



A geoprocessing framework to compute urban indicators: The MApUCE tools chain

Erwan Bocher, Gwendall Petit, Jérémy Bernard, Sylvain Palominos

► To cite this version:

Erwan Bocher, Gwendall Petit, Jérémy Bernard, Sylvain Palominos. A geoprocessing framework to compute urban indicators: The MApUCE tools chain. Urban Climate, 2018, 24, pp.153-174. 10.1016/j.uclim.2018.01.008 . hal-01730717v1

HAL Id: hal-01730717

<https://hal.science/hal-01730717v1>

Submitted on 13 Mar 2018 (v1), last revised 29 May 2018 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

A geoprocessing framework to compute urban indicators: The MApUCE tools chain

Erwan Bocher^{a,*}, Gwendall Petit^b, Jérémy Bernard^a, Sylvain Palominos^a

^a CNRS, Lab-STICC laboratory UMR 6285, Vannes (France)

^b Université de Bretagne Sud, Lab-STICC laboratory UMR 6285, Vannes (France)

Abstract

A growing demand from urban planning services and various research thematics concerns urban fabric characterization. Several projects (such as WU-DAPT) are currently lead in the urban climate field to answer this demand. However there is currently a need to propose standardized methods to calculate urban indicators and to automatically classify the urban fabric for any city in the world as well as to propose platforms to share these methods and the associated results. Our contribution answers partially to this challenge. A total of 64 standardized urban morphological indicators are calculated for three scales of analysis : building, block and a reference spatial unit (RSU). A supervised classification is performed for the building and the RSU scales using a regression trees model based on these indicators and on 10 urban fabric typological classes defined by urbanists and architects. A processing chain is proposed to realize indicator calculation and urban fabric classification for any french municipality according to reference data provided by the French National Geographical Institute (IGN). Spatial reasoning and morphological indicators description are formalized with SQL language and statistical analysis is carried out with R language. Finally a geoprocessing framework based on free and open source softwares, conform to the Open Geospatial Consortium standards and ready to serve open data is built. Indicators values and classification results for 6% of the french municipalities (corresponding to 41% of all french buildings) are available through a web cartographic portal by any person interested in such analysis.

*Corresponding author

Email address: `firstname.lastname@univ-ubs.fr` (Erwan Bocher)

Keywords: Morphological indicators, Local Climate Zone, GIS, Urban Heat Island, Open source

1 Introduction

2 According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
3 projections, global surface temperature will increase during the *XXIst* cen-
4 tury. In the meantime, the world population living in cities is expected to
5 grow (5,058 millions by 2030 against 4,250 millions in 2018 - [1]). Two factors
6 explain this number: the population of existing cities will grow, and new cities
7 will appear. Urbanization often implies urban temperature rise due to land
8 cover change (pervious to impervious ground [2, 3]) and morphology change
9 (new buildings mean more short and long-wave radiation trapping as well as
10 wind speed decreasing [4]). Without urbanization control, this phenomenon
11 called Urban Heat Island (UHI) may become more intense since tempera-
12 ture differences between an urban area and its surrounding is proportional
13 to the logarithm of its population [5, 6]. The combination of climate change
14 and UHI may lead to higher heat related death occurrence [7, 8] and higher
15 energy consumption related to cooling use [9, 10]. Therefore, the reduction
16 of the urban heat island phenomenon may contribute both to attenuate the
17 climate change (by reducing urban greenhouse emissions) and to mitigate
18 its impacts. Several levers have proved their efficiency to lower urban air
19 temperature such as surface painting to modify the albedo, planting trees or
20 covering roofs and facades with low vegetation, decreasing energy consump-
21 tions, *etc.* [11, 12]. Santamouris et al. [11] showed that their performance and
22 surface application potential differ greatly depending on the urban environ-
23 nment where they are applied in. To study the influence of urban morphology
24 and urban land-cover on urban air temperature as well as the efficiency of
25 each UHI counter-measure, urban climate models have been developed [13].
26 An urban climate classification have also been proposed by Stewart [14] to
27 define Local Climate Zones (LCZ) based on parameters describing urban
28 morphology, urban land-cover, urban land-use and material properties [15].
29 Both simulation and classification approaches are very sensitive to data and
30 methodology used to calculate urban indicators (characteristic of the mor-
31 phology and the land cover of the urban fabric). To obtain comparable
32 indicators at world scale, there is a need :

- 33 • to standardize data and methodology used for urban indicators calcu-

34 lation [16],

- 35 • to propose collaborative and open tools to allow any user to calculate
36 urban indicators for the city of its choice, thus allowing to share and
37 reuse results from any calculation.

38 In this spirit, a collaborative project called *World Urban Database and*
39 *Access Portal Tools* (WUDAPT¹) gathers a community of researchers to clas-
40 sify the urban fabric by climate properties from homogeneous and available
41 data at world scale. The objective is to identify Local Climate Zones as
42 defined by Stewart and Oke [15]. The first step of the project have been
43 applied. The LCZ of several urban areas have been identified according to
44 supervised machine learning method using Landsat images (30 m resolution)
45 as input and LCZ identified by climate expert from Google Earth software
46 as desired output [17]. However, WUDAPT is open to improvements:

- 47 • the need to install locally several softwares (Google Earth², SAGA³)
48 on its computer may be a break to collaborative contribution,
- 49 • it is now necessary to provide data and urban indicators at finer scale
50 [16]. Plenty of indicators exist but they have several definitions and
51 they are implemented within different softwares using numerous lan-
52 guages and methods. Thus comparing the value of such undefined
53 indicator throughout the world or along time is impossible [18].

54 Our contribution consists in the production of standardized urban mor-
55 phological indicators dedicated for urban climate and useful for any other
56 urban planning purpose. It takes apart of a french research project called
57 MAPUCE⁴ and is encompassed in a task of urban tissue characterization,
58 illustrated in Figure 1.

59 Input data are produced from reference data. They are used to pro-
60 duced both morphological and socio-economic indicators, that will be used
61 to classify the urban fabric into typological classes. In this article, we will fo-
62 cus on the morphological indicators production and we will described briefly

¹<http://www.wudapt.org/> accessed in July 2017

²<https://www.google.com/earth/> accessed in July 2017

³<http://www.saga-gis.org/> accessed in July 2017

⁴<http://www.umr-cnrm.fr/ville.climat/spip.php?rubrique120> accessed in July 2017




Figure 1: The main steps of the method

the classification step. Further details concerning the input data production and the socio-economic indicators production are available in Plumejeaud-Perreau et al. [19] whereas the classification process is further described in Faraut et al. [20], Masson et al. [21]. Because one of the objective is that the overall process be reproducible simply without any software requirement, this paper proposes an open geoprocessing framework based on free and open source softwares, conform to the Open Geospatial Consortium standards and ready to serve open data.

