Spectral theory of pseudo-differential operators of degree 0 and application to forced linear waves

Yves Colin de Verdière

Spectral theory of pseudo-differential operators of degree 0 and application to forced linear waves

Yves Colin de Verdière*

May 13, 2019

Introduction

This paper contains new developpements of some ideas already introduced in our paper [CSR-18] concerning the spectral theory of self-adjoint pseudo-differential operators of degree 0 on closed manifolds. The main motivation comes from the study of forced internal or inertial waves in physics, see [BFM-13, Br-16, GDDSV-06, MBSL-97, ML-95, Og-05, RV-10, RV-18, Pill-18] and many other works. In what follows, H is a classical self-adjoint scalar pseudo-differential operator of degree 0 on a compact manifold M of dimension n without boundary, f is a smooth function and the spectral parameter ω is a real number. The main object to study is the following linear forced wave equation:

$$\frac{1}{i}\frac{du}{dt} + Hu = fe^{-i\omega t},\ u(0) = 0\ . \tag{1}$$

We are interested in the behaviour of $u(t)$ as $t \to +\infty$. Thanks to the spectral theorem, we can relate this behaviour to the spectral theory of H and hence to the Hamiltonian dynamics of the principal symbol $h : T^\star M \setminus 0 \to \mathbb{R}$ which is a smooth function homogeneous of degree 0. The main tools that

*Université Grenoble Alpes, Institut Fourier, Unité mixte de recherche CNRS-UGA 5582, BP 74, 38402-Saint Martin d'Hères Cedex (France); yves.colin-de-verdiere@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

we use are already classical: they are, on one hand, the general theory of pseudo-differential operators, culminating in the works of Lars Hörmander, Hans Duistermaat, Alan Weinstein and many others, in the beginning of the seventies, see [Du-11, Fo-89, We-71, We-75, DZ-17], and, on the other hand, the theory initiated by Eric Mourre in the beginning of the eighties in order to get a flexible way to have a limit absorption principle, see [Mo-81, Mo-83, JMP-84, Gé-08, Ca-05].

What is the content, beyond that of [CSR-18]? The main result is Theorem 6.1 where we extend the result of [CSR-18] to the generic Morse-Smale case stil in dimension 2. The other new contribution is a precise description in arbitrary dimension of the dynamical assumptions allowing to apply Mourre theory thanks to the Gårding inequality (see Section 3) by constructing a *global escape function.*

After recalling general facts on the Hamiltonian dynamics of a homogeneous Hamiltonian h of degree 0 in Section 1 and on the spectral theory of H in Section 2, we give, in Section 3, a necessary and sufficient condition on the dynamics at infinity, which insures the existence of an escape function that will be the key input in order to apply Mourre's theory thanks to the Gårding inequality. In Section 4, we recall general facts that we got in [CSR-18] for the forced wave equation from Mourre's theory. In Section 5, we use radial propagation estimates (see [DZ-17, DZ-18]), going back to works of Melrose and Vasy, in order to locate the wavefrontset of the Schwartz distribution u_∞ which is the limit (modulo bounded functions in L^2) of $u(t)$ as $t \to +\infty$.

We consider then, in Section 6, the case where M is a surface ($n = 2$), extending our results of [CSR-18] to the generic case where the foliation is Morse-Smale and can have singular points (foci, nodes or saddles). Finally, we consider, in Section 7, the case where M is a 3D manifold with a free S^1-action leaving H invariant which is important for applications to physics. We end the paper with a short review of related problems in Section 8 and two Appendices.

Aknowledgments: I would like first to thank Laure Saint-Raymond for proposing to me to work with her on this exciting problem and for sharing many ideas. Other people were helping me at different steps of this work: Thierry Dauxois, Semyon Dyatlov, Frédéric Faure, Vladimir Georgescu, Etienne Ghys, Johannes Sjöstrand, Michal Wrochna, Maciej Zworski, I thank all of them very warmly.

Many thanks also to the referee whose detailed report including many pertinent remarks allowed me to improve the paper.

1 Hamiltonian of degree 0: classical dynamics

In what follows, we fix the following notations: M is a smooth connected compact manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ without boundary, q is the generic point of M and $|dq|$ a smooth density on M. The Hamiltonian h is a smooth positively homogeneous function $h : T^\star M \setminus 0 \to \mathbb{R}$. We denote by (q,p) some local canonical coordinates on $T^\star M$ and by extension a generic point of $T^\star M$. The Hamiltonian vector field of h is denoted by $\mathcal{X}_h$ and we fix the "symplectic" conventions so that

$$\mathcal{X}_h = \frac{\partial h}{\partial p}\partial_q - \frac{\partial h}{\partial q}\partial_p,\ \mathcal{X}_h f = \{h, f\}$$

and denote by Φ_t the flow of $\mathcal{X}_h$. Because of the homogeneity of h, we have $pdq(\mathcal{X}_h) = 0$ and $\mathcal{X}_h$ is homogeneous of degree -1. Let us fix $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and define the energy shell $\Sigma_\omega := h^{-1}(\omega)$. We will assume in what follows that ω is **not a critical value of** h and hence Σ_ω is a smooth conic hypersurface in $T^\star M \setminus 0$. We need to introduce $Z_\omega := \Sigma_\omega/\mathbb{R}^+$ which is a smooth closed manifold of dimension $2n-2$ and will be seen as the boundary at infinity of Σ_ω. The vector field $\mathcal{X}_h$ defines by projection a conformal class of vector fields on Z_ω, which we will call an (oriented) foliation and denote by $\mathcal{F}$. This foliation can admit singular points corresponding to the lines $\mathbb{R}^+.(q,p)$ where $\mathcal{X}_h$ is parallel to the cone direction $p\partial_p$. Note that we can and will often reduce ourselves to the case $\omega = 0$ by looking at the Hamiltonian $h - \omega$.

2 Hamiltonian of degree 0: spectral theory

Let us choose a self-adjoint pseudo-differential operator H of degree 0 acting on $L^2(M, |dq|)$ and of principal symbol h. Note that H is a bounded operator. In what follows, all pseudo-differential operators are "classical", it means that the symbols do have full expansions in homogeneous functions with integer degrees. We are mainly interested by the spectral theory of H. As a warm up, we have the

Theorem 2.1 *The essential spectrum of H is the interval $J := [h_-, h_+]$ with $h_- := \min h,\ h_+ := \max h$.*

Proof.– If $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus J$, $H - \omega$ is elliptic and hence admits an inverse $R(\omega)$ modulo compact operators which can be chosen holomorphic in ω by taking $R(\omega) := \mathrm{Op}(h-\omega)^{-1}$ where Op is a fixed quantization on M:

$$R(\omega)(H-\omega) = \mathrm{Id} + K(\omega)$$

with K compact and holomorphic in ω. On the other hand, H being bounded, $(H-\omega)$ is invertible for large values of ω. It follows from the Fredholm analytic Theorem that the operator $H-\omega$ is invertible outside a discrete set where the kernels are finite dimensional.

