

UNIVERSALITY AND MODELS FOR SEMIGROUPS OF OPERATORS ON A HILBERT SPACE

Benjamin Célariès, I. Chalendar, J.R. Partington

► To cite this version:

Benjamin Célariès, I. Chalendar, J.R. Partington. UNIVERSALITY AND MODELS FOR SEMI-GROUPS OF OPERATORS ON A HILBERT SPACE. Journal of Operator Theory, 2019, 82 (1), pp.173-188. 10.7900/jot.2018apr12.2232 . hal-01765337

HAL Id: hal-01765337 https://hal.science/hal-01765337

Submitted on 12 Apr 2018 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

UNIVERSALITY AND MODELS FOR SEMIGROUPS OF OPERATORS ON A HILBERT SPACE

B. CÉLARIÈS, I. CHALENDAR, AND J.R.PARTINGTON

ABSTRACT. This paper considers universal Hilbert space operators in the sense of Rota, and gives criteria for universality of semigroups in the context of uniformly continuous semigroups and contraction semigroups. Specific examples are given. Universal semigroups provide models for these classes of semigroups: following a line of research initiated by Shimorin, models for concave semigroups are developed, in terms of shifts on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper \mathcal{H} will always denote a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

Definition 1.1. An operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is universal if, for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a closed subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{H} invariant for U, a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a bounded linear isomorphism $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$T = \lambda R U_{|\mathcal{M}} R^{-1}.$$

The concept of a universal operator was introduced by Rota [15, 16] where he showed that the backward shift of infinite multiplicity is an explicit example of such operator. The invariant subspace problem provides a motivation for studying universal operators since every operator has a nontrivial invariant closed subspace if and only if all minimal (with respect to the inclusion) invariant subspaces of any universal operator are of dimension 1. See also [3, Chap. 8] and [5] for further information on this topic. More recently, Schroderus and Tylli [18,

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A15, 47D03, 30H10, 31C25.

Key words and phrases. Universal operator, C_0 -semigroup, Wold-type decomposition, concave operator, reproducing kernel, Toeplitz operator.

Thm. 2.2, Cor.2.3] have studied universality from the point of view of spectral properties of the operator.

We first study the Caradus theorem which gives sufficient conditions implying the universality of an operator. We then introduce the notion of positive universality which is natural in view of producing a consistent definition of universality for a strongly continuous semigroup.

After an analysis of a relevant definition for the universality of a semigroup, we give a complete answer for uniformly continuous groups in terms of the universality of the generator.

We then study examples of universal C_0 -semigroups of contractions and quasicontractions, and produce a large class of universal semigroups arising from Toeplitz operators with anti-analytic symbol.

The very last section of the paper deals with C_0 -semigroups which are not quasicontractive. Under the conditions of concavity and analyticity, which imply the existence of a Wold-type decomposition, we can provide models for such semigroups.

2. Universality of an operator

Surprisingly, there are many universal operators since Caradus gave a large class of operators (defined below) with this property.

Definition 2.1. Let $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. We say that U is a Caradus operator if it satisfies the conditions:

- (i) ker U is infinite-dimensional;
- (ii) U is surjective.

Caradus [1] proved that every Caradus operator is universal.

The standard example of a Caradus operator (given by Rota) is the backward shift of infinite multiplicity, which can also be realised as the

3

backward shift S_1 on $L^2(0,\infty)$, defined almost everywhere by

$$S_1 f(t) = f(t+1), \qquad (t \ge 0)$$

for $f \in L^2(0,\infty)$.

The condition that ker U is infinite-dimensional is clearly necessary for universality, but surjectivity is not (as can be seen by taking a direct sum of a universal operator with any other operator). However, if Uis universal, then $U_{|\mathcal{M}|}$ is similar to a multiple of the backward shift for some invariant subspace \mathcal{M} , and thus U has a restriction that is a Caradus operator.

The proof of Caradus's theorem in fact shows that Caradus operators have the formally stronger property of positive universality, defined as follows.

Definition 2.2. An operator $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is positively universal if, for every $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a closed subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{H} invariant for U, a constant $\lambda \geq 0$ and a bounded linear isomorphism $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$T = \lambda R U_{|\mathcal{M}} R^{-1}.$$

In fact positive universality is equivalent to universality, as the following result shows.

Proposition 2.3. Let $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then U is universal if and only if it is positively universal.

Proof. Let $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{J})$ be an arbitrary positively universal operator as given by Caradus's theorem, e.g. the backward shift on $L^2(0, \infty)$, so that αV is also positively universal if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Now there is an invariant subspace \mathcal{M} for U, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, so that we can write $U_{|\mathcal{M}|} = \alpha R^{-1} V R$ with $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{J}$ an isomorphism. Then $U_{|\mathcal{M}|} = |\alpha| R^{-1} W R$, where $W = \alpha V / |\alpha|$, which is positively universal.

Finally, if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ is any operator, then we can write $W_{|\mathcal{N}|} = \lambda Q^{-1}TQ$, where \mathcal{N} is invariant for $W, Q : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{K}$ is an isomorphism, and $\lambda > 0$.

So $U_{|\mathcal{P}} = \lambda |\alpha| R^{-1} Q^{-1} T Q R$, where $\mathcal{P} = R^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ is invariant for U, and so U is positively universal.

