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## Abstract

In this paper, we implement a stochastic deflator with four economic and financial risk factors: interest rates, stock prices, default intensities, and convenience yields. We examine the deflator with different financial assets, such as stocks, zero-coupon bonds, vanilla options, and corporate coupon bonds. Our numerical results show the reliability of the deflator approach in pricing financial derivatives.
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[^0]
## 1. Introduction

The Arrow-Debreu model of general equilibrium introduced the existence of an equilibrium in which the allocation of consumption and production is Pareto optimal with a system of prices for contingent commodities. ${ }^{1}$ Their works have inspired tremendous research in fields of macroeconomics, financial economics, and asset pricing theory. Based on the concept of Arrow-Debreu securities, researchers had developed the fundamental theorems of asset pricing, which the second theorem tells us that an arbitrage-free market is complete if and only if the equivalent martingale measure is unique. ${ }^{2}$

In the case of Brownian diffusion, the Girsanov's Theorem enables us to change probability measure from a physical world to a risk-neutral world. Under risk-neutral measure, we have a closed-form solution for Black-Scholes options pricing model. However, we wouldn't always have analytical solutions for various classes of stochastic processes, which motivates us to study numerical methods for approximating solutions.

Due to the complicatedness of life insurance contracts and interactions among economic and financial risk factors, a reliable tool for asset/liability management (ALM) and calculations of reserves would be demanded. In practice, "economic scenario generators" assist insurers in pricing insurance contracts and managing long-term risk ${ }^{3}$.

The usual pricing scheme is as follows.
Fig. 1 - Calculating the best estimate reserve for a life insurance contract


Usually, economic scenarios are computed under a risk-neutral measure; the actualization process is then simply the risk-free rate and it makes the calculation quite easy, numerically speaking.
But, we like to point out that many "unusual" scenarios occur (e.g. 10-year rate $\geq 50 \%$ ) under risk-neutral measure, which increases the difficulty to justify the calibration of "reaction functions" embedded in the ALM-projection model used to compute cash flows. For example, the lapse rate is often a function of the difference between the revalorization rate of the contract and a reference rate; the parameters are calibrated observing "usual" values of economic parameters but may become difficult later to

[^1]justify for atypical values of economic risk factors. We could use a stochastic deflator in a way to address this problem, using only scenarios under physical measure ${ }^{4}$. But the numerical calculations become tedious due to the complexity of the deflator, mixing a risk-free rate process and a density of change of measure between physical and riskneutral measure.

In this paper, we apply the deflator approach initiated by Dastarac and Sauveplane (2010) in the same context and include the processes of default and convenience yield from Longstaff et al. (2005) to calculate prices for financial derivatives. We compare the values calculated from the deflator approach with the values suggested by analytical formulas in simple cases. Our numerical results show the reliability of the deflator approach for quite simple financial derivatives. Our ultimate goal is then to use this deflator to compute best estimates for a life insurance contract, that will be done in a further work.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 shows the deflator approach. Section 3 discusses the implementation of time discretization. Section 4 presents the numerical results. Section 5 concludes.

## 2. Deflator approach

Before discussing and deriving the general form of deflator, we need to generate correlated Brownian motions for the processes we include in our model. In our model, we consider the processes of interest rates, market prices of risk, stock prices, default intensities, and convenience yields. Sections 2 and 3 discuss the technical details of implementations of the deflator and time discretization. Readers who familiar with stochastic deflator and time discretization could directly skip to numerical results in Section 4.

### 2.1. Generate correlated Brownian motions

Let the Brownian motion part of each process $\mathbf{W}_{E S G}$ and the correlations matrix $\mathbf{C}_{E S G}$ among interest rates, stock prices, default intensities, and convenience yields be as follows.

$$
\mathbf{W}_{E S G}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
W_{r}  \tag{1}\\
W_{S}
\end{array} W_{\chi} \quad W_{\gamma} .\right.
$$

Denote $r$ interest rate; $S$ stock price; $\chi$ default intensity; $\gamma$ convenience yield; $W_{i}, i=r, S, \chi, \gamma$ Brownian motion part of each process; and $\rho_{j k}, j \neq k$ correlation between each two processes. In order to generate correlated $W_{i}, i=r, S, \chi, \gamma$, we require four independent Brownian motions $W_{i}, i=0,1,2,3$. Following is the construction

[^2]of $\mathbf{W}_{E S G}$, details of the calculations is provided in the Appendix 1 of Supplementary materials.
\[

\mathbf{W}_{E S G}=\left[$$
\begin{array}{l}
W_{r}  \tag{2}\\
W_{S} \\
W_{\chi} \\
W_{\gamma}
\end{array}
$$\right]=\left[$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\rho_{r S} & \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
\rho_{r \chi} & \rho_{S \chi}^{\prime} & \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} & 0 \\
\rho_{r \gamma} & \rho_{S \gamma}^{\prime \prime} & \rho_{\chi \gamma}^{\prime \prime} & \rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}
$$\right]\left[$$
\begin{array}{l}
W_{0} \\
W_{1} \\
W_{2} \\
W_{3}
\end{array}
$$\right]
\]

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{S \chi}^{\prime}=\frac{\rho_{S \chi}-\rho_{r s} \rho_{r \chi}}{\sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}}}, \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime}=\sqrt{\frac{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}-\rho_{r \chi}^{2}-\rho_{S \chi}^{2}+2 \rho_{r S} \rho_{r \chi} \rho_{S \chi}}{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}}}, \\
& \rho_{\chi \gamma}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\rho_{\chi \gamma}-\rho_{r \chi} \rho_{r \gamma}-\rho_{S \chi} \rho_{S \gamma}-\rho_{r s}^{2} \rho_{\chi \gamma}+\rho_{r S} \rho_{r \chi} \rho_{S \gamma}+\rho_{r s} \rho_{r \gamma} \rho_{S \chi}}{\sqrt{1+\rho_{r S}^{4}-2 \rho_{r S}^{3} \rho_{r \chi} \rho_{S \chi}-2 \rho_{r S}^{2}+\rho_{r S}^{2} \rho_{r \chi}^{2}+\rho_{r S}^{2} \rho_{S \chi}^{2}-\rho_{r \chi}^{2}-\rho_{S \chi}^{2}+2 \rho_{r S} \rho_{r \chi} \rho_{S \chi}}}, \\
& \rho_{r \gamma}^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{1-\rho_{r \gamma}^{2}-\rho_{S \gamma}^{\prime \prime 2}-\rho_{\chi \gamma}^{\prime \prime 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2. GENERAL FORM OF DEFLATOR WITH FIVE FACTORS5

Let $r(t), B(t), P(t, T, r(t)), S(t), \chi(t), \gamma(t), D(t)$ be processes of interest rate, short-term saving, zero coupon bond of no risk with maturity $T$, stock price, default density, convenience yield, and deflator respectively. Denote $E(\cdot)$ expectation under physical measure and $E^{\mathbf{Q}}(\cdot)$ expectation under risk-neutral measure. Let a discount process $\delta(t)$ equal $e^{-\int_{0}^{t} r(s) d s}$. For a nonnegative random variable $X$, we would like to have $E^{\mathbf{Q}}(\delta(t) X)=E[D(t) X]$ (i.e. $D(t)=\delta(t) \frac{d \mathbf{Q}}{d \mathbf{P}}$ ). We describe the dynamics of each process in the following paragraphs, in a quite general Markovian framework.
2.2.1. Dynamics of each process

$$
\begin{align*}
& d r(t)=\alpha(t, r(t)) d t+\beta(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)  \tag{3}\\
& d B(t)=B(t) r(t) d t \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations 3 and 4 are the dynamics of interest rate and short-term saving respectively, where $\alpha(t, r(t))$ and $\beta(t, r(t))$ are the drift term and diffusion term of interest rate process respectively and $B(t) r(t)$ is the drift term of short-term saving process.

