lozano, Javier López-Murcia  
1168 Martín, Matías Mudarra Martínez, Diego Naranjo Roldán Fernando Nuño López, and Juan  
1169 Manuel Ruiz for their extensive help in the field.

1170

## 1171 **References**

1172 Andreo, B., Carrasco, F., Sanz de Galdeano, C., 1993. Estudio geológico del entorno de la Cueva  
1173 de Nerja. *Geología de la Cueva de Nerja*, 3, 25-50.

1174 Andreo, B., Carrasco, F., 1993. Estudio hidrogeológico del entorno de la Cueva de Nerja.  
1175 *Trabajos Cueva Nerja*, 3, 163-187.

1176 Andreo, B., Vías, J., Durán, J. J., Jiménez, P., López-Geta, J. A., Carrasco, F., 2008.  
1177 Methodology for groundwater recharge assessment in carbonate aquifers: application to pilot  
1178 sites in southern Spain. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 16, 911-925.

1179 Andreo, B., Barberá, J. A., Mudarra, M., Marín, A. I., García-Orellana, J., Rodellas, V., Pérez, I.,  
1180 2017. A multi-method approach for groundwater resource assessment in coastal carbonate (karst)  
1181 aquifers: the case study of Sierra Almirajara (southern Spain). *Hydrogeology Journal*, 1-16.

1182 American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA),  
1183 and Water Environment Federation (WEF), 1999. In Clescerl L., Greenberg A., Eaton A. (Eds),  
1184 *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition*. United Book  
1185 Press, Inc., Baltimore, Maryland. Part 2000, pp. 2-48.

1186 Aranda, Y., Otero, M., 2014. Estudio de las figuras de protección de áreas marinas protegidas de  
1187 Andalucía con fanerógamas marinas y propuestas de mejora para su gestión. Anexo VI. LIC

1188 Arfib, B., Charlier, J. B., 2016. Insights into saline intrusion and freshwater resources in coastal  
1189 karstic aquifers using a lumped Rainfall–Discharge–Salinity model (the Port-Miou brackish  
1190 spring, SE France). *Journal of Hydrology*, 540, 148-161.

1191 Aunay, B., le Strat, P., Duvail, C., Dörfliker, N., Ladouche, B., 2003. Méthode d'analyse  
1192 géologique sur la karstification des Corbières orientales et influence des évènements néogènes  
1193 (tortonno-messiniens). *Hydrology of the Mediterranean and Semiarid Regions*. IAHS,  
1194 Montpellier, (278), 124-129

1195 Bakalowicz, M., 2005. Karst groundwater: a challenge for new resources. *Hydrogeology Journal*,  
1196 13, 148-160.

1197 Bakalowicz, M., El Hakim, M., El-Hajj, A., 2008. Karst groundwater resources in the countries  
1198 of eastern Mediterranean: the example of Lebanon. *Environmental Geology*, 54, 597-604.

1199 Bakalowicz, M., 2015. Karst and karst groundwater resources in the Mediterranean.  
1200 *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 74, 5-14.

1201 Bañares-España E., Báez J.C., Casado M.D., Díaz de Rada C., Flores-Moya A., Rey J., 2002.  
1202 Distribución y estado de las fanerógamas marinas en el Paraje natural Acantilados de Maro-  
1203 Cerro gordo (Málaga-Granada). García-Gómez J.C., Finlayson C. (Eds.), *Libro de Resúmenes*,  
1204 *XII Simposio Ibérico del Bentos Marino*, Impresur, Algeciras, 30–31.

1205 Barberá, J. A., Andreo, B., 2015. Hydrogeological processes in a fluviokarstic area inferred from  
1206 the analysis of natural hydrogeochemical tracers. The case study of eastern Serranía de Ronda (S  
1207 Spain). *Journal of Hydrology*, 523, 500-514.

- 1208 Benac, Č., Rubinić, J., Ožanić, N., 2003. The origine and evolution of coastal and submarine  
1209 springs in Bakar Bay. *Acta Carsologica*, 32, 157-171.
- 1210 Bonacci, O., Roje-Bonacci, T., 1997. Sea water intrusion in coastal karst springs: example of the  
1211 Blaž Spring (Croatia). *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 42, 89-100.
- 1212 Burnett, W. C., Taniguchi, M., Oberdorfer, J., 2001. Measurement and significance of the direct  
1213 discharge of groundwater into the coastal zone. *Journal of Sea Research*, 46, 109-116.
- 1214 Burnett, W. C., Dulaiova, H., 2003. Estimating the dynamics of groundwater input into the  
1215 coastal zone via continuous radon-222 measurements. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*,  
1216 69, 21-35.
- 1217 Burnett, W. C., Bokuniewicz, H., Huettel, M., Moore, W. S., Taniguchi, M., 2003. Groundwater  
1218 and pore water inputs to the coastal zone. *Biogeochemistry*, 66, 3-33.
- 1219 Burnett, W. C., Aggarwal, P. K., Aureli, A., Bokuniewicz, H., Cable, J. E., Charette, M. A.,  
1220 Kontar, E., Krupa, S., Kulkarni, K.M., Loveless, A., Moore, W. S., 2006. Quantifying  
1221 submarine groundwater discharge in the coastal zone via multiple methods. *Science of the Total*  
1222 *Environment*, 367, 498-543.
- 1223 Burnett, W. C., Santos, I. R., Weinstein, Y., Swarzenski, P. W., Herut, B., 2007. Remaining  
1224 uncertainties in the use of Rn-222 as a quantitative tracer of submarine groundwater discharge. A  
1225 New Focus on Groundwater–Seawater Interactions (Proceedings of Symposium HS1001 at  
1226 IUGG2007, Perugia, July 2007. IAHS publication, 312, 109.

