

## Environmental factors controlling soil organic carbon stability in French forest soils

Laure Soucémarianadin, Lauric Cécillon, Bertrand Guenet, Claire Chenu,

François Baudin, Manuel Nicolas, Cyril Girardin, Pierre Barré

### ▶ To cite this version:

Laure Soucémarianadin, Lauric Cécillon, Bertrand Guenet, Claire Chenu, François Baudin, et al.. Environmental factors controlling soil organic carbon stability in French forest soils. Plant and Soil, 2018, 426 (1-2), pp.267-286. 10.1007/s11104-018-3613-x . hal-01744147

## HAL Id: hal-01744147 https://hal.science/hal-01744147v1

Submitted on  $27~\mathrm{Mar}~2018$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Environmental factors controlling soil organic carbon stability in French forest
2	soils
3	
4	
5	Laure N. Soucémarianadin <sup>1,*</sup> , Lauric Cécillon <sup>2</sup> , Bertrand Guenet <sup>3</sup> , Claire Chenu <sup>4</sup> , François
6	Baudin <sup>5</sup> , Manuel Nicolas <sup>6</sup> , Cyril Girardin <sup>4</sup> and Pierre Barré <sup>1</sup>
7	
8	<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire de Géologie, PSL Research University, CNRS-ENS UMR8538, Paris, France
9	<sup>2</sup> Université Grenoble Alpes, Irstea, UR LESSEM, St-Martin-d'Hères, France
10	<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-
11	UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
12	<sup>4</sup> AgroParisTech-INRA, UMR ECOSYS, Thiverval-Grignon, France
13	<sup>5</sup> Sorbonne-Université/UPMC, ISTeP, Paris, France
14	<sup>6</sup> Office National des Forêts, R&D, Fontainebleau, France
15	
16	* Corresponding author: Laure Soucémarianadin, souce@geologie.ens.fr
17	Laboratoire de Géologie (UMR 8538) Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 Rue Lhomond 75231
18	Paris CEDEX 5, France; phone: +331 44 32 22 94; fax: +331 44 32 22 00
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Type: Regular article

#### 24 Abstract

25 Aims

In temperate forests, soils contain a large part of the ecosystem carbon that can be partially
lost or gained upon global change. Our aim was to identify the factors controlling soil organic
carbon (SOC) stability in a wide part of French forests.

29

#### 30 Methods

31 Using a set of soils from 53 French forest sites, we assessed the effects of depth (up to 1 m),

32 soil class (dystric Cambisol; eutric Cambisol; entic Podzol), vegetation types (deciduous;

33 coniferous) and climate (continental influence; oceanic influence; mountainous influence) on

34 SOC stability using indicators derived from laboratory incubation, physical fractionation and
 35 thermal analysis.

36

#### 37 **Results**

Labile SOC pools decreased while stable SOC pool increased with depth. Soil class also
significantly influenced SOC stability. Eutric Cambisols had less labile SOC in surface layers
but had more labile SOC at depth (> 40 cm) than the other soil classes. Vegetation influenced
thermal indicators of SOC pools mainly in topsoils (0–10 cm). Mountainous climate forest
soils had a low thermal SOC stability.

43

#### 44 Conclusions

On top of the expected effect of depth, this study also illustrates the noticeable effect of soil
class on SOC stability. It suggests that environmental variables should be included when
mapping climate regulation soil service.

48

49

50	Keywords: forest soils, particulate organic matter fractionation, pedology, Rock-Eval 6, soil
51	basal respiration, soil organic carbon persistence
52	
53	Abbreviations: soil organic carbon (SOC), Rock-Eval 6 (RE6), particulate organic matter
54	(POM), Oxygen index (OI <sub>RE6</sub> ), Hydrogen index (HI), Hydrocarbons (HC)
55	
56	

#### 57 Introduction

Forest ecosystems play a central role in the global carbon (C) cycle with their high potential 58 59 for atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2000; Smith 60 et al. 2014). About half of the terrestrial C sink is indeed located in forests (Canadell et al. 61 2007) and forest soils in particular store around 398 Pg C (Kindermann et al. 2008). The 62 temperate biome holds a quarter of the world's forests (Tyrrell et al. 2012) and soils in 63 temperate forests have twice as much carbon as the vegetation (Jarvis et al. 2005). Temperate 64 forest soils have also constituted a C sink for over two decades (Pan et al. 2011; Tyrrell et al. 65 2012) with parts of the European—and particularly French (Jonard et al. 2017)—forest soils being particularly efficient at sequestering C (e.g., Nabuurs et al., 2008). The contribution of 66 67 deep soil to soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks has been previously highlighted (Jobbágy and 68 Jackson 2000; Rumpel and Kögel-Knabner 2010), however there is still a lack of data on 69 deep/subsoil mineral (> 30 cm depth) SOC stocks (*e.g.*, Tyrrell et al. 2012). 70 SOC is made up of very heterogeneous compounds (Amundson 2001; Trumbore 1997) with 71 turnover times ranging from a few days/weeks to several centuries and, for modelling

72 purposes, can be roughly divided into active (labile), intermediate and passive (persistent)

73 SOC kinetic pools.

74 Labile SOC is the most likely to be quickly affected by climate or land-use changes (Carter et 75 al. 1998; Zhang et al. 2007), thus potentially contributing further to global warming. Moreover, because of the central role of the SOC labile pool in short-to medium-term nutrient 76 77 availability and soil structural stability (Wander 2004), its evolutions could have major effects 78 on biomass (food/timber/etc.) production. Conversely when considering SOC long-term 79 storage and possible sequestration, soils in which most of the total SOC is stable will perform 80 better than soils with a greater proportion of their total SOC as labile SOC (Jandl et al. 2007; 81 Lorenz and Lal 2010; Prescott 2010). It is thus essential to determine how much labile and 82 persistent SOC are present in soils. 83 Despite being of such interest, there is still no standard technique to assess SOC stability but a 84 set of complementary techniques are available. Respiration measurements and particulate 85 organic matter (POM) quantification obtained by various methods of fractionation (density 86 only / density + particle-size) (von Lützow et al. 2007) have been used for decades and are 87 traditional metrics of SOC lability. Although the respired-C and POM-C fractions both 88 represent a labile SOC pool, the former corresponds to a smaller SOC pool with a shorter 89 mean residence time (usually < 1 year for temperate in-situ conditions) (Feng et al. 2016)

90 while the latter corresponds to a larger SOC pool with a longer mean residence time (usually

< 20 year for temperate conditions (*e.g.*, Balesdent 1996; Trumbore et al. 1996). This longer
residence time may result from interactions with the soil structure; part of the POM-C fraction
being occluded in micro-aggregates and protected from microbial respiration for longer time
scales (Six et al. 2002). The mean residence time of the POM-C fraction can also exceed 20

95 years, especially in cold and mountainous areas (Leifeld et al. 2009) or in areas affected by

96 wildfires where the POM-C fraction may contain large amounts of pyrogenic carbon with

97 residence time in soils greater than the mean residence time of total SOC. Nevertheless, it has 98 been shown that the POM-C fraction of temperate and mountainous soils of agroecosystems 99 correspond roughly to the resistant material pools (RPM) of the RothC model (Zimmerman et 100 al. 2007), which has a turnover rate of 3 years (Coleman and Jenkinson 1999). In this paper, 101 the respired-C fraction will be referred to as the highly-labile SOC pool and the POM-C 102 fraction will be termed labile SOC pool. 103 Thermal analysis techniques have also been used to characterize soil organic matter (SOM) 104 stability (e.g., Plante et al. 2009). Among them, Rock-Eval 6 (RE6) analysis has shown

105 promising results in the determination of SOM biogeochemical stability (Barré et al. 2016;

106 Gregorich et al. 2015; Saenger et al. 2015; Sebag et al. 2016). RE6-derived parameters are

107 reliable indicators of the stable SOC pool (Barré et al. 2016; Cécillon et al. 2018) and can be a

108 useful complement to the aforementioned usual indicators of the labile SOC pool

109 (Soucémarianadin et al. 2018). Specifically, one RE6-derived parameter, T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub>, which

110 corresponds to the temperature at which 50% of the hydrocarbons released as pyrolysis

111 effluents during SOM pyrolysis have evolved, was linked to the highly-labile and the labile

112 SOC pools (Gregorich et al. 2015; Soucémarianadin et al., 2018). In French forest soils,

113  $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$  was shown to be strongly and negatively correlated to the POM-C fraction but not

114 to the respired-C fraction (Soucémarianadin et al. 2018). T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub> could thus be used as an

115 indicator of the labile SOC pool defined above, similarly to the POM-C fraction. Another

116 RE6-derived parameter, the temperature at which 50% of the CO<sub>2</sub> resulting from SOM

117 thermal oxidation has evolved ( $T_{50_{CO2}_{OX}}$ ) was positively related to an increasing proportion

118 of persistent SOC (Barré et al. 2016; Cécillon et al. 2018) and to a POM-C depletion in

temperate forest soils (Soucémarianadin et al. 2018). T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub> could thus be used as an

120 indicator of the stable SOC pool with mean residence times greater than several decades (>

121 50–100 years; Cécillon et al. 2018).

122 Few studies have assessed the factors controlling SOC stability over large areas. Several 123 recent studies have highlighted the importance of parent material and soil type on SOC 124 content and stability, SOC in deep soil layers being generally more stable compared to surface 125 SOC (Barré et al. 2017; Mason et al. 2016; Mathieu et al. 2015; Mulder et al. 2015). Camino-126 Serrano et al. (2014) reported a larger highly labile SOC pool (based on concentrations of 127 dissolved organic carbon; DOC) in soils types characterized by a very acidic pH than in more 128 basic soils, especially in the subsoil layers below 20 cm depth. Considering croplands and 129 grasslands in Germany, Vos et al. (2017) showed that sandy soils had a larger labile SOC pool 130 (POM-C fraction) than soils with finer texture.

131 Land cover and vegetation type have also been shown to strongly control SOC stability.

132 Wiesmeier et al. (2014) found lower proportions of stable SOC pool in Bavarian forests

133 compared to grasslands or croplands, confirming results across Europe that showed that

134 afforestation of cropland and grassland generally decreased SOC stability (Poeplau and Don

135 2013). In the temperate forests of Bavaria, vegetation type was also shown to control SOC

136 stability, coniferous forests having higher labile SOC proportions than deciduous and mixed

137 forests (Wiesmeier et al. 2014). Similar results were obtained for the highly labile SOC pool

138 with lower dissolved organic carbon concentrations in broadleaved forests than coniferous

139 forests (Camino-Serrano et al. 2014). Variations of soil respiration were also observed at the

140 species level (*e.g.*, three species of oaks; You et al. 2016).

141 Regarding climate, both global and more local studies have highlighted the strong positive

- 142 relationship with precipitation and the negative effect of temperature on SOC quantity
- 143 (Jobbágy and Jackson, 2000; Paul et al., 2002; Callesen et al., 2003; Wiesmeier et al. 2013).
- 144 Labile OM and particularly the POM-C fraction, has been shown to dominate in soils located
- 145 at higher elevations and experiencing colder mean annual temperatures (*e.g.*, Leifeld et al.
- 146 2009; Sjögersten et al. 2011). Considering over 300 forested sites, higher DOC concentrations

(highly labile SOC pool) were found in temperate sites than boreal and tropical sites (CaminoSerrano et al. 2014). To the exception of the work of Wiesmeier et al. (2014), we are not
aware of large scale studies that would consider both the highly labile, labile and stable SOC
pools and devoted to forest soils, despite their large SOC stocks.

The objectives of the study were thus to assess the importance of various environmental factors, soil depth, soil class, vegetation type and climate class in controlling the stability of SOC in forest soils. To this purpose, we used a set of complementary techniques, namely the Rock-Eval 6 thermal analysis, POM separation by size and density and a laboratory 10-week incubation, and applied them to a large set of French forest soil samples that covers a large pedoclimatic variability, a wide tree species diversity and includes deep samples (up to 1 meter).

158 We hypothesized that 1/ SOC stability would vary with depth with surface soil layers

159 containing more labile SOC while deep soil layers would contain more stable SOC; 2/

160 vegetation type would influence SOC stability mostly in surface soil layers (with higher rates

161 of C input from plants), SOC being more labile in topsoils of coniferous forests; 3/ soil class

162 would influence SOC stability mostly in medium/deep soil layers (below 20 cm); and 4/

163 climate would influence SOC stability and SOC in mountainous plots would be more labile.

164

#### 165 Material and methods

166 a. Sites and soil samples

167 We considered forest mineral soil samples from 53 permanent forest sites of the French

168 national network for the long term monitoring of forest ecosystems ("RENECOFOR"),

169 established in 1992 (Ulrich 1995) by the National Forest Service (ONF;

170 <u>http://www.onf.fr/renecofor</u>) as a part of the European ICP-FORESTS (<u>http://icp-forests.net/</u>)

171 level 2 network (Fig. 1a). Our selected sites are variable in terms of climate (continental

172 influence; oceanic influence; mountainous influence; with mean annual precipitation MAP 173 and mean annual temperature MAT ranging between 703-1894 mm and 4.8-12.3 °C 174 respectively for the 1971–2000 period), soil type with a class constituted of soils related to 175 entic Podzols, another class constituted of eutric and epileptic Cambisols as well as Calcisols 176 and a last class constituted of dystric and hyperdystric Cambisols (IUSS Working Group 177 2015) and forest vegetation with coniferous [silver fir (Abies alba Mill.); Norway spruce 178 (Picea abies (L.) H. Karst.); European larch (Larix decidua Mill.); Scots pine (Pinus 179 sylvestris L.)] and deciduous [beech (Fagus sylvatica L.); sessile (Quercus petraea (Matt.) 180 Liebl.) and/or pedunculate oaks (Quercus robur L.)] stands. Stands are even-aged. 181 At each site, samples representing five soil layers were obtained (0-10 cm, 10-20 cm, 20-40 182 cm, 40-80 cm and 80-100 cm; Fig. 1b). Samples of the first three top soil layers were 183 collected, at each depth, as 5 (replicates; pooled together on site)  $\times$  5 (sub-plots) sampling points over the 5000 m<sup>2</sup> central plot, by progressively digging a 50 cm wide soil profile 184 185 (Jonard et al. 2017; Ponette et al. 1997). This sampling campaign took place between 2007 186 and 2012. Samples of the two deeper soil layers were taken from two soil pits located just 187 outside the central plot and collected in 1994–1995 (Brêthes et al. 1997). 188 Bulk soils were air-dried and stored in plastic buckets right after sampling. One liter of soil of 189 each layer was retrieved for this study by isovolumetrically pooling the samples of the 5 190 subplots (200 mL each) for the first three layers (0-40 cm) and the 2 faces of the 2 soil pits 191 (250 mL each) for the two deepest layers (40–100 cm). The pooled samples were sieved at 2 192 mm before analysis.