It was shown by Rota [16] that the backward shift S_1 of infinite multiplicity also has the property of 1-universality for all operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ of spectral radius strictly less than 1; that is, such an operator can be written as

$$T = RS_{1|\mathcal{M}}R^{-1},$$

where \mathcal{M} is an invariant subspace for S_1 and $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$ is an isomorphism. Another famous example of a universal operator is due to E. Nordgren, P. Rosenthal and F. Wintrobe [12] who proved that C_{φ} – Id is universal on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, with φ is a hyperbolic automorphism of the unit disc. E. Pozzi [13, 14] studied universal shifts and weighted composition operators on various spaces, and C. Cowen and E. Gallardo-Gutiérrez produced examples of universal anti-analytic Toeplitz operators [5].

3. Universal semigroups

3.1. Basic facts on semigroups. A family $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a C_0 -semigroup if

 $T_0 = \text{Id}, T_{t+s} = T_t T_s \text{ for all } s, t \ge 0 \text{ and } \forall x \in \mathcal{H}, \lim_{t \to 0} T_t x = x.$

A uniformly continuous semigroup is a C_0 -semigroup such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \|T_t - \mathrm{Id}\| = 0.$$

Recall also that the generator of a C_0 -semigroup denoted by A is defined by

$$Ax = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T_t x - x}{t}$$

on $D(A) := \{x : \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{T_t x - x}{t} \text{ exists}\}$. Moreover $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is uniformly continuous if and only if $D(A) = \mathcal{H}$, that is, if and only if $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. See for example [6] for an introduction to C_0 -semigroups.

Since a C_0 -semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is not always uniformly continuous, its generator A is in general an unbounded operator. Nevertheless, provided that 1 is not in the spectrum of A, the (negative) Cayley

5

transform of A defined by $V := (A + \mathrm{Id})(A - \mathrm{Id})^{-1}$ is a bounded operator and is called the *cogenerator* of $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$. In [22, Thm III.8.1] the following equivalence is proved:

 $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is the cogenerator of a C_0 -semigroup of contractions if and only if V is a contraction and 1 is not an eigenvalue of V.

Not only contractivity is preserved by the cogenerator. Indeed, Sz.-Nagy and Foias [22, Prop. 8.2] proved that a C_0 -semigroup of contractions consists of normal, self-adjoint, or unitary operators, if and only if its cogenerator is normal, self-adjoint, or unitary, respectively.

3.2. Definitions of universality for semigroups. Let $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the C_0 -semigroup on $L^2([0, +\infty))$ such that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$S_t : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} L^2([0;+\infty)) & \to & L^2([0+\infty)) \\ f & \mapsto & f(\cdot+t) \end{array} \right.$$

Then for any t > 0, by Caradus' theorem, S_t is universal.

Therefore, for any C_0 -semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $L^2([0, +\infty))$, there exist sequences $(\mathcal{M}_t)_t$ of closed subspaces of $L^2([0, +\infty))$, $(\lambda_t)_t$ of complex numbers and $(R_t)_t$ of bounded isomorphisms from \mathcal{M}_t onto $L^2([0, \infty))$ such that, for every t > 0,

$$T_t = \lambda_t R_t(S_t)_{|\mathcal{M}_t} R_t^{-1}.$$

This possible definition of universal semigroups is not fully satisfactory since λ_t , \mathcal{M}_t , and R_t depend heavily on t.

A much more natural and appropriate definition is the following.

Definition 3.1. Let $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup (resp. uniformly continuous) on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . It is called a universal C_0 -semigroup (resp. uniformly continuous) if for every C_0 -semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ (resp. uniformly continuous), there exist a closed subspace \mathcal{M} invariant by every $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{+*}$, and $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$ a bounded isomorphism such that, for all $t \geq 0$:

$$T_t = R(e^{\lambda t} U_{\mu t})_{|\mathcal{M}} R^{-1}.$$

Using this definition of universality for semigroups, a certain amount of caution is required: for the backward shift semigroup on $L^2(0,\infty)$ each S_t is universal, but the semigroup as a whole is not, as we shall see later.

3.3. Uniformly continuous groups. It is very natural to find a criterion involving the generator which captures all the information pertaining to the semigroups. The easiest case to deal with is when the semigroup is uniformly continuous since its generator is bounded. In this situation the semigroup extends to a group parametrised by \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 3.2. Let $(U_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a uniformly continuous group whose (bounded) generator is denoted by A. The following assertions are equivalent:

(i) for every uniformly continuous group $(T_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, there exists a closed subspace \mathcal{M} invariant for $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$, $\mu \geq 0$, and $R: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ a bounded isomorphism such that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$T_t = R U_{\mu t \mid \mathcal{M}} R^{-1}.$$

(ii) A is universal.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$: Let *B* be a bounded operator on \mathcal{H} and $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the uniformly continuous semigroup generated by *B*. Let \mathcal{M} be a closed subspace of \mathcal{H} , $\mu \geq 0$ and $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$ an isomorphism such that

$$T_t = R(U_{\mu t})_{|\mathcal{M}} R^{-1}.$$

For all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we can differentiate $\varphi : t \mapsto T_t x$ at t = 0 and we get:

$$Bx = R(\mu A_{|\mathcal{M}})R^{-1}x,$$

which proves that B is universal.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$: Let $(T_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a uniformly continuous semigroup whose generator is denoted by B. Since A is positively universal by Prop. 2.3, there exist a closed subspace \mathcal{M} of $\mathcal{H}, \mu \geq 0$ and $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$ an isomorphism such that

(1)
$$B = \mu R(A_{|\mathcal{M}})R^{-1}.$$

It follows that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{tB} = R(e^{\mu tA})_{|\mathcal{M}} R^{-1},$$

and then $T_t = R(U_{\mu t})_{|\mathcal{M}} R^{-1}$.