- 1227 Burnett, W. C., Peterson, R., Moore, W. S., de Oliveira, J., 2008. Radon and radium isotopes as  
1228 tracers of submarine groundwater discharge—results from the Ubatuba, Brazil SGD assessment  
1229 intercomparison. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 76, 501-511.
- 1230 Butscher, C., Huguenberger, P., 2007. Implications for karst hydrology from 3D geological  
1231 modeling using the aquifer base gradient approach. *Journal of hydrology*, 342, 184-198.
- 1232 Cable, J. E., Burnett, W. C., Chanton, J. P., Weatherly, G. L., 1996. Estimating groundwater  
1233 discharge into the northeastern Gulf of Mexico using radon-222. *Earth and Planetary Science  
1234 Letters*, 144, 591-604.
- 1235 Carrasco, F., Durán, J. J., Andreo, B., Liñán, C., Vadillo, I., 1998. Consideraciones sobre el karst  
1236 de Nerja. *Karst en Andalucía*, 173-181.
- 1237 Castillo, A., Carmona, J., Benavente Herrera, J., 2001. Cuantificación de los recursos hídricos en  
1238 la vertiente meridional del Parque Natural de Sierra Almajara (Málaga). Consideraciones sobre  
1239 su régimen de explotación. *Geogaceta* 29, 33-36.
- 1240 Cerdà-Domènech, M., Rodellas, V., Folch, A., García-Orellana, J., 2017. Constraining the  
1241 temporal variations of Ra isotopes and Rn in the groundwater end-member: Implications for  
1242 derived SGD estimates. *Science of the Total Environment*, 595, 849-857.
- 1243 Charette, M. A., Buesseler, K. O., Andrews, J. E., 2001. Utility of radium isotopes for evaluating  
1244 the input and transport of groundwater-derived nitrogen to a Cape Cod estuary. *Limnology and  
1245 Oceanography*, 46, 465-470.



1246 Charette, M. A., 2007. Hydrologic forcing of submarine groundwater discharge: Insight from a  
1247 seasonal study of radium isotopes in a groundwater-dominated salt marsh estuary. *Limnology*  
1248 and *Oceanography*, 52, 230-239.

1249 Cockenpot, S., Claude, C., Radakovitch, O., 2015. Estimation of air–water gas exchange  
1250 coefficient in a shallow lagoon based on  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  mass balance. *Journal of Environmental*  
1251 *Radioactivity*, 143, 58-69.

1252 Corbett, D., Burnett, W., Cable, P., Clark, S., 1998. A multiple approach to the determination of  
1253 radon fluxes from sediments. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 236, 247-253.

1254 Craig, H., 1961. Isotopic variations in meteoric waters. *Science*, 133, 1702-1703

1255 Crusius, J., Koopmans, D., Bratton, J. F., Charette, M. A., Kroeger, K., Henderson, P., Ryckman,  
1256 L., Halloran, K. Colman, J. A., 2005. Submarine groundwater discharge to a small estuary  
1257 estimated from radon and salinity measurements and a box model. *Biogeosciences*, 2, 141-157.

1258 Custodio, E., 2010. Coastal aquifers of Europe: an overview. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 18, 269-  
1259 280.

1260 Deegan, L. A., Wright, A., Ayvazian, S. G., Finn, J. T., Golden, H., Merson, R. R., Harrison, J.,  
1261 2002. Nitrogen loading alters seagrass ecosystem structure and support of higher trophic levels.  
1262 *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 12, 193-212.

1263 Dimova, N., Burnett, W. C., Lane-Smith, D., 2009. Improved automated analysis of radon  
1264 ( $^{222}\text{Rn}$ ) and thoron ( $^{220}\text{Rn}$ ) in natural waters. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 43, 8599-  
1265 8603.

1266 Dimova, N. T., Burnett, W. C., Speer, K., 2011. A natural tracer investigation of the hydrological  
1267 regime of Spring Creek Springs, the largest submarine spring system in Florida. *Continental*  
1268 *Shelf Research*, 31, 731-738.

1269 Dimova, N. T., Swarzenski, P. W., Dulaiova, H., Glenn, C. R., 2012. Utilizing multichannel  
1270 electrical resistivity methods to examine the dynamics of the fresh water–seawater interface in  
1271 two Hawaiian groundwater systems. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 117, C02012, 1-  
1272 12.

1273 Dimova, N. T., Paytan, A., Kessler, J. D., Sparrow, K. J., García-Tigreros Kodovska, F., Lecher,  
1274 A. L., Murray, J. Tulaczyk, S. M., 2015. Current magnitude and mechanisms of groundwater  
1275 discharge in the Arctic: case study from Alaska. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 49,  
1276 12036-12043.

1277 Dulaiova, H., Peterson, R., Burnett, W.C., Lane-Smith, D., 2005. A multi-detector continuous  
1278 monitor for assessment of  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  in the coastal ocean. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear*  
1279 *Chemistry*, 263 , 361-365.

1280 Dulaiova, H., Burnett, W. C., 2006. Radon loss across the water-air interface (Gulf of Thailand)  
1281 estimated experimentally from  $^{222}\text{Rn}$ - $^{224}\text{Ra}$ . *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L05606, 1-4.

1282 Durán, J. J., 1996. Los sistemas kársticos de la provincia de Málaga y su evolución. Contribución  
1283 al conocimiento paleoclimático del Cuaternario en el Mediterráneo Occidental (Ph.D.  
1284 Dissertation). Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, 409 p.

1285 Espejo, J.M., Luanco M. C., Linares L., 1988. Inventario de surgencias de aguas de origen  
1286 continental en el litoral mediterráneo del sur de España, mediante utilización de sensores

1287 térmicos aeroportados con apoyo de técnicas oceanográficas e hidrogeológicas. In: Fernández-  
1288 Rubio, R., López-Geta, J. A., Ramos-González, G. (Eds.), Tecnología de la Intrusión en  
1289 Acuíferos Costeros. TIAC'88, IGME, Madrid, pp. 191-228.