193

194 b. Elemental analysis

195 Bulk < 2 mm-sieved soil samples were ground ( $< 250 \mu$ m; ultra-centrifugal mill ZM 200,

196 Retsch Gmbh) and organic carbon and total nitrogen concentrations were determined by dry

197 combustion with an elemental analyzer (CHN NA 1500, Carlo Elba). Samples with carbonates (total CaCO<sub>3</sub> =  $3.5-835 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) were first decarbonated following the same 198 199 protocol as Harris et al. (2001). Briefly, 30 mg of ground samples were weighed in 5 mm  $\times$  9 200 mm silver boats followed by the addition of 50  $\mu$ L of distilled water. The boats were put in a glass bell jar, next to a beaker containing 100 mL of concentrated HCl (12 mol· $L^{-1}$ ). The air 201 202 in the jar was evacuated and samples let to sit in this HCl-saturated atmosphere to allow the 203 acid to dissolve water and hydrolyze the carbonates for 8 h. Then, the decarbonated samples 204 were dried at 60 °C in the silver boats for at least 48 h. Silver boats were further placed in 10 205  $mm \times 10$  mm tin boats and analyzed for C and N.

206 POM fractions (see subsection d) were ground with a ball mill (mixer mill MM 200, Retsch

207 Gmbh) or a mortar and pestle when the sample mass was less than 0.05 g. Carbon

208 concentration was determined as for the bulk soil.

209

210 c. Respiration test

211 For each sample, 20 g of 2 mm-sieved soil were transferred in a 120 mL glass-flask and re-

humected at pF 2.5 (-0.033 MPa), which had been previously determined using a 5 Bar

213 pressure plate extractor (#1600, Soilmoisture Equipment Corp.). The flasks were fitted with

aluminum seals with PTFE-faced silicone septa to allow for headspace gas sampling and

215 placed inside an incubator (AE240 BIO EXPERT, Froilabo SAS) kept at 20 °C for 10 weeks

following a two-week period pre-incubation to allow the samples microbial activity to

217 stabilize (data not included).

218 Headspace gases were sampled at 1 to 2-week intervals during the 10-week incubation period

and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were determined using an Agilent 490 micro-gas chromatograph

220 equipped with the OpenLAB Chromatography Data System EZChrom software.

221 When  $CO_2$  concentrations had reached 2.5–3% or was expecting to do so before the next 222 measurement, and/or when the cap had been pierced with the needle four times, flasks were 223 opened and flushed with fresh and moist air to return CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations to ambient levels to 224 avoid anoxia (while maintaining the moisture content), before returning them to the incubator. 225 The CO<sub>2</sub> released during the incubation experiment was expressed as the cumulated soil 226 microbial respiration rate (basal respiration) from the initial SOC content over the 10-week 227 period, or the 10-week mineralizable SOC (respired-C) and reported as a percentage of total 228 SOC to account for differences in the C content of the various layers and sites. Respired-C 229 was used as indicator of the highly labile SOC pool with mean residence time below 1 year. 230

231 d. Particle size and density SOC fractionation

232 To isolate the particulate organic matter (POM) fraction, samples were first dried at 50 °C for 233 24 h before weighing 25 g and transferred them in polyethylene (PE) 250 mL flasks. We then 234 added 180 mL of 0.5% sodium hexametaphostate solution and ten 5 mm-diameter glass beads 235 before shaking the samples overnight (50 rpm; 16 h) on an overhead shaker (Reax 2, 236 Heidolph). Samples were thoroughly rinsed over a 50-µm mesh with deionized water. The 237 sand fraction was then transferred back to a dry PE flask with a sodium polytungstate (SPT) solution of density =  $1.6 \pm 0.03$  g·cm<sup>-3</sup> (Crow et al. 2007; Golchin et al. 1994) and solution 238 239 was added up to around 180 mL. The flasks were shaken overhead by hand 10 times and 240 samples were left overnight to settle down after the cap of the flask was rinsed with the SPT 241 solution. The floating material was collected with a spatula and placed over a 50-µm mesh 242 sieve. If necessary some SPT solution was added back to the flask and the previous step was 243 repeated. This time, samples were placed in a centrifuge for 30 minutes to accelerate the 244 separation (2750 rpm or 1250 g). The floating material was again collected with the spatula or pipetted depending on the amount left. This step was repeated if the light fraction was 245

abundant. If not, samples were left to settle down overnight before one last collection. The
POM fraction on the sieve was thoroughly rinsed with deionized water throughout the whole
process. The sieves and fractions were then placed in the oven at 50 °C for 24 h before being
weighed. To account for differences in the C content of the different samples, we calculated
the proportion of OC in the POM fraction (POM-C), expressed as a percentage of total SOC.
POM-C was used as indicator of the labile SOC pool with mean residence time generally up
to 20 years.

253

255

e. Thermal analysis: Rock-Eval 6

256 Technologies, France). Details about the equipment have been previously published (Behar et

The thermal analysis of the samples was performed with a Rock-Eval 6 turbo device (Vinci

al. 2001). We adapted the procedure developed for the analysis of SOM by Disnar et al.

258 (2003). Briefly, about 60 mg of ground sample were exposed to two consecutive thermal

treatments, first in a pyrolysis oven (200–650 °C; thermal ramping rate of 30 °C·min<sup>-1</sup>; under

260 N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere) then in a combustion oven (300–850 °C; thermal ramping rate of

261 20 °C·min<sup>-1</sup>; under laboratory air atmosphere). At the beginning of the pyrolysis, there was an

isothermal step (at 200 °C) during  $\approx$  200 seconds during which the free hydrocarbons (HC)

263 were thermovaporized (S1 peak). The pyrolysis effluents (mostly HC) were detected and

264 quantified with flame ionization detection, while CO and CO<sub>2</sub> were quantified by infrared

265 detection during both the pyrolysis and oxidation stages (Online Resource 1).

266 Two standard RE6 parameters describing SOC bulk chemistry were determined: the hydrogen

and oxygen indices (HI and OI<sub>RE6</sub>). The HI index corresponds to the amount of hydrocarbons

formed during thermal pyrolysis of the sample (HC evolved between 200 and 650 °C minus

269 the S1 peak) divided by the total SOC content of the sample and is expressed in mg  $HC \cdot g^{-1}$ 

270 SOC. It describes the relative enrichment/depletion of SOC in hydrogen-rich moieties. The

271 OI<sub>RE6</sub> index describes the relative oxidation status of SOC. It was calculated using the

equation proposed by Lafargue et al. (1998):

273  $OI_{RE6} = 16 / 28 \times OI_{CO} + 32 / 44 \times OI_{CO2}$ (equation 3) 274 Where OI<sub>CO2</sub> corresponds to the CO<sub>2</sub> yielded during thermal pyrolysis of the sample between 275 200 and 400 °C divided by the total SOC of the sample and OI<sub>CO</sub> corresponds to the CO 276 yielded during thermal pyrolysis between 200 and 400–650 °C (wherever a minimum of CO 277 production is observed; in the absence of a minimum, the default upper-limit temperature is 278 set at 550 °C) divided by the total SOC of the sample. Thus  $OI_{RE6}$  is expressed in mg  $O_2 \cdot g^{-1}$ 279 SOC. 280 We derived two additional RE6 parameter describing the thermal stability of SOC: (i) 281  $T_{50 \text{ HC PYR}}$ , the temperature at which 50% of the HC resulting from the SOM pyrolysis had 282 evolved and (ii) T<sub>50</sub> co<sub>2</sub> ox, the temperature at which 50% of the CO<sub>2</sub> resulting from the SOM 283 oxidation had evolved. The upper limit temperature for the integration of this signal was set at 284 611 °C to obtain a total CO<sub>2</sub> signal evolved from pure OM without interference of carbonates. 285 T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub> was used as an indicator of the labile SOC pool with mean residence time 286 generally up to 20 years (negative correlation with the labile SOC pool according to 287 Gregorich et al. (2015) and Soucémarianadin et al. (2018)), while T<sub>50 CO2 OX</sub> was used as an 288 indicator of the stable SOC pool with mean residence time typically greater than 50-100289 years, following Barré et al. (2016) and Cécillon et al. (2018). Signal processing of the RE6

290 thermograms, *i.e.*, signal integration and calculation of  $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$  and  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ , was

291 performed with the R environment software v.3.3 (R Core Team 2016) using the hyperSpec

292 (Beleites and Sergo 2015) and pracma (Borchers 2015) R packages.

#### 294 f. Calculations and statistical analyses

295 For the respiration test, samples with very low C content (< 0.2%) were not considered as the 296 C respired during the incubation period was too close to the limit of detection for reliable 297 determination. For the thermal analysis, we used a C content threshold of 0.1% and manually 298 inspected the pyrolysis thermograms for samples with  $0.1\% \le C$  content  $\le 0.25\%$  to make 299 sure of the validity of the RE6 data (by assessing the shape of the signal). This resulted in the 300 selection of n = 46 / 50 and n = 31 / 33 samples for the soil layers 40–80 cm and 80–100 cm 301 and the two methods respectively, leading to a total n = 236 for respiration test and n = 242302 for RE6 (Fig. 1b). Because POM fractionation is time-consuming, we analyzed only the soil 303 layers 0-10 cm and 40-80 cm (Fig. 1b). At two sites, soil was too shallow (< 40 cm) and no 304 sample was therefore collected for the 40-80 cm layer, and we used the same C threshold as 305 for the RE6 to select the POM samples, which lead to n = 103. Out of the 236 samples 306 considered for the respiration test, 35 had a CaCO<sub>3</sub> content over 5% (5.2-82.0%). We tested 307 the correlation between respired-C and CaCO<sub>3</sub> content and, as it was not significant, decided 308 to proceed with the statistical analysis with all the samples. 309 Basic soil parameters (pH, texture, cation exchange capacity) were previously published in 310 Ponette et al. (1997). Average values are reported in Table 1 as well as the C content and C/N 311 ratio measured on the composite samples from this study. Because we used isovolumetric 312 pooled sampled, we saw appropriate to use average values of the 5 replicates  $\times$  5 sub-plots. 313 This was confirmed by the results we obtained for the C content (Online Resource 2). 314 Relationships between the indicators of SOC stability and soil physico-chemical properties as 315 well as climatic data (MAT and MAP) were estimated using Spearman rank correlation as the 316 data did not meet the assumption of normality. Correlations were also performed on different 317 sets of samples for the different indicators (233 samples were included for the respiration test, 318 242 for the RE6 comparison and 103 for the POM fractionation).

A principal component of analysis (PCA) was performed to illustrate linear relations between the indicators derived from the 3 methods at two different depths: 0–10 cm and 40–80 cm. For that purpose, data were log-transformed, centred and scaled. To determine the number of principal components to select, we looked at the percentage of the total variance explained and used a scree plot and Kaiser's criterion. We projected the physico-chemical and climatic variables on the circle of correlations to see if any of those was associated with either of the principal components and could thus be associated with SOC stability.

326 The statistical analysis to determine the driving factors of SOC stability was performed in two 327 steps: first over the complete soil profile and then on each soil layer individually. We used 328 multivariate models to assess the effects of the different environmental factors on the RE6-329 based parameters and respiration test and POM fractionation results. For this "analysis by soil 330 profile", we used linear mixed models introducing a random intercept for each site ( $\approx$  to treat 331 "site" as random effect) to take into account that the different layers constituted repeated 332 measures (increasing depth within a same RENECOFOR site). To do so we added the 333 compound symmetry structure, which is similar to the variance structure of random-intercept-334 only model, to a generalized least squares function (that fits a linear model using generalized 335 least squares; (Pinheiro et al. 2016)). Model selection was then implemented with a top-down 336 strategy. The response variables were transformed, to the exception of  $T_{50 \text{ HC PYR}}$  and 337  $T_{50 CO2 OX}$ , using the Box-Cox transformation technique (log<sub>10</sub> for POM-C and (respired-C + 338 1)), as they showed evidence of the variance increasing with the mean response. After 339 transformation, the residuals followed an approximate normal distribution. 340 To explore further the effects of soil classes, vegetation types and climatic zones within each 341 layer, we then conducted three-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) "by layer" with type II 342 analysis, when the interactions were not significant. Data were not transformed except for 343 respired-C. Multiple comparison tests were performed with Tukey's honest significant

344 differences (to get adjusted *p*-values for all comparisons) and pairwise t-test (no adjustment

method). All comparisons were considered significant at an alpha value ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.05.

