

# Conformation Manipulation and Motion of a Double Paddle Molecule on an Au(111) Surface

We -Hyo Soe, Yasuhiro Shirai, Corentin Durand, Yusuke Yonamine, Kosuke Minami, Xavier Bouju, Marek Kolmer, Katsuhiko Ariga, Christian Joachim, Waka Nakanishi

# ▶ To cite this version:

We -Hyo Soe, Yasuhiro Shirai, Corentin Durand, Yusuke Yonamine, Kosuke Minami, et al.. Conformation Manipulation and Motion of a Double Paddle Molecule on an Au(111) Surface. ACS Nano, 2017, 11 (10), pp.10357-10365. 10.1021/acsnano.7b05314 . hal-01746090

# HAL Id: hal-01746090 https://hal.science/hal-01746090v1

Submitted on 29 Mar 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Conformation Manipulation and Motion of a Double Paddle Molecule on an Au(111) Surface

We-Hyo Soe,<sup>1,\*</sup> Yasuhiro Shirai,<sup>2</sup> Corentin Durand,<sup>1</sup> Yusuke Yonamine,<sup>3,†</sup> Kosuke Minami,<sup>3</sup>
Xavier Bouju,<sup>1</sup> Marek Kolmer,<sup>4</sup> Katsuhiko Ariga,<sup>3,5</sup> Christian Joachim,<sup>1,3</sup> and Waka Nakanishi<sup>3,‡</sup>
<sup>1</sup>GNS and MANA Satellite, CEMES-CNRS,
29 Rue J. Marvig, BP 4347, 31055 Cedex Toulouse, France.
<sup>2</sup>GREEN, National Institute for Materials Science,
1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan.
<sup>3</sup>WPI-MANA, National Institute for Materials Science,
1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan.
<sup>4</sup>Centre for Nanometer-Scale Science and Advanced Materials,
NANOSAM, Faculty of Physics, Astronomy,
and Applied Computer Science, Jagiellonian University,
Łojasiewicza 11, PL 30-348 Krakow, Poland.
<sup>5</sup>Graduate School of Frontier Sciences,
The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-0827, Japan.

# Abstract

The molecular conformation of a bisbinaphthyldurene (BBD) molecule is manipulated using a lowtemperature ultrahigh-vacuum scanning tunneling microscope (LT-UHV STM) on an Au(111) surface. BBD has two binaphthyl groups at both ends connected to a central durene leading to *anti/syn/flat* conformers. In solution, dynamic nuclear magnetic resonance indicated the fast interexchange between the *anti* and *syn* conformers as confirmed by density functional theory calculations. After deposition in a submonolayer on an Au(111) surface, only the *syn* conformers were observed forming small islands of self-assembled *syn* dimers. The *syn* dimers can be separated into *syn* monomers by STM molecular manipulations. A flat conformer can also be prepared by using a peculiar mechanical unfolding of a *syn* monomer by STM manipulations. The experimental STM dI/dV and theoretical elastic scattering quantum chemistry maps of the low-lying tunneling resonances confirmed the *flat* conformer BBD molecule STM production. The key BBD electronic states for a step-by-step STM inelastic excitation lateral motion on the Au(111) are presented requiring no mechanical interactions between the STM tip apex and the BBD. On the BBD molecular board, selected STM tip apex positions for this inelastic tunneling excitation enable the *flat* BBD to move controllably on Au(111) by a step of 0.29 nm per bias voltage ramp. With the tip of a scanning tunneling microscope (STM), atomic-scale manipulation protocols are well-known since the pioneering work of D. Eigler,<sup>1</sup> and precise studies have described the various mechanisms of single atom (a small molecule) mechanical manipulations.<sup>2,3</sup> Pushing a single large molecule on a surface with the tip of the STM<sup>4,5</sup> is now a standard procedure to position precisely functioning molecules on a surface for single molecule mechanics experiments<sup>6</sup> and also for single molecule electronic measurements.<sup>7</sup>

To perform an atomically precise lateral manipulation of a molecule on a metallic surface with no mechanical interactions between the STM tip apex and the molecule, the bias tip must be able to feed up energy to the molecule with a few picometer lateral precision.<sup>8</sup> This excitation can be either inelastic from the tunneling current itself or originate from the enhanced electric field located in the biased tip/surface junction when the molecule carries a local dipolar moment.<sup>9</sup> For inelastic tunneling excitations, the energy entry port is generally the low-lying reduced electronic states of the molecule.<sup>7,10</sup> Here, a precise design of the molecule is required to avoid the energy provided by the tunneling current passing through the molecule from being equally distributed among the many mechanical degrees of freedom of this molecule. If not, a conformation change of the molecule may happen but with no lateral displacements. The molecule can also be broken in small chemical groups by the applied bias voltage pulse<sup>11</sup> instead of moving step-by-step on the supporting surface by steps, generally the commensurable surface atomic lattice constant. To also avoid energy redistribution toward the supporting surface, different leg and wheel molecular groups have been early identified. They can efficiently maintain a space (van der Waals distances) between the planar molecular chassis and the supporting surface.<sup>12–14</sup> Due, for example, to steric crowding, lateral chemical groups not having the shape of a leg or a wheel, mounted on the chassis in a symmetric way and holding it at van der Waals distances from the surface, are also interesting for molecular design as presented in this paper.<sup>15</sup> The light-driven molecular motor of the Feringa group the first switchable chemical group to be mounted by the Tour group on a chassis equipped with four wheels<sup>16</sup> in an attempt to leave space for this molecular group to change its conformation/configuration using an optical excitation.<sup>17</sup> A similar molecular switch was used by the Feringa group to obtain a molecule with four of those, used as switchable legs under a tunneling inelastic excitation.<sup>18</sup> Other switchable chemical groups are also available for equipping a molecular chassis. For example, molecules carrying a photoisomerizable double bond, such as stilbene, azobenzene, or diarylethene, have been used as molecular switches.<sup>19</sup> Their photoisomerization is usually studied in the gas phase or in solution. Conformation/configuration change triggered by tunneling electrons has also been observed in STM single molecule experiments, like with azobenzene.<sup>20–22</sup> Other molecules are also available which can twist around a single bond by photoirradiation. Twisted intramolecular charge transfer (TICT) molecules provide a nice example of such a light-activated conformation change.<sup>23</sup>

Binaphthyl molecules or their derivatives (Scheme ) belong to another group of photosensitive compounds which are also known to change conformation under UV irradiation.<sup>24,25</sup> In this paper, we present the design and synthesis of a bisbinaphthyldurene (BBD) molecule (Scheme ) for STM imaging, single molecule manipulation, and step-by-step lateral motions. This molecule is equipped with two binaphthyl paddles mounted laterally on a very simple central phenyl chassis. On a planar BBD, we demonstrate here how to use the low amplitude vibration modes of its 1,1'-binaphthyl lateral paddles<sup>26,27</sup> for manipulating the BBD along a Au(111) surface using STM inelastic tunneling effects. Not existing in solution, this planar conformation is stabilized by the Au(111) surface. On Au(111), it enters in competition with its native in solution nonplanar conformation which can also be reached by the same excitation on a metallic surface as presented below.

In the initial subsections of the Results and Discussion, the design, the synthesis, and the structural analysis of the BBD molecules in solution are provided together with a detailed DFT theoretical study of the different possible conformations of a BBD molecule. In the following subsections, STM images of the BBD molecules on the Au(111) surface acquired at low-temperature (LT) and in ultrahigh-vacuum environment (UHV) are provided. We demonstrate how to prepare the BBD molecule in a planar conformation on the Au(111) surface using a very specific STM tip lateral molecular manipulation protocol. In this planar conformation, the BBD electronic probability density map of its electronic states around the Au(111) surface Fermi level can be recorded to prepare the BBD inelastic manipulation. In the final subsection, the entry ports for tunneling electron energy transfer to the BBD molecule are identified. It is shown how to STM manipulate step-by-step the BBD molecule by step of 0.29 nm on the Au(111) surface.



Scheme 1. Synthetic Route of BBD.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

## A. Design and Chemical Synthesis

A 1,1'-binaphthyl molecule consists of two naphthalene moieties with one single phenyl per moiety connected via a C–C single bond. The distinct characteristics of a 1,1'-binaphthyl are (1) its flexibility around this C–C bond<sup>24,25,28–30</sup> and (2) the axial chirality originating from the inhibition by steric crowding of a complete 360° naphthyl–naphthyl rotation around its joint C–C bond.<sup>24,25,28–30</sup> The enantiomers of axially chiral compounds are classified using the stereochemical labels *R* and *S* based on their absolute configuration around a stereocenter. Chiral 1,1'- binaphthyls and derivatives having a naphthyl torsion angle between  $-180^\circ < \theta < 0^\circ$  correspond to the *R* configurations and those between  $0^\circ < \theta < 180^\circ$  correspond to the *S* configurations, respectively. In its S<sub>0</sub> electronic ground state and as a function of the torsion angle  $|\theta|$ , the 1,1'-binaphthyl conformation angle can vary from 60° to 120° within < 1 kcal/ mol of energy. The potential energy curve along this conformation change is a flat-bottomed well where the  $|\theta| \sim 90^{\circ}$  saddle point separates two shallow wells whose minima are at  $|\theta| \sim 70^{\circ}$  and  $|\theta| \sim 110^{\circ}.^{24,25,28-30}$  Since the conformation of chiral binaphthyls can be monitored by circular dichroism (CD) spectra, conformation controllability in this ground state was demonstrated at the air-water interface by applying a small mechanical force.<sup>31,32</sup> The difference between the S<sub>0</sub> 1,1'- binaphthyl relaxed conformations and the S<sub>1</sub> lowest excited singlet state conformations<sup>26,27</sup> is at the origin of our BBD internal mechanical vibrations because of the reversal in  $\theta$  of the S<sub>1</sub> ( $\theta$ ) relative to the S<sub>0</sub> ( $\theta$ ) double well potential energy curve minima.<sup>26,27</sup> Although for cisoid ( $|\theta| < 90^{\circ}$ ) and transoid ( $|\theta| > 90^{\circ}$ ), the S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>0</sub> relaxed conformations are still under discussion, and this difference was important to preserve in the BBD design. For example, time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations show that the (*R*)-1,1'-bi(2-naphthol) molecule (the starting compound for the BBD synthesis; Scheme ) still preserves a different relaxed conformation between S<sub>0</sub> ( $\theta = -91^{\circ}$ ) and S<sub>1</sub> ( $\theta = -119^{\circ}$ ) that triggers an almost 30° paddle effect going back and forth optically from S<sub>0</sub> to S<sub>1</sub> (Figure S16 in the Supporting Information).