1. Data

1.1. Scale definition

Whereas streets may be considered as more durable than blocks and buildings [22], building is the elementary object structuring the territory [23] and also the object of interest when focusing on urban climate application [24]. However, building scale is not appropriate when dealing with issues at city scale. For this reason, Berghauser-Pont and Haupt [25] proposed five scales to analyze urban areas : buildings, lots, island, fabric and district. The first described only building properties whereas the others described the building properties and their surrounding environment. Lots are defined by the legal boundaries specified in the cadastral map. Islands include several lots limited by road boundaries. Fabrics include several islands as well as the road network whereas districts gather several fabrics and include public parks and water surfaces. All these scales are the result of arbitrary objects aggregation, except building and lot. In this context, we conserve the building as the elementary scale. A second scale is chosen: the building block, defined by Berghauser-Pont and Haupt [25] as an aggregation of buildings that are in contact. This scale is particularly adapted when dealing with building energy or urban climate issues [26]. By simplification, it will be called block

in this paper. To consider all the components of the urban context, the legal boundaries specified in the cadastral map are also utilized (such as the lot defined by Berghauser Pont). This scale offers the advantage to have a size close to the one usually recommended in the urban climate literature (several hundred meters wide - [24]). They are slightly modified to englobe public spaces such as road surfaces, public parks and water surfaces. The generic name of Reference Spatial Unit (RSU) is set for the resulting feature. Any other well defined geographical entity may be used as an RSU, such as the urban block defined by the road network [27]. Finally, three scales of analysis will be considered: building, blocks and RSU.

1.2. Reference data

The reference data sets of the MApUCE tool chain are provided from the french national databases which are freely available for research and academic purpose. It concerns two types of data: spatial (Table 1) and statistical (Table 2).

1.3. Data pre-processing

The above data sets were used to derive 3 spatial layers computed in 3 main steps [19].

1.3.1. Step 1: Data cleaning and structuring

The quality aspects of the spatial data sets are inspected using quality control metrics and assessment procedures. They are implemented using the Structured Query Language (SQL) extended with spatial functions. The PostGreSQL-PostGIS database has been selected for this purpose. Five types of geometry inconsistencies are checked : redundancy (same geometry, same geometry with different attributes), overlapping (geometries having a surface in common), invalid, null, size (geometry area or length greater than a threshold). They are corrected using a rules based system. The following pseudo code illustrates the principle (Table 3)

The data quality processes are chained with a data structuring task used to organize the input data sets into main tables. This is especially the case for the BD Topo® data set that are grouped in two layers : *BUILDING* and *ROADS*. *e.g* the *BUILDING* table contains all features from the three vector layers undefined, industrial or remarkable building theme.

data set	Description
BD Topo®	Topographic data, in vector format, provided by the French National Geographical Institute (IGN) (see http://professionnels.ign.fr/bdtopo). The data are classified in ten topics. Each topic contains a set of layers distributed in a GIS file format. <i>e.g</i> "BUILDING" theme includes undefined, industrial or remarkable building layers, ...
Parcels	Cadastral parcels, in vector format, provided by IGN (see http://professionnels.ign.fr/bdparcellaire)
Gridded population	This data set depicts the distribution of human population across the french territory. The data is distributed by the French National Institute for Statistics and Economic Studies (INSEE) (see https://www.insee.fr/en/accueil)
IRIS contouring	The IRIS contouring contains a set of polygons that represents an area of 2,000 grouping inhabitants. The median area is about 740 ha, and maximal size is of 36,700 ha. This data set is provided by IGN.

Table 1: Input spatial data sets used by the MApUCE tool chain

data set	Description
Households survey	The french households survey is provided by the National Institute of Statistics and Economics and Studies (INSEE). This survey is linked to the IRIS contouring thanks to a key index.

Table 2: Input statistical data set used by MApUCE tool chain

123 1.3.2. Step 2: RSU computing

124 Based on the dual of a Delaunay triangulation, a new partitioning of
125 the urban territory is computed. The properties of the Voronoï tessellation
126 are used to create new districts. The boundaries correspond to the medial
127 axis of negative area of the union of the cadastral parcels. A district is called
128 Reference Spatial Unit (RSU). The RSU geometries are stored into one table.
129 They are computed municipality by municipality. Each RSU is related to one

```

1 if the geometry is null
2     then delete
3 else if the geometry is invalid
4     then correct
5 if the geometry overlaps another geometry
6     then remove the part of the geometry that have
        lowest overlapping area
7 ...

```

Table 3: Pseudo code to control and fix the geometry quality

and only one municipality using a national index named in the data.

1.3.3. Step 3: Data enriching

Data enriching is the final step of the data preprocessing stage. It involves to integrate new variables on the two tables *BUILDING* and *RSU*. The integration is solved by chaining spatial analysis methods and aggregating processes. The tables 4 and 5 list the final variables computed.

The final result of this data pre-processing task is a set of 4 tables stored in a PostGIS database. They are the main entries for the MAppUCE tool chain (implying the computation of the required urban indicators). The Table 6 gives some statistics about the number of features yet processed.

2. Method

2.1. Morphological indicators

The calculation method of a wide range of indicators is presented in this section. These indicators are specifically used for energy or urban climate applications [28] but they are also useful for planning purpose in some other fields [29]. Some of them are specific to the calculation scale: they are called "primary indicators". The others are aggregated from primary indicators calculated at lower level: they are called "derived indicators".

Three scales are considered for the morphological indicators production: building, blocks and RSU (Figure 2). A block is an aggregation of buildings that have at least one point in common when intersected.

Variable	Description	Method	Step
pk_build	Building unique identifier	Incremental value (Primary Key)	1
the_geom	Building geometry	Geometry of the building	1
insee_code	Id of the commune that contains the building	Unique key value that refers to a municipality	3
pk_rsu	Id of the RSU that contains the building	Unique key value that refers to a RSU geometry. A spatial join process is used with a constrained area. e.g. If a building overlaps two RSU, the affected pk_rsu is the one corresponding to the maximal intersected area	3
h	Building height available in the BD Topo	-	1
h_fixed	Corrected height (calculated from an iterative process using indicators computed in the section 2)	<p>If $h = 0$ or <i>Null</i> then {if $\frac{h_std_{rsu}}{h_mean_{rsu}} < 0.5$ then $h_fixed = round(h_std_{rsu} - h_mean_{rsu})$ else $h_fixed = round(h_mean_{rsu})$ else $\{h_fixed = h\}$</p>	3
nb_level	Number of level deduced from $h_correct$	<p>For building with the <i>indifferencie</i> theme, if $h_fixed \geq 3$ $nb_level = round((h_fixed - \frac{4}{3}) + 1)$ else $nb_level = 1$</p>	3
insee_inhab	Number of inhabitants	Derived from INSEE 200m gridded cells	3
theme	Name of BDTopo theme	Building theme from BD Topo : <i>industriel</i> (industrial), <i>remarquable</i> (remarkable) or <i>indifferencie</i> (undistinguished)	3

Table 4: List of variables in the BUILDING table

Variable	Description	Method	Step
pk_rsu	RSU unique identifier	Incremental value (Primary Key)	1
the_geom	RSUs geometry	Geometry of the RSU	2
veget_surface	Total vegetation surface	Area of vegetation intersecting the RSU	3
road_surface	Total road surface	Area of roads intersecting the RSU. This area is determined thanks to a width attribute included in the road layer. Spatial processes, using buffer and intersection are done to compute this area.	3
road_length	Total road length	Length of roads intersecting the RSU	3
sidewalk_length	Total length of sidewalk	Perimeter of the geometry resulting from the union of contiguous parcels	3
hydro_surface	Total hydrographic surface	Area of hydrological objects (based on <i>RESERVOIR_EAU</i> and <i>SURFACE_EAU</i> layers from BD Topo) intersecting the RSU	3
hydro_length	Total hydrographic length	Length of hydrological objects (based on <i>TRONCONCOURS_EAU</i> layer from BD Topo) intersecting the RSU	3
insee_inhabit	Number of inhabitants	*	3
insee_hh	Number of household	Number of households having a principal residence. *	3
insee_hh_coll	Number of households in collective dwellings	Number of households living in collective housing. *	3
insee_men_surf	Cumulative Surfaces of Main Residences in square meters	Cumulated area of housings for households having a principal residence computed in square meter. *	3
insee_surf_col	Estimation of the area of collective housing	Estimation of collective housing from INSEE indicators. *	3
insee_code	French municipality unique identifier	Transferring the municipality identifier from the municipality layer to the RSU geometry using a spatial join.	3

* Derived from INSEE 200m gridded cells

Table 5: List of variables in the RSU table

Data set	Description	Number of features
BUILDINGS	French buildings	8 942 135
ROADS	French road network	17 043 575
RSU	Reference Spatial Units	454 308
MUNICIPALITIES	French municipalities	36 553

Table 6: Number of features available after the pre-processing task




Figure 2: The three scales of analysis

153 2.1.1. For buildings

154 27 indicators are computed at the building scale (Table 7).