1290 Fleury, P., 2005. Sources sous-marines et aquifères karstiques côtiers méditerranéens.  
1291 Fonctionnement et caractérisation. PhD dissertation, Université Paris VI, 286 p.

1292 Fleury, P., Bakalowicz, M., de Marsily, G., 2007. Submarine springs and coastal karst aquifers: a  
1293 review. *Journal of Hydrology*, 339, 79-92.

1294 Fourniguet, J., 1975. Néotectonique et Quaternaire marin sur le littoral de la Sierra Nevada,  
1295 Andalousie (Espagne). PhD dissertation. University of Orléans, 234 p.

1296 Gallego, M. A. B., Reyes, O. M., 2015. La población de meros *Epinephelus marginatus* en el  
1297 litoral andaluz (España). *Chronica Naturae*, 5, 68-80.

1298 García-Solsona, E., García-Orellana, J., Masqué, P., Dulaiova, H., 2008. Uncertainties associated  
1299 with  $^{223}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  measurements in water via a Delayed Coincidence Counter (RaDeCC).  
1300 *Marine Chemistry*, 109, 198-219.

1301 García-Solsona, E., García-Orellana, J., Masqué, P., Rodellas, V., Mejías, M., Ballesteros, B.,  
1302 Domínguez, J. A., 2010. Groundwater and nutrient discharge through karstic coastal springs  
1303 (Castelló, Spain). *Biogeosciences*, 7, 2625-2638.

1304 García-Solsona, E., García-Orellana, J., Masqué, P., Garcés, E., Radakovitch, O., Mayer, A.,  
1305 Estradé, S Basterretxea, G., 2010. An assessment of karstic submarine groundwater and  
1306 associated nutrient discharge to a Mediterranean coastal area (Balearic Islands, Spain) using  
1307 radium isotopes. *Biogeochemistry*, 97, 211-229.

1308 Gat, J.R., Garmi, I., 1970: Evolution of the isotopic composition of atmospheric waters in the  
1309 Mediterranean Sea area. *Journal of Geophysical Research.*, 75, 3039 – 3048.

1310 Gat, J. R., 1971. Comments on the stable isotope method in regional groundwater investigations.  
1311 *Water Resources Research*, 7, 980-993.

1312 Gonnee, M. E., Charette, M. A., Liu, Q., Herrera-Silveira, J. A., Morales-Ojeda, S. M., 2014.  
1313 Trace element geochemistry of groundwater in a karst subterranean estuary (Yucatan Peninsula,  
1314 Mexico). *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 132, 31-49.

1315 Guerra-Merchán, A., Serrano, F., 1993. Análisis estratigráfico de los materiales neógeno-  
1316 cuaternarios de la región de Nerja. *Geología de la Cueva de Nerja*, 3, 53-90.

1317 Hallegraeff, G. M., 1993. A review of harmful algal blooms and their apparent global increase.  
1318 *Phycologia*, 32, 79-99.

1319 Hauxwell, J., Cebrián, J., Furlong, C., Valiela, I., 2001. Macroalgal canopies contribute to  
1320 eelgrass (*Zostera marina*) decline in temperate estuarine ecosystems. *Ecology*, 82, 1007-1022.

1321 Hughes, A. R., Williams, S. L., Duarte, C. M., Heck, K. L., Waycott, M., 2009. Associations of  
1322 concern: declining seagrasses and threatened dependent species. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*  
1323 *Environment*, 7, 242-246.

1324 Isiorho, S. A., Meyer, J. H., 1999. The effects of bag type and meter size on seepage meter  
1325 measurements. *Ground Water*, 37, 411-413.

1326 Johnson, A. G., Glenn, C. R., Burnett, W. C., Peterson, R. N., Lucey, P. G., 2008. Aerial infrared  
1327 imaging reveals large nutrient-rich groundwater inputs to the ocean. *Geophysical Research*  
1328 *Letters*, 35, L15606, 1-6.

- 1329 Johnson, A. G. (2008). Groundwater discharge from the leeward half of the Big Island, Hawai'i.  
1330 PhD dissertation. University of Hawai'i, 145 p.
- 1331 Jordá, J.F., 1988. Los travertinos del extremo oriental de la costa de Málaga. Actas del II  
1332 Congreso Geológico de España, Universidad de Granada y Sociedad Geológica de España.  
1333 Granada, 391-394.
- 1334 Jordá, J. F., Aura Tortosa, J. E., Álvarez Fernández, E., Avezuela Aristu, B., Badal, E., Maestro  
1335 González, A., Villalba Currás, M. P., 2011. Evolución paleogeográfica, paleoclimática y  
1336 paleoambiental de la costa meridional de la Península Ibérica durante el Pleistoceno superior. El  
1337 caso de la Cueva de Nerja (Málaga, Andalucía, España). Boletín de la Real Sociedad Española  
1338 de Historia Natural, 105, 137-147.
- 1339 Katz, B. G., Griffin, D. W., Davis, J. H., 2009. Groundwater quality impacts from the land  
1340 application of treated municipal wastewater in a large karstic spring basin: chemical and  
1341 microbiological indicators. Science of the Total Environment, 407, 2872-2886.
- 1342 Knee, K. L., Street, J. H., Grossman, E. E., Boehm, A. B., Paytan, A., 2010. Nutrient inputs to  
1343 the coastal ocean from submarine groundwater discharge in a groundwater-dominated system:  
1344 relation to land use (Kona coast, Hawai'i, USA). Limnology and Oceanography, 55, 1105-1122.
- 1345 Kremer, J. N., Reischauer, A., D'Avanzo, C., 2003. Estuary-specific variation in the air-water  
1346 gas exchange coefficient for oxygen. Estuaries and Coasts, 26, 829-836.
- 1347 Lee, D. R., 1977. A device for measuring seepage flux in lakes and estuaries. Limnology and  
1348 Oceanography, 22, 140-147.