On the other hand, if $\omega \in J$, with $h(q_0,p_0)=\omega$ and $\epsilon > 0$ is fixed, choose a small neighbourhood U of q_0 so that, if $q \in U$, $|h(q,p_0)-\omega| \leq \epsilon$. Pick then $\phi \in C_o^\infty(U)$ with $\int_M |\phi|^2(q)|dq| = 1$. Let us check that, for t large enough,

$$\|(H-\omega)\left(\phi e^{itqp_0}\right)\|_{L^2(M)} \leq 2\epsilon \tag{2}$$

It follows from the general properties of the principal symbols that

$$H\left(\phi(q)e^{itqp_0}\right) = h(q,p_0)\phi(q)e^{itqp_0} + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$$

Take t so that the L^2 norm of the remainder is smaller than ϵ. We get inequality (2) by applying the triangular inequality. Hence

$$\|(H-\omega)\left(\phi e^{itqp_0}\right)\|_{L^2(M)} \leq 2\epsilon \|\phi e^{itqp_0}\|_{L^2(M)}$$

which proves that $\sigma(H) \cap [\omega - 2\epsilon, \omega + 2\epsilon] \neq \emptyset$.

□

3 Escape functions

The key object of this paper is an escape function for h on the energy shell Σ_0:

Definition 3.1 *A smooth function $k : \Sigma_0 \to \mathbb{R}$, positively homogeneous of degree 1, is called an* escape function *if there exists $\delta > 0$ so that the Poisson bracket $\{h,k\} = \mathcal{X}_h k$ is larger than δ on Σ_0.*

A key observation is:

Remark 3.1 *If we extend k to $T^\star M \setminus 0$ as a smooth function $\tilde{k}$ homogeneous of degree 1, then $\tilde{k}$ restricted to Σ_ω is stil an escape function on Σ_ω for ω small enough.*

We first give a general dynamical assumption on the oriented foliation $\mathcal{F}$ which turns out to be equivalent to the existence of a global *escape function.* We need some definitions, using the definitions of Appendix B:

Definition 3.2 *We will say that the oriented 1D foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of the manifold Z_0 admits a* simple *structure (K_+, K_-) if $Z_0 = K_+ \cup K_- \cup \Omega$ as a disjoint union where:*

- *K_+ is an attractor of the oriented foliation $\mathcal{F}$, the sink*
- *K_- is a repellor of the oriented foliation $\mathcal{F}$, the source*
- *All leaves of points in Ω converge to K_+ at "$+\infty$" and to K_- at "$-\infty$"; in particular, the basin of K_+ is $\Omega \cup K_+$ and the basin of K_- for the reversed orientation of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\Omega \cup K_-$.*

and

Definition 3.3 *We say that a compact invariant set K_+ is weakly hyperbolic, denoted* **(WH)**, *if there exists, in some neighbourhood of K_+, a vector field W generating $\mathcal{F}$ and a smooth density $d\mu$ so that* $\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W) < 0$. *Similarly for K_-,* $\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W) > 0$.

Our main result in this section is

Theorem 3.1 *If the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ has a simple structure (K_+, K_-) with K_+ and K_- satisfying* **(WH)**, *then there exists an escape function.*

The converse is true: the existence of an escape function implies that the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ has a simple structure (K_+, K_-) so that K_+ and of K_- satisfy **(WH)**. *This simple structure is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{F}$.*

3.1 Dynamical assumptions implying weak hyperbolicity

Let us choose a vector field W generating $\mathcal{F}$, whose flow is denoted by $\phi_t,\ t \in \mathbb{R}$, and equip Z_0 with a smooth density $d\mu$.

Let us describe properties of closed invariant sets of $\mathcal{F}$ from which we can deduce **(WH)**:

1. If some component of K_+ is an isolated point a, the assumption **(WH)** says that the trace of the linearized vector field of W at the point a is negative. This is independent of the choice of W. The case where the singular point is hyperbolic is studied in the work of Guillemin and Schaeffer [GS-77]. They show that, in the generic situation, there exists a pseudo-differential normal form for such points. Independently, the classical part of this normal form is also described in dimension 2 in the works of Davydov and co-authors [Da-85, Ar-88, DIIS-03].

2. If some component of K_+ is a closed curve γ, the assumption **(WH)** says that the modulus of the determinant of the linearized Poincaré map is < 1. In dimension $n = 2$, this is equivalent to our assumption $(M2)$ in [CSR-18].

3. They are more complicated attractors which satisfy **(WH)**. The Lorenz attractor is one of them: the vector field generating it has negative divergence.

3.2 Construction of an escape function

We construct an escape function assuming that $\mathcal{F}$ has a simple structure with $K_\pm$ satisfying **(WH)**.

3.2.1 Escape function near Γ_+

Let $\Gamma_\pm$ be the sub-cones of Σ_0 generated by the sets $K_\pm$. We will construct in this section an escape function k_+ in some conic neighbourhood U_+ of Γ_+. A similar construction can be done on the basin of Γ_-.

Let us first construct "polar coordinates" (ρ, θ) on Σ_0 where $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus 0$, $\theta \in Z_0$ and the dilations on Σ_0 act by $\lambda.(\rho, \theta) = (\lambda\rho, \theta)$:

Lemma 3.1 *If W is a given vector field on Z_0 generating $\mathcal{F}$, there exist polar coordinates $(\rho, \theta) \in (\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus 0) \times Z_0$ on Σ_0 so that*

$$\mathcal{X}_h = a(\theta)\partial_\rho + \frac{1}{\rho} W \ .$$

Proof.– We start with arbitrary polar coordinates (ρ_1, θ): for example identify Z_0 with the co-sphere bundle $S^\star_1$ for some Riemannian metric on M and define

$\rho_1(q,p)$ so that $(q,p/\rho_1(q,p)) \in S_1^\star$. We get, using the homogeneity of $\mathcal{X}_h$ and the fact that W span $\mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathcal{X}_h = a_1(\theta)\partial_{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho}W$$

with $\rho = A(\theta)\rho_1$ and hence $\partial_{\rho_1} = A(\theta)\partial_\rho$. $\square$

The Liouville measure $dL_0 := |dqdp/dh|$ on Σ_0, being homogeneous of degree n, w.r. to dilations, writes $dL_0 = \rho^{n-1}|d\rho|d\mu$ where $d\mu$ is a smooth measure on Z_0.