346 All statistical analyses were performed using the R 3.3 statistical software (R Core Team

347 2016) with the factoextra (Kassambara and Mundt 2016), nlme (Pinheiro et al. 2016), lme4

348 (Bates et al. 2015) and car (Fox and Weisberg 2011) packages.

349

350 Results

a. Highly labile SOC pool: respired-C

352 Depth and soil class significantly influenced variations in soil basal respiration (respired-C) 353 across our 53 study plots. The depth  $\times$  soil interaction was also included in the selected model 354 (p = 0.042; Online Resource 3). The respired-C fraction was on average  $1.46 \pm 0.63\%$  of total 355 SOC (Online Resource 4) with no significant differences among vegetation types (Fig. 3) or 356 climatic zones (Table 2). Respired-C decreased with depth (Fig. 2) but that factor was only 357 marginally significant. Soil class was not significant in the 40–80 cm layer but otherwise entic 358 Podzols had significantly lower respired-C than the two other soil classes (p = 0.0010-0.042; 359 Table 2; Fig. 4).

360

361 b. Labile SOC pool: POM-C and  $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$ 

362 Only depth and soil type significantly affected variations in POM-C across our 53 study plots

363 (Online Resource 3). The labile SOC fraction contained in POM decreased by almost half

from the 0–10 cm layer to the 40–80 cm layer (with respective proportions of  $22.6 \pm 7.3\%$  and

- $11.5 \pm 6.2\%$  of total SOC; Fig. 2). The analysis by layer confirmed that neither climate nor
- 366 vegetation significantly influenced POM-C variations (Table 2; Fig. 3). Eutric Cambisols had

367 significantly less POM-C than entic Podzols in the surface layer and dystric Cambisols at 40–
368 80 cm (Fig. 4; Online Resource 4).

Depth, vegetation type and soil class influenced variations in T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub>, the RE6-derived 369 370 temperature at which 50% of the HC resulting from the SOM pyrolysis had evolved. The 371 three interactions depth  $\times$  soil, depth  $\times$  veg and soil  $\times$  veg were also included in the selected 372 model (Online Resource 3). T<sub>50 HC PYR</sub> significantly increased with depth ( $422 \pm 8$  to  $452 \pm$ 373 13 °C at 0–10 cm and 80–100 cm, respectively; Fig. 2), illustrating the decrease of the labile 374 SOC pool with increasing depth. Eutric Cambisols had significantly higher  $T_{50 HC}$  PYR than 375 dystric Cambisols and entic Podzols in the surface layer but had significantly lower 376  $T_{50 \text{ HC PYR}}$  than the two other soil classes at 20–40 cm depth (Fig. 4; Online Resource 4). 377 Moreover, in the surface layer, samples in deciduous plots had a significantly higher 378 T<sub>50 HC PYR</sub> than those in coniferous plots (427  $\pm$  9 and 417  $\pm$  7 °C, respectively, p < 0.001; 379 Table 2; Fig. 3).

380

381 c. Stable SOC pool: T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub>

382 Depth, vegetation and climate induced significant variations in the temperature at which 50% 383 of the CO<sub>2</sub> resulting from the SOM oxidation had evolved ( $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ ) across our 53 study 384 plots. The depth × climate interaction was also included in the selected model (Online 385 Resource 3).

386 T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub> increased with depth (from  $399 \pm 9$  to  $437 \pm 19$  °C at 0–10 cm and 80–100 cm,

respectively; Fig. 2). Vegetation type was significant only in the top soil layers (0–40 cm)

388 with samples from coniferous plots having a lower  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$  than those in deciduous plots

 $389 \quad (395 \pm 6 \ ^{\circ}C \text{ and } 405 \pm 9 \ ^{\circ}C, \text{ respectively; Fig. 3}).$  Soil class was a significant factor both in

layers 0–10 cm (p = 0.0085) and 40–80 cm (p = 0.0489; Table 2) but with contrasting trend:

391 in the surface layer, eutric Cambisols had the highest  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$  (significantly higher than

entic Podzols) and the lowest  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$  in the 40–80 cm layer (significantly lower than the dystric Cambisols; Fig. 4; Online Resource 4). Climate was a significant factor in all layers: over the whole profile (0–100 cm),  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$  was lower in mountainous plots than in plots located in the two other climate classes ( $p \le 0.0001-0.0159$ ; Table 2; Fig. 5).

396

397 d. Correlations between soil and climate characteristics and the indicators of SOC stability 398 There were only a few significant and strong correlations between the indicators of SOC 399 stability and soil physico-chemical properties (Table 3). Notably POM-C and T<sub>50 HC PYR</sub>, the 400 two indicators of the labile SOC pool, had strong and opposite correlations with HI ( $\rho = 0.67$ 401 and -0.67 respectively) and OI<sub>RE6</sub> ( $\rho = -0.76$  and 0.63 respectively). POM-C was also 402 positively correlated with C/N ratio ( $\rho = 0.61$ ). T<sub>50 CO2 OX</sub> was negatively correlated to the C 403 content ( $\rho = -0.72$ ; Table 3). Respired-C showed no strong correlation with soil or climate 404 characteristics. In our samples we observed no strong correlation for the four indicators of 405 SOC stability with soil texture, pH (although  $\rho = -0.54$  with POM-C) or the climatic 406 characteristics (MAT or MAP; Table 3). 407 Because of the strong "depth effect" on each indicator of SOC stability, we explored the 408 evolution of these correlations within each soil layer and noticed that they also evolved with 409 depth (Online Resource 5). To describe the similarity or dissimilarity in the different 410 indicators of SOC lability, we conducted a principal component analysis (PCA) at the two 411 depths for which POM-C was available (0-10 and 40-80 cm). In the 0-10 cm layer, the first 412 two principal components (PC) explained almost 60% of the total variance (Fig. 6). PC1 413 clearly separated soil samples dominated by highly labile SOC pool from those dominated by 414 labile SOC pool associated with POM-C. Indeed, along PC1, POM-C and respired-C showed 415 moderate to strong negative and positive loadings respectively, while T<sub>50</sub> CO2 OX had moderate 416 positive loadings (Fig. 6). T<sub>50 HC PYR</sub> showed strong negative loadings along PC2, while it had

417 very weak negative loadings along PC1. Results were quite different in the 40–80 cm layer, 418 where the first two principal components (PC) explained approximately 63% of the total 419 variance (Fig. 6). In these deeper samples, PC1 tightly grouped soil samples with high 420 proportion of highly labile SOC pool (respired-C) and those with high proportion of labile 421 SOC pool associated with POM-C with strong positive loadings for both indicators along 422 PC1.  $T_{50_{-}CO2_{-}OX}$  and  $T_{50_{-}HC_{-}PYR}$  had both strong positive loadings along PC2, while they had 423 very weak loadings along PC1.

424 SOC content was not related to any of the indicators of SOC stability in the surface layer, 425 while it was moderately and negatively correlated with POM-C, respired-C and T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub> in 426 the deep layer (Fig. 6; Online Resource 5). In the surface layer, pH was associated with 427 positive values on the first PC (high respired-C), while sand content and soil C/N ratio were 428 associated with negative values on the first PC (high POM-C; Fig. 6; Online Resource 5). HI 429 and OIRE6 were well correlated to the indicators of highly labile and labile SOC pools, 430 specifically in the surface layer. Correlations of the physico-chemical variables with POM-C 431 were slightly lower at depth (Online Resource 5), but below 20 cm depth, all these 432 correlations with T<sub>50 HC PYR</sub> and respired-C (directly with the indicators or with the PCs) had 433 greatly decreased or even disappeared (Online Resource 5). Conversely, T<sub>50 CO2 OX</sub> was not 434 more than weakly correlated with the physico-chemical parameters over the whole profile but 435 its positive correlation with MAT tended to be higher in deeper layers (Online Resource 5; 436 Fig.6).

The PCA biplot displaying the samples based on their soil class (Fig. 6) showed a difference between eutric Cambisol and entic Podzol with the two first PCs in the surface layer (0–10 cm): samples of eutric Cambisols had higher respired-C, lower POM-C and generally higher  $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$  and  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$  than those of entic Podzols.

441 In the deep layer (40–80 cm), the two PCs separated samples of dystric Cambisols from 442 samples of eutric Cambisols. The former were mostly characterized by high respired-C and 443 POM-C values and high values of T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub> and T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub>. The latter had either high values 444 of T<sub>50 HC PYR</sub> and T<sub>50 CO2 OX</sub> with low respired-C and POM-C or low values of T<sub>50 HC PYR</sub> and  $T_{50 CO2 OX}$  with high respired-C and POM-C. The second PC separated samples that had more 445 446 stable SOC (high values on PC2) from those that had less stable SOC (low values on PC2). 447 Dystric Cambisols thus appeared as having more stable SOC than the two other soil classes 448 (Fig. 6).

449

#### 450 Discussion

451 a. Depth is the most discriminating factor of SOC stability

452 In our study sites, depth was the most discriminating factor, affecting significantly all

453 indicators of SOC stability. Indeed, with depth, we observed consistent trends for the

454 indicators of the highly-labile (decrease of respired-C) and the labile (decrease of POM-C and

455 increase of  $T_{50_{HC}PYR}$ ) SOC pools, and an opposite trend for the indicator of the stable SOC

456 pool (increase of  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ ), verifying our first hypothesis.

457 Studies have shown a decrease in POM-C (% of total SOC) with increasing depth down to

458 20–30 cm (Hassink 1995; Schrumpf and Kaiser 2015), down to 50 cm (Diochon and Kellman

459 2009) or down to > 140 cm (Cardinael et al. 2015; Moni et al. 2010). Previous studies have

460 also reported decreasing respired-C with depth during incubations of variable duration (e.g.,

461 Dodla et al. 2012; Gillespie et al. 2014; Schrumpf et al. 2013; Wang and Zhong 2016 with 12

462 days at 22.5 °C, 20 days at 15 °C, 98 days at 25 °C, 60 days at 25 °C, respectively).

463 Variations in soil basal respiration with depth have been related with variations in C dynamics

464 (*e.g.*, Agnelli et al. 2004; Salomé et al. 2010; Wordell-Dietrich et al. 2017).

Labile SOC content usually decreases while stable SOC increases with depth (*e.g.*, Jenkinson et al. 2008; Lorenz and Lal 2005; Mathieu et al. 2015) and this is correlated with longer SOC

467 turnover rates as exemplified by Torn et al. (1997) and Mathieu et al. (2015) who showed

468 strong effects of depth on SOC mean age.

469

470 b. Soil class as a major factor controlling SOC stability

471 Soil class had significant effects on the indicators of the highly-labile (respired-C) and labile

472 (POM-C and T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub>) SOC pools. Contrary to our third hypothesis, these soil effects were

473 not limited to the deeper layers and were indeed present in the surface layer for all four

- 474 indicators of SOC stability (Table 2).
- i) Modulation of the effect of depth by soil class

The effect of depth on SOC stability, *i.e.* the decrease of the labile SOC and concomitant

477 increase in stable SOC was modulated by the soil class. First, the surface (0-10 cm) values of

478 all SOC stability parameters varied among soil classes (Table 2; Fig. 4), surface layers of

479 eutric Cambisols being generally enriched in stable SOC compared to other soil classes. This

480 might be explained by a relative higher stabilization of SOC in the surface layer of the eutric

481 Cambisols that could be due to a faster cycling in relation to lower C/N ratios  $(13.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ vs.})$ 

482 18.4  $\pm$  4.5 for the other two classes) and higher pH (6.2  $\pm$  0.9 vs. 4.3  $\pm$  0.3 for the other two

483 classes), stimulating the mineralization of the more labile SOC and resulting in a more stable

484 SOC overall. SOC stabilisation through Ca-mediated processes (occlusion, inclusion,

485 sorption; Rowley et al., 2018) may also explain the higher SOC stability in surface layers of
486 eutric Cambisols.

487 Then the amplitude of the evolution of SOC stability with depth varied among soil classes

488 (Figure 4). Thus, the higher stability observed in the surface layer of eutric Cambisols had

disappeared by 20–40 cm depth. This modulation of the effect of depth by soil class could be

490 linked to different types of SOM moieties developed by very different pedogenetic processes, 491 eutric Cambisols showing a relatively more oxidized SOC than other soil classes (higher 492 OI<sub>RE6</sub>; specifically down to 40 cm depth). In the deep layer, dystric Cambisols were 493 characterized by high OI<sub>RE6</sub> values, which could be linked to larger stable SOC pools in this 494 soil class, likely associated with more oxidized SOC moieties (Cécillon et al., 2018). 495 Lastly, in our sites, soil class did not significantly affect the indicator of stable SOC 496  $(T_{50 \text{ CO2 OX}}; \text{ at least not for the whole profile model})$ , and the stable SOC pool appeared 497 mostly driven by differences in MAT (specifically at depth; Fig 6; see section d. of the 498 Discussion). This result is seemingly contradictory to the findings of Mathieu et al. (2015) 499 who reported a strong influence of soil type on deep soil mean carbon age. It should be noted 500 that these authors covered a greater soil variability in their study and if we focus on the 3 soil 501 classes considered in our work, their results are similar to ours (*i.e.*, no large difference among 502 the three soil classes). 503 ii) Soil variables explaining the pedological effect on SOC stability 504 Soil type is not often used as an explanatory factor of variations in SOC quality/stability (e.g., 505 Wiesmeier et al. 2014) and physico-chemical properties (e.g., clay content, pH, etc.) are often

506 preferred (*e.g.*, Tian et al. 2016). We thus wondered whether a series of physico-chemical

507 parameters could have summarized the soil class effect on SOC stability.