- 1349 Liñán, C., Andreo, B., Carrasco, F., 2000. Caracterización hidrodinámica e hidroquímica del  
1350 manantial de Maro (Sierra Almijara, provincia de Málaga). *Geogaceta*, 27, 95-98.
- 1351 Macintyre, S., Wannikhof, R., Chanton, J.P., 1995. Trace gas exchange across the air-water  
1352 interface in freshwater and coastal marine environments. In: Matson, P.A., Harriss, R.C. (Eds.),  
1353 *Biogenic Trace Gases: Measuring Emissions from Soil and Water*. pp. 52–57.
- 1354 Martens, C. S., Klump, J. V., Kipphut, G. W., 1980. Sediment-water chemical exchange in the  
1355 coastal zone traced by in situ radon-222 flux measurements. *Science*, 208, 285-288.
- 1356 Martínez-Santos, P., Andreu, J. M., 2010. Lumped and distributed approaches to model natural  
1357 recharge in semiarid karst aquifers. *Journal of Hydrology*, 388, 389-398.
- 1358 McCormack, T., Gill, L. W., Naughton, O., Johnston, P. M., 2014. Quantification of  
1359 submarine/intertidal groundwater discharge and nutrient loading from a lowland karst catchment.  
1360 *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, 2318-2330.
- 1361 Mejías, M., García-Orellana, J., Plata, J. L., Marina, M., García-Solsona, E., Ballesteros, B.,  
1362 Masqué, P., López, J., Fernández-Arrojo, C., 2008. Methodology of hydrogeological  
1363 characterization of deep carbonate aquifers as potential reservoirs of groundwater. Case of study:  
1364 the Jurassic aquifer of El Maestrazgo (Castellón, Spain). *Environmental Geology*, 54, 521-536.
- 1365 Mejías, M., Ballesteros, B. J., Antón-Pacheco, C., Domínguez, J. A., García-Orellana, J., García-  
1366 Solsona, E., Masqué, P., 2012. Methodological study of submarine groundwater discharge from  
1367 a karstic aquifer in the Western Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Hydrology*, 464, 27-40.
- 1368 Moore, W. S., 1976. Sampling  $^{228}\text{Ra}$  in the deep ocean. *Deep Sea Research and Oceanographic*  
1369 *Abstracts*, 23, 647-651.

- 1370 Moore, W. S., 1996. Large groundwater inputs to coastal waters revealed by  $^{226}\text{Ra}$  enrichments.  
1371 Nature, 380, 612-614.
- 1372 Moore, W. S., Arnold, R., 1996. Measurement of  $^{223}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  in coastal waters using a  
1373 delayed coincidence counter. Journal of Geophysical Research, 101, 1321-1329.
- 1374 Moore, W. S., 2000. Determining coastal mixing rates using radium isotopes. Continental Shelf  
1375 Research, 20, 1993-2007.
- 1376 Moore, W. S., 2006. Radium isotopes as tracers of submarine groundwater discharge in Sicily.  
1377 Continental Shelf Research, 26, 852-861.
- 1378 Moore, W. S., 2008. Fifteen years experience in measuring  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  and  $^{223}\text{Ra}$  by delayed-  
1379 coincidence counting. Marine Chemistry, 109, 188-197.
- 1380 Moore, W. S., 2010. The effect of submarine groundwater discharge on the ocean. Annual  
1381 Review of Marine Science, 2, 59-88.
- 1382 Null, K. A., Knee, K. L., Crook, E. D., de Sieyes, N. R., Rebolledo-Vieyra, M., Hernández-  
1383 Terrones, L., Paytan, A., 2014. Composition and fluxes of submarine groundwater along the  
1384 Caribbean coast of the Yucatan Peninsula. Continental Shelf Research, 77, 38-50.
- 1385 Pérez-Ramos, I. Andreo, B., 2007. Masas de aguas subterráneas de Alberquillas y Sierra  
1386 Almirante. Atlas hidrogeológico de la Provincia Málaga. Coordinador general Duran, J. J.  
1387 Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, Diputación de Malaga. Tomo 2, 143-148.
- 1388 Peterson, R. N., Burnett, W. C., Glenn, C. R., Johnson, A. G., 2009. Quantification of point-  
1389 source groundwater discharges to the ocean from the shoreline of the Big Island,  
1390 Hawaii. Limnol. Oceanogr, 54, 890-904.

1391 Pinault, J. L., Dörfliker, N., Ladouche, B., Bakalowicz, M., 2004. Characterizing a coastal karst  
1392 aquifer using an inverse modeling approach: The saline springs of Thau, southern France. *Water*  
1393 *Resources Research*, 40, W08501, 1-17.

1394 Pluhowski, E. J., 1972. Hydrologic interpretations based on infrared imagery of Long Island,  
1395 New York. USGS Water-Supply paper 2009-B.

1396 Rapaglia, J., Grant, C., Bokuniewicz, H., Pick, T., Scholten, J., 2015. A GIS typology to locate  
1397 sites of submarine groundwater discharge. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 145, 10-18.

1398 Rapport, D. J., Whitford, W. G., 1999. How Ecosystems Respond to Stress Common properties  
1399 of arid and aquatic systems. *BioScience*, 49, 193-203.

1400 Rodellas, V., García-Orellana, J., García-Solsona, E., Masqué, P., Domínguez, J. A., Ballesteros,  
1401 B. J., Mejías, M., Zarroca, M., 2012. Quantifying groundwater discharge from different sources  
1402 into a Mediterranean wetland by using  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  and Ra isotopes. *Journal of Hydrology*, 466, 11-22.

