

Scalar-tensor theories and modified gravity in the wake of GW170817

David Langlois, Ryo Saito, Daisuke Yamauchi, Karim Noui

▶ To cite this version:

David Langlois, Ryo Saito, Daisuke Yamauchi, Karim Noui. Scalar-tensor theories and modified gravity in the wake of GW170817. Physical Review D, 2018, 97 (6), pp.061501. 10.1103/Phys-RevD.97.061501. hal-01758722

HAL Id: hal-01758722

https://hal.science/hal-01758722

Submitted on 20 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Scalar-tensor theories and modified gravity in the wake of GW170817

David Langlois, 1 Ryo Saito, 2 Daisuke Yamauchi, 3 and Karim Noui^{4,1}

¹APC (CNRS-Université Paris-Diderot), 10 rue Alice Domon et Léonie Duquet, 75205 Paris, France
 ²Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Yamaguchi University, Yamaguchi 753-8512, Japan
 ³Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University, Kanagawa, 221-8686, Japan
 ⁴Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique (UMR CNRS 7350),
 Université François Rabelais, Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France
 (Dated: November 2, 2018)

Theories of dark energy and modified gravity can be strongly constrained by astrophysical or cosmological observations, as illustrated by the recent observation of the gravitational wave event GW170817 and of its electromagnetic counterpart GRB 170817A, which shows that the speed of gravitational waves, c_g , is the same as the speed of light, within deviations of order 10^{-15} . This observation implies severe restrictions on scalar-tensor theories, in particular theories whose action depends on second derivatives of a scalar field. Working in the very general framework of Degenerate Higher-Order Scalar-Tensor (DHOST) theories, which encompass Horndeski and Beyond Horndeski theories, we present the DHOST theories that satisfy $c_g = c$. We then examine, for these theories, the screening mechanism that suppresses scalar interactions on small scales, namely the Vainshtein mechanism, and compute the corresponding gravitational laws for a non-relativistic spherical body. We show that it can lead to a deviation from standard gravity inside matter, parametrized by three coefficients which satisfy a consistency relation and can be constrained by present and future astrophysical observations.

Introduction. The combination of the gravitational wave event GW170817 [1], observed by the LIGO/Virgo collaboration, and of the gamma-ray burst GRB 170817A [2] gives a remarkably precise measurement of the speed of gravitational waves (GWs): it coincides with the speed of light with deviations smaller than a few parts in 10^{-15} . This measurement has dramatic consequences on the viability of theories of dark energy and/or modified gravity that have been intensively studied in the last few years because many of them generically predict a speed of GW that differs from the speed of light.

The purpose of this work is to reassess the viability of existing scalar-tensor theories with this new information, in the context of the most general framework that has been developed so far to describe scalar-tensor theories, namely that of Degenerate Higher-Order Scalar-Tensor (DHOST) theories, introduced in [3] (see also [4] for a brief overview), which include and extend previously known families of theories such as Horndeski [5] and Beyond Horndeski [6].

Since the speed of gravitational waves for all DHOST theories has already been computed, it is a rather simple task to infer the consequences of the constraint $c_g = c$ on DHOST theories, and, consequently, on Horndeski and Beyond Horndeski. In what follows, we present a summary of the present status of scalar-tensor theories in the wake of GW170817. We then turn to the more difficult task of deriving, for these DHOST theories satisfying $c_g = c$, the equations governing the gravitational behaviour inside and around a non-relativistic spherical object. Whereas standard gravity is recovered outside the object, we find that the gravitational equations *inside* matter exhibit deviations from standard gravity. These deviations can be confronted to astrophysical observations in order to further constrain this large family of scalar-tensor theories.