508 The effect of soil type on the highly-labile and labile SOC pools may be due to differences in

509 soil texture (sand content), pH or C/N ratio (Online Resource 6, Fig. 6). Indeed, a strong

510 effect of soil texture on SOC stability in the topsoil (0–10 cm) has previously been reported in

511 the literature. For instance, just like we did (POM-C in coarse-textured entic Podzols =  $25.1 \pm$ 

- 512 7.6% vs.  $19.2 \pm 5.6\%$  in fine-textured eutric Cambisols; Fig. 4; Online Resource 4), several
- 513 studies have observed a trend of more labile SOC (expressed as POM-C or size of the

514	intermediate SOC pool) in coarser soils (Schiedung et al. 2017; Wiesmeier et al. 2014) or
515	directly linked to the sand fraction (Tian et al. 2016; Vos et al. 2017).
516	In our sites, respired-C was higher in fine-textured soils up to 40 cm depth and was
517	significantly lower in Podzols. Conversely, several studies have reported higher C
518	mineralization rates in sandy soils than in finer-textured soils in various contexts from boreal
519	forests through croplands in Norway and all the way to Brazil (Bauhus et al. 1998; Frøseth
520	and Bleken 2015; Schmatz et al. 2017). These opposite results could originate from various
521	sources, and specifically differences in C/N ratio. For our sites, the topsoil C/N ratio in eutric
522	Cambisols was significantly lower (13.3 $\pm$ 1.5) than in entic Podzols (19.9 $\pm$ 5; Online
523	Resource 4), which could affect the microbial use efficiency during the incubation $(e.g.,$
524	Cotrufo et al. 1995). Differences in pH could be another good explanation. Our entic Podzols
525	and eutric Cambisols had lower and higher pH than the till ( $\approx$ sandy) and clay soils from the
526	Bauhus et al. (1998) study, respectively. It has been shown that a slight increase in pH could
527	significantly increase rates of mineralization (Curtin et al. 1998).
528	All these physico-chemical variables reflect the importance of SOM stoichiometry (C/N ratio)
529	(Ågren et al. 2013; Cleveland and Liptzin 2007) and substrate accessibility (reduced
530	protection via aggregation in sandy soils or increase in dissolved OM with higher pH) for its
531	degradation (Dungait et al. 2012; Schmidt et al. 2011). However the lack of or low to
532	moderate correlations between the different indicators of SOC stability and these soil physico-
533	chemical parameters (texture and pH respectively; Table 3) suggest that there is not one
534	characteristics only responsible for the soil effect we observed or that, at least, they are not
535	valid at all depths of the soil profile as we have shown (Online Resource 5; Fig. 6). There are
536	likely complex interactions, reflecting pedogenetic processes behind this and, in that regard,
537	the soil class is integrative and goes beyond simple soil physico-chemical characteristics, and
538	is thus capable of reflecting variations in SOC stability.

539

c. Vegetation type mostly affects SOC stability in topsoils 540 541 In our study sites, the effect of vegetation type (coniferous forest vs. deciduous forest) on 542 SOC stability was concentrated on the surface layer (0–10 cm), thus validating part of our 543 second hypothesis. Vegetation type significantly influenced both thermal indicators of SOC 544 stability in surface soil layers while the classical indicators of the highly labile (respired-C) 545 and the labile (POM-C) SOC pools were not affected by vegetation. 546 Effects of vegetation on the labile SOC pool have been previously reported, but they were 547 mainly observed at the tree species level (Bauhus et al. 1998; Augusto et al. 2002; Hobbie et 548 al. 2007; Olsen and Van Miegroet 2010; Laganière et al. 2012; Vesterdal et al. 2012; You et 549 al. 2016). Conversely, previous studies have also reported a lack of difference in the highly 550 labile SOC pool (estimated by respired-C) of topsoils in deciduous and coniferous stands 551 (Fissore et al. 2008; Van Miegroet et al. 2005). 552 In our study sites, the surface layer (0–10 cm) of coniferous stands had more labile SOC 553 (lower T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub>) but also less stable SOC (lower T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub>) than in deciduous stands, 554 validating the second part of our second hypothesis. Similar findings were reported in 555 Bavarian forests, where deciduous and mixed stands showed smaller labile SOC and larger 556 stable SOC pools than coniferous stands (Wiesmeier et al. 2014). 557 Deciduous forests indeed tend to rely on a more rapid nutrient cycling between soil and plant 558 (Cole and Rapp 1981). Quideau et al. (2001) showed that oak-derived SOM has undergone 559 extensive oxidation compared with the litter, while SOM under coniferous vegetation became 560 enriched in recalcitrant alkyl C. The authors conclude that deciduous stands were 561 characterized by a high microbial activity and rapid nutrient release whereas the accumulated 562 SOM in coniferous forests had a low bioavailability. The higher pH values of the litter in

563 deciduous stands favour bioturbation and incorporation of OM in surface mineral soil,

564 whereas the more acidic coniferous litter accumulates in the organic layers (*e.g.*, Wiesmeier et

565 al. 2013). These results could also be explained by lower C/N ratios in deciduous plots (e.g., 566 Cools et al. 2014). C/N ratio in deciduous stands  $(15.0 \pm 2.8)$  were indeed lower than in 567 topsoils under coniferous  $(18.4 \pm 5.1)$  and closer to that of the microbial biomass. This 568 difference in C/N ratios between the two vegetation types was more drastic when considering 569 the plant inputs (deciduous =  $46.5 \pm 9.5$ ; coniferous =  $60.9 \pm 16.8$ ; data not shown) and high 570 C/N ratios in litter are often associated with low decomposition rates (Melillo et al. 1982; 571 Norby et al. 2001; Tian et al. 2016). This would result in a higher litter mineralization 572 potential in deciduous stands and because the highly labile/labile pool is utilized more readily 573 in these plots (higher litter C turnover), it would result in a smaller size of the labile pool in 574 deciduous stands and thus a higher T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub>. Indeed, there was a negative and moderate 575 correlation between T<sub>50 HC PYR</sub> and the inputs C/N ratio, but only in the top layer (Online 576 Resource 5). In the long term, the low C/N ratio of the deciduous litters could also explain the 577 higher T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub> through higher SOC stabilization (Berg 2000). This highlights the 578 importance of the bulk chemistry of SOC inputs (Hobbie et al. 2007; You et al. 2016) for 579 SOC cycling. This difference in SOC stability (in the mineral soil) between the two 580 vegetation types has also been mentioned in the review by Augusto et al. (2015) and the 581 reasons of this difference identified as a future research need. 582 The limited effect of vegetation types in our study sites could be linked to species 583 heterogeneity within the two vegetation types and this might be an important limitation of this 584 work. We chose to consider vegetation types and not tree species to obtain a more balanced 585 design (29 plots in coniferous stands and 24 in deciduous stands; Fig. 1a) and our deciduous 586 stands included both beech and oak-dominated forests. Inter-species variations in terms of 587 their characteristics (e.g., aboveground litter composition; roots) and their effects on the soil 588 could explain, at least partially, the limited effects of the (broad) vegetation classes in this 589 study. Some studies have indeed reported an effect of tree species on both in-situ and

booluboratory soil respiration rates (measured over a year) (Hobbie et al. 2007; Vesterdal et al.

591 2012). In oak stands, the respiration rate was greater than in beech stands, but similar to those

592 in spruce stands, illustrating that the deciduous/coniferous dichotomy might be masking some

593 species effects, at least on the labile SOC pool, but quite likely also on the stable SOC pool.

594

595 d. Climatic control of the stable SOC pool

In our study, climate effects on SOC stability were concentrated on the stable SOC pool. Soils located in plots with mountainous climate had higher C content (data not shown) than those in plots in regions with oceanic or continental influence. However this higher concentration was not associated with climate effects on the labile SOC indicators. Nevertheless climate was a strong driver of the stable SOC indicator, SOC being less stable (lower  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ ) in mountainous plots. Our last hypothesis (SOC in mountainous plots would be more labile) was thus only partially verified.

603 In Bavarian forests (Wiesmeier et al. 2014), the passive SOC pool (roughly equivalent to our 604 stable SOC pool) was negatively related to MAP, which agrees with our results as the 605 mountainous plots were the wettest  $(1323 \pm 297 \text{ mm})$  and there were negative correlations 606 between MAP and T<sub>50 CO2 OX</sub> in almost all layers (Online Resource 5). However, unlike us, 607 Wiesmeier et al. (2014) also detected a strong climate effect on the labile SOC as the latter 608 was under the control of both temperature and precipitation, and the most labile SOC was 609 found in mountainous regions. Similarly, Meier and Leuschner (2010) reported more labile 610 SOC when temperature decreased and precipitation increased, while Leifeld et al. (2009) 611 reported more POM-C at higher elevation in grasslands. In our study sites, there were no 612 more than weak correlations between our labile SOC pools and MAT and MAP, even when 613 considering individual layers (Online Resource 5). Nevertheless, it should be noted that the 614 mean elevation of our mountainous plots was 1230 m ( $\pm$  280 m) while Leifeld et al. (2009)

615 had 5 out of their 8 sites located at  $\geq$  1410 m elevation. Finally, this "high elevation" effect on 616 the labile fraction, expressed as POM-C requires caution as, in mountainous regions, lower 617 MAT tend to reduce microbial activity thus favouring SOC accumulation (e.g., Tewksbury 618 and Van Miegroet 2007), even in tropical areas (Araujo et al. 2017). In cold environments, the 619 residence time of this "labile" (as very close to the litter inputs) SOC is much longer than in 620 more temperate climate (Leifeld et al. 2009). In that particular context, the relationship 621 between thermal stability and SOC residence time/turnover may also be questioned and 622 requires further study. 623 Another possible limitation of the present study is that vegetation and climate appeared to be 624 confounded factors in our design with coniferous plots being preferentially found in 625 mountainous regions: our coniferous plots had a mean elevation of 831 m ( $\pm$  476 m) while it

626 was 511 m ( $\pm$  413 m) in the deciduous plots. This had an incidence on the MAT especially

627 (Online Resource 6).

628

629

#### 630 Conclusions

In this study, thanks to a large set of forest soil samples with contrasted SOC stability and the
use of several indicators, we were able to highlight the influence of four environmental factors
on SOC stability: depth, soil, vegetation and climate; with the degree of significance of these
factors (and their interactions) varying among the SOC pools.

Our results show that pedology is a discriminant factor of SOC stability, more than individual soil physico-chemical attributes. Soil type constitutes an integrated parameter that might be an efficient way to capture SOC turnover properties. Upon modification in land management that would result in a decrease of C inputs to the soil, our results let suggest that the SOC of eutric 639 Cambisols may be less sensitive than the one of dystric Cambisols but specifically of entic640 Podzols that may be more prone to losses.

To conclude, soil class, vegetation type and climatic zone all had a significant influence on
SOC stability at various depths in our studied French forest soils and these environmental
factors should thus be included in models estimating the ecosystem service of climate
regulation.
Acknowledgements

648 This work was supported by the French Environment and Energy Management Agency

649 (ADEME) [APR REACCTIF, piCaSo project] and Campus France [PRESTIGE-2015-3-

650 0008]. We thank M. Bryant, S. Cecchini, J. Mériguet, F. Savignac, and L. Le Vagueresse for
651 their technical support.

652

653 References

Agnelli A, Ascher J, Corti G, Ceccherini MT, Nannipieri P, Pietramellara G (2004)

655 Distribution of microbial communities in a forest soil profile investigated by microbial

biomass, soil respiration and DGGE of total and extracellular DNA. Soil Biol Biochem. doi:

657 10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.02.004

<sup>658</sup> Ågren GI, Hyvönen R, Berglund SL, Hobbie SE (2013) Estimating the critical N:C from

659 litter decomposition data and its relation to soil organic matter stoichiometry. Soil Biol

660 Biochem. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.010

Amundson R (2001) The Carbon Budget in Soils. Annu Rev Earth Planet Sci 29:535-562.

doi: 10.1146/annurev.earth.29.1.535

- Araujo MA, Zinn YL, Lal R (2017) Soil parent material, texture and oxide contents have
- 664 little effect on soil organic carbon retention in tropical highlands. Geoderma. doi:
- 665 10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.04.006
- Augusto L, Ranger J, Binkley D, Rothe A (2002) Impact of several common tree species of
- 667 European temperate forests on soil fertility. Ann For Sci 59:233-253
- Augusto L, De Schrijver A, Vesterdal L, Smolander A, Prescott C, Ranger J (2015)
- 669 Influences of evergreen gymnosperm and deciduous angiosperm tree species on the
- 670 functioning of temperate and boreal forests. Biol Rev 90:444-466. doi: 10.1111/brv.12119
- 671 Balesdent J (1996) The significance of organic separates to carbon dynamics and its
- modelling in some cultivated soils. Eur J Soil Sci 47:485-493. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
- 673 2389.1996.tb01848.x
- Barré P, Durand H, Chenu C, Meunier P, Montagne D, Castel G, Billiou D,
- 675 Soucémarianadin L, Cécillon L (2017) Geological control of soil organic carbon and nitrogen
- 676 stocks at the landscape scale. Geoderma 285:50-56. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.09.029
- Barré P, Plante AF, Cécillon L, Lutfalla S, Baudin F, Christensen BT, Eglin T, Fernandez
- JM, Houot S, Kätterer T, Le Guillou C, Macdonald A, van Oort F, Chenu C (2016) The
- 679 energetic and chemical signatures of persistent soil organic matter. Biogeochemistry 130:1-
- 680 12. doi: 10.1007/s10533-016-0246-0
- 681 Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
- 682 Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, Articles 67:1-48
- Bauhus J, Paré D, Côté L (1998) Effects of tree species, stand age and soil type on soil
- 684 microbial biomass and its activity in a southern boreal forest. Soil Biol Biochem. doi:
- 685 10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00213-7
- 686 Behar F, Beaumont V, Penteado DB (2001) Rock-Eval 6 Technology: Performances and
- 687 Developments. Oil Gas Sci Technol 56:111-134. doi: 10.2516/ogst:2001013

