

Near-surface geophysical methods for investigating the Buyukcekmece landslide in Istanbul, Turkey

Esref Yacinlkaya, Hakan Alp, Oguz Ozel, Ethem Gorgun, Salvatore Martino,

Luca Lenti, Celine Bourdeau, Pascal Bigarre, Stellia Coccia

▶ To cite this version:

Esref Yacinlkaya, Hakan Alp, Oguz Ozel, Ethem Gorgun, Salvatore Martino, et al.. Near-surface geophysical methods for investigating the Buyukcekmece landslide in Istanbul, Turkey. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 2016, 134, pp. 23-35. 10.1016/j.jappgeo.2016.08.012 . hal-01724162

HAL Id: hal-01724162 https://hal.science/hal-01724162

Submitted on 28 Aug 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Near-surface geophysical methods for investigating of Buyukcekmece
2	landslide in Istanbul, Turkey
3 4	Esref Yalcinkaya ^{a,*} , Hakan Alp ^a , Oguz Ozel ^a , Ethem Gorgun ^a , Salvatore Martino ^b , Luca Lenti ^c , Celine Bourdeau ^c , Pascal Bigarre ^d , Stella Coccia ^d
5 7 8 9 10 11	 ^aIstanbul University, Engineering Faculty, Geophysical Engineering, 34320 Avcilar, Istanbul, TURKEY, eyalcin@istanbul.edu.tr ^bDepartment of Earth Sciences and Research Center for the Geological Risks (CERI) of the University of Rome "Sapienza" ^cFrench Institute of Sciences and Technology for Transport, Development and Network (IFSTTAR-Paris) ^dINERIS Ecole des Mines des Nancy Campus ARTEM CS 14234 F-54042 Nancy Cedex France *Corresponding author

13 Abstract

14 In this study, near surface geophysical techniques are experienced to investigate physical characteristics of the Buyukcekmece landslide (Istanbul, Turkey). The Buyukcekmece 15 landslide has a continuous activity with a low velocity, and is classified as a complex 16 mechanism. It includes rototranslational parts, several secondary scarps, several landslide 17 terraces, and evidences of two earth flows. It mainly develops in the clayey layers of the 18 Danismen Formation. According to our findings, P-wave velocities ranging from 300 m/s to 19 20 2400 m/s do not provide a notable discrimination between sliding mass and stable soil. They show variations in blocks reflecting complex structure. We obtained S-wave velocity structure 21 of the landslide up to 80 m by combining analysis of MASW and ReMi. It is clear that S-22 wave velocities are lower on the landslide if compared those of the stable area. Being the 23 24 same of the S-wave velocities for the entire area at depths higher than 60 m may point out the maximum thickness of the landslide mass. Resonance frequencies obtained from the H/V 25 analysis on the landslide area are generally higher than those on the stable area. The depths 26 27 computed by using an empirical relation between the resonance frequency and the soil thickness point out the failure surfaces from 10 to 50 m moving downslope from the landslide 28 crown area. The resistivity values within the landslide are generally lower than 30 ohm-m, i.e. 29 a typical value for remolded clayey debris. The geophysical results reflect an overview of the 30 geological model, but the complexity of landslide makes difficult the mapping of the landslide 31 structure in detail. 32

Keywords: Landslide, failure surface, geophysical techniques, Buyukcekmece, earthquake,
 Marmara

35 **1. Introduction**

36 The Marmara region of Turkey is getting ready for the expected Istanbul or Marmara earthquake (Fig. 1). A number of studies performed after the devastating 1999 Izmit (M7.4) 37 and Duzce (M7.2) earthquakes characterize the Marmara fault, which is the part of North 38 Anatolian Fault (NAF) extending under the Marmara Sea, as a seismic gap with high potential 39 for producing large earthquake (M>7) (Parsons et al., 2000; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2000; King 40 et al., 2001; Barka et al., 2002; Parsons, 2004; Pondard et al., 2007). A last study made by 41 Utkucu et al. (2009) describes the Marmara region, which has imminent seismic hazard. In the 42 region, many studies have been performing related with not only understanding of seismic 43 hazard but also mitigation of seismic risk. The project of MARSite (New directions in seismic 44 hazard assessment through focused earth observation in the Marmara Supersite, 45 46 http://marsite.eu) is one of such study financed by European Union-FP7. It consists of 11 work packages which has a wide study range from geodetic monitoring to early warning. The 47 6th work package of MARSite project, which constitutes the base of this study, focuses on the 48 earthquake-induced landslide hazard in the Marmara region. 49

50 Earthquake-triggered landslides have an increasing disastrous impact in seismic regions due 51 to the fast growing urbanization and infrastructures. Just considering disasters from the last 52 fifteen years, among which the 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake, the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, and the 2011 Tohoku earthquake, these events generated tens of thousands of co-seismic 53 54 landslides. Those resulted in amazing death toll and considerable damages, affecting the regional landscape including its hydrological main features. The last seven years' recordings 55 56 demonstrated that more than 50% of the total losses due to landslides worldwide are attributed to co-seismic slope failures (Petley, 2010). Moreover, as reported by Bird and Bommer 57 58 (2004), the greatest damage caused by earthquakes is often related to landslides.

Besides the high level of seismic risk, landslides in Turkey constitute the second source of life 59 60 and economical losses induced by natural hazards. In fact, the 1999 Izmit earthquake (M7.4) caused numerous landslides on the north part of the Marmara Sea, especially along the 61 western shores of Istanbul. In the Marmara Region, the earthquake-triggered landslides risk is 62 steadily increasing due to the growing "urban pressure" over landslide prone areas. Especially 63 the Avcilar-Beylikduzu Peninsula situated between Kucukcekmece and Buyukcekmece Lakes 64 in the westward of Istanbul (Fig. 2) is an active landslide area when considering high seismic 65 66 landslide risk because of extensively constructed and rapid increase in population. In the

67 Marmara region where a disastrous earthquake is expected, the earthquake-triggered 68 landslides, their characterization and monitoring and also early warning issue are key issues in 69 terms of public safety and disaster prevention.





Figure 1. North Anatolian Fault Zone (NAF) extending in the Marmara Region of Turkey
 (black lines), and the surface ruptures of last two earthquakes occurred on the NAF (red
 lines).

75

In the last decade, near-surface geophysical techniques have been widely used for 76 characterization of landslides (e.g. Meric et al., 2007; Jongmans et al., 2009). The applications 77 based on that moving mass of landslide have different physical properties in terms of 78 79 surrounding rock or stable soil due to exposed to deformations, fractures, water content, and porosity. There are two main targets of geophysical investigations: the first is the location of 80 81 the vertical and lateral boundaries of the landslide, that is the failure surface, and the second is the mapping of the internal structure of the landslide (Jongmans and Grambois, 2007). A 82 boundary or contrast in properties of sub-surface layers can be readily available by 83 geophysical methods. However, this boundary may not be always sufficiently strong to be 84 explored by geophysical methods or the resolution of applied techniques may not adequate to 85 locate the potential slip surface. According to McCann and Forster (1990), the success of any 86

geophysical technique depends on four main controlling factors: the existence of a 87 geophysical contrast differentiating the body to be mapped, the resolution and penetration of 88 the method, the calibration of geophysical techniques by geological or geotechnical data and, 89 finally, the signal to noise ratio. While the electrical and seismic methods were the most used 90 geophysical methods in the past, the seismic noise and ground-penetrating radar 91 measurements were added to those in the last years (Caris and Van Asch, 1991; Gallipoli et 92 93 al., 2000; Schmutz et al., 2000; Lapenna et al., 2005; Meric et al., 2007). The advantage or disadvantage of a method to the others vary depending on the landslide specifications and 94 data acquisition parameters. Using of integrated geophysical methods and inversion of 95 geophysical data constrained by stratigraphic information allow to significantly increase 96 reliability of geophysical models (Meric et al., 2007; Keay et al., 2009; Chianese et al., 2010; 97 Panzera and Lombardo, 2013; Capizzi and Martorana, 2014). A broad review about the 98 advantages and disadvantages of the geophysical techniques on the landslide characterization 99 can be found in Hack (2000) and Jongmans and Grambois (2007). In general, low resistivity 100 101 values and low seismic velocities characterize landslide body in terms of undisturbed soil. Resistivity values of landslide body in compact clays and marls decrease 10-30 Ω .m 102 103 depending on weathering extent and water content, while the undisturbed soil is characterized by a resistivity over 60-75 Ω.m (Caris and Van Asch, 1991; Lapenna et al., 2005; Meric et al., 104 105 2007). Mostly strong P and S-wave velocity contrasts were found between the landslide body $(V_p < 400 \text{ m/s}, V_s < 300 \text{ m/s})$ and the basement $(V_p > 1500 \text{ m/s}, V_s > 500 \text{ m/s})$ (Caris and Van 106 107 Asch, 1991; Meric et al., 2007; Jongmans et al., 2009). On the other hand, the examples which these differentiations between landslide body and surrounding material cannot be 108 109 monitored are also available (Jongmans et al., 2009).

110 This paper covers the analyses of near-surface geophysical measurements aiming to reveal the 111 vertical and lateral boundaries of the Buyukcekmece landslide, which is chosen as pilot 112 investigation site in the frame of 6th work package of the Marsite Project. An additional target 113 is the mapping of the internal structure of the landslide for the stability analyses under the 114 seismic shaking. Geophysical results will be compared with the geological models 115 constructed preliminary by geological and morphological observations.



117

118

119

Figure 2. Landslide map and simplified geology of the Avcilar-Beylikduzu Peninsula (modified from Duman et al., 2004; Ozgul et al., 2005 and Ergintav et al., 2011).