155

Name	Description	Method	Biblio
area	Building area	Area of the building geometry (footprint)	[23]
floor_area	Building floor area	$area \cdot nb_level$	
vol	Building volume	$area \cdot h_fixed$	
perimeter	Building perimeter	Perimeter of the building geometry	
perimeter_cvx	Building convexhull perimeter		
form_factor	Building form factor	$\frac{area}{perimeter^2}$	[30]
nb_neighbor	Building number of neighbor	Number of buildings that are in contact (at least one point) with the building of interest	[23]

Table 7: List of primary building indicators

Name	Description	Method	Biblio
b_wall_area	Total area of building walls (including holes)	Sum of the linear of facades multiplied by the building height	
p_wall_long	Total length of common (party) walls	Sum of the linear of facades that are in contact with other buildings	
p_wall_area	Total area of common (party) walls	When the building has a common linear of facade with another one, the common wall area is the linear of facades multiplied by the height of the smallest building. Then the sum of these areas is realized for each neighbors in contact with the building.	
free_ext_area	Area of free external facades, that are in contact with the air	$\sum b_wall_area - \sum p_wall_area + \sum area$	
concavity	Building concavity	Building area divided by its convex hulls area	[31, 32]
contiguity	Building contiguity	$\frac{p_wall_area}{b_wall_area}$	[28]
compacity_r	Building raw compacity	$\frac{b_wall_area + area}{volume^{\frac{2}{3}}}$	[25]
compacity_n	Building net compacity	$\frac{free_ext_area}{volume^{\frac{2}{3}}}$	
compactness	Building compactness	Ratio between the building perimeter and the perimeter of a circle having the same area	[29, 33]
main_dir	Building main direction (in degree)	The main direction is defined as the direction given by the longest side of the building Smallest Minimum Bounding Rectangle (SMBR)	[34, 35]

Table 7: List of primary building indicators

Name	Description	Method	Biblio
p_vol_ratio	Building passive volume ratio. This ratio can be expressed as the building portion that can be naturally lit and ventilated.	Area taken up to 6 m from a free facade inside the building, then divided by the building area	[36, 37, 29]
fractal_dim	Building fractal dimension	$2 \cdot \frac{\log(perimeter)}{\log(area)}$	[38, 39]
min_dist	Distance between the building of interest and the closest building which is in the same RSU	Minimum distance between the building of interest and the other ones in the same RSU	
max_dist	Distance between the building of interest and the furthest building which is in the same RSU	Maximum distance between the building of interest and the other ones in the same RSU	
mean_dist	Mean distance between the building of interest and the other buildings which are in the same RSU		[40]
std_dist	Population standard deviation distance between the building of interest and the other buildings which are in the same RSU		
num_points	Building number of points	Count the building number of points after removing duplicate (e.g startpoint and endpoint are counted once)	[23]

Table 7: List of primary building indicators

Name	Description	Method	Biblio
<code>l_3m</code>	Linear of building walls next to road	For each building, total length of walls that are less than 3m far from the road	
<code>l_ratio</code>	Part of building walls next to road	$\frac{l_{3m}}{perimeter}$	
<code>l_ratio_cvx</code>	Ratio between linear of building walls next to road and the building convex-hull perimeter	$\frac{l_{3m}}{perimeter_{cvx}}$	

Table 7: List of primary building indicators

156 *2.1.2. For blocks*

157 A total of 9 indicators are computed at the block scale (tables 8 and 9).

158

Name	Description	Method	Biblio
<code>area</code>	Building area composing the block	Footprint area	
<code>compacity</code>	Block net compacity	$\frac{\sum free_ext_area}{Sumvol}^{\frac{2}{3}}$	
<code>main_dir</code>	Block main direction	The main direction is defined as the direction given by the longest side of the blocks Smallest Minimum Bounding Rectangle (SMBR)	
<code>holes_area</code>	Area of holes in a block		[23]
<code>holes_ratio</code>	Ratio between the holes area and the blocks area	$\frac{holes_area}{area+holes_area}$	

Table 8: List of primary block indicators

159 *2.1.3. For RSU*

160 A total of 9 indicators are computed at RSU scale (tables 10 and 11).

161

Name	Description	Aggregation method	Biblio
floor_area	Block floor area	$\sum floor_area$	
vol	Block volume	$\sum vol$	
h_mean	Block mean height	$\frac{\sum area \cdot h_fixed}{\sum area}$	[28]
h_std	Block standard deviation height	Block population standard deviation building height	

Table 9: List of derived block indicators

Name	Description	Formula	Biblio
build_numb	Number of buildings in the RSU		
dist_to_center	Distance to the city center	Distance between RSU centroid and the city center	

Table 10: List of primary RSU indicators

Name	Description	Aggregation method	Biblio
area	Building area in the RSU	$\sum area_{build}$	
floor_area	Building floor area in the RSU	$\sum floor_area_{build}$	
floor_ratio	Building floor area ratio	$\frac{\sum floor_area_{build}}{rsu_area}$	[25, 28, 41, 42, 43]
vol	Building volume	$\sum vol_{build}$	
vol_m	Building mean volume	$\frac{\sum vol_{build}}{build_numb}$	
ext_env_area	Building external area	$\sum free_ext_area_{build}$	
compac_m_w	Building weighted mean capacity	$\frac{\sum capacity_n_{build} \cdot area_{build}}{\sum area_{build}}$	
compac_m	Building non-weighted mean capacity	$\frac{\sum capacity_n_{build}}{build_numb}$	
contig_m	Building mean contiguity	$\frac{\sum contiguity_{build}}{build_numb}$	

Table 11: List of derived RSU indicators

Name	Description	Aggregation method	Biblio
contig_std	Building standard deviation contiguity	Population standard deviation contiguity of buildings	
main_dir_std	Main direction of buildings standard deviation	Population standard deviation main direction of buildings	
h_mean	Building mean height	$\frac{\sum area_{build} \cdot h_{fixed_{build}}}{\sum area_{build}}$	
h_std	Building standard deviation height	Population standard deviation height of buildings	
p_vol_ratio_m	Building mean passive volume ratios	$\frac{\sum floor_area_{build} \cdot p_vol_ratio_{build}}{\sum floor_area_{build}}$	
min_m_dist	Mean of the minimum distance between buildings that are in the same RSU	$\frac{\sum min_dist_{build}}{build_numb}$	
mean_m_dist	Mean of the mean distance between buildings that are in the same RSU	$\frac{\sum mean_dist_{build}}{build_numb}$	
mean_std_dist	Standard deviation of the mean distance between buildings that are in the same RSU	Population standard deviation of the mean distance between buildings that are in the same RSU	
bl_hole_area_m	Mean courtyard ratio of blocks within an RSU	$\frac{\sum holes_ratio_{block} \cdot area_{block}}{\sum area_{block}}$	
bl_std_h_mean	Mean of the standard deviation height of buildings, computed at the blocks scale within a RSU.	$\frac{\sum h_std_{block} \cdot area_{block}}{\sum area_{block}}$	
bl_m_nw_comp	Block non weighted mean compactness	$\frac{\sum compactness_n_{block}}{block_numb}$	