Example 3.3. Take $A = S_1$. To calculate the semigroup $(U_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ it is convenient to work with the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} , which, by the Paley–Wiener theorem [17] provides an isometric isomorphism between $L^2(0,\infty)$ and the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)$ of the upper half-plane \mathbb{C}^+ . Then S_1^* is the right shift by 1 on $L^2(0,\infty)$, and the operator $\mathcal{F}S_1^*\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the analytic Toeplitz operator with symbol e^{iz} .

That is, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{F}U_t^*\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the analytic Toeplitz operator with symbol $\exp(te^{ix})$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathcal{F}U_t\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the anti-analytic Toeplitz operator with symbol $\exp(te^{-ix})$.

Note that the shift semigroup $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $L^2(0,\infty)$ is not universal even for the class of all uniformly continuous contraction semigroups. Its infinitesimal generator A is defined by Af = f' and hence ker $(A - \lambda I)$ has dimension at most 1 for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence if B is a non-zero bounded operator with kernel of dimension at least 2, then we cannot have an identity of the form $B - \lambda I = \mu R(A_{|\mathcal{M}})R^{-1}$, and so we do not have an identity of the form $e^{tB} = e^{\lambda t}R(S_{\mu t})_{|\mathcal{M}}R^{-1}$.

3.4. Contraction semigroups. Note that a subspace \mathcal{M} is invariant for the cogenerator if and only if it is invariant for every member of the semigroup [7].

The following theorem [3, Thm. 8.1.5] can be traced back to [22]. Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be C_{0} if $||T^n x|| \to 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a contraction operator of class $C_{0.}$. Then there is an invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of S_1 such that T is unitarily equivalent to $S_{1|\mathcal{M}}$.

This easily implies the following result.

Theorem 3.5. Let $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the semigroup on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0,\infty)$ whose cogenerator is S_1 . Then for every C_0 contraction semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} there is a common invariant subspace \mathcal{M} for $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and an isomorphism $R: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $T(t) = RU_{t|\mathcal{M}}R^{-1}$ for all $t\geq 0$.

Proof. Consider the cogenerator W of $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$. This is a C_0 contraction, by [22, Sec. III.8–9], and thus can be written as $W = RS_{1|\mathcal{M}}R^{-1}$ for some invariant subspace \mathcal{M} of S_1 and isomorphism $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$. The result then follows by standard calculations.

This semigroup can also be expressed using co-analytic Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{C}^+)$. For, with \mathcal{F} denoting the Fourier transform once more, we have $\mathcal{F}S_1^*\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the multiplication operator (analytic Toeplitz operator) with symbol e^{iz} , and thus $\mathcal{F}U_t^*\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ has symbol

$$\exp(t(e^{iz}+1)/(e^{iz}-1)) = \exp(-it\cot(z/2)).$$

If a semigroup $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is quasicontractive, i.e., it satisfies $||U(t)|| \leq e^{\omega t}$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, then clearly $(e^{-\lambda t}U(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a C_0 contractive semigroup provided that $\lambda > \omega$. We therefore have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. Let $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the semigroup on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(0,\infty)$ whose cogenerator is S_1 . Then for every quasicontractive semigroup $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} there is a common invariant subspace \mathcal{M} for $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$, a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and an isomorphism $R : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $T(t) = e^{\lambda t} R U_{t|\mathcal{M}} R^{-1}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Note that the backward shift semigroup $(\widetilde{S}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on $L^2(0,\infty;\mathcal{H})$ is also universal in this sense: see [7, Thm. 10-18]. Note that the example in Theorem 3.5 is defined on the simpler space $L^2(0,\infty)$.

The operator S_1 is the adjoint of a completely non-unitary unilateral right shift of infinite multiplicity. There are many Toeplitz operators that are unitarily equivalent to it, and thus have similar properties.

The following result is well-known, and we give a simple proof to illustrate it. We shall perform calculations on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$

of the disc, but analogous results hold for Hardy spaces of the halfplane.

Lemma 3.7. Let φ be an inner function. Then the analytic Toeplitz operator T_{φ} is unitarily equivalent to a unilateral right shift of multiplicity dim K_{φ} , where $K_{\varphi} = H^2 \ominus \varphi H^2$.

Proof. This follows easily from the orthogonal decomposition

$$H^2 = K_{\varphi} \oplus \varphi K_{\varphi} \oplus \varphi^2 K_{\varphi} \oplus \cdots,$$

which has been used in many places, for example, [2].