120

121 **2. Buyukcekmece landslide**

122 The Buyukcekmece landslide takes place in the Avcilar-Beylikduzu peninsula in the western part of Istanbul metropolitan area (Fig. 2). The NAF passes through the distance of about 15 123 km from south of the study area. The study area is bordered by the Marmara Sea in the south. 124 While the topography sharply increases from the sea coast to 50-100 m elevation in the south, 125 it has a plateau character elevated gently toward to the north. This plateau is incised and 126 dissected by river channels flowing to the Marmara Sea. Both river slopes and coastal slopes 127 are active landslide areas. The materials attached loosely on steep slopes flow downward. 128 Rainfall, topographic slope, human activity and seismic motions can be regarded as possible 129 triggers for landslides in this area. While the youngest geological units take place on the top 130 131 of the plateau, it is possible to see the older units on the bottom of river channels and the coastal slopes (Dalgic, 2004; Duman et al., 2006; Sen, 2007). The Avcilar-Beylikduzu 132 peninsula is of particular interest for landslide susceptibility to earthquake triggering as: i) it 133

1	Near-surface geophysical methods for investigating of Buyukcekmece
2	landslide in Istanbul, Turkey
3 4	Esref Yalcinkaya ^{a,*} , Hakan Alp ^a , Oguz Ozel ^a , Ethem Gorgun ^a , Salvatore Martino ^b , Luca Lenti ^c , Celine Bourdeau ^c , Pascal Bigarre ^d , Stella Coccia ^d
5 7 8 9 10 11	 ^aIstanbul University, Engineering Faculty, Geophysical Engineering, 34320 Avcilar, Istanbul, TURKEY, eyalcin@istanbul.edu.tr ^bDepartment of Earth Sciences and Research Center for the Geological Risks (CERI) of the University of Rome "Sapienza" ^cFrench Institute of Sciences and Technology for Transport, Development and Network (IFSTTAR-Paris) ^dINERIS Ecole des Mines des Nancy Campus ARTEM CS 14234 F-54042 Nancy Cedex France *Corresponding author

13 Abstract

14 In this study, near surface geophysical techniques are experienced to investigate physical characteristics of the Buyukcekmece landslide (Istanbul, Turkey). The Buyukcekmece 15 landslide has a continuous activity with a low velocity, and is classified as a complex 16 mechanism. It includes rototranslational parts, several secondary scarps, several landslide 17 terraces, and evidences of two earth flows. It mainly develops in the clayey layers of the 18 Danismen Formation. According to our findings, P-wave velocities ranging from 300 m/s to 19 20 2400 m/s do not provide a notable discrimination between sliding mass and stable soil. They show variations in blocks reflecting complex structure. We obtained S-wave velocity structure 21 of the landslide up to 80 m by combining analysis of MASW and ReMi. It is clear that S-22 wave velocities are lower on the landslide if compared those of the stable area. Being the 23 24 same of the S-wave velocities for the entire area at depths higher than 60 m may point out the maximum thickness of the landslide mass. Resonance frequencies obtained from the H/V 25 analysis on the landslide area are generally higher than those on the stable area. The depths 26 27 computed by using an empirical relation between the resonance frequency and the soil thickness point out the failure surfaces from 10 to 50 m moving downslope from the landslide 28 crown area. The resistivity values within the landslide are generally lower than 30 ohm-m, i.e. 29 a typical value for remolded clayey debris. The geophysical results reflect an overview of the 30 geological model, but the complexity of landslide makes difficult the mapping of the landslide 31 structure in detail. 32

Keywords: Landslide, failure surface, geophysical techniques, Buyukcekmece, earthquake,
Marmara

Formations outcrop in the main scarp of the landslide (Fig. 2). As it is resulted from field surveys, the deposits belonging to the Bakirkoy, Cukurcesme and Danismen Formations are involved the landslide mass. Nevertheless, due to the existence of several secondary scarps the original geological setting of the deposits is significantly modified as counterslope tilted landslide blocks can be surveyed in the landslide mass area (Fig. 3).

172



Figure 3. Main features of the Buyukcekmece landslide, and the measurement locations
 acquired on the landslide.

176

177 **3.** Geophysical measurements

The geophysical studies performed on the Buyukcekmece landslide area consist of seismic measurements (P-wave refraction, MASW and ReMi) at 32 profiles, noise measurements at 37 points, and resistivity measurements at 4 profiles. The locations of all the measurements are shown in Figure 3. Because a large part of the region is still used for agricultural activity, the land surface is usually too loose to provide healthy coupling between sensor and soil. In addition, highly rugged topography of the study area makes spreading continuous profiles difficult. Therefore, it was generally preferred the causeways for the measurement locations, because they are built from a little bit compressed materials. On the other hand, as stated by Jongmans and Garambois (2007), the strongly disturbed and heterogeneous soil in the landslide area cause to seismic waves attenuate very fast. The energy produced by hammer source does not generally reach to the last geophones on the profiles, especially for long ones designed to increase investigation depth. We encountered with this problem in particular Pwave refraction measurements.

Seismic experiments, which include P-wave refraction, MASW and ReMi measurements, 191 were performed on the same profiles. DoREMi equipment was used in the seismic 192 measurements. The length of profiles was 69 m with 24 geophones (4.5 Hz) spaced by 3 m 193 apart (Fig. 4). The orientation of the profiles was mostly selected perpendicular to the 194 195 landslide major axis, that means N-S direction in Figure 3, in this case the slope over the layout did not change significantly. Site by site data acquisition was preferred rather than 196 197 ensuing measurements due to field conditions. After that, the 2D horizontal and vertical slices were obtained from the interpolated data in the volumetric field. In the active source 198 199 experiments (Refraction and MASW), the signal was generated by a 5 kg-sledgehammer by using 3 and 6 m offsets. Three shots were performed at each measurement profile; two shots 200 were located at the ends of the profiles and the other one was in the middle of the profile. 201 Figure 4 shows the raw seismic traces for the reciprocal shots acquired at the location 10. 202 203 SeisImager code (www.geometrics.com) was used in the analyses of the seismic data. Figure 4 simply shows the analysis steps for the Refraction and MASW data. The details of analyses 204 205 are given in the results section. In the ReMi measurements, it was recorded ambient noise with a duration of 5 minutes totally. It is known that, in linear ReMi arrays, seismic velocities 206 are affected from the directivity of seismic sources, so we tried to stay away man-made noise 207 sources during the ReMi measurements. 208

Guralp 6T velocity sensor (semi broadband with 30 second period) was used for the 209 microtremor measurements. The record durations were 50 minutes in general with a sampling 210 frequency of 100 Hz. In addition, we took 24-hour record at 7 sites to control noise content 211 212 throughout day. Most of the measurement sites are located on landslide, so they are in some degree away from human activities. However, as will be mentioned later, they include 213 significant monochromatic vibrations likely caused by industrial sources. The Horizontal-to-214 Vertical Spectral Ratio method (H/V) is used to determine the resonance frequency of the soft 215 layer (Nakamura, 1989). The analyses were carried out with Geopsy code (www.geopsy.org). 216

Firstly, it was chosen time windows for the analyses with the length of 50 s and excluding strong transients from the records, and then it was computed Fourier spectra for three components smoothed with a Konno-Ohmachi windowing with a "b" value of 20. Lastly, the H/V values for each window were calculated as the ratio between the vector summation of the Fourier spectra of horizontal components and the spectrum of the vertical component.





Figure 4. a- b) Raw seismic traces for the reciprocal shots acquired at the location 10. Shot

225 geometry, first arrivals of P wave and surface wave groups are marked on the seismic traces.

- 226 *c) Travel time versus distance graph for the three shots at -3m,34.5m and 72m. Blue and*
- 227 black lines show observations and calculations, respectively. d) Dispersion curve for the

surface wave. Red dots show the marked phase velocities versus frequency. e) Tomographic inversion of P wave velocity. f) Inversion of the S-wave velocity.

On the other hand, resistivity measurements are widely used in the landslide studies. 230 Unfortunately, in this study the resistivity measurements remained too limited due to some 231 instrumental problems, which is manufactured by a local company, so we could perform just 232 233 on the four profiles. Resistivity measurements (Vertical Electrical Soundings-VES) were made with a four electrode configuration commonly referred to as the Schlumberger array. 234 The method uses four in-line electrodes; the inner pair for recording electrical potential as a 235 current is passed through the outer pair. Measurements are made in a series of readings 236 involving successively larger current electrode separations. The data are plotted on a 237 logarithmic scale to produce a sounding curve representing apparent resistivity variations as a 238 239 function of half current-electrode separation (AB/2). The details of analyses are given in the 240 results section.

241 **4. Results**

242 **4.1. Seismic measurements**

In the refraction analyses, at the beginning an initial layer model is established by time-term 243 inversion for 2-layer situation relied on the slope of the lines connecting the first arrivals. 244 After that, a tomographic inversion is performed for each profile through iterative 245 modification of the initial model. The initial model is iteratively modified to 10-layer model 246 constrained by the maximum and minimum velocities of the time-term inversion. A misfit 247 value (RMS) lower than %5 for the layer velocities is usually obtained after 10 iterations. The 248 tomography results are controlled for the lateral changes along the profile, and it is obtained a 249 250 velocity-depth profile representing that site. The velocity values at all sites are interpolated by the Kriging method, and then the horizontal slices at different depths are obtained. P-wave 251 252 velocity images at depths of 2 m, 5 m, 10 m, and 20 m are shown in Figure 5. The maximum investigation depth in the analyses is less than 20 m. The images of P-wave velocities do not 253 254 present a notable discrimination horizontally to be correlated with the boundary of landslide 255 mass or failure surface. The velocities range from 300 m/s at the surface to 2400 m/s at the 256 bottom. The high P-wave velocities are particularly seen on the southern and the northwestern parts of the study area corresponding to the earth flow and the stable ridge, respectively. 257 258 Actually, the P-wave velocities in the whole area exhibit differentiations in parts indicating the complexity of structure. On the other hand, the velocities sharply increase over 1000-1500 259

m/s at depths higher than 5-10 m pointing out the saturated sands and clays of Cukurcesme
and Danismen Formations. It is difficult to mention from any slip surface, but the highvelocity contrast and the presence of water may point out the local slides at shallow.



264

263

Figure 5. P-wave velocity images of the landslide area at different depths. Red and black
 dashed lines show the landslide boundary and the image location on the 3D map,
 respectively. Cross sign shows the locations of measurement sites.

Figure 6 also shows some samples of S-wave velocity-depth profiles obtained from the analyses of MASW, ReMi and the combination of them. As shown in the figure, the penetration depth for the MASW measurements is maximum 30 m, whereas it reaches up to 80 m for the ReMi measurements because, as known, ambient noise data generally include longer period waves than that of produced by active source. In result, in combined analyses of MASW and ReMi, the high frequency parts of the dispersion curves, which also mean shallow depths less than 30 m, consist of MASW data, whereas the low frequency parts of the dispersion curves, which mean deeper parts more than 30 m, consist of ReMi data. We prefer
to use multiple layers (exactly 15 layers) in the modeling of the dispersion curves in order to
avoid an unreal contrast by selecting far less number of the layers.



Figure 6. The depth sections of S-wave velocity at sample measurement points. Green, blue and red lines represent the results of MASW measurements for three shots performed at the two ends and in the middle of each profile. The purple lines show the results of the ReMi analysis, and the black lines represent the results of the combine analysis of MASW and ReMi.

A general result from the S-wave velocity profiles is that the velocities do not present distinct 286 contrasts, which would be interpreted as failure surface. In general, the velocities gradually 287 increase as depth increases. However, the images of S-wave velocity shown in Figure 7 288 provide some clues related with the geometry of landslide. The S- wave velocities are very 289 low about 100-200 m/s in the top layer, and they increase up to 800 m/s at the depth of 80 m. 290 Note that the S-wave velocities are generally lower within the boundary of landslide with 291 respect to the surrounding area. The vertical cross sections of S-wave velocities shown in 292 Figure 8 could be more convenient to interpret the geometry of landslide. The layers with the 293 294 S-wave velocity lower than 400 m/s take place in the middle part of the sections as compatible with the surface boundary of the landslide. The thicknesses of those layers are 295 about 50-60 m in the middle parts, but change in both transverse and longitudinal direction. 296 The layers with 500 m/s or higher velocities extend from the bottom of moving mass to the 297 298 edges of the landslide area considered as stable parts. In other words, in deeper parts than 60 m, the S-wave velocities begin to be the same for the entire field. So, this depth can be 299 300 interpreted as the bottom boundary of the landslide mass.