Entering now in the design of our molecule, two binaphthyl molecules are used in the BBD as lateral paddles because of this reversal of the relative minimum energy  $|\theta|$  value between S<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>0</sub> and because of the low 1 kcal/mol energy barrier between the two minima in the S<sub>0</sub> ground state. The two binaphthyls are connected laterally to a very small chassis made simply of a central phenyl (BBD in Scheme 1). This covalent binding of each binaphthyl via the methylene oxy bridges modifies the paddle switch ability with, for example, the suppression of the S<sub>1</sub> ( $\theta = -119^{\circ}$ ) torsion angle energy minimum. What is important here is that S<sub>0</sub> keeps its awaited mechanical characteristics, that is, the possibility of its vibrational oscillations around its new ( $\theta = -61^{\circ}$ ) ground-state minimum (TD-DFT calculated) reachable, for example, by optical excitation and relaxation via its new S<sub>1</sub> ( $\theta = -58^{\circ}$ ) relaxed conformation for the (*R*,*R*) isomer (Figure S17 in the Supporting Information).

On a metallic surface and in a planar conformation, the two BBD binaphthyl groups permit to space the BBD chassis away from this surface at a distance compatible with a physisorption state. To drive the BBD molecule step-by-step along an fcc track of the Au(111) surface using the inelastic effect of the STM tunneling current, one has to first virtually prepare this molecule in its instantaneous virtual reduced electronic state well described for its mechanics by considering in first approximation the BBD S<sub>1</sub> excited state. Afterward, relaxation to the S<sub>0</sub> ground state will result in a small amplitude and noncoherent binaphthyl oscillations. As a function on the tip apex location on BBD, this will generate a surface lateral motion over the lateral diffusion barrier of the Au(111) fcc portion of the herringbone surface reconstruction.

The BBD molecule was synthesized by a one-pot reaction from commercially available (*R*)-1,1'-bi(2-naphthol) and  $\alpha, \alpha', \alpha'', \alpha'''$ -tetrabromodurene (Scheme 1). Before its evaporation in the STM preparation chamber, it was further ultrapurified by sublimation to produce a colorless powder with no crystallinity. BBD UV-vis absorption spectrum is similar to the one of binaphthyl molecules<sup>33</sup> and shows an absorption peak maximum at 334 nm (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information), demonstrating a good electronic separation between the two BBD lateral paddles. Recorded conventional CD spectra of chiral binaphthyls (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information) confirmed that the chirality of the binaphthyl groups remained after purification.

## B. The Native BBD Molecule Conformation in Solution.

Variable-temperature (VT) analysis with NMR spectroscopy (Figure S13 in the Supporting Information) revealed the dynamic fluctuations between two BBD conformers with the same equilibrium population in solution. The two sets of <sup>1</sup>H NMR peaks that originate from those two conformers were also observed at low temperature from 218 to 223 K showing no sign of a favored conformer (Figure S13 in the Supporting Information). The analysis of those VT NMR spectra by a line- shape-fitting provides the experimental energetics for the interexchange processes between the two BBD conformers. Using an Eyring plot, the parameters of this interexchange were estimated to be  $\Delta H = 9.8$  kcal/mol and  $\Delta S = -17$  cal/(mol K) (Figures S14 and S15 in the Supporting Information), supporting the possibility of a fast interconversion of the two conformers at ambient temperature and in solution.

As presented in Figure 1, three BBD conformers were identified using DFT calculations (B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)) depending on the location of the two binaphthyl paddles relative to the central phenyl. They correspond to the *flat*, *syn*, and *anti* conformations of a BBD molecule. The *syn* and *anti* conformers are expected to be the principal BBD isomers in solution since after molecular structure optimization, the *flat*, *syn*, and *anti* relative conformation energies are 20, 0.4, and 0.0 kcal/mol, respectively. Experimental ROESY peaks in NMR spectroscopy are also consistent with the existence of the *anti-syn* conformer in solution since a weak correlation was observed between the central aromatic CH and the side binaphthyl aromatic CH proton (Figures S10-S12 in the Supporting Information).



**Figure 1.** Various possible conformers of BBD molecule. (a) Flat, (b) syn, and (c) anti conformers obtained from DFT calculations (B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)) with relative energies of +20, +0.4, and 0.0 kcal/mol, respectively. Each structure was optimized with its  $D_2$ ,  $C_2$ , and  $C_2$ symmetry, respectively. The calculated torsion angles of the binaphthyl are  $\theta = -61^\circ$ ,  $-64^\circ$  and  $-64^\circ$ , respectively. In solution, only the anti and syn conformers have been identified. Physisorbed on an Au(111) surface, STM molecular manipulations of BBD lead to the production of the flat conformer.

A *flat* BBD conformer is supposed by design to render accessible the different entry ports on its board for local STM excitations. In the following section, we will demonstrate how this *flat* conformer can be produced molecule per molecule by STM single molecule mechanical manipulations. When obtained, this *flat* conformer turns out to be quite stable on the Au(111) surface.

#### C. Native BBD Conformation and 2D Organization on the Au(111) Surface.

Two typical constant current STM images obtained after BBD molecules deposition on the Au(111) reconstructed surface are presented in Figure 2. They were mainly found self-assembled in small 2D islands (Figure 2a). In some place, single BBD molecule lines can also be observed. This pseudo-1D growth along the Au(111) herringbone track is usually stopped at both ends of the line by a different surface BBD molecular ordering (Figure 2b). In all those observed pseudo-1D and 2D surface molecular orderings, the BBD molecules appear having the shape of a curve letter "f". Those "f" BBD molecules have three possible adsorption directions on the Au(111) surface (see the Figure 2a insert). As presented in Figure 2b, the observed single "f" BBD lines confirm that the BBD molecules are sensitive to the lateral ridges of the herringbone reconstruction (in average 0.03 nm in height).<sup>6</sup>

As certified experimentally by STM single molecule manipulations in the next subsection, each "f" STM molecular feature is a BBD dimer consisting of two *syn* conformers oriented perpendicular to the surface plane. They are coupled by a pair along one of the three [211] crystallographic orientations of the Au(111) fcc portion of this surface (see the Figure 2a insert). A first experimental indication of this pairing is evidenced in Figure 2a by analyzing the only molecular alignment defect at the top of the last left BBDs molecular row.

As discussed in the previous subsection, the BBD molecules are found equally in the *syn* and *anti* conformations in solution. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed to simulate a hot adsorption process of the BBD molecules on a Au(111) surface (see Supporting Information). When the BBD molecules are annealed on the surface up to 500 K, the *syn* and *anti* conformers are deformed but remain on the surface with no transformation in the *flat* conformer. At this temperature and during their 2D diffusion around the Au(111) surface, the BBD molecules have enough kinetic energy to mutually transform between the *syn* and *anti* as they certainly performed in solution and at room temperature. Upon cooling down the surface to room temperature, the BBD molecules thermalize toward the *syn* conformers since *syn* is 9.0 kcal/mol lower in energy as compared to *anti* on the Au(111) surface because laterally stabilized by their two paddles. During a slow thermalization process, the *syn* will also continue to diffuse on the surface. While in this perpendicular adsorption conformation, they can pair via a central phenyl  $\pi$ -stacking interactions as also confirmed by MD calculation (see Supporting Information). It results in the "f"



**Figure 2.** (a) A typical STM topographic image of BBD molecules forming a small 2D island on the Au(111) reconstructed surface. Each building block of the imaged island is a dimer of syn-syn conformers having the shape of a curved "f" letter. Insert a: the three possible adsorption orientations of the syn dimers. The atomic resolved image was also recorded using a molecule terminated STM tip to confirm the molecular orientation. (b) A good example of supramolecular assembly with a line of 10 BBD molecular dimers formed along an Au(111) herringbone whose growth was stopped at both ends of the BBD line by 2 "f" dimers of a different surface orientation. (All LT-UHV STM constant current image images were generally recorded at I = 20 pA, V = 0.5 V.)

STM image like shape dimers observed in Figure 2 assembled in the various pseudo-1D line and 2D islands.

According to MD calculations and if accessible, the *flat* BBD conformers would be more stable than the orthogonal to the surface *syn* and *anti* conformers. However, getting directly a *flat* conformer from the native *syn* and *anti* conformers on the Au(111) surface would require an annealing temperature of about 1500 K. Therefore, during deposition or by heating up the surface directly afterward, it will be difficult to produce *flat* conformers. At such a high temperature, most of the BBD molecules will break and/or desorb from the surface. Anyhow, the gold melting point is lower than 1500 K. As demonstrated below, flat conformers can be produced molecule per molecule on the Au(111) surface starting from the orthogonal to the surface syn conformers using a very specific STM single molecule mechanical manipulation protocol.

## D. STM Single Molecule Mechanical Manipulation for Preparing flat BBD Conformers.

To produce a *flat* BBD conformer, a selected *syn* conformer dimer adsorbed perpendicular to the Au(111) surface (one of the "f" molecular units imaged in Figure 2) must be first separated into independent syn monomers. For this purpose and starting from a 2D island of the sort imaged in Figure 2a, STM lateral BBD molecule mechanical manipulation has been first performed as presented in Figure 3. Here, the threshold STM tunneling resistance for molecule manipulation is around  $R_T = 270$  M $\Omega$ . In most cases, a "f" dimer can readily be separated out of the 2D island but only as a single "f" dimer entity with no monomer separation as presented in the Figure 3a,b. Then, a "f" dimer can be step-by-step displaced over quite long distances over the surface in such STM manipulation conditions. When they are sometimes disassembled into two *syn* monomers during this process, one syn of the "f" pair is generally transferred to the STM tip, and the other one remains in the island as shown in the sequence Figure 3b,c.