Overview of DHOST theories. Let us first present DHOST theories, which at present constitute the most general class of scalar-tensor theories that propagate a single scalar degree of freedom. DHOST theories were introduced in [3], where it was understood that the presence of a single scalar degree

of freedom in higher-order scalar-tensor theories is the consequence of a special degeneracy of the Lagrangian, which prevents the appearance of a (problematic) extra scalar degree of freedom, even if the Euler-Lagrange equations are not necessarily second-order. All DHOST theories with a quadratic dependence on second derivatives were obtained in [3] by using this degeneracy condition. Their action can be written in the form

$$S = \int d^{4}x \sqrt{-g} \left[F(\phi, X)^{(4)} R + P(\phi, X) + Q(\phi, X) \Box \phi , + \sum_{I=1}^{5} A_{I}(\phi, X) L_{I} \right] ,$$
(1)

where we have introduced, in the second line, all possible Lagrangians that are quadratic in second derivatives of ϕ , i.e. in $\phi_{\mu\nu} \equiv \nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \phi$ (combined with the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ or the scalar field gradient $\phi_{\mu} \equiv \nabla_{\mu} \phi$):

$$L_{1} = \phi_{\mu\nu}\phi^{\mu\nu}, \quad L_{2} = (\Box\phi)^{2}, \quad L_{3} = (\Box\phi)\phi^{\mu}\phi_{\mu\nu}\phi^{\nu}, L_{4} = \phi^{\mu}\phi_{\mu\rho}\phi^{\rho\nu}\phi_{\nu}, \quad L_{5} = (\phi^{\mu}\phi_{\mu\nu}\phi^{\nu})^{2},$$
(2)

each multiplied by a function A_I of ϕ and $X \equiv g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu}\phi$. In order to get a theory propagating a single degree of freedom, i.e. a DHOST theory, the functions F and A_I are restricted by degeneracy conditions, explicitly given in [3]. One can further enlarge the space of theories by adding to the above action (1), terms of the form [7]

$$L_{\text{cubic}} = f_{(3)}(\phi, X) G_{\mu\nu} \phi^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{I=1}^{10} B_I(X, \phi) L_I^{(3)}, \qquad (3)$$

where the $L_I^{(3)}$ are the ten possible combinations with a cubic dependence on $\phi_{\mu\nu}$ (we will not need their explicit form here). By imposing the degeneracy criterium, all DHOST theories combining quadratic and cubic terms have been systematically classified in [7].

Among the DHOST theories thus identified, one can recognize as particular cases the Beyond Horndeski theories. Following the notation of [3], they are characterized by

$$F = G_4$$
, $A_1 = -A_2 = 2G_{4X} + XF_4$, $A_3 = -A_4 = 2F_4$, $A_5 = 0$, (4)

and $f_{(3)} = G_5$ with the B_I related to G_{5X} and F_5 , while P and Q coincide with G_2 and G_3 , respectively. The Beyond Horndeski subclass itself contains the Horndeski theories, obtained by simply taking $F_4 = F_5 = 0$. Another subclass of DHOST theories was found earlier in [8], via disformal transformations of the Einstein-Hilbert action.

Since DHOST theories constitute the most general class of scalar-tensor theories considered so far, and encompass all previously studied scalar-tensor theories as well as new ones, they provide a natural arena in which to study the viability of scalar-tensor theories. A first step in this direction was performed recently by studying scalar and tensor linear perturbations around a homogeneous and isotropic background [9]. It was found that, for most classes of DHOST theories classified in [7], the square of the propagation speed of tensor modes and that of the scalar mode have opposite sign, which implies that a gradient instability develops in either the scalar or tensor sector. Only one class of DHOST theories, dubbed class I, which includes Horndeski and beyond Horndeski, does not suffer from this problem. This class contains four independent functions of ϕ and X (not including the functions P and Q). The theories of class I can in fact be related to Horndeski theories via disformal transformations [7], but as soon as matter is taken into account, it is much simpler to use the DHOST formulation where matter is *minimally* coupled, as we will be briefly comment in the conclusion.