1403 Rodellas, V., García-Orellana, J., Tovar-Sánchez, A., Basterretxea, G., López-García, J. M.,  
1404 Sánchez-Quiles, D., García-Solsona, E., Masqué, P., 2014. Submarine groundwater discharge as  
1405 a source of nutrients and trace metals in a Mediterranean bay (Palma Beach, Balearic Islands).  
1406 *Marine Chemistry*, 160, 56-66.

1407 Rodellas, V., García-Orellana, J., Masqué, P., Feldman, M., Weinstein, Y., 2015. Submarine  
1408 groundwater discharge as a major source of nutrients to the Mediterranean Sea. *Proceedings of*  
1409 *the National Academy of Sciences*, 112, 3926-3930.

1410 Rosenberry, D. O., 2008. A seepage meter designed for use in flowing water. *Journal of*  
1411 *Hydrology*, 359, 118-130.



1412 Sadat-Noori, M., Santos, I. R., Sanders, C. J., Sanders, L. M., Maher, D. T., 2015. Groundwater  
1413 discharge into an estuary using spatially distributed radon time series and radium  
1414 isotopes. *Journal of Hydrology*, 528, 703-719.

1415 Santos, I. R., Burnett, W. C., Chanton, J., Dimova, N., Peterson, R. N. (2009). Land or ocean?:  
1416 Assessing the driving forces of submarine groundwater discharge at a coastal site in the Gulf of  
1417 Mexico. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 114, C04012, 1-11.

1418 Schincariol, R. A., McNeil, J. D., 2002. Errors with small volume elastic seepage meter bags.  
1419 *Ground water*, 40, 649-651.

1420 Smith, C. G., Swarzenski, P. W., 2012. An investigation of submarine groundwater—borne  
1421 nutrient fluxes to the west Florida shelf and recurrent harmful algal blooms. *Limnology and*  
1422 *oceanography*, 57, 471-485.

1423 Stamatis, G., Migiros, G., Kontari, A., Dikarou, E., Gamvroula, D., 2011. Application of tracer  
1424 method and hydrochemical analyses regarding the investigation of the coastal karstic springs and  
1425 the submarine spring (Anavalos) in Stoupa Bay (W. Mani Peninsula). *Advances in the Research*  
1426 *of Aquatic Environment Springer Berlin Heidelberg*, 459-467.

1427 Stieglitz, T. C., Cook, P. G., Burnett, W. C., 2010. Inferring coastal processes from regional-  
1428 scale mapping of <sup>222</sup>Radon and salinity: examples from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia.  
1429 *Journal of environmental radioactivity*, 101, 544-552.

1430 Stringfield, V. T., LeGrand, H. E., 1971. Effects of karst features on circulation of water in  
1431 carbonate rocks in coastal areas. *Journal of Hydrology*, 14, 139-157.

- 1432 Sun, Y., Torgersen, T., 1998. The effects of water content and Mn-fiber surface conditions on  
1433  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  measurement by  $^{220}\text{Rn}$  emanation. *Marine Chemistry*, 62, 299-306.
- 1434 Surić, M., Lončarić, R., Buzjak, N., Schultz, S. T., Šangulin, J., Maldini, K., Tomas, D., 2015.  
1435 Influence of submarine groundwater discharge on seawater properties in Rovanjaska-Modrič karst  
1436 region (Croatia). *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 74, 5625-5638.
- 1437 Tamborski, J. J., Rogers, A. D., Bokuniewicz, H. J., Cochran, J. K., Young, C. R., 2015.  
1438 Identification and quantification of diffuse fresh submarine groundwater discharge via airborne  
1439 thermal infrared remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 171, 202-217.
- 1440 Taniguchi, M., Burnett, W. C., Cable, J. E., Turner, J. V., 2002. Investigation of submarine  
1441 groundwater discharge. *Hydrological Processes*, 16, 2115-2129.
- 1442 Taniguchi, M., Ishitobi, T., Saeki, K. I., 2005. Evaluation of time-space distributions of  
1443 submarine ground water discharge. *Ground Water*, 43, 336-342.
- 1444 Taniguchi, M., Ishitobi, T., Chen, J., Onodera, S. I., Miyaoka, K., Burnett, W. C., Peterson, R.,  
1445 Liu, G., Fukushima, Y., 2008. Submarine groundwater discharge from the Yellow River delta to  
1446 the Bohai Sea, China. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 113, C06025, 1-11.
- 1447 Theodorou, J. A., James, R., Tzovenis, I., Hellio, C., 2015. The recruitment of the endangered  
1448 fan mussel *Pinna nobilis* (Linnaeus, 1758) on the ropes of a Mediterranean mussel long line  
1449 farm. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 34, 409-414.
- 1450 Tomas, J., Aznar, F. J., Raga, J. A., 2001. Feeding ecology of the loggerhead turtle *Caretta*  
1451 *caretta* in the western Mediterranean. *Journal of Zoology*, 255, 525-532.

1452 Tovar-Sánchez, A., Basterretxea, G., Rodellas, V., Sánchez-Quiles, D., García-Orellana, J.,  
1453 Masqué, P., García-Solsona, E., 2014. Contribution of groundwater discharge to the coastal  
1454 dissolved nutrients and trace metal concentrations in Majorca Island: karstic vs detrital systems.  
1455 *Environmental Science Technology*, 48, 11819-11827.

1456 Trezzi, G., García-Orellana, J., Rodellas, V., Santos-Echeandia, J., Tovar-Sánchez, A., García-  
1457 Solsona, E., Masqué, P., 2016. Submarine groundwater discharge: A significant source of  
1458 dissolved trace metals to the North Western Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Chemistry*, 186, 90-100.