The fact that

$$\mathrm{div}_{dL_0}(\mathcal{X}_h) = 0$$

rewrites

$$(n-1)a + \mathrm{div}_\mu(W) = 0 \tag{3}$$

The assumption **(WH)** implies that we have a smooth > 0 function F defined near K_+ so that

$$\mathrm{div}_{F\mu}(W) = \frac{dF(W)}{F} + \mathrm{div}_\mu(W) \leq -c < 0$$

Then, if $k_+ := F^{-1/(n-1)}\rho$, we get

$$dk_+(\mathcal{X}_h) = -\frac{1}{n-1}F^{-1/(n-1)}\left(\frac{dF(W)}{F} - (n-1)a\right)$$

which is equal to

$$dk_+(\mathcal{X}_h) = -\frac{1}{n-1}F^{-1/(n-1)}\mathrm{div}_{F\mu}(W)$$

and we get that the function k_+ is an escape function in some conical neighbourhhood of Γ_+. $\square$

We define similarly $k_- := -F^{-1/(n-1)}\rho$.

Note that k_+ tends to $+\infty$ as z tends to K_+ viewed as a set of points at infinity of Σ_0. We have also $k_+ \sim < p >$ from the definition and the fact that F is positive.

Similarly, the function k_- defined near Γ_- tends to $-\infty$ as z tends to K_-.

3.2.2 Extension to Σ_0

We choose a positive function m on Σ_0 which is smooth, homogeneous of degree 0 and equal to $m_\pm := \{h, k_\pm\}$ in some conical neighbourhoods $U_\pm$ of $\Gamma_\pm$. It follows from Item 3 of Proposition B.1 that we can choose U_+ so that $\Phi_t(U_+) \subset U_+$ for $t \geq 0$ and similarly for U_-.

Let z be in the basin of Γ_+ and define

$$l_+(z) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left(k_+(\Phi_t(z)) - \int_0^t m(\Phi_s(z))ds \right)$$

The limit exists because the expression of which we take the limit is independent of t for t large enough. Moreover the limit is smooth: if z is given and $\Phi_T(z) \in U_+$ for all $T \geq T_0$, there exists a neighbourhood V of z so that $\Phi_{T_0}(V) \subset U_+$ and hence $\Phi_T(V) \subset U_+$ for all $T \geq T_0$. We have then, for $w \in V$,

$$l_+(w) = k_+(\Phi_{T_0}(w)) - \int_0^{T_0} m(\Phi_s(w))ds$$

which is clearly smooth.

We define similarly l_-. The functions $l_\pm$ are escape functions in the basins of $\Gamma_\pm$ and satisfy in the respective basins $\{h, l_\pm\} = m$.

Let Γ_0 be the cone $\Gamma_0 := \{l_+ = 0\}$ which is smooth and transversal to $\mathcal{X}_h$ because $dl_+(\mathcal{X}_h) = m > 0$. On Γ_0 we have now the two functions $l_\pm$. The difference $\delta(z) = l_+(z) - l_-(z)$ is homogeneous of degree 1 and is constant along the flow lines. We will define k on the Hamiltonian trajectories $t \to \Phi_t(z)$ starting from $z \in \Gamma_0^1 := \{g^\star = 1\} \cap \Gamma_0$. For further use, we denote by S this hypersurface of $T^\star M$. The set Γ_0^1 is compact and hence the function $|\delta|$ is bounded by some constant $C > 0$ on it. Let us put $m_0 := \min m > 0$ and let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function satisfying

- $\psi(t) = 0$ is $t \leq 0$
- $\psi(t) = 1$ if $t \geq 4C/m_0$
- $|\psi'| \leq m_0/2C$

We define now for $z \in \Gamma_0^1$,

$$k(\phi_t(z)) = (1 - \psi(t))l_-(\Phi_t(z)) + \psi(t)l_+(\Phi_t(z))$$

The derivative of k with respect to $\mathcal{X}_h$ is then equal to $m + \psi'(l_+ - l_-) \geq m_0/2$. We extend then k by homogeneity.

3.3 Deriving the properties of $\mathcal{F}$ from the existence of an escape function

In what follows, we assume only the existence of an escape function k.

Let us give a construction of $\Gamma_\pm$ using only the dynamics of $\mathcal{X}_h$. We will see that these sets are defined independently of the choice of k: Γ_+ is the set of points $z \in \Sigma_0$ so that there exists $t_0 < 0$ with $\Phi_t(z) \to 0$ as $t \to t_0^+$, i.e. the trajectory of $\mathcal{X}_h$ is not complete as time $t \to -\infty$. Similarly for Γ_- with $t_1 > 0$. We define $K_\pm$ so that they generate the cones $\Gamma_\pm$. Note that $\Gamma_+ \cap \Gamma_- = \emptyset$: ifnot, let $z \in \Gamma_+ \cap \Gamma_-$, then $\Phi_t(z)$ tends to the zero section of $T^\star X$ as $t = t_0 + 0$, because the Hamiltonian flow is complete near the infinity of $T^\star X$. $\Phi_t(z)$ tends also to the zero section as $t = t_1 - 0$. This is not possible because the escape function tends to 0 at the zero section and is monotonic along the orbits.

Le us recall that we see $K_\pm$ as sets at infinity of the energy shell, namely the bases at infinity of the cones $\Gamma_\pm$.

Proposition 3.1 *The picture of the dynamics is as follows:*

- *if $z \in \Sigma_0 \setminus (\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-)$, $\Phi_t(z)$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi_t(z) \to K_+$ as $t \to +\infty$ and $\Phi_t(z) \to K_-$ as $t \to -\infty$*
- *if $z \in \Gamma_+$, $\Phi_t(z)$ is defined for all $t > t_0(z)$, $\Phi_t(z) \to K_+$ as $t \to +\infty$ and $\Phi_t(z) \to 0$ as $t \to t_0(z)$*
- *if $z \in \Gamma_-$, $\Phi_t(z)$ is defined for all $t < t_0(z)$, $\Phi_t(z) \to K_-$ as $t \to -\infty$ and $\Phi_t(z) \to 0$ as $t \to t_0(z)$.*

Proof. – Let us choose a metric g on M and consider the set $C_0 := k^{-1}(0) \cap (g^\star)^{-1}(1)$ where $g^\star$ is the dual metric. The set C_0 is a generating set for the cone $C := k^{-1}(0)$. If $z \in C_0$, the trajectory $t \to \Phi_t(z)$ is complete, because $t \to k(\Phi_t(z))$ is strictly monotonic and hence does not tend to the zero section where $k = 0$ at $t = \pm\infty$. Conversely, every complete trajectory cuts C_0 exactly in one point. This way we get a subset S of Σ_0 generating $\Sigma_0 \setminus (\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-)$:

$$S := \{\Phi_t(z) \mid z \in C_0,\ t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

The orbits sitting in S have no limit points in S because the flow derivative of k is bounded below by some positive number. Let us consider the projections on Z_0 of S, Γ_+ and Γ_+, say Ω, K_+ and K_-. We have a disjoint union

$Z_0 = \Omega \cup K_+ \cup K_-$. Each set is invariant by the foliation. Let us look at a leaf γ in Ω: γ has no limit points in Ω (because the foliation in Ω is diffeomorphic to the flow foliation in C). The limit points are then in $K_+ \cup K_-$. We have $\Gamma_+ \subset \{k > 0\}$ and $\Gamma_- \subset \{k < 0\}$. Hence the limit points at $+\infty$ are in K_+ and the limit points at $-\infty$ in K_-. The set K_+ is an attractor: it is enough to consider the neighbourhoods U_N of K_+ which are the projections of the sets $(\{k \geq N\} \cap C) \cup \Gamma_+$.