301



Figure 7. The S-wave velocity images at different depths. Red and black dashed lines show
 the landslide boundary and the image location on the 3D map, respectively. Cross sign shows
 the locations of measurement sites.

306



Figure 8. 2D cross sections of S-wave velocity. Locations of profiles A1, A2 and A3 match
with the locations of sections T1, T2 and T3, respectively, shown in Figure 13.

310

311 **4.2.** Noise measurements

Figure 9 shows the distribution of the site resonance frequencies obtained from the H/V 312 analysis together with examples of several H/V graph. It is to say that to decide resonance 313 frequencies on the H/V curves are very difficult. One of the reasons of this is that the ambient 314 noise records contain some anthropogenic vibrations, which are likely generated by industrial 315 machines working in the region. The fundamental mode frequency of these vibrations is about 316 1.5 Hz (e.g. the first peak of M8 site in Figure 9), and they cause a false resonance frequency 317 or they mask a real resonance frequency at some sites. The anthropogenic peaks were 318 identified in three different ways; the sharp peaks on the Fourier spectra, the continuous and 319 equal amplitudes on the time-frequency image, and the azimuth dependence of H/V peaks. 320

Figure 10 shows the identification of anthropogenic peak at 1.5 Hz at M8 site. 321 The anthropogenic peaks in the noise measurements are beyond the scope of this paper, but a 322 similar investigation can be found in Yalcinkaya et al. (2013). In the analyses, we tried to 323 keep away from the industrial peaks while determining the resonance peak of the H/V curve. 324 If there is no peak in he H/V curve another from the anthropogenic peak, then we kept that 325 326 site as undetermined. Another reason is that a number of sites in our measurements do not 327 present a clear resonance peak (e.g. M22, M29 sites in Fig. 9) as defined in the SESAME project (Bard and SESAME Team, 2005). At these sites, the resonance frequencies are 328 determined by comparing the H/V curves with those of neighboring sites showing clear peak 329 assuming that the resonance frequency should not change in a few 10 meters, but peculiar 330 conditions for that site, e.g. anthropogenic vibrations or data acquisition, may prevent to see a 331 332 clear peak.





Figure 9. Upper graph represents the examples of H/V curve. Measurement locations are
shown on the map in the middle part. The red, blue and grey bars on the H/V curves mark
fundamental resonance frequencies, secondary peak frequencies and anthropogenic peaks,
respectively. Bottom graph shows the counter map of site resonance frequencies. Numbers
show the resonance frequency at each measurement site.



Figure 10. Identification of anthropogenic peak at 1.5 Hz of site M8. Fourier spectrum of NS
component (on the left) exhibits a sharp peak at 1.5 Hz. This peak has continuous and equal
amplitudes on the time-frequency spectrum (in the middle). The peak at 1.5 Hz observed on
the rotated H/V ratio (in the right) strongly depends on the azimuth.

As shown in Figure 9, the resonance frequencies of the sites located within the landslide mass 347 are generally higher than those located outside of landslide. In the middle part of landslide 348 area, the resonance frequencies are observed between 2.7-4.9 Hz, whereas at the sites in the 349 stable area the values decrease to 0.7-0.9 Hz. In addition, high resonance frequencies of 6-10 350 Hz are also observed at some transition sites between landslide mass and stable area. The 351 amplitudes of the resonance frequencies especially in the stable area are very small indicating 352 a weak impedance contrast (e.g. M22, M26, M29 sites in Figure 9). Moreover, the H/V curves 353 in the stable area mostly present some secondary peaks at high frequencies, which are likely 354 produced by local slides (e.g. M22, M26, M29, M31 sites in Figure 9). This differentiation of 355 resonance frequencies in the study area is thought that the landslide mass may be generating 356 specific vibration resonance apart from the actual soil resonance. It is encountered similar 357 358 results in the literature (e.g. Gallipoli et al., 2000; Meric et al., 2007; Jongmans et al., 2009).

The resonance frequencies (f_r) of the H/V curves can be converted to soil thicknesses (H) by 359 using empirical relations. Birgoren et al. (2009) suggests a relationship ($H = 150.99 f_r^{-1.1531}$) 360 between soil thickness and resonance frequency for the Istanbul region. The soil thicknesses 361 362 computed from the Birgoren's empirical relation are shown in Figure 11. It is seen that the 363 thickness of the landslide mass ranges from 17 to 50 m, and from 10 to 17 m on the edges of the landslide. On the stable part, i.e. outside the landslide mass, the soft soil thickness over a 364 365 seismic bedrock reaches 170-228 m, pointing out a lithological change in deeper deposits. It is also seen a few site, e.g. 170 m depth in the landslide mass and 25 m in the stable area, which 366 367 do not comply with this interpretation.

A borehole was drilled by the TUBITAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) in the landslide area in the framework of the MARSite project (D62 in Figure 11). Actually, a more comprehensive borehole study on the landslide area has been going on by Istanbul Municipality and TUBITAK, but their results have not been appeared yet. Figure 11 reports the borehole log-stratigraphy, as well. As shown in this log, two failure surfaces have been encountered by the borehole. The depths of the sliding surfaces are about at 30 m and at 50 m, which are not so different from the depths obtained by the resonance frequencies.



Figure 11. The counter map of soil thicknesses computed from resonance frequencies by the
empirical relation of Birgoren et al. (2009). Numbers show the soil thicknesses at each
measurement site. It is also shown a lithological section obtained from a borehole shown its
location with square on the map.

380 **4.3. Resistivity measurements**

During the Schlumberger resistivity measurements, the electrode spacing was started from 5 381 382 m for the current electrodes (AB/2), and 1 m for the potential electrodes (MN/2). The current was injected to the earth ranging from 50 mA to 150 mA. The maximum AB/2 spacing could 383 be applied 120 m for the VES1 and VES3 profiles, 170 m for the VES2, and 65 m for the 384 VES4 (Figure 12). Terrain conditions and instrumental deficiencies did not let larger spacing, 385 so the reliable investigation depths ranged from 30 to 70 m (~AB/4). The measurements could 386 not be interpolated to 2D-resistivity sections due to lack of enough measurements, as is done 387 for seismic measurements. Thus, these measurements provided just 1D resistivity depth 388 profiles at a few locations. The analyses of the resistivity measurements are shown in Figure 389 12. Firstly, noisy resistivity values were manually smoothed, and then the data were 390 interpreted by using the curve matching technique. **IPI2WIN** software 391 (http://geophys.geol.msu.ru/) was used to invert each sounding curve to a one-dimensional 392 layered model. It was performed RMS-errors lower than 5% using ground models with 4 to 6 393 layers relied on the bends of resistivity curve. VES1 and VES2 profiles show very low 394 395 resistivity values lover than 30 ohm-m along the depth-section, i.e. a typical value for

remolded clayey debris. These locations take place on the earth flow located on the southern part of the landslide. On the other hand, VES3 and VES4 profiles exhibit a sharp increase of the resistivity up to 120 ohm-m at almost 20-30 m below the ground level, that may be related to the secondary failure surfaces. All profiles also show a small rise in resistivity values nearly at 10 m depths likely corresponding to the gravelly units.



401

Figure 12. 1D ground models obtained from the analysis of resistivity measurements. The
 observed, smoothed and calculated resistivity values are represented with different symbols
 on the graphs. The profile locations are shown below on the landslide map.

405

406 **5. Discussion and conclusion**

407 The Buyukcekmece landslide has a very complex structure, so this character complicates the408 exploring it by geophysical techniques. Bourdeau et al. (2016) constructed a preliminary

409 model of Buyukcekmece landslide based on several geomorphological and geological 410 evidences, e.g. the borehole log stratigraphies, the geometries of the scarps, the measured dip 411 of the outcropping strata and a geometrical feedback consisting in a reversal of the present 412 landforms to reconstruct the original shape of the slope (Figure 13). In their models, the 413 landslide mass is divided into 8 blocks indicating repeated reactivations of the landslide and 414 its retrogressive evolution. The results of geophysical measurements have been interpreted 415 taking into account the geological model.



Figure 13. Geological map and geological cross section along trace L of the Buyukcekmece
landslide: 1) alluvial and coastal deposits (Holocene); 2) silty-clays of the Gungoren unit of
Danismen Formation (upper Oligocene); 3) clays with tuffs of the Cantakoy unit of Danismen
Formation (upper Oligocene- lower Miocene); 4) sands and gravels of the Cukurcesme
Formation (upper Oligocene- lower Miocene); 5) calcarenites of the Bakirkoy Formation
(upper Miocene); 6) earthflow debris; 7) rototranslational landslide mass; 8) slope debris;

423 9) landslide counterslope tilted terrace; 10) rototranslational landslide scarp; 11) earthflow

424 *crown*; 12) *fault*; 13) D-62 *borehole*. T1, T2, T3 *shows the section lines shown in Figure 8*.

425

The geophysical results reflect an overview of the geological model. The main slip surface of 426 427 Buyukcekmece landslide develops in the same geological unit consisting of the clayey layers of the Danismen Formation. So, it does not constitute a strong impedance contrast between 428 the landslide mass and underlying layers. It is because of that S-waves do not exhibit 429 important velocity contrasts along the depth-profiles. In addition, the geologic strata of the 430 landslide are not so different than the surrounding area. However, it has been deforming and 431 432 mixing too much due to ongoing dislocations in terms of surrounding part. The decomposing of materials in the landslide causes lower seismic velocities. The analyses of S-wave velocity 433 clearly reflect this situation. The S-wave velocities are lower in the landslide with respect to 434 the stable area. This discrimination continues down to a depth of 60 m, and then the velocities 435 become the same for the entire area. This thickness for the landslide mass is consistent with 436 437 the geological model. The material consisting of the landslide mass is a mixing of three geological units which are the Bakirkoy, Cukurcesme and the Danismen formations. The 438 average S-wave velocity in this complexity range from 200 m/s to 500 m/s from the top to the 439 440 down. However, the velocities vary laterally depending on the block structure of the landslide. It is likely that some of these blocks are more stable than the others and the material 441 442 consisting of it is more compact. The applied survey plan does not let us investigate each block structure. In a next survey, it should be focused on investigation of the blocks with high 443 444 resolution measurements.

The complexity of the landslide structure is also evident from the P-wave velocities. The 445 446 analyses of P-wave velocity did not point out a differentiation between landslide mass and stable area. A reason is that the exploration depth of the refraction analyses remains very 447 shallow. Unfortunately, both using hammer source and strong seismic wave attenuation 448 449 character of the landslide did not allow to get information from deeper parts than 20m. In this part, the P-wave velocities range from 300 m/s to 2400 m/s. In general, manmade fills and 450 slope debris on the surface have very low P-wave velocities of about 300 m/s. Toward the 451 deeper parts, the P-wave velocity increases 1000-2000 m/s in the stiff clays of Danismen 452 Formation, and the sands and gravels of Cukurcesme Formation. The sandy deposits of 453

454 Cukurcesme Formation are water-filled (Bourdeau et al. (2016). In locally, the stiff units and
455 the presence of water may have caused to rise P-wave velocities over 1000-1500 m/s.

