

DE BROGLIE WAVES AND QUANTIFICATION OF KEPLERIAN ORBITS IN A PLATONIC QUADRIDIMENSIONAL SPACE

Alain Jégat

► To cite this version:

Alain Jégat. DE BROGLIE WAVES AND QUANTIFICATION OF KEPLERIAN ORBITS IN A PLATONIC QUADRIDIMENSIONAL SPACE. 2018. hal-01756323

HAL Id: hal-01756323 https://hal.science/hal-01756323v1

Preprint submitted on 1 Apr 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DE BROGLIE WAVES AND QUANTIFICATION OF KEPLERIAN ORBITS IN A PLATONIC QUADRIDIMENSIONAL SPACE

ONDE DE PHASE DE DE BROGLIE ET QUANTIFICATION DES ORBITES KÉPLÉRIENNES DANS UN ESPACE QUADRIDIMENSIONNEL PLATONICIEN

Alain Jégat

Abstract

The properties of the platonic quadridimensional space, the modeling of De Broglie waves and the resulting concept of mass (see hal-01165196; hal-01207447; hal-01213447) seem to allow a quantum approach to the laws of gravitation by a postulate of quantified declination.

The quantized declination postulate proposed in the article hal-01584918 is here supplemented by a *second quantized declination postulate* concerning, this time, the direction of the absolute velocities of the moving bodies.

These absolutes quanta are *independent of the chosen reference frames and of the rest masses of the considered bodies*. They are related to the De Broglie waves generated by the massive bodies in gravitational interaction and lead, surprisingly, to the well-known characteristics of Keplerian orbits.

We propose here an introduction, restricted to the relatively simple case where the guiding angle of the observation frame is equal to $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Résumé

Les propriétés relativistes de l'espace quadridimensionnel platonicien, la modélisation de l'onde de phase et le concept de masse qui en découlent (cf. les documents hal-01081576; hal-01205805; hal-01213062) semblent permettre une approche quantique des lois de la gravitation.

Le postulat de déclinaison quantifiée proposé dans l'article hal-01577669 est ici enrichi d'un *second postulat de déclinaison quantifiée* concernant, cette fois, la direction des vitesses absolues des corps en mouvement.

Ces quanta absolus sont *indépendants des référentiels d'observation choisis et des masses au repos des corps considérés*. Ils sont liés aux ondes de phase de De Broglie générées par les corps massifs en interaction gravitationnelle et conduisent rapidement, de façon surprenante, aux caractéristiques bien connues des orbites képlériennes.

Nous en proposons ici une introduction, restreinte au cas relativement simple où l'angle

directeur du référentiel d'observation est égal à $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

1. The geometrical framework

This modeling is based on the Platonic space outlined in the following articles:

« UN MODÈLE PLATONICIEN (EUCLIDIEN-PROJECTIF) POUR LA THÉORIE DE LA RELATIVITÉ RESTREINTE » (pré-publication hal-01081576, version 1).

« A PLATONIC (EUCLIDEAN-PROJECTIVE) MODEL FOR THE SPECIAL THEORY OF RELATIVITY » (pre-publication hal-01165196, version 1).

 $(O, \vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}, \vec{h})$ is a frame for the four-dimensional Euclidean space whose axes are denoted (OX), (OY), (OZ), (Ow); the direction of the projection is that of the vector \vec{h} . Following the hal-01207447 v1 and hal-01213447 v1 articles, the notion of relativistic mass of a particle is described here as a result of its interaction with a stratification of the four-dimensional Platonic space by a sequence of hyperplanes $H^{(n)}$ which are orthogonal to the direction of the projection \vec{h} , regularly spaced by a distance $\Delta w_0 > 0$.

This distance Δw_0 is equal to the *Compton wavelength* of the particle in question (for example, for an electron, $\Delta w_e = \frac{h}{mc} \approx 2,426.10^{-12}$, where m_e denotes the rest mass of the electron).

These concepts are detailed in the HAL articles below:

hal-01165196, v1 : A platonic (euclidean-projective) model for the special theory of relativity.

- hal-01207447, v1 : Towards a modeling of De Broglie waves in a platonic quadridimensional space.
- hal-01213447, v1 : An idea of the mass of a particle in a platonic quadridimensional space.

hal-01340134, v1 : One-dimensional elastic collisions in a platonic quadridimensional space.

hal-01378215, v1 : About time measurement in a platonic quadridimensional space.

hal-01584918, v1 : A quantified approach to the laws of gravitation in a platonic quadridimensional space.

2. Presentation of the object of this study



Observers of a reference frame R_{α} study the movement of two bodies C'_1 and C'_2 in gravitational interaction.

These two objects are in fact the projections of two bodies C_1 and C_2 moving in the Platonic space (see also the diagram in paragraph 3).

Let us denote by β_1 and β_2 the measures of the angles formed by the velocities $\overrightarrow{v_1}$ and $\overrightarrow{v_2}$ of these two bodies with the hyperplanes of respective equations $W = W(C_1)$ and $W = W(C_2)$; by ε_1 and ε_2 the measures of the angles formed by the vector \overrightarrow{i} and the absolute velocities $\overrightarrow{v_{abs1}}$ and $\overrightarrow{v_{abs2}}$ of the observed bodies C'_1 and $C'_2 : \varepsilon_1 = (\overrightarrow{i}, \overrightarrow{v_{abs1}})$ and $\varepsilon_2 = (\overrightarrow{i}, \overrightarrow{v_{abs2}})$.

We shall introduce a *double postulate of quantified declinations* of these angles (generated by the De Broglie waves linked to their masses), which, by generating the mutual accelerations of these two bodies in the Platonic space, will show us that the *elliptic trajectories* of C'_1 and C'_2 here observed in the reference frame R_{α} are the *projections of the quantified quadridimensional trajectories* thus obtained from the bodies C_1 and C_2 .

To simplify the calculations and the presentation of this quantum gravitational approach, the observation frame chosen is $R_{\pi/2}$, the orbits of C'_1 and C'_2 in this reference frame are in a plane whose equation is $z = z_0$, the axes of $R_{\pi/2}$ are positioned so as to have their origin $O_{\pi/2}$ on the axis (OW) and their guiding vectors $\vec{i}_{\pi/2}$, $\vec{j}_{\pi/2}$, $\vec{k}_{\pi/2}$ coincide with the guinding vectors \vec{i} , \vec{j} , \vec{k} of the axes (OX), (OY), (OZ).

The orbits chosen for C'_1 and C'_2 in this reference frame are ellipses (the absolute velocities considered being small with respect to the speed of light).

See diagram above and the following diagrams (axes $(O_{\pi/2}z)$ and (OZ) are not shown).



Referring to the diagram above, consider the De Broglie mass wave generated by the body C_i and perceived by the body C_j . Let us note $d_{i,j}$ the distance C_iH_j , where H_j designates the projection of C_j on the hyperplane H_{Pi} associated with C_i (that is to say the hyperplane orthogonal to $\vec{v_i}$ and passing through C_i).

We shall adopt the following assumption:

in the Platonic space, the **angular variation** $\Delta\beta_{i,j}$ corresponding to the change of the trajectory undergone by the body C_j perceiving an occurrence of the mass wave emitted by the body C_i is **independent of the reference frame** R_{α} **and of the rest mass of the considered body** (see also paragraph 8) and has the following value:

$$\Delta\beta_{i,j} = \frac{Gh}{c^3 \left(d_{i,j}\right)^2} \sin\beta_j \cos\psi.$$
[1]

Note that if one sets $d_{i,j} = n_{i,j} \times l_p$, where $l_p = \sqrt{\frac{Gh}{2\pi c^3}}$ denotes the *Planck length*, then the relation [1] becomes: $\Delta \beta_{i,j} = \frac{2\pi}{(n_{i,j})^2} \sin \beta_j \cos \psi$ (with, in fact, $n_{i,j} = E\left(\frac{d_{i,j}}{l_p}\right)$).