If we take φ to be irrational (not a finite Blaschke product), then $V = T_{\varphi}^*$ is the cogenerator of a C_0 semigroup on H^2 , and it is easy to check that $\exp(t(\varphi + 1)/(\varphi - 1))$ is a singular inner function for each $t \ge 0$. We therefore have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. (i) Let φ be an inner function that is not a finite Blaschke product. Then the semigroup $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ consisting of anti-analytic Toeplitz operators $T^*_{\varphi_t}$, where

$$\varphi_t = \exp\left(t\frac{\varphi+1}{\varphi-1}\right), \qquad t \ge 0,$$

is universal for the class of $C_{0.}$ contraction semigroups.

(ii) Moreover, if a semigroup $(U_t)_{t\geq 0}$ has the form $U_t = T^*_{\varphi_t}$, where $\varphi_t = \exp(t\psi)$ is a singular inner function for each t, then $\varphi := (\psi + 1)/(\psi - 1)$ is inner, and if it is irrational the conclusions of part (i) apply.

Note that the semigroup corresponding to $\varphi(z) = -z$ (inner, but rational) is given by the function $\varphi_t = \exp(t(1-z)/(1+z))$. This is unitarily equivalent to the shift semigroup $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$, which is not universal.

Remark 3.9. It was shown by Gamal' [10, 9], extending work of Clark [4], that if B is a finite Blaschke product and φ is an irrational inner

9

function, then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\varphi/B}$ is similar to an isometry $U \oplus S$, where U is unitary and S is a unilateral shift of infinite multiplicity. It follows that the semigroup with cogenerator $T_{B/\varphi}$ is universal for the class of contraction semigroups, in the sense of Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.10. It was shown by Sz.-Nagy [21] that every bounded C_0 group on a Hilbert space is similar to a group of unitary operators. One might therefore hope for the existence of a universal unitary group $(U_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ such that every bounded group $(T_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ could be represented in the form $T_t = R(U_t)_{|\mathcal{M}}R^{-1}$ for some isomorphism R and invariant subspace \mathcal{M} for (U_t) . However, by looking at cogenerators, we see that (U_t) would possess a unitary cogenerator such that every point on \mathbb{T} with the exception of 1 would be an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity. In a separable Hilbert space this is impossible, since eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

4. C_0 -semigroups close to isometries

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex infinite dimensional and separable Hilbert space.

Recall that $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is bounded below if there exists C > 0 such that $||Tx|| \ge C||x||$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Equivalently, T is bounded below if and only if T^*T is invertible. In the sequel, the spectral radius of T is denoted by r(T).

In order to state a theorem following from the work of Shimorin [19], we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 4.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

- (1) The operator T is pure if $\bigcap_{n>0} T^n \mathcal{H} = \{0\}.$
- (2) The operator T has the wandering subspace property if \mathcal{H} is the closed linear hull (span) of $\{T^n E : n \ge 0\}$, where $E := \mathcal{H} \ominus T\mathcal{H}$.
- (3) For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ bounded below, its Cauchy dual is denoted T'and defined by $T' := T(T^*T)^{-1}$.

Definition 4.2. Let D = D(0, r) be the open disc of \mathbb{C} centered at 0 and of radius r > 0. Let E be a Hilbert space and let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on D taking values in E. A reproducing kernel on \mathfrak{H} is a map

$$k : \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} D \times D & \to & \mathcal{B}(E) \\ (\lambda, z) & \mapsto & k(\lambda, z) \end{array} \right.$$

such that

(1) $\forall \lambda \in D, \forall e \in E, k(\lambda, \cdot)e \in \mathfrak{H}$; (2) $\forall \lambda \in D, \forall f \in \mathfrak{H}, \forall e \in E, \langle f, k(\lambda, \cdot)e \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \langle f(\lambda), e \rangle_{E}.$

4.1. Unitary equivalence with a shift on a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. The following theorem is a consequence of the work of Shimorin [19] but not stated explicitly. For completeness we will prove it in detail, by putting together the ideas developed in [19].

Theorem 4.3. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that T is bounded below, pure and with the wandering subspace property. Then, there exists a reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} of holomorphic functions from D(0,r), where r = r(T'), to $E = \mathcal{H} \ominus T\mathcal{H}$, and a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$ such that

 $T = U^{-1}\Sigma U,$ where Σ : $\begin{cases} \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H} \\ f \mapsto (z \mapsto z f(z)) \end{cases} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}).$ Moreover, the reproducing kernel k is such that $k(0, \cdot) = (z \mapsto \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{L}(E)})$

Proof. We first construct U.

Since T is bounded below, its Cauchy dual T' is well defined. Denote by L the adjoint of T' and denote by P the orthogonal projection onto E.

Claim 1: P = Id - TL.

Indeed, let Q = Id - TL. Since LT = Id, it follows that

$$Q^2 = I - 2TL + TLTL = \mathrm{Id} - TL = Q$$

Moreover, Q is a self-adjoint operator since TL is self-adjoint. It suffices to show that $\ker(TL) = E$. Since, T is left invertible, we get

$$\ker(TL) = \ker(L) = \ker((T^*T)^{-1}T^*) = \ker(T^*) = (TH)^{\perp} = E.$$

We now define the linear mapping U in the following way:

$$U : \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathfrak{H} \to \operatorname{Hol} \left(D(0,r); E \right) \\ x \mapsto \sum_{n \ge 0} \left(PL^n x \right) z^n \end{array} \right. .$$

The convergence of the series follows from the fact that r is the spectral radius of L.