The fundamental frequencies of the H/V curves obtained from the microtremor measurements 456 give the thicknesses of soil in the landslide mass between 10-50 m by using the empirical 457 relation, whereas in the stable area the resonance frequencies are quite low indicating deep 458 lithological changes in the sediments at depths of 170-228 m. The high variability of the 459 fundamental frequencies points out the landslide complexity. Presence of the blocks, 460 fragmentation of the block in itself and secondary slip surfaces may have caused the variation 461 of fundamental frequencies and additionally the secondary frequency peaks at many sites. 462 However, the resolution of the H/V analysis is not enough to model all of them. An 463 interesting point is that the H/V curves comparatively present clear site resonance peaks on 464 465 the landslide mass, although S-wave velocities do not show notable contrasts. Moreover, the resonance peaks of H/V curves do not present any dependency of azimuth as observed on 466 467 some landslide cases (e.g. Burjanek et al., 2012; Del Gaudio et al., 2013).

In this study, to produce a reliable result from the resistivity analyses related with the structure of landslide is difficult but the jumping resistivity values at two profiles point out possible slip surfaces at the depths of 20 m's. The resistivity values are quite low as expected due to clayey units and water content. These results coincide with the expected structure of the landslide and the geological observations. It is worth noting that the interpretation of geophysical images needs to correlate with geotechnical investigations. It will be possible when the geotechnical investigations are completed.

475

Acknowledges: This study is supported by FP7 Marsite project (Grant Agreement No:
308417). We wish to thank all the members of the 6th work package and MARSite project
coordinator Prof. N.M. Ozel for their valuable contributions. We wish also thank to workers
of TUBITAK-IBB project who gave support our project by providing one of their boreholes
for our measurements.

481

482 **References**

- Bard, P.Y., SESAME-Team, 2005. Guidelines for the implementation of the H/V spectral
 ratio technique on ambient vibrations: measurements, processing, and interpretations.
 SESAME European research project, EVG1-CT-2000-00026, deliverable D23.12. Available
 at: <u>http://sesamefp5.obs.ujfgrenoble.fr</u>
- Barka, A., Altunel, E., Sunal, G., Cakir, Z., Dikbas, A., Yerli, B. et al., 2002. The surface
 rupture and slip distribution of the 17 August 1999 Izmit earthquake M 7.4 North Anatolian
 Fault. Bulletin of Seismological Society America 92, 43–60.
- Bird, J.F., Bommer, J.J., 2004. Earthquake losses due to ground failure. Engineering Geology
 75, 147–179.
- Birgoren, G., Ozel, O., Siyahi, B., 2009. Bedrock depth mapping of the coast south of
 Istanbul: Comparison of analytical and experimental analyses. Turkish Journal of Earth
 Science 18, 315-329.
- Bourdeau, C., Lenti, L., Martino, S., Oguz, O., Yalcinkaya, E., Bigarrè, P., Coccia, S., 2016.
 Comprehensive analysis of local seismic response in the complex Buyukcekmece landslide
 area (Turkey) by engineering-geological and numerical modelling. Engineering Geology
 (submitted).
- Burjanek, J., Moore, J.R., Molina, F.X.Y., Fah, D., 2012. Instrumental evidence of normal
 mode rock slope vibration. Geophysical Journal International 188, 559-569.
- 501 Capizzi, P., Martorana, R., 2014. Integration of constrained electrical and seismic
 502 tomographies to study the landslide affecting the cathedral of Agrigento. J. Geophys. Eng. 11,
 503 doi:10.1088/1742-2132/11/4/045009.
- 504 Caris, J.P.T., Van Asch TH.W.J., 1991. Geophysical, geotechnical and hydrological
 505 investigations of a small landslide in the French Alps. Eng. Geol., 31, 249-276.
- 506 Chianese, D., Lapenna, V., Di Salvia, S., Perrone, A., Rizzo, E., 2010. Joint geophysical
 507 measurements to investigate the Rossano of Vaglio archaeological site (Basilicata Region,
- 508 Southern Italy). Journal of Archaeological Science 37, 2237-2244.
- Cruden, D.M., Varnes, D.J., 1996. Landslide types and processes, in Landslides: Investigation
 and Mitigation. A.K. Turner and R.L. Schuster (Editors), Transportation Research Board,

- 511 Spec. Report 247, National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington, DC:36–
 512 75.
- 513 Dalgic, S., 2004. Factors affecting the greater damage in the Avcılar area of Istanbul during
 514 the 17 August 1999 Izmit earthquake. Bull Eng Geol Env 63, 221–232.
- 515 Del Gaudio, V., Wasowski, J., Muscillo, J., 2013. New developments in ambient noise
 516 analysis to characterise the seismic response of landslide-prone slopes. Nat. Hazards Earth
 517 Syst. Sci.13, 2075–2087.
- 518 Duman, T.Y. et al., 2004. Istanbul Metropolu Batısındaki (Küçükçekmece-Silivri-Çatalca
- 519 Yöresi) Kentsel Gelişme Alanlarının Yerbilim Verileri. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Genel
 520 Müdürlüğü (MTA) Özel Yayın Serisi 3, Ankara.
- Duman, T.Y., Can, T., Gokceoglu, C., Nefeslioglu, H.A., Sonmez, H., 2006. Application of
 logistic regression for landslide susceptibility zoning of Cekmece Area, Istanbul, Turkey.
 Environ Geol 51, 241–256.
- Ergintav, S., Demirbag, E., Ediger, V., Saatcilar, R., Inan, S., Cankurtaranlar, A., Dikbas, A.,
 Bas, M., 2011. Structural framework of onshore and offshore Avcilar, Istanbul under the
 influence of the North Anatolian fault. Geophys J Int 185, 93-105.
- 527 Gallipoli, M., Lapenna, V., Lorenzo, P., Mucciarelli, M., Perrone, A., Piscitelli, S., Sdao, F.,
- 528 2000. Comparison of geological and geophysical prospecting techniques in the study of a
- 529 landslide in southern Italy. European J. Env. Eng. Geophys., 4, 117-128.
- Hack, R., 2000. Geophysics for slope stability. Surveys in Geophysics 21, 423-448.
- Hubert-Ferrari, A., Barka, A., Jacques, E., Nalbant, S.S., Meyer, B., Armijo, R. et al., 2000.
- 532 Seismic hazard following the 17 August 1999 Izmit earthquake. Nature 404, 269–273.
- Jongmans, D., Garambois, S., 2007. Geophysical investigation of landslides: a review.
 Bulletin Societe Geologique de France 178 (2), 101-112.
- 535 Jongmans, D., Bievre, G., Renalier, F., Schwartz, S., Beaurez, N., Orengo, Y., 2009.
- 536 Geophysical investigations of a large landslide in glaciolacustrine clays in the Trieves area
- 537 (French Alps). Engineering Geology 109 (1-2), 45-56.

- Keay, S., Earl, G., Hay, S., Kay, S., Ogden, J., Strutt, K.D., 2009. The role of integrated
 geophysical survey methods in the assessment of archaeological landscapes: the case of
 Portus. Archaeol. Prospect. 16, 154-166.
- King, G.C.P., Hubert-Ferrari, A., Nalbant, S.S., Meyer, B., Armijo, R., Bowman, D., 2001.
 Coulomb interactions and the 17 August 1999 Izmit, Turkey earthquake. Earth Planet Sci 333,
- 543 557–569.
- Lapenna, V., Lorenzo, P., Perrone, A., Piscitelli, S., Rizzo, E., Sdao, F., 2005. 2D electrical
 resistivity imaging of some complex landslides in Lucanian Apennine chain, southern Italy.
 Geophysics, 70, B11-B18.
- 547 Martino, S., Bigarrè, P., Coccia, S., Bourdeau, C., Lenti, L., Oguz, O., Yalcinkaya, E., 2016.

548 Integrated engineering-geological and numerical approach applied to the large Buyukcekmece

549 (Turkey) landslide for evaluating earthquake-induced effects. Landslides and Engineered

- 550 Slopes. Experience, Theory and Practice Aversa et al. (Eds), 1375-1382.
- McCann, D.M., Forster, A., 1990. Reconnaissance geophysical methods in landslide
 investigations. Eng. Geol., 29, 59–78.
- Meric, O., Garambois, S., Malet, J.-P., Cadet, H., Gueguen, P., Jongsman, D., 2007. Seismic
 noise-based methods for soft-rock landslide characterization. Bull Soc Geol Fr 178(2), 137148.
- Nakamura, Y., 1989. A method for dynamic characteristics estimation of subsurface using
 microtremor on the ground surface. QR Rail Tech Res Inst 30, 25-30.
- 558 Ozgul, N. et al., 2005. İstanbul il alanının genel jeoloji ozellikleri, İBB Deprem ve Zemin
 559 İnceleme Müd., 79 pp., İstanbul.
- Panzera, F., Lombardo, G., 2013. Seismic property characterization of lithotypes cropping out
 in the Siracusa urban area, Italy. Engineering Geology 153, 12-24.
- 562 Pondard, N., Armijo, R., King, G.C.P., Meyer, B., Flerit, F., 2007. Fault interactions in the
- 563 Sea of Marmara pull apart (North Anatolian Fault): earthquake clustering and propagating
- 564 earthquake sequences. Geophys J Int, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03580.x
- Parsons, T., Toda, S., Stein, R.S., Barka, A., Dieterich, J.H., 2000. Heightened odds of large
 earthquakes near Istanbul: an interaction-based probability calculation. Science 288, 661-665.

- Parsons, T., 2004. Recalculated probability of M C 7 earthquakes beneath the Sea of Marmara
 Turkey. J Geophys Res 109, B05304. doi:10.1029/2003JB002667
- 569 Petley, D., 2010. Global patterns of loss of life from landslides. Geology 40(10), 927-930.
- 570 Sen, S., 2007. A fault zone cause of large amplification and damage in Avcilar (W Istanbul)
- during 1999 Izmit earth-quake. Nat Hazards 43, 351–363.
- 572 Schmutz, M., Albouy, Y., Guerin, R., Maquaire, O., Vassal, J., Schott, J.-J., Descloitres, M.,
- 573 2000. Joint electrical and time domain electromagnetism (TDEM) data inversion applied to
 574 the Super Sauze earthflow (France). Surveys in Geophys., 21, 371-390.
- 575 Utkucu, M., Kanbur, Z., Alptekin, O., Sunbul, F., 2010, Seismic behavior of the North
- 576 Anatolian Fault beneath the Sea of Marmara (NW Turkey): implications for earthquake
- recurrence times and future seismic hazard. Nat Hazards 50, 45-71.
- 578 Yalcinkaya, E., Tekebas, S., Pinar, A., 2013. Analysis of ambient noise in Yalova, Turkey:
- discrimination between artificial and natural excitations. J Seismol 17, 1021-1039.