Moreover, we have:

$$\left(\left(d_{i,j} \right)^2 = \rho^2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_i \cos^2 \psi + \sin^2 \beta_i}{\sin^2 \beta_i} \right), \quad [1^{\text{bis}}]$$

(see details in paragraph 8.1),

it comes therefore:

$$\Delta \beta_{i,j} = \frac{Gh}{c^3 \rho^2} \frac{\sin^2 \beta_i \sin \beta_j \cos \psi}{\sin^2 \beta_i + \cos^2 \beta_i \cos^2 \psi}.$$
[1ter]

3.2. Second postulate



We will introduce in this article a second postulate of quantified declination, which completes the article hal-01577669. This assumption concerns angles $\varepsilon_1 = (\vec{i}, \vec{v_{abs1}})$ and $\varepsilon_2 = (\vec{i}, \vec{v_{abs2}})$: when the body C_j perceives an occurrence of the mass wave generated by the body C_i , the direction of the vector $\vec{v_{absj}}$ is modified by a quantum $\Delta \varepsilon_{i,j}$, independent of the reference frame R_{α} and of the rest mass of the body considered, which has for value:

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{i,j} = \frac{Gh}{c^3 (d_{i,j})^2} \cdot \frac{\sin \psi}{\cos \beta_i + \cos \beta_j}.$$
 [2]

Like before, if one sets $d_{i,j} = n_{i,j} \times l_p$, where $l_p = \sqrt{\frac{Gh}{2\pi c^3}}$ denotes the *Planck length*, then the relation [2] becomes: $\Delta \varepsilon_{i,j} = \frac{2\pi}{\left(n_{i,j}\right)^2} \frac{\sin \psi}{\cos \beta_i + \cos \beta_j}$. Moreover, with: $\left(d_{i,j}\right)^2 = \rho^2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_i \cos^2 \psi + \sin^2 \beta_i}{\sin^2 \beta_i}$, (cf. Annex 8.1)

we obtain : $\Delta \varepsilon_{i,j} = \frac{Gh}{c^3 \rho^2} \frac{\sin^2 \beta_i}{\sin^2 \beta_i + \cos^2 \beta_i \cos^2 \psi} \cdot \frac{\sin \psi}{\cos \beta_i + \cos \beta_j}$ [2^{bis}]

4. Frequency of the mass wave perceived by the body C_j and variation of the angles β_j and ε_j of its trajectory



Referring to the diagram above, consider the mass wave generated by the body C_i and perceived by the body C_i .

Let us denote by σ a measure of the angle $\left(\overline{v_{abs\,i}}, \overline{v_{abs\,j}}\right)$.

A first wavefront $F_0(0)$ is perceived by C_i when it is at $C_i(0)$.

The next wavefront F_1 is then located at $F_1(0)$, separated by the absolute wavelength $\lambda_{abs} = \Delta w_{0i} \tan \beta_i$ (in addition, see the diagram in paragraph 8).

After an absolute time ΔT , the bodies C_i and C_j are at $C_i(\Delta T)$ and $C_j(\Delta T)$ and the wavefront F_1 is at $F_1(\Delta T)$, with the relations:

$$\Delta F_1 = \frac{-\Delta T}{\cos \beta_i \cos \sigma}$$
 and $\Delta C_j = \Delta T \cos \beta_j$.

Thus, the body C_j perceives the wavefront F_1 when the absolute duration ΔT verifies the equation: $l = \Delta C_j + \Delta F_1$, i.e. $-\frac{\Delta w_{0i} \tan \beta_i}{\cos \sigma} = \frac{-\Delta T}{\cos \beta_i \cos \sigma} + \Delta T \cos \beta_j$,

i.e.: $\Delta T = \frac{\Delta w_{0i} \sin \beta_i}{1 - \cos \beta_i \cos \beta_j \cos \sigma}$ (*absolute period* of the mass wave).

It follows that the *absolute frequency* $f_{i,i}$ of this mass wave is given by:

$$f_{i,j} = \frac{1}{\Delta T} = \frac{1 - \cos \beta_i \cos \beta_j \cos \sigma}{\Delta w_{0i} \sin \beta_i}$$

With the equality $\sigma = \pi$ in the particular case studied in these first paragraphs, the absolute frequency $f_{i,i}$ of this phase wave becomes:

$$f_{i,j} = \frac{1 + \cos \beta_i \cos \beta_j}{\Delta w_{0i} \sin \beta_i}.$$
[3]

• This result allows us to estimate the derivative of the direction angle β_j of the trajectory followed by the body C_j with respect to the absolute time T (although the mass waves have high frequencies, the term "derivative" seems unsuitable for quantifying quantities):

$$\left(\frac{d\beta_j}{dT} = f_{i,j} \times \Delta\beta_{i,j} = \frac{Gh}{c^3 \rho^2 \Delta w_{0i}} \frac{1 + \cos\beta_i \cos\beta_j}{\sin^2\beta_i + \cos^2\beta_i \cos^2\psi} \sin\beta_i \sin\beta_j \cos\psi.\right)$$
[4]

The estimation of the variation of the angles ε₁ and ε₂ will appeal, for its part, to a *complementary hypothesis*:

during the perception by the body C_j of a mass wavefront generated by the body C_i , the angle ε_j is modified by a quanta $\Delta \varepsilon_{i,j}$ (cf.3.2.) and, concomitantly, the angle ε_i is also modified from this same quanta.

By reciprocity, this hypothesis thus leads us to the common value of these derivatives:

$$\frac{d\varepsilon_1}{dT} = \frac{d\varepsilon_2}{dT} = f_{1,2}\Delta\varepsilon_{1,2} + f_{2,1}\Delta\varepsilon_{2,1}$$
[5]

i.e., noting $\frac{d\varepsilon}{dT}$ this common value:

$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dT} = \frac{Gh}{c^3 \rho^2} \cdot \frac{1 + \cos\beta_1 \cos\beta_2}{\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2} \cdot \sin\psi \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{i=2} \frac{\sin\beta_i}{\Delta w_{0i} \left(\sin^2\beta_i + \cos^2\beta_i \cos^2\psi \right)} \right] \cdot \quad [5^{\text{bis}}]$$

7

5. Absolute energy, absolute momentum and absolute angular momentum 5.1. Recall of definitions

We will retain the definitions adopted in the article hal-01584918:

absolute velocity of a particle (without unit): $v_{abs} = \cos \beta$, (cf. **note** in § 8.1.)

absolute mass of a particle (in kg): $m_{abs} = \frac{h}{c\Delta w_0 \sin \beta}$,

absolute energy of a particle (in J): $E_{abs} = m_{abs}c^2 = \frac{hc}{\Delta w_0 \sin \beta}$.

By choosing for *absolute potential energy of the system* the quantity:

$$E_{Pabs} = -\frac{Gm_{abs1}m_{abs2}}{\rho} = -\frac{G}{\rho}\frac{h^2}{c^2\Delta w_{01}\Delta w_{02}\sin\beta_1\sin\beta_2},$$

the *conservation of energy* in the two-body problem becomes:

$$\frac{hc}{\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} + \frac{hc}{\Delta w_{02}\sin\beta_2} - \frac{G}{\rho} \frac{h^2}{c^2 \Delta w_{01} \Delta w_{02}\sin\beta_1\sin\beta_2} = k_1 \qquad [6]^*.$$

Similarly, by setting $\rho = C_1 C_2$, $\rho_1 = \Omega C_1$ and $\rho_2 = \Omega C_2$, where Ω denotes the barycenter of the points C_1 and C_2 respectively assigned to the coefficients m_{abs1} and m_{abs2} , the *conservation of the absolute angular momentum of the system* leads to:

$$\rho_1 \frac{h}{c\Delta w_{01}\tan\beta_1}\sin\psi + \rho_2 \frac{h}{c\Delta w_{02}\tan\beta_2}\sin\psi = k_2$$
 [7]*

with the relations $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$; $\rho_1 = \rho \frac{m_{abs2}}{m_{abs1} + m_{abs2}} = \rho \frac{\Delta w_{01} \sin \beta_1}{\Delta w_{01} \sin \beta_1 + \Delta w_{02} \sin \beta_2}$; $\rho_2 = \rho \frac{m_{abs1}}{m_{abs1} + m_{abs2}} = \rho \frac{\Delta w_{02} \sin \beta_2}{\Delta w_{01} \sin \beta_1 + \Delta w_{02} \sin \beta_2}$ and $\rho_2 = \frac{m_{abs1}}{m_{abs2}} \rho_1$.

And the consideration of *absolute momentum* leads to :

$$\frac{h}{c\Delta w_{01}\tan\beta_1} - \frac{h}{c\Delta w_{02}\tan\beta_2} = 0$$
 [8].

(**N.B.**: to avoid factors $\tan \beta_i$, prefer [8^{bis}]: $\frac{h\cos\beta_1}{c\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} - \frac{h\cos\beta_2}{c\Delta w_{02}\sin\beta_2} = 0.$)

*(k_1 and k_2 are two constants).

5.2. Link between the second postulate and the conservation of the absolute angular momentum of the system

A profound link is to be emphasized between the two quantities quoted in this paragraph:

it can be shown that **the second postulate** of quantified declination, relative to the variation of the angles ε_i , **is equivalent to the principle of conservation of the absolute**

angular momentum of the system.

This demonstration is attached as Annex 8.2.

5.3. Note about the absolute energy of the system

This quantity, used in the article hal-01584918, made it possible to establish the trajectories of the bodies in gravitational interaction in the four-dimensional Platonic space and to thus find, according to the classical expectations, those of their projections. In this article, it should be emphasized that the study of these trajectories will be carried out according to a different process (detailed in paragraph 7.) which does not make use of this concept (the study is here based on the two postulates of quantified declinations and some basic geometrical considerations), but that *the results obtained here remain fully consistent with this concept of absolute energy of the system*.

As an indication, a comparative study was conducted for the Sun-Earth system with these two approaches.