Notice also that after the breaking of a "f" pair at the 2D island border and in its orthogonal to the surface adsorption configuration, the *syn* monomer left in the island (as obtained in Figure 3c) can be further extracted from this island byr a further lateral STM manipulation. In this case, it has also a high probability to be captured by the tip apex, confirming how this *syn* monomer orthogonal configuration is not very stable on an Au(111) surface. We have succeeded to manipulate a few of those *syn* monomers toward specific Au(111) surface areas like the herringbone kinks where generally the surface atomic order is not regular and can stabilize them. They can also be dragged



**Figure 3.** An example of the molecule manipulation experiments using the tunneling condition near the threshold  $R_T = 270 \ M\Omega$ . (a) 2D island of self-assembled syn-syn dimers on the Au(111) surface observed just after Au(111) sample preparation. (b) A "f" BBD molecule was step-by-step manipulated and extracted from its island while maintaining its dimer structure. (c) A monomer was detached from a down right corner "f" of the island and adsorbed to the tip apex and remains of the second syn of this dimer initially perpendicular to the surface conformation (same STM image conditions as in Figure 2. Image size: 15 nm × 15 nm).

along the surface during standard imaging conditions, that is, for STM  $R_T$  around 10 G $\Omega$  and a tunneling current below 10 pA.

At the border of a 2D-island and using  $R_T \sim 120 \text{ M}\Omega$ , a new specific BBD dimer molecule manipulation protocol can bring a different manipulation outcome. When in a "f" pair located at the border of a 2D island, a *syn* BBD molecule is manipulated without trying to extract it directly from this island border; however, following the appropriate manipulation tip trajectory presented in Figure 4, a flat monomer can be produced with its two-fold paddles now fully open. Figure 4 presents an example of such an outcome with a reasonable 10% probability of success.

There are two essential conditions for this specific protocol to produce successfully a *flat* conformer and to open the BBD two paddles. First, the *syn* targeted BBD molecule must be paired with a *syn* BBD anchored at the edge of a 2D island but not at a corner. This anchoring will serve as a pivot for the opening following a classical molecular mechanical motion of the molecule, as if it was a solid and rigid body pivoting around a fix point. Second, the targeted syn BBD must be "rubbed" laterally on another "f" dimer of the island during the manipulation, that is, the manipulation trajectory must maintain a lateral interaction with the other "f" dimer for the flat flipping of the manipulated BBD molecule to be complete. As a consequence, the manipulated BBD molecule performs a 90° flip down to the surface to reach a planar central phenyl configuration with the two paddles opened flat on the Au(111) surfacem as illustrated in Figure 4a,b (more examples in Supporting Information section 9). In this case, the lateral required interactions between the manipulated BBD and the border 2D island BBDs seem to be attractive according to the recorded manipulation signal, but this requires a more detailed interpretation in the future.

When produced, a *flat* conformer is very stable on the Au(111) surface as theoretically predicted by MD calculations. After its production, this is confirmed by the experimental RT threshold value for a *flat* conformer STM molecular manipulation in a pushing mode along the Au(111) surface, the lowest (~ 66 MΩ) of all the  $R_T$  values used for the different BBD molecule configurations met on this surface. A flat BBD monomer can be truly and reproducibly STM manipulated mechanically over long distances as presented in Figure 4b,c.

#### E. Tunneling Spectroscopy and States Mapping of the Flat BBD Conformer.

A *flat* BBD conformer on the Au(111) surface opens access to the detail STM dI/dV mapping of its low-lying molecular electronic states around the Au(111) surface Fermi energy. Such a



**Figure 4.** An example of the forcible molecule manipulation experiments using tunneling conditions less than 1/2  $R_T$  in Figure 3. Topographic images (a) before and (b) after  $R_T = 120 M\Omega$  manipulation. Using this condition, a structural transformation from syn to flat conformer occurs. (c) The so-produced flat conformer was manipulated with the STM tip away from its original four " $\int$ " dimer line with  $R_T = 66 M\hat{l}l$ ' in order to isolate it to prevent the influence from other molecules during dI/dV STM spectroscopic m $\Omega$ . The specific tip trajectories during the manipulation to produce a flat conformer are indicated by the arrows in (a) and (b). The tip location selected during the Figure 5 spectrum recording on this flat conformer is indicated by a dot in (c). Same STM image conditions as in Figure 2. Image size: 12 nm × 15 nm. mapping is of importance to confirm the *flat* conformation interpretation after the Figure 4 specific manipulation protocol. It is also very appropriate for determining the location of the maximum molecular orbital weight of its reduced and oxidized electronic states along the BBD molecular structure. Those maxima are known to be the principal port for intramolecular inelastic excitations induced by tunneling electrons in a way to bring energy to the molecular structure to move on a metallic surface with no mechanical push.

Figure 5a presents a typical dI/dV spectrum recorded on a *flat* BBD molecule adsorbed on the Au(111) surface. Here, the STM tip apex was positioned at the center of one of the two lobes identified on the topographic image (see Figure 4c). After identifying the Au(111) surface states energy location (~ -0.5 V) in this spectrum, two differential conductance peaks are observed, one at -1.6 V and a small bump centered around +2.7 V. This gives an apparent electronic gap of about 4.3 eV for a flat BBD molecule on the Au(111) surface to be compared to the 3.7 eV (334 nm) UV optical gap observed for the *syn* and *anti* conformers in solution.

Performed exactly at these resonances, the Figure 5 very precise dI/dV STM mapping permits to determine the spatial molecular orbital electronic distribution of the *flat* BBD reduced and oxidized electronic states at the origin of those two tunneling resonances. Monoelectronic constant current dI/dV elastic scattering quantum chemistry (ESQC)<sup>34</sup> image calculations were performed to compare with those experimental dI/dV maps. The ESQC calculation is particularly well-adapted to provide accurate STM images for large adsorbed molecules.<sup>35,36</sup> These calculations confirm that the main contributor to the -1.6 V resonance is the BBD highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the BBD lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) to the +2.7 V resonance. Those images were calculated starting from an optimized flat BBD conformation on the Au(111) surface. This confirms how the BBD molecule can be prepared in a *flat* conformation by STM single molecule manipulation. According to Figure 5 images, the central phenyl chassis is relatively electronically decoupled for the Au(111) surface.

# F. Step-by-Step Manipulation for Moving a flat BBD Conformer on the Au(111) Surface.

To manipulate a BBD molecule by inelastic electron tunneling effects along a fcc portion of the Au(111) surface, the single molecule must capture enough energy from the tunneling current to pass over the fcc surface lateral diffusion barrier. One way to trigger the inelastic energy release on the BBD vibronic mode is to increase the tunneling current intensity through the molecule



Figure 5. (a) The dI/dV spectrum and (b and c) dI/dV maps recorded on flat BBD produced in Figure 4. The first tunneling resonances appear at -1.6 V and +2.7 V bias voltage (sample grounded on the LT-UHV 4-STM). A dI/dV map captures the spatial distribution of the electron density of the corresponding molecular electronic states contributing to the resonance.<sup>37</sup> (d and e) At energies corresponding to HOMO and LUMO of the flat BBD monomer, monoelectronic ESQC STM calculated images are also presented for comparison. Resonance at -1.6 V appears to be mainly coming from the HOMO component of the imaged ground state and at +2.7 V from the LUMO contribution of BBD reduced (image size: 3.6 nm × 2.4 nm).

reaching its first low-lying reduced states. According to the dI/dV spectrum in Figure 5a, this can be achieved with a bias voltage applied to the tunnel junction greater than about 2 V to reach at least the tail of the +2.7 V BBD tunneling electronic resonance. Notice here that the energy captured by the vibronic modes of the BBD molecule will be a small fraction of the 2 eV.<sup>38</sup> To maximize the tunneling inelastic excitations, it is also usually taken for granted to position the STM tip apex at the locations at the highest electron density of the targeted in energy molecular electronic states. This strategy also helps to minimize the STM bias voltage range in a way not to destroy the molecule.<sup>11</sup> The BBD dI/dV images presented in Figure 5 are mapping those maxima and minima in its flat surface conformation. This mapping results from the electronic coupling between the tip apex and the molecular orbitals entering in the composition of resonating BBD electronic states when considering that those electronic states can be well described by a superposition of Slater determinants constructed using a molecular orbital basis set.<sup>37,39</sup>

The pixel-by-pixel construction of the Figure 5b,c maps results from the local measurement of the conductance of the BBD molecule at each pixel. In effect, this measurement projects the total BBD electronic probability density on a 2D plane. This is a very convenient way to identify where to position the tip for triggering a tunneling inelastic effect. For BBD, the highest electronic probability density (the highest dI/dV) sites are located on the BBD binaphthyl paddles as observed in Figure 5c.

As indicated in Figure 5c, when positioning the tip apex at location 1 on the BBD molecule and then ramping up the bias voltage further than +2.3 V (but without reaching +2.7 V), the BBD changes its conformation with one naphthyl paddle going up the surface in a conformation similar to syn (*syn/flat*-like conformation, see Figure S21 in the Supporting Information). This conformational change occurs systematically opposite to the paddle been excited. On the corresponding reduced state potential energy surface, this indicates that the energy captured by the BBD molecule from the tunneling current is initiating a conformation change trajectory at the onset of the +2.7 V resonance. Starting from the flat ground-state conformation, this trajectory certainly reaches a minimum on this reduced state potential energy manifold corresponding to the rotating up of a paddle to form a "*syn/flat*-like" conformation. Then, the BBD molecule relaxes in its ground state in this new stable "*syn/flat*-like" conformation not observable natively event after an STM mechanical lateral manipulation procedure. Notice that in the first approximation, the reduced state potential energy manifold can be explored using the potential energy surface of the BBD S<sub>1</sub> vertically accessible excited electronic state. After having tried to induce the paddle vibrations directly, we have selected the electronic probability density maximum 2 as indicated in Figure 5c. For this new excitation location and as presented in left column of Figure 6, when the bias voltage reaches about +2.3 V and the tunneling current several hundred pico-amperes, the current intensity through the BBD suddenly jumps up due to the molecule one step lateral translation. This very reproducible behavior shows how a BBD molecule can be step-by-step driven by steps of 0.29 nm on an fcc flat area on the Au(111) surface. To be more precise on the inelastic manipulation direction, an atomic resolved image of the Au(111) surface recorded using molecule terminated tip is inserted in Figure 6a to certify the accurate moving direction: exactly one of  $\langle 11\overline{0} \rangle$  orientations of the Au(111) surface inits fcc portion. The interatomic gold atom distance along those orientations is 0.288 nm, in complete agreement with the experimentally observed 0.29 nm long step motion per voltage ramp. As presented in Figure 6, a controllable inelastic motion is only possible when the molecule lies parallel to and in between two herringbones of the reconstruction. When the molecule sits on a herringbone (even when only one paddle end is laying on it), it is stuck and difficult to manipulate inelastically, as also recently observed with a windmill molecule.<sup>40</sup>