1	Near-surface geophysical methods for investigating of Buyukcekmece
2	landslide in Istanbul, Turkey
3 4	Esref Yalcinkaya ^{a,*} , Hakan Alp ^a , Oguz Ozel ^a , Ethem Gorgun ^a , Salvatore Martino ^b , Luca Lenti ^c , Celine Bourdeau ^c , Pascal Bigarre ^d , Stella Coccia ^d
5 7 8 9 10 11	 ^aIstanbul University, Engineering Faculty, Geophysical Engineering, 34320 Avcilar, Istanbul, TURKEY, eyalcin@istanbul.edu.tr ^bDepartment of Earth Sciences and Research Center for the Geological Risks (CERI) of the University of Rome "Sapienza" ^cFrench Institute of Sciences and Technology for Transport, Development and Network (IFSTTAR-Paris) ^dINERIS Ecole des Mines des Nancy Campus ARTEM CS 14234 F-54042 Nancy Cedex France *Corresponding author

13 Abstract

14 In this study, near surface geophysical techniques are experienced to investigate physical characteristics of the Buyukcekmece landslide (Istanbul, Turkey). The Buyukcekmece 15 landslide has a continuous activity with a low velocity, and is classified as a complex 16 mechanism. It includes rototranslational parts, several secondary scarps, several landslide 17 terraces, and evidences of two earth flows. It mainly develops in the clayey layers of the 18 Danismen Formation. According to our findings, P-wave velocities ranging from 300 m/s to 19 20 2400 m/s do not provide a notable discrimination between sliding mass and stable soil. They show variations in blocks reflecting complex structure. We obtained S-wave velocity structure 21 of the landslide up to 80 m by combining analysis of MASW and ReMi. It is clear that S-22 wave velocities are lower on the landslide if compared those of the stable area. Being the 23 24 same of the S-wave velocities for the entire area at depths higher than 60 m may point out the maximum thickness of the landslide mass. Resonance frequencies obtained from the H/V 25 analysis on the landslide area are generally higher than those on the stable area. The depths 26 27 computed by using an empirical relation between the resonance frequency and the soil thickness point out the failure surfaces from 10 to 50 m moving downslope from the landslide 28 crown area. The resistivity values within the landslide are generally lower than 30 ohm-m, i.e. 29 a typical value for remolded clayey debris. The geophysical results reflect an overview of the 30 geological model, but the complexity of landslide makes difficult the mapping of the landslide 31 structure in detail. 32

Keywords: Landslide, failure surface, geophysical techniques, Buyukcekmece, earthquake,
 Marmara

35 **1. Introduction**

36 The Marmara region of Turkey is getting ready for the expected Istanbul or Marmara earthquake (Fig. 1). A number of studies performed after the devastating 1999 Izmit (M7.4) 37 and Duzce (M7.2) earthquakes characterize the Marmara fault, which is the part of North 38 Anatolian Fault (NAF) extending under the Marmara Sea, as a seismic gap with high potential 39 for producing large earthquake (M>7) (Parsons et al., 2000; Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2000; King 40 et al., 2001; Barka et al., 2002; Parsons, 2004; Pondard et al., 2007). A last study made by 41 Utkucu et al. (2009) describes the Marmara region, which has imminent seismic hazard. In the 42 region, many studies have been performing related with not only understanding of seismic 43 hazard but also mitigation of seismic risk. The project of MARSite (New directions in seismic 44 hazard assessment through focused earth observation in the Marmara Supersite, 45 46 http://marsite.eu) is one of such study financed by European Union-FP7. It consists of 11 work packages which has a wide study range from geodetic monitoring to early warning. The 47 6th work package of MARSite project, which constitutes the base of this study, focuses on the 48 earthquake-induced landslide hazard in the Marmara region. 49

50 Earthquake-triggered landslides have an increasing disastrous impact in seismic regions due 51 to the fast growing urbanization and infrastructures. Just considering disasters from the last 52 fifteen years, among which the 1999 Chi-Chi earthquake, the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, and the 2011 Tohoku earthquake, these events generated tens of thousands of co-seismic 53 54 landslides. Those resulted in amazing death toll and considerable damages, affecting the regional landscape including its hydrological main features. The last seven years' recordings 55 56 demonstrated that more than 50% of the total losses due to landslides worldwide are attributed to co-seismic slope failures (Petley, 2010). Moreover, as reported by Bird and Bommer 57 58 (2004), the greatest damage caused by earthquakes is often related to landslides.

Besides the high level of seismic risk, landslides in Turkey constitute the second source of life 59 60 and economical losses induced by natural hazards. In fact, the 1999 Izmit earthquake (M7.4) caused numerous landslides on the north part of the Marmara Sea, especially along the 61 western shores of Istanbul. In the Marmara Region, the earthquake-triggered landslides risk is 62 steadily increasing due to the growing "urban pressure" over landslide prone areas. Especially 63 the Avcilar-Beylikduzu Peninsula situated between Kucukcekmece and Buyukcekmece Lakes 64 in the westward of Istanbul (Fig. 2) is an active landslide area when considering high seismic 65 66 landslide risk because of extensively constructed and rapid increase in population. In the 67 Marmara region where a disastrous earthquake is expected, the earthquake-triggered 68 landslides, their characterization and monitoring and also early warning issue are key issues in 69 terms of public safety and disaster prevention.





Figure 1. North Anatolian Fault Zone (NAF) extending in the Marmara Region of Turkey
 (black lines), and the surface ruptures of last two earthquakes occurred on the NAF (red
 lines).

75

In the last decade, near-surface geophysical techniques have been widely used for 76 characterization of landslides (e.g. Meric et al., 2007; Jongmans et al., 2009). The applications 77 based on that moving mass of landslide have different physical properties in terms of 78 79 surrounding rock or stable soil due to exposed to deformations, fractures, water content, and porosity. There are two main targets of geophysical investigations: the first is the location of 80 81 the vertical and lateral boundaries of the landslide, that is the failure surface, and the second is the mapping of the internal structure of the landslide (Jongmans and Grambois, 2007). A 82 83 boundary or contrast in properties of sub-surface layers can be readily available by geophysical methods. However, this boundary may not be always sufficiently strong to be 84 85 explored by geophysical methods or the resolution of applied techniques may not adequate to locate the potential slip surface. According to McCann and Forster (1990), the success of any 86

geophysical technique depends on four main controlling factors: the existence of a 87 geophysical contrast differentiating the body to be mapped, the resolution and penetration of 88 the method, the calibration of geophysical techniques by geological or geotechnical data and, 89 finally, the signal to noise ratio. While the electrical and seismic methods were the most used 90 geophysical methods in the past, the seismic noise and ground-penetrating radar 91 measurements were added to those in the last years (Caris and Van Asch, 1991; Gallipoli et 92 al., 2000; Schmutz et al., 2000; Lapenna et al., 2005; Meric et al., 2007). The advantage or 93 disadvantage of a method to the others vary depending on the landslide specifications and 94 data acquisition parameters. Using of integrated geophysical methods and inversion of 95 geophysical data constrained by stratigraphic information allow to significantly increase 96 reliability of geophysical models (Meric et al., 2007; Keay et al., 2009; Chianese et al., 2010; 97 Panzera and Lombardo, 2013; Capizzi and Martorana, 2014). A broad review about the 98 99 advantages and disadvantages of the geophysical techniques on the landslide characterization can be found in Hack (2000) and Jongmans and Grambois (2007). In general, low resistivity 100 101 values and low seismic velocities characterize landslide body in terms of undisturbed soil. Resistivity values of landslide body in compact clays and marls decrease 10-30 Ω .m 102 103 depending on weathering extent and water content, while the undisturbed soil is characterized 104 by a resistivity over 60-75 Ω .m (Caris and Van Asch, 1991; Lapenna et al., 2005; Meric et al., 105 2007). Mostly strong P and S-wave velocity contrasts were found between the landslide body $(V_p < 400 \text{ m/s}, V_s < 300 \text{ m/s})$ and the basement $(V_p > 1500 \text{ m/s}, V_s > 500 \text{ m/s})$ (Caris and Van 106 Asch, 1991; Meric et al., 2007; Jongmans et al., 2009). On the other hand, the examples 107 which these differentiations between landslide body and surrounding material cannot be 108 monitored are also available (Jongmans et al., 2009). 109

110 This paper covers the analyses of near-surface geophysical measurements aiming to reveal the 111 vertical and lateral boundaries of the Buyukcekmece landslide, which is chosen as pilot 112 investigation site in the frame of 6th work package of the Marsite Project. An additional target 113 is the mapping of the internal structure of the landslide for the stability analyses under the 114 seismic shaking. Geophysical results will be compared with the geological models 115 constructed preliminary by geological and morphological observations.



117

118

119

Figure 2. Landslide map and simplified geology of the Avcilar-Beylikduzu Peninsula (modified from Duman et al., 2004; Ozgul et al., 2005 and Ergintav et al., 2011).

120

121 **2. Buyukcekmece landslide**

122 The Buyukcekmece landslide takes place in the Avcilar-Beylikduzu peninsula in the western part of Istanbul metropolitan area (Fig. 2). The NAF passes through the distance of about 15 123 km from south of the study area. The study area is bordered by the Marmara Sea in the south. 124 While the topography sharply increases from the sea coast to 50-100 m elevation in the south, 125 it has a plateau character elevated gently toward to the north. This plateau is incised and 126 dissected by river channels flowing to the Marmara Sea. Both river slopes and coastal slopes 127 are active landslide areas. The materials attached loosely on steep slopes flow downward. 128 Rainfall, topographic slope, human activity and seismic motions can be regarded as possible 129 triggers for landslides in this area. While the youngest geological units take place on the top 130 131 of the plateau, it is possible to see the older units on the bottom of river channels and the coastal slopes (Dalgic, 2004; Duman et al., 2006; Sen, 2007). The Avcilar-Beylikduzu 132 peninsula is of particular interest for landslide susceptibility to earthquake triggering as: i) it 133

was recently struck by the 17th August 1999 Mw 7.4 Izmit and by the 12th November Mw 7.2 134 Duzce earthquakes; ii) several rototranslational landslides were recognized with width 135 ranging from 250 up to 1000 m, varying between 300 and 2000 m in length and maximum 136 depth of sliding surface ranging from some tens of meters up to 100 m (Martino et al., 2016). 137 Among these the Buyukcekmece landslide is the biggest one with a volume of about 90 Mm³; 138 it involves several buildings, roads and infrastructures causing visible damages. It has about 139 1000 m width and 2000 m length (Fig. 3). Two landslide masses can be recognized in the 140 Buyukcekmece slope, so generating a two loops morphology, as can be seen in Figure 3, the 141 142 two landslide masses are divided by a ridge. The right landslide mass constitutes the investigation site. The slope on the landslide partly rises to %24; the average is approximately 143 %10. This landslide has a continuous activity with a low velocity; according to Cruden and 144 Varnes (1966) it is classified as complex mechanism. It includes counterslope tilted blocks, 145 146 several secondary scarps, several landslide terraces (these last ones are characterized by an evident counter slope and some of them are responsible for the presence of water pools), 147 148 evidences of two earth flows; the first one located along the right side of the landslide mass and the second one at its toe (these earth flows are clearly visible in the field due to the 149 150 presence of detachment and transportation zones) (Fig. 3). Several evidences of damage to 151 roads, buildings, walls, and infrastructures were also collected and considered for mapping the landslide mass. 152