Results using the relationships [P1] and [P2]:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dT} = \left[\frac{\cos\beta_1\sin\beta_2\left(\sin\beta_2 - \frac{Gh}{c^3\rho\Delta w_{02}}\right)}{\sin^2\beta_2 + \cos^2\beta_2\cos^2\psi} + \frac{\cos\beta_2\sin\beta_1\left(\sin\beta_1 - \frac{Gh}{c^3\rho\Delta w_{01}}\right)}{\sin^2\beta_1 + \cos^2\beta_1\cos^2\psi}\right] (1 + \cos\beta_1\cos\beta_2)\cos\psi$$

and :

$$\frac{d\rho_i}{dT} = \frac{\rho \Delta w_{01} \Delta w_{02} \left(\cos\beta_i \sin\beta_j \frac{d\beta_i}{dT} - \sin\beta_i \cos\beta_j \frac{d\beta_j}{dT}\right) + \frac{d\rho}{dT} \left(\Delta w_{01} \Delta w_{02} \sin\beta_1 \sin\beta_2 + \Delta w_{0i}^2 \sin^2\beta_i\right)}{\left(\Delta w_{01} \sin\beta_1 + \Delta w_{02} \sin\beta_2\right)^2}$$

from potential energy (cf. hal-01584918), are discussed in paragraph 8.4.6 and those based on $[G6^{\text{bis}}]$: $\frac{d\rho}{dT} = (\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2)\cos\psi$ (cf. § 6.) are discussed in paragraph 8.4.1.

As can be seen, *these results are consistent*.

6. Some preliminary geometric considerations

Before detailing, in the following paragraph, the iterative process chosen for the study of the movement of the C_1 and C_2 bodies, it will be useful to establish some elementary geometric relations between the different quantities to be measured. The diagram below illustrates the positions of the observed bodies C'_1 and C'_2 at two absolute moments T and T + dT.



First, remember that $v_{abs1} = \cos \beta_1$ and $v_{abs2} = \cos \beta_2$ (see 8.1); hence: $dl_i = \cos \beta_i dT$. Therefore, in the $\Omega C'_i(T) C'_i(T + dT)$ triangles, we have:

$$\frac{dl_i}{d\theta} = \frac{\rho_i}{\sin\psi} \Longrightarrow \frac{\cos\beta_i dT}{d\theta} = \frac{\rho_i}{\sin\psi}$$

Then, successively:

$$\frac{d\theta}{dT} = \frac{\cos\beta_i}{\rho_i}\sin\psi \quad ; \qquad [G1]$$

$$\frac{\cos\beta_1}{\rho_1} = \frac{\cos\beta_2}{\rho_2}.$$
 [G2]

And, with $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$:

$$\frac{d\theta}{dT} = \frac{\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2}{\rho}\sin\psi ; \qquad [G3]$$

$$\rho_1 = \rho \frac{\cos \beta_1}{\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_2} ; \quad \rho_2 = \rho \frac{\cos \beta_2}{\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_2} . \qquad [G3^{\text{bis}}]$$

With $\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2} = \frac{\cos \beta_1}{\cos \beta_2}$ and $\Delta w_{01} \tan \beta_1 = \Delta w_{02} \tan \beta_2$ (cf. [8]), we obtain :

$$\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2} = \frac{\Delta w_{01} \sin \beta_1}{\Delta w_{02} \sin \beta_2} ; \text{ hence, with } m_{abs\,i} = \frac{h}{c \Delta w_{0i} \sin \beta_i} \text{ , the relation : } \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2} = \frac{m_{abs\,2}}{m_{abs\,1}}$$

Important note:

the relation [G3] will be used in place of the relation $\frac{d\theta}{dT} = \frac{\cos \beta_i}{\rho_i}$ which had been

retained in the article hal-01584918, page 9.

Indeed, this last relation is only suitable for quasi-circular trajectories and was at the origin of the underlined defects for trajectories with high eccentricities.

Link between increases $d\varepsilon$, $d\psi$ and $d\theta$ and calculation of $\frac{d\psi}{dT}$: This study being limited to Keplerian orbits, for which $\psi_1 = \psi_2$, put $\psi = \left(\overrightarrow{C'_i \Omega}, \overrightarrow{v_{absi}}\right)$.

With
$$d\theta = \left(\overrightarrow{\Omega C'_i(T)}, \overrightarrow{\Omega C'_i(T+dT)}\right)$$
, $d\varepsilon = \left(\overrightarrow{v_{absi}(T)}, \overrightarrow{v_{absi}(T+dT)}\right)$
and $d\psi = \psi(t+dT) - \psi(T)$, we get easily: $d\varepsilon = d\psi + d\theta$. [G4]

This relation allows us, by using [G3] and [5], to obtain successively:

$$\frac{d\psi}{dT} = \frac{d\varepsilon}{dT} - \frac{d\theta}{dT}, \text{ then }: \left[\frac{d\psi}{dT} = f_{1,2}\Delta\varepsilon_{1,2} + f_{2,1}\Delta\varepsilon_{2,1} - \frac{\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2}{\rho}\sin\psi\right]$$
[G5]

Finally, we will reuse the relation [G6]: $\frac{d\rho_i}{dT} = \cos\beta_i \cos\psi$ (see hal-01584918 pages 8 and 9) to deduce, from $\frac{d\rho}{dT} = \frac{d\rho_1}{dT} + \frac{d\rho_2}{dT}$, the relation:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dT} = \left(\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2\right)\cos\psi.$$
 [G6^{bis}]

7. Study of the movement

From the previous relations, we will be able to set up an *iterative process* to study the motion of the two bodies in interaction as a function of the absolute time *T*. As indicated in paragraph 5.3, this process will be significantly different from the one adopted in article hal-01584918 because it involves only the quantified assumptions [1] and [2], the conservation of the absolute momentum [5] of the system and the considerations detailed in paragraph 6.

Insofar as the mass differences chosen are large and the speeds involved are small compared with the speed of light, *the position of the barycenter* Ω *of the system does not vary very much* (its fluctuations may initially be neglected relative to the distance considered in the examples in paragraph 8). Therefore, the postulates [1] and [2], and the results [1^{bis}], [3], [4], [5], [G3], [G5] and

[G6] can already allow us to set up an *iterative process* to study the motion of two bodies in interaction as a function of the absolute time T.

We will choose $\,\Omega\,$ as the origin of the reference frame.

• The masses of the bodies C_i and C_j are in a first approximation equal to the sums of the masses of the particles which constitute them.

The stratification distances Δw_{0i} and Δw_{0i} taken into account in the calculations on

the basis of the relation $\Delta w_0 = \frac{h}{cm_{abs}\sin\beta}$ are fictitious distances which make it

possible to generate the occurrences of the mass waves corresponding to the accumulations of the occurrences generated by all of these particles. The masses of these bodies are to be indicated in *cells C4* and *E4*.

- The initial value of *ρ* is the distance at the periapsis (to be indicated in *cell G5*).
- The eccentricity *e* of the elliptic trajectories is to be indicated in the *cell G4*.
- The initial values of the angles β_1 and β_2 are estimated from the velocity v_{2p} at the periapsis of the body C'_2 and from the relations:

$$v_{abs\,i} = \cos\beta_i = \frac{v_{ip}}{c}.$$

- The initial value of θ is 0 and that of ψ is $\frac{\pi}{2}$.
- The coordinates W_1 and W_2 of the bodies C_1 and C_2 are implemented by the increments $dW_1 = dT \sin \beta_1$ and $dW_2 = dT \sin \beta_2$.

This, beyond the situation of these bodies in the Platonic space, makes it possible to evaluate the proper durations Δt_1 and Δt_2 elapsed for C_1 and C_2 , from the relation

$$\Delta t_i = \frac{\Delta W_i}{c} \, .$$

The initial values of W_1 and W_2 are chosen null, arbitrarily.

In order for these tables to give usable results, *the absolute time step* dT of the *cell J5 must be adjusted* so that the measurement of the angle θ_{max} appearing in the *cell I17* is close to 2π.
 This setting requires some successive tests (... and a little patience ...).

The iterative process has been applied to the following situations (see paragraph 8):

- \checkmark C'_1 : Sun and C'_2 : Earth,
- ✓ C'_1 : Sun and C'_2 : Mercury,
- \checkmark C'_1 : Sun and C'_2 : Neptune,
- \checkmark C'_1 : Earth and C'_2 : Moon,
- ✓ the Pulsar PSR B1913+16.

These situations were first calculated using the classical laws of gravitation (the formulas used are given in Appendix 8); which gives in the Excel tables of paragraph 8 the quantities referred to as "theoretical" (columns AN to AQ). They were then simulated as indicated in this paragraph.

Finally, the results of these simulations and the "theoretical" data were compared.

At the margins of errors due to the numerous iterations (here 20 000) and the software used (which only retains 15 significant digits for each calculation), this process allows us to find the main characteristics of each of the different orbits established according to the laws of classical mechanics.

By way of illustration, the maximum errors recorded for the Sun-Earth system are of the order of 0,004 % for the speeds, 5,6.10⁻⁵ % for the distances, 2.10⁻⁵ % for the period of revolution and 0,075% for the eccentricity.