Claim 2: U is one-to-one.

Indeed, let $x \in \ker(U)$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $PL^n x = 0$. We prove that $x \in \bigcap_{n \ge 1} T^n \mathcal{H}$. Let $n \ge 1$ and note that, according to Claim 1,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k P L^k x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k L^k x - T^{k+1} L^{k+1} x = x - T^n L^n x.$$

It follows that

$$x = x - T^{n}L^{n}x + T^{n}L^{n}x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^{k}PL^{k}x + T^{n}L^{n}x.$$

Since for all $k \in \{0; n-1\}$, $PL^k x = 0$, we get $x = T^n L^n x \in T^n H$.

Let $\mathfrak{H} \subset \operatorname{Hol}(D(0,r); E)$ be the image of U. Since U is one-to-one, U is an isomorphism of vector spaces. We define on \mathfrak{H} a scalar product by setting

$$\forall f, g \in \mathfrak{H}, \ \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \langle U^{-1}f, U^{-1}g \rangle_{H},$$

so that U is unitary.

The second step consists in checking that \mathfrak{H} is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.

For $\lambda \in D(0, r)$ and $e \in E$, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle f(\lambda), e \rangle_E &= \langle \sum_{n \ge 0} \left(PL^n U^{-1} f \right) \lambda^n, e \rangle_E = \langle \sum_{n \ge 0} (\lambda L)^n (U^{-1} f), Pe \rangle_E \\ &= \langle (\mathrm{Id} - \lambda L^{-1}) (U^{-1} f), e \rangle_E = \langle U^{-1} f, (\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\lambda} L^*)^{-1} e \rangle_H \\ &= \langle f, U (\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\lambda} L^*)^{-1} e \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} \end{split}$$

On the other hand, for $z \in D(0, r)$, we have:

$$(U(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\lambda}L^*))^{-1}e)(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} PL^n \left[(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\lambda}L^*)^{-1}e \right] z^n$$

$$= P\left(\sum_{n \ge 0} (zL)^n \left[(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\lambda}L^*)^{-1}e \right] \right)$$

$$= P(\mathrm{Id} - zL)^{-1} (\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\lambda}L^*)^{-1}e.$$

Therefore \mathfrak{H} is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of holomorphic functions, whose reproducing kernel is defined by

$$k(\lambda, z) = P(\mathrm{Id} - zL)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id} - \overline{\lambda}L^*)^{-1}.$$

The third step consists in proving that $z \mapsto k(0, z)$ is a constant function whose value is Id_E . To that aim we prove that, for every $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ and every $e \in E$,

$$\langle f, k(0, \cdot)e \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \langle f(0), e \rangle_E.$$

Let $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $x = U^{-1}f$. Let $e \in E$. Note that

$$f(0) = Px = \langle Px, e \rangle_E = \langle x, e \rangle_H = \langle f, Ue \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

However, by Claim 1, Pe = e = e - TLe. Hence, TLe = 0 and so Le = 0 since T is bounded below. Therefore $Ue = \sum_{n \ge 0} PL^n xz^n = e$, that is, Ue is the constant function $z \mapsto e$. Then,

$$\langle f, k(0, \cdot)e \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \langle f, z \mapsto e \rangle.$$

The last step consists in proving that T is unitarily equivalent to Σ . Let $x \in H$. Let $z \in D(0, r)$.

$$\begin{split} (UT)(x)(z) &= \sum_{n \ge 0} P(L^n T x) z^n = \sum_{n \ge 1} P(L^n T x) z^n \\ &= z \sum_{n \ge 1} PL^{n-1}(LT) x z^{n-1} = z \sum_{n \ge 1} PL^{n-1} x z^{n-1} \\ &= z U(x)(z) = \Sigma U(x)(z). \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

We can now obtain a representation theorem for C_0 -semigroups whose cogenerator satisfies the hypothesis of the previous theorem. **Corollary 4.4.** Let $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on \mathcal{H} which admits a cogenerator V. Assume that V is bounded below, pure and with the wandering subspace property. Then, there exists a reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} of holomorphic functions from $D(0; r) \to E$ (with r = r(V') and $E = \mathcal{H} \ominus V\mathcal{H}$) and a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$ such that, for every $t \geq 0$,

$$T_t = U^{-1} S_t U$$

where $S_t : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H} \\ f \mapsto (z \mapsto e^{t \frac{1+z}{1-z}} f(z)) \end{cases} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 applied to V, there exist \mathfrak{H} and U such that $V = U^{-1}\Sigma U$. Let $(S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the C_0 -semigroup whose cogenerator is Σ and the generator is A. We have that $A = (\Sigma + \mathrm{Id})(\Sigma - \mathrm{Id})^{-1}$. Since Σ is a multiplication operator on \mathfrak{H} , A is also a multiplication operator on \mathfrak{H} and, for every $f \in \mathrm{dom}(A)$, and for every $z \in D(0, r)$,

$$A(f)(z) = \frac{z+1}{z-1}f(z).$$

We now prove that S_t is the multiplication operator whose symbol is $z \mapsto e^{t\frac{z+1}{z-1}}$. Since dom(A) is dense in \mathfrak{H} , it suffices to show that, for every $f \in \text{dom}(A)$,

$$\forall z \in D, \ S_t(f)(z) = e^{t\frac{z+1}{z-1}}f(z).$$

Let $f \in \text{dom}(A)$ and

$$\Phi : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathfrak{H} \\ t \mapsto S_t(f) \end{cases}$$