□

Let us show that the existence of an escape function implies that K_+ satisfy **(WH)**: we choose polar coordinates (ρ, θ) near Γ_+ with the $\rho = k$ and we have, from the equations derived in section 3.2.1, that $dk(\mathcal{X}_h) = a > 0$ and hence $\mathrm{div}_\mu W = -a/n < 0$: all components of K_+ satisfy **(WH)**. A similar argument works for K_-.

3.4 Radial sink and sources

Let us recall and introduce some notations: the radial compactification of $T^\star M$ is denoted by $\overline{T^\star M}$ and the boundary at infinity which we can identify with the sphere bundle is $S^\star M := T^\star M/\mathbb{R}^+$. The compactification of Σ_0 is $\overline{\Sigma_0}$ with the boundary at infinity $Z_0 = S\Sigma_0 \subset \overline{T^\star M}$.

Let us rephrase the Definition E.52 of [DZ-17] in our context:

Definition 3.4 *Let us introduce the symbol $r = -kh$, with k, an escape function (homogeneous of degree 1), and denote by ψ_t the flow of r extended to the boundary. The compact set $K_- \subset Z_0$ is a radial source for r if there exists a neighbourhood $U \subset \overline{T^\star M}$ of K_-, so that, uniformly for $z \in U$,*

1. *For $t \leq 0$, $|k|(\psi_t(z)) \geq Ce^{\theta|t|}$ for some $C,\ \theta > 0$.*
2. *$\psi_t(z) \to K_-$ as $t \to -\infty$.*

We have:

Proposition 3.2 *If k is an escape function, K_- is a radial source for $r = -kh$.*

Proof–. We have, in the domain where $k < 0$, in particular near K_-, $\mathcal{X}_r = |k|\mathcal{X}_h - h\mathcal{X}_k$. The vector field $\mathcal{X}_r$ is homogeneous of degree 0 and hence projects onto $S^\star M$. We denote Y_r this projection. Note that Y_r is tangent to Z_0 where it generate the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.

Let us prove item 1: we have $\mathcal{X}_r(|k|) = |k|\mathcal{X}_h|k| \leq -\delta|k|$. This implies that in a neighbourhood U_0 of K_- where $k \leq -1$, we have, for $t \leq 0$, $|k|(\psi_t(z)) \geq Ce^{\delta|t|}$.

Let us prove item 2: let us choose V_0 a neighbourhood of K_- inside $S^\star M$ as follows: we choose first a neighbourhood V_1 of K_- in Z_0, with a smooth boundary, so that $\mathcal{Y}_r$ is outgoing and transversal to the boundary: take V_1 as the closure of the projection of the sets $\{k \leq -b\} \cap S$ for b large enough with S defined in Section 3.2.2. We take for V_0 a neighbourhood of K_- in $S^\star M$ which is of the form $\{\exp(u\mathcal{Y}_r)(m) | m \in V_1, |u| \leq a\}$. If a is small enough the vector field Y_r is transversal and outgoing at the boundary of V_0. Because $Y_r(h) = h\{h,k\}$ and $\{h,k\} \geq \delta > 0$. Hence we get a repellor $L_- := \cap_{t\leq 0}\psi_t(V_1)$. The repellor L_- contains K_- and being invariant by the dynamics of $\mathcal{Y}_r$ restricted to Z_0 is equal to K_-. We then take for U_1 a small neighbourhood of V_0 in $\overline{T^\star M}$ and we get item 2 by taking for U in the definition of a radial source the intersection $U_0 \cap U_1$. $\square$

4 Applying Mourre's theory

Let us first recall some results of [CSR-18]. Let us fix $\omega = 0$ for simplicity and assume that there exists an escape function k on the energy shell Σ_0. Then k can be extended to $T^\star M \setminus 0$ as an escape function in the cone $|h| \leq a$ with some $a > 0$. Let K be a self-adjoint operator of degree 1 of principal symbol k. Using the " Gårding's inequality" (see [Fo-89] pp 129–136), one gets that K is a conjugate operator in the sense of Mourre: if J is as small enough open interval containing 0 and π_J is the spectral projector of H associated to the interval J, then

$$i\pi_J[H,K]\pi_J \geq c\pi_J + R$$

where $c > 0$ and R compact. Moreover the operator H is K-smooth, i.e. the map $t \to e^{itK}He^{-itK}$ is smooth with values into the bounded self-adjoint operators. Let us define the K-Sobolev spaces, denoted $\mathcal{H}^s_K$, in the usual way using the s-powers of $(1+K^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The usual Sobolev spaces will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}^s$. Let us give a comparison between the $K-$Sobolev spaces and the usual ones. There is a shift in the exponents due to the fact that the pseudo-differential calculus does not apply to non elliptic operators like K.

Lemma 4.1 *If $f \in \mathcal{H}^1$, then $f \in \mathcal{H}^s_K$ for any $s \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{H}^{-1}_K$, then $f \in \mathcal{H}^{-s}$ for any $s \leq -1$.*

Proof.–

If $f \in \mathcal{H}^1$, $< (1+K^2)f|f >< \infty$ because K^2 is a pseudo-differential operator of order 2 and hence $f \in \mathcal{H}^1_K$. The other inclusion follows by duality w.r. to the L^2 product.

□

It follows then from Mourre theory [Mo-81, Mo-83, JMP-84, Gé-08] that

Theorem 4.1 (Mourre) *The operator H has a finite number of eigenvalues in J, they have finite multiplicity. Assuming that 0 is not an eigenvalue, the resolvent $(H-z)^{-1}$ defined for $\Im z > 0$ admits a boundary value $\omega \to (H-\omega-i0)^{-1}$ for ω real close to 0 which, for any $\epsilon > 0$, is Hölder continuous for some positive Hölder exponent, depending on ϵ, from the Sobolev space $\mathcal{H}_K^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ into $\mathcal{H}_K^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.*

Moreover, if Π_- is the spectral projector on the negative part of the spectrum of K, then, if $f \in \mathcal{H}_K^{1+\epsilon}$, then $\Pi_- ((H-i0)^{-1}f) \in L^2$.