In the article hal-01584918, it was found that, as the eccentricity increases, the maximum errors on velocities and distances remain low, but that the error on the period of revolution increases. The relation [G3^{bis}] (cf §6) corrects this anomaly significantly:

for e close to 0.2, the relation used previously led to an error close to 0.8%, it becomes here close to 0.0036%.

The "Excel" file corresponding to this iterative process is attached.

The numbers of the formulas used are specified on line 17 of this file.

As the software used retains only 15 significant digits, it has also been noticed that *large mass differences* induce a significant increase *in relative errors for the observed body velocities* C'_1 and C'_2 .

For the calculation of the angle β_1 (closely related to the estimate of the speed of the body C'_1), *it is therefore preferable, in these situations*, to replace the iterative

method proposed above by the relation $\beta_1 = \arctan\left(\frac{\Delta w_{02}}{\Delta w_{01}}\tan\beta_2\right)$ (from conservation of

the absolute momentum). *This correction is very effective*: for the Sun-Mercury system, for example, the average of the errors observed for the speed of the Sun (observed body C'_1) has thus decreased from 0.26% to 0.0012%.

8. Related documents

8.1. Calculation of the distance d_{1,2}

The quantities used in this paragraph refer to the diagrams in paragraphs 2 and 3. Since the movements considered here are assumed to take place with a third constant coordinate ($Z = Z_0$) in the space $(O, \vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}, \vec{h})$, the velocity vector of the body C_1 is given, with β_1 not multiple of π , by:

$$\vec{v}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos\beta_{1}\cos(\psi - \theta) \\ \cos\beta_{1}\sin(\psi - \theta) \\ 0 \\ \sin\beta_{1} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (cf. **note** below).

Let H_{C1} be the hyperplane associated with $C_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, W_1)$ (hyperplane orthogonal to $\vec{v_1}$ passing through C_1) and let H_2 be the projection of C_2 onto H_{C1} .

We thus have the equivalence: $M(X, Y, Z, W) \in H_{C1}$ if and only if

$$-\cos\beta_1\cos(\psi-\theta)(X-X_1)+\cos\beta_1\sin(\psi-\theta)(Y-Y_1)+\sin\beta_1(W-W_1)=0.$$

As $H_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, W_2) \in H_{C1}$, we have :

$$-\cos\beta_1\cos(\psi-\theta)(X_2-X_1)+\cos\beta_1\sin(\psi-\theta)(Y_2-Y_1)+\sin\beta_1(W_2-W_1)=0,$$

from which emerges: $W_2 - W_1 = -\frac{\cos\beta_1}{\sin\beta_1} \Big[\cos(\psi - \theta)(X_2 - X_1) - \sin(\psi - \theta)(Y_2 - Y_1)\Big].$

On the other hand, by definition, we have:

$$(d_{1,2})^{2} = (X_{2} - X_{1})^{2} + (Y_{2} - Y_{1})^{2} + (W_{2} - W_{1})^{2} = \rho^{2} + (W_{2} - W_{1})^{2},$$

with: $X_2 - X_1 = \rho \cos \theta$ and $Y_2 - Y_1 = \rho \sin \theta$.

We thus obtain:

$$(W_2 - W_1)^2 = \rho^2 \left(\frac{\cos\beta_1}{\sin\beta_1}\right)^2 \left[\cos(\psi - \theta)\cos\theta - \sin(\psi - \theta)\sin\theta\right]^2,$$
i.e. $(W_2 - W_1)^2 = \rho^2 \left(\frac{\cos\beta_1}{\sin\beta_1}\right)^2 \cos^2\psi.$

Finally, we arrive at: $(d_{1,2})^2 = \rho^2 + (W_2 - W_1)^2 = \rho^2 \left(1 + \frac{\cos^2 \beta_1 \cos^2 \psi}{\sin^2 \beta_1}\right),$

i.e.
$$(d_{1,2})^2 = \rho^2 \frac{\cos^2 \beta_1 \cos^2 \psi + \sin^2 \beta_1}{\sin^2 \beta_1}$$

Note on the concept of *velocity* of a punctual object M in the Platonic space :

given the definition of the absolute time *T* (in m), the *norm of the speed vector* of all the mobiles *is equal to 1* and the *velocity vector* $\vec{v} = \frac{\vec{dM}}{dT}$ of any mobile *M* is given by:

$$\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta\cos\gamma\cos\varphi\\ \cos\beta\cos\gamma\sin\varphi\\ \cos\beta\sin\gamma\\ \sin\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

with any ϕ ,

$$\gamma \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}; \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$$
 and $\beta \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}; \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$

Its *absolute speed* is given by:

$$\overrightarrow{v_{abs}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta\cos\gamma\cos\varphi\\ \cos\beta\cos\gamma\sin\varphi\\ \cos\beta\sin\gamma\\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

whose *norm is equal to* $\cos \beta$.

For the vector $\vec{v_1}$ in this paragraph, we have $\gamma = 0$ and $\varphi = \theta + \pi - \psi$.



8.2. Equivalence between the second quantified declination postulate and the conservation of the absolute angular momentum of the system

To conduct this proof, we will call on the following relations:

$$[G3]: \quad \frac{d\theta}{dT} = \frac{\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2}{\rho}\sin\psi$$

$$[G3^{\text{bis}}]: \ \rho_i = \rho \frac{\cos \beta_i}{\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_2}$$

$$[G5]: \quad \frac{d\psi}{dT} = \frac{d\varepsilon}{dT} - \frac{d\theta}{dT}$$

$$[G6]: \quad \frac{d\rho_i}{dT} = \cos\beta_i \cos\psi$$

$$[8]: \qquad \Delta w_{01} \tan \beta_1 = \Delta w_{02} \tan \beta_2$$

[2^{ter}]:
$$\Delta \beta_{i,j} = \frac{\cos \psi}{\sin \psi} \sin \beta_j (\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_2) \Delta \varepsilon_{i,j}$$
 (established from [1] and [2]).

Proof:

The relation [5]:
$$\frac{d\varepsilon}{dT} = f_{1,2}\Delta\varepsilon_{1,2} + f_{2,1}\Delta\varepsilon_{2,1} \text{ leads to :}$$
$$\rho \frac{\cos\psi\cos\beta_1}{\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} \frac{d\varepsilon}{dT} = \rho \frac{\cos\psi\cos\beta_1}{\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} \left(f_{1,2}\Delta\varepsilon_{1,2} + f_{2,1}\Delta\varepsilon_{2,1} \right) \text{ ; from where, with [G5], we have :}$$
$$\frac{\cos\psi\cos\beta_1}{\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} \left(\rho \frac{d\psi}{dT} + \rho \frac{d\theta}{dT} \right) = \frac{\cos\psi\cos\beta_1(\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2)}{\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} \frac{\rho}{\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2} \left(f_{1,2}\Delta\varepsilon_{1,2} + f_{2,1}\Delta\varepsilon_{2,1} \right)$$

With $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, [8], [G3] et [G3^{bis}], we obtain:

$$(\rho_1 + \rho_2) \frac{\cos\psi\cos\beta_1}{\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} \frac{d\psi}{dT} + \cos\psi\sin\psi \sum_{i=1}^{i=2} \frac{\cos^2\beta_i}{\Delta w_{0i}\sin\beta_i}$$

$$= \frac{\cos\psi\cos\beta_1(\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2)}{\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\cos\beta_2} f_{1,2}\Delta\varepsilon_{1,2} + \frac{\rho_1}{\cos\beta_1} f_{2,1}\Delta\varepsilon_{2,1}\right).$$

Then, with [G6] :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=2} \left(\rho_i \frac{\cos\psi\cos\beta_i}{\Delta w_{0i}\sin\beta_i} \frac{d\psi}{dT} + \frac{\sin\psi\cos\beta_i}{\Delta w_{0i}\sin\beta_i} \frac{d\rho_i}{dT} \right)$$
$$= \cos\psi\left(\cos\beta_1 + \cos\beta_2\right) \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\Delta w_{02}\sin\beta_2} f_{1,2}\Delta\varepsilon_{1,2} + \frac{\rho_1}{\Delta w_{01}\sin\beta_1} f_{2,1}\Delta\varepsilon_{2,1}\right)$$

The right-hand member *R* of this equality can then be written successively:

$$R = \frac{\rho_2}{\Delta w_{02} \sin \beta_2} f_{1,2} \Delta \varepsilon_{1,2} \cos \psi \left(\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_2 \right) + \frac{\rho_1}{\Delta w_{01} \sin \beta_1} f_{2,1} \Delta \varepsilon_{2,1} \cos \psi \left(\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_2 \right);$$

i.e., with $[2^{ter}]$:

$$R = \frac{\rho_2 \sin \psi}{\Delta w_{02} \sin^2 \beta_2} f_{1,2} \Delta \beta_{1,2} + \frac{\rho_1 \sin \psi}{\Delta w_{01} \sin^2 \beta_1} f_{2,1} \Delta \beta_{2,1}.$$

We arrive thus at :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=2} \left(\rho_i \frac{\cos\psi\cos\beta_i}{\Delta w_{0i}\sin\beta_i} \frac{d\psi}{dT} + \frac{\sin\psi\cos\beta_i}{\Delta w_{0i}\sin\beta_i} \frac{d\rho_i}{dT} - \frac{\rho_i\sin\psi}{\Delta w_{0i}\sin^2\beta_i} \frac{d\beta_i}{dT} \right) = 0 ;$$

and finally, by integration :

$$\rho_1 \frac{h}{c\Delta w_{01}\tan\beta_1}\sin\psi + \rho_2 \frac{h}{c\Delta w_{02}\tan\beta_2}\sin\psi = k.$$

Relation that corresponds to the relation [7], expressing the conservation of the absolute angular momentum of the system.