The geological setting of the Buyukcekmece landslide area is defined according to previous 153 studies (Dalgic, 2004; Duman et al., 2006; Ergintav et al., 2011). The Avcilar-Beylikduzu 154 Peninsula is located on the boundary between Istranca Metamorphics (Mesozoic), which crop 155 out in the Catalca Fault Zone and Istanbul Unit (Paleozoic), which crops out northeast of the 156 Kucukcekmece Lake (Fig. 2). Both are overlain by Eocene and younger sediments. The 157 thickness of sediments under the peninsula exceeds 700 m according to water holes drilled in 158 the region (Dalgic, 2004). These sediments can be divided into three main units from below to 159 160 the top: the Danismen (Duman et al., 2006) or Gurpinar (Dalgic, 2004) Formation (upper Oligocene-lower Miocene) consisting of stiff clays and claystone-shales containing loose sand 161 162 horizons and tuff levels of different thicknesses; the Cukurcesme Formation (Miocene) consisting of sands and gravels belonging to fluvial deposits generally poorly or not cemented 163 with rare interbeds of tuff; and the Bakirkoy Formation (upper Miocene) consisting of 164 alternating calcarenite, marl and clay layers. The Buyukcekmece landslide mainly occurs in 165 166 the Danismen Formation including both the Gungoren and the Cantakoy units. The Bakirkoy Formations outcrop in the main scarp of the landslide (Fig. 2). As it is resulted from field surveys, the deposits belonging to the Bakirkoy, Cukurcesme and Danismen Formations are involved the landslide mass. Nevertheless, due to the existence of several secondary scarps the original geological setting of the deposits is significantly modified as counterslope tilted landslide blocks can be surveyed in the landslide mass area (Fig. 3).

172



Figure 3. Main features of the Buyukcekmece landslide, and the measurement locations
 acquired on the landslide.

176

177 **3. Geophysical measurements**

The geophysical studies performed on the Buyukcekmece landslide area consist of seismic measurements (P-wave refraction, MASW and ReMi) at 32 profiles, noise measurements at 37 points, and resistivity measurements at 4 profiles. The locations of all the measurements are shown in Figure 3. Because a large part of the region is still used for agricultural activity, the land surface is usually too loose to provide healthy coupling between sensor and soil. In addition, highly rugged topography of the study area makes spreading continuous profiles difficult. Therefore, it was generally preferred the causeways for the measurement locations, because they are built from a little bit compressed materials. On the other hand, as stated by Jongmans and Garambois (2007), the strongly disturbed and heterogeneous soil in the landslide area cause to seismic waves attenuate very fast. The energy produced by hammer source does not generally reach to the last geophones on the profiles, especially for long ones designed to increase investigation depth. We encountered with this problem in particular Pwave refraction measurements.

Seismic experiments, which include P-wave refraction, MASW and ReMi measurements, 191 were performed on the same profiles. DoREMi equipment was used in the seismic 192 measurements. The length of profiles was 69 m with 24 geophones (4.5 Hz) spaced by 3 m 193 194 apart (Fig. 4). The orientation of the profiles was mostly selected perpendicular to the 195 landslide major axis, that means N-S direction in Figure 3, in this case the slope over the layout did not change significantly. Site by site data acquisition was preferred rather than 196 197 ensuing measurements due to field conditions. After that, the 2D horizontal and vertical slices were obtained from the interpolated data in the volumetric field. In the active source 198 199 experiments (Refraction and MASW), the signal was generated by a 5 kg-sledgehammer by using 3 and 6 m offsets. Three shots were performed at each measurement profile; two shots 200 were located at the ends of the profiles and the other one was in the middle of the profile. 201 202 Figure 4 shows the raw seismic traces for the reciprocal shots acquired at the location 10. 203 SeisImager code (www.geometrics.com) was used in the analyses of the seismic data. Figure 204 4 simply shows the analysis steps for the Refraction and MASW data. The details of analyses 205 are given in the results section. In the ReMi measurements, it was recorded ambient noise with a duration of 5 minutes totally. It is known that, in linear ReMi arrays, seismic velocities 206 are affected from the directivity of seismic sources, so we tried to stay away man-made noise 207 sources during the ReMi measurements. 208

Guralp 6T velocity sensor (semi broadband with 30 second period) was used for the 209 microtremor measurements. The record durations were 50 minutes in general with a sampling 210 frequency of 100 Hz. In addition, we took 24-hour record at 7 sites to control noise content 211 212 throughout day. Most of the measurement sites are located on landslide, so they are in some degree away from human activities. However, as will be mentioned later, they include 213 significant monochromatic vibrations likely caused by industrial sources. The Horizontal-to-214 Vertical Spectral Ratio method (H/V) is used to determine the resonance frequency of the soft 215 layer (Nakamura, 1989). The analyses were carried out with Geopsy code (www.geopsy.org). 216

Firstly, it was chosen time windows for the analyses with the length of 50 s and excluding strong transients from the records, and then it was computed Fourier spectra for three components smoothed with a Konno-Ohmachi windowing with a "b" value of 20. Lastly, the H/V values for each window were calculated as the ratio between the vector summation of the Fourier spectra of horizontal components and the spectrum of the vertical component.

222



Figure 4. a- b) Raw seismic traces for the reciprocal shots acquired at the location 10. Shot

225 geometry, first arrivals of P wave and surface wave groups are marked on the seismic traces.

- 226 c) Travel time versus distance graph for the three shots at -3m,34.5m and 72m. Blue and
- 227 black lines show observations and calculations, respectively. d) Dispersion curve for the

surface wave. Red dots show the marked phase velocities versus frequency. e) Tomographic
 inversion of P wave velocity. f) Inversion of the S-wave velocity.

On the other hand, resistivity measurements are widely used in the landslide studies. 230 Unfortunately, in this study the resistivity measurements remained too limited due to some 231 instrumental problems, which is manufactured by a local company, so we could perform just 232 233 on the four profiles. Resistivity measurements (Vertical Electrical Soundings-VES) were made with a four electrode configuration commonly referred to as the Schlumberger array. 234 The method uses four in-line electrodes; the inner pair for recording electrical potential as a 235 current is passed through the outer pair. Measurements are made in a series of readings 236 involving successively larger current electrode separations. The data are plotted on a 237 238 logarithmic scale to produce a sounding curve representing apparent resistivity variations as a 239 function of half current-electrode separation (AB/2). The details of analyses are given in the results section. 240

241 **4. Results**

242 **4.1. Seismic measurements**

In the refraction analyses, at the beginning an initial layer model is established by time-term 243 inversion for 2-layer situation relied on the slope of the lines connecting the first arrivals. 244 After that, a tomographic inversion is performed for each profile through iterative 245 modification of the initial model. The initial model is iteratively modified to 10-layer model 246 247 constrained by the maximum and minimum velocities of the time-term inversion. A misfit value (RMS) lower than %5 for the layer velocities is usually obtained after 10 iterations. The 248 tomography results are controlled for the lateral changes along the profile, and it is obtained a 249 250 velocity-depth profile representing that site. The velocity values at all sites are interpolated by the Kriging method, and then the horizontal slices at different depths are obtained. P-wave 251 252 velocity images at depths of 2 m, 5 m, 10 m, and 20 m are shown in Figure 5. The maximum investigation depth in the analyses is less than 20 m. The images of P-wave velocities do not 253 254 present a notable discrimination horizontally to be correlated with the boundary of landslide mass or failure surface. The velocities range from 300 m/s at the surface to 2400 m/s at the 255 256 bottom. The high P-wave velocities are particularly seen on the southern and the northwestern parts of the study area corresponding to the earth flow and the stable ridge, respectively. 257 258 Actually, the P-wave velocities in the whole area exhibit differentiations in parts indicating the complexity of structure. On the other hand, the velocities sharply increase over 1000-1500 259

m/s at depths higher than 5-10 m pointing out the saturated sands and clays of Cukurcesme 260 and Danismen Formations. It is difficult to mention from any slip surface, but the high-261 velocity contrast and the presence of water may point out the local slides at shallow. 262



264

267

Figure 5. P-wave velocity images of the landslide area at different depths. Red and black 265 266

dashed lines show the landslide boundary and the image location on the 3D map, respectively. Cross sign shows the locations of measurement sites.

Figure 6 also shows some samples of S-wave velocity-depth profiles obtained from the 268 analyses of MASW, ReMi and the combination of them. As shown in the figure, the 269 270 penetration depth for the MASW measurements is maximum 30 m, whereas it reaches up to 80 m for the ReMi measurements because, as known, ambient noise data generally include 271 longer period waves than that of produced by active source. In result, in combined analyses of 272 MASW and ReMi, the high frequency parts of the dispersion curves, which also mean 273 274 shallow depths less than 30 m, consist of MASW data, whereas the low frequency parts of the

dispersion curves, which mean deeper parts more than 30 m, consist of ReMi data. We prefer
to use multiple layers (exactly 15 layers) in the modeling of the dispersion curves in order to
avoid an unreal contrast by selecting far less number of the layers.



Figure 6. The depth sections of S-wave velocity at sample measurement points. Green, blue and red lines represent the results of MASW measurements for three shots performed at the two ends and in the middle of each profile. The purple lines show the results of the ReMi analysis, and the black lines represent the results of the combine analysis of MASW and ReMi.

A general result from the S-wave velocity profiles is that the velocities do not present distinct 286 contrasts, which would be interpreted as failure surface. In general, the velocities gradually 287 increase as depth increases. However, the images of S-wave velocity shown in Figure 7 288 provide some clues related with the geometry of landslide. The S- wave velocities are very 289 low about 100-200 m/s in the top layer, and they increase up to 800 m/s at the depth of 80 m. 290 Note that the S-wave velocities are generally lower within the boundary of landslide with 291 respect to the surrounding area. The vertical cross sections of S-wave velocities shown in 292 Figure 8 could be more convenient to interpret the geometry of landslide. The layers with the 293 294 S-wave velocity lower than 400 m/s take place in the middle part of the sections as compatible with the surface boundary of the landslide. The thicknesses of those layers are 295 about 50-60 m in the middle parts, but change in both transverse and longitudinal direction. 296 The layers with 500 m/s or higher velocities extend from the bottom of moving mass to the 297 298 edges of the landslide area considered as stable parts. In other words, in deeper parts than 60 m, the S-wave velocities begin to be the same for the entire field. So, this depth can be 299 300 interpreted as the bottom boundary of the landslide mass.