DHOST theories satisfying $c_g = c$. Let us now turn to the new constraint brought by the discovery of a GW event and its optical counterpart, showing that the speed of gravitational waves and that of light coincide to a very high precision. For simplicity here, we will ignore the possibility of some (extreme) fine-tuning and assume that this observation is a strong indication that c_g and c strictly coincide.

Since the speed of gravitational waves (with respect to a cosmological background) has already been computed for all DHOST theories in [9], it is a straightforward exercise to identify all DHOST theories that "survive" after GW170817. In fact, it is even more useful to consider the ADM form of the DHOST Lagrangian, also derived in [9] (in a gauge where the scalar field is spatially uniform), because it provides nonlinear information and thus makes the background-dependent effects manifest. Concentrating on the terms in the Lagrangian that contribute to the kinetic and spatial gradient terms of the tensor modes, one finds [9]

$$L_{\text{ADM}} = (F - XA_1)K_{ij}K^{ij} + F^{(3)}R + \dots$$
 (5)

The requirement $c_g = c$ for any background thus imposes the very simple condition

$$A_1 = 0. (6)$$

The function A_3 is arbitrary, like F, and the remaining functions A_2 , A_4 and A_5 are then fixed by the three degeneracy

conditions derived in [3], so that we have

$$A_{1} = A_{2} = 0,$$

$$A_{4} = \frac{1}{8F} \left[48F_{X}^{2} - 8(F - XF_{X})A_{3} - X^{2}A_{3}^{2} \right],$$

$$A_{5} = \frac{1}{2F} \left(4F_{X} + XA_{3} \right)A_{3}.$$
(7)

Moreover, some of the cubic terms of (3) also contribute to the gravitational speed, in a background-dependent way, and in order to eliminate this contribution, all cubic terms must be discarded, as a consequence of the degeneracy conditions for cubic terms obtained in [7].

In summary, the condition $c_g = c$ dramatically restricts the set of viable DHOST theories but still allows a total Lagrangian that depends on four arbitrary functions of X and ϕ , namely F, P, Q and A_3 , given by

$$\begin{split} L_{c_g=1}^{\text{DHOST}} &= P + Q \Box \phi + F^{(4)} R + A_3 \phi^{\mu} \phi^{\nu} \phi_{\mu\nu} \Box \phi \\ &+ \frac{1}{8F} \left(48 F_X^2 - 8 (F - X F_X) A_3 - X^2 A_3^2 \right) \phi^{\mu} \phi_{\mu\nu} \phi_{\lambda} \phi^{\lambda\nu} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2F} \left(4 F_X + X A_3 \right) A_3 (\phi_{\mu} \phi^{\mu\nu} \phi_{\nu})^2 \;. \end{split} \tag{8}$$

It is then straightforward to infer how the condition $c_g = c$ restricts the beyond Horndeski and Horndeski subclasses. Indeed, the condition $A_1 = 0$ combined with (4) implies $F_4 = -2G_{4X}/X$, while the cubic terms vanish, i.e. $F_5 = G_5 = 0$. This corresponds to the above Lagrangian (8) with $A_3 = -4F_X/X$. As for Horndeski theories (with $F_4 = 0$), they are restricted to $G_4 = G_4(\phi)$. Note that the consequences of GW170817 on scalar-tensor theories were also discussed in [10–12], but from a narrower perspective focussed on Horndeski or Beyond Horndeski theories, which does not allow, in the most general case, for a direct inclusion of matter in the physical frame (i.e. with minimal coupling to the metric). Here, the matter Lagrangian can be added immediately to our Lagrangian (8).

Vainshtein mechanism in DHOST theories. The main purpose of the present work is to focus on another crucial aspect that viable theories must satisfy, namely that standard gravity should be approximately recovered in the situations where gravity has been well tested. In this respect, it is essential that the scalar interactions that arise in a scalar-tensor theory are suppressed on small scales in order to get a gravitational behaviour in agreement with solar system tests.