It follows then in our context:

Theorem 4.2 ([CSR-18]) *Assuming the existence of an escape function at $\omega = 0$ and that 0 is not an eigenvalue of H, then the solution $u(t)$ of the forced wave equation (1) with a smooth forcing f can uniquely be written as*

$$u(t) = u_\infty + \eta(t) + r(t)$$

where

- $u_\infty = (H-i0)^{-1}(f)$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{H}_K^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \subset \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ *for all* $\epsilon > 0$
- $\eta(t) \to 0$ *in* $\mathcal{H}_K^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon} \subset \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ *for all* $\epsilon > 0$
- *The function $t \to r(t)$ is bounded in L^2 has a Fourier transform vanishing near 0*
- $\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2} \sim ct$ *as* $t \to +\infty$ *with in general* $c > 0$.

5 Using radial source and sink propagation results

5.1 Wavefront set of u_∞

We will now derive results on the distribution u_∞ using the radial propagation estimates of Dyatlov-Zworski, based on earlier ideas of Richard Melrose [Me-94] and Andras Vasy [Va-13], and get

Theorem 5.1 *The wavefront set of u_∞ is contained in the cone Γ_+.*

Proof.–

The result follows from the argument explained in the revised version of [DZ-18], section 3.1. This use only the fact that K_- is a source (see Section 3.4). They introduce an operator $< D >$ which is elliptic self-adjoint invertible of degree 1. We choose it so that its principal symbol near Γ_- is $|k|$. They introduce then

$$v_\epsilon :=< D >^{-\frac{1}{2}} (H - i\epsilon)^{-1} < D >^{-\frac{1}{2}} (g) ,$$

with $g =< D >^{\frac{1}{2}} (f)$ and $u_\epsilon = (H - i\epsilon)^{-1}(f) =< D >^{\frac{1}{2}} v_\epsilon$. Using a refined version of the Theorem E.54 of [DZ-17], they show that there exists A, elliptic near Γ_- of degree 0, so that, for any s, the norms $\|Av_\epsilon\|_s$ are uniformly bounded in $\epsilon > 0$. We need to use here, in the inequality (3.2) of [DZ-18], that $\|v_\epsilon\|_{-N}$ is bounded; we know it from Mourre theory for $N \geq 1$. Passing to the limit which is known to exists in $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$ by Theorem 4.2, we get that u_∞ is smooth near Γ_-. The usual propagation of singularities applied to the equation $Hu_\infty \in C^\infty$ gives the result.

□

Proposition 5.1 *If $Hu = 0$ and $u \in L^2(M)$, then u is smooth.*

Proof.–

It follows from Exercice 33 in Appendix E7 of [DZ-17], that u is smooth near Γ_- and changing H into $-H$, u is also smooth near Γ_+.

□

Remark 5.1 *In the case $n = 2$, not all closed conical invariants subsets of Γ_+ can be wavefront sets of some u_∞. If the wavefront set contains the line generated by a (ws)saddle point, it contains also one of the 2 branches of the associated unstable manifold and hence, being closed, also an attractive invariant set. This is proved in the paper [GS-77] at least for generic cases.*

5.2 Sobolev regularity of u_∞

We saw in Section 4 that u_∞ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$. Let us show that the radial sink estimates of [DZ-17] allows to get

Theorem 5.2 *Under the assumption of existence of an escape function, we have, for all $\epsilon > 0$, $u_\infty \in \mathcal{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$.*

Proof.–

> We use the fact that K_+ is a sink as defined in [DZ-17], definition E.52.: this is proved exactly the same way that we proved that K_- is a source in Section 3.4, or just by reversing the orientations. We use then Theorem E.56 of [DZ-17] directly for the operator H knowing already that u_∞ is smooth away of Γ_+. Replacing $< \xi >$ by $< k_+ >$ we see that the threshold condition (E.5.44) is satisfied for $s < -\frac{1}{2}$.

□

6 The 2D case

In this Section $n = 2$.

6.1 Morse-Smale foliation

Definition 6.1 *A hyperbolic singular point of $\mathcal{F}$ is said* weakly stable *if the trace of the linearization of any smooth vector field generating $\mathcal{F}$ is < 0. We define similarly* weakly unstable *hyperbolic singular points. We denote these properties respectively (ws) and (wu).*

Note that if $dh \neq 0$ on Σ_0, any saddle points is either weakly stable or weakly instable depending on the fact that $\mathcal{X}_h$ is pointing to the infinity or not, this follows from equation (3) where $a \neq 0$.

Let us recall that a vector field on a surface is *Morse-Smale* if the non wandering points are singular hyperbolic points and closed hyperbolic cycles and there is no saddle connection, i.e. there is no leave whose both limit points are saddle points. We extend this definition to oriented foliations of surfaces by choosing any vector field generating the foliation.

Theorem 6.1 *Let n be equal to 2. Let us assume that the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is Morse-Smale. Then there exists an escape function. The set K_+ is the union of all the attracting cycles and points and all the unstable manifolds of the ws-saddle points. The set K_- is constructed in a similar way.*

Remark 6.1 *Any generic foliation of a closed surface satisfies the previous properties: Mauricio Peixoto proved in the sixties that Morse-Smale vector fields on surfaces are generic, see [PdM-82], Chapter 4, for a detailed proof. As pointed out to me by Sylvain Courte, this genericity property extends to our context, i.e. to singular foliations of a surface embedded in a contact manifold, as it is proved in the PhD thesis of Emmanuel Giroux [Gi-91], Lemme 1.3.*

Proof–. Note first that K_+ and K_- are compact. They are also disjoint because there is no saddle connection.

Let us prove that K_+ is an attractor. Let K_0 be the union of the attracting component of K_+. The compact K_0 itself is an attractor. Let us assume for simplicity that there exists an unique (ws) saddle-point b. Near b the foliation has a local normal form: the level sets of the function xy in a ball B contained in $\mathbb{R}^2_{x,y}$ with the orientation given by $x\partial_x - y\partial_y$. Let us consider a neighbourhood U_0 of K_0 satisfying the conclusion of Proposition B.1. The bassin of K_0 is the complement in Z_0 of the union of all unstable cycles and all the stable manifolds of the saddle points. In particular by taking $\phi_{-T}(U_0)$ with T large enough instead of U_0 one can assume that U_0 contains $L := \{|x| \geq a, |y| \leq b\} \cap B$ with $a, b > 0$. Let us take now for the neighbourhood of K_+ the set $U := U_0 \cup L$. Clearly $\cap_{t\geq 0}\phi_t(U) = K_+$.