Table 11: List of derived RSU indicators

Name	Description	Aggregation method	Biblio
bl_m_w_comp	Block weighted mean capacity	$\frac{\sum capacity_n_{block} \cdot area_{block}}{\sum area_{block}}$	
bl_std_comp	Blocks standard deviation capacity	Population standard deviation of block capacities	
build_density	Building density in the RSU (based on the RSU area called "rsu_area", computed on the fly)	$\frac{\sum area_{build}}{rsu_area}$	[25, 23, 42, 41, 43, 28]
hydro_density	Hydrographic areas density in the RSU	$\frac{hydro_surface}{rsu_area}$	[44, 45, 46]
veget_density	Vegetation areas density in the RSU	$\frac{veget_surface}{rsu_area}$	[44, 45, 46]
road_density	Road areas density in the RSU	$\frac{road_surface}{rsu_area}$	[44, 45, 46]

Table 11: List of derived RSU indicators

162 2.2. Urban fabric typology

163 Energy consumption and urban climate issues differ greatly throughout
164 a city depending on the urban structure, the building use and the socio-
165 economic profile of the inhabitants. Ten french types of urban fabric have
166 been identified using a review of technical literature combined with the result
167 of a survey addressed to urbanists [47] (note that these types have been
168 recently modified to fit with the LCZ classes [48]) (Figure 3).

169 These classes have been used to automatically classify the urban fabric of
170 any french municipality into each of this urban type. For this purpose, a su-
171 pervised classification method has been used. First, a sample of 27,096 build-
172 ings from 7 french conurbations have been manually classified from satellite
173 images according to a predefined typological identification procedure (Fig-
174 ure 4). Second, a classification algorithm is established to automatically
175 allocate a building to one of these typological classes from its 78 morpholog-
176 ical and 6 socioeconomic indicators values. For this purpose, 6 supervised
177 classification methods are tested, based on 70 % of the buildings total sam-
178 ple. Finally, each of these methods are evaluated from the last third of the
179 building sample (30% of the total sample). The classification obtained using



Figure 3: Typological classes used to classify the urban fabric

180 the regression tree analysis is finally selected since it has the lowest predic-
 181 tion error (11.06%). Any building from any French municipality may then
 182 be classified according to the corresponding algorithm as well as the mor-
 183 phological and socioeconomic indicators. The dominant building typological
 184 class within a RSU is finally selected to characterize the RSU scale. Further
 185 details regarding the methodology is available in Faraut et al. [20], Masson
 186 et al. [21].




Figure 4: Production of the sample data set

187 3. Implementation

188 The development of standards for data description and data exchange (in-
189 teroperability) as well as the arrival of the concept of Spatial Data Infrastruc-
190 ture (SDI) facilitate the interconnection of systems and the implementation
191 of systemic approaches [49, 50]. Several issues have been solved by the Ge-
192 ographical Information Sciences (GIS) community in order to unify systems
193 and tools and to organize the knowledge in the fields of spatial analysis and
194 cartography. The MApUCE geoprocessing framework takes profit of these
195 trends. Based on open source tools, open standards and ready for open data,
196 it relies on full transparency and explicit references to both methods and
197 data to target: verifiability, cross-disciplinary studies, re-use, compatibility
198 [51, 52].

199 3.1. Languages

200 To develop an open processing framework, two languages have been se-
201 lected: SQL and R. The first one has been used to formalize spatial reasoning
202 and to describe the morphological indicators. The second one has been cho-
203 sen to carry out statistical analysis.

204 3.1.1. From indicators to SQL scripts

205 Various approaches have been proposed to manipulate spatial data and
206 formalize spatial analysis [53, 54]. From the Map Algebra language [55] to
207 GeoScript⁵ or GeoSPARQL [56], developers and scientists have shown great
208 imagination and originality to propose extensions or new syntaxes and opera-
209 tors to query geographical informations, including 3D, temporal, topological
210 features, *etc.* Nevertheless, the Structured Query Language (SQL) extended
211 with spatial capabilities remains the heart of many GIS applications. SQL
212 spatial offers several advantages :

- 213 • the preservation of SQL concepts such as the ability to *Create* a new
214 entry, as well as *Read*, *Update* and *Delete* existing entries in a data set
215 containing geometries (CRUD),
- 216 • the incorporation of spatial operations and relationships normalized
217 by the Open Geospatial Consortium specified in the OpenGIS Simple
218 Features Specification for SQL [57, 58],

⁵<http://geoscript.org/> accessed July 2017

219 • a comprehensible and human readable language.

220 The developments of the open-source relational database PostgreSQL⁶
221 with the spatial extender PostGIS⁷ are a key of this success. PostGIS offers
222 a flexible analytical tool to organize spatial analysis allowing overlay, spa-
223 tial joining and spatial summaries. Despite the NOSQL trend, the use of
224 SQL spatial grows in the last years due to the development of new spatial
225 databases like SpatialLite⁸ or H2GIS⁹ [59]. Therefore, to facilitate the reuse
226 of the morphological indicators available in the MApUCE processing chain,
227 each indicator has been described in SQL spatial.

228
229 An illustration with the form factor indicator (FF_{build}), calculated at the
230 building level is given below.

$$FF_{build} = \frac{S_{build}}{L_{build}^2} \quad (1)$$

231 Where
232 - S_{build} is the building area
233 - L_{build} is the building length (perimeter)

234
235 Translated into a SQL script, the form factor is computed using two spa-
236 tial operators "ST_Area" and "ST_Length" and one mathematical function
237 ("Power") (Table 12).

238
239 This kind of approach allows to describe in a generic way a set of indi-
240 cators that will be applied in any Relational DataBase Management System
241 (RDBMS) that supports the SQL spatial standard.

242 3.1.2. R language to build the urban fabric classification

243 R¹⁰ is one of the most famous statistical analysis tool. Using R provides
244 a broad range of advantages. It incorporates a great number of the standard
245 statistical methods and it is a comprehensive language for managing and

⁶<https://www.postgresql.org/> accessed in july 2017

⁷<http://postgis.net/> accessed in july 2017

⁸<http://www.gaia-gis.it/gaia-sins/> accessed in july 2017

⁹<http://www.h2gis.org/> accessed in july 2017

¹⁰<https://www.r-project.org/> accessed in july 2017

```

1  -- Drop the table if it already exists
2  DROP TABLE IF EXISTS BUILD_FORM_FACTOR;
3  -- Create the table and compute the form factor value
4  CREATE TABLE BUILD_FORM_FACTOR (PK integer primary key,
   FORM_FACTOR double)
5  AS SELECT PK, ST_AREA( THE_GEOM ) / POWER( ST_LENGTH(
   THE_GEOM ), 2 ) AS FORM_FACTOR
6  FROM BUILDINGS;

```

Table 12: SQL script to compute the building form factor

manipulating data. The R interpreted language permits to easily and quickly create new computational methods. Moreover, R is driven by an important community that provides an impressive list of packages that do everything: data loading, manipulation, visualization and modelling as well as results reporting in various application fields such as finance, biology or any time series or spatial application, *etc.*

To compute the typology of the urban fabric, two R scripts are written. The first one is used to elaborate the decision trees model (Table 13) and the second one is executed to predict the typology classes of each buildings (Table 14). The scripts take advantage of the two packages called *randomForest* [60] and *RPostgreSQL* [61].