For theories with higher order derivatives of the scalar field, it is well known that this is achieved by the so-called Vainshtein mechanism [13] (see e.g. [14] for a review). The Vainshtein mechanism has been investigated in detail in Horndeski theories [15–17]. For Beyond Horndeski theories, [18] found the surprising result that the Vainshtein mechanism works only partially in the sense that the usual gravitational law is modified *inside matter*. This specific deviation from standard gravity was studied for various astrophysical objects in order to obtain constraints on the parameters of the Beyond Horndeski models (see e.g. [19–26]), as recently summarized in [27]. So far however, the Vainshtein mechanism has not been

studied for the most general DHOST theories, and the main goal of this work is to remedy this situation.

In order to study the Vainshtein mechanism, we consider a nonrelativistic spherical object, characterized by the mass density $\rho(r)$. The presence of this object will induce a (small) deformation of spacetime, which is described by the perturbed metric

$$ds^{2} = -(1 + 2\Phi(r))dt^{2} + (1 - 2\Psi(r))\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}, \quad (9)$$

where we have introduced the two gravitational potentials $\Phi(r)$ and $\Psi(r)$. Our goal is to determine the gravitational field, i.e. Φ and Ψ , generated by the mass distribution $\rho(r)$. The scalar field ϕ also acquires a perturbation due to the presence of the object and can be written as

$$\phi = \phi_c(t) + \chi(r), \qquad (10)$$

where $\phi_c(t)$ denotes the cosmological scalar field. Here, in contrast to the metric, we need to include the cosmological dependence of the scalar field because it is relevant even for a local observer, as we will see below. However, we still neglect the time dependence of χ , as we are interested in spatial scales much smaller than the Hubble radius, i.e. $Hr \ll 1$.

The relations between the two metric perturbations, the scalar perturbation and the mass distribution can be obtained by writing down the equations of motion for the scalar field and for the metric derived from the action (1), following the same procedure as that used in [18]. These equations are expanded up to linear order in the metric perturbations, which are small for a nonrelativistic object. We also assume $\chi'^2 \ll \dot{\phi}_c^2$, but we keep terms with higher-order derivatives of χ since they become important in the Vainshtein mechanism. Using the notation $v \equiv \dot{\phi}_c$, $x \equiv \chi'/r$, $y \equiv \Phi'/r$, $z \equiv \Psi'/r$, and

$$\mathscr{A}(r) \equiv \frac{\mathscr{M}(r)}{8\pi r^3}, \qquad \mathscr{M}(r) \equiv 4\pi \int_0^r \bar{r}^2 \rho(\bar{r}) d\bar{r}, \qquad (11)$$

this approximation leads to the following three equations, obtained, after integration over r, respectively from the scalar field equation of motion and the time and radial components of the metric equations of motion:

$$(8A_{3} + 6A_{4})x^{3} + 2(A_{3} + A_{4})rx(6xx' + rx'^{2} + rxx'')$$

$$+ (12F_{X} - (A_{3} - 6A_{4})v^{2})xy + (4F_{X} + (A_{3} + 2A_{4})v^{2})rxy'$$

$$- 24F_{X}xz - 8F_{X}rxz' = 0,$$

$$(4F_{X} + (3A_{3} + 2A_{4})v^{2})x^{2} + (4F_{X} + (A_{3} + 2A_{4})v^{2})rxx'$$

$$+ 2v^{2}(4F_{X} + A_{4}v^{2})y - 4(F + 2F_{X}v^{2})z + 2\mathscr{A} = 0,$$

$$2F_{X}(x^{2} + rxx') + (F + 2F_{X}v^{2})y - Fz = 0,$$

$$(12)$$

where we have furthermore neglected terms with lower powers of x, since we are interested in regions inside or around the central object, thus deep inside the Vainshtein radius where x is large. The terms A_I , F and F_X appearing in (12) are all evaluated on the background.