For a BBD molecule laying parallel to the herringbones and located at the fcc portion of the Au(111) surface, the probability of a controllable stepwise motion is about 50% after a single shot bias voltage ramp. Incidentally, the probability of molecule motion regardless the adsorption site is around 5% and the probability of a breaking or a conformation changes is around 3%. As a consequence and after a three consecutive lateral step-by-step motions, the BBD molecule is stopped because of herringbone lateral diffusion barrier and must be reprepared for a new run like with the windmill molecule.<sup>40</sup> Here, the BBD molecule must be manipulated with care not to open a conformation change path on its reduced state potential energy surface nor a chemical reaction path breaking some of its chemical bonds leading to the final destruction of the molecule since a molecule is often very unstable under high positive STM bias voltage pulses.<sup>7,41</sup>

While exciting the BBD molecule at two different spatial locations of the same resonance maxima, the difference of mechanical response is a nice indication of how the electronic coupling between the tip apex and the electronic states of a molecule can give rise to different mechanical responses. Here and during an STM excitation (or imaging), the effective lateral extension of the tunneling electrons inelastic excitation is much narrower than the BBD molecular orbitals spatial lateral extension. As a consequence, the electronic coupling between the tip apex and the BBD molecule is very local. For each tip positioning on the molecule, this brings out a very specific



**Figure 6.** Manipulation of a BBD molecule on the Au(111) surface using inelastic electrons tunneling excitations. (a–d) A series of images demonstrating the BBD molecule motion along the timeline. An atomic resolved image and its significant crystallographic orientation [101] is also presented. The red dots on each image indicate the tip apex location for applying the bias voltage ramp, resulting in the measured tunneling current. The moving direction, of a BBD molecule is always the [101] orientation and its average lateral motion per voltage ramping is around 0.29 nm, which perfectly matches with the 0.288 nm interatomic distance on Au(111) in the $\langle 110 \rangle$  surface directions. The voltage ramp duration from +1.0 to +2.3 V was 20 s in each case. Left column shows I – V characteristics for each inelastic event. Right column: 12.0 nm × 5.6 nm constant current STM images recorded at V = +0.5 V and I = 10 pA. In each image, top left, two dimers and one BBD monomer in a syn conformation have been imaged at the same time to provide a clear measurement of the BBD molecule motion per excitation.

superposition of BBD molecular orbitals to contribute to the motion as also observed for STM imaging in the case, for example, of an hexabenzocoronene (HBC) molecule.<sup>42</sup> This can trigger a large conformation of the paddle for one tip apex location or a gentle stepwise lateral motion for another location supposing that the effective potential energy surface built up from this superposition is different in the two cases.

For negative applied bias voltage, we have also tried the same strategy by locating the tip apex at one of the many maxima indicated in Figure 5b. No movement of the BBD molecule was observed down to a bias voltage ramp reaching a maximum of -2.0 V with several nA of tunneling current intensity. We do not yet have a detailed explanation of this observation.

# CONCLUSION

A bisbinaphthyldurene (BBD) molecule was designed, synthesized, and deposited on an Au(111) surface, mechanically manipulated with a STM tip, and then with the STM inelastic contribution of the tunneling current passing through this molecule. The BBD molecule is equipped with two lateral binaphthyl paddles mounted on a very simple central phenyl chassis to separate it from the supporting surface. Single molecule STM lateral mechanical manipulation must be first performed for this molecule to reach a *flat* conformation on the Au(111) surface since its native surface conformation on Au(111) is a perpendicular dimer conformation. Once a BBD molecule was prepared in its *flat* conformation, dI/dV molecular orbital mapping was performed to determine the best tip apex location to free up this molecule inelastically for a manipulation on an Au(111) fcc flat terrace. The intuitive on-paddle excitation is not a good entry port for an inelastic tunnel manipulation since it leads to a drastic conformation change of the BBD molecule entering in competition with its step-by-step motion on the Au(111) surface. We have demonstrated that on the molecule and nearby the paddle location, there exists another energy entry port where the BBD molecule remains flat on the surface and can be laterally manipulated step-by-step with a step of about 0.29 nm per excitation. The BBD molecule was used by the MANA-NIMS Japanese team during the first international nanocar race in Toulouse.<sup>43</sup>

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The synthetic procedure of BBD is described in the Supporting Information. The STM experiments were conducted as follows. The BBD molecules were deposited on a Au(111) single crystal surface previously cleaned by standard metal surface UHV preparation methods consisting of several cycles of ion sputtering and subsequent annealing.<sup>6,10</sup> The BBD molecules were sublimated from about 3 mg of the colorless BBD molecular powder by heating a Kentax quartz crucible at 563 K during 30 s. The gold substrate temperature was kept below 323 K during this deposition. The evaporation parameters were selected in a way to deposit a minute amount of BBD molecules to produce a submonolayer coverage in order to leave enough large molecule-free areas on the clean Au(111) surface to be able to use the STM single molecule manipulation protocol. The Au(111) sample was then loaded on the STM sample stage kept at cryogenic temperature (LT) and rapidly cooled down to ~ 5 K. All low-temperature ultrahigh-vacuum scanning tunneling microscopy (LT-UHV STM) experiments presented, that is, constant current imaging, molecule manipulations, tunneling spectroscopic measurements, and intramolecular d*I*/d*V* mapping were performed on one of the four STM heads of our new ScientaOmicron LT-UHV 4 independent STM instrument.<sup>44</sup>

- \* E-mail: we-hyo.soe@cemes.fr
- <sup>†</sup> Present Address: Department of Chemical Engineering, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan.
- <sup>‡</sup> E-mail: Nakanishi.Waka@nims.go.jp.
- <sup>1</sup> Eigler, D. M.; Schweizer, E. K. Positioning Single Atoms with a Scanning Tunnelling Microscope. Nature 1990, 344, 524-526.
- <sup>2</sup> Bartels, L.; Meyer, G.; Rieder, K.-H. Basic Steps of Lateral Manipulation of Single Atoms and Diatomic Clusters with a Scanning Tunneling Microscope Tip. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1997, 79, 697-700.
- <sup>3</sup> Bouju, X.; Joachim, C.; Girard, C.; Tang, H. Mechanics of (Xe)<sub>N</sub> Atomic Chains under STM Manipulation. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 2001, 63, 085415.
- <sup>4</sup> Jung, T. A.; Schlittler, R. R.; Gimzewski, J. K.; Tang, H.; Joachim, C. Controlled Room-Temperature Positioning of Individual Molecules: Molecular Flexure and Motion. Science 1996, 271, 181-184.

- <sup>5</sup> Grill, L.; Rieder, K.-H.; Moresco, F.; Rapenne, G.; Stojkovic, S.; Bouju, X.; Joachim, C. Rolling a Single Molecular Wheel at the Atomic Scale. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2007, 2, 95-98.
- <sup>6</sup> Manzano, C.; Soe, W.-H.; Wong, H. S.; Ample, F.; Gourdon, A.; Chandrasekhar, N.; Joachim, C. Stepby-Step Rotation of a Molecule-Gear Mounted on an Atomic-Scale Axis. Nat. Mater. 2009, 8, 576-579.
- <sup>7</sup> Nickel, A.; Ohmann, R.; Meyer, J.; Grisolia, M.; Joachim, C.; Moresco, F.; Cuniberti, G. Moving Nanostructures: Pulse-Induced Positioning of Supramolecular Assemblies. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 191-197.
- <sup>8</sup> Lastapis, M.; Martin, M.; Riedel, D.; Hellner, L.; Comtet, G.; Dujardin, G. Picometer-Scale Electronic Control of Molecular Dynamics Inside a Single Molecule. Science 2005, 308, 1000-1003.
- <sup>9</sup> Hla, S.-W. Scanning Tunneling Microscope Atom and Molecule Manipulations: Realizing Molecular Switches and Devices. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 2008, 47, 6063-6069.
- <sup>10</sup> Stipe, B. C.; Rezaei, M. A.; Ho, W. Inducing and Viewing the Rotational Motion of a Single Molecule. Science 1998, 279, 1907-1909.
- <sup>11</sup> Dujardin, G.; Walkup, R. E.; Avouris, Ph. Dissociation of Individual Molecules with Electrons from the Tip of a Scanning Tunneling Microscope. Science 1992, 255, 1232-1235.
- <sup>12</sup> Langlais, V.; Schlittler, R. R.; Tang, H.; Gourdon, A.; Joachim, C.; Gimzewski, J. K. Spatially Resolved Tunneling Along a Molecular Wire. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1999, 83, 2809-2812.
- <sup>13</sup> Joachim, C.; Tang, H.; Moresco, F.; Rapenne, G.; Meyer, G. The Design of a Nanoscale Molecular Barrow. Nanotechnology 2002, 13, 330-335.
- <sup>14</sup> Shirai, Y.; Osgood, A. J.; Zhao, Y.; Kelly, K. F.; Tour, J. M. Directional Control in Thermally Driven Single-Molecule Nanocars. Nano Lett. 2005, 5, 2330-2334.
- <sup>15</sup> Koumura, N.; Zijlstra, R. W. J.; van Delden, R. A.; Harada, N.; Feringa, B. L. Light-Driven Monodirectional Molecular Rotor. Nature 1999, 401, 152-155.
- <sup>16</sup> Morin, J. F.; Shirai, Y.; Tour, J. M. En Route to a Motorized Nanocar. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1713-1716.
- <sup>17</sup> Chiang, P. T.; Mielke, J.; Godoy, J.; Guerrero, J. M.; Alemany, L. B.; Villagómez, C.J.; Saywell, A.; Grill,L.; Tour, J.M. Toward a Light-Driven Motorized Nanocar: Synthesis and Initial Imaging of Single Molecules. ACS Nano 2012, 6, 592-597.
- <sup>18</sup> Kudernac, T.; Ruangsupapichat, N.; Parschau, M.; Maciá, B.; Katsonis, N.; Harutyunyan, S. R.; Ernst, K.-H.; Feringa, B. L. Electrically Driven Directional Motion of a Four-Wheeled Molecule on a Metal Surface. Nature 2011, 479, 208-211.
- <sup>19</sup> Launay, J.-P. Single Molecules to Practical Devices. In *From Non-Covalent Assemblies to Molecular Machines*; Sauvage, J.-P., Gaspard, P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2010; pp 381-428.