[^3]Let the process of zero coupon bond of no risk with maturity $T$ be $\frac{d P(t, T, r(t))}{P(t, T, r(t))}=\tilde{\mu}(t, r(t)) d t+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)$. We would like to derive the drift term $\tilde{\mu}(t, r(t))$ and the diffusion term $\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t))$ for $P(t, T, r(t))$. Since $P(t, T, r(t))$ is a function of $t$ and $r(t)$, by Itô formula, we have

$$
d P(t, T, r(t))=\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} d t+\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} d r(t)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial r^{2}} d r(t) d r(t)
$$

where $\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}$ is the first partial derivative of $P(t, T, r(t))$ with respect to $t, \frac{\partial P}{\partial r}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial r^{2}}$ are the first and second partial derivative of $P(t, T, r(t))$ with respect to $r(t)$. Thus, the dynamics of $P(t, T, r(t))$ could also be written as

$$
d P(t, T, r(t))=\left(P_{t}+\alpha(t, r(t)) P_{r}+\frac{1}{2} P_{r r} \beta^{2}(t, r(t))\right) d t+P_{r} \beta(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)
$$

$P_{t}=\frac{\partial P}{\partial t}, P_{r}=\frac{\partial P}{\partial r}, P_{r r}=\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial r^{2}}$. Comparing these two representations of $d P(t, T, r(t))$, we have $P(t, T, r(t)) \tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t))=P_{r} \beta(t, r(t))$. As a result, we could calculate $\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t))$ by $\frac{P_{r} \beta(t, r(t))}{P(t, T, r(t))}$.

Next step is to derive the drift term $\tilde{\mu}(t, r(t)) . \delta(t)$ equals $e^{-\int_{0}^{t} r(s) d s}, I(t)$ equals $\int_{0}^{t} r(s) d s$, and $f(x)=e^{-x}$. Then, $d I(t)$ is equal to $r(t) d t, f^{\prime}(x)=-f(x)$, and $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=f(x)$. By Itô formula again,
$d \delta(t)=d f(I(t))=f^{\prime}(I(t)) d I(t)+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(I(t)) d I(t) d I(t)=-r(t) \delta(t) d t$.
$d[\delta(t) P(t, T, r(t))]=\delta(t) d P(t, T, r(t))+P(t, T, r(t)) d \delta(t)+d \delta(t) d P(t, T, r(t))$ by Itô product rule. We introduce the process of market price of risk $\theta(t)$ here, and let $\theta(t)$ equal $\frac{\tilde{\mu}(t, r(t))-r(t)}{\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t))}$ and $d \tilde{W}_{r}(t)=\theta(t) d t+d W_{r}(t)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d & {[\delta(t) P(t, T, r(t))] } \\
& =[\tilde{\mu}(t, r(t))-r(t)] \delta(t) P(t, T, r(t)) d t+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \delta(t) P(t, T, r(t)) d W_{r}(t) \\
& =\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \delta(t) P(t, T, r(t)) d \tilde{W}_{r}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\frac{d \mathbf{Q}^{\prime}}{d \mathbf{P}}=Z(t)=\exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{t} \theta(s) d W_{r}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \theta^{2}(s) d s\right\} \quad, \quad Z=Z(T) \quad$, and assume $E\left(\int_{0}^{T} \theta^{2}(s) Z^{2}(s) d s\right)<\infty$. Then by Girsanov's Theorem, $E(Z)=1$, and $\tilde{W}_{r}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$, under probability measure $\mathbf{Q}^{\prime}$ is a Brownian motion. Thus, we could rewrite

$$
d[\delta(t) P(t, T, r(t))]=\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \delta(t) P(t, T, r(t)) d \tilde{W}_{r}(t)
$$

and

$$
\frac{d P(t, T, r(t))}{P(t, T, r(t))}=(\tilde{\mu}(t, r(t))-\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \theta(t)) d t+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) d \tilde{W}_{r}(t)
$$

$P(t, T, r(t))$ is the zero coupon bond of no risk with maturity $T$, so the drift term of $\frac{d P(t, T, r(t))}{P(t, T, r(t))}$ under probability measure $\mathbf{Q}^{\prime}$ is equal to $r(t) .{ }^{6}$ Hence, we have $\tilde{\mu}(t, r(t))$ equal $r(t)+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \theta(t)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d P(t, T, r(t))}{P(t, T, r(t))}=[r(t)+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \theta(t)] d t+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t), \tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t))=\frac{P_{r} \beta(t, r(t))}{P(t, r(t))} \\
& d P(t, T, r(t))=P(t, T, r(t))[r(t)+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \theta(t)] d t+P(t, T, r(t)) \tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d S(t)}{S(t)} & =\mu_{S}(t) d t+\sigma_{S}(t) d W_{S}(t) \\
d S(t) & =S(t) \mu_{S}(t) d t+S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) d W_{S}(t) \\
& =S(t) \mu_{S}(t) d t+S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \rho_{r S} d W_{r}(t)+S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}} d W_{1}(t) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations 5 and 6 show the dynamics of zero coupon bond $P(t, T, r(t))$ and stock price $S(t)$. Following the model settings in Longstaff et al. (2005), we let the processes of default density $\chi(t)$ and convenience yield $\gamma(t)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \chi(t) & =[e-f \chi(t)] d t+\sigma_{\chi} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{\chi}(t) ; e, f, \sigma_{\chi}>0 \\
& =[e-f \chi(t)] d t+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{r \chi} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{r}(t)+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{s_{\chi}}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{1}(t)+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

(7)

[^4]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \gamma(t)=\eta d W_{\gamma}(t)=\eta \rho_{r \gamma} d W_{r}(t)+\eta \rho_{S \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{1}(t)+\eta \rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{2}(t)+\eta \rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{3}(t), \eta>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We are now able to derive the general form of deflator. First, let

$$
\begin{align*}
d D(t)= & \Omega(D(t), t, r(t)) d t+\Phi(D(t), t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)+\Psi(D(t), t, r(t)) d W_{1}(t) \\
& +\Gamma(D(t), t, r(t)) d W_{2}(t)+\mathrm{I}(D(t), t, r(t)) d W_{3}(t) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