The reciprocal, easy to establish from the previous calculation elements, thus shows *the equivalence between the second quantified declination postulate and the conservation of the absolute angular momentum of the system*.

8.3. Formulas used to obtain theoretical reference data in simulations

The results of the quantified simulations are compared to the theoretical elliptic trajectories of two bodies C'_1 and C'_2 in gravitational interaction. Given the velocities considered, which are low compared to the speed of light, the calculations are made from the laws of classical mechanics (the Excel file cells containing these formulas are shown below, in parentheses).

The data used are: the distance at the periapsis d_p , the common eccentricity e, the

masses at rest m_1 and m_2 .

From these elements, we obtain:

• the speeds of the two bodies (columns AP and AQ):

$$v_1 = m_2 \sqrt{\frac{G(1+2e\cos\theta + e^2)}{d_p(m_1+m_2)(1+e)}}$$
, $v_2 = m_1 \sqrt{\frac{G(1+2e\cos\theta + e^2)}{d_p(m_1+m_2)(1+e)}}$;

• the speeds of the two bodies at the periapsis (C6 and E6):

$$v_{1p} = m_2 \sqrt{\frac{G(1+e)}{d_p(m_1+m_2)}}$$
, $v_{2p} = m_1 \sqrt{\frac{G(1+e)}{d_p(m_1+m_2)}}$;

• the speeds of the two bodies at the apoapsis (C7 and E7):

$$v_{1a} = m_2 (1-e) \sqrt{\frac{G}{d_p (m_1 + m_2)(1+e)}}, \quad v_{2a} = m_1 (1-e) \sqrt{\frac{G}{d_p (m_1 + m_2)(1+e)}};$$

• the distances
$$\rho_1 = \Omega C'_1$$
 and $\rho_2 = \Omega C'_2$ (columns AN and AO):
 $\rho_1 = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} d_p \frac{1+e}{1+e\cos\theta}$, $\rho_2 = \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} d_p \frac{1+e}{1+e\cos\theta}$;

• the distance at the apoapsis (G6): $d_a = d_p \frac{1+e}{1-e}$;

• the period of revolution (in seconds) (X6): $p_r = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{d_p^3}{G(m_1 + m_2)(1 - e)^3}}$;

• the half-axes of the ellipses traveled by C'_1 and C'_2 (AD10 to AD13):

$$a_{1} = \frac{m_{2}}{m_{1} + m_{2}} \frac{d_{p}}{1 - e} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{1} = \frac{m_{2}}{m_{1} + m_{2}} d_{p} \sqrt{\frac{1 + e}{1 - e}},$$
$$a_{2} = \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1} + m_{2}} \frac{d_{p}}{1 - e} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{2} = \frac{m_{1}}{m_{1} + m_{2}} d_{p} \sqrt{\frac{1 + e}{1 - e}}.$$

The results of the simulations and the comparisons between the reference results and the results of the simulations are carried out in the following paragraph.

8.4. Results of simulations and comparisons with reference results

The following screenshots were obtained from the attached Excel file. Only the data, final results, checks and calculation steps 0 and 1 are displayed here. The numbers in brackets (**[1]**, **[2]**, ...) on line 17 refer to the formulas used. The related comments are in paragraph 6.

1	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	н	1	J
1										
2					Data and	Theoretical calculations				
3		Body C'1	L	Body C'	2	System		Co	onstants	Absolute Time dT (m)
4		Mass (kg) ("_m1") :	1,9884E+30	Mass (kg) ("_m2") :	5,9722E+24	Eccentricity ("e") :	0,01671022	G ("G")	c ("_c")	("dTa")
5		∆w01 (m) ("_w1") :	1,11156E-72	∆w02 (m) ("_w2") :	3,70085E-67	Periapsis (m) ("dp") :	1,47098E+11	6,67428E-11	299792458	4,73047E+11
6		v1 periapsis (m/s) ("_v1p") :	0,090966006	v2 periapsis (m/s) ("_v2p") :	30286,46162	Apoapsis (m) ("da") :	1,52098E+11	h ("h")	6,62607E-34	
7		v1 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v1a"):	0,087975848	v2 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v2a"):	29290,91062	Period rev. (days) ("pr"):	365,2578657	0	bserver	
8		_v1p/c :	3,0343E-10	_v2p/c :	0,000101025			α ("alp")	dt (days) ("dt")	
9								1,570796327	0,01826289	
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16									θ max (6,28318531)	
17									6,28318531	
18		Number of steps	Number of days	B1	62	ρ	01	o2	θ	Ψ
19		0	C	1,570796326	1,570695302	1,47098E+11	441810,7418	1,47098E+11	0	1,570796327
20		1	0,01826289	1,570796326	1,570695302	1,47098E+11	441810,7418	1,47098E+11	0,000324882	1,570790987
_										

8.4.1. Sun-Earth System (version A)







8.4.2. Sun-Mercury System

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J
1									
2				Data and	Theoretical calculations				
3	Body C'1		Body C'	2	System		Co	onstants	Absolute Time dT (m)
4	Mass (kg) ("_m1") :	1,9884E+30	Mass (kg) ("_m2") :	3,3011E+23	Eccentricity ("e") :	0,20563069	G ("G")	c ("_c")	("dTa")
5	∆w01 (m) ("_w1") :	1,11156E-72	∆w02 (m) ("_w2") :	6,6954E-66	Periapsis (m) ("dp") :	46001272000	6,67428E-11	299792458	1,13926E+11
6	v1 periapsis (m/s) ("_v1p") :	0,009791094	v2 periapsis (m/s) ("_v2p") :	58976,13115	Apoapsis (m) ("da") :	69817079542	h ("h")	6,62607E-34	
7	v1 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v1a"):	0,006451183	v2 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v2a"):	38858,3577	Period rev. (days) ("pr"):	87,96969311	0	bserver	
8	_v1p/c :	3,26596E-11	_v2p/c :	0,000196723			α ("alp")	dt (days) ("dt")	
9							1,570796327	0,004398327	
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16								θ max (6,28318531)	
17								6,28318531	
18	Number of steps	Number of days	β1	β2	ρ	ρ1	ρ2	θ	Ψ
19	0	0	1,570796327	1,570599604	46001272000	7637,033484	46001264363	0	1,570796327
20	1	0,004398327	1,570796327	1,570599604	46001272000	7637,033484	46001264363	0,000487201	1,570713231

	к	L	м	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	т	U	V
1												
2											Simulation resu	lts checks
3											System	ı
4							Simul. Eccent.	Theoretical (distances (m)	Simulated d	istances (m)	Errors (∆%)
5							0,205799455	Periapsis (m)	46001272000	ρ min =	45987701885	-0,029499435
6							Error (∆%)	Apoapsis (m)	69817079542	ρ max =	69821087617	0,005740823
7							0,082071761					
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17	[3]	[3]	[1 ^{bis}]	[1 ^{bis}]	[2]	[2]	[5]	[1]	[1]	[4]	[4]	[G6 ^{bis}]
18	f1,2	f2,1	(d1,2) ²	(d2,1) ²	Δε1,2	Δε2,1	dε	Δβ1,2	Δβ2,1	dβ1	d β2	dρ
19	8,99639E+71	1,49356E+65	2,11612E+21	2,11612E+21	3,94278E-87	3,94278E-87	0,000404104	4,7514E-107	4,7514E-107	8,08468E-31	4,86976E-24	1,37289E-09
20	8,99639E+71	1,49356E+65	2,11612E+21	2,11612E+21	3,94278E-87	3,94278E-87	0,000404104	6,44525E-95	6,44525E-95	1,09669E-18	6,60588E-12	1862,339892