- <sup>20</sup> Alemani, M.; Selvanathan, S.; Ample, F.; Peters, M. V.; Rieder, K. H.; Moresco, F.; Joachim, C.; Hecht, S.; Grill, L. Adsorption and Switching Properties of Azobenzene Derivatives on Different Noble Metal Surfaces: Au(111), Cu(111), and Au(100). J. Phys. Chem. C 2008, 112, 10509-10514.
- <sup>21</sup> Sainoo, Y.; Kim, Y.; Komeda, T.; Kawai, M.; Shigekawa, H. Observation of *Cis*-2-Butene Molecule on Pd(110) by Cryogenic STM: Site Determination Using Tunneling-Current-Induced Rotation. Surf. Sci. 2003, 536, L403-L407.
- <sup>22</sup> Henzl, J.; Morgenstern, K. An Electron Induced Two-Dimensional Switch Made of Azobenzene Derivatives Anchored in Supramolecular Assemblies. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2010, 12, 6035-6044.
- <sup>23</sup> Valeur, B.; Berberan-Santos, M. N. Structural Effects on Fluorescence Emission. In *Molecular Fluorescence: Principles and Applications*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2012; pp 87-92.
- <sup>24</sup> Setnička, V.; Urbanová, M.; Bouř, P.; Král, V.; Volka, K. Vibrational Circular Dichroism of 1,1'-Binaphthyl Derivatives: Experimental and Theoretical Study. J. Phys. Chem. A 2001, 105, 8931-8938.
- <sup>25</sup> Di Bari, L.; Pescitelli, G.; Salvaori, P. Conformational Study of 2,2'-Homosubstituted 1,1'-Binaphthyls by Means of UV and CD Spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7998-8004.
- <sup>26</sup> Fujiyoshi, S.; Takeuchi, S.; Tahara, T. Time-Resolved Impulsive Stimulated Raman Studies of 1,1'-Binaphthyl in the Excited State: Low-Frequency Vibrations and Conformational Relaxation. J. Phys. Chem. A 2004, 108, 5938-5943.
- <sup>27</sup> Hochstrasser, R. M. The Effect of Intramolecular Twisting on the Emission Spectra of Hindered Aromatic Molecules. Can. J. Chem. 1961, 39, 459-470.
- <sup>28</sup> Pu, L. 1,1'-Binaphthyl Dimers, Oligomers, and Polymers: Molecular Recognition, Asymmetric Catalysis, and New Materials. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 2405-2494.
- <sup>29</sup> Nishizaka, M.; Mori, T.; Inoue, Y. Experimental and Theoretical Studies on the Chiroptical Properties of Donor-Acceptor Binaphthyls. Effects of Dynamic Conformer Population on Circular Dichroism. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2010, 1, 1809-1812.
- <sup>30</sup> Meca, L.; Řeha, D.; Havlas, Z. Racemization Barriers of 1,1'- Binaphthyl and 1,1'-Binaphthalene-2,2'-Diol: A DFT Study. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5677-5680.
- <sup>31</sup> Ishikawa, D.; Mori, T.; Yonamine, Y.; Nakanishi, W.; Cheung, D. L.; Hill, J. P.; Ariga, K. Mechanochemical Tuning of the Binaphthyl Conformation at the Air-Water Interface. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8988-8991.
- <sup>32</sup> Mori, T.; Ishikawa, D.; Yonamine, Y.; Fujii, Y.; Hill, J. P.; Ichinose, I.; Ariga, K.; Nakanishi, W. Mechanically Induced Opening-Closing Action of Binaphthyl Molecular Pliers: Digital Phase Transition

versus Continuous Conformational Change. ChemPhysChem 2017, 18, 1470-1474.

- <sup>33</sup> Friedel, R. A.; Orchin, M.; Reggel, L. Steric Hindrance and Short Wave Length Bands in the Ultraviolet Spectra of Some Naphthalene and Diphenyl Derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1948, 70, 199-204.
- <sup>34</sup> Sautet, P.; Joachim, C. Calculation of the Benzene on Rhodium STM Images. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1991, 185, 23-30.
- <sup>35</sup> Yu, M.; Xu, W.; Kalashnyk, N.; Benjalal, Y.; Nagarajan, S.; Masini, F.; Lægsgaard, E.; Hliwa, M.; Bouju, X.; Gourdon, A.; Joachim, C.; Besenbacher, F.; Linderoth, T. R. From Zero to Two Dimensions: Supramolecular Nanostructures Formed from Perylene-3,4,9,10-Tetracarboxylic Diimide (PTCDI) and Ni on the Au(111) Surface through the Interplay between Hydrogen-Bonding and Electrostatic Metal-Organic Interactions. Nano Res. 2012, 5, 903-916.
- <sup>36</sup> Saywell, A.; Greń, W.; Franc, G.; Gourdon, A.; Bouju, X.; Grill, L. Manipulating the Conformation of Single Organometallic Chains on Au(111). J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 1719-1728.
- <sup>37</sup> Soe, W.-H.; Manzano, C.; De Sarkar, A.; Chandrasekhar, N.; Joachim, C. Direct Observation of Molecular Orbitals of Pentacene Physisorbed on Au(111) by Scanning Tunneling Microscope. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2009, 102, 176102.
- <sup>38</sup> Ohmann, R.; Meyer, J.; Nickel, A.; Echevarria, J.; Grisolia, M.; Joachim, C.; Moresco, F.; Cuniberti, G.
   Supramolecular Rotor and Translator at Work: On-Surface Movement of Single Atoms. ACS Nano 2015, 9, 8394-8400.
- <sup>39</sup> Krüger, T.; Eisenhut, F.; Alonso, J. M.; Lehmann, T.; Guitián, E.; Pérez, D.; Skidin, D.; Gamaleja, F.; Ryndyk, D. A.; Joachim, C.; Peña, D.; Moresco, F.; Cuniberti, G. Imaging the Electronic Structure of On-Surface Generated Hexacene. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 1583-1586.
- <sup>40</sup> Eisenhut, F.; Durand, C.; Moresco, F.; Launay, J. P.; Joachim, C. Training for the 1st International Nanocar Race: The Dresden Molecule-Vehicle. Eur. Phys. J.: Appl. Phys. 2016, 76, 10001.
- <sup>41</sup> Manzano, C.; Soe, W.-H.; Joachim, C. High Voltage STM Imaging of Single Copper Phthalocyanine. In Imaging and manipulating Molecular Orbitals. *Advances in Atom and Single Molecule Machines*; Grill, L., Joachim, C., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 2013; p 15.
- <sup>42</sup> Soe, W.-H.; Wong, H. S.; Manzano, C.; Grisolia, M.; Hliwa, M.; Feng, X.; Müllen, K.; Joachim, C. Mapping the Excited States of Single Hexa-Peri-Benzocoronene Oligomers. ACS Nano 2012, 6, 3230-3235.
- <sup>43</sup> Joachim, C.; Rapenne, G. Molecule Concept Nanocars: Chassis, Wheels, and Motors? ACS Nano 2013,
  7, 11-14.

<sup>44</sup> Yang, J.; Sordes, D.; Kolmer, M.; Martrou, D.; Joachim, C. Imaging, Single Atom Contact and Single Atom Manipulations at Low Temperature Using the New ScientaOmicron LT-UHV-4 STM. Eur. Phys. J.: Appl. Phys. 2016, 73, 10702.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Y. Okawa, Dr. T. Uchihashi, and Dr. K. Sagisaka in NIMS for prescreening of STM conditions and helpful discussions and Prof. M. Aono for its continuous support during this work.

Funding WPI MANA MEXT program and by JSPS KAKENHI (16H07436, JP16H06518, 26790003). M.K. acknowledges financial support received from the Foundation for Polish Science (FNP). We thank TOYOTA as an official sponsor for our NIMS MANA team in the nanocar race.<sup>43</sup> Notes The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

# 1- General

Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on a glass plate coated with silica gel (230-400 mesh, 0.25 mm thickness) containing a fluorescent indicator (silica gel 60F254, Merck). Flash silica gel column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60N (spherical and neutral gel, 40–50  $\mu$ m, Kanto). Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on Thermo Scientific Nicolet NEXUS 670 FT-IR and were reported as wavenumbers ( $\nu$ ) in cm<sup>-1</sup>. Proton (<sup>1</sup>H) and carbon (<sup>13</sup>C) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECA400 spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained on an Applied Biosystems Voyager DE STR SI-3 instrument (MALDI-TOF MS). UV-Vis absorption spectra were obtained on JASCO V-670. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were obtained on JASCO, J-820.

# 2. Materials

Solvents and materials were purchased from Aldrich, Tokyo Kasei Chemical Co. or Wako Chemical Co., and were used without further purification.