We would like to have $D(t) S(t), D(t) B(t), D(t) P(t, T, r(t)), D(t) \chi(t)$, and $D(t) \gamma(t)$ be $\mathbf{P}$-martingale. By Itô product rule again, we have $d[D(t) X(t)]=X(t) d D(t)+D(t) d X(t)+d X(t) d D(t)$ for a stochastic process $X(t)$. We derive $\Omega(D(t), t, r(t)), \Phi(D(t), t, r(t)), \Psi(D(t), t, r(t)), \Gamma(D(t), t, r(t))$, and $\mathrm{I}(D(t), t, r(t))$ step by step in the Appendix 2 of Supplementary materials.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\Psi}(t, r(t))=\frac{\Psi(D(t), t, r(t))}{D(t)}=\frac{r(t)+\theta(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \rho_{r S}-\mu_{S}(t)}{\sigma_{S}(t) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}}}, \\
& K_{\Gamma}(t, r(t))=\frac{\Gamma(D(t), t, r(t))}{D(t)}=\frac{\theta(t) \rho_{r \chi}}{\rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime}}+\frac{r(t) \chi(t)-e+f \chi(t)}{\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)}}+\frac{\rho_{S_{\chi}}^{\prime}\left[\mu_{S}(t)-r(t)-\theta(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \rho_{r S}\right]}{\rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} \sigma_{s}(t) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}}} \\
& K_{\mathrm{I}}(t, r(t))=\frac{\mathrm{I}(D(t), t, r(t))}{D(t)}=\frac{\rho_{r \gamma} \theta(t)}{\rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime}}+\frac{r(t) \gamma(t)}{\eta \rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime}}-\frac{\rho_{\chi \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \rho_{r \chi} \theta(t)}{\rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime}}+\frac{\rho_{x \gamma}^{\prime \prime}[e-r(t) \chi(t)-f \chi(t)]}{\rho_{r \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \rho_{\chi x}^{\prime} \sigma_{\chi} \sqrt{\chi(t)}}, \\
& +\frac{\left(\rho_{s \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \rho_{\chi x}^{\prime}-\rho_{\chi \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \rho_{s_{\chi}}^{\prime}\right)\left[\mu_{s}(t)-r(t)-\rho_{r s} \theta(t) \sigma_{s}(t)\right]}{\rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} \sigma_{s}(t) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r s}^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have the general form of deflator $D(t)$ as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(t)=D(0) \exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{t} r(s) d s-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{2}\left[\theta^{2}(s)+K_{\Psi}^{2}(t, r(t))+K_{\Gamma}^{2}(t, r(t))+K_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}(t, r(t))\right] d s\right\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{t} \theta(s) d W_{r}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{\Psi}(t, r(t)) d W_{1}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{\Gamma}(t, r(t)) d W_{2}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K_{\mathrm{I}}(t, r(t)) d W_{3}(t)\right] \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF TIME DISCRETIZATION

In order to implement the deflator approach, we need to discretize time steps for each process. We discuss the time discretization here. We adopt the Euler method, the Milstein method, and the simplified Second Milstein method for time discretization in our model. ${ }^{7}$

[^5]Denote a stochastic process $X(t)$ with its dynamics $d X(t)=b_{X}(t, X(t)) d t+\sigma_{X}(t, X(t)) d W_{X}(t)$ where $W_{X}(t)$ is the Brownian part of $X(t)$. We partition the time $[0, T]$ into $N$ segments with each length equaling $(T-0) / N$, then we have a time discretization $\Pi_{N}=\Pi_{N}([0, T])$ with $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}=T$.

### 3.1. EuLER METHOD

In Euler method, we approximate $X(t)$ by $Y_{t}$ discretely, in which $Y_{i+1}=Y_{i}+b_{X}\left(t_{i}, Y_{i}\right)\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right)+\sigma_{X}\left(t_{i}, Y_{i}\right)\left(W_{i+1}-W_{i}\right), i=0,1, \ldots, N-1, W_{i}$ is the value of a Brownian motion at time period $i$, and $Y_{0}$ is equal to $X(0)$. Denote $\Delta t_{i}=t_{i+1}-t_{i}=(T-0) / N$ and $\Delta W_{k, i}=W_{k, t_{i+1}}-W_{k, t_{i}}, k=r, 1,2,3$. We approximate $r(t), B(t)$, $P(t, T, r(t)), S(t), \chi(t), \gamma(t), D(t)$ by the Euler method as follows.

- $d r(t)=\alpha(t, r(t)) d t+\beta(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)$, then $r_{i+1}=r_{i}+\alpha\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\beta\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{r, i}$.
- $d B(t)=B(t) r(t) d t$, then $B_{i+1}=B_{i}\left(1+r_{i} \Delta t_{i}\right)$.
- $d P(t, T, r(t))=P(t, T, r(t))[r(t)+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \theta(t)] d t+P(t, T, r(t)) \tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)$, then $P_{i+1}=P_{i}\left\{1+\left[r_{i}+\tilde{\sigma}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \theta\left(t_{i}\right)\right] \Delta t_{i}+\tilde{\sigma}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{r, i}\right\}$.
- $d S(t)=S(t) \mu_{S}(t) d t+S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \rho_{r S} d W_{r}(t)+S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}} d W_{1}(t)$, then $S_{i+1}=S_{i}\left[1+\mu_{S}\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\sigma_{S}\left(t_{i}\right) \rho_{r S} \Delta W_{r, i}+\sigma_{S}\left(t_{i}\right) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}} \Delta W_{1, i}\right]$.
- $d \chi(t)=[e-f \chi(t)] d t+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{r_{\chi}} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{r}(t)+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{S_{\chi}}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{1}(t)+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{2}(t)$, then $\chi_{i+1}=\chi_{i}+\left(e-f \chi_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{r \chi} \sqrt{\chi_{i}} \Delta W_{r, i}+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{S_{\chi}}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi_{i}} \Delta W_{1, i}+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{\chi x}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi_{i}} \Delta W_{2, i}$.
- $d \gamma(t)=\eta \rho_{r \gamma} d W_{r}(t)+\eta \rho_{s \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{1}(t)+\eta \rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{2}(t)+\eta \rho_{r \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{3}(t)$, then $\gamma_{i+1}=\gamma_{i}+\eta\left(\rho_{r \gamma} \Delta W_{r, i}+\rho_{s \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \Delta W_{1, i}+\rho_{\chi \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \Delta W_{2, i}+\rho_{r \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \Delta W_{3, i}\right)$.
- $\frac{d D(t)}{D(t)}=-r(t) d t-\theta(t) d W_{r}(t)+K_{\Psi}(t, r(t)) d W_{1}(t)+K_{\Gamma}(t, r(t)) d W_{2}(t)+K_{\mathrm{I}}(t, r(t)) d W_{3}(t)$, then $D_{i+1}=D_{i}\left[1-r_{i} \Delta t_{i}-\theta\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta W_{r, i}+K_{\Psi}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{1, i}+K_{\Gamma}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{2, i}+K_{\mathrm{I}}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{3, i}\right]$.


### 3.2. Milstein method

Denote $\sigma_{x}=\frac{\partial \sigma_{X}(t, x)}{\partial x}$, we approximate $X(t)$ by $Y_{t}$ discretely as $Y_{i+1}=Y_{i}+b_{X}\left(t_{i}, Y_{i}\right)\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right)+\sigma_{X}\left(t_{i}, Y_{i}\right)\left(W_{i+1}-W_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{X}\left(t_{i}, Y_{i}\right) \sigma_{x}\left(t_{i}, Y_{i}\right)\left[\left(W_{i+1}-W_{i}\right)^{2}-\left(t_{i+1}-t_{i}\right)\right]$

Thus, we approximate $r(t), B(t), P(t, T, r(t)), S(t), \chi(t), \gamma(t), D(t)$ by the Milstein method as follows.