Remark 6.2 *$K_0 \cup \{b\}$ is not an attractor!*

Let us fix a density $d\mu$ on Z_0 and construct a vector field W generating $\mathcal{F}$ near K_+ whose divergence is non positive on K_+. First, we construct a vector field W_b with $\mathrm{div}(W_j) < 0$ in some neighbourhood U_b of each (ws) saddle point b. We construct also (see Appendix A.2) a vector field W_a in the basin of each attractive cycle or point a with non positive divergence. Let us choose a positive function l_a tending to $+\infty$ at the boundary of the basin of a. Then, for L_a large enough the set $\{l_a \geq L_a\}$ intersects the unstable manifolds Y_j of each (ws) saddle point b_j inside U_{b_j}. We choose $\chi_a \in C^\infty_o(\mathbb{R}, [0,1])$ so that $\chi_a(s) = 1$ for $0 \leq s \leq L_a$ and $\chi'_a(s) \leq 0$ for $s \geq 0$. Then we take,

$$W = \sum_a \left((\chi_a \circ l_a) W_a + C \sum_{b_j (ws)} \psi_j W_{b_j} \right)$$

where ψ_j satifies

- $\psi_j \in C^\infty_0(U_{b_j}, R_+)$
- $\psi_j = 1$ on $\{l_a \geq L_a\} \cap Y_j$
- $d\psi_j(W) \leq 0$ on $Y_j \cap U_{b_j}$

and $C >> 1$. This smooth vector field is well defined near K_+ and has negative divergence on K_+. $\square$

6.2 Lagrangian distributions associated to hyperbolic closed leaves

Let $\Gamma \subset T^\star X \setminus 0$ be a conic component of Γ_+ generated by a closed hyperbolic cycle $K_{+,0}$ of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$. The cone Γ is a conic Lagrangian submanifold of $T^\star X \setminus 0$: the Euler identity implies $\omega(\mathcal{X}_h, p\partial_p) = 0$. A theorem of Alan Weinstein [We-71] implies that there is an homogeneous canonical transformation χ defined in a conic neighbourhood C of Γ whose image is a conic neighbourhood of the zero section of $T^\star\Gamma$ and so that $\chi(\Gamma)$ is the zero section of $T^\star\Gamma$. More precisely χ restricted to Γ identifies Γ to the zero section of its own cotangent bundle. Taking polar coordinates $(x, \eta) \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{R}_+ \setminus 0)$ on the cone Γ, the cotangent bundle of Γ admits coordinates $(x, \eta; \xi, y)$ with the symplectic form $d\xi \wedge dx + dy \wedge d\eta$. Note that they are not the symplectic cocodinates of $T^\star X$, but those of $T^\star\Gamma$! Let X_0 be defined as $X_0 := (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})_x \times \mathbb{R}_y$. The symplectic map $(x, \eta; \xi, y) \to (x, -y; \xi, \eta)$ from $T^\star\Gamma$ onto $T^\star X_0$ identifies

$T^\star\Gamma$ with $T^\star X_0$. With this identification, Γ is moved into $\Gamma_0 = \{y = 0, \xi = 0\}$ which is the conormal bundle of the circle of $\gamma_0 \subset X_0$ defined by $y = 0$. The Hamiltonian vector field $\mathcal{X}_0$ of $h_0 := h \circ \chi^{-1}$ preserves Γ_0. Along Γ_0, it is then given by $\mathcal{X}_0 = \partial_\xi h_0 \partial_x - \partial_y h_0 \partial_\eta$ and there $\partial_x h_0 = \partial_\eta h_0 = 0$. Because the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ is non singular near $K_{+,0}$, we have $\partial_\xi h_0 \neq 0$. Hence the image of the energy shell Σ_0 is given by $\xi/\eta = F(x, y)$. The projection $\pi : Z_0 \to X_0$ is a local diffeomorphism near $K_{+,0}$. Because it is a diffeomorphism on the cycle $K_{+,0}$, it is even a global diffeomorphism.

Using the tools introduced by Alan Weinstein in [We-75], we can build a FIO microlocally unitary $U : L^2(X) \to L^2(X_0, M)$ with M a flat bundle, called the Maslov bundle, so that $UHU^\star - K$ is smoothing in C and $\sigma_p(K) = h \circ \chi^{-1}$, $\mathrm{sub}(K) = 0$. We are then reduced to the case already studied in [CSR-18] where the projection of γ onto M is a diffeomorphism.

This proves, following then [CSR-18], the

Theorem 6.2 *If Γ is a component of Γ_+ generated by a closed hyperbolic stable cycle of $\mathcal{F}$, the distribution u_∞ is microlocally near Γ a Lagrangian distribution.*

7 The 3D case with S^1 invariance

Quite often in physical situations, there is an invariance of the problem by rotation or translation: internal waves in some canal [ML-95], inertial waves inside the earth or some stars [RV-18], ... We will study the case where $M = N_q \times S^1_\theta$ is a 3-manifold with the canonical action of S^1 by translation on the second factor. We denote by $(q, p; \theta, \tau)$ some local canonical coordinates on $T^\star M$ and assume that N is equipped with a smooth density $|dq|$ and M with $|dqd\theta|$. Let us give a smooth Hamiltonian $h = h(q, p, \tau)$, homogeneous of degree 0, on $T^\star M \setminus 0$ and a self-adjoint pseudo-differential operator of degree 0, H, of principal symbol h, acting on $L^2(M, |dqd\theta|)$. We assume that H commutes with the S^1-action. The operator H is then a direct sum of operators on M:

$$H = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} H_n$$

where H_n acts on $L^2(N, |dq|)$ as a self-adjoint pseudo-differential operator of principal symbol $h_n(q, p) := h(q, p, n)$ which is also equal to $h(q, p/n, 1)$ if $n \neq 0$.

The spectrum of H is clearly the closure of the union of the spectra of the H_n's.

7.0.1 Spectra of H and the H_n's

Let us define $h_0(q,p) := h(q,p,0)$ and $h_1(q,p) = h(q,p,1)$. Note that h_1 is a smooth symbol of degree 0 on $T^\star N$ which is asymptotic to h_0 at infinity. The essential spectrum of H is the interval $I_\infty := [a_\infty, b_\infty]$ where $a_\infty = \inf h_1$ and $b_\infty = \sup h_1$. The essential spectrum of the H_n's is quite different: from the identities

$$h(q,p,n) = h\left(q, \frac{p}{|p|}, \frac{n}{|p|}\right) = h_0(q,p) + O\left(\frac{1}{|p|}\right) \ ,$$

one gets that the principal symbol of H_n is h_0. Hence the essential spectrum of any of the H_n's is $I_0 := [a_0, b_0]$ where $a_0 = \inf h_0$ and $b_0 = \sup h_0$. Note that we have $I_0 \subset I_\infty$ and they are often identical in the applications to physical problems.