	W	х	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH
1												
2							Simu	ulation results che	cks			
3								Bodies C'1 & C'2				
4	Perio	d of revolution (days)			Theoretical Sp	peeds (m/s)	Simulated S	peeds (m/s)	Errors (∆%)		
5	Theoretical	Simulated	Error (∆%)			v1 periapsis(m/s) =	0,009791094	v1 max =	0,009793333	0,02286766		
6	87,9696931052	87,9665331489	-0,003592097			v1 apoapsis(m/s) =	0,006451183	v1 min =	0,006450589	-0,009205435		
7	Error in s	econds	-273,020225			v2 periapsis(m/s) =	58976,13115	v2 max =	58989,63158	0,022891349		
8						v2 apoapsis(m/s) =	38858,3577	v2 min =	38854,84307	-0,009044723		
9						Theoretical ha	alf-axes (m)	Simulated h	alf-axes (m)	Errors (∆%)		
10						a1 =	9613,958379	a1 =	9613,164644	-0,008256067		
11						b1 =	9408,504858	b1 =	9407,387047	-0,011880859		
12						a2 =	57909166157	a2 =	57904385138	-0,008256067		
13						b2 =	56671627821	b2 =	56664894745	-0,011880859		
14								Proper Times				
15						Proper time	$\Delta t1$ elapsed for	C1 (days):	87,96653	3148891		
16						Proper time	$\Delta t2$ elapsed for	C2 (days):	87,96653	2027345		
17	[G6]	[G6]	[G3]	[G5]								
18	d p1	d ρ2	dθ	dψ	v1 (m/s)	v2 (m/s)	X1	¥1	W1	X2	Y2	W2
19	2,27926E-16	1,37289E-09	0,000487201	-8,30962E-05	0,009791136	58976,13115	7637,033484	1 0	0	-46001264363	5,63584E-06	0
20	0,000309181	1862,339582	0,000487201	-8,30962E-05	0,009791069	58976,13115	7637,032577	3,720766457	1,13926E+11	-46001258903	-22411838,55	1,13926E+11

	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11								Simulation res	ults checks			
12										A	mana (A 9/)	
13										Average er	1013 (1276)	
14					Theor	retical measurem	ents according to	θ	ρ1	ρ 2	v1	v2
15					(accord	ing to the laws of	f classical mechan	ics)	0 001214966	0 002449916	0.001219751	0.001220645
16									-0,001314988	-0,003448810	0,001219751	0,001220843
17										Errors	(Δ%)	
18	m1 (kg)	m2 (kg)	dW1	dW2	ρ1	ρ2	v1 (m/s)	v2 (m/s)	ρ1	ρ2	v1	v2
19	1,9884E+30	3,3011E+23	1,13926E+11	1,13926E+11	7637,033484	46001264363	0,009791094	58976,13115	-1,1909E-14	0	0,000431751	-1,17943E-11
20	1.9884E+30	3.3011E+23	1.13926E+11	1.13926E+11	7637.033638	46001265294	0.009791094	58976,13016	-2.02423E-06	-2.02423E-06	-0.000246446	1.67897E-06

8.4.3. Sun-Neptune System

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J
1									
2				Data and 1	Theoretical calculations				
3	Body C'1		Body C'2	2	System		Co	onstants	Absolute Time dT (m)
4	Mass (kg) ("_m1") :	1,9884E+30	Mass (kg) ("_m2") :	1,0243E+26	Eccentricity ("e") :	0,00858587	G ("G")	c ("_c")	("dTa")
5	∆w01 (m) ("_w1") :	1,11156E-72	∆w02 (m) ("_w2") :	2,15778E-68	Periapsis (m) ("dp") :	4,45294E+12	6,67428E-11	299792458	7,78203E+13
6	v1 periapsis (m/s) ("_v1p") :	0,282422503	v2 periapsis (m/s) ("_v2p") :	5482,465154	Apoapsis (m) ("da") :	4,53007E+12	h ("h")	6,62607E-34	
7	v1 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v1a"):	0,277614102	v2 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v2a"):	5389,12311	Period rev. (days) ("pr"):	60088,11528	0	bserver	
8	_v1p/c :	9,4206E-10	_v2p/c :	1,82875E-05			α ("alp")	dt (days) ("dt")	
9							1,570796327	3,004405632	
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16								θ max (6,28318531)	
17								6,28318531	
18	Number of steps	Number of days	β1	β2	ρ	ρ1	ρ2	θ	ψ
19	0	0	1,570796326	1,570778039	4,45294E+12	229375998,1	4,45271E+12	0	1,570796327
20	1	3,004405632	1,570796326	1,570778039	4,45294E+12	229375998,1	4,45271E+12	0,000319612	1,570793606

	К	L	м	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	т	U	V
1												
2											Simulation resu	lts checks
3											System	1
4							Simul. Eccent.	Theoretical of	distances (m)	Simulated d	listances (m)	Errors (∆%)
5							0,008592269	Periapsis (m)	4,45294E+12	ρ min =	4,4529E+12	-0,000862557
6							Error (∆%)	Apoapsis (m)	4,53007E+12	ρ max =	4,53009E+12	0,000417363
7							0,074531058					
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17	[3]	[3]	[1 ^{bis}]	[1 ^{bis}]	[2]	[2]	[5]	[1]	[1]	[4]	[4]	[G6 ^{bis}]
18	f1,2	f2,1	(d1,2) ²	(d2,1) ²	Δε1,2	Δε2,1	dɛ	Δβ1,2	Δβ2,1	d β1	dβ2	dρ
19	8,99639E+71	4,63438E+67	1,98287E+25	1,98287E+25	4,52613E-90	4,52613E-90	0,000316892	5,0706E-111	5,0706E-111	1,82872E-29	3,54997E-25	8,71825E-08
20	8,99639E+71	4,63438E+67	1,98287E+25	1,98287E+25	4,52613E-90	4,52613E-90	0,000316892	2,2522E-100	2,2522E-100	8,1224E-19	1,57674E-14	3872,269962

	W	х	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH
1												
2							Simu	ulation results che	cks			
3								Bodies C'1 & C'2				
4	Perio	od of revolution (days)				Theoretical Sp	eeds (m/s)	Simulated S	peeds (m/s)	Errors (∆%)		
5	Theoretical	Simulated	Error (∆%)			v1 periapsis(m/s) =	0,282422503	v1 max =	0,282424494	0,000704852		
6	60088,1152773364	60088,1126439515	-4,38254E-06			v1 apoapsis(m/s) =	0,277614102	v1 min =	0,277633512	0,00699193		
7	Error in s	econds	-227,5244549			v2 periapsis(m/s) =	5482,465154	v2 max =	5482,511841	0,00085157		
8						v2 apoapsis(m/s) =	5389,12311	v2 min =	5389,100324	-0,000422824		
9						Theoretical ha	alf-axes (m)	Simulated h	alf-axes (m)	Errors (Δ %)		
10						a1 =	231362445,9	a1 =	231361943,6	-0,000217102		
11						b1 =	231353918,1	b1 =	231353403,1	-0,000222599		
12						a2 =	4,49127E+12	a2 =	4,49126E+12	-0,000217102		
13						b2 =	4,49111E+12	b2 =	4,4911E+12	-0,000222599		
14								Proper Times				
15						Proper time	$\Delta t1$ elapsed for	C1 (days):	60088,112	643957000		
16						Proper time	$\Delta t2$ elapsed for	C2 (days):	60088,112	534079600		
17	[G6]	[G6]	[G3]	[G5]								
18	d ρ1	dp2	dθ	dψ	v1 (m/s)	v2 (m/s)	X1	Y1	W1	X2	Y2	W2
19	4,49087E-12	8,7178E-08	0,000319612	-2,72079E-06	0,282422497	5482,465154	229375998,1	. 0	0	-4,45271E+12	0,000545523	0
20	0,199464983	3872,070497	0,000319612	-2,72079E-06	0,282422497	5482,465154	229375986,3	73311,4148	7,78203E+13	-4,45271E+12	-1423141826	7,78203E+13



8.4.4. Earth-Moon System

	A B	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J
1									
2				Data and	Theoretical calculations				
3	Body C	1	Body C'	2	System		Ca	onstants	Absolute Time dT (m)
4	Mass (kg) ("_m1") :	5,9736E+24	Mass (kg) ("_m2") :	7,3477E+22	Eccentricity ("e") :	0,0549	G ("G")	c ("_c")	("dTa")
5	∆w01 (m) ("_w1") :	3,69998E-67	∆w02 (m) ("_w2") :	3,00804E-65	Periapsis (m) ("dp") :	363104000	6,67428E-11	299792458	35304051050
6	v1 periapsis (m/s) ("_v1p") :	13,15742816	v2 periapsis (m/s) ("_v2p") :	1069,684566	Apoapsis (m) ("da") :	405288762,7	h ("h")	6,62607E-34	
7	v1 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v1a"):	11,7879281	v2 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v2a"):	958,3457043	Period rev. (days) ("pr"):	27,2596999	0	bserver	
8	_v1p/c :	4,38885E-08	_v2p/c :	3,56808E-06			α ("alp")	dt (days) ("dt")	
9							1,570796327	0,001362982	
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16								θ max (6,28318531)	
17								6,28318531	
18	Number of steps	Number of days	β1	β2	ρ	ρ1	ρ2	θ	Ψ
19	0	(1,570796283	1,570792759	363104000	4412014,699	358691985,3	0	1,570796327
20	1	0,001362982	1,570796283	1,570792759	363104000	4412014,699	358691985,3	0,000351187	1,57077805