- $d r(t)=\alpha(t, r(t)) d t+\beta(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)$, then $r_{i+1}=r_{i}+\alpha\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\beta\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{r, i}+\frac{1}{2} \beta\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \beta_{r}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right)\left[\left(\Delta W_{r, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]$.
- $d B(t)=B(t) r(t) d t$, then $B_{i+1}=B_{i}\left(1+r_{i} \Delta t_{i}\right)$.
- $d P(t, T, r(t))=P(t, T, r(t))[r(t)+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \theta(t)] d t+P(t, T, r(t)) \tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)$,
then $P_{i+1}=P_{i}\left\{1+\left[r_{i}+\tilde{\sigma}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \theta\left(t_{i}\right)\right] \Delta t_{i}+\tilde{\sigma}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{r, i}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}^{2}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right)\left[\left(\Delta W_{r, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]\right\}$.
- $d S(t)=S(t) \mu_{S}(t) d t+S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \rho_{r S} d W_{r}(t)+S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}} d W_{1}(t)$,
then $S_{i+1}=S_{i}\left\{\begin{array}{l}1+\mu_{S}\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\sigma_{S}\left(t_{i}\right) \rho_{r S} \Delta W_{r, i}+\sigma_{S}\left(t_{i}\right) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}} \Delta W_{1, i} \\ +\frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{S}\left(t_{i}\right) \rho_{r S}\right]^{2}\left[\left(\Delta W_{r, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{S}\left(t_{i}\right) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}}\right]^{2}\left[\left(\Delta W_{1, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]\end{array}\right\}$.
- $d \chi(t)=(e-f \chi(t)) d t+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{r \chi} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{r}(t)+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{s \chi}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{1}(t)+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{2}(t)$,
then $\chi_{i+1}=\chi_{i}+\left(e-f \chi_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{r \chi} \sqrt{\chi_{i}} \Delta W_{r, i}+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{s_{\chi}}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi_{i}} \Delta W_{1, i}+\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi_{i}} \Delta W_{2, i}$

$$
+\frac{1}{4}\left(\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{r \chi}\right)^{2}\left[\left(\Delta W_{r, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left(\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{S_{\chi}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left[\left(\Delta W_{1, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]+\frac{1}{4}\left(\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left[\left(\Delta W_{2, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right] .
$$

- $d \gamma(t)=\eta \rho_{r \gamma} d W_{r}(t)+\eta \rho_{S \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{1}(t)+\eta \rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{2}(t)+\eta \rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} d W_{3}(t)$,
then $\gamma_{i+1}=\gamma_{i}+\eta\left(\rho_{r \gamma} \Delta W_{r, i}+\rho_{S \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \Delta W_{1, i}+\rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \Delta W_{2, i}+\rho_{r \gamma}^{\prime \prime} \Delta W_{3, i}\right)$.
- $\frac{d D(t)}{D(t)}=-r(t) d t-\theta(t) d W_{r}(t)+K_{\Psi}(t, r(t)) d W_{1}(t)+K_{\Gamma}(t, r(t)) d W_{2}(t)+K_{\mathrm{I}}(t, r(t)) d W_{3}(t)$, then $D_{i+1}=D_{i}\left[1-r_{i} \Delta t-\theta\left(t_{i}\right) \Delta W_{r, i}+K_{\Psi}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{1, i}+K_{\Gamma}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{2, i}+K_{\mathrm{I}}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right) \Delta W_{3, i}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} D_{i} \theta^{2}\left(t_{i}\right)\left[\left(\Delta W_{r, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]+\frac{1}{2} D_{i} K_{\Psi}^{2}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right)\left[\left(\Delta W_{1, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} D_{i} K_{\Gamma}^{2}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right)\left[\left(\Delta W_{2, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]+\frac{1}{2} D_{i} K_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}\left(t_{i}, r_{i}\right)\left[\left(\Delta W_{3, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3. Simplified Second Milstein method

We advance to multi-dimensional case in this sub-section. Let $X_{t}$ be multi-dimensional stochastic processes with the dynamics $d X_{t}=a\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+b\left(t, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}$, where $X_{t}$ is a $d \times 1$ vector, $a\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ is a $d \times 1$ vector, $b\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ is a $d \times m$ matrix, and $W_{t}$ is a $d \times 1$ vector. $d$ is the number of different stochastic processes in $X_{t}$, and $m$ is the number of independent Brownian motions involved in $X_{t}$.

For a continuously twice differentiable function $f\left(t, x_{d \times 1}\right)$, we could write $d f\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ by Itô formula for multi-dimensional case as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
d f\left(t, X_{t}\right)= & {\left[\frac{\partial f\left(t, X_{t}\right)}{\partial t}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial f\left(t, X_{t}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} a_{i}\left(t, X_{t}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2} f\left(t, X_{t}\right)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \Sigma_{t, i j}\right] d t }  \tag{11}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{i k}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial f\left(t, X_{t}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} d W_{t, k}, \Sigma_{t}=b\left(t, X_{t}\right) b^{T}\left(t, X_{t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In equation 11, $a_{i}\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ is the element of $i^{\text {th }}$ row of $a\left(t, X_{t}\right), b_{i k}\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ is the element of $b\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ at its $i^{\text {th }}$ row and $k^{t h}$ column, $b^{T}\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ is the transpose of $b\left(t, X_{t}\right), \Sigma_{t, i j}$ is the element of $\Sigma_{t}$ at its $i^{\text {th }}$ row and $j^{\text {th }}$ column, and $W_{t, k}$ is the element of $k^{\text {th }}$ row of $W_{t}$. Next, we introduce operators $L^{0}$ and $L^{k}$ and rewrite $d f\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ for multi-dimensional case.

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{0}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d} \Sigma_{t, i j} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}  \tag{12}\\
& L^{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} b_{i k}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}, \forall k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{13}\\
& d f\left(t, X_{t}\right)=L^{0} f\left(t, X_{t}\right) d t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} L^{k} f\left(t, X_{t}\right) d W_{t, k} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

We approximate $X_{t}$ by $Y_{t}$ discretely by simplified Second Milstein method, where $Y_{t}$ is a $d \times 1$ vector. For each $i=1, \ldots, d$,

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{n+1, i}=Y_{n, i} & +a_{i}\left(n, Y_{n}\right) \Delta t+\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{i k}\left(n, Y_{n}\right) \Delta W_{n, k}+\frac{1}{2} L^{0} a_{i}\left(n, Y_{n}\right)(\Delta t)^{2}  \tag{15}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[L^{k} a_{i}\left(n, Y_{n}\right)+L^{0} b_{i k}\left(n, Y_{n}\right)\right] \Delta W_{n, k} \Delta t+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} L^{j} b_{i k}\left(n, Y_{n}\right)\left(\Delta W_{n, j} \Delta W_{n, k}-V_{j k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$Y_{n+1, i}$ is the element of $i^{\text {th }}$ row of $Y_{t}$ in the time step $n+1 . V_{j k}$ is an independent random variable with probabilities $\operatorname{Pr}\left(V_{j k}=\Delta t\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(V_{j k}=-\Delta t\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ for $j<k, V_{k j}=-V_{j k}$ for $j>k$, and $V_{j k}=\Delta t$ for $j=k$. The following are the $X_{t}, a\left(t, X_{t}\right), W_{t}$, and $b\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ in our model.