301



Figure 7. The S-wave velocity images at different depths. Red and black dashed lines show
 the landslide boundary and the image location on the 3D map, respectively. Cross sign shows
 the locations of measurement sites.

306



Figure 8. 2D cross sections of S-wave velocity. Locations of profiles A1, A2 and A3 match
with the locations of sections T1, T2 and T3, respectively, shown in Figure 13.

310

311 **4.2.** Noise measurements

Figure 9 shows the distribution of the site resonance frequencies obtained from the H/V 312 analysis together with examples of several H/V graph. It is to say that to decide resonance 313 frequencies on the H/V curves are very difficult. One of the reasons of this is that the ambient 314 noise records contain some anthropogenic vibrations, which are likely generated by industrial 315 machines working in the region. The fundamental mode frequency of these vibrations is about 316 1.5 Hz (e.g. the first peak of M8 site in Figure 9), and they cause a false resonance frequency 317 or they mask a real resonance frequency at some sites. The anthropogenic peaks were 318 identified in three different ways; the sharp peaks on the Fourier spectra, the continuous and 319 equal amplitudes on the time-frequency image, and the azimuth dependence of H/V peaks. 320

Figure 10 shows the identification of anthropogenic peak at 1.5 Hz at M8 site. 321 The anthropogenic peaks in the noise measurements are beyond the scope of this paper, but a 322 323 similar investigation can be found in Yalcinkaya et al. (2013). In the analyses, we tried to keep away from the industrial peaks while determining the resonance peak of the H/V curve. 324 325 If there is no peak in he H/V curve another from the anthropogenic peak, then we kept that site as undetermined. Another reason is that a number of sites in our measurements do not 326 327 present a clear resonance peak (e.g. M22, M29 sites in Fig. 9) as defined in the SESAME project (Bard and SESAME Team, 2005). At these sites, the resonance frequencies are 328 determined by comparing the H/V curves with those of neighboring sites showing clear peak 329 assuming that the resonance frequency should not change in a few 10 meters, but peculiar 330 conditions for that site, e.g. anthropogenic vibrations or data acquisition, may prevent to see a 331 332 clear peak.





Figure 9. Upper graph represents the examples of H/V curve. Measurement locations are
shown on the map in the middle part. The red, blue and grey bars on the H/V curves mark
fundamental resonance frequencies, secondary peak frequencies and anthropogenic peaks,
respectively. Bottom graph shows the counter map of site resonance frequencies. Numbers
show the resonance frequency at each measurement site.



Figure 10. Identification of anthropogenic peak at 1.5 Hz of site M8. Fourier spectrum of NS
component (on the left) exhibits a sharp peak at 1.5 Hz. This peak has continuous and equal
amplitudes on the time-frequency spectrum (in the middle). The peak at 1.5 Hz observed on
the rotated H/V ratio (in the right) strongly depends on the azimuth.

As shown in Figure 9, the resonance frequencies of the sites located within the landslide mass 347 are generally higher than those located outside of landslide. In the middle part of landslide 348 area, the resonance frequencies are observed between 2.7-4.9 Hz, whereas at the sites in the 349 stable area the values decrease to 0.7-0.9 Hz. In addition, high resonance frequencies of 6-10 350 Hz are also observed at some transition sites between landslide mass and stable area. The 351 amplitudes of the resonance frequencies especially in the stable area are very small indicating 352 a weak impedance contrast (e.g. M22, M26, M29 sites in Figure 9). Moreover, the H/V curves 353 in the stable area mostly present some secondary peaks at high frequencies, which are likely 354 produced by local slides (e.g. M22, M26, M29, M31 sites in Figure 9). This differentiation of 355 resonance frequencies in the study area is thought that the landslide mass may be generating 356 specific vibration resonance apart from the actual soil resonance. It is encountered similar 357 results in the literature (e.g. Gallipoli et al., 2000; Meric et al., 2007; Jongmans et al., 2009). 358

The resonance frequencies (f_r) of the H/V curves can be converted to soil thicknesses (H) by 359 using empirical relations. Birgoren et al. (2009) suggests a relationship ($H = 150.99 f_r^{-1.1531}$) 360 between soil thickness and resonance frequency for the Istanbul region. The soil thicknesses 361 362 computed from the Birgoren's empirical relation are shown in Figure 11. It is seen that the 363 thickness of the landslide mass ranges from 17 to 50 m, and from 10 to 17 m on the edges of the landslide. On the stable part, i.e. outside the landslide mass, the soft soil thickness over a 364 365 seismic bedrock reaches 170-228 m, pointing out a lithological change in deeper deposits. It is also seen a few site, e.g. 170 m depth in the landslide mass and 25 m in the stable area, which 366 367 do not comply with this interpretation.

A borehole was drilled by the TUBITAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) in the landslide area in the framework of the MARSite project (D62 in Figure 11). Actually, a more comprehensive borehole study on the landslide area has been going on by Istanbul Municipality and TUBITAK, but their results have not been appeared yet. Figure 11 reports the borehole log-stratigraphy, as well. As shown in this log, two failure surfaces have been encountered by the borehole. The depths of the sliding surfaces are about at 30 m and at 50 m, which are not so different from the depths obtained by the resonance frequencies.



Figure 11. The counter map of soil thicknesses computed from resonance frequencies by the
empirical relation of Birgoren et al. (2009). Numbers show the soil thicknesses at each
measurement site. It is also shown a lithological section obtained from a borehole shown its
location with square on the map.

380 **4.3. Resistivity measurements**

During the Schlumberger resistivity measurements, the electrode spacing was started from 5 381 m for the current electrodes (AB/2), and 1 m for the potential electrodes (MN/2). The current 382 was injected to the earth ranging from 50 mA to 150 mA. The maximum AB/2 spacing could 383 be applied 120 m for the VES1 and VES3 profiles, 170 m for the VES2, and 65 m for the 384 VES4 (Figure 12). Terrain conditions and instrumental deficiencies did not let larger spacing, 385 so the reliable investigation depths ranged from 30 to 70 m (~AB/4). The measurements could 386 not be interpolated to 2D-resistivity sections due to lack of enough measurements, as is done 387 for seismic measurements. Thus, these measurements provided just 1D resistivity depth 388 profiles at a few locations. The analyses of the resistivity measurements are shown in Figure 389 12. Firstly, noisy resistivity values were manually smoothed, and then the data were 390 interpreted using curve matching technique. software 391 by the **IPI2WIN** (http://geophys.geol.msu.ru/) was used to invert each sounding curve to a one-dimensional 392 layered model. It was performed RMS-errors lower than 5% using ground models with 4 to 6 393 layers relied on the bends of resistivity curve. VES1 and VES2 profiles show very low 394 resistivity values lover than 30 ohm-m along the depth-section, i.e. a typical value for 395

remolded clayey debris. These locations take place on the earth flow located on the southern part of the landslide. On the other hand, VES3 and VES4 profiles exhibit a sharp increase of the resistivity up to 120 ohm-m at almost 20-30 m below the ground level, that may be related to the secondary failure surfaces. All profiles also show a small rise in resistivity values nearly at 10 m depths likely corresponding to the gravelly units.



401

Figure 12. 1D ground models obtained from the analysis of resistivity measurements. The
 observed, smoothed and calculated resistivity values are represented with different symbols
 on the graphs. The profile locations are shown below on the landslide map.

405

406 **5. Discussion and conclusion**

The Buyukcekmece landslide has a very complex structure, so this character complicates the exploring it by geophysical techniques. Bourdeau et al. (2016) constructed a preliminary 409 model of Buyukcekmece landslide based on several geomorphological and geological 410 evidences, e.g. the borehole log stratigraphies, the geometries of the scarps, the measured dip 411 of the outcropping strata and a geometrical feedback consisting in a reversal of the present 412 landforms to reconstruct the original shape of the slope (Figure 13). In their models, the 413 landslide mass is divided into 8 blocks indicating repeated reactivations of the landslide and 414 its retrogressive evolution. The results of geophysical measurements have been interpreted 415 taking into account the geological model.



Figure 13. Geological map and geological cross section along trace L of the Buyukcekmece
landslide: 1) alluvial and coastal deposits (Holocene); 2) silty-clays of the Gungoren unit of
Danismen Formation (upper Oligocene); 3) clays with tuffs of the Cantakoy unit of Danismen
Formation (upper Oligocene- lower Miocene); 4) sands and gravels of the Cukurcesme
Formation (upper Oligocene- lower Miocene); 5) calcarenites of the Bakirkoy Formation
(upper Miocene); 6) earthflow debris; 7) rototranslational landslide mass; 8) slope debris;

423 9) landslide counterslope tilted terrace; 10) rototranslational landslide scarp; 11) earthflow

424 *crown*; 12) *fault*; 13) D-62 *borehole*. T1, T2, T3 *shows the section lines shown in Figure* 8.

425

The geophysical results reflect an overview of the geological model. The main slip surface of 426 427 Buyukcekmece landslide develops in the same geological unit consisting of the clayey layers of the Danismen Formation. So, it does not constitute a strong impedance contrast between 428 the landslide mass and underlying layers. It is because of that S-waves do not exhibit 429 important velocity contrasts along the depth-profiles. In addition, the geologic strata of the 430 431 landslide are not so different than the surrounding area. However, it has been deforming and 432 mixing too much due to ongoing dislocations in terms of surrounding part. The decomposing of materials in the landslide causes lower seismic velocities. The analyses of S-wave velocity 433 clearly reflect this situation. The S-wave velocities are lower in the landslide with respect to 434 the stable area. This discrimination continues down to a depth of 60 m, and then the velocities 435 become the same for the entire area. This thickness for the landslide mass is consistent with 436 the geological model. The material consisting of the landslide mass is a mixing of three 437 438 geological units which are the Bakirkoy, Cukurcesme and the Danismen formations. The average S-wave velocity in this complexity range from 200 m/s to 500 m/s from the top to the 439 440 down. However, the velocities vary laterally depending on the block structure of the landslide. It is likely that some of these blocks are more stable than the others and the material 441 442 consisting of it is more compact. The applied survey plan does not let us investigate each block structure. In a next survey, it should be focused on investigation of the blocks with high 443 444 resolution measurements.

The complexity of the landslide structure is also evident from the P-wave velocities. The 445 analyses of P-wave velocity did not point out a differentiation between landslide mass and 446 stable area. A reason is that the exploration depth of the refraction analyses remains very 447 448 shallow. Unfortunately, both using hammer source and strong seismic wave attenuation 449 character of the landslide did not allow to get information from deeper parts than 20m. In this part, the P-wave velocities range from 300 m/s to 2400 m/s. In general, manmade fills and 450 slope debris on the surface have very low P-wave velocities of about 300 m/s. Toward the 451 deeper parts, the P-wave velocity increases 1000-2000 m/s in the stiff clays of Danismen 452 Formation, and the sands and gravels of Cukurcesme Formation. The sandy deposits of 453

454 Cukurcesme Formation are water-filled (Bourdeau et al. (2016). In locally, the stiff units and
455 the presence of water may have caused to rise P-wave velocities over 1000-1500 m/s.