	К	L	м	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	т	U	v
1												
2											Simulation resu	ts checks
3											System	1
4							Simul. Eccent.	Theoretical of	listances (m)	Simulated d	istances (m)	Errors (A%)
5							0,054942048	Periapsis (m)	363104000	ρ min =	363082131,7	-0,006022591
6							Error (∆%)	Apoapsis (m)	405288762,7	ρ max =	405298539,6	0,002412324
7							0,076590388					
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17	[3]	[3]	[1 ^{bis}]	[1 ^{bis}]	[2]	[2]	[5]	[1]	[1]	[4]	[4]	[G6 ^{bis}]
18	f1,2	f2,1	(d1,2) ²	(d2,1) ²	Δε1,2	Δε2,1	ds	Δβ1,2	Δβ2,1	d β1	d β2	dρ
19	2,70272E+66	3,32442E+64	1,31845E+17	1,31845E+17	3,44661E-81	3,44661E-81	0,00033291	7,626E-103	7,626E-103	8,95026E-28	7,27646E-26	7,81138E-12
20	2,70272E+66	3,32442E+64	1,31845E+17	1,31845E+17	3,44661E-81	3,44661E-81	0,00033291	2,27528E-91	2,27528E-91	2,6704E-16	2,171E-14	2,330600731

	W	х	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH
1												
2							Simu	ulation results che	cks			
3								Bodies C'1 & C'2				
4	Perio	d of revolution (days))			Theoretical Sp	peeds (m/s)	Simulated S	peeds (m/s)	Errors (Δ %)		
5	Theoretical	Simulated	Error (∆%)			v1 periapsis(m/s) =	13,15742816	v1 max =	13,15816348	0,005588642		
6	27,2596999009	27,2596385308	-0,000225131			v1 apoapsis(m/s) =	11,7879281	v1 min =	11,78761684	-0,0026405		
7	Error in se	econds	-5,302377397			v2 periapsis(m/s) =	1069,684566	v2 max =	1069,744198	0,005574676		
8						v2 apoapsis(m/s) =	958,3457043	v2 min =	958,3204405	-0,002636191		
9						Theoretical h	alf-axes (m)	Simulated h	alf-axes (m)	Errors (A%)		
10						a1 =	4668304,622	a1 =	4668231,162	-0,001573595		
11						b1 =	4661264,155	b1 =	4661180,009	-0,001805222		
12						a2 =	379528076,7	a2 =	379522104,5	-0,001573595		
13						b2 =	378955694,4	b2 =	378948853,4	-0,001805222		
14								Proper Times				
15						Proper time	$\Delta t1$ elapsed for	C1 (days):	27,25963	8530839		
16						Proper time	$\Delta t2$ elapsed for	C2 (days):	27,25963	8530679		
17	[G6]	[G6]	[G3]	[G5]								
18	d ρ1	d ρ2	dθ	dψ	v1 (m/s)	v2 (m/s)	X1	Y1	W1	X2	Y2	W2
19	9,49147E-14	7,71646E-12	0,000351187	-1,82767E-05	13,15742818	1069,684566	4412014,699) 0	0	-358691985,3	4,39451E-08	0
20	0.028318732	2.302281999	0.000351187	-1.82767E-05	13,15742818	1069.684566	4412014.427	1549.440266	35304051050	-358691963.2	-125967.8046	35304051050

1	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11								Simulation res	ults checks			
12										0	mana (A9/)	
13										Average er	rors (22%)	
14					Theor	etical measurem	ents according to	θ	ρ1	ρ 2	v1	v2
15					(accord	ing to the laws of	f classical mechan	ics)	0 000225189	0 000224796	7 62125-05	7 526215.05
16									-0,000225185	-0,000224730	7,03122-03	7,530212-05
17										Errors	(Δ%)	
18	m1 (kg)	m2 (kg)	dW1	dW2	ρ 1	ρ2	v1 (m/s)	v2 (m/s)	ρ1	ρ 2	v1	v2
19	5,9736E+24	7,3477E+22	35304051050	35304051050	4412014,699	358691985,3	13,15742816	1069,684566	2,11088E-14	0	1,46192E-07	-1,75044E-10
20	5,9736E+24	7,3477E+22	35304051050	35304051050	4412014,713	358691986,5	13,15742812	1069,684563	-3,20927E-07	-3,20927E-07	4,50417E-07	3,0405E-07

8.4.5. Pulsar PSR B1913+16

This last system has been simulated in order to show that the quantified modeling proposed in this article remains consistent with the classical gravitational laws for high velocities at the periapsis (close to 0.0015c for the body C'_2).

Given the *high eccentricity* of this system (close to 0.627) and to minimize the accumulation of rounding errors, its study was conducted over *half a period of revolution*.

	A B	с	D	E	F	G	н	1	J				
1													
2	Data and Theoretical calculations												
3	Body C'	1	Body C'	2	System		Co	Absolute Time dT (m)					
4	Mass (kg) ("_m1") :	2,86608E+30	Mass (kg) ("_m2") :	2,75731E+30	Eccentricity ("e") :	0,62712	G ("G")	c ("_c")	("dTa")				
5	∆w01 (m) ("_w1") :	7,71165E-73	∆w02 (m) ("_w2") :	8,01585E-73	Periapsis (m) ("dp") :	765270000	6,67428E-11	299792458	225908650				
6	v1 periapsis (m/s) ("_v1p") :	438017,7101	v2 periapsis (m/s) ("_v2p") :	455295,8299	Apoapsis (m) ("da") :	3339374926	h ("h")	6,62607E-34					
7	v1 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v1a"):	100378,6099	v2 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v2a"):	104338,1613	Period rev. (days) ("pr"):	0,34900613	0	bserver					
8	_v1p/c :	0,00146107	_v2p/c :	0,001518703			α ("alp")	dt (days) ("dt")					
9							1,570796327	8,72165E-06					
10													
11													
12													
13													
14													
15													
16								θ max (3,14159265)					
17								3,14159265					
18	Number of steps	Number of days	β1	β2	ρ	ρ1	ρ2	θ	ψ				
19	0	C	1,569335256	1,569277623	765270000	375234224	390035776	0	1,570796327				
20	1	8,72165E-06	1,569335256	1,569277623	765270000	375234224	390035776	0,000879633	1,570457302				



1	W	х	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH
1												
2							Sim	ulation results che	cks			
3								Bodies C'1 & C'2				
4	Half-peri	od of revolution (da	ys)			Theoretical Sp	oeeds (m/s)	Simulated S	peeds (m/s)	Errors (∆%)		
5	Theoretical	Simulated	Error (∆%)			v1 periapsis(m/s) =	438017,7101	v1 max =	438017,7101	2,47173E-12		
6	0,1745030648	0,1744329038	-0,040206169			v1 apoapsis(m/s) =	100378,6099	v1 min =	100389,262	0,010611953		
7	Error in se	conds	-6,061910192			v2 periapsis(m/s) =	455295,8299	v2 max =	455295,8299	2,33958E-12		
8						v2 apoapsis(m/s) =	104338,1613	v2 min =	104349,2366	0,010614825		
9						Theoretical ha	alf-axes (m)	Simulated h	alf-axes (m)	Errors (∆%)		
10						a1 =	1006313624	a1 =	1006000313	-0,03113451		
11						b1 =	783840481,6	b1 =	783690481,5	-0,019136566		
12						a2 =	1046008839	a2 =	1045683169	-0,03113451		
13						b2 =	814759984,3	b2 =	814604067,2	-0,019136566		
14								Proper Times				
15						Proper time	$\Delta t1$ elapsed for	C1 (days):	0,17443	2861108		
16						Proper time	$\Delta t2$ elapsed for	C2 (days):	0,17443	2857675		
17	[G6]	[G6]	[G3]	[G5]								
18	dp1	dp2	dθ	dψ	v1 (m/s)	v2 (m/s)	X1	Y1	W1	X2	¥2	W2
19	2,02191E-11	2,10167E-11	0,000879633	-0,000339024	438017,7101	455295,8299	375234224	1 0	0	-390035776	4,77852E-08	0
20	111 9011981	116 3152715	0.000879633	-0.000339024	438017 7101	455295 8299	375234078	330068 2661	225908408 9	-390035625.1	-343088 1941	225908389 5



8.4.6. Sun-Earth system (**version B**, based on the concept of absolute potential energy of the system developed in the article hal-01584918)

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	I.	J
1									
2				Theoretical calculations					
3	Body C'1		Body C'2		System		Co	Absolute Time dT (m)	
4	Mass (kg) ("_m1") :	1,9884E+30	Mass (kg) ("_m2") :	5,9722E+24	Eccentricity ("e") :	0,01671022	G ("G")	c ("_c")	("dTa")
5	∆w01 (m) ("_w1") :	1,11156E-72	∆w02 (m) ("_w2") :	3,70085E-67	Periapsis (m) ("dp") :	1,47098E+11	6,67428E-11	299792458	4,73047E+11
6	v1 periapsis (m/s) ("_v1p") :	0,090966006	v2 periapsis (m/s) ("_v2p") :	30286,46162	Apoapsis (m) ("da") :	1,52098E+11	h ("h")	6,62607E-34	
7	v1 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v1a"):	0,087975848	v2 apoapsis (m/s) ("_v2a"):	29290,91062	Period rev. (days) ("pr"):	365,2578657	Observer		
8	_v1p/c :	3,0343E-10	_v2p/c :	0,000101025			α ("alp")	dt (days) ("dt")	
9							1,570796327	0,01826289	
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16								θ max (6,28318531)	
17								6,28318531	
18	Number of steps	Number of days	β1	β2	ρ	ρ1	ρ2	θ	Ψ
19	0	0	1,570796326	1,570695302	1,47098E+11	441810,7418	1,47098E+11	0	1,570796327
20	1	0,01826289	1,570796326	1,570695302	1,47098E+11	441810,7418	1,47098E+11	0,000324882	1,570790987