One can then use the last two equations of (12) to express y and z in terms of x and \mathscr{A} . Substituting these expressions

into the first one leads to an equation involving only the functions x and \mathcal{A} . This equation a priori involves derivatives of x but, remarkably, substituting the expression for A_4 given in (7) yields an equation involving no derivative of x, which reads

$$x \left\{ 4FA_3(4F + 4F_Xv^2 - 3A_3v^4)x^2 - \left[3(4F_X + A_3v^2)^2v^2 + 4F(12F_X + 7A_3v^2) \right] \mathscr{A} - (4F_X + A_3v^2)(4F + 4F_Xv^2 + A_3v^4)r\mathscr{A}' \right\} = 0.$$
 (13)

Concentrating on the nontrivial solution, the above expression yields x^2 in terms of the matter function \mathscr{A} and its derivative \mathscr{A}' . Substituting back into the earlier expressions for y and z, it is then easy to write y and z in terms of \mathscr{A} and its derivatives. This gives the modified gravitational laws

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dr} = \frac{G_{\rm N}\mathcal{M}(r)}{r^2} + \Xi_1 G_{\rm N}\mathcal{M}''(r),$$

$$\frac{d\Psi}{dr} = \frac{G_{\rm N}\mathcal{M}(r)}{r^2} + \Xi_2 \frac{G_{\rm N}\mathcal{M}'(r)}{r} + \Xi_3 G_{\rm N}\mathcal{M}''(r),$$
(14)

with the effective Newton's constant G_N defined by the expression

$$(8\pi G_{\rm N})^{-1} = 2F + 2F_X v^2 - \frac{3}{2}A_3 v^4 \equiv 2F(1 + \Xi_0)$$
 (15)

and the dimensionless coefficients

$$\Xi_1 = -\frac{(4F_X + A_3v^2)^2}{16FA_3}, \ \Xi_2 = \frac{2F_Xv^2}{F}, \ \Xi_3 = \frac{16F_X^2 - A_3^2v^4}{16A_3F}.$$

Outside the matter source, \mathcal{M} is constant and one recovers the usual gravitational behaviour. But, inside the matter distribution, the above equations differ from those of standard gravity in a way that is reminiscent of Beyond Horndeski theories [18]. Indeed, the equation for Φ is very similar to that of Beyond Horndeski since it exhibits an additional dependence on $\mathcal{M}''(r)$ but the relation between the coefficient Ξ_1 and the functions that appear in the initial Lagrangian is different. The contrast with Beyond Horndeski is even more pronounced in the equation for Ψ since we see a new term, which depends on the second derivative of \mathcal{M} : such a term has never been considered before 1. In this respect, the gravitational laws (14) significantly extend those discussed in [27], which are restricted to Beyond Horndeski models.

Note that both Ξ_1 and Ξ_3 vanish in the special case where $4F_X - XA_3 = 0$, but the deviation from standard gravity is still present via Ξ_2 . To cancel simultaneously all Ξ_i requires going to the trivial case where all A_I vanish and $F = F(\phi)$.

Interestingly, the three coefficients in (16) are not independent but satisfy the consistency relation

$$\Xi_3^2 - \Xi_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Xi_1\Xi_2.$$
 (17)

¹ In the Beyond Horndeski case, we have $4F_X + XA_3 = 0$, as discussed below (8). As a consequence, $\Xi_3 = 0$ and $\Xi_2 = -\Xi_0 = -2\Xi_1$.

It is also worth noting that the coefficients Ξ_i can be related to two of the cosmological effective parameters, namely α_H and β_1 , introduced in the effective description of dark energy in [28] and [9], extending the parameters of [29] to Beyond Horndeski and DHOST theories. One finds the very simple relations: $\Xi_0 = -\alpha_H - 3\beta_1$, and

$$\Xi_1 = -\frac{(\alpha_H + \beta_1)^2}{2(\alpha_H + 2\beta_1)}, \ \Xi_2 = \alpha_H, \ \Xi_3 = -\frac{\beta_1(\alpha_H + \beta_1)}{2(\alpha_H + 2\beta_1)}. \ (18)$$

For physical situations where only the metric potential Φ is relevant, one can re-use for Ξ_1 the constraints already obtained in previous studies devoted to Beyond Horndeski theories². In particular, the relation for Φ leads to a modified density profile for non-relativistic stars [19, 20]. As shown in [20], one must always have $\Xi_1 > -1/6$ to guarantee the very existence of stars. One can also get the upper bound $\Xi_1 \lesssim 0.4$ from the consistency of the minimum mass for hydrogen burning in stars with the lowest mass red dwarf [21, 22].