### 3. Synthesis

To a mixture of (*R*)-(+)-1,1'-bi(2-naphthol) (1.00 g, 3.49 mmol) and CsCO<sub>3</sub> (2.84 g, 8.73 mmol) in dry acetone (100 mL) was added 1,2,4,5-tetrakis(bromomethyl)benzene (715 mg, 1.59 mmol) and the mixture was refluxed 48 h. The mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 200 mL) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Hexane) to give pure desired compound, bisbinaphthyldurene (BBD) (410 mg, 37%). The compound was further purified by sublimation (< 300 °C) for the STM experiments. Mp > 250°C; FT-IR (KBr, cm<sup>1</sup>) 3047, 2934, 2880, 1918, 1593, 1472, 1321, 1244, 1147, 1079, 1009, 893, 805, 749; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.15 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 4H), 5.21 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 4H), 7.16 (ddd, *J* = 7.6, 7.6, 0.8 Hz, 4H), 7.18 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.27 (ddd, *J* = 7.2, 7.2, 1.4 Hz, 4H), 7.32 (s, 2H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 4H), 7.75 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.80 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  71.4, 117.0, 121.6, 123.9, 126.1, 126.3, 128.1, 129.3, 129.8, 133.4, 134.5, 136.6, 154.4; HRMS (MALDI, 9- nitroanthracene) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>50</sub>H<sub>34</sub>O<sub>4</sub> [M]<sup>+</sup>



**Figure S1.** *UV-vis absorption spectra of BBD in THF*  $(5.6 \times 10^{-6} M)$ .

698.2452, found 698.2451;  $C_{100}H_{68}O_8$  [M]<sup>+</sup> 1396.4909, found 1396.4905.

### 4. Photophysical properties

See Fig. S1 and Fig. S2.

5. NMR spectra

See Fig. S3 to Fig. S15.

# 6. Theoretical calculations (DFT)

All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 program,<sup>1</sup> and the results were analyzed and visualized on GaussView 5.0.9. Calculations were performed at the density functional theory



**Figure S2.** *CD spectra of BBD in THF*  $(1.0 \times 10^{-5} M)$ .

(DFT) level with the B3LYP functional, the gradient correction of the exchange functional by Becke<sup>2,3</sup> and the correlation functional by Lee, Yang and Parr,<sup>4</sup> and the 6-31G(d,p) split valence plus polarization basis set<sup>5–8</sup> was used. Relaxed S<sub>1</sub> structures (= optimized structures in S<sub>1</sub> state) were calculated by time-dependent (TD) DFT.

See Tab. S1, Tab. S2, Fig. S16, Fig. S17



Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of BBD.



Figure S4. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of BBD.



Figure S5. DEPT 90 of BBD.



Figure S6. DEPT 135 of BBD.



Figure S7. COSY of BBD.



Figure S8. HMQC of BBD.



Figure S9. HMBC of BBD.



Figure S10. NOESY of BBD.



Figure S11. *ROESY of BBD*.



Figure S12. Selected correlation in NOESY (dashed lines) and ROESY (solid lines) spectra.



**Figure S13.** Temperature dependent <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) spectra of BBD. The temperature was changed from 313 to 218 K. White and black dots at 218 and 223 K correspond to signals from two conformers. Proton resonances of aromatic region at the lower magnetic field were further analyzed for energetics, since they are comparably isolated. Two doublet peaks from one isomer and one overlapped doublet peaks from another isomer were observed at 218 K, which are merged to form two doublet peaks at 313 K.

# 7. Cartesian coordinates

See Tab. S3, Tab. S4



Figure S14. (a) Experimental and (b) simulated spectra of downfield region of BBD showing two sets of two aromatic proton resonances from anti and syn-isomers. One of proton sets is overlapped and apparently three peaks are shown at 218 K. The experimental spectra were obtained in CDCl<sub>3</sub> from 218 to 313 K. The simulated spectra were obtained by using the designated exchange rate constants. The ratio of populations of anti and syn-isomers was set to 1. The peak-width references for the line-shape analysis were taken from the narrowest peaks (218 K for anti and syn).



**Figure S15.** The Eyring plot for BBD. The energetics parameters are shown at the bottom of the plots.  $\Delta H = 9.8$  kcal/mol,  $\Delta S = -17$  cal/mol K..



Table S1. Torsion angle of *flat-*, *syn-*, and *anti-*BBD optimized by DFT (B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)).

Torsion angle	Flat	Syn	Anti
C2-C1-C1'-C2'( $\theta$ )	-60.5	-63.9	-63.9
C2'-C1'-C1"-C2" $(\theta)$	-60.5	-63.9	-63.9
C2-O1-C3-C4	-158.9	-61.6	-61.7
C2'-O1'-C3'-C4'	-158.9	-144.1	-144.6
C2"-O1"-C3"-C4"	-158.9	-144.1	-61.7
C2'''-O1'''-C3'''-C4'''	-158.9	-61.6	-144.6

# Table S1.



**Table S2.** Torsion angle of  $S_0$  and relaxed  $S_1$  structures of 1,1'-binaphthyl and BINOL. Structures were optimized by DFT and TD DFT (B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)) with  $C_2$  symmetry. Note that two conformations were obtained for 1,1'-binaphthyl but only one structure for BINOL in  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  state each.

	С2-С1-С1'-С2'(Ө)	energy (au)	relative energy (kcal/mol)
1,1'-binaphthyl (S <sub>0</sub> )	-72.3	-770.6099034	0.0
1,1'-binaphthyl (S <sub>0</sub> )	-106.8	-770.6097537	0.1
1,1'-binaphthyl (S1)	-132	-770.4794082	81.9
1,1'-binaphthyl (S1)	-41.4	-770.4764297	83.8
BINOL (S <sub>0</sub> )	-91.1	-921.0581789	0.0
BINOL (S <sub>1</sub> )	-118.6	-920.9177153	88.1

Table S2.



**Table S16.** Potential energy (S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub>) of (a) 1,1'-binaphthyl and (b) BINOL, which were modified from the reported one for 1,1'-binaphthyl.<sup>9</sup> As reported, two sets of optimized structure of 1,1'-binaphthyl were found both in S<sub>0</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> by DFT and TD-DFT (B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)) calculations. On the other hand, only one sets of optimized structure were found for BINOL by the same procedures.

# 8. Theoretical calculations (MD simulations)

### Structures

The simulated systems consisted of one or two molecules on Au(111) 6-layer, with a vacuum layer. The size of unit cell for a single molecule on Au(111) was  $19.9795 \times 23.0703 \times 51.7730$  Å and that for two molecules was  $29.9693 \times 34.6055 \times 61.7730$  Å. All simulations were performed



**Table S17.** Potential energy ( $S_0$  and  $S_1$ ) of flat-BBD vs the absolute value of rbinaphthyl torsion angle  $|\theta|$ . The torsion angle was frozen and the structures were optimized by DFT and TD-DFT (B3LYP-6-31G(d,p)). The optimized structures without restrictions at  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  are also shown in the same figure and the torsion angle and the relative energy are shown respectively in the balloons. All the structures were optimized with  $D_2$  symmetry. Only onsets of optimized structure without restriction was found in  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ , though the local minima of  $S_0$  at  $|\theta| = 80^\circ$  was found when the torsion angle  $|\theta|$  was frozen.

in the NVT, and controlled using a NHL thermostat, with a decay constant of 1 ps.

To find several conformations of one or two molecules on Au(111) surface, anneal dynamics were used. Anneal dynamics consists of a dynamics simulation where the temperature is periodically increased from an initial temperature (4 K) to a mid-cycle temperature (500 K) and back

Center	Atomic	Atomic	, Coo:	rdinates (	Angstroms)
Number	Number	туре	X	Y	
2	6	0	9.032409 8.878316	2.4031 2.2711	93 -0.95857
3	6 6	0	7.833734 6.867277	1.5461 0.9164	44 -0.42926 56 -1.26457
5	6	0	7.006729	1.0941	82 -2.68063
6	6	0	8.109094	1.8259	53 -3.19680 02 -0 72846
8	6	ő	4.750669	-0.2128	48 -1.61739
9	6	0	4.916482	-0.0685	33 -3.02037
11	6	0	5.746100	-0.1727	02 0.72846
12	6	0	6.867277	-0.9164	56 1.26457
13	6	0	6.024170	-0.5439	10 3.54238
15	6	0	4.916482	0.0685	33 3.02037
16	6	0	4.750669	-1.5461	48 1.61/39 44 0.42926
18	6	0	8.878316	-2.2711	93 0.95857
19 20	6	0	9.032409 8.109094	-2.4031	57 2.35735 53 3.19680
21	8	0	3.546350	-0.7282	46 -1.17069
22	8	0	3.546350	0.7282	46 1.17069 79 -1.53217
24	6	ō	2.476183	-0.1730	79 1.53217
25	6	0	1.230292	-0.0051	12 0.70189
27	6	ő	0.000000	0.0000	00 1.36585
28	6	0	-1.230292	0.0051	12 0.70189
29	6	0	-1.230292	-0.0051	12 -0.70189 00 -1.36585
31	6	0	-9.032409	-2.4031	57 -2.35735
32	6	0	-8.878316	-2.2711	93 -0.95857
34	6	0	-6.867277	-0.9164	56 -1.26457
35	6	0	-7.006729	-1.0941	82 -2.68063
36	6	0	-8.109094	-1.8259	53 -3.19680 02 -0.72846
38	6	0	-4.750669	0.2128	48 -1.61739
39 40	6	0	-4.916482	0.0685	33 -3.02037 10 -3.54238
41	6	ŏ	-5.746100	0.1727	02 0.72846
42	6	0	-6.867277	0.9164	56 1.26457
43	6	0	-6.024170	0.5439	10 3.54238
45	6	0	-4.916482	-0.0685	33 3.02037
46	6	0	-7.833734	-0.2128	48 1.61/39 44 0.42926
48	6	0	-8.878316	2.2711	93 0.95857
49	6	0	-9.032409	2.4031	57 2.35735 53 3.19680
51	8	Ő	-3.546350	0.7282	46 -1.17069
52	8	0	-3.546350	-0.7282	46 1.17069
54	6	0	-2.476183	0.1730	79 1.53217
55	1	0	9.866946	2.9679	85 -2.76189
56	1	0	9.589560	2.7495	45 -0.29152 43 0.64587
58	1	0	8.198273	1.9328	90 -4.27484
59 60	1	0	4.147648	-0.4706	39 -3.67171 20 -4.61784
61	1	ō	6.148802	-0.6365	20 4.61784
62	1	0	4.147648	0.4706	39 3.67171 43 -0 64587
64	1	ŏ	9.589560	-2.7495	45 0.29152
65	1	0	9.866946	-2.9679	85 2.76189
67	1	0	2.839133	1.2002	44 -1.41015
68	1	0	2.219323	0.0334	84 -2.58913
	69 1 70 1	0	2.839133 2.219323	-1.200244 -0.033484	1.410151 2.589136
	71 1	0	0.000000	0.000000	2.453495
	73 1	0	-9.866946	-2.967985	-2.761895
	74 1 75 1	0	-9.589560 -7.732782	-2.749545 -1.468743	-0.291520 0.645872
	76 1	0	-8.198273	-1.932890	-4.274840
	78 1	0	-6.148802	-0.636520	-3.0/1/10
	79 1 80 1	0	-6.148802	0.636520	4.617844 3.671716
	81 1	0	-7.732782	1.468743	-0.645872
	o∠ 1 83 1	0	-9.866946	2.967985 2.967985	2.761895
	9.4 1	0	-8.198273	1.932890	4.274840
	85 1	ō	-2.219323	-0.033484	-2.589136
	85 1 86 1	0	-2.219323 -2.839133	-0.033484	-2.589136 -1.410151

Table S3.