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
r(t) \\
\theta(t) \\
B(t) \\
P(t, T, r(t)) \\
S(t) \\
\chi(t) \\
\gamma(t) \\
D(t)
\end{array}\right], a\left(t, X_{t}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha(t, r(t)) \\
a_{\theta}-b_{\theta} \theta(t) \\
B(t) r(t) \\
P(t, T, r(t))[r(t)+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \theta(t)
\end{array}\right], W_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
W_{r}(t) \\
W_{1}(t) \\
W_{2}(t) \\
W_{3}(t) \\
W_{\theta}(t)
\end{array}\right], \\
& b\left(t, X_{t}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\beta(t, r(t)) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\theta} \sqrt{\theta(t)} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
P(t, T, r(t)) \tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \rho_{r S} & S(t) \sigma_{S}(t) \sqrt{1-\rho_{r S}^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\sigma_{\chi} \rho_{r \chi} \sqrt{\chi(t)} & \sigma_{\chi} \rho_{S_{\chi}}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)} & \sigma_{\chi} \rho_{\chi \chi}^{\prime} \sqrt{\chi(t)} & 0 & 0 \\
\eta \rho_{r \gamma} & \eta \rho_{S \gamma}^{\prime \prime} & \eta \rho_{\chi \gamma}^{\prime \prime} & \eta \rho_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
-\theta(t) D(t) & \Psi(D(t), t, r(t)) & \Gamma(D(t), t, r(t)) & \mathrm{I}(D(t), t, r(t)) & 0
\end{array}\right] \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Numerical results

We implement the deflator approach with three methods for time discretization and adopt CIR interest rate model for short-term saving. ${ }^{8}$ In addition, we also incorporate parallel computing with a variance technique, antithetic sampling in our algorithm. ${ }^{9}$ In CIR interest rate model, $d r(t)=\left[a_{r}-b_{r} r(t)\right] d t+\sigma_{r} \sqrt{r(t)} d \tilde{W}_{r}(t) ; a_{r}, b_{r}, \sigma_{r}>0$. The process of interest rate is defined under probability measure $\mathbf{Q}^{\prime}$. In order to convert the process into physical measure $\mathbf{P}$, we have to consider the process of market price of risk $\theta(t)$. From Section 2.2.1, we let $d \tilde{W}_{r}(t)=\theta(t) d t+d W_{r}(t)$. Thus, we could rewrite $d r(t)$ in $\mathbf{P}$ -measure as $d r(t)=\left[a_{r}-b_{r} r(t)+\theta(t) \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r(t)}\right] d t+\sigma_{r} \sqrt{r(t)} d W_{r}(t)$.

Let $\theta(t)$ also be CIR process here and $W_{\theta}$ is an independent Brownian motion of $W_{i}, i=r, 1,2,3 .{ }^{10}$ The dynamics of $\theta(t)$ is

$$
d \theta(t)=\left[a_{\theta}-b_{\theta} \theta(t)\right] d t+\sigma_{\theta} \sqrt{\theta(t)} d W_{\theta}(t) ; a_{\theta}, b_{\theta}, \sigma_{\theta}>0 .
$$

In CIR interest rate model, the price of zero coupon bond of no risk with maturity $T$, $P(t, T, r(t))$, is equal to $e^{-r(t) C_{p}(t, T)-A_{p}(t, T)}$ where

$$
C_{P}(t, T)=\frac{\sinh \left(\gamma_{C I R}(T-t)\right)}{\gamma_{\text {CIR }} \cosh \left(\gamma_{\text {CIR }}(T-t)\right)+\frac{1}{2} b_{r} \sinh \left(\gamma_{C I R}(T-t)\right)}, \gamma_{C I R}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{b_{r}^{2}+2 \sigma_{r}^{2}},
$$

[^6]and $A_{P}(t, T)=-\frac{2 a_{r}}{\sigma_{r}^{2}} \ln \left[\frac{\gamma_{C I R} e^{\frac{1}{2} b_{r}(T-t)}}{\gamma_{C I R} \cosh \left(\gamma_{C I R}(T-t)\right)+\frac{1}{2} b_{r} \sinh \left(\gamma_{C I R}(T-t)\right)}\right]$.
Note that $\sinh u=\frac{e^{u}-e^{-u}}{2}, \cosh u=\frac{e^{u}+e^{-u}}{2}$, and $P(0, T, r(0))=e^{-r(0) C_{p}(0, T)-A_{p}(0, T)} \cdot .^{11}$
To calculate the option price for stock under CIR interest rate process, we use the formula proposed by Kim (2002). ${ }^{12}$ In Kim (2002), the process of CIR interest rate $d r(t)$ under probability measure $\mathbf{Q}^{\prime}$ is as follows
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
d r(t)=\left[\kappa_{\text {Kim }} \theta_{\text {Kim }}-\left(\kappa_{\text {Kim }}+\delta_{\text {Kim }} \lambda_{\text {Kim }}\right) r(t)\right] d t+\delta_{\text {Kim }} \sqrt{r(t)} d \tilde{W}_{r}(t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Let $\lambda_{\text {Kim }}=1$, then $\delta_{\text {Kim }}=\sigma_{r}, \kappa_{\text {Kim }}=b_{r}-\sigma_{r}, \theta_{\text {Kim }}=a_{r} /\left(b_{r}-\sigma_{r}\right)$. Thus, we could calculate the price of an European call option of stock S with strike $K$ and maturity $T$ at time zero, Call $_{\text {Kim }}(0, S(0), T, K)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Call }_{\text {Kim }}(0, S(0), T, K)= & {\left[S(0) \Phi\left(d_{1}\right)-K \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{T} r_{t}^{*} d t\right) \Phi\left(d_{2}\right)\right] } \\
& +\delta_{\text {Kim }} C_{0}\left[S(0) \phi\left(d_{1}\right)-K \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{T} r_{t}^{*} d t\right)\left(\phi\left(d_{2}\right)-\sigma_{S} \sqrt{T} \Phi\left(d_{2}\right)\right)\right] \\
& +\delta_{\text {Kim }} C_{1}\left[d_{2} S(0) \phi\left(d_{1}\right)-d_{1} K \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{T} r_{t}^{*} d t\right) \phi\left(d_{2}\right)\right]+o\left(\delta_{\text {Kim }}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ and $\phi(\cdot)$ are the cumulative density function and probability density function of the standard normal distribution respectively;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{t}^{*}=r_{0} e^{-\kappa_{\text {Kim }} t}+\theta_{\text {Kim }}\left(1-e^{-\kappa_{\text {Kim }} t}\right), \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{T} r_{t}^{*} d t\right)=\exp \left[-\frac{\left(r_{0}-\theta_{\text {Kim }}\right)}{\kappa_{\text {Kim }}}\left(1-e^{-\kappa_{\text {Kim }} T}\right)-\theta_{\text {Kim }} T\right] ; \\
& d_{1}=\frac{1}{\sigma_{S} \sqrt{T}}\left[\ln \frac{S(0)}{K}+\frac{\left(r_{0}-\theta_{\text {Kim }}\right)}{\kappa_{\text {Kim }}}\left(1-e^{-\kappa_{\text {Kim }} T}\right)+\theta_{\text {Kim }} T+\frac{\sigma_{S}^{2}}{2} T\right], d_{2}=d_{1}-\sigma_{S} \sqrt{T} ; \\
& C_{0}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\text {Kim }} \sigma_{S} \sqrt{T}}\left[\left(r_{0}-\theta_{\text {Kim }}\right)\left(\frac{1-e^{-\kappa_{K m m} T}}{\kappa_{\text {Kim }}}-T e^{-\kappa_{\text {Kim }} T}\right)+\theta_{\text {Kim }} T\left(1-\frac{1-e^{-\kappa_{\text {Kmm }} T}}{\kappa_{\text {Kim }}}\right)\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