- Beleites C, Sergo V (2015) hyperSpec: a package to handle hyperspectral data sets in R
- 689 Berg B (2000) Litter decomposition and organic matter turnover in northern forest soils.
- 690 For Ecol Manage 133:13-22. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1127(99)00294-7
- 691 Borchers HW (2015) pracma: Practical numerical math functions
- Brêthes A, Ulrich E, Lanier M (1997) RENECOFOR : caractéristiques pédologiques des
- 693 102 peuplements du réseau : observations de 1994/95. Office national des forêts, Département
- 694 des recherches techniques, Fontainebleau, France
- 695 Camino-Serrano M, Gielen B, Luyssaert S, Ciais P, Vicca S, Guenet B, Vos BD, Cools N,
- 696 Ahrens B, Altaf Arain M, Borken W, Clarke N, Clarkson B, Cummins T, Don A, Pannatier
- 697 EG, Laudon H, Moore T, Nieminen TM, Nilsson MB, Peichl M, Schwendenmann L, Siemens
- 598 J, Janssens I (2014) Linking variability in soil solution dissolved organic carbon to climate,
- soil type, and vegetation type. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 28:497-509. doi:
- 700 10.1002/2013GB004726
- 701 Canadell JG, Le Quéré C, Raupach MR, Field CB, Buitenhuis ET, Ciais P, Conway TJ,
- 702 Gillett NP, Houghton RA, Marland G (2007) Contributions to accelerating atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>
- 703 growth from economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. PNAS
- 704 104:18866-18870. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0702737104
- 705 Cardinael R, Chevallier T, Barthès BG, Saby NPA, Parent T, Dupraz C, Bernoux M,
- 706 Chenu C (2015) Impact of alley cropping agroforestry on stocks, forms and spatial
- 707 distribution of soil organic carbon A case study in a Mediterranean context. Geoderma
- 708 259–260:288-299. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2015.06.015
- 709 Carter MR, Gregorich EG, Angers DA, Donald RG, Bolinder MA (1998) Organic C and N
- storage, and organic C fractions, in adjacent cultivated and forested soils of eastern Canada.
- 711 Soil Tillage Res. doi: 10.1016/S0167-1987(98)00114-7

712	Cécillon L, Baudin F, Chenu C, Houot S, Jolivet R, Kätterer T, Lutfalla S, Macdonald A,
713	van Oort F, Plante AF, Savignac F, Soucémarianadin L, Barré P (2018) A model based on
714	Rock-Eval thermal analysis to quantify the size of the centennially persistent organic carbon
715	pool in temperate soils. Biogeosciences Discussions 2018:1-25. doi: 10.5194/bg-2018-15
716	Cleveland CC, Liptzin D (2007) C:N:P stoichiometry in soil: is there a "Redfield ratio" for
717	the microbial biomass?. Biogeochemistry 85:235-252. doi: 10.1007/s10533-007-9132-0
718	Cole DW, Rapp M (1981) Elemental cycling in forest ecosystems. In: Reichle DE (ed)
719	Dynamic Properties of Forest Ecosystems. Cambridge University Press, pp 341-409
720	Coleman K, Jenkinson D (1999) RothC-26.3. A Model for the Turn-over of Carbon in
721	Soils. Model Description and Windows Users Guide. IACR – Rothamsted, Harpenden.
722	Cools N, Vesterdal L, De Vos B, Vanguelova E, Hansen K (2014) Tree species is the
723	major factor explaining C:N ratios in European forest soils. Forest Ecol Manag. doi:
724	10.1016/j.foreco.2013.06.047
725	Cotrufo FM, Ineson P, Roberts DJ (1995) Decomposition of birch leaf litters with varying
726	C-to-N ratios. Soil Biol Biochem. doi: 10.1016/0038-0717(95)00043-E "
727	Crow SE, Swanston CW, Lajtha K, Brooks JR, Keirstead H (2007) Density fractionation
728	of forest soils: methodological questions and interpretation of incubation results and turnover
729	time in an ecosystem context. Biogeochemistry 85:69-90. doi: 10.1007/s10533-007-9100-8
730	Curtin D, Campbell CA, Jalil A (1998) Effects of acidity on mineralization: pH-
731	dependence of organic matter mineralization in weakly acidic soils. Soil Biol Biochem. doi:
732	10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00094-1
733	Diochon AC, Kellman L (2009) Physical fractionation of soil organic matter:
734	Destabilization of deep soil carbon following harvesting of a temperate coniferous forest. J
735	Geophys Res 114. doi: 10.1029/2008JG000844

- 736 Disnar JR, Guillet B, Keravis D, Di-Giovanni C, Sebag D (2003) Soil organic matter
- 737 (SOM) characterization by Rock-Eval pyrolysis: scope and limitations. Org Geochem 34:327-
- 738 343. doi: 10.1016/S0146-6380(02)00239-5
- 739 Dodla SK, Wang JJ, DeLaune RD (2012) Characterization of labile organic carbon in
- 740 coastal wetland soils of the Mississippi River deltaic plain: Relationships to carbon
- 741 functionalities. Sci Total Environ 435–436:151-158. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.090
- 742 Dungait JAJ, Hopkins DW, Gregory AS, Whitmore AP (2012) Soil organic matter
- turnover is governed by accessibility not recalcitrance. Glob Change Biol 18:1781-1796. doi:
- 744 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02665.x
- Feng W, Shi Z, Jiang J, Xia J, Liang J, Zhou J, Luo Y (2016) Methodological uncertainty
- in estimating carbon turnover times of soil fractions. Soil Biol Biochem 100:118-124. doi:
- 747 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.06.003
- 748 Fissore C, Giardina CP, Kolka RK, Trettin CC, King GM, Jurgensen MF, Barton CD,
- 749 McDowell SD (2008) Temperature and vegetation effects on soil organic carbon quality along
- a forested mean annual temperature gradient in North America. Global Change Biol 14:193-
- 751 205. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01478.x
- Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) An R Companion to Applied Regression
- Frøseth RB, Bleken MA (2015) Effect of low temperature and soil type on the
- decomposition rate of soil organic carbon and clover leaves, and related priming effect. Soil
- 755 Biol Biochem. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.10.004
- 756 Gillespie AW, Sanei H, Diochon A, Ellert BH, Regier TZ, Chevrier D, Dynes JJ, Tarnocai
- 757 C, Gregorich EG (2014) Perennially and annually frozen soil carbon differ in their
- susceptibility to decomposition: Analysis of Subarctic earth hummocks by bioassay, XANES
- and pyrolysis. Soil Biol Biochem 68:106-116. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.021

760 Golchin A, Oades JM, Skjemstad JO, Clarke P (1994) Study of free and occluded

- 761 particulate organic matter in soils by solid state <sup>13</sup>C CP/MAS NMR spectroscopy and
- scanning electron microscopy. Aust J Soil Res 32:285-309. doi: 10.1071/SR9940285
- 763 Gregorich EG, Gillespie AW, Beare MH, Curtin D, Sanei H, Yanni SF (2015) Evaluating
- biodegradability of soil organic matter by its thermal stability and chemical composition. Soil
- 765 Biol Biochem 91:182-191. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.08.032
- 766 Harris D, Horwáth WR, van Kessel C (2001) Acid fumigation of soils to remove
- 767 carbonates prior to total organic carbon or CARBON-13 isotopic analysis. Soil Sci Soc Am J
- 768 65:1853-1856. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2001.1853
- 769 Hassink J (1995) Density fractions of soil macroorganic matter and microbial biomass as
- predictors of C and N mineralization. Soil Biol Biochem 27:1099-1108. doi: 10.1016/0038-
- 771 0717(95)00027-С
- Hobbie SE, Ogdahl M, Chorover J, Chadwick OA, Oleksyn J, Zytkowiak R, Reich PB
- 773 (2007) Tree species effects on soil organic matter dynamics: The role of soil cation
- 774 composition. Ecosystems 10:999-1018. doi: 10.1007/s10021-007-9073-4
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2000) Land Use, Land-Use Change and
- Forestry A Special Report of the IPCC. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
- 777 IUSS Working Group (2015) World reference base for soil resources 2014 (update 2015),
- international soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps.
- 779 World Soil Resources Reports
- Jandl R, Lindner M, Vesterdal L, Bauwens B, Baritz R, Hagedorn F, Johnson DW,
- 781 Minkkinen K, Byrne KA (2007) How strongly can forest management influence soil carbon
- 782 sequestration?. Geoderma 137:253-268. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.09.003

- Jarvis PG, Ibrom A, Linder S (2005) 'Carbon forestry': managing forests to conserve
- carbon. In: Griffiths HG, Jarvis PG (eds) The Carbon Balance of Forest Biomes. Taylor &
  Francis, pp 356-377
- Jenkinson DS, Poulton PR, Bryant C (2008) The turnover of organic carbon in subsoils.
- 787 Part 1. Natural and bomb radiocarbon in soil profiles from the Rothamsted long-term field
- 788 experiments. Eur J Soil Sci 59:391-399. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2008.01025.x
- Jobbágy EG, Jackson RB (2000) The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its
- relation to climate and vegetation. Ecol Appl 10:423-436. doi: 10.1890/1051-
- 791 0761(2000)010[0423:TVDOSO]2.0.CO;2
- Jonard M, Nicolas M, Coomes DA, Caignet I, Saenger A, Ponette Q (2017) Forest soils in
- 793 France are sequestering substantial amounts of carbon. Sci Total Environ 574:616-628. doi:
- 794 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.028
- 795 Kassambara A, Mundt F (2016) factoextra: Extract and Visualize the Results of
- 796 Multivariate Data Analyses
- 797 Kindermann G, McCallum I, Fritz S, Obersteiner M (2008) A global forest growing stock,
- biomass and carbon map based on FAO statistics. Silva Fenn 42. doi: 10.14214/sf.244
- Lafargue E, Marquis F, Pillot D (1998) Rock-Eval 6 Applications in Hydrocarbon
- 800 Exploration, Production, and Soil Contamination Studies. Oil Gas Sci Technol 53:421-437.
- 801 doi: 10.2516/ogst:1998036
- Laganière J, Paré D, Bergeron Y, Chen HYH (2012) The effect of boreal forest
- 803 composition on soil respiration is mediated through variations in soil temperature and C
- quality. Soil Biol Biochem. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.024
- Leifeld J, Zimmerman M, Fuhrer J, Conen F (2009) Storage and turnover of carbon in
- grassland soils along an elevation gradient in the Swiss Alps. Global Change Biol 15:668-
- 807 679. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01782.x

- 808 Lorenz K, Lal R (2010) Carbon Sequestration in Forest Ecosystems. Springer Netherlands
- 809 Lorenz K, Lal R (2005) The depth distribution of soil organic carbon in relation to land use
- 810 and management and the potential of carbon sequestration in subsoil horizons. Adv Agron
- 811 88:35-66. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2113(05)88002-2
- 812 Mason JA, Jacobs PM, Gruley KE, Reyerson P, Hanson PR (2016) Parent material
- 813 influence on soil response to vegetation change, Southeastern Minnesota, U.S.A. Geoderma
- 814 275:1-17. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.04.004
- 815 Mathieu JA, Hatté C, Balesdent J, Parent É (2015) Deep soil carbon dynamics are driven
- 816 more by soil type than by climate: a worldwide meta-analysis of radiocarbon profiles. Global
- 817 Change Biol 21:4278-4292. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13012
- 818 Meier IC, Leuschner C (2010) Variation of soil and biomass carbon pools in beech forests
- 819 across a precipitation gradient. Global Change Biol 16:1035-1045. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
- 820 2486.2009.02074.x
- 821 Melillo JM, Aber JD, Muratore JF (1982) Nitrogen and lignin control of hardwood leaf
- 822 litter decomposition dynamics. Ecology 63:621-626. doi: 10.2307/1936780
- 823 Moni C, Rumpel C, Virto I, Chabbi A, Chenu C (2010) Relative importance of sorption
- 824 versus aggregation for organic matter storage in subsoil horizons of two contrasting soils. Eur
- 825 J Soil Sci 61:958-969. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2010.01307.x
- 826 Mulder VL, Lacoste M, Martin MP, Richer-de-Forges A, Arrouays D (2015)
- 827 Understanding large-extent controls of soil organic carbon storage in relation to soil depth and
- soil-landscape systems. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 29:1210-1229. doi:
- 829 10.1002/2015GB005178
- 830 Nabuurs GJ, Thürig E, Heidema N, Armolaitis K, Biber P, Cienciala E, Kaufmann E,
- 831 Mäkipää R, Nilsen P, Petritsch R, Pristova T, Rock J, Schelhaas MJ, Sievanen R, Somogyi Z,