We are interested in more precise properties of the spectra: we claim that, in $I_\infty \setminus I_0$, the spectrum of H is pure point dense, i.e. there is a basis of L^2 pairwise orthogonal eigenfunctions. Moreover the eigenvalues of H_n obey a Weyl rule when $n \to \infty$. One expects that the spectrum has no embedded eigenvalues in the interior of I_0. But quasi-modes of the type "well in an island" are possible if the dynamics of h_1 has stable bounded invariant sets (see Section 7.0.2).

Theorem 7.1 (Weyl law) *The spectra $\sigma(H_n)$ of the operators H_n in $I_\infty \setminus I_0$ are discrete. For any compact interval J included in $I_\infty \setminus I_0$, we have*

$$\#\{\sigma(H_n) \cap J\} \sim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n^2}{4\pi^2} \mathrm{vol}\left(\{q,p | h_1(q,p) \in J\}\right)$$

where the volume is defined with the Liouville measure on $T^\star N$ and the eigenvalues of H_n in J are counted with multiplicities.

Proof.– The full symbol of H writes

$$\tilde{h} = h(q,p,\tau) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} k_j(q,p,\tau)$$

with k_j homogeneous of degree j. Hence H_n can be viewed as a semi-classical pseudo-differential operator on N of semi-classical symbol

$$\tilde{h}_n = h_1(q, \hbar p) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \hbar^j k_j(q, \hbar p, 1)$$

with $\hbar = 1/n$. The Theorem follows hence from the semi-classical Weyl asymptotics. $\square$

7.0.2 Classical dynamics

We will assume that the frequency $\omega = 0$ is fixed and the 2D Hamiltonian $h_0(q,p) := h(q,p,0)$ admits an escape function. We will look at the dynamics of $h_1 := h(q,p,1)$. Note that the dynamics of h reduces on each set $\tau = a$ with $a \neq 0$ to that of h_1 by some simple rescaling of the time. Moreover

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} h_1(q,p) = h_0(q,p)$$

Near infinity the dynamics stil admits an escape (Liapounov function) and hence the orbits, if they come close enough to infinity, will converge to K_+ at $+\infty$ and K_- at $-\infty$. The dynamics $t \to \phi_t$ of h_1 is hence complete. We split the phase space into 3 pieces: $T^\star M = \Omega \cup C_+ \cup C_-$ where

- Ω is the set of (q,p) so that $\phi_t(q,p) \to K_\pm$ as $t \to \pm\infty$
- C_+ is the set of (q,p) so that $\phi_t(q,p)$ stays bounded for $t \geq 0$
- C_- is the set of (q,p) so that $\phi_t(q,p)$ stays bounded for $t \leq 0$

Finally, we define $C := C_+ \cap C_-$ the set (q,p) so that $\phi_t(q,p)$ stays bounded for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In the literature, C is called the *trapped set*.

It could happen that C supports some quasi-modes associated to the semi-classical parameter $1/n$. Generically, these quasi-modes are not close to true L^2-eigenfunctions because such eigenfunctions do not exist. There are stil visible in the wave dynamics for a very long time...

8 Open problems

There are stil many open problems. Let us describe a few of them:

- How does the spectral picture extends outside the intervals with a.c. spectra? This problem is already not solved in the simple case where Z_0 is a 2-torus, assuming the existence of a global transversal to the foliation, and the Poincaré map loses its hyperbolicity in a generic way.
- More generally, can we study what happens at the critical values of h assuming that this function is Morse or even Morse-Bott on $S^\star M$?
- What can we do in the case of a manifold with boundary? In particular, can we say something in the case of a polygon which is studied in the experiments of the Thierry Dauxois's team [Br-16].
- Prove the generic absence of embedded eigenvalues.
- Consider the *viscous case*, namely the forced equation

$$\frac{du}{dt} + iHu - \sigma\Delta u = fe^{-i\omega t},\ u(0) = 0\ . \tag{4}$$

  where σ is a positive number and Δ is the Laplacian associated to some Riemannian metric on M. Study the "small viscosity" limit $\sigma \to 0$? In particular, do the limits $\sigma \to 0^+$ and $t \to +\infty$ commute?
- There is a discrete analogue of Mourre's theory for unitary maps, see for example [FRT-13]. What can be said from the spectral theory of the unitary action of a diffeomorphism of a closed manifold on half-densities? For example, what is the spectral theory of a diffeomorphism of the circle with irrational rotation number which is not C^1-conjugated to a rotation?

Appendices

A Divergences

A.1 Formulae

Let us give a smooth vector field W whose flow is denoted $\phi_t,\ t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a smooth density $d\mu$. The divergence of W with respect to $d\mu$ is the function defined by

$$\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W) := \frac{\mathcal{L}_W d\mu}{d\mu}$$

where the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_W d\mu$ is defined by $\mathcal{L}_W d\mu := \frac{d}{dt}_{|t=0} \phi_t^\star(d\mu)$. Cartan's formula gives

$$\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W) = \frac{d(\iota(W)d\mu)}{d\mu}$$

where $\iota(.)$ is the inner product. In particular, we get the usefull formulae

$$\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(fW) = df(W) + f\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W)$$

$$\mathrm{div}_{gd\mu}(W) = \frac{dg(W)}{g} + \mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W)$$

A.2 Extending vector fields with negative divergence

Lemma A.1 *Let us assume that the invariant compact K admits a smooth (Liapounov) function l defined in the basin B of K with $dl(W) < 0$ outside K and $l(K) = 0$ and $l \to +\infty$ at the boundary of B (this is the case in particular if the attractor K is hyperbolic). If the vector field W satisfies $\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W) < 0$ in some open neighbourhood V of K, then there exists a vector field $W_1 = FW$ in B, so that $F > 0$ and $\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W_1) < 0$ in B.*

Proof–. Let us choose $r > 0$ so that $\{l \leq r\} \subset V$. It is enough to take $F = 1$ in $\{l \leq r\}$ and, for any $x \in B$ with $l(x) = r$ and any $t \geq 0$,

$$F(\phi_t(x)) := e^{\int_0^t \Phi(\phi_s(x))ds}$$

with Φ smooth, $\Phi = 0$ near $l(y) \leq r$ and, for all y with $l(y) > r$, $\Phi(y) < -\mathrm{div}_{d\mu}(W)(y)$. □

B Attractors and their basins

:

We give here some useful definitions and elementary properties of dynamical systems. We consider a smooth closed manifold X with a smooth vector field V whose flow is the 1-parameter group of diffeomeorphisms of X denoted by ϕ_t, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The definitions and statements are taken from the reference [Hu-82]. We have the following

Definition B.1 *1. If $K \subset X$ is a compact invariant set, i.e. a subset of X preserved by the flow, K is called an* attractor *if there exists an open neighbourhood U of K in X so that $K = \cap_{t \geq 0} \phi_t(U)$.*

2. *If K is an attractor, the* basin *of K is the set of points x so that $\phi_t(x) \to K$ as $t \to +\infty$.*

3. *A point $x \in X$ is wandering if there exists a neighbourhood U of x so that $\phi_t(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ for t large enough.*

The set of wandering points is open. The basins are open subsets of X. We will need the following properties (Lemma 1.6 of [Hu-82]):

Proposition B.1 *If K is an attractor, and V a neighbourhood of K, there exists an open set U satisfying*

1. *$K \subset U \subset V$*

2. *$\cap_{t \geq 0} \phi_t(\bar{U}) = K$*

3. *For all $t \geq 0$, $\phi_t(U) \subset U$*

The convergence of $\phi_t(m)$ to K is uniform on every compact subset of the basin of K.