Note that Φ is differentiable, and for every $t \ge 0$, $\Phi'(t) = A(\Phi(t))$. Let $z \in D(0, r)$. We prove that for all $e \in E$,

$$\langle S_t(f)(z), e \rangle_E = \left\langle e^{t \frac{z+1}{z-1}} f(z), e \right\rangle_E.$$

Let $e \in E$ and

$$\varphi : \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{R}^+ & \to & \mathbb{C} \\ t & \mapsto & \langle S_t(f)(z), e \rangle_E \end{array} \right.$$

For every $t \ge 0$,

$$\varphi(t) = \langle S_t(f), k(z, \cdot)e \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$$
$$= \langle \Phi(t), k(z, \cdot)e \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

Since Φ is differentiable, φ is also differentiable and, for every $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(t) &= \langle \Phi'(t), k(z, \cdot)e \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \langle A\varphi(t), k(z, \cdot)e \rangle_{E} \\ &= \langle A\Phi(t)(z), e \rangle_{E} = \left\langle \frac{z+1}{z-1} \Phi(t)(z), e \right\rangle_{E} \\ &= \frac{z+1}{z-1} \varphi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $\varphi(0) = \langle S_0(f)(z), e \rangle_E = \langle f(z), e \rangle_E$. Hence, φ is the solution of a linear Cauchy problem of order 1, which gives that, for every $t \ge 0$,

$$\varphi(t) = e^{t\frac{1+z}{1-z}}\varphi(0), \text{ and then}$$
$$\langle S_t f(z), e \rangle_E = e^{t\frac{z+1}{z-1}} \langle f(z), e \rangle_E = \langle e^{t\frac{z+1}{z-1}} f(z), e \rangle_E.$$

This concludes the proof.

4.2. Semigroups modelled by a shift. The aim of this section is to produce explicit examples on which Corollary 4.4 can be used. We first recall some definitions.

Definition 4.5. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space.

- (1) $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a 2-isometry if $T^{*2}T^2 2T^*T + \mathrm{Id} = 0$ (i.e. $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}, ||T^2x||^2 + ||x||^2 = 2||Tx||^2$).
- (2) $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a 2-hypercontraction if $T^{*2}T^2 2T^*T + \mathrm{Id} \geq 0$ (i.e. $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}, ||T^2x||^2 + ||x||^2 \geq 2||Tx||^2$).
- (3) $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is concave if $T^{*2}T^2 2T^*T + \mathrm{Id} \leq 0$ (i.e. $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}, \|T^2x\|^2 + \|x\|^2 \leq 2\|Tx\|^2$).

Note that the set of 2-isometries is the intersection of the sets of concave and 2-hypercontractive operators. Moreover an isometry is a 2-isometry but the converse is false since the shift on the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} is a 2-isometry but it is not isometric (cf. [8]).

Theorem 4.6. Let $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on \mathcal{H} such that for every t > 0, T_t is pure and concave. Then there exist r > 0, a Hilbert space E and a reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} of holomorphic functions from D(0;r) into E and a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$ such that, for every $t \geq 0$,

$$T_t = U^{-1} S_t U$$

where $S_t : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H} \\ f \mapsto (z \mapsto e^{t \frac{1+z}{1-z}} f(z)) \end{cases} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}).$

The proof of Theorem 4.6 relies on several lemmas stated below.

Lemma 4.7. Let $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup such that T_1 is concave. Then, $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ has a cogenerator.

Proof. This is a very slight adaptation of the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [8]. Let A be the generator of $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$. The growth bound ω of $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$, defined by

 $\omega = \inf \left\{ w \in \mathbb{R} : \exists M \ge 1 \text{ such that } \forall t \ge 0, \|T_t\| \le M e^{wt} \right\}$

is such that, for every t > 0,

$$\omega = \frac{1}{t} \log(r(T))$$

where r(T) is the spectral radius of T. Moreover, we have

 $\sup \left\{ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \sigma(A) \right\} \leqslant \omega$

(see for instance [6], Chap. IV, Section 2, Prop. 2.2). To prove that the cogenerator is well-defined, it suffices to show that $\omega < 1$ (since then $1 \in \rho(A)$). We show that $r(T_1) \leq 1$. This comes from the fact that, since T_1 is concave, then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$||T_1| \leq \sqrt{1 + (||T_1|| + 1) n}$$

Then, $r(T_1) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} ||T_1^n||^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq 1$, and thus $\omega \leq 0$, which concludes the proof.

Lemma 4.8. Let $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup which has a cogenerator V. Let A be its generator. The following assertions are equivalent

- (i) for every $t \ge 0$, T_t is concave;
- (ii) $\forall x \in \mathfrak{H}, \varphi_x : t \mapsto ||T_t x||^2$ is concave;
- (iii) $\forall y \in D(A^2)$, $\operatorname{Re}(\langle A^2 y, y \rangle) + ||Ay||^2 \leq 0$;
- (iv) V is concave.