Table S4.	Cartesian	coordinate	svn-conformer
Table D4.	Curtesiun	coordinate	syn comonner

SCF Done:	E (RB3LYP) =	-2226.7491	1677 A.U	J. after	7 cycles
Center Number	Atomic Number	Atomic Type	Coc X	ordinates Y	(Angstroms) Z
1	6	0	-0.733469	9 3.186	147 4.604041
2	6	0	0.165234	4.130	915 4.053036
3	6	0	0.19006	7 4.372	704 2.698304
4	6	0	-0.685194	4 3.683	156 1.810751
5	6	0	-1 581558	7 2.708 R 2.489	774 2.372026
7	6	õ	-0.676896	5 3.903	212 0.395108
8	6	0	-1.508763	3.131	142 -0.408429
9	6	0	-2.387533	3 2.170	286 0.146395
10	6	0	-2.421343	3 1.972	640 1.505373
12	6	0	0.233732	2 4.900 4 6.313	-0.243211 333 -0.042731
13	6	0	0.985718	3 7.224	293 -0.684228
14	6	0	2.011291	L 6.707	653 -1.516991
15	6	0	2.133458	5.355	253 -1.721371
16	6	0	-0.969750	5 4.456 5 6.962	750 0 746229
18	6	0	-1.100635	5 8.223	272 0.910474
19	6	0	-0.19006	7 9.116	203 0.297153
20	6	0	0.827527	7 8.622	124 -0.484786
21	8	0	-1.465688	3.388	694 -1.759895
22	8	0	-1 758151	7 3.100 1 2.345	100 -2 688229
24	6	Õ	1.267869	2.636	378 -2.638655
25	6	0	0.581349	9 1.289	764 -2.641528
26	6	0	-0.819696	5 1.153	553 -2.630881
27	6	0	1.364884	4 U.133 5 _1 153	016 -2.630549 553 -2.630881
29	6	0	-0.581349	9 -1.289	764 -2.641528
30	6	0	-1.364884	4 -0.133	016 -2.630549
31	6	0	0.19006	7 -9.116	203 0.297153
32	6	0	1.100635	-8.223	272 0.910474 759 0.746228
34	6	0	-0.080344	4 -6.313	333 -0.042731
35	6	0	-0.985718	3 -7.224	293 -0.684228
36	6	0	-0.827527	7 -8.622	124 -0.484786
3/	6	0	-0.233/32	2 -4.900	/90 =0.243211 071 =1 000001
39	6	0	-2.133458	3 -5.355	253 -1.721371
40	6	0	-2.011291	-6.707	653 -1.516991
41	6	0	0.676896	5 -3.903	212 0.395108
42	6	0	0.685194	4 -3.683	156 1.810751
43	6	0	2.421343	7 =2.708 3 =1.972	640 1.505373
45	6	0	2.387533	3 -2.170	286 0.146395
46	6	0	1.508763	3 -3.131	142 -0.408429
47	6	0	-0.19006	7 -4.372	704 2.698304
48	6	U	-0.165234	4 -4.130	915 4.053036
	49	6 0	0.733469 -3.18	36147 4.604041	
	50 51	6 0 8 0	1.581558 -2.48 -1.382037 -3.10	39774 3.775856 00685 -1.280531	5
	52 53	8 0 6 0	1.465688 -3.38 -1.267869 -2.63	-1.759895 36378 -2.638655	5
	54 55	6 0 1 0	1.758151 -2.34 -0.743935 3.00	15100 -2.688229 08840 5.675353	3
	56 57	1 0 1 0	0.845743 4.66	38925 4.706876 3462 2.291366	
	58 59	1 0	-2.266965 1.75	50226 4.181931 90411 -0.497997	
	60 61	1 0	-3.095784 1.23 2.700867 7.39	33979 1.929581 99065 -1.99403	
	62 63	1 0 1 0	2.922891 4.95 -1.676938 6.19	200021 -2.350836 20513 1.217826	5
	65	1 0	-0.301974 10.18	36935 0.439739 36983 -0 97101/	
	67	1 0	-2.795783 2.00	2727 -2.588311	}
	69 70	1 0 1 0	0.708076 3.36	57300 -3.230163 37425 -3.077740	3
	71 72	1 0 1 0	2.447199 0.24 -2.447199 -0.24	14047 -2.627752 14047 -2.627752	
	73 74	1 0 1 0	0.301974 -10.18	36935 0.439739 15921 1.515760	3
	75 76	1 0	1.676938 -6.19 -1.527303 -9.29	00513 1.217826 06983 -0.971319	
	77 78	1 0	-2.922891 -4.95	-2.350836 99065 -1.99403	
	/9 80 91	1 0 1 ^	3.037115 -1.59	0411 -0.497997 33462 2 20101	
	82 82	1 0	-0.845743 -4.66	2.291366 58925 4.706876 18840 5.475757	
	84	1 0	2.266965 -1.75	50226 4.181931 37425 -3.077740	)
	86 87	1 0	-0.708076 -3.36	57300 -3.230163 02727 -2.588311	3
	88	1 Ŏ	1.683107 -2.84	13071 -3.661329	-

# Table S4.

Table S5. Cart	esian coordinate an	ti-conformer		
SCF Done:	E(RB3LYP) =	-2226.74970717	A.U. after	14 cycles

enter	Atomic	Atomic	Coord	inates (Ang	stroms)
lumber	Number	Type	х	Y	Z
1	6	0	-1.387323	9.454777	-0.5540
2	6	0	-0.498019	9.004789	0.4504
3	6	0	-0.041161	7.706116	0.4547
4	6	0	-0.447367	6.778844	-0.5463
5	6	0	-1.370609	7.232801	-1.5476
6	6	0	-1.813864	8.582540	-1.5278
7	6	0	0.000000	5.415425	-0.5607
8	6	0	-0.507033	4.559791	-1.5295
9	6	0	-1.416935	5.009126	-2.5173
10	6	0	-1.832884	6.317673	-2.5280
11	6	0	0.955771	4.881767	0.4560
12	6	0	2.320044	5.315452	0.5135
13	6	0	3.195758	4.776160	1.5145
14	6	0	2.689930	3.808835	2.4172
15	6	0	1.388904	3.375114	2.3353
			0 50000	0 006400	4 0 4 0 0

	Number	Number	Type	x	Y Z	
	1	6	0	-1.387323 9	.454777 -0.554064	
	2	6	0	-0.498019 9 -0.041161 7	.004789 0.450489 .706116 0.454733	
	4	6	0	-0.447367 6	-0.546396	
	6	6	0	-1.813864 8	.582540 -1.527893	
	8	6	0	-0.507033 4	.559791 -1.529517	
	9 10	6	0	-1.416935 5 -1.832884 6	.009126 -2.517391 .317673 -2.528028	
	11	6	0	0.955771 4	.881767 0.456002	
	13	6	ō	3.195758 4	.776160 1.514576	
	14	6	0	1.388904 3	.375114 2.335350	
	16 17	6	0	0.523932 3 2.866294 6	.906102 1.348235 .248417 -0.414042	
	18	6	0	4.183773 6	.639334 -0.338680 .123192 0.666625	
	20	6	ō	4.548268 5	.208195 1.569115	
	21	8	0	-0.795652 3	1.253545 =1.534213 1.529859 1.255235	
	23 24	6	0	-1.100303 2 -1.181660 2	.246381 -1.409707 .207409 1.629839	
	25	6	0	-0.549146 1	.097482 0.810433	
	27	6	Ō	0.000000 0	.000000 1.478800	
	20	0	0	0.545140 -1	.03/462 0.010433	
29	6		0	0.551683	-1.096522	-0.597265
30	6		0	1 397323	-9 454777	-1.264098
32	6		0	0.498019	-9.004789	0.450489
33	6		0	0.041161	-7.706116	0.454733
34	6		0	0.447367	-6.778844	-0.546396
35	6		0	1.370609	-7.232801	-1.547665
36	6		0	1.813864	-8.582540	-1.527893
37	6		0	0.000000	-5.415425	-0.560/55
39	6		0	1.416935	-5.009126	-2.517391
40	6		0	1.832884	-6.317673	-2.528028
41	6		0	-0.955771	-4.881767	0.456002
42	6		0	-2.320044	-5.315452	0.513566
43	6		0	-3.195758	-4.776160	1.514576
44	6		0	-2.689930	-3.808835	2.41/211
46	6		0	-0.523932	-3.906102	1.348235
47	6		0	-2.866294	-6.248417	-0.414042
48	6		0	-4.183773	-6.639334	-0.338680
49	6		0	-5.036416	-6.123192	0.666625
50	6		0	-4.548268	-5.208195	1.569115
52	8		0	0.0795652	-3 529859	1 255235
53	6		0	1.100303	-2.246381	-1.409707
54	6		0	1.181660	-2.207409	1.629839
55	1		0	-1.735340	10.483440	-0.548297
56	1		0	-0.174187	9.691114	1.227614
58	1		0	-2.506360	8.911410	-2.298675
59	1		0	-1.761821	4.309155	-3.271897
60	1		0	-2.524368	6.667370	-3.289984
61	1		0	3.350272	3.404167	3.179630
62	1		0	1.023987	2.632113	3.034532
64	1		õ	4.574959	7.349835	-1.061206
65	1		0	6.072371	6.444922	0.716407
66	1		0	5.193777	4.793441	2.339298
67	1		0	-1.985741	2.681667	-0.936416
68	1		0	-1.386629	1.887462	-2.406481
70	⊥ 1		0	-2.268208	2.210939	2.09/132
71	1		õ	0.000000	0.000000	2.567186
72	1		0	0.000000	0.000000	-2.351632
73	1		0	1.735340	-10.483440	-0.548297
74	1		0	0.174187	-9.691114	1.227614
15	1		0	-0.035523	-/.3/3486	1.233050 -2 200675
77	⊥ 1		0	2.300300	-4.309155	-3.271897
78	1		õ	2.524368	-6.667370	-3.289984
79	1		0	-3.350272	-3.404167	3.179630
80	1		0	-1.023987	-2.632113	3.034532
81	1		0	-2.228678	-6.647558	-1.194089
5∠ 83	1		0	-4.3/4959	-1.349833	-1.U012U6
84	1		0	-5.193777	-4.793441	2.339298
85	1		0	1.386629	-1.887462	-2.406481
86	1		0	1.985741	-2.681667	-0.936416
87	1		0	1.000996	-2.027948	2.697132
88	1		0	2.268208	-2.210939	1.490691

# Table S5.

again. All MD calculations were performed with Forcite in Materials Studio, and force field COMPASS was used. All the structures obtained were optimized with the same force field.