- 832 Vallet P (2008) Hotspots of the European forests carbon cycle. For Ecol Manag. doi:
- 833 10.1016/j.foreco.2008.04.009
- 834 Norby RJ, Cotrufo MF, Ineson P, O'Neill EG, Canadell JG (2001) Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, litter
- chemistry, and decomposition: a synthesis. Oecologia 127:153-165. doi:
- 836 10.1007/s004420000615
- 837 Olsen HR, Van Miegroet H (2010) Factors affecting carbon dioxide release from forest and
- rangeland soils in Northern Utah. Soil Sci Soc Am J 74:282-291. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2009.0095
- 839 Pan Y, Birdsey RA, Fang J, Houghton RA, Kauppi PE, Kurz WA, Phillips OL, Shvidenko
- 840 AZ, Lewis SL, Canadell JG, Ciais P, Jackson RB, Pacala SW, McGuire AD, Piao S,
- 841 Rautiainen A, Sitch S, Hayes D (2011) A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's
- 842 forests. Science 333:988-993. doi: 10.1126/science.1201609
- Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, Team RC (2016) nlme: Linear and Nonlinear
  Mixed Effects Models
- 845 Plante AF, Fernández JM, Leifeld J (2009) Application of thermal analysis techniques in
- soil science. Geoderma 153:1-10. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.08.016
- 847 Poeplau C, Don A (2013) Sensitivity of soil organic carbon stocks and fractions to
- 848 different land-use changes across Europe. Geoderma. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.08.003
- 849 Ponette Q, Ulrich E, Brêthes A, Bonneau M, Lanier M (1997) RENECOFOR Chimie des
- sols dans les 102 peuplements du réseau : campagne de mesures 1993-95. ONF, Département
- 851 des recherches techniques, Fontainebleau, France
- 852 Prescott CE (2010) Litter decomposition: what controls it and how can we alter it to
- sequester more carbon in forest soils? Biogeochemistry 101:133-149. doi: 10.1007/s10533-
- 854 010-9439-0

855	Quideau SA, Chadwick OA, Benesi A, Graham RC, Anderson MA (2001) A direct link
856	between forest vegetation type and soil organic matter composition. Geoderma. doi:
857	10.1016/S0016-7061(01)00055-6
858	R Core Team (2016) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing
859	Rowley MC, Grand S, Verrecchia EP (2018) Calcium-mediated stabilisation of soil
860	organic carbon. Biogeochemistry 137:27-49. doi: 10.1007/s10533-017-0410-1
861	Rumpel C, Kögel-Knabner I (2010) Deep soil organic mattera key but poorly
862	understood component of terrestrial C cycle. Plant Soil 338:143-158. doi: 10.1007/s11104-
863	010-0391-5
864	Saenger A, Cécillon L, Poulenard J, Bureau F, De Daniéli S, Gonzalez J, Brun J (2015)
865	Surveying the carbon pools of mountain soils: A comparison of physical fractionation and
866	Rock-Eval pyrolysis. Geoderma 241–242:279-288. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.12.001
867	Salomé C, Nunan N, Pouteau V, Lerch TZ, Chenu C (2010) Carbon dynamics in topsoil
868	and in subsoil may be controlled by different regulatory mechanisms. Global Change Biol
869	16:416-426. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01884.x
870	Schiedung M, Don A, Wordell-Dietrich P, Alcántara V, Kuner P, Guggenberger G (2017)
871	Thermal oxidation does not fractionate soil organic carbon with differing biological
872	stabilities. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 180:18-26. doi: 10.1002/jpln.201600172
873	Schmatz R, Recous S, Aita C, Tahir MM, Schu AL, Chaves B, Giacomini SJ (2017) Crop
874	residue quality and soil type influence the priming effect but not the fate of crop residue C.
875	Plant Soil 414:229-245. doi: 10.1007/s11104-016-3120-x
876	Schmidt MWI, Torn MS, Abiven S, Dittmar T, Guggenberger G, Janssens IA, Kleber M,
877	Kögel-Knabner I, Lehmann J, Manning DAC, Nannipieri P, Rasse DP, Weiner S, Trumbore
878	SE (2011) Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. Nature 478:49-56. doi:
879	10.1038/nature10386

880	Schrumpf M, Kaiser K, Guggenberger G, Persson T, Kögel-Knabner I, Schulze E- (2013)
881	Storage and stability of organic carbon in soils as related to depth, occlusion within
882	aggregates, and attachment to minerals. Biogeosciences 10:1675-1691. doi: 10.5194/bg-10-
883	1675-2013

- 884 Schrumpf M, Kaiser K (2015) Large differences in estimates of soil organic carbon
- turnover in density fractions by using single and repeated radiocarbon inventories. Geoderma
- 886 239–240:168-178. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2014.09.025
- 887 Sebag D, Verrecchia EP, Cécillon L, Adatte T, Albrecht R, Aubert M, Bureau F, Cailleau

888 G, Copard Y, Decaens T, Disnar J-, Hetényi M, Nyilas T, Trombino L (2016) Dynamics of

soil organic matter based on new Rock-Eval indices. Geoderma 284:185-203. doi:

- 890 10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.08.025
- 891 Six J, Conant RT, Paul EA, Paustian K (2002) Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic

892 matter: Implications for C-saturation of soils. Plant Soil 241:155-176. doi:

893 10.1023/A:1016125726789

Sjögersten S, Alewell C, Cécillon L, Hagedorn F, Jandl R, Leifeld J, Martinsen V,

895 Schindlbacher A, Sebastià M-, Van Miegroet H (2011) Mountain Soils in a Changing

896 Climate? Vulnerability of Carbon Stocks and Ecosystem Feedbacks. In: Soil Carbon in

- 897 Sensitive European Ecosystems. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, pp 118-148
- 898 Smith P, Bustamante M, Ahammad H, Clark H, Dong H, Elsiddig EA, Haberl H, Harper
- 899 R, House J, Jafari M, Masera O, Mbow C, Ravindranath NH, Rice CW, Robledo Abad C,
- 900 Romanovskaya A, Sperling F, Tubiello F (2014) Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use
- 901 (AFOLU). In: Edenhofer O, Pichs-Madruga R, Sokona Y, Farahani E, Kadner S, Seyboth K,
- 902 Adler A, Baum I, Brunner S, Eickemeier P, Kriemann B, Savolainen J, Schlömer S, von
- 903 Stechow C, Zwickel T, Minx JC (eds) Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change.
- 904 Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental

Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY,
USA, pp 811-922

907 Soucémarianadin LN, Cécillon L, Chenu C, Baudin F, Nicolas M, Girardin C, Barré P

908 (2018) Is Rock-Eval 6 thermal analysis a good indicator of soil organic carbon lability? - A

909 method-comparison study in forest soils. Soil Biol Biochem 117:108-116. doi:

910 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.025

911 Tewksbury CE, Van Miegroet H (2007) Soil organic carbon dynamics along a climatic

gradient in a southern Appalachian spruce–fir forest. Can J For Res 37:1161-1172. doi:

913 10.1139/X06-317

914 Tian Q, He H, Cheng W, Bai Z, Wang Y, Zhang X (2016) Factors controlling soil organic

915 carbon stability along a temperate forest altitudinal gradient. Sci Rep 6:18783. doi:

916 10.1038/srep18783

917 Torn MS, Trumbore SE, Chadwick OA, Vitousek PM, Hendricks DM (1997) Mineral

918 control of soil organic carbon storage and turnover. Nature 389:170-173. doi: 10.1038/38260

919 Trumbore SE (1997) Potential responses of soil organic carbon to global environmental

920 change. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94:8284-8291

921 Trumbore SE, Chadwick OA, Amundson R (1996) Rapid exchange between soil carbon

922 and atmospheric carbon dioxide driven by temperature change. Science. doi:

923 10.1126/science.272.5260.393

924 Tyrrell ML, Ross J, Kelty M (2012) Carbon Dynamics in the Temperate Forest. In: Ashton

925 MS, Tyrrell ML, Spalding D, Gentry B (eds) Managing Forest Carbon in a Changing Climate.

926 Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp 77-107

927 Ulrich E (1995) Le réseau RENECOFOR : objectifs et réalisation. Rev for fr 47:107-124.

928 doi: 10.4267/2042/26634

- Van Miegroet H, Boettinger JL, Baker MA, Nielsen J, Evans D, Stum A (2005) Soil
- 930 carbon distribution and quality in a montane rangeland-forest mosaic in northern Utah. Forest
- 931 Ecol Manag. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.08.017
- 932 Vesterdal L, Elberling B, Christiansen JR, Callesen I, Schmidt IK (2012) Soil respiration
- and rates of soil carbon turnover differ among six common European tree species. For Ecol
- 934 Manag 264:185-196. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.10.009
- 935 von Lützow M, Kögel-Knabner I, Ekschmitt K, Flessa H, Guggenberger G, Matzner E,
- 936 Marschner B (2007) SOM fractionation methods: Relevance to functional pools and to
- 937 stabilization mechanisms. Soil Biol Biochem 39:2183-2207. doi:
- 938 10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.03.007
- 939 Vos C, Jaconi A, Jacobs A, Don A (2017) Hot regions of labile and stable soil organic
- 940 carbon in Germany -- Spatial variability and driving factors. SOIL 2017:1-35. doi:
- 941 10.5194/soil-2017-30
- 942 Wander M (2004) Soil organic matter fractions and their relevance to soil function. In:
- 943 Magdoff F, Weil RR (eds) Soil Organic Matter in Sustainable Agriculture. CRC Press, pp 67-
- 944 102
- 945 Wang Q, Zhong M (2016) Composition and mineralization of soil organic carbon pools in
- 946 four single-tree species forest soils. Journal of Forestry Research 27:1277-1285. doi:
- 947 10.1007/s11676-016-0244-z
- 948 Wiesmeier M, Prietzel J, Barthold F, Spörlein P, Geuß U, Hangen E, Reischl A, Schilling
- B, von Lützow M, Kögel-Knabner I (2013) Storage and drivers of organic carbon in forest
- 950 soils of southeast Germany (Bavaria) Implications for carbon sequestration. For Ecol Manag
- 951 295:162-172. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2013.01.025
- 952 Wiesmeier M, Schad P, von Lützow M, Poeplau C, Spörlein P, Geuß U, Hangen E, Reischl
- A, Schilling B, Kögel-Knabner I (2014) Quantification of functional soil organic carbon pools

- 954 for major soil units and land uses in southeast Germany (Bavaria). Agric Ecosyst Environ.
- 955 doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2013.12.028
- 956 Wordell-Dietrich P, Don A, Helfrich M (2017) Controlling factors for the stability of
- 957 subsoil carbon in a Dystric Cambisol. Geoderma. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.08.023
- 958 You Y, Wang J, Sun X, Tang Z, Zhou Z, Sun OJ (2016) Differential controls on soil
- 959 carbon density and mineralization among contrasting forest types in a temperate forest
- 960 ecosystem. Sci Rep 6:22411. doi: 10.1038/srep22411
- 961 Zhang J, Song C, Wenyan Y (2007) Tillage effects on soil carbon fractions in the Sanjiang
- 962 Plain, Northeast China. Soil Tillage Res. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2006.03.014
- 263 Zimmermann M, Leifeld J, Schmidt MWI, Smith P, Fuhrer J (2007) Measured soil organic
- 964 matter fractions can be related to pools in the RothC model. Eur J Soil Sci 58:658-667. doi:
- 965 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00855.x

967 Figures captions

968 Fig. 1 (a) Location of the 53 study sites from the French national network for the long term

969 monitoring of forest ecosystems (RENECOFOR) and their repartition among the climatic

200 zones and vegetation types and soil classes; (b) Number of samples by depths and analyses

971 performed to assess SOC stability

972

973 **Fig. 2** Evolution of respired-C, POM-C, T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub> and T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub> in the five soil layers of the

974 53 RENECOFOR plots. The horizontal black lines show the medians. The bottom and top of

975 the box show the first and third quartiles, respectively. n = 53 for layers 0–10 cm, 10–20 cm

976 and 20–40 cm; n = 50 (RE6 and POM-C) or 46 (respired-C) for layer 40–80 cm; n = 33 (RE6)

977 or 31 (respired-C) for layer 80–100 cm. For each indicator, different letters indicate

978 significant differences between the means of the different layers

979

**Fig. 3** Variations in the indicators of SOC stability respired-C and POM-C (top) and thermal indicators ( $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$  and  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ ; bottom) as a function of vegetation type in the surface (0–10 cm) layer. The horizontal black line shows the median for each vegetation type. The bottom and top of the box show the first and third quartiles, respectively. n = 29 and 24 for coniferous and deciduous plots respectively. For each indicator, different letters indicate significant differences between the means of the different layers

986

987 Fig. 4 Variations in indicators of SOC stability respired-C and POM-C (top) and thermal

988 indicators ( $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$  and  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ ; bottom) as a function of depth for all three soil classes.