Proof. The proof uses similar methods to those of [11, Prop. 2.6]. For the sake of completeness we give the details.

 $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$: Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\varphi_x : t \mapsto ||T_t x||^2$. We prove that

 $\forall t \ge 0, \ \forall \tau \ge 0, \ \varphi_x(2\tau + t) + \varphi_x(t) \le 2 \ \varphi_x(t + \tau).$

Let $t \ge 0$ and $\tau \ge 0$. Since T_{τ} is concave, one has

$$||T_{\tau}^{2}T_{t}x||^{2} + ||T_{t}x|| \leq 2||T_{\tau}T_{t}x||,$$

which is the above inequality. Since φ_x is continuous, it follows that φ_x is concave.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$: Let $t \ge 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $t \mapsto ||T_t x||^2$ is concave, we get $||T_{t,t}| \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(||T_t x||^2 + ||T_t x||^2 \right)$

$$\|T_{\left(\frac{1}{2}0+\frac{1}{2}2t\right)}x\|^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}\left(\|T_0x\|^2 + \|T_{2t}x\|^2\right),$$

that is,

$$2||T_t x||^2 \ge ||x||^2 + ||T_t^2 x||^2.$$

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$: Let $y \in D(A^2)$. Then, the function $\varphi_y : t \mapsto ||T_t y||^2$ is twice differentiable and, for every $t \ge 0$,

$$\varphi_y''(t) = \langle A^2 T_t y, T_t y \rangle + 2 \langle A T_t y, A T_t, y \rangle + \langle T_t y, A^2 T_t y \rangle$$

= 2 (Re ($\langle A^2 T_t y, T_t y \rangle$) + $||A T_t y||^2$).

Taking t = 0, one gets

$$\varphi_y''(0) = 2 \left(\operatorname{Re} \left(\|A^2 y, y\| \right) + \|Ay\|^2 \right).$$

Since φ_y is concave, $\varphi''(0) \leq 0$, which gives the result.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$: We prove first that, for every $y \in D(A^2)$, φ_y is concave. Let $y \in D(A^2)$. Note that φ_y is twice differentiable. Let $t \ge 0$. Note that $T_t y \in D(A^2)$, and that $\varphi''_y(t) = \langle A^2 T_t y, T_t y \rangle + 2\langle A T_t y, A T_t, y \rangle + \langle T_t y, A^2 T_t y \rangle \leqslant 0$. Hence, φ_y is concave.

We now prove the result for every $x \in H$. For $x \in H$, we show that $\varphi_x : t \mapsto ||T_t x||^2$ is concave. Let $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $\tau \in [0; 1]$. Since $D(A^2)$ is dense in H (in fact, $\bigcap_{n \ge 1} D(A^n)$ is dense in \mathcal{H} (see [20, Chap. 3, Thm 3.2.1], there exists a sequence $(y_n)_n$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_n \in D(A^2)$ and $y_n \to x$. However, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, φ_{y_n} is concave so

$$\varphi_{y_n}((1-\tau)t+\tau s) \ge (1-\tau)\varphi_{y_n}(t)+\tau\varphi_{y_n}(s)$$

and hence

18

$$||T_{(1-\tau)t+\tau s}y_n||^2 \ge (1-\tau)||T_ty_n||^2 + \tau ||T_sy_n||^2.$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$, we get

$$||T_{(1-\tau)t+\tau s}x||^2 \ge (1-\tau)||T_tx||^2 + \tau ||T_sx||^2,$$

which concludes the proof.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$: Let $x \in H$. Let $y = (A - I)^2 x$. Note that $y \in D(A^2)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V^{2}x\|^{2} + \|x\|^{2} - 2\|Vx\|^{2} &= \|(A+I)^{2}y\|^{2} + \|(A-I)^{2}y\|^{2} - 2\|(A^{2}-I)y\|^{2} \\ &= 4\langle A^{2}y, y \rangle + 8\langle Ay, Ay \rangle + 4\langle y, A^{2}y \rangle \\ &= 8\left(\operatorname{Re}\langle A^{2}y, y \rangle + \|Ay\|^{2}\right) \\ &\leqslant 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, V is concave.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (iii)$: The previous calculation shows that

$$\forall y \in \operatorname{Im}(A^2), \ \operatorname{Re}\langle A^2 y, y \rangle + ||Ay||^2 \leq 0.$$

However $(A - I)^2$ is a bounded linear operator such that there exists an *a priori* unbounded operator *T* with dense domain such that $(A - I)^2T = I$. Hence, $\text{Im}(A - I)^2$ is dense in *H*. We then get

$$\forall y \in H, \ \mathrm{Re}\langle A^2 y, y \rangle + \|Ay\|^2 \leqslant 0,$$

which concludes the proof.

The next result is Theorem 3.6 in [19].

Lemma 4.9. Every concave operator has a Wold-type decomposition. In particular, every pure concave operator has the wandering subspace property.

The last step is the following.

Lemma 4.10. Let $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup which has a cogenerator V. Assume that V has a Wold-type decomposition. Assume that, for every t > 0, T_t is pure. Then, V is pure.