For calculations of a monomer on Au(111), the DFT optimized *anti*-structure was used as an initial structure, and *syn*-conformer on Au(111) surface was obtained as a stable conformer. The *anti*-conformer on Au(111) surface was also obtained with higher energy (+9.0 kcal/mol). When a mid-cycle temperature was raised to 1500 K, *flat*-conformer was found with lower energy, -22.5 kcal/mol compared with the syn-conformer. For calculations of a dimer on Au(111), the DFT optimized two *syn*-conformers were used as initial structures, and *syn-syn*-dimer on Au(111) surface was obtained as a stable conformer.

See Fig. S18 and Fig. S19.

#### Interaction energies

Each total energies of *syn-*, *anti-*, and *flat-*conformers on Au(111) surface ( $E_{total\_syn}$ ,  $E_{total\_anti}$ , and  $E_{total\_flat}$ ) were -19016.6, -19007.6, and -19039.0 kcal/mol, respectively. The following energies were obtained as a single point energy calculation with the same force field.

The energy of Au (111) ( $E_{surface\_monomer}$ ) was -19536.0 kcal/mol, those of *syn-*, *anti-*, and *flat-* conformers ( $E_{rmsyn}$ ,  $E_{rmanti}$ , and  $E_{rmflat}$ , respectively) were 714.3, 722.7, and 721.2 kcal/mol, respectively.

The interaction energy between Au(111) and *syn*--conformer ( $E_{surfaceinteraction_syn}$ ) was calculated to be -194.92 kcal/mol based on the following equation:

$$E_{\text{surfaceinteraction syn}} = E_{\text{total syn}} - (E_{\text{surface monomer}} + E_{\text{syn}}).$$

The interaction energy between Au(111) and *anti*- and *flat*-conformer ( $E_{surfaceinteraction\_anti}$ ,  $E_{surfaceinteraction\_flat}$ ) was calculated with the same method to be -194.3 and -244.2 kcal/mol, respectively.

The surface interaction energy for *syn*- or *anti*-conformers were at the same level ( $E_{surfaceinteraction_syn} = -194.9$  kcal/mol,  $E_{surfaceinteraction_anti} = -194.3$  kcal/mol). The difference in stability of *syn*- and *anti*-conformers on Au(111) originates from the difference in molecular deformation energy ( $E_{anti} - E_{syn} = +8.4$  kcal/mol higher than *syn*-conformer) rather than interaction energy ( $E_{surfaceinteraction_anti} - E_{surfaceinteraction_syn} = +0.6$  kcal/mol more unfavorable for the *anti*-conformer). In another words, to obtain similar interaction with Au(111) surface, anti-conformer needed to be

deformed. On the other hand, the stability of *flat*-conformer mainly originate from the large surface interaction energy ( $E_{surfaceinteraction_flat} - E_{surfaceinteraction_syn} = -29.3$  kcal/mol more favorable than *syn*-conformer) rather than molecular deformation energy ( $E_{flat} - E_{syn} = +6.9$  kcal/mol higher than *syn*-conformer).

Total energy of *syn-syn* dimer on Au(111) surface ( $E_{total}$ ) was -42912.6 kcal/mol. The following energies were obtained as a single point energy calculation with the same force field. The energy of Au (111) ( $E_{surface}$ ) was -43945.7 kcal/mol, that of *syn-syn* dimer ( $E_{syn-syn-dimer}$ ) is 1438.2 kcal/mol, those of each *syn*-conformers ( $E_{syn-conformer-1}$  and  $E_{syn-conformer-2}$ ) are 724.3 kcal/mol and 724.3, respectively. The interaction energy between Au(111) and *syn-syn* dimers ( $E_{surfaceinteraction}$ ) was calculated to be -405.1 kcal/mol and that between *syn-syn* dimers ( $E_{molecularinteraction}$ ) was to be -10.4 kcal/mol based on the following equations:

$$E_{\text{surfaceinteraction}} = E_{\text{total}} - (E_{\text{surface}} + E_{\text{syn-syn-dimer}})$$
$$E_{\text{molecularinteraction}} = E_{\text{syn-syn-dimer}} - (E_{\text{syn-conformer-1}} + E_{\text{syn-conformer-2}})$$

The formation of syn-syn dimer is more favorable than being two syn-monomers, by the energy of  $E = (E_{\text{total}} - E_{\text{surface}})/2 - (E_{\text{total}_{syn}} - E_{\text{surface}_{m}\text{onomer}}) = -2.8 \text{ kcal/mol}$ . The formation of syn-syn dimer (in vacuum) is estimated to be  $E_{\text{syn-syn-dimer}}/2 - E_{\text{syn}} = +4.8 \text{ kcal/mol}$  more unfavorable compared with being monomers. On the other hand, surface interaction energy became  $E_{\text{surfaceinteraction}}/2 - E_{\text{surfaceinteraction}_{syn}} = -7.6 \text{ kcal/mol}$  more favorable for formation of dimers. As a result, each syn-conformers are E = -7.6 + 4.8 = -2.8 kcal/mol more favorable as a dimer compared with being monomers.

## Conformation transformations by forcible molecule manipulation

Here we provide more details and examples of lateral single molecule manipulation protocol in a mechanical mode to succeed to prepare one by one single planar BBD molecules are presented and used in the manuscript.

See Fig. S20.

#### Inelastic electronic tunneling effect

After some of the inelastic electronic tunneling excitation of a BBD molecule by locating the STM tip apex on the highest electronic probability density site of a BBD molecule, we have



Fig. S18. (a, d) anti-, (b, e) syn-, (c, f) flat-BBD on Au(111) layers simulated by MD calculations.



**Fig. S19.** syn-syn-BBDs on Au(111) layers simulated by MD calculations.



**Fig. S20.** Three examples of conformation manipulation. (a)-(b) syn- to flat-conformation transformation given by single forcible manipulation. (c)-(e) and (f)-(h) conformation transformations via a metastable state having one paddle to be flat and other one staying syn-like form. (d) and (g) taken just after manipulations indicate that this metastable conformation is transformed instantly to full flat-conformation at the dotted scan lines shown in (e) and (h) recorded continued scan after (d) and (g) respectively. Here dot lines are boundaries imaging different states of molecule before and after this transformation. In the last part of scan (d), another unexpected event occurs to separate unnecessary molecule from flat one. (i) tip height profiles during each manipulation and the corresponding interpretation of each manipulation signal.

observed a clear conformation change of one of the two paddle of the BBD molecule. This change can be noticed by a different lateral extension and apparent height of the corresponding paddle (0.04 nm in height). The exact local transformation of this paddle molecular geometry is now under investigation. Notice that due to the stability of our LT-UHV 4 STM and to the cleanness of the STM tip apex end, this image difference is not due to a tip effect.

See Fig. S21.



**Fig. S21.** The change from a flat to a syn/flat like conformation induced by inelastic electronic tunneling excitations. (a) The flat conformation of the BBD molecule before excitation. The red dot is indicating the highest electronic probability density location of first excited states of the BBD molecule where the STM tip apex was positioned during the excitation. (b) The syn/flat conformation after this excitation. The conformation change from (a) to (b) occurs opposite to the excited paddle (right paddle surrounded by yellow dotted line). (c) Line scan profiles joining the highest points of both-end paddles before (blue) and after (red) excitation.

- \* E-mail: we-hyo.soe@cemes.fr
- <sup>†</sup> Present Address: Department of Chemical Engineering, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan.
- <sup>‡</sup> E-mail: Nakanishi.Waka@nims.go.jp.
- <sup>1</sup> Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M; Toyota, K. et al. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- <sup>2</sup> Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Exchange-Energy Approximation with Correct Asymptotic Behavior. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098-3100.
- <sup>3</sup> Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Thermochemistry. III. The Role of Exact Exchange. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- <sup>4</sup> Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789.
- <sup>5</sup> Ditchfield, R.; Hehre, W. J.; Pople, J. A. Self-Consistent Molecular-Orbital Methods. IX. An Extended Gaussian-Type Basis for Molecular-Orbital Studies of Organic Molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 1971, 54, 724-728.
- <sup>6</sup> Hehre, W. J.; Ditchfield, R.; Pople, J. A. Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. XII. Further Extensions of Gaussian-Type Basis Sets for Use in Molecular Orbital Studies of Organic Molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 1972, 56, 2257-2261.
- <sup>7</sup> Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. Accuracy of AH<sub>n</sub> Equilibrium Geometries by Single Determinant Molecular
   Orbital Theory. Mol. Phys. 1974, 27, 209-214.
- <sup>8</sup> Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. The Influence of Polarization Functions on Molecular Orbital Hydrogenation Energies. Theor. Chem. Acc. 1973, 28, 213-222.
- <sup>9</sup> Hochstrasser, R. M. The Effect of Intramolecular Twisting on the Emission Spectra of Hindered Aromatic Molecules. Can. J. Chem. 1960, 39, 459-470.