The ratio between the Newton's constant G_N appearing in (14) and the effective Newton's constant associated with the propagation of gravitational waves, given by $8\pi G_{\rm gw} \equiv$ 1/(2F) [as can be read from (5) with $A_1 = 0$] coincides with $(1+\Xi_0)^{-1}$. As discussed in [30], this ratio can be strongly constrained by the decay of the orbital period of the Hulse-Taylor binary pulsar since the energy loss via gravitational radiation is proportional to G_{gw} . The present agreement with the GR predictions yields a tight constraint on Ξ_0 , estimated at below the percent level in [30, 31], although a more precise analysis would be useful. Note that a constraint on Ξ_0 much tighter than on the other Ξ_i effectively leads to a one-parameter approximation of the deviations from standard gravity, with $\Xi_0 \approx 0$, $\Xi_1 \approx 2\beta_1$, $\Xi_2 \approx -3\beta_1$ and $\Xi_3 \approx -\beta_1$ (and $\alpha_{\rm H} \approx -3\beta_1$), where, according to the constraints on Ξ_1 discussed earlier, β_1 is restricted to the range $-1/12 < \beta_1 \lesssim 0.2$.

Additional constraints on the Ξ_i can be obtained via gravitational lensing observations, which are directly sensitive to the combination $\Phi + \Psi$, as discussed in [16, 19, 24] in the restricted case of Horndeski and Beyond Horndeski theories. For instance, lensing in galaxy clusters, assuming a Navarro-Frenk-White profile [32] $\rho(r) = \rho_s / \left[\frac{r}{r_s} (1 + \frac{r}{r_s})^2\right]$, is governed by

$$\Phi + \Psi = \frac{8\pi G_{\rm N} \rho_s r_s^3}{r} \left[-\ln\left(1 + \frac{r}{r_s}\right) + (\Xi_1 + \Xi_3) \frac{r^2}{2(r + r_s)^2} - \Xi_2 \frac{r}{2(r + r_s)} \right], \tag{19}$$

[1] Virgo, LIGO Scientific Collaboration, B. Abbott *et. al.*, "GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a

where the two additional terms, arising from modified gravity, behave very differently from the standard term and, moreover, have a distinct radial dependence at small radii $r < r_s$. The analysis of existing and future gravitational lensing data could thus provide interesting complementary constraints on the parameters of viable DHOST theories.

Conclusions. The most general scalar-tensor theory propagating a single scalar degree of freedom and compatible with the observation of GW170817 is described by the DHOST action (8), which depends on four arbitrary functions of X and ϕ (including the functions P and Q, which do not affect the speed of gravitational waves).

We have derived the modified gravitational laws due to the Vainshtein mechanism for all DHOST theories verifying $c_g = c$. We have found new consequences of the breaking of the Vainshtein mechanism inside matter, leading to modified gravitational laws that are more general than those associated with the restricted case of Beyond Horndeski theories. It is crucial to use these new extended results to confront comprehensively modified gravity models with astrophysical observations depending on both gravitational potentials.

Note that our analysis has been carried out in the physical frame (or Jordan frame) where matter is minimally coupled to the metric. As shown in [33, 34], the quadratic DHOST theories of class I, thus including (8), can be reformulated as Horndeski theories via a disformal transformation of the metric, of the form $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = C(X,\phi)g_{\mu\nu} + D(X,\phi)\,\phi_{\mu}\,\phi_{\nu}$, but at the price of introducing a disformal coupling of matter to the metric. Although a study of the Vainshtein mechanism in such a "Horndeski frame" is feasible, it turns out that it is significantly more involved than the present analysis in the physical frame [35].