	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	т	U	V
1												
2											Simulation resu	lts checks
3											System	1
4							Simul. Eccent.	Theoretical o	listances (m)	Simulated d	istances (m)	Errors (∆%)
5							0,01672273	Periapsis (m)	1,47098E+11	ρ min =	1,47096E+11	-0,001705368
6							Error (∆%)	Apoapsis (m)	1,52098E+11	ρ max =	1,52099E+11	0,000797263
7							0,074862643					
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17	[3]	[3]	[1 ^{bis}]	[1 ^{bis}]	[2]	[2]	[5]	[1]	[1]	[4]	[4]	From the p
18	f1,2	f2,1	(d1,2) ²	$(d2,1)^2$	Δε1,2	Δε2,1	dɛ	Δβ1,2	Δβ2,1	d β1	d β2	dρ
19	8,99639E+71	2,70209E+66	2,16378E+22	2,16378E+22	7,50854E-88	7,50854E-88	0,000319543	4,6467E-108	4,6467E-108	5,93945E-30	1,9775E-24	2,92747E-09
20	8,99639E+71	2,70209E+66	2,16378E+22	2,16378E+22	7,50854E-88	7,50854E-88	0,000319543	4,05038E-97	4,05038E-97	5,17724E-19	1,72372E-13	255,1785358

	W	x	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH
1												
2						Simulation results checks						
3								Bodies C'1 & C'2				
4	Period	d of revolution (days))			Theoretical Sp	oeeds (m/s)	Simulated S	peeds (m/s)	Errors (∆%)		
5	Theoretical	Simulated	Error (∆%)			v1 periapsis(m/s) =	0,090966006	v1 max =	0,090967226	0,001341804		
6	365,2578656526	365,2577906836	-2,05249E-05			v1 apoapsis(m/s) =	0,087975848	v1 min =	0,087968436	-0,008424891		
7	Error in se	conds	-6,477317771			v2 periapsis(m/s) =	30286,46162	v2 max =	30286,96559	0,001663997		
8						v2 apoapsis(m/s) =	29290,91062	v2 min =	29290,67104	-0,000817942		
9						Theoretical ha	alf-axes (m)	Simulated h	alf-axes (m)	Errors (∆%)		
10						a1 =	449318,9605	a1 =	449317,0143	-0,000433143		
11						b1 =	449256,2241	b1 =	449254,1842	-0,00045406		
12						a2 =	1,49597E+11	a2 =	1,49597E+11	-0,000433143		
13						b2 =	1,49577E+11	b2 =	1,49576E+11	-0,00045406		
14								Proper Times				
15						Proper time	$\Delta t1$ elapsed for	C1 (days):	365,2577	90683597		
16						Proper time	$\Delta t2$ elapsed for	C2 (days):	365,2577	88880953		
17 ot	17 otential energy, relations [P1] and [P2] [G3]			[G5]								
18	d ρ1	d ρ2	dθ	dψ	v1 (m/s)	v2 (m/s)	X1	Y1	W1	X2	Y2	W2
19	8,79268E-15	2,92746E-09	0,000324882	-5,33963E-06	0,090966028	30286,46162	441810,7418	3 0	0	-1,47098E+11	1,80216E-05	0
20	0,000766432	255,1777693	0,000324882	-5,33963E-06	0,090966028	30286,46162	441810,7185	143,5365004	4,73047E+11	-1,47098E+11	-47789420,54	4,73047E+11

	AI	AJ	AK	AL	AM	AN	AO	AP	AQ	AR	AS	AT
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11								Simulation res	ults checks			
12										_		
13										Average e	rrors (Δ%)	
14					Theo	retical measurem	ents according to	θ	ρ1	ρ 2	v1	v2
15					(accord	ling to the laws of	classical mechan	ics)				
16									-2,07496E-05	-2,07496E-05	-0,004092521	6,9603E-06
17									Errors (∆%)			
18	m1 (kg)	m2 (kg)	dW1	dW2	ρ1	ρ 2	v1 (m/s)	v2 (m/s)	ρ1	ρ 2	v1	v2
19	1,9884E+30	5,9722E+24	4,73047E+11	4,73047E+11	441810,7418	1,47098E+11	0,090966006	30286,46162	-1,31748E-14	0	2,45965E-05	2,1237E-11
20	1,9884E+30	5,9722E+24	4,73047E+11	4,73047E+11	441810,7422	1,47098E+11	0,090966006	30286,4616	-8,67376E-08	-8,67375E-08	2,46818E-05	8,53332E-08

9. Conclusion

In addition to the article hal-01584918, this article offers a quantification of the angles ε_i measuring the orientation of the absolute speeds of the observed bodies.

In the relativistic Platonic space, *these quanta have an absolute character* (they do not depend on the reference frames of observation) and are *independent of the rest masses* of the considered bodies.

Moreover, it should be emphasized that they are intimately related to the *Planck length* (by the presence of the quantity $\frac{Gh}{c^3}$ in their expression).

This quantification is *equivalent* to the conservation of the *absolute angular momentum* of the system and is *consistent* with the concept of *potential energy of the system* proposed previously, in the article hal-01584918.

The two proposed postulates on which this study is based, associated with the conservation of the absolute momentum of the system, offer, in the framework of the Platonic model, a surprisingly well *quantified approach* to the classical laws of gravitation applied to *elliptic Keplerian orbits*.

As in the article hal-01584918, these results and their review can certainly be quickly refined using more efficient and more sophisticated computer tools than those used here. And the principles retained deserve to be enriched and deepened in order to propose, in a more general framework, a much richer and complete approach to a quantum theory of gravitation (available for any frame references, taking into account barycentric fluctuations in the case of higher absolute velocities of the interacting bodies, search for a coupling with the standard model of particle physics, etc.).

In any case, the original way proposed in this article seems, at the very least, to be able to favor the emergence of new and numerous questions, promising, in directions still unexplored, particularly with regard to the deep links that it suggests between the quantum effects of De Broglie waves, the laws of gravitation and the concepts of potential energy and absolute angular momentum of the considered systems.

10.References

Einstein Albert (1916), Relativity: The Special and General Theory, New York: H. Holt and Company.

L.D. Landau & E.M. Lifshitz Mechanics (Volume 1 of A Course of Theoretical Physics) Pergamon Press 1969.

Le cours de physique de Feynman - Mécanique 1, Dunod, ISBN-13: 978-2100597437.

Isaac Newton, Andrew Motte : Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica; CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, ISBN-10: 1536887056, ISBN-13: 978-1536887051.

De l'Allégorie de la Caverne à la Relativité Restreinte, Jégat Alain (2014) Les Éditions du Net, ISBN: 978-2-312-02454-7.

Physique quantique et représentation du monde, Erwin Schrödinger ; Seuil ISBN-10: 2020133199, ISBN-13: 978-2020133197.

Richard Feynman, The Character of Physical Law (Modern Library) ISBN-13: 978-0679601272, ISBN-10: 0679601279.

Relativité : Fondements et applications ; José-Philippe Pérez ; Dunod ISBN-13: 978-2100043736.

Le dualisme des ondes et corpuscules dans l'œuvre d'Albert Einstein. L. de Broglie, 1955.

La Mesure du temps, Henri Poincaré dans La Valeur de la Science.

Space and Time: Minkowski's papers on relativity - Hermann Minkowski, Vesselin Petkov. Minkowski Institute Press. ISBN-10: 0987987143; ISBN-13: 978-0987987143.

Staley, Richard (2009), "Albert Michelson, the Velocity of Light, and the Ether Drift", Einstein'sgeneration. The origins of the relativity revolution, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, ISBN0-226-77057-5.

Feynman, R.P. (1970), "The Michelson–Morley experiment (15-3)", The Feynman Lectures on Physics 1, Reading: Addison Wesley Longman, ISBN 0-201-02115-3.

Théorie des cordes et gravité quantique, C. Bachas, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, UMR 8549, CNRS/ENS, Paris.

Le problème à deux corps. Mouvements à force centrale. Joël Sornette. Site joelsornette.fr

Une brève histoire du temps: du big bang aux trous noirs - Stephen William Hawking, Isabelle Naddeo-Souriau, Flammarion, 2008 ISBN 2081214849, 9782081214842.

Documentaire de vulgarisation sur le pulsar binaire PSR B1913+16 et sur la relativité générale, 1995.

Any-Chantal Levasseur-Regourd, André Brahic, Thérèse Encrenaz, François Forget, Marc Ollivier et Sylvie Vauclair, Système solaire et planètes, Ellipses, 2009 (ISBN 978-2-7298-4084-6).