[^7]$C_{1}=-\frac{\rho_{r S}}{\sigma_{S} T} C_{11}, C_{11}=\frac{2 \sqrt{\theta_{\text {Kim }}}\left[\left(1+2 e^{\kappa_{\text {Kim }} T}\right) \sqrt{r_{0}}-3 e^{\frac{\kappa_{k i T} T}{2}} \sqrt{r_{0}-\theta_{\text {Kim }}\left(1-e^{-\kappa_{K i m} T}\right)}\right]+\psi_{\text {Kim }}\left[\theta_{\text {Kim }}\left(1+2 e^{\kappa_{\text {Kim }} T}\right)-r_{0}\right]}{2 e^{\kappa_{\text {Kim }} T} \kappa_{\text {Kim }}^{2} \sqrt{\theta_{\text {Kim }}}}$
$\psi_{\text {Kim }}=\ln \left[\frac{\theta_{\text {Kim }}\left(2 e^{\kappa_{K i m} T}-1\right)+r_{0}+2 e^{\frac{\kappa_{K i m} T}{2}} \sqrt{\theta_{\text {Kim }}^{2}\left(e^{\kappa_{K i m} T}-1\right)+\theta_{\text {Kim }} r_{0}}}{\left(\sqrt{r_{0}}+\sqrt{\theta_{\text {Kim }}}\right)^{2}}\right]$.
By Put-Call parity, Call $(0, S(0), T, K)+K e^{-\int_{0}^{T} r_{u} d u}=\operatorname{Put}(0, S(0), T, K)+S(0)$. We could then calculate the price of the European put option at time zero $\operatorname{Put}(0, S(0), T, K)$ as $\operatorname{Call}(0, S(0), T, K)+K P(0, T, r(0))-S(0)$.

We approximate $r(t), \theta(t), P(t, T, r(t))$ by the Euler method and the Milstein method as follows.

- $d r(t)=\left[a_{r}-b_{r} r(t)+\theta(t) \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r(t)}\right] d t+\sigma_{r} \sqrt{r(t)} d W_{r}(t)$

By Euler method, $r_{i+1}=r_{i}+\left(a_{r}-b_{r} r_{i}+\theta_{i} \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r_{i}}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\sigma_{r} \sqrt{r_{i}} \Delta W_{r, i}$; and by Milstein method, $r_{i+1}=r_{i}+\left(a_{r}-b_{r} r_{i}+\theta_{i} \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r_{i}}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\sigma_{r} \sqrt{r_{i}} \Delta W_{r, i}+\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{r}^{2}\left[\left(\Delta W_{r, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]$.

- $d \theta(t)=\left[a_{\theta}-b_{\theta} \theta(t)\right] d t+\sigma_{\theta} \sqrt{\theta(t)} d W_{\theta}(t)$

By Euler method, $\theta_{i+1}=\theta_{i}+\left(a_{\theta}-b_{\theta} \theta_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\sigma_{\theta} \sqrt{\theta_{i}} \Delta W_{\theta_{i}}$; and by Milstein method, $\theta_{i+1}=\theta_{i}+\left(a_{\theta}-b_{\theta} \theta_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+\sigma_{\theta} \sqrt{\theta_{i}} \Delta W_{\theta, i}+\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{\theta}^{2}\left[\left(\Delta W_{\theta, i}\right)^{2}-\Delta t_{i}\right]$.
$\cdot d P(t, T, r(t))=P(t, T, r(t))[r(t)+\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) \theta(t)] d t+P(t, T, r(t)) \tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t)) d W_{r}(t)$
$\tilde{\sigma}(t, r(t))=\frac{P_{r} \beta(t, r(t))}{P(t, r(t))}$, we could rewrite the process as
$d P(t, T, r(t))=\left[P(t, T, r(t)) r(t)+P_{r} \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r(t)} \theta(t)\right] d t+P_{r} \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r(t)} d W_{r}(t)$.
Note that $P_{r}=-C_{P}(t, T) e^{-r(t) C_{P}(t, T)-A_{P}(t, T)}$ in CIR interest rate model. By Euler method, $P_{i+1}=P_{i}+\left(P_{i} r_{i}+P_{r, t_{i}} \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r_{i}} \theta_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+P_{r, t_{i}} \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r_{i}} \Delta W_{r, i} ; \quad$ and by Milstein method, $P_{i+1}=P_{i}+\left(P_{i} r_{i}+P_{r, t_{i}} \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r_{i}} \theta_{i}\right) \Delta t_{i}+P_{r, t_{i}} \sigma_{r} \sqrt{r_{i}} \Delta W_{r, i}$.

The details of implementation of simplified Second Milstein method is provided in the Appendix 3 of Supplementary materials.

### 4.1. EXample with CIR model and geometric Brownian motion

The following is the settings describing the dynamics of each process in our example. ${ }^{13}$
$T=1, \Delta t=0.01, \rho_{r S}=0.6, \rho_{r \chi}=0.7, \rho_{r \gamma}=0.5, \rho_{S \chi}=0.1, \rho_{S \gamma}=0.3, \rho_{\gamma \gamma}=0.1$
$d \theta(t)=[0.05-0.01 \theta(t)] d t+0.01 \sqrt{\theta(t)} d W_{\theta}(t), \theta(0)=0.02$
$d r(t)=[0.02-0.04 r(t)] d t+0.01 \sqrt{r(t)} d \tilde{W}_{r}(t), r(0)=0.02$
$d S(t)=0.1 S(t) d t+0.2 S(t) d W_{S}(t), S(0)=1$
$d \chi(t)=[0.05-0.1 \chi(t)] d t+0.1 \sqrt{\chi(t)} d W_{\chi}(t), \chi(t)=0.05$
$d \gamma(t)=0.1 d W_{\gamma}(t), \gamma(0)=0.2$
We could see in equation (12) that $D(0)$ is equal to 1 . The deflator approach tells us that for a nonnegative random variable $X(t)$, we would have $E^{\mathbb{Q}}[\delta(t) X(t)]=E[D(t) X(t)]$ . The price of a zero coupon bond of no risk with maturity $T$ at time period $T$ is equal to 1. We also calculate the price of Put option of $S(T)$ with strike $K$ equaling to 2 . Thus, we would expect the following equations to hold.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(0) S(0)=S(0)=E^{\mathrm{Q}}[\delta(T) S(T)]=E[D(T) S(T)]=1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D(0) P(0, T, r(0))=P(0, T, r(0))=E^{\mathrm{Q}}[\delta(T) P(T, T, r(T))]=E[D(T) P(T, T, r(T))]=E[D(T)]$
$D(0) \operatorname{Put}(r(0), S(0))=\operatorname{Put}(r(0), S(0))=E^{\mathrm{Q}}\left[\delta(T)(K-S(T))^{+}\right]=E\left[D(T)(K-S(T))^{+}\right]$
We compare the numerical results with Black-Scholes put option price, $\operatorname{Put}(r(0), S(0))$. Tables 1, 2, and 3 show the numerical results. Figures $2,3,4,5,6,7,8$, and 9 show the convergence of the approximations to expected value, i.e. $S(0), P(0, T, r(0))$, Put $(0, S(0), T, K)$. We could see that the simplified Second Milstein method provides better approximations and converges faster than the Euler method and the Milstein method do. This could be explained by convergence order in which the simplified Second Milstein method has larger weak order of convergence. ${ }^{14}$