It will be interesting in the future to obtain quantitative constraints on the parameters Ξ_i , via astrophysical and cosmological observations, keeping in mind the consistency relation (17). Another important question is the status of compact objects in these modified gravity models. Neutron stars in Beyond Horndeski have been studied in [25, 26] but the particular models considered in those works do not satisfy $c_g = c$ and it would thus be important to reexamine these studies in the context of the viable DHOST theories discussed here.

Acknowledgements: This work was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan, No. 17K14304 (D. Y) and No. 17K14286. (R. S).

² Our parameter Ξ_1 numerically coincides with $\Upsilon_1/4$, where Υ_1 is the parameter used in several works based on Beyond Horndeski. We prefer to use a different notation here to emphasize that the underlying theories are different and to avoid possible confusions in the interpretation of data.

Binary Neutron Star Inspiral," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017), no. 16 161101, 1710.05832.

^[2] Virgo, Fermi-GBM, INTEGRAL, LIGO Scientific Collaboration, B. P. Abbott et. al., "Gravitational Waves and Gamma-rays from a Binary Neutron Star Merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A," Astrophys. J. 848 (2017), no. 2 L13, 1710.05834.

^[3] D. Langlois and K. Noui, "Degenerate higher derivative theories beyond Horndeski: evading the Ostrogradski

- instability," JCAP 1602 (2016), no. 02 034, 1510.06930.
- [4] D. Langlois, "Degenerate Higher-Order Scalar-Tensor (DHOST) theories," in 52nd Rencontres de Moriond on Gravitation (Moriond Gravitation 2017) La Thuile, Italy, March 25-April 1, 2017, 2017. 1707.03625.
- [5] G. W. Horndeski, "Second-order scalar-tensor field equations in a four-dimensional space," *Int.J.Theor.Phys.* 10 (1974) 363–384.
- [6] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, F. Piazza, and F. Vernizzi, "Healthy theories beyond Horndeski," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 114 (2015), no. 21 211101, 1404.6495.
- [7] J. Ben Achour, M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, D. Langlois, K. Noui, and G. Tasinato, "Degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski up to cubic order," *JHEP* 12 (2016) 100, 1608.08135.
- [8] M. Zumalacárregui and J. García-Bellido, "Transforming gravity: from derivative couplings to matter to second-order scalar-tensor theories beyond the Horndeski Lagrangian," *Phys. Rev.* D89 (2014), no. 6 064046, 1308.4685.
- [9] D. Langlois, M. Mancarella, K. Noui, and F. Vernizzi, "Effective Description of Higher-Order Scalar-Tensor Theories," *JCAP* 1705 (2017), no. 05 033, 1703.03797.
- [10] P. Creminelli and F. Vernizzi, "Dark Energy after GW170817," 1710.05877.
- [11] J. M. Ezquiaga and M. Zumalacárregui, "Dark Energy after GW170817," 1710.05901.
- [12] T. Baker, E. Bellini, P. G. Ferreira, M. Lagos, J. Noller, and I. Sawicki, "Strong constraints on cosmological gravity from GW170817 and GRB 170817A," 1710.06394.
- [13] A. I. Vainshtein, "To the problem of nonvanishing gravitation mass," *Phys. Lett.* 39B (1972) 393–394.
- [14] E. Babichev and C. Deffayet, "An introduction to the Vainshtein mechanism," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 30 (2013) 184001, 1304.7240.
- [15] R. Kimura, T. Kobayashi, and K. Yamamoto, "Vainshtein screening in a cosmological background in the most general second-order scalar-tensor theory," *Phys. Rev.* D85 (2012) 024023, 1111.6749.
- [16] T. Narikawa, T. Kobayashi, D. Yamauchi, and R. Saito, "Testing general scalar-tensor gravity and massive gravity with cluster lensing," *Phys. Rev.* D87 (2013) 124006, 1302.2311.
- [17] K. Koyama, G. Niz, and G. Tasinato, "Effective theory for the Vainshtein mechanism from the Horndeski action," *Phys. Rev.* D88 (2013) 021502, 1305.0279.
- [18] T. Kobayashi, Y. Watanabe, and D. Yamauchi, "Breaking of Vainshtein screening in scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski," *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015), no. 6 064013, 1411.4130.
- [19] K. Koyama and J. Sakstein, "Astrophysical Probes of the Vainshtein Mechanism: Stars and Galaxies," Phys. Rev. D91