1459 Trezzi, G., García-Orellana, J., Rodellas, V., Masqué, P., García-Solsona, E., Andersson, P. S.,  
1460 2017. Assessing the role of submarine groundwater discharge as a source of Sr to the  
1461 Mediterranean Sea. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 200, 42-54.

1462 Valiela, I., Foreman, K., LaMontagne, M., Hersh, D., Costa, J., Peckol, P., DeMeo-Andreson, B.,  
1463 D'Avanzo, C., Babione, M., Sham, C.H., Brawley, J., 1992. Couplings of watersheds and coastal  
1464 waters: sources and consequences of nutrient enrichment in Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts.  
1465 *Estuaries*, 15, 443-457.

1466 Valiela, I., Cole, M. L., 2002. Comparative evidence that salt marshes and mangroves may  
1467 protect seagrass meadows from land-derived nitrogen loads. *Ecosystems*, 5, 92-102.

1468 Webster, I. T., Hancock, G. J., Murray, A. S., 1995. Modelling the effect of salinity on radium  
1469 desorption from sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 59, 2469-2476.

1470 Weinstein, Y., Yechieli, Y., Shalem, Y., Burnett, W. C., Swarzenski, P. W., Herut, B., 2011.  
1471 What is the role of fresh groundwater and recirculated seawater in conveying nutrients to the  
1472 coastal ocean? *Environmental Science Technology*, 45, 5195-5200.

1473 Wong, W. W., Grace, M. R., Cartwright, I., Cardenas, M. B., Zamora, P. B., Cook, P. L., 2013.  
1474 Dynamics of groundwater-derived nitrate and nitrous oxide in a tidal estuary from radon mass  
1475 balance modeling. *Limnol. Oceanogr*, 58, 1689-1706.

1476 Worthington, S. R. H., 1999. A comprehensive strategy for understanding flow in carbonate  
1477 aquifers. In Palmer A. N., Palmer M. V., Sasowsky I. D. (Eds), *Karst Modeling. Karst Waters*  
1478 *Institute*, pp. 30-37.

1479 Yobbi, D. K., 1992. Effects of tidal stage and ground-water levels on the discharge and water  
1480 quality of springs in coastal Citrus and Hernando Counties, Florida, US Department of the  
1481 Interior. US Geological Survey, 44 p.

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497 **List of Tables**

1498

1499 Table 1: Summary of values for all terms used to solve the radium and salinity mass balances to asses  
1500 submarine springs discharge in the karst section. Calculated total flux ( $F_{SGD}$ ) using both methods are also  
1501 shown.

1502

1503 Table 2: Parameters used in the radon mass balance to asses diffuse seepage in Maro Cliff (conglomerate  
1504 section) and Cantarrijan Beach (karst section) including estimated groundwater fluxes ( $F_{SGD}$ ).

1505

1506 Table 3: Comparison of groundwater seepage velocities estimated via radon model and seepage meters  
1507 during July of 2016 (dry period) in Maro Cliff (conglomerate section) and Cantarrijan Beach (karst  
1508 section).

1509

1510 Table 4: Summary of total groundwater discharge (TGD) including all modes of discharge (CS, GC, GS,  
1511 SS), and fresh groundwater discharge from the Sierra Almijara-Alberquillas (SAA) aquifer (daily and  
1512 annual). Total nitrate fluxes to coastal waters (N-TGD) in the three sections (conglomerate, Schist, and  
1513 Karst sections), with respect to agricultural coverage. Relative agricultural area represents the coverage  
1514 normalized by the total extension of each section near the coast.

1515

1516 Table 5: Values of all parameters applied in the sensitivity analysis including flux ranges estimated for  
1517 each methodology.

1518

1519 Table 6: Applicability comparison of all methods utilized to detect and quantify different modes of  
1520 groundwater discharge to the sea. Flux ranges are based on maxima and minima estimations obtained in

1521 the sensitivity analysis. Daily fluxes are shown for each form of discharge and method, and annual water  
1522 budget (fresh) of Sierra Almirajara-Alberquillas (SAA).

	Radium (1) mass balance			Salinity mass balance (2)			V ( $\times 10^5 \text{ m}^3$ )	F <sub>SGD</sub> (1) ( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	F <sub>SGD</sub> (2)
	Ra <sub>sw</sub>	Ra <sub>SGD</sub> (dpm m <sup>-3</sup> )	Ra <sub>ow</sub>	Sal <sub>sw</sub>	Sal <sub>SGD</sub>	Sal <sub>ow</sub>			
Cantarrijan	25 ± 2	1260 ± 90	8 ± 1	36.3 ± 0.1	0.0	36.5	2.67	4.7 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.1
Palomas	18 ± 2	1260 ± 90	8 ± 1	36.4 ± 0.1	0.0	36.5	4.04	4.3 ± 0.5	0.9 ± 0.1
Sifon	17 ± 2	1260 ± 90	8 ± 1	36.4 ± 0.1	0.0	36.5	3.72	3.7 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.1
Total flux								12.8 ± 1.4	2.3 ± 0.2