To extract the first and second main type of urban fabric, the result of the typology prediction at building scale is aggregated at RSU level based on the percentage of the floor area.

3.2. The MApUCE tools chain

The MApUCE tools chain implements the methodology and algorithms described previously to compute indicators and urban fabric classification. It is established around the concept of SDI in order to overcome inconsistencies in data structure as well as in data querying and to break the barriers to share and re-use spatial processing or results. The SDI includes several components (Figure 5):

- a "Web Processing Service"¹¹ system to execute treatments in a documented and standardized way, available as a service using H2GIS,

¹¹<http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/wps> accessed in july 2017

```

1 ## Load packages
2 library(randomForest)
3 library(RPostgreSQL)
4
5 ## Import the training data from the database
6 ## con object is the connection to the database
7 training_data= dbGetQuery(con, "SELECT * FROM building_
   training")
8
9 ## Build model
10 treesModel=randomForest(i_typo~.,data=training_data,
   ntree=500,mtry=7,replace=TRUE)
11
12 ## Save the model
13 save(treesModel,file="mapuce_model.RData")

```

Table 13: Pseudo-R script to create the decision trees model

```

1 ## Load packages
2 library(randomForest)
3 library(RPostgreSQL)
4
5 ### Load the model based on the morphological train data
6 treesModel=get(load(model_path))
7
8 ## Get the data to predict from the database
9 ## The buildings_to_predict is a temporary table created
   on the fly with a SQL command. It contains all the
   indicators at building, block and RSU scales
10 data_to_predict = dbGetQuery(con, "SELECT * FROM
   buildings_to_predict")
11
12 ## Apply the predict function to compute the typological
   class for each building
13 typology=predict(treesModel,data_to_predict,type="class"
   )

```

Table 14: Pseudo-R script to predict the urban fabric typological class

- 269 Renjin¹² and managed from the OrbisGIS¹³ GIS platform [62],
- 270 • a spatial database management system to store all data (reference,
- 271 input and results), using the PostGreSQL and PostGIS applications,
- 272 • a cartographic server, named GeoServer¹⁴, to publish maps within a
- 273 standardized image stream, based on the "Web Map Service¹⁵" spec-
- 274 ification,
- 275 • a web cartographic portal to restitute in a user friendly way the results
- 276 of the geoprocessing tools chain.




Figure 5: Components of the MAPUCE SDI tools chain

277 3.2.1. The Web Processing Service

278 The OrbisServer is the main piece of the SDI. It has been developed on
 279 top of the H2GIS database [59] and the Apache Groovy¹⁶ programming lan-
 280 guage. OrbisServer implements the version 2.0 of the Web Processing Service

¹²<http://www.renjin.org/> accessed in july 2017

¹³<http://orbisgis.org/> accessed in july 2017

¹⁴<http://geoserver.org/> accessed in july 2017

¹⁵<http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/wms> accessed in july 2017

¹⁶<http://groovy-lang.org/> accessed in july 2017

standard approved by the Open Geospatial Consortium. The WPS defines a standardized interface to facilitate the publishing of geospatial processes and to discover and execute those processes by a client. A WPS implementation allows to establish geospatial service chains in a distributed way.

In practice, the SQL and the R scripts used to process the data in the MApUCE framework are exposed as web processes. A web process is described from a groovy script that contains: a list of input data, a run method to execute the process and a list of output data. The Table 15 gives an example for the building form factor indicator. The script is defined with one input data corresponding to the buildings table (in the database) and one output data being a message warning the user that the processing method has been run.

To execute the R scripts, OrbisServer integrates the Renjin engine. Renjin is a JVM-based interpreter dedicated to the R language (for statistical computing [63]). Aside its capabilities, the biggest advantage of Renjin is that the R interpreter itself is a Java module which can be seamlessly integrated into any Java application (this is the case for the MApUCE SDI).

The WPS scripts are managed from the OrbisGIS user interface. A toolbox plugin lists the 6 processes offered by the OrbisServer application (described in section 4).

3.2.2. The spatial database

As explained previously, the input data, the morphological indicators and the urban fabric classification results are stored in a PostgreSQL-PostGIS database. The database communicates with the OrbisServer to serve data to the scripts. The results are stored in 6 tables (Figure 6). These tables are suffixed with the name "METROPOLE" to isolate the geographical data that cover the french metropolitan territory. Each feature is linked to a municipality area using a key index.

3.2.3. The Cartographic server

The Geoserver application is used to publish the data available in the spatial database. Geoserver is advantageous because it is open source and conform to the main OGC standards such as Web Map Service (WMS) or Web Feature Service (WFS). It is stable since few years and it offers a well suited user interface to control spatial data access. From GeoServer, the

```

1  /** String input of the process */
2  @LiteralDataInput(
3      title="Buildings▯table",
4      description="Name▯of▯the▯buildings▯table")
5  String buildingsTable
6
7  /** SQL code to execute with some metadata */
8  @Process(title = "Building▯form▯factor",
9      description = "Compute▯the▯building▯form▯factor"
10     )
11  def processing() {
12      -- Drop the table if it already exists
13      DROP TABLE IF EXISTS BUILD_FORM_FACTOR;
14      -- Create the table and compute the form factor value
15      CREATE TABLE BUILD_FORM_FACTOR (PK integer primary key,
16          FORM_FACTOR double)
17      AS SELECT PK, ST_AREA( THE_GEOM ) / POWER( ST_LENGTH(
18          THE_GEOM), 2) AS FORM_FACTOR)
19      FROM $buildingsTable; //The input table name
20
21      literalOutput =    The form factor indicator has been
22                        computed
23  }
24
25  /** String output of the process */
26  @LiteralDataOutput(
27      title="Output▯message",
28      description="The▯output▯message")
29  String literalOutput

```

Table 15: Example of a WPS script

317 MApUCE SDI delivers a set of WMS layers styled with the Style Layer
318 Descriptor¹⁷ (SLD) standard. These layers represent the morphological in-
319 dicators at RSU scale. Their rendering is automatically updated after each
320 change in the database.

¹⁷<http://www.opengeospatial.org/standards/sld> accessed in july 2017




Figure 6: Data model of tables finally produced

3.2.4. The web cartographic portal

The web cartographic portal is based on the javascript framework mviewer¹⁸. mviewer is a responsive template to build simple and elegant web mapping applications organized around one configuration file. The configuration file contains informations:

- to customize the *look and feel* of the portal,
- to build a thematic sidebar that lists a set of layers (WMS or geojson file),
- to add tools on the map such as zooming and distance measurement, map sharing from a permalink, ...

4. Results

Three types of results have been obtained. The first concerns the indicators and the classification produced from the MApUCE data. The second and the third are the description of respectively the MApUCE toolbox and the MApUCE web cartographic portal.