The fundamental frequencies of the H/V curves obtained from the microtremor measurements 456 give the thicknesses of soil in the landslide mass between 10-50 m by using the empirical 457 relation, whereas in the stable area the resonance frequencies are quite low indicating deep 458 lithological changes in the sediments at depths of 170-228 m. The high variability of the 459 fundamental frequencies points out the landslide complexity. Presence of the blocks, 460 fragmentation of the block in itself and secondary slip surfaces may have caused the variation 461 of fundamental frequencies and additionally the secondary frequency peaks at many sites. 462 However, the resolution of the H/V analysis is not enough to model all of them. An 463 interesting point is that the H/V curves comparatively present clear site resonance peaks on 464 465 the landslide mass, although S-wave velocities do not show notable contrasts. Moreover, the resonance peaks of H/V curves do not present any dependency of azimuth as observed on 466 467 some landslide cases (e.g. Burjanek et al., 2012; Del Gaudio et al., 2013).

In this study, to produce a reliable result from the resistivity analyses related with the structure of landslide is difficult but the jumping resistivity values at two profiles point out possible slip surfaces at the depths of 20 m's. The resistivity values are quite low as expected due to clayey units and water content. These results coincide with the expected structure of the landslide and the geological observations. It is worth noting that the interpretation of geophysical images needs to correlate with geotechnical investigations. It will be possible when the geotechnical investigations are completed.

475

Acknowledges: This study is supported by FP7 Marsite project (Grant Agreement No:
308417). We wish to thank all the members of the 6th work package and MARSite project
coordinator Prof. N.M. Ozel for their valuable contributions. We wish also thank to workers
of TUBITAK-IBB project who gave support our project by providing one of their boreholes
for our measurements.

481

482 **References**

- Bard, P.Y., SESAME-Team, 2005. Guidelines for the implementation of the H/V spectral
 ratio technique on ambient vibrations: measurements, processing, and interpretations.
 SESAME European research project, EVG1-CT-2000-00026, deliverable D23.12. Available
 at: http://sesamefp5.obs.ujfgrenoble.fr
- Barka, A., Altunel, E., Sunal, G., Cakir, Z., Dikbas, A., Yerli, B. et al., 2002. The surface
 rupture and slip distribution of the 17 August 1999 Izmit earthquake M 7.4 North Anatolian
 Fault. Bulletin of Seismological Society America 92, 43–60.
- Bird, J.F., Bommer, J.J., 2004. Earthquake losses due to ground failure. Engineering Geology
 75, 147–179.
- Birgoren, G., Ozel, O., Siyahi, B., 2009. Bedrock depth mapping of the coast south of
 Istanbul: Comparison of analytical and experimental analyses. Turkish Journal of Earth
 Science 18, 315-329.
- Bourdeau, C., Lenti, L., Martino, S., Oguz, O., Yalcinkaya, E., Bigarrè, P., Coccia, S., 2016.
 Comprehensive analysis of local seismic response in the complex Buyukcekmece landslide
 area (Turkey) by engineering-geological and numerical modelling. Engineering Geology
 (submitted).
- Burjanek, J., Moore, J.R., Molina, F.X.Y., Fah, D., 2012. Instrumental evidence of normal
 mode rock slope vibration. Geophysical Journal International 188, 559-569.
- Capizzi, P., Martorana, R., 2014. Integration of constrained electrical and seismic
 tomographies to study the landslide affecting the cathedral of Agrigento. J. Geophys. Eng. 11,
 doi:10.1088/1742-2132/11/4/045009.
- Caris, J.P.T., Van Asch TH.W.J., 1991. Geophysical, geotechnical and hydrological
 investigations of a small landslide in the French Alps. Eng. Geol., 31, 249-276.
- Chianese, D., Lapenna, V., Di Salvia, S., Perrone, A., Rizzo, E., 2010. Joint geophysical
 measurements to investigate the Rossano of Vaglio archaeological site (Basilicata Region,
 Southern Italy). Journal of Archaeological Science 37, 2237-2244.
- Cruden, D.M., Varnes, D.J., 1996. Landslide types and processes, in Landslides: Investigation
 and Mitigation. A.K. Turner and R.L. Schuster (Editors), Transportation Research Board,

- 511 Spec. Report 247, National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington, DC:36–
 512 75.
- 513 Dalgic, S., 2004. Factors affecting the greater damage in the Avcılar area of Istanbul during
 514 the 17 August 1999 Izmit earthquake. Bull Eng Geol Env 63, 221–232.
- 515 Del Gaudio, V., Wasowski, J., Muscillo, J., 2013. New developments in ambient noise 516 analysis to characterise the seismic response of landslide-prone slopes. Nat. Hazards Earth 517 Syst. Sci.13, 2075–2087.
- 518 Duman, T.Y. et al., 2004. Istanbul Metropolu Batısındaki (Küçükçekmece-Silivri-Çatalca
 519 Yöresi) Kentsel Gelişme Alanlarının Yerbilim Verileri. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Genel
 520 Müdürlüğü (MTA) Özel Yayın Serisi 3, Ankara.
- Duman, T.Y., Can, T., Gokceoglu, C., Nefeslioglu, H.A., Sonmez, H., 2006. Application of
 logistic regression for landslide susceptibility zoning of Cekmece Area, Istanbul, Turkey.
 Environ Geol 51, 241–256.
- Ergintav, S., Demirbag, E., Ediger, V., Saatcilar, R., Inan, S., Cankurtaranlar, A., Dikbas, A.,
 Bas, M., 2011. Structural framework of onshore and offshore Avcilar, Istanbul under the
 influence of the North Anatolian fault. Geophys J Int 185, 93-105.
- 527 Gallipoli, M., Lapenna, V., Lorenzo, P., Mucciarelli, M., Perrone, A., Piscitelli, S., Sdao, F.,
- 528 2000. Comparison of geological and geophysical prospecting techniques in the study of a
- 529 landslide in southern Italy. European J. Env. Eng. Geophys., 4, 117-128.
- Hack, R., 2000. Geophysics for slope stability. Surveys in Geophysics 21, 423-448.
- Hubert-Ferrari, A., Barka, A., Jacques, E., Nalbant, S.S., Meyer, B., Armijo, R. et al., 2000.
- 532 Seismic hazard following the 17 August 1999 Izmit earthquake. Nature 404, 269–273.
- Jongmans, D., Garambois, S., 2007. Geophysical investigation of landslides: a review.
 Bulletin Societe Geologique de France 178 (2), 101-112.
- 535 Jongmans, D., Bievre, G., Renalier, F., Schwartz, S., Beaurez, N., Orengo, Y., 2009.
- 536 Geophysical investigations of a large landslide in glaciolacustrine clays in the Trieves area
- 537 (French Alps). Engineering Geology 109 (1-2), 45-56.

- Keay, S., Earl, G., Hay, S., Kay, S., Ogden, J., Strutt, K.D., 2009. The role of integrated
 geophysical survey methods in the assessment of archaeological landscapes: the case of
 Portus. Archaeol. Prospect. 16, 154-166.
- King, G.C.P., Hubert-Ferrari, A., Nalbant, S.S., Meyer, B., Armijo, R., Bowman, D., 2001.
 Coulomb interactions and the 17 August 1999 Izmit, Turkey earthquake. Earth Planet Sci 333,
- 543 557–569.
- Lapenna, V., Lorenzo, P., Perrone, A., Piscitelli, S., Rizzo, E., Sdao, F., 2005. 2D electrical
 resistivity imaging of some complex landslides in Lucanian Apennine chain, southern Italy.
 Geophysics, 70, B11-B18.
- 547 Martino, S., Bigarrè, P., Coccia, S., Bourdeau, C., Lenti, L., Oguz, O., Yalcinkaya, E., 2016.
- 548 Integrated engineering-geological and numerical approach applied to the large Buyukcekmece
- 549 (Turkey) landslide for evaluating earthquake-induced effects. Landslides and Engineered
- 550 Slopes. Experience, Theory and Practice Aversa et al. (Eds), 1375-1382.
- 551 McCann, D.M., Forster, A., 1990. Reconnaissance geophysical methods in landslide 552 investigations. Eng. Geol., 29, 59–78.
- Meric, O., Garambois, S., Malet, J.-P., Cadet, H., Gueguen, P., Jongsman, D., 2007. Seismic
 noise-based methods for soft-rock landslide characterization. Bull Soc Geol Fr 178(2), 137148.
- Nakamura, Y., 1989. A method for dynamic characteristics estimation of subsurface using
 microtremor on the ground surface. QR Rail Tech Res Inst 30, 25-30.
- Ozgul, N. et al., 2005. İstanbul il alanının genel jeoloji ozellikleri, İBB Deprem ve Zemin
 İnceleme Müd., 79 pp., İstanbul.
- Panzera, F., Lombardo, G., 2013. Seismic property characterization of lithotypes cropping out
 in the Siracusa urban area, Italy. Engineering Geology 153, 12-24.
- 562 Pondard, N., Armijo, R., King, G.C.P., Meyer, B., Flerit, F., 2007. Fault interactions in the
- 563 Sea of Marmara pull apart (North Anatolian Fault): earthquake clustering and propagating
- 564 earthquake sequences. Geophys J Int, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2007.03580.x
- Parsons, T., Toda, S., Stein, R.S., Barka, A., Dieterich, J.H., 2000. Heightened odds of large
 earthquakes near Istanbul: an interaction-based probability calculation. Science 288, 661-665.

- Parsons, T., 2004. Recalculated probability of M C 7 earthquakes beneath the Sea of Marmara
 Turkey. J Geophys Res 109, B05304. doi:10.1029/2003JB002667
- Petley, D., 2010. Global patterns of loss of life from landslides. Geology 40(10), 927-930.
- Sen, S., 2007. A fault zone cause of large amplification and damage in Avcilar (W Istanbul)
 during 1999 Izmit earth-quake. Nat Hazards 43, 351–363.
- 572 Schmutz, M., Albouy, Y., Guerin, R., Maquaire, O., Vassal, J., Schott, J.-J., Descloitres, M.,
- 573 2000. Joint electrical and time domain electromagnetism (TDEM) data inversion applied to
- the Super Sauze earthflow (France). Surveys in Geophys., 21, 371-390.
- 575 Utkucu, M., Kanbur, Z., Alptekin, O., Sunbul, F., 2010, Seismic behavior of the North
- 576 Anatolian Fault beneath the Sea of Marmara (NW Turkey): implications for earthquake
- 577 recurrence times and future seismic hazard. Nat Hazards 50, 45-71.
- 578 Yalcinkaya, E., Tekebas, S., Pinar, A., 2013. Analysis of ambient noise in Yalova, Turkey:
- discrimination between artificial and natural excitations. J Seismol 17, 1021-1039.