989 n = 53 for layers 0–10 cm, 10–20 cm and 20–40 cm; n = 50 (RE6 and POM-C) or 46

990 (respired-C) for layer 40–80 cm; n = 33 (RE6) or 31 (respired-C) for layer 80–100 cm

991

**Fig. 5** Variations in the thermal indicator  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$  (stable SOC pool) in the three climatic 993 zones as a function of depth



# **Table 1** Mean (and standard deviation) of SOC content, C/N ratio of the bulk soil and RE6-derived bulk chemistry parameters (HI, $OI_{RE6}$ ), as well as the averaged values derived from Ponette et al. (1997) and Jonard et al. (2017) for the texture, $pH_{water}$ and the cationic exchange capacity,

		SOC C/N ratio		HI OI <sub>RE6</sub>			cla	ay	S	ilt	sai	nd	$pH_{water}$		CEC <sup>a</sup>	
depth (cm)	n	%		mg HC / g SOC)	mg O <sub>2</sub> SOC	/ g 2	%	<b>0</b>	ģ	%	%	6			cmo	l+/kg
		(compo	site sample, d	etermined in	this study	/)	(ave	raged fr	rom	Ponette	e et a	1., 1997	7 and J	onard	l et al. 2	2017)
0–10	53	5.1 (2.7)	16.9 (4.5)	276 (77)	225	(37)	23	(14)	36	(18)	42	(29) 4	4.9	(1.0)	13.3	(13.1)
10-20	53	2.9 (2.0)	16.4 (4.9)	218 (72)	255	(46)	21	(13)	37	(18)	42	(29) 5	5.1	(1.1)	10.9	(12.7)
20-40	53	1.8 (1.4)	14.8 (4.3)	170 (57)	299	(68)	20	(14)	36	(18)	43	(28) 5	5.4	(1.3)	10.0	(12.5)
40-80	50	0.8 (0.8)	11.6 (3.8)	133 (33)	437	(137)	20	(15) 3	32	(17)	48	(27) 5	5.8	(1.4)	7.3	(8.5)
80–100	33	0.6 (0.5)	9.7 (4.0)	122 (27)	525	(145)	22	(17)	34	(16)	44	(27)	6.1	(1.6)	7.5	(8.3)

<sup>a</sup> determined by extraction of the exchangeable cations with barium chloride (ISO 11260:1994)

in the five soil layers for the 53 RENECOFOR plots

table 1

**Table 2** Results (*p*-value) of the 3-way ANOVA (analysis by layer) with the factors soil class (soil), vegetation type (veg) and climatic zone (clim) and their interactions for each response variable obtained from respiration test (respired-C), POM fractionation (POM-C) and RE6 thermal analysis ( $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$ ;  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ ). If the response variable needed to be transformed to conform to the assumptions of ANOVA, the transformation that was used is specified. Significance is indicated as follows: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\*: p < 0.01; \*: p < 0.05; .: p < 0.1; NS = not significant

			Fact	or	Interaction						
Respiration	test										
depth (cm)	variable	soil	veg	clim	$soil \times veg$	$\operatorname{soil} \times \operatorname{clim}$	$\operatorname{veg} \times \operatorname{clim}$				
0–10	respired-C	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
10-20	$log_{10}$ (respired-C + 1)	***	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
20-40	$log_{10}$ (respired-C + 1)	**	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
40-80	$log_{10}$ (respired-C + 1)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
80-100	$log_{10}$ (respired-C + 1)	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
POM fraction	onation										
depth (cm)	variable	soil	veg	clim	$soil \times veg$	$\operatorname{soil} \times \operatorname{clim}$	$\operatorname{veg} \times \operatorname{clim}$				
0–10	POM-C	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
40-80	POM-C	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
Rock-Eval t	hermal analysis										
depth (cm)	variable	soil	veg	clim	$soil \times veg$	$\operatorname{soil} \times \operatorname{clim}$	$\operatorname{veg} \times \operatorname{clim}$				
0–10	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	***	***	NS	NS	•	NS				
10-20	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	NS	NS	NS		NS	NS				
20-40	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	*	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
40-80	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
80-100	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
0–10	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	**	***	**	NS	NS	NS				
10-20	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	NS	*	**	NS	*	NS				
20–40	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	NS	*	**	NS	*	NS				
40-80	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	*	NS	***	NS	NS NS					
80–100	$T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$	NS	NS	*	NS	NS	NS				

**Table 3** Spearman correlation coefficients between the RE6-derived temperature parameters  $(T_{50\_HC\_PYR} \text{ and } T_{50\_CO2\_OX})$ , the 10-week mineralizable SOC (respired-C), the proportion of SOC in the POM fraction (POM-C) and the physico-chemical properties of the samples (C content; C/N ratio; HI; OI<sub>RE6</sub>; texture, clay and sand content; pH; cationic exchange capacity, CEC) and climatic data of the plots (mean annual precipitation, MAP; mean annual temperature, MAT). Significance is indicated as follows: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\*: p < 0.01; \*: p < 0.05. The high (> 0.6) correlations are marked in bold. n = 242 for the RE6 parameters, n = 236 for respired-C and n = 103 for POM-C

	T <sub>50_HC_PYR</sub>	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	respired-C	POM-C
SOC	-0.58***	-0.72***	0.03	0.52***
C/N ratio	-0.34***	-0.43***	-0.13*	0.61***
HI	-0.67***	-0.53***	0.08	0.67***
OI <sub>RE6</sub>	0.63***	0.50***	-0.04	-0.76***
Clay	-0.06	-0.03	0.19**	-0.18
Sand	0.02	0.10	-0.15*	0.18
pHwater	0.31***	0.33***	0.23***	-0.54***
CEC	-0.33***	-0.25***	0.31***	0.08
MAP	0.06	-0.20**	-0.16*	-0.11
MAT	0.01	0.19**	-0.09	-0.02













**Online Resource 1** Description of the Rock-Eval 6 thermal analysis (adapted from Baudin et al., 2017) and calculation of the two RE6-derived parameters (hydrogen index;  $T_{50}_{CO2}_{OX}$ , the temperature at which 50% of the residual SOM was oxidized to CO<sub>2</sub> during the oxidation phase)

Baudin F, Tribovillard N, Trichet J (2017) Géologie De La Matière Organique. EDP Sciences, Lilles, France.

**Online Resource 2** Correlation between C content (%) of isovolumetrically pooled samples (measured in this study as detailed in *Materials and Methods* subsection a) and average values of the 5 replicates  $\times$  5 subplots from RENECOFOR samples (calculated with values from Jonard et al. (2017) and Ponette et al. (1997) for samples 0–40cm and 40–100 cm, respectively) for a given soil layer (n = 242). The 1:1 line has been added in red for reference

Jonard M, Nicolas M, Coomes DA, Caignet I, Saenger A, Ponette Q (2017) Forest soils in France are sequestering substantial amounts of carbon. Sci Total Environ 574:616-628

Ponette Q, Ulrich E, Brêthes A, Bonneau M, Lanier M (1997) RENECOFOR - Chimie des sols dans les 102 peuplements du réseau : campagne de mesures 1993-95. ONF, Département des recherches techniques, Fontainebleau, France

**Online Resource 3** Details of models and their significant terms selected to explain variations in respired-C and POM-C, T<sub>50\_HC\_PYR</sub>, and T<sub>50\_CO2\_OX</sub> in the 53 study plots (analysis by profile). All models used a gls function (see details in the *Calculations and statistical analyses* section)

**Online Resource 4** Mean (and standard deviation) of the indicators of labile SOC ( $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$ , POM-C; respired-C) and stable SOC ( $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ ) for each soil class in the five different layers. The total SOC content was added for reference

**Online Resource 5** Table of correlations for all samples and for each layer individually between the indicators of the SOC pools and the physico-chemical properties (SOC content, C/N ratio, HI, OIRE6, texture, pH, cationic exchange capacity), the climatic data of the plots (mean annual precipitation; MAP and mean annual temperature; MAT) and the chemical properties (C/N ratio) of the inputs and humus. Significance is indicated as follows: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\*: p < 0.01; \*: p < 0.05. The high (> 0.6) correlations obtained with the SOC pools indicators are marked in bold. n = 242 total; n = 53 for layers 1 to 3 and n = 50 and n = 33 for layers 4 and 5 respectively unless specified otherwise

**Online Resource 6** Distribution of the mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean annual temperature (MAT) in the 53 study sites as a function of vegetation type illustrating a bias towards coniferous stands being in wetter and colder locations. n = 29 and 24 for coniferous and deciduous, respectively

hydrogen index (HI; mg HC/g C)





**Online Resource 3** Details of models and their significant terms selected to explain variations in respired-C and POM-C,  $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$ , and  $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$  in the 53 study plots (analysis by profile). All models used a gls function (see details in the *Calculations and statistical analyses* section)

Response	Transformation	Predictors in final	Level of significance <i>p</i> -value						
variable	Transformation	model <sup>\$</sup>							
respired-C	$\log_{10}(\text{respired-C}+1)$	$\binom{\text{soil} + \text{depth} + \text{soil} \times}{\text{depth}}$	soil < 0.0001; depth =0.0823; depth × soil = 0.0417						
POM-C	log <sub>10</sub> (POM-C)	depth + soil	depth < 0.0001 and soil = 0.0114						
T <sub>50_HC_PYR</sub>		depth + soil + veg + depth × soil + depth × veg + soil × veg	depth and depth $\times$ soil $< 0.0001$ ; soil $= 0.1440$ ; veg = 0.0665; depth $\times$ veg $= 0.0023$ ; soil $\times$ veg $= 0.0236$						
T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>		depth + veg + climate + depth × climate	all < 0.0001 except climate = 0.0272						

<sup>§</sup> For all models, as a preliminary inspection of the variance of a given factor showed heterogeneity among the various layers, we used a constant variance function [varIdent(form=  $\sim 1 | depth$ )], which allowed five different variances, one for each soil layer. We also used the compound symmetry structure [corCompSymm(form = $\sim 1 | plot$ )], which is similar to the variance structure of a random-intercept-only model. In our case, it allowed to treat each site as random factor.

layer	soil class	n $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$ (°C) $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ (°C)				n	POM-C (% OC)		n	$\begin{array}{c} \text{respired-C } (\mu g \\ n  \text{CO2-C} \cdot \mu g^{-1} \text{ soil} \\ \text{C in \%} \end{array}$		n	clay (%)		silt (%)		sand	(%)	C conte	nt (%)	C/N ratio		рН		
0-10 cm	ALL	53	422	(8)	399	(8)	53	22.6	(7.3)	53	1.73	(0.57)	53	22	(14)	36	(18)	42	(29)	5.1	(2.7)	16.9	(4.5)	4.9	(1.0)
	dystric Cambisol	18	420	(8)	399	(8)	18	23.0	(7.5)	18	1.87	(0.70)	18	18	(9)	31	(14)	51	(21)	4.1	(1.8)	16.8	(3.4)	4.5	(0.3)
	eutric Cambisol	16	428	(7)	403	(10)	16	19.2	(5.6)	16	2.19	(0.62)	16	37	(11)	50	(13)	13	(20)	5.1	(1.9)	13.3	(1.5)	6.2	(0.9)
	entic Podzol	19	417	(9)	396	(8)	19	25.1	(7.6)	19	1.13	(0.38)	19	15	(9)	28	(19)	57	(25)	6	(3.6)	19.9	(5.0)	4.1	(0.2)
10-20 cm	ALL	53	434	(7)	408	(12)		nd		53	1.61	(0.56)	53	21	(13)	37	(18)	42	(29)	2.9	(2.0)	16.4	(4.9)	5.1	(1.1)
	dystric Cambisol	18	434	(8)	409	(10)		nd		18	1.54	(0.64)	18	17	(9)	32	(14)	51	(21)	1.9	(0.7)	16.3	(3.5)	4.6	(0.3)
	eutric Cambisol	16	435	(6)	410	(14)		nd		16	2.13	(0.74)	16	36	(11)	51	(11)	13	(17)	3.5	(1.6)	12.4	(1.1)	6.5	(1.0)
	entic Podzol	19	433	(8)	404	(11)		nd		19	1.15	(0.31)	19	13	(7)	29	(20)	58	(25)	3.3	(2.7)	19.8	(5.4)	4.3	(0.2)
20–40 cm	ALL	53	441	(7)	418	(15)		nd		53	1.43	(0.68)	53	20	(14)	36	(18)	43	(28)	1.8	(1.4)	14.8	(4.3)	5.4	(1.3)
	dystric Cambisol	18	444	(8)	421	(10)		nd		18	1.38	(0.61)	18	17	(9)	33	(14)	51	(21)	1.1	(0.4)	14.8	(3.8)	4.8	(0.3)
	eutric Cambisol	16	437	(5)	417	(16)		nd		16	1.86	(0.84)	16	35	(13)	48	(12)	17	(17)	2.4	(1.4)	11.3	(1.3)	7.2	(1.0)
	entic Podzol	19	443	(6)	417	(17)		nd		19	1.06	(0.58)	19	11	(6)	30	(20)	59	(25)	2.1	(1.8)	17.7	(4.3)	4.6	(0.1)
40-80 cm	ALL	50	448	(10)	431	(17)	50	11.5	(6.2)	46	1.34	(0.67)	50	20	(15)	32	(17)	48	(27)	0.8	(0.8)	11.6	(3.8)	5.8	(1.4)
	dystric Cambisol	18	449	(7)	438	(15)	18	13.3	(6.3)	17	1.48	(0.62)	18	18	(10)	28	(11)	54	(19)	0.5	(0.3)	10.5	(3.5)	5.1	(0.6)
	eutric Cambisol	14	444	(9)	424	(19)	14	7.8	(3.5)	14	1.51	(0.75)	14	35	(18)	41	(13)	24	(25)	0.9	(0.5)	9.3	(1.9)	7.9	(0.6)
	entic Podzol	18	450	(12)	430	(18)	18	12.5	(6.8)	15	1.03	(0.64)	18	11	(5)	28	(20)	62	(25)	1.1	(1.1)	14.6	(3.4)	4.8	(0.2)
80–100 cm	ALL	33	452	(13)	437	(17)		nd		31	1.17	(0.66)	33	22	(17)	34	(16)	44	(27)	0.6	(0.5)	9.7	(4.0)	6.1	(1.6)
	dystric Cambisol	11	450	(11)	445	(12)		nd		11	1.57	(0.91)	11	20	(11)	30	(12)	50	(19)	0.3	(0.2)	7.2	(1.9)	5.3	(1.0)
	eutric Cambisol	10	448	(11)	427	(16)		nd		10	1.14	(0.59)	10	38	(19)	41	(12)	21	(23)	0.7	(0.2)	8.4	(1.6)	8.2	(0.6)
	entic Podzol	12	458	(18)	439	(22)		nd		10	0.79	(0.49)	12	10	(4)	30	(21)	59	(23)	0.8	(0.7)	13.1	(4.6)	5.0	(0.4)
0-100 cm	ALL	242	439	(9)	419	(14)	103	17.2	(8.8)	236	1.46	(0.63)	242	21	(14)	35	(17)	44	(28)	2.4	(2.4)	14.2	(5.0)	5.4	(1.3)