4.1. MApUCE data

Currently, 80 of the main french urban areas have been processed, representing 2,238 municipalities (6% of the total number in France), 3,726,108

¹⁸<https://github.com/geobretagne/mviewer> accessed in july 2017

339 buildings (41%) and 181,752 RSU (40%). Those computed areas are repre-
340 sented in Figure 7.




Figure 7: Cartography of the processed french urban areas (red polygons) (base map : OpenStreetMap)

341 An overview of the results obtained for the three scales is presented Ta-
342 ble 16. Two types of maps are provided. The first one uses a *unique symbol*
343 *representation* to display the raw geometries. The second one shows the dis-
344 tribution of two variables using a *choropleth technique* : the building height
345 and the compacity.

346

347 The result of the classification at both building and RSU scales is illus-
348 trated in Figure 8 : the distribution of urban tissue is shown using a *unique*
349 *values classification*.

350 4.2. The MAPUCE toolbox: An interface to execute the complete chain

351 As described in the section 3 ("Implementation"), a dedicated user in-
352 terface called MAPuCE toolbox has been developed to execute the complete




Table 16: Maps for the three scales, zoomed in the city center of Nantes

353 chain through the open-source GIS software OrbisGIS (Figure 9). It takes
 354 profit of the GIS capabilities to navigate, represent and query the data.
 355 This MAPuCE interface allows non-expert users to execute processes and to
 356 obtain data depending on their study area (defined by at least one munic-



Figure 8: Comparison between the satellite image (top left) and the classification results at the building level (top right) and RSU level (bottom right) in the french municipality of Toulouse

pality).

This dedicated interface is provided as a free plugin, making available a set of 6 scripts in the OrbisGIS Toolbox panel (top right red rectangle in Figure 9 and zoomed in Figure 10). For each script, a user interface is generated on the fly, offering to the user to choose some options and to set parameter values for the computation.

Two needs are answered by the plugin: either to get the final and the intermediate results using the step-by-step processing scripts, either to obtain only the final results (indicators and classification).

4.2.1. Step-by-step processing

1- Import commune areas

This script has to be executed at first to return the list of available municipalities which are ready to be processed. The user is invited to enter his




Figure 9: OrbisGIS UI




Figure 10: OrbisGISs Toolbox and the MAPuCE plugin

login and password¹⁹ and then to press the green arrow icon to execute the script (Figure 11).

2- Import the USR (french translation for RSU), buildings and roads

¹⁹For security reasons the remote database can only be accessed through personal accounts.




Figure 11: User Interface of the script called "1-Import commune areas"

377 The script number 2 will import all required data, related to the munic-
 378 ipalities that users are invited to select in a dropdown list. The selection is
 379 made through the "INSEE Code" which is the french unique identifier for
 380 municipalities (Figure 12).

381 **3- Compute morphological indicators**

382
 383
 384 Once data are imported, this script will automatically compute all the
 385 indicators. The user has nothing to do except pressing the "execute" button.
 386

387 **4- Classify the BUILDING and USR (RSU) features**

388
 389 Based on computed indicators, this script will perform the random forest
 390 classification. The user has nothing to do except pressing the "execute"
 391 button.

392 *4.2.2. Direct final results obtention*

393 It is possible to obtain directly the final tables (indicators and classifica-
 394 tion) using the two following scripts.





Figure 12: User Interface of the script called "2-Import the USR, buildings and roads"

Import computed indicators

This script is used to download data that have already been computed on the server side and are thus available in the spatial database. The user must fill his login and password, choose the spatial unit scale (*commune* (CODE_INSEE) or *urban area*) and select the corresponding identifiers.

Complete geoprocessing chain

This script is used to run the complete geoprocessing chain in a single step (*i.e.* import the data, process the indicators and classify the urban fabric) in the case where the municipalities have not been yet processed on the server side. The user must fill his login and password, choose the spatial unit scale ("*municipality*" (CODE_INSEE) or "*urban area*" (UNITE_URBAINE)) and select the corresponding identifiers (Figure 13).



Choose one (or many) municipality(ies)

Choose one (or many) urban area(s)

Figure 13: User interface for the script "Complete geoprocessing chain"

4.3. The MApUCE web cartographic portal

The result are available accessing the mapuce.orbisgis.org web cartographic portal. People can navigate into the map and choose to display a set of layers, grouped into three categories:

- spatial units : urban area, already computed municipalities and RSU boundaries,
- RSU indicators : thematic analysis based on several morphological indicators,
- typology : building classification at the RSU scale.

420 To comply with data licences, only RSU results are presented. Two
 421 screenshots are presented in Figure 14 to illustrate the type of maps that
 422 can be consulted by users.




Figure 14: Screenshots of the mapuce.orbisgis.org portal, zoomed on the french city of Vannes (left: thematic analysis of the building mean compactness at the RSU scale / right: building classification by RSU)

423 Conclusion & Prospect

424 We have proposed an open geoprocessing framework to calculate stan-
 425 dardized urban indicators useful for urban climate application and also for
 426 planning purpose in some other fields.

427
 428 Morphological indicators have been computed at three different scales :
 429 building, block and the Reference Spatial Unit (RSU). The boundaries of the
 430 RSU are generated by a Voronoï tessellation from the legal boundaries spec-
 431 ified in the cadastral map. This scale is appropriate to analyze the climate
 432 property heterogeneity of the urban fabric within an urban area. However,
 433 geometric issues have been observed in certain RSU: some of them are too
 434 small, have weird shape or they separate buildings in two parts (Figure 15).
 435 Investigations should be realized to overcome this issue, for example using
 436 the road network to slice the territory.



Figure 15: Two examples of geometric issues on RSU: too small (left), weird shape (right)

Indicator calculations are based on geographical databases which are available and homogeneous for the french territory. Preprocessing tasks have been performed by [19] to clean and structure those data, to create the RSU and to enrich building and RSU tables by database cross-feeding. Because the french databases used are updated every year, the preprocessing task as well as the indicators calculation may be applied on annual data in order to make diachronic analysis. The use of open data such as OpenStreetMap²⁰ may also be investigated to generate worldwide homogeneous information.

27 morphological indicators have been calculated at building scale, 9 at block scale and 28 for the RSU. These 64 indicators are finally affected to each building and are used (together with socioeconomic indicators calculated by Plumejeaud-Perreau et al. [19]) to classify buildings according to 10 typological classes defined by urbanists, architects and using technical literature. The supervised classification method used has a prediction error of about 11% [20]. The dominant building typological class within a RSU is selected to characterize the RSU scale. Indicator calculation and classification application may now be extended to the entire french territory. However, the list of the calculated indicators is not irrevocable. An overall reflection may be performed to both identify existing indicators that are redundant or not

²⁰<https://www.openstreetmap.org/> accessed in july 2017

457 relevant and new indicators to improve the classification process.

458

459 The overall processing chain is uniquely composed of open-source tools
460 and close to open standards: the OrbisGIS platform is used for morphological
461 indicator calculation and Renjin is used for building and RSU classification.
462 A free OrbisGIS plug-in called MAPuCE is available for any user interested
463 in applying the processing chain or to analyze the results obtained for the
464 municipality of its choice. It is also possible to access the results directly on
465 the internet from a web portal dedicated to this work. Future work implies
466 to give the opportunity to any user to produce the indicators through a full
467 distributed service.