- (2015) 124066, 1502.06872.
- [20] R. Saito, D. Yamauchi, S. Mizuno, J. Gleyzes, and D. Langlois, "Modified gravity inside astrophysical bodies," *JCAP* **1506** (2015) 008, 1503.01448.
- [21] J. Sakstein, "Hydrogen Burning in Low Mass Stars Constrains Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115 (2015) 201101, 1510.05964.
- [22] J. Sakstein, "Testing Gravity Using Dwarf Stars," Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 124045, 1511.01685.
- [23] R. K. Jain, C. Kouvaris, and N. G. Nielsen, "White Dwarf Critical Tests for Modified Gravity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (2016), no. 15 151103, 1512.05946.
- [24] J. Sakstein, H. Wilcox, D. Bacon, K. Koyama, and R. C. Nichol, "Testing Gravity Using Galaxy Clusters: New Constraints on Beyond Horndeski Theories," *JCAP* 1607 (2016), no. 07 019, 1603.06368.
- [25] E. Babichev, K. Koyama, D. Langlois, R. Saito, and J. Sakstein, "Relativistic Stars in Beyond Horndeski Theories," *Class. Quant. Grav.* 33 (2016), no. 23 235014, 1606.06627.
- [26] J. Sakstein, E. Babichev, K. Koyama, D. Langlois, and R. Saito, "Towards Strong Field Tests of Beyond Horndeski Gravity Theories," *Phys. Rev.* D95 (2017), no. 6 064013, 1612.04263.
- [27] J. Sakstein and B. Jain, "Implications of the Neutron Star Merger GW170817 for Cosmological Scalar-Tensor Theories," 1710.05893.
- [28] J. Gleyzes, D. Langlois, and F. Vernizzi, "A unifying description of dark energy," *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* D23 (2014) 3010, 1411.3712.
- [29] E. Bellini and I. Sawicki, "Maximal freedom at minimum cost: linear large-scale structure in general modifications of gravity," *JCAP* 1407 (2014) 050, 1404.3713.
- [30] J. Beltran Jimenez, F. Piazza, and H. Velten, "Evading the Vainshtein Mechanism with Anomalous Gravitational Wave Speed: Constraints on Modified Gravity from Binary Pulsars," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 116 (2016), no. 6 061101, 1507.05047.
- [31] A. Dima and F. Vernizzi, "Vainshtein Screening in Scalar-Tensor Theories before and after GW170817: Constraints on Theories beyond Horndeski," 1712.04731.
- [32] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk, and S. D. M. White, "A Universal density profile from hierarchical clustering," *Astrophys. J.* 490 (1997) 493–508, astro-ph/9611107.
- [33] M. Crisostomi, K. Koyama, and G. Tasinato, "Extended Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity," *JCAP* 1604 (2016), no. 04 044, 1602.03119.
- [34] J. Ben Achour, D. Langlois, and K. Noui, "Degenerate higher order scalar-tensor theories beyond Horndeski and disformal transformations," *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016), no. 12 124005, 1602.08398.
- [35] D. Langlois, R. Saito, and D. Yamauchi, "Vainshtein mechanism in dhost theories." in preparation.