The previous sets are the same for V and fV where $f : X \to]0, +\infty[$ is smooth. They can therefore be defined for a 1D oriented foliation generated by a smooth vector field. In particular the open set U of the previous proposition is independent of f.

References

[Ar-88] V.I. Arnold. *Geometrical Methods in the Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations.* Springer, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **250** (2d ed.) (1988).

[BFM-13] J. Bajars, J. Frank & L. R. M. Maas, *On the appearance of internal wave attractors due to an initial or parametrically excited disturbance.* J. Fluid Mech. **714**:283–311 (2013).

[Br-16] C. Brouzet, *Internal wave attractors: from geometrical focusing to non-linear energy cascade and mixing.* PhD thesis https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01361201/document (2016).

[Ca-05] L. Cattaneo. *Mourre's inequality and embedded bound states.* Bull. Sci. Math. **129**:591–614 (2005).

[CSR-18] Y. Colin de Verdière & L. Saint-Raymond. *Attractors for two dimensional waves with homogeneous Hamiltonians of degree 0.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. (to appear) **00**:00–00 (2019).

[Da-85] A. A. Davydov *Normal form of a differential equation, not solvable for the derivative, in a neighbourhood of a singular point.* Functional Analysis and Its Applications **19**:81–89 (1985).

[DIIS-03] A.A. Davydov, G. Ishikawa, S. Izumiya & W. Sun. *Generic singularities of implicit systems of first order differential equations on the plane.* Japanese Journal of Mathematics **3**:93–119 (2008).

[DvS-93] W. de Melo & S. van Strien, *One-Dimensional Dynamics*. A series of modern surveys in mathematics, Springer (1993).

[Du-11] H. Duistermaat. *Fourier Integral Operators.* Birkhaüser (2011).

[DZ-17] S. Dyatlov & M. Zworski. *Mathematical theory of scattering resonances* to appear in AMS Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 2019; http://math.berkeley.edu/~dyatlov/res/

[DZ-18] S. Dyatlov & M. Zworski. *Microlocal analysis of forced waves.* arXiv:1806.00809 (2018).

[Fo-89] G. Folland. *Harmonic Analysis in phase space.* Annals of Maths Studies **122**, Princeton (1989).

[FRT-13] C. Fernandez, S. Richard & R. Tiedra de Aldecoa. *Commutator methods for unitary operators.* J. Spectr. Theory **3**:271–292 (2013).

[Gé-08] C. Gérard. *A proof of the abstract limiting absorption principle by energy estimates.* J. Funct. Anal. **254**:2707–2725 (2008).

[Gi-91] E. Giroux. *Convexité en topologie de contact.* Comment. Math. Helv. **66**:637–677 (1991).

[GDDSV-06] L. Gostiaux, T. Dauxois, H. Didelle, J. Sommeria & S. Viboux. *Quantitative laboratory observations of internal wave reflection on ascending slopes.* Physics of Fluids **18**, 056602 (2006).

[GS-77] V. Guillemin & D. Schaeffer. *On a certain class of Fuchsian partial differential equations.* Duke Math. Jour. **44**:157–199 (1977).

[Hu-82] M. Hurley. *Attractors, persistence and density of their basins.* Trans. of the AMS. **269**:247–271 (1982).

[JMP-84] A. Jensen, E. Mourre & P. Perry. *Multiple commutator estimates and resolvent smoothness in quantum scattering theory.* Ann. Inst. Poincaré, **41**(2):207–225 (1984).

[MBSL-97] L. R. M. Maas, D. Benielli, J. Sommeria & F.-P. A. Lam, *Observation of an internal wave attractor in a confined stably stratified fluid.* Nature, London **388**:557 (1997).

[ML-95] L. R. M. Maas & F.-P. A. Lam, *Geometric focusing of internal waves.* J. Fluid Mech. **300**, 1 (1995).

[Me-94] R. Melrose. *Spectral and Scattering theory for the Laplacian on asymptotic Euclidian spaces.* in Spectral en scattering theory (M. Ikawa ed.), Marcel Dekker (1994)

[Mo-81] E. Mourre. *Absence of Singular Continuous Spectrum for Certain Self-Adjoint Operators.* Comm. Math. Phys. **78**:391–408 (1981).

[Mo-83] E. Mourre. *Opérateurs conjugués et propriétés de propagation.* Comm. Math. Phys. **91**:279–300 (1983).

[Og-05] G. Ogilvie. *Wave attractors and the asymptotic dissipation rate of tidal disturbances.* J. Fluid Mech. **543**:19–44 (2005).

[PdM-82] J. Palis & W. de Melo. *Geometric Theory of Dynamical Systems. An Introduction.* Springer-Verlag (1982).

[Pill-18] G. Pillet. *Attracteurs d'ondes internes à trois dimensions : analyse par tracés de rayons et étude expérimentale.* PhD thesis, ÉNS de Lyon. https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01861826 (2018).

[RV-10] M. Rieutord & L. Valdettaro. *Viscous dissipation by tidally forced inertial modes in a rotating spherical shell.* J. Fluid Mech. **643**:363–394 (2010).

[RV-18] M. Rieutord & L. Valdettaro. *Axisymmetric inertial modes in a spherical shell at low Ekman numbers.* J. Fluid Mech. **844**:597–634 (2018).

[Va-13] A. Vasy. *Microlocal analysis of asymptotically hyperbolic and Kerr-de Sitter spaces.* with an Appendix of Semyon Dyatlov. Inventiones Math. **194**:381–513 (2013).

[We-71] A. Weinstein, *Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds*. Adv. Math. **6**:329–346 (1971).

[We-75] A. Weinstein, *Fourier integral operators, quantization and the spectra of Riemannian manifolds* in Géométrie symplectique et physique mathématique, Colloques Internationaux CNRS **237**:289–298 (1975).