468

469 Finally, the results of this paper offers new opportunities to extend the
470 WUDAPT database at a finest scale.

471 **Acknowledgements**

472 The research and developments presented in this paper have been made
473 within the MAPUCE project, funded by the French National Research Agency
474 (ANR).

475 **References**

- 476 [1] U. Nations, World Urbanization Prospects: The 2014 Revision, 2015.
- 477 [2] G. Levermore, J. Parkinson, K. Lee, P. Laycock, S. Lindley, The in-
478 creasing trend of the urban heat island intensity, Urban Climate (2017).
- 479 [3] J. He, J. Liu, D. Zhuang, W. Zhang, M. Liu, Assessing the effect of
480 land use/land cover change on the change of urban heat island intensity,
481 Theoretical and Applied Climatology 90 (2007) 217–226.
- 482 [4] L. Chen, E. Ng, X. An, C. Ren, M. Lee, U. Wang, Z. He, Sky view
483 factor analysis of street canyons and its implications for daytime intra-
484 urban air temperature differentials in high-rise, high-density urban areas
485 of hong kong: a gis-based simulation approach, International Journal of
486 Climatology 32 (2012) 121–136.
- 487 [5] T. R. Oke, City size and the urban heat island, Atmospheric Environ-
488 ment 7 (1973) 769–779.

- 489 [6] H.-S. Park, Features of the heat island in seoul and its surrounding
490 cities, *Atmospheric Environment* 20 (1986) 1859–1866.
- 491 [7] K. Laaidi, A. Zeghnoun, B. Dousset, P. Bretin, S. Vandentorren, E. Gi-
492 raudet, P. Beaudeau, The impact of heat islands on mortality in paris
493 during the august 2003 heat wave, *Environmental health perspectives*
494 120 (2012) 254.
- 495 [8] S. Conti, P. Meli, G. Minelli, R. Solimini, V. Toccaceli, M. Vichi, C. Bel-
496 trano, L. Perini, Epidemiologic study of mortality during the summer
497 2003 heat wave in italy, *Environmental research* 98 (2005) 390–399.
- 498 [9] Y. Hirano, Y. Yoshida, Assessing the effects of co 2 reduction strategies
499 on heat islands in urban areas, *Sustainable Cities and Society* 26 (2016)
500 383–392.
- 501 [10] C. de Munck, G. Pigeon, V. Masson, F. Meunier, P. Bousquet,
502 B. Tréméac, M. Merchat, P. Poeuf, C. Marchadier, How much can
503 air conditioning increase air temperatures for a city like paris, france?,
504 *International Journal of Climatology* 33 (2013) 210–227.
- 505 [11] M. Santamouris, L. Ding, F. Fiorito, P. Oldfield, P. Osmond, R. Paolini,
506 D. Prasad, A. Synnefa, Passive and active cooling for the outdoor built
507 environment—analysis and assessment of the cooling potential of mitiga-
508 tion technologies using performance data from 220 large scale projects,
509 *Solar Energy* (2016).
- 510 [12] Y. Kikegawa, Y. Genchi, H. Kondo, K. Hanaki, Impacts of city-block-
511 scale countermeasures against urban heat-island phenomena upon a
512 buildings energy-consumption for air-conditioning, *Applied Energy* 83
513 (2006) 649–668.
- 514 [13] C. Grimmond, M. Blackett, M. Best, J. Barlow, J. Baik, S. Belcher,
515 S. Bohnenstengel, I. Calmet, F. Chen, A. Dandou, et al., The inter-
516 national urban energy balance models comparison project: first results
517 from phase 1, *Journal of applied meteorology and climatology* 49 (2010)
518 1268–1292.
- 519 [14] I. D. Stewart, Redefining the urban heat island, Ph.D. thesis, University
520 of British Columbia, 2011.

- 521 [15] I. D. Stewart, T. R. Oke, Local climate zones for urban temperature
522 studies, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 93 (2012) 1879–
523 1900.
- 524 [16] G. Mills, J. Ching, L. See, B. Bechtel, M. Foley, An introduction to the
525 wudapt project, in: 9th International Conference on Urban Climate,
526 Toulouse.
- 527 [17] B. Bechtel, M. Foley, G. Mills, J. Ching, L. See, P. Alexander, M. OCon-
528 nor, T. Albuquerque, M. de Fatima Andrade, M. Brovelli, et al., Census
529 of cities: Lcz classification of cities (level 0)–workflow and initial results
530 from various cities (2015).
- 531 [18] C. Böhringer, P. E. Jochem, Measuring the immeasurable a survey of
532 sustainability indices, *Ecological economics* 63 (2007) 1–8.
- 533 [19] C. Plumejeaud-Perreau, C. Poitevin, C. Pignon-Mussaud, N. Long,
534 Building Local Climate Zones by using socio-economic and topographic
535 vectorial databases, in: 9th International Conference on Urban Climate
536 (ICUC9), Météo-France, Toulouse, France.
- 537 [20] S. Faraut, M. Bonhomme, N. Tornay, A. Amoss, V. Masson, E. Bocher,
538 G. Petit, C. Plumejeaud, N. Long, G. Bretagne, R. Schoetter, Des bases
539 de données urbaines aux simulations énergétiques le projet mapuce, in:
540 Séminaire de conception architecturale numérique : Méthode et paramètre,
541 mesure et évaluation du projet, volume 1 of *SCAN'16 Toulouse*, cole Na-
542 tionale Supérieure d'Architecture de Toulouse, Presses Universitaires de
543 Nancy, Editions Universitaires de Lorraine, Toulouse, France, 2016.
- 544 [21] V. Masson, J. Hidalgo, A. Amossé, E. Bocher, M. Bonhomme, A. Bour-
545 geois, G. Bretagne, S. Caillerez, E. Cordeau, C. Demazeux, S. Faraut,
546 C. Gallato, S. Haoues-Jouve, M.-L. Lambert, A. Lemonsu, J.-P. Lévy,
547 N. Long, C.-X. Lopez, G. Petit, m. pellegrino, C. Pignon-Mussaud, C. J.
548 Plumejeaud, V. Ruff, R. Schoetter, N. Tornay, D. D. Vye, Urban Cli-
549 mate, Human behavior & Energy consumption : from LCZ mapping to
550 simulation and urban planning(the MAPUCE project), in: B. Beckers,
551 M. A. T. Pico, S. Jimenez (Eds.), *First International Conference on Ur-
552 ban Physics*, volume 1 of *FICUP 2016 First International Conference
553 on Urban Physics*, Pontifical Catholic University of Ecuador, UNDP
554 Ecuador, Quito, Ecuador, 2016, pp. 155–167.