Table 1

		$Rn_{SGD}$ ( $\times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ )	$F_{Waterfall}$ ( $\times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	$C_{Ra}$ ( $\text{dpm m}^{-3}$ )	$F_{Diff}$ ( $\text{dpm m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	$F_{Mix}$ ( $\times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	$F_{Atm}$	Seepage Area ( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^2$ )	SGD ( $\text{cm d}^{-1}$ )	$F_{SGD}$ ( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )
Maro Cliff	Jul-15	$350 \pm 50$	$16 \pm 6$	$280 \pm 50$	$619 \pm 57$	$149 \pm 40$	$33 \pm 9$	7.7	$39 \pm 10$	$3.0 \pm 0.8$
	Dec-15	$440 \pm 60$	$21 \pm 8$	$280 \pm 50$	$619 \pm 57$	$238 \pm 64$	$11 \pm 3$	7.7	$40 \pm 11$	$3.1 \pm 0.8$
	Jul-16	$320 \pm 30$	$10 \pm 5$	$280 \pm 50$	$619 \pm 57$	$160 \pm 43$	$25 \pm 7$	7.7	$38 \pm 10$	$2.9 \pm 0.7$
Cantarrijan Beach	Jul-15	$310 \pm 70$	N/A	$170 \pm 40$	$455 \pm 51$	-		4.3	-	-
	Dec-15	$240 \pm 60$	N/A	$170 \pm 40$	$455 \pm 51$	$84 \pm 13$	$30 \pm 5$	4.3	$52 \pm 8$	$2.3 \pm 0.3$
	Jul-16	$350 \pm 40$	N/A	$170 \pm 40$	$455 \pm 51$	$115 \pm 18$	$27 \pm 4$	4.3	$22 \pm 3$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$

Table 2



	SGD seepage rate (cm d <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Maro Cliff	Cantarrijan Beach
Radon model	38 ± 10	22 ± 3
Seepage meters	28 ± 7	23 ± 7
SM-1	19	13
SM-2	32	32
SM-3	37	26
SM-4	25	20
SM-5		0
SM-6		1
SM-7		0
SM-8		0
SM-9		1

Table 3

	TGD	CS	GC	GS	SS	Fresh TGD from SAA	Annual budget SAA	Agricultural area	Relative agricultural area	N-TGD
	( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )		( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )			( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	( $\times 10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ y}^{-1}$ )	( $\text{km}^2$ )	(%)	( $\text{mol d}^{-1}$ )
Conglomerate section	3.5 – 4.0	0.5 – 0.8	0.1 – 0.2	2.9 – 3.0	0	0.1 – 0.2	0.06 – 0.08	204	95	550 - 732
Schist section	2.4 – 3.6	1.1 – 1.6	1.3 – 2.0	0	0	2.3 – 3.4	0.8 – 1.2	103	7	136 – 204
Karst section	3.0 – 4.8	0	0	0.9 – 2.3	2.1 – 2.5	2.5 – 3.6	1.0 – 1.3	0	0	18 – 53

Table 4

Method/Form of discharge	Model parameters										Final SGD estimate (x 10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )	Percentage difference between min and max estimate
	Residence time (days)	<sup>224</sup> Ra gw end-member (dpm m <sup>-3</sup> )	Salinity isoline	Volume SGD plume (x 10 <sup>5</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )			<sup>222</sup> Rn isoline (x 10 <sup>3</sup> dpm m <sup>-3</sup> )		Seepage area (x 10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>2</sup> )			
				Cantarrijan	Palomas	Sifon	Maro Cliff	Cantarrijan Beach	Maro Cliff	Cantarrijan Beach		
Salinity mass balance/Submarine springs	0.25	N/A	-0.3	0.9	1.5	1.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2 - 90.5	100%
	0.50	N/A	0.0	2.6	4.0	3.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	1.00	N/A	0.3	2.8	5.4	4.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	3.00	N/A	0.6	4.6	8.7	7.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	0.5 m water column		0.0	0.20	0.18	0.19	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
<sup>224</sup> Ra mass balance/Submarine springs	0.25	1020 ± 80	-0.3	0.9	1.5	1.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.03 - 13.5	100%
	0.50		0.0	2.6	4.0	3.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	1.00	1260 ± 90	0.3	2.8	5.4	4.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	3.00		0.6	4.6	8.7	7.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	0.5 m water column		0.0	0.20	0.18	0.19	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
<sup>222</sup> Rn mass balance/Diffuse seepage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	25	5	2.4	1.0	1.1 - 10.0	89%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	30	6	7.7	3.2		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35	8	10.6	4.3		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	45	10	17.8	5.7		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	25	5	2.4	1.0		
Seepage meters/Diffuse seepage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	30	6	7.7	3.2	1.2 - 9.1	87%
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35	8	10.6	4.3		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	45	10	17.8	5.7		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	25	5	2.4	1.0		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	30	6	7.7	3.2		

Table 5

Mode of groundwater (GW) discharge / site name	<sup>224</sup> Ra method		<sup>222</sup> Rn method		Salinity method		Seepage meter method		Flow meter method
	Obs. anomaly in seawater	Flux ( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	Obs. anomaly in seawater	Flux ( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	Obs. anomaly in seawater	Flux ( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	Obs. anomaly in seawater	Flux ( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )	Flux ( $\times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ d}^{-1}$ )
GW-fed creeks									
Caleta Tierras Nuevas Miel	✓	N/A	×	N/A	✓	N/A	×	N/A	1.4 – 2.2
Coastal springs									
Doncellas Barranco Maro Huerto Romero Maro Beach Alberquillas	×	N/A	×	N/A	✓	N/A	×	N/A	1.6 – 2.4
Submarine springs									
Cantarrijan Palomas Sifon	✓	0.2 – 90.5	×	N/A	✓	0.03 – 13.5	×	N/A	N/A
Diffused seepage									
Maro Cliff Cantarrijan Beach	×	N/A	✓	1.1 – 10.0	✓	N/A	✓	1.2 – 9.1	N/A

Table 6

1 **List of figures**

2

3 Figure 1: Study site location and geological map showing water table contour lines (from Pérez-  
4 Ramos and Andreo, 2007), groundwater flow direction, important wells and piezometers,  
5 sampling points, and terrestrial springs. Groundwater discharge to the sea (TGD) is represented  
6 in purple and is based on this study. Coastal springs are represented as CS, groundwater-fed  
7 creeks as GC, diffuse groundwater seepage as GS, and submarine springs as SS. The study area  
8 is divided in three sections: conglomerate section, schist section, and karst section. The  
9 distribution of seagrass beds are based on Bañares-España et al. (2002), and Aranda and Otero  
10 (2014).