### 4.2. EXAMPLE WITH CIR MODEL AND CORPORATE COUPON BOND

Longstaff et al. (2005) assumed the independence among interest rate, default intensity, and convenience yield. Thus, we let $\rho_{r \chi}=0, \rho_{r \gamma}=0, \rho_{S \chi}=0, \rho_{S \gamma}=0$, and $\rho_{\gamma \gamma}=0 .{ }^{15}$ To accommodate the three risk factors (interest rate, default intensity, and convenience

[^8]yield) with deflator, we let $d B(t)=B(t)[r(t)+\chi(t)+\gamma(t)] d t .{ }^{16}$ The following is the formula provided in Longstaff et al. (2005).
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C B(c, \omega, T)= c \int_{0}^{T} A_{C B}(t) \exp \left(B_{C B}(t) \chi_{0}\right) C_{C B}(t) P(0, t, r(0)) e^{-\gamma_{0} t} d t \\
&+A_{C B}(T) \exp \left(B_{C B}(T) \chi_{0}\right) C_{C B}(T) P(0, T, r(0)) e^{-\gamma_{0} T} \\
&+(1-\omega) \int_{0}^{T} \exp \left(B_{C B}(t) \chi_{0}\right) C_{C B}(t) P(0, t, r(0))\left[G_{C B}(t)+H_{C B}(t) \chi_{0}\right] e^{-\gamma_{0} t} d t \\
& A_{C B}(t)=\exp \left[\frac{e_{\chi}\left(f_{\chi}+\phi\right)}{\sigma_{\chi}^{2}} t\right]\left(\frac{1-\kappa}{1-\kappa e^{\phi t}}\right)^{\frac{2 e_{\chi}}{\sigma_{\chi}^{2}}}, B_{C B}(t)=\frac{f_{\chi}-\phi}{\sigma_{\chi}^{2}}+\frac{2 \phi}{\sigma_{\chi}^{2}\left(1-\kappa e^{\phi t}\right)}, \\
& C_{C B}(t)= \exp \left(\frac{\eta^{2} t^{3}}{6}\right), G_{C B}(t)=\frac{e_{\chi}}{\phi}\left(e^{\phi t}-1\right) \exp \left[\frac{e_{\chi}\left(f_{\chi}+\phi\right)}{\sigma_{\chi}^{2}} t\right]\left(\frac{1-\kappa}{1-\kappa e^{\phi_{t} t}}\right)^{\frac{2 e_{\chi}}{\sigma_{\chi}^{2}+1}}, \\
& H_{C B}(t)=\exp \left[\frac{e_{\chi}\left(f_{\chi}+\phi\right)+\phi \sigma_{\chi}^{2}}{\sigma_{\chi}^{2}} t\right]\left(\frac{1-\kappa}{1-\kappa e^{\phi t}}\right)^{\frac{2 e_{\chi}}{\sigma_{\chi}^{2}}+2}, \kappa=\left(f_{\chi}+\phi\right) /\left(f_{\chi}-\phi\right), \\
& \phi= \sqrt{2 \sigma_{\chi}^{2}+f_{\chi}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

In order to implement the deflator, we look at the original definition of $C B(c, \omega, T)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
C B(c, \omega, T)= & E\left\{c \int_{0}^{T} \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{t}(r(s)+\chi(s)+\gamma(s)) d s\right] d t\right\}+E\left\{\exp \left[-\int_{0}^{T}(r(s)+\chi(s)+\gamma(s)) d s\right]\right\}  \tag{17}\\
& +E\left\{(1-\omega) \int_{0}^{T} \chi_{t} \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{t}(r(s)+\chi(s)+\gamma(s)) d s\right] d t\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

For the time period $t$ when a bond holder receives a coupon or a fraction of the par value of the bond (because of default), the payoff at that time period $t$ is equal to $c$ and $(1-\omega)$ respectively. Thus, we could implement the deflator as follows.
$D(0) C B(c, \omega, T)=E[D(T)]+c E\left[\int_{0}^{T} D(t) d t\right]+(1-\omega) E\left[\int_{0}^{T} \chi_{t} D(t) d t\right]$
Table 4 and Figures 10 and 11 show the numerical results and convergence of the approximations.

### 4.3. COMPARISONS OF NUMERICAL RESULTS WITH ANTITHETIC SAMPLING

Table 5 shows the differences of variances with/without antithetic sampling. Given the variance of a random variable $X, \operatorname{Var}(X)$, the variance of $\frac{1}{n} X$ is equal to $\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}(X)$. In our model, $D(t)$ is lognormal distributed. With the sample size being equal to $n$, the

[^9]mean of $D(t), E[D(t)]$, equals $\frac{1}{n_{n}} \sum_{\text {trials }} D(t)$; and its variance $\operatorname{Var}(E[D(t)])$ is equal to $\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}(D(t))$. We could calculate its $95 \%$ confidence interval as follows. ${ }^{17}$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
C I_{95 \%}=E[D(t)]+\frac{\operatorname{Var}(E[D(t)])}{2} \pm t_{d . f .=n} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Var}(E[D(t)])}{n}+\frac{[\operatorname{Var}(E[D(t)])]^{2}}{2(n-1)}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here $t_{d . f .=n}$ is the $t$ statistics with degree of freedom equalling $n$. For example, in our numerical results of Second Milstein method with antithetic sampling and sample size equalling 2500 , the $95 \%$ confidence interval of $E[D(T)]$ is equal to [0.95192, 0.95559$]$.

Suppose the weights of investment in a portfolio on stock, zero coupon bond of no risk with maturity $T$, and corporate coupon bond equal $w_{S}, w_{P}$, and $w_{C B}$ respectively. Theoretically, the variance of the portfolio is equal to $\sum_{i=S, P, C B} w_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}(i)+2 \sum_{j, k=S, P, C B ; j \neq k} w_{j} w_{k} \operatorname{Cov}(j, k)$, where $\operatorname{Cov}(j, k)$ is the covariance between $j$ and $k$. Given stochastic differential equations of two stochastic processes $d X$ and $d Y$ , we could calculate $\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$ by $d X d Y$. The multiplication of lognormal random variables is again lognormal distributed, and the sum of lognormal random variables most likely behaves as either normal or lognormal distributions (so that we could still calculate the confidence interval). ${ }^{8}$ As a numerical example, let $w_{S}, w_{P}$, and $w_{C B}$ be $0.15,0.65$, and 0.2 respectively. Figure 12 shows the comparison of histograms with/without antithetic sampling of the portfolio.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we derive the general form of deflator for four risk factors: interest rates, stock prices, default intensities, and convenience yields. We examine the deflator with different financial derivatives, comparing the numerical results with values calculated from closed-form formulas.

Our results indicate the reliability of the deflator for financial asset pricing, if the time discretization of the underlying stochastic processes is done carefully.

Except the benefit that we could compute best estimate value by simply averaging the multiplication of deflator and projected cash flows, the fact that we observe data only in physical world would provide the motivation for us to use deflator for the convenience to estimate parameters of "reaction functions" in an ALM projection model as in Chapter 4 of Laurent et al. (2016).

Further work would be to implement the deflator and compare the best estimate values of a life insurance contract under physical measure and risk-neutral measure.