- 555 [22] V. Oliveira, The elements of urban form, in: Urban Morphology,
556 Springer, 2016, pp. 7–30.
- 557 [23] S. Steiniger, T. Lange, D. Burghardt, R. Weibel, An approach for the
558 classification of urban building structures based on discriminant analysis
559 techniques, Transactions in GIS 12 (2008) 31–59.
- 560 [24] T. Oke, Boundary layer climates. 2nd, Methuen, 289p (1987).
- 561 [25] I. Berghauser-Pont, P. Haupt, The spacemate: density and the typo-
562 morphology of the urban fabric, Urbanism laboratory for cities and
563 regions: progress of research issues in urbanism (2007).
- 564 [26] J. Bouyer, C. Inard, M. Musy, Microclimatic coupling as a solution to
565 improve building energy simulation in an urban context, Energy and
566 Buildings 43 (2011) 1549 – 1559.
- 567 [27] J. Lesbegueries, N. Lachiche, A. Braud, G. Skupinski, A. Puissant,
568 J. Perret, A platform for spatial data labeling in an urban context,
569 Geospatial free and open source software in the 21st century (2012)
570 49–61.
- 571 [28] N. Gauthier, Analyses morphologiques de formes urbaines et Etude de
572 l'impact des formes urbaines sur les gains énergétiques solaires., Ph.D.
573 thesis, INSA de Strasbourg, 2014.
- 574 [29] W. E. Dramstad, Spatial metrics—useful indicators for society or
575 mainly fun tools for landscape ecologists?, Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift-
576 Norwegian Journal of Geography 63 (2009) 246–254.
- 577 [30] R. E. Horton, Drainage-basin characteristics, Eos, Transactions Amer-
578 ican Geophysical Union 13 (1932) 350–361.
- 579 [31] L. Adolphe, A simplified model of urban morphology: Application to an
580 analysis of the environmental performance of cities, Environment and
581 Planning B: Planning and Design 28 (2001) 183–200.
- 582 [32] A. P. d'URbanisme (APUR), Consommations d'énergie et missions de
583 gaz effet de serre lies au chauffage des rsidences principales parisiennes,
584 Technical Report, Atelier Parisien d'URbanisme (APUR), 2007.

- 585 [33] H. Gravelius, Grundriß der gesamten Gewässerkunde: in vier Bänden,
586 vol.1, Göschen, 1914.
- 587 [34] D. Rainsford, W. Mackaness, Template Matching in Support of Gener-
588 alisation of Rural Buildings, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 137–151.
- 589 [35] C. Duchne, S. Bard, X. Barillot, A. Ruas, J. Trvisan, F. Holzapfel, Quan-
590 titative and qualitative description of building orientation, in: Fifth
591 workshop on progress in automated map generalisation, ICA, commis-
592 sion on map generalisation.
- 593 [36] S. Salat, Les villes et les formes: sur l’urbanisme durable, Hermann
594 Editeurs, 2011.
- 595 [37] C. Ratti, N. Baker, K. Steemers, Energy consumption and urban tex-
596 ture, *Energy and Buildings* 37 (2005) 762 – 776.
- 597 [38] M. Herold, J. Scepan, K. C. Clarke, The use of remote sensing and
598 landscape metrics to describe structures and changes in urban land uses,
599 *Environment and Planning A* 34 (2002) 1443–1458.
- 600 [39] K. McGarigal, B. J. Marks, Fragstats: spatial pattern analysis program
601 for quantifying landscape structure., Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-351.
602 Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific
603 Northwest Research Station. 122 p. (1995).
- 604 [40] N. Colaninno, J. R. Cladera, K. Pfeffer, An automatic classification of
605 urban texture: form and compactness of morphological homogeneous
606 structures in barcelona, in: 51st European Congress of the Regional
607 Science Association International, pp. 1–20.
- 608 [41] E. R. Alexander, Density measures: A review and analysis, *Journal of*
609 *Architectural and Planning Research* 10 (1993) 181–202.
- 610 [42] M. Berghauser-Pont, P. Haupt, Space, density and urban form, Ph.D.
611 thesis, TU Delft, Delft University of Technology, 2009.
- 612 [43] C. S.-L. Chan, Measuring Physical Density: Implications on the Use
613 of Different Measures on Land Use Policy in Singapore, Ph.D. thesis,
614 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Urban Studies
615 and Planning, 1999.

- 616 [44] N. Long, C. Kergomard, Classification morphologique du tissu urbain
617 pour des applications climatologiques. cas de marseille, *Revue Interna-*
618 *tionale de Gomatique* 15 (2006) 487–512.
- 619 [45] J. Tratalos, R. A. Fuller, P. H. Warren, R. G. Davies, K. J. Gaston,
620 Urban form, biodiversity potential and ecosystem services, *Landscape*
621 *and Urban Planning* 83 (2007) 308–317.
- 622 [46] N. Schwarz, Urban form revisited-selecting indicators for characterising
623 european cities, *Landscape and Urban Planning* 96 (2010) 29–47.
- 624 [47] N. Tornay, M. Bonhomme, S. Faraut, Genius, a methodology to inte-
625 ger building scale data into urban microclimate and energy consump-
626 tion modelling, in: 9th International Conference on Urban Climate,
627 Toulouse.
- 628 [48] N. Tornay, R. Schoetter, M. Bonhomme, S. Faraut, V. Masson, Genius:
629 A methodology to define a detailed description of buildings for urban
630 climate and building energy consumption simulations, *Urban Climate*
631 20 (2017) 75 – 93.
- 632 [49] S. Steiniger, A. J. S. Hunter, Free and Open Source GIS Software
633 for Building a Spatial Data Infrastructure, Springer Berlin Heidelberg,
634 Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 247–261.
- 635 [50] G. S. D. I. Association, et al., The spatial data infrastructure cookbook,
636 2009.
- 637 [51] M. A. Parsons, R. Duerr, J.-B. Minster, Data Citation and Peer Review,
638 *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union* 91 (2010) 297.
- 639 [52] S. Steiniger, E. Bocher, An overview on current free and open source
640 desktop gis developments, *International Journal of Geographical Infor-*
641 *mation Science* 23 (2009) 1345–1370.
- 642 [53] E. Bocher, T. Leduc, G. Moreau, F. G. Cortès, Gdms: an abstrac-
643 tion layer to enhance spatial data infrastructures usability, in: 11th
644 AGILE International Conference on Geographic Information Science-
645 AGILE’2008.

- 646 [54] T. Leduc, E. Bocher, F. G. Cortés, G. Moreau, Gdms-r: A mixed sql to
647 manage raster and vector data, in: GIS 2009.
- 648 [55] C. D. Tomlin, Map algebra: one perspective, Landscape and Urban
649 Planning 30 (1994) 3–12.
- 650 [56] R. Battle, D. Kolas, Geosparql: enabling a geospatial semantic web,
651 Semantic Web Journal 3 (2011) 355–370.
- 652 [57] J. Herring, Implementation specification for geographic information-
653 simple feature access-part 1: Common architecture, Open Geospatial
654 Consortium Inc 95 (2006).
- 655 [58] J. R. Herring, Ogeis implementation specification for geographic
656 information-simple feature access-part 2: Sql option, Open Geospatial
657 Consortium Inc (2006).
- 658 [59] E. Bocher, G. Petit, N. Fortin, S. Palominos, H2GIS a spatial database
659 to feed urban climate issues, in: 9th International Conference on Urban
660 Climate (ICUC9), Météo-France, Toulouse, France.
- 661 [60] A. Liaw, M. Wiener, R’s Breiman and Cutler’s Random Forests for
662 Classification and Regression, Technical Report, University of California,
663 Berkeley, 2015.
- 664 [61] J. Conway, D. Eddelbuettel, T. Nishiyama, S. K. Prayaga, N. Tiffin, R
665 Interface to the ‘PostgreSQL’ Database System, Technical Report, Post-
666 greSQL Global Development Group and The Regents of the University
667 of California, 2017.
- 668 [62] E. Bocher, G. Petit, OrbisGIS: Geographical Information System De-
669 signed by and for Research, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 23–66.
- 670 [63] M.-J. Kallen, H. Mhleisen, Latest developments around Renjin, in: R
671 Summit & Workshop, Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica, Copenhagen,
672 Denmark.