Proof. The proof mimics the proof of [8, Prop. 2.5]. The spaces $\mathcal{H}_1 = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} V^n \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{H}_2 defined as the closed linear hull of $\{V^n(\mathcal{H} \ominus V\mathcal{H})\}$ are two closed subspaces of \mathcal{H} invariant by V such that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$, $U := V|_{\mathcal{H}_1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ is unitary and $S := V|_{\mathcal{H}_2} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ has the wandering subspace property (this is the Wold decomposition of V, see Lemma 4.9). We want to prove that $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{0\}$.

Note that, for every $t \ge 0$, T_t and A commute. From this, we deduce that, for every $t \ge 0$, T_t and $V = (A + I)(A - I)^{-1}$ commute. Let $t \ge 0$. We show that \mathcal{H}_1 is invariant by T_t . Let $x \in \mathcal{H}_1 = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} V^n \mathcal{H}$. Let $n \ge 0$. Since $x \in V^n \mathcal{H}$, there exists $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x = V^n y$. Then, $T_t x = T_t V^n x = V^n T_t x \in V^n \mathcal{H}$, which proves that $T_t x \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

We now consider the semigroup $(\tilde{T}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ induced by T_t on \mathcal{H}_1 . Let B be the generator of $(\tilde{T}_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Note that B is the restriction of the generator A of $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ to dom $(A) \cap \mathcal{H}_1$ (which is a dense subspace of \mathcal{H}_1 , see [6], Chapter 2, Section 2). The cogenerator of $(\tilde{T}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is U. Since Uis unitary, B is skew-adjoint (that is, $B^* = -B$), and hence, for every $t \geq 0$, \tilde{T}_t is unitary. However, for every $t \geq 0$, T_t is pure. This proves that $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{0\}$.

Combining these lemmas with Corollary 4.4, we have completed the proof of Theorem 4.6.

References

- S. R. Caradus. Universal operators and invariant subspaces. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 23:526–527, 1969.
- [2] I. Chalendar, E. A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez, and J. R. Partington. Weighted composition operators on the Dirichlet space: boundedness and spectral properties. *Math. Ann.*, 363(3-4):1265–1279, 2015.

- [3] I. Chalendar and J. R. Partington. Modern approaches to the invariantsubspace problem, volume 188 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [4] D. N. Clark. On Toeplitz operators with unimodular symbols. In Operators in indefinite metric spaces, scattering theory and other topics (Bucharest, 1985), volume 24 of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., pages 59–68. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1987.
- [5] C. C. Cowen and E. A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez. An introduction to Rota's universal operators: properties, old and new examples and future issues. *Concr. Oper.*, 3:43–51, 2016.
- K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel. One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations, volume 194 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [7] P. A. Fuhrmann. *Linear systems and operators in Hilbert space*. McGraw-Hill International Book Co., New York, 1981.
- [8] E. A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez and J. R. Partington. C₀-semigroups of 2-isometries and Dirichlet spaces. *Revista Matematica Iberoamericana*, 2018. To appear.
- M. F. Gamal'. On Toeplitz operators similar to a unilateral shift. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI), 345(Issled. po Lineĭn. Oper. i Teor. Funkts. 34):85–104, 141, 2007.
- [10] M. F. Gamal'. On Toeplitz operators similar to isometries. J. Operator Theory, 59(1):3–28, 2008.
- [11] B. Jacob, J. R. Partington, S. Pott, and A. Wynn. β-admissibility of observation and control operators for hypercontractive semigroups. J. Evolution Equations, 18(1):153–170, 2018.
- [12] E. Nordgren, P. Rosenthal, and F. S. Wintrobe. Invertible composition operators on H^p. J. Funct. Anal., 73(2):324–344, 1987.
- [13] J. R. Partington and E. Pozzi. Universal shifts and composition operators. Oper. Matrices, 5(3):455–467, 2011.
- [14] E. Pozzi. Universality of weighted composition operators on $L^2([0,1])$ and Sobolev spaces. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 78(3-4):609–642, 2012.
- [15] G. C. Rota. Note on the invariant subspaces of linear operators. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), 8:182–184, 1959.
- [16] G.-C. Rota. On models for linear operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 13:469– 472, 1960.
- [17] W. Rudin. Real and complex analysis. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, third edition, 1987.
- [18] R. Schroderus and H.-O. Tylli. On universal operators and universal pairs. https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.05276, 2017.
- [19] S. Shimorin. Wold-type decompositions and wandering subspaces for operators close to isometries. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, (531):147–189, 2011.
- [20] O. Staffans. Well-posed linear systems, volume 103 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [21] B. Sz.-Nagy. On uniformly bounded linear transformations in Hilbert space. Acta Univ. Szeged. Sect. Sci. Math., 11:152–157, 1947.
- [22] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, H. Bercovici, and L. Kérchy. *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*. Universitext. Springer, New York, second, enlarged edition, 2010.

Benjamin Célariès, Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, Institut Camille Jordan, CNRS UMR 5208, 43 bld du 11/11/1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne

E-mail address: celaries@math.univ-lyon1.fr

ISABELLE CHALENDAR, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS EST MARNE-LA-VALLÉE, 5 BLD DESCARTES, CHAMPS-SUR-MARNE, 77454 MARNE-LA-VALLÉE, CEDEX 2 (FRANCE) *E-mail address*: isabelle.chalendar@u-pem.fr

Jonathan R. Partington, School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

E-mail address: J.R. Partington@leeds.ac.uk