[^10]
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## 7. Tables and figures

Tab. 1. Stock

| \# of Simulations | $E[D(T) S(T)]$ | $S(0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euler method |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.600069755272291 |  |
| 5000 | 0.393586742835856 |  |
| 10000 | 0.37903361971978 |  |
| 100000 | 0.657324756344535 | 1 |
| 250000 | 0.843102951645603 |  |
| 500000 | 0.770462054324114 |  |
| 1000000 | 1.29863524218499 |  |
| Milstein method |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.370434390825238 |  |
| 5000 | 0.398022925866662 |  |
| 10000 | 0.395876767138054 |  |
| 100000 | 0.679818876796659 | 1 |
| 250000 | 0.771340365068307 |  |
| 500000 | 0.824386955022253 |  |
| 1000000 | 1.12292596930633 |  |
| Second Milstein method |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.954846533976465 |  |
| 5000 | 1.00743887812346 |  |
| 10000 | 1.02341198496773 |  |
| 100000 | 1.00680833122829 | 1 |
| 250000 | 1.00231591266646 |  |
| 500000 | 1.00268873753513 |  |
| 1000000 | 0.999997325958791 |  |

Tab. 2. Zero coupon bond of no risk with maturity $T$

| \# of Simulations | $E[D(T)]$ | $E[D(T) P(T, T, r(T))]$ | $P(0, T, r(0))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euler method |  |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.48812434395029 | 0.488066560145415 |  |
| 5000 | 0.308038513966897 | 0.308003081851407 |  |
| 10000 | 0.325024763106268 | 0.324990036772998 |  |
| 100000 | 0.625985956594468 | 0.625922227828396 | 0.970957220487724 |
| 250000 | 0.771419872927604 | 0.771346645830578 |  |
| 500000 | 0.726182177029966 | 0.72611342647583 |  |
| 1000000 | 1.18961111433854 | 1.18949806538064 |  |
| Milstein method |  |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.332402071677238 | 0.332366375930865 |  |
| 5000 | 0.366969978004539 | 0.366931013968048 |  |
| 10000 | 0.360964352720743 | 0.360926322954742 |  |
| 100000 | 0.654420842856107 | 0.654354469594408 | 0.970957220487724 |
| 250000 | 0.746857198318386 | 0.746783090935181 |  |
| 500000 | 0.824192927498608 | 0.82411348941373 |  |
| 1000000 | 1.15968204198937 | 1.15956727600934 |  |
| Second Milstein method |  |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.952656631120277 | 0.952657151589584 |  |
| 5000 | 0.986807314190818 | 0.986807555270611 |  |
| 10000 | 1.00104803675261 | 1.00104821737114 |  |
| 100000 | 0.97943249260489 | 0.979432483928667 | 0.970957220487724 |
| 250000 | 0.974542088257683 | 0.974542020575479 |  |
| 500000 | 0.975959099152459 | 0.975959075808478 |  |
| 1000000 | 0.973919234689554 | 0.97391925959157 |  |

Tab. 3. Put option

| \# of Simulations | $E\left[D(T)(K-S(T))^{+}\right]$ | Kim (2002) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euler method |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.376179173414971 |  |
| 5000 | 0.222535471469381 |  |
| 10000 | 0.271038627789198 |  |
| 100000 | 0.594654067016785 | 0.950345063621439 |
| 250000 | 0.699758896691695 |  |
| 500000 | 0.681914718350244 |  |
| 1000000 | 1.07921012174278 |  |
| Milstein method |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.294370393477994 |  |
| 5000 | 0.335949588638566 |  |
| 10000 | 0.32606847481562 |  |
| 100000 | 0.629027012422739 | 0.950345063621439 |
| 250000 | 0.72239181263158 |  |
| 500000 | 0.824011757155215 |  |
| 1000000 | 1.19641443858681 |  |
| Second Milstein method |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.950440933233568 |  |
| 5000 | 0.966323781894296 |  |
| 10000 | 0.978829277799832 |  |
| 100000 | 0.952030741956879 | 0.950345063621439 |
| 250000 | 0.94674239771515 |  |
| 500000 | 0.949181442176693 |  |
| 1000000 | 0.947797286021223 |  |

Tab. 4. Corporate coupon bond

| \# of Simulations | Deflator | Longstaff et al. (2005) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Euler method |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.861924054813666 |  |
| 5000 | 0.972373894195048 |  |
| 10000 | 0.904175407930738 |  |
| 100000 | 0.877454293920751 | 0.858680337551511 |
| 250000 | 0.878602279971190 |  |
| 500000 | 0.857615754345209 |  |
| 1000000 | 0.907459377255768 |  |
| Milstein method |  |  |
| 2500 | 0.811300811125437 |  |
| 5000 | 0.963754448602619 |  |
| 10000 | 0.938308214385827 |  |
| 100000 | 0.896750823102638 | 0.858680337551511 |
| 250000 | 0.879656712709339 |  |
| 500000 | 0.874192077843085 |  |
| 1000000 | 0.891953664767561 |  |
| Second Milstein method | 0.838321934993519 |  |
| 2500 | 0.867139374025251 |  |
| 5000 | 0.881789095441836 |  |
| 10000 | 0.865607112017938 | 0.858680337551511 |
| 100000 | 0.864357451331807 |  |
| 250000 | 0.865196031950400 |  |
| 500000 | 0.865178960263938 |  |
| 1000000 |  |  |

Tab. 5. Comparisons of numerical results with antithetic sampling

| Sample size $n=1000000$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $D(T)$ | $D(T) S(T)$ | $D(T) P(T, T, r(T))$ | $D(T)(K-S(T))^{+}$ | CB |
| Euler method |  |  |  |  |  |
| Var original | 273480.434 | 340681.898 | 273426.081 | 219495.036 | 132.512 |
| Var ${ }_{\text {antitheric }}$ | 175562.779 | 221021.187 | 175531.642 | 135907.953 | 4040.719 |
| Milstein method |  |  |  |  |  |
| Varoriginal | 22417.520 | 27288.816 | 22412.939 | 18276.458 | 3285.788 |
| Var ${ }_{\text {antitheric }}$ | 172987.167 | 132384.322 | 172952.076 | 219810.389 | 983.915 |
| Second Milstein method |  |  |  |  |  |
| Var original | 10.914 | 13.437 | 10.914 | 9.691 | 12.719 |
| Var ${ }_{\text {antitheric }}$ | 5.473 | 6.735 | 5.473 | 4.865 | 1.181 |
| Portfolio with Second Milstein method |  |  |  |  |  |
| Var orisinal |  |  | 7.315 |  |  |
| Var ${ }_{\text {antitheric }}$ |  |  | 3.669 |  |  |

Fig. 2 - Stock


Fig. 3 - Stock, number of simulations less than 5000


Fig. 4 - Zero coupon bond, $E[D(T)]$


Fig. 5 - Zero coupon bond, $E[D(T)]$, number of simulations less than 5000


Fig. 6 - Zero coupon bond, $E[D(T) P(T, T, r(T))]$


Fig. 7-Zero coupon bond, $E[D(T) P(T, T, r(T))]$, number of simulations less than $\mathbf{5 0 0 0}$


Fig. 8 - Put option, $E\left[D(T)(K-S(T))^{+}\right]$


Fig. 9 - Put option, $E\left[D(T)(K-S(T))^{+}\right]$, number of simulations less than 5000


Fig. 10 - Corporate coupon bond


Fig. 11 - Corporate coupon bond, number of simulations less than 5000


Fig. 12 - Histogram comparison with antithetic sampling
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