11

12 Figure 2: Average monthly precipitation and sea level change during 2010, 2015, and 2016. Error  
13 bars show the monthly rainfall variability during this study. In December of 2015 slight  
14 precipitation occurred only during the first week, however, abundant rainfall took place during  
15 September-November. Sea level showed minima values during January-May and maxima during  
16 August-December. Sampling campaigns were conducted during May-July (dry periods) and  
17 December (wet periods).

18

19 Figure 3: Interpolated  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  activity concentrations in September of 2010 along the schist and  
20 karst sections. Two areas of high  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  in coastal waters were identified in the schist section near  
21 groundwater-fed Miel Creek ( $56 \pm 4 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ), and the three clustered submarine springs  
22 discharging from Cantarrijan Caves ( $51 \pm 4 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ ) located in the Cantarrijan area (karst  
23 section).

24

25 Figure 4: Salinity anomaly map showing combined results from May, July, and December of  
26 2015. Two areas of negative salinity anomalies were found in the conglomerate (salinity  
27 anomaly = -1.2 to -1.7) and karst (salinity anomaly = -0.3 to -1.0) sections almost perfectly  
28 aligned with their delineated extent.

29

30 Figure 5: Radon-222 distribution map based on surveys during July and December of 2015, and  
31 July of 2016. Two radon peaks were in found in Maro Cliff (conglomerate section) and  
32 Cantarrijan Beach (karst section) with maximum concentrations of  $44 \pm 3 \times 10^3$  dpm m<sup>-3</sup> and  $30$   
33  $\pm 2 \times 10^3$  dpm m<sup>-3</sup> respectively.

34

35 Figure 6: (a) Groundwater seepage velocity averaging results from radon mass balance and  
36 seepage meters in areas of diffuse seepage (Maro Cliff and Cantarrijan Beach). Radon  
37 distribution in (b) Maro Cliff (conglomerate section) and (c) Cantarrijan Beach (karst section)  
38 where cylinder symbols represent seepage meter locations and the star radon time series stations.

39

40 Figure 7: Radon fluxes result of each component of the mass balance box model during all  
41 sampling campaigns in Maro Cliff (conglomerate section) and Cantarrijan Beach (karst section).  
42 The largest tracer losses occurred via mixing due to the high exposure of both areas to waves and  
43 currents. Greater difference in SGD-<sup>222</sup>Rn fluxes was found between wet periods (December)  
44 and dry periods (July) in Cantarrijan Beach compared to Maro Cliff.

45

46 Figure 8: (a) Isotopic composition ( $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ,  $\delta^2\text{H}$ ) of water samples collected during all sampling  
47 campaigns. Local Groundwater Line (LGL) represents the linear trend based on all groundwater

48 samples collected in the study area. Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) is based on Craig,  
49 (1961) and the Western Mediterranean Meteoric Water Line (WMMWL) on Gat and Garmi,  
50 (1970). White color represents points of discharge in conglomerate and breccia lithology, while  
51 light grey are in travertine (conglomerate section); dark grey shows points in schist (schist  
52 section); and black color show locations in karstic marble (karst section). Maro Spring and Nerja  
53 Cave well (not points of discharge to the sea) are represented with a black and white star  
54 respectively. Water samples collected at each section (conglomerate section, schist section, and  
55 karst section) are grouped in dashed squares. (b) Isotopic composition of samples collected from  
56 points of discharge from the travertine formation in the conglomerate section. The two  
57 groundwater end-members (Maro Spring and irrigation waters) are circled, while diffuse seepage  
58 in Maro Cliff is represented with squares.

59

60 Figure 9: Mixing plots of  $^{224}\text{Ra}$  (a) and  $^{222}\text{Rn}$  versus salinity (b) showing best fit linear and  
61 exponential mixing lines, respectively, during surface water surveys along the coastline in  
62 September of 2010, July and December of 2015.

63

64 Figure 10: Total groundwater discharge (TGD) in the study area divided in forms of discharge  
65 (GS: groundwater diffuse seepage, CS: coastal springs, GC: groundwater-fed creeks, SS:  
66 submarine springs), showing maximum and minimum flux during wet and dry periods.

67

68 Figure 11: Groundwater ionic relationship between  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  where groundwater samples  
69 with similar composition are grouped in dashed squares. Symbols are presented as in Fig. 8  
70 based on the section and lithology they are located. In the conglomerate section Maro Spring,

71 Nerja Cave, and Maro Creek show similar  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  concentration with low  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , while Doncellas,  
72 Barranco Maro, Huerto Romero, and Maro Beach present  $\text{NO}_3^-$  contamination. Samples from the  
73 schist section (Miel and Alberquillas) present a distinctive signal with low  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$   
74 concentrations. Groundwater collected in Cantarrijan Beach (karst section) shows seawater  
75 influence from saltwater recirculation in beach sediments. Arrows indicate the geochemical  
76 change before irrigation and after fertilizers application ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), where Maro cliff (diffuse  
77 seepage) shows mixing between both groups.



3°51'0"W


3°49'30"W


3°48'0"W


36°45'30"N


36°45'30"N


36°43'30"N















3°50'30"W

3°50'15"W

30°45'12"N

30°45'07"N



**b**

**Radon-222**  
( $\times 10^3 \text{ dpm m}^{-3}$ )



36°44'18"N

36°44'15"N

36°44'12"N

GW-Well

GW-Pz-1-5

SM-1

SM-9

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

10

9

8

7

6

5

4


3

2

1

36°44'18"N

36°44'12"N



C

**Radon-222**  
(x 10<sup>3</sup> dpm m<sup>-3</sup>)