**Online Resource** 4 Mean (and standard deviation) of the indicators of labile ( $T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$ , POM-C), very labile (respired-C) and stable SOC ( $T_{50\_CO2\_OX}$ ) for each soil class in the five different layers. The basic soil physico-chemical properties (texture, total SOC content, C/N ratio and pH) were added for reference

humus. Significand	e is indicate	d as follows: '	***: p < 0.001	l; **: p < 0.0	1; *: p < 0	.05. The high (> 0	0.6) correla	tions obtai	ined with th	e SOC poo	ls indicator	s are mark	ed in bold.	n = 242	total; $n = 53$ for
layers 1 to 3 and n $% \left( {{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{\left( {{{}}}} \right)}} \right)}} \right.}}} \right)}} \right)} \right)} = 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	= 50 and n =	= 33 for layers	4 and 5 respe	ectively unle	ss specified	1 otherwise									
	POM-C <sup>§</sup>	respired-C*	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	SOC	C/N ratio (soil)	HI	$OI_{RE6}$	Clay	Sand	pH <sub>water</sub>	CEC	MAP	MAT	C/N ratio (inputs)
respired-C	0.20														
T <sub>50_HC_PYR</sub>	-0.73***	-0.32***													
T <sub>50 CO2 OX</sub>	-0.56***	-0.15*	0.67***												
SOC	0.52***	0.03	-0.58***	-0.72***											
C/N ratio (soil)	0.61***	-0.13*	-0.34***	-0.43***	0.42***										
HI	0.67***	0.08	-0.67***	-0.53***	0.58***	0.62***									
OI <sub>RE6</sub>	-0.76***	-0.04	0.63***	0.50***	-0.56***	-0.78***	-0.84***								
Clay	-0.18	0.19**	-0.06	-0.03	0.31***	-0.51***	-0.16*	0.31***							
Sand	0.18	-0.15*	0.02	0.10	-0.32***	0.45***	0.14*	-0.28***	-0.89***						
pH <sub>water</sub>	-0.54***	0.23***	0.31***	0.33***	-0.22***	-0.61***	-0.45***	0.59***	0.44***	-0.45***					
CEC	0.08	0.31***	-0.33***	-0.25***	0.48***	-0.31***	0.14*	0.06	0.74***	-0.69***	0.47***				
MAP	-0.11	-0.16*	0.06	-0.20**	0.36***	-0.01	-0.06	0.12	0.14*	-0.17**	0.07	0.12			
MAT	-0.02	-0.09	0.01	0.19**	-0.25***	-0.02	0.13*	-0.11	-0.08	0.06	-0.22***	-0.22***	-0.60***		
C/N ratio (inputs)	0.22*	0.16*	-0.09	-0.16*	-0.07	0.24***	-0.05	-0.06	-0.24***	0.23***	-0.03	-0.17**	-0.24***	-0.08	
C/N ratio (humus)	0.01	0.38***	-0.14*	-0.11	-0.01	-0.03	-0.08	0.10	0.12	-0.14*	0.40***	0.18**	-0.05	-0.06	0.44***
	<sup>§</sup> n = 99	* n = 236													
LAYER 1: 0-10 c	m														
	POM-C	respired-C	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	SOC	C/N ratio (soil)	HI	OI <sub>RE6</sub>	Clay	Sand	pH <sub>water</sub>	CEC	MAP	MAT	C/N ratio (inputs)
respired-C	-0.29*														
T <sub>50_HC_PYR</sub>	-0.44***	0.12													
T <sub>50 CO2 OX</sub>	-0.19	0.13	0.45***												
SOC	0.17	-0.20	-0.10	-0.28*											
C/N ratio (soil)	0.56***	-0.51***	-0.55***	-0.19	-0.08										
HI	0.32*	-0.43**	-0.30*	0.07	-0.29*	0.67***									
OI <sub>RE6</sub>	-0.41**	0.52***	0.40**	-0.06	0.25	-0.80***	-0.91***								
Clay	-0.29*	0.43**	0.44**	-0.03	0.44**	-0.70***	-0.71***	0.75***							
Sand	0.32*	-0.41**	-0.50***	-0.08	-0.32*	0.65***	0.61***	-0.65***	-0.88***						
pH <sub>water</sub>	-0.35**	0.62***	0.46***	0.36**	0.06	-0.70***	-0.61***	0.70***	0.60***	-0.62***					
CEC	-0.16	0.30*	0.35*	0.00	0.60***	-0.60***	-0.72***	0.72***	0.78***	-0.72***	0.64***				
MAP	-0.10	0.10	-0.11	-0.29*	0.53***	-0.25	-0.37**	0.35*	0.33*	-0.21	0.06	0.39**			
MAT	-0.09	-0.30*	0.14	0.25	-0.39**	0.10	0.32*	-0.30*	-0.26	0.13	-0.21	-0.45***	-0.61***		
C/N ratio (inputs)	0.31*	-0.03	-0.41**	-0.25	-0.07	0.47***	-0.02	-0.13	-0.26	0.28*	-0.14	-0.16	-0.25	-0.06	
C/N ratio (humus)	-0.04	0.47***	-0.10	0.10	-0.17	-0.07	-0.41**	0.34*	0.11	-0.16	0.42**	0.14	-0.05	-0.07	0.45***

**Online Resource 5** Table of correlations for all samples and for each layer individually between the indicators of the SOC pools and the physico-chemical properties (SOC content, C/N ratio, HI,  $OI_{RE6}$ , texture, pH, cationic exchange capacity), the climatic data of the plots (mean annual precipitation; MAP and mean annual temperature; MAT) and the chemical properties (C/N ratio) of the inputs and humus. Significance is indicated as follows: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\*: p < 0.05. The high (> 0.6) correlations obtained with the SOC pools indicators are marked in bold. n = 242 total; n = 53 for layers 1 to 3 and n = 50 and n = 33 for layers 4 and 5 respectively unless specified otherwise

LAYER 2: 10–20 cm															
	POM-C	respired-C	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	SOC	C/N ratio (soil)	HI	OI <sub>RE6</sub>	Clay	Sand	$\mathrm{pH}_{\mathrm{water}}$	CEC	MAP	MAT	C/N ratio (inputs)
respired-C	nd														
$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	nd	0.00													
T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	nd	0.02	0.40**												
SOC	nd	-0.13	0.08	-0.09											
C/N ratio (soil)	nd	-0.33*	-0.13	-0.04	-0.34*										
HI	nd	-0.24	-0.26	0.14	-0.28*	0.60***									
OI <sub>RE6</sub>	nd	0.34*	0.21	-0.22	0.37**	-0.73***	-0.74***								
Clay	nd	0.29*	0.10	0.07	0.60***	-0.71***	-0.53***	0.65***							
Sand	nd	-0.29*	-0.12	-0.05	-0.52***	0.66***	0.44**	-0.58***	-0.88***						
pH <sub>water</sub>	nd	0.60***	0.08	0.29*	0.28*	-0.62***	-0.36**	0.51***	0.59***	-0.58***					
CEC	nd	0.27*	0.13	-0.01	0.73***	-0.65***	-0.40**	0.60***	0.76***	-0.77***	0.64***				
MAP	nd	-0.08	-0.06	-0.24	0.66***	-0.20	-0.17	0.23	0.30*	-0.24	0.10	0.39**			
MAT	nd	-0.20	-0.05	0.21	-0.47***	0.12	0.25	-0.29*	-0.20	0.12	-0.27	-0.42**	-0.61***		
C/N ratio (inputs)	nd	0.20	-0.05	-0.25	-0.21	0.32*	-0.12	0.02	-0.26	0.28*	-0.05	-0.23	-0.25	-0.06	
C/N ratio (humus)	nd	0.53***	-0.12	-0.01	-0.02	-0.14	-0.22	0.27*	0.14	-0.15	0.51***	0.11	-0.05	-0.07	0.45***

#### LAYER 3: 20-40 cm

	POM-C	respired-C	T <sub>50_HC_PYR</sub>	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	SOC	C/N ratio (soil)	HI	OI <sub>RE6</sub>	Clay	Sand	pH <sub>water</sub>	CEC	MAP	MAT	C/N ratio (inputs)
respired-C	nd														
$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	nd	-0.33*													
T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	nd	0.06	0.37**												
SOC	nd	-0.21	-0.12	-0.41**											
C/N ratio (soil)	nd	-0.27	0.21	0.06	-0.15										
HI	nd	-0.10	-0.21	0.05	0.13	0.48***									
OI <sub>RE6</sub>	nd	0.11	-0.05	-0.31*	0.20	-0.76***	-0.65***								
Clay	nd	0.29*	-0.22	-0.04	0.46***	-0.74***	-0.34*	0.70***							
Sand	nd	-0.23	0.21	0.15	-0.48***	0.68***	0.24	-0.64***	-0.90***						
pH <sub>water</sub>	nd	0.45***	-0.32*	-0.15	0.29*	-0.47***	-0.11	0.40**	0.54***	-0.50***					
CEC	nd	0.19	-0.30*	-0.24	0.62***	-0.59***	-0.13	0.51***	0.77***	-0.76***	0.65***				
MAP	nd	-0.15	0.02	-0.38**	0.72***	-0.05	0.10	0.11	0.20	-0.25	0.07	0.31*			
MAT	nd	0.00	-0.07	0.33*	-0.49***	0.03	0.16	-0.25	-0.16	0.13	-0.26	-0.35**	-0.61***		
C/N ratio (inputs)	nd	0.04	0.12	-0.16	-0.26	0.26	-0.25	0.00	-0.25	0.27	0.10	-0.27	-0.25	-0.06	
C/N ratio (humus)	nd	0.41**	-0.26	-0.19	-0.02	-0.09	-0.17	0.19	0.13	-0.11	0.59***	0.14	-0.05	-0.07	0.45***

LAYER 4: 40-80 cm															
	POM-C	respired-C*	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	SOC	C/N ratio (soil)	HI	OI <sub>RE6</sub>	Clay	Sand	$pH_{water}$	CEC	MAP	MAT	C/N ratio (inputs)
respired-C	0.47***														
$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	-0.35*	-0.41**													
T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	-0.01	-0.01	0.19												
SOC	-0.43**	-0.54***	0.21	-0.49***											
C/N ratio (soil)	0.30*	-0.17	0.13	-0.21	0.29*										
HI	0.06	-0.03	-0.21	-0.24	0.19	0.23									
OI <sub>RE6</sub>	-0.42**	0.13	0.11	0.22	-0.07	-0.65***	-0.43**								
Clay	-0.34*	-0.08	0.03	0.12	0.33*	-0.55***	-0.06	0.62***							
Sand	-0.42**	0.16	-0.12	0.10	-0.48***	0.44**	0.04	-0.51***	-0.90***						
pH <sub>water</sub>	0.46***	0.03	-0.02	-0.18	0.20	-0.27	0.09	0.28	0.36*	-0.36*					
CEC	-0.34*	0.13	-0.08	-0.02	0.25	-0.49***	0.05	0.52***	0.80***	-0.75***	0.66***				
MAP	-0.25	-0.42**	0.16	-0.45**	0.69***	0.36*	0.17	-0.13	-0.03	-0.12	-0.04	-0.07			
MAT	0.04	0.09	0.13	0.50***	-0.48***	-0.28*	0.03	0.16	0.08	0.01	-0.15	-0.06	-0.58***		
C/N ratio (inputs)	0.33*	0.30*	-0.19	-0.22	-0.15	0.21	-0.08	-0.12	-0.31*	0.26	0.01	-0.26	-0.24	-0.11	
C/N ratio (humus)	0.10	0.29	-0.27	-0.29*	0.02	0.13	0.01	0.11	0.00	-0.06	0.37**	0.15	-0.04	-0.10	0.44**
		n = 46													
LAYER 5: 80-100	) cm														
	POM-C	respired-C*	$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	SOC	C/N ratio (soil)	HI	OI <sub>RE6</sub>	Clay	Sand	$\text{pH}_{\text{water}}$	CEC	MAP	MAT	C/N ratio (inputs)
respired-C	nd														
$T_{50\_HC\_PYR}$	nd	-0.48**													
T <sub>50_CO2_OX</sub>	nd	-0.12	0.08												
SOC	nd	-0.43*	0.34	-0.69***											
C/N ratio (soil)	nd	-0.30	0.37*	-0.26	0.39*										
HI	nd	0.17	-0.39*	-0.30	0.04	0.00									
OI <sub>RE6</sub>	nd	0.31	-0.18	0.25	-0.29	-0.58***	-0.14								
Clay	nd	-0.10	-0.11	0.02	0.14	-0.51**	0.09	0.38*							
Sand	nd	0.09	-0.06	0.17	-0.30	0.41*	-0.06	-0.29	-0.86***						
pH <sub>water</sub>	nd	-0.01	-0.03	-0.46**	0.39*	-0.12	0.22	-0.18	0.36*	-0.37*					
CEC	nd	0.27	-0.36*	-0.13	0.04	-0.36*	0.30	0.21	0.72***	-0.60***	0.64***				
MAP	nd	-0.21	0.26	-0.34	0.60***	0.46**	0.05	-0.11	-0.30	0.10	0.04	-0.24			
MAT	nd	-0.12	0.09	0.43*	-0.43*	-0.27	0.13	0.29	0.27	-0.17	-0.24	0.03	-0.54**		
C/N ratio (inputs)	nd	0.45*	-0.12	-0.20	-0.01	-0.08	-0.21	0.13	-0.09	-0.05	-0.03	-0.06	-0.12	-0.13	
C/N ratio (humus)	nd	0.25	-0.07	-0.22	0.13	0.02	0.04	0.14	0.27	-0.34	0.14	0.25	-0.06	0.05	0.41*

