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# THE REAL PLANE CREMONA GROUP IS A NON-TRIVIAL AMALGAM 

SUSANNA ZIMMERMANN


#### Abstract

We show that the real Cremona group of the plane is a non-trivial amalgam of two groups amalgamated along their intersection.


## 1. Intoduction

The plane Cremona group is the group $\operatorname{Bir}_{k}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ of birational transformations of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ defined over a field k. [CL2013, Appendix by Y. de Cornulier] shows that $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is not isomorphic to a non-trivial amalgam of two groups if k is algebraically closed. The best one can obtain is that $\operatorname{Bir}_{k}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to a non-trivial amalgam modulo one simple relation [B2012, L2010, I1984] or that is isomorphic to a generalised amalgamated product of three groups, amalgamated along all pairwise intersections [W1992]. The world looks different for $\mathrm{k}=\mathbb{R}$. We show that $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is indeed isomorphic to a non-trivial amalgamated product of two groups.

The group $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ contains two groups of Jonquières transformations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{*} & =\left\{f \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \mid f \text { preserves the pencil of lines through }[1: 0: 0]\right\} \\
\mathcal{J}_{\circ} & =\left\{f \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \mid f \text { preserves the pencil of conics through } p_{1}, \bar{p}_{1}, p_{2}, \bar{p}_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ are two non-real points such that $p_{1}, \bar{p}_{1}, p_{2}, \bar{p}_{2}$ are not collinear. The group $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is generated by $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right), \mathcal{J}_{*}, \mathcal{J}_{\circ}[\mathrm{BM} 2014]$, and the groups $\mathcal{J}_{*}, \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ are not conjugate in $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ [Z2015, Proposition 5.3]. Over the field $\mathbb{C}$, we can send the pencil of conics through four points, no three collinear, onto a pencil of lines through a point, so the complex versions of the two groups are conjugate in $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$.

We write $\mathcal{A}:=\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ and denote by $G \circ \subset \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ the subgroup generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\circ}$, and by $G_{*} \subset \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ the subgroup generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{*}$.

Theorem 1.1. The group $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is the non-trivial amalgamated product of $G_{*}$ and $G_{\circ}$ along their intersection.

Proposition 1.2. Both $G_{*}$ and $G_{\circ}$ have uncountable index in $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$
The intersection $G_{*} \cap G_{\circ}$ contains all elements of $J_{*}$ with only one real base-point (see remark after Definition 3.2).

The decomposition of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ into an amalgam follows from the structure theorem [Z2015, Theorem 4.4] (Theorem 2.3 below). The task is to prove that $G_{*}$ and $G_{\circ}$ are both proper subgroups; this is not straightforward since their complex analogues both coincide with $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$. Many of the ingredients of the proof will be similar to the proof of [Z2015, Theorem 4.4].

[^0]Corollary 1.3. The group $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ acts on a tree, and all its algebraic subgroups are conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{*}$ or of $G_{\circ}$.

For the subgroups of odd order Corollary 1.3 can also be verified by checking their classification in [Y2016].
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## 2. The real Cremona group is an amalgam

In this section we show that $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to the amalgamated product of $G_{*}$ and $G_{\circ}$ along their intersection. Non-triviality will be proven in the next section.

Definition 2.1. A standard quintic transformation is an element of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ of degree 5 whose base-points are three pairs of non-real conjugate base-points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. (See [BM2014, Example 3.1] for a definition via blow-ups.)

Remark 2.2. For any standard or special quintic transformation $f$ there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\beta f \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ [Z2015, Lemma 3.10]. In particular, all standard quintic transformations are contained in $G_{0}$.

We make use of the following structure theorem. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the free group $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{J}_{*} * \mathcal{J}^{\circ}$ amalgamated along all pairwise intersections, and let $w: \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J}_{*} \cup \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be the canonical word map.
Theorem 2.3 ([Z2015, Theorem 4.4]). The group $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$ modulo the following relations:
(rel. 1) Let $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in \mathcal{J} \circ$ be standard quintic transformations and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathcal{A}$.

$$
w\left(\alpha_{2}\right) w\left(\theta_{1}\right) w\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=w\left(\theta_{2}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha_{2} \theta_{1} \alpha_{1}=\theta_{2} .
$$

(rel. 2) Let $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathcal{J}_{*} \cup \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ be both of degree 2 or of degree 3 and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathcal{A}$.

$$
w\left(\tau_{1}\right) w\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=w\left(\alpha_{2}\right) w\left(\tau_{2}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \tau_{1} \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \tau_{2} .
$$

(rel. 3) Let $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3} \in \mathcal{J}_{*}$ all be of degree 2, or $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ of degree 2 and $\tau_{3}$ of degree 3, and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \in \mathcal{A}$.

$$
w\left(\tau_{2}\right) w\left(\alpha_{1}\right) w\left(\tau_{1}\right)=w\left(\alpha_{3}\right) w\left(\tau_{3}\right) w\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad \tau_{2} \alpha_{1} \tau_{1}=\alpha_{3} \tau_{3} \alpha_{2}
$$

Corollary 2.4. The group $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is the amalgamated product of $G_{*}$ and $G_{\circ}$ along their intersection.

Proof. Let $G$ be the amalgamated product of $G_{*}$ and $G_{\circ}$ along their intersection. By the universal property of amalgamated products there exists a homomorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$, which is the identity map on $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{J}_{*}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\circ}$. On the other hand, we have a canonical surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{J}_{*} * \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$. We claim that $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ factors through $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$. By Theorem 2.3 it suffices to check that relations (rel. 1), (rel. 2) and (rel. 3) are satisfied in $G$.

Relation (rel. 1): standard quintic transformations are contained in $G_{\circ}$ (Remark 2.2). They are not contained $G_{*}$ because the kernel of the abelianisation of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ contains $G_{*}$
but does not contain any of the standard quintic transformations [Z2015, Remark 3.19(3), Proposition 5.3].

Relation (rel. 2) and (rel. 3) are relations among linear, quadratic and cubic transformations. Any transformation of degree $\leq 3$ is contained in $G_{*}$, some of them in $G_{*} \cap G_{\circ}$, and relations (rel. 2) and (rel. 3) hold in $G_{*}$.

So, the morphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ factors through $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$, and the induced homomorphism $\varphi: \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \rightarrow G$ is the identity on $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{J}_{*}, \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$. Thus $\psi=\varphi^{-1}$.

## 3. The group $G_{\circ}$ IS a strict subgroup of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$

This section is devoted to the proof that $G_{\circ}$ is a strict subgroup of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ (Corollary 3.8). The key idea is to show that it does not contain any elements of degree 2 having three real base-points - for instance, it does not contain the standard quadratic transformation $[x: y: z] \mapsto[y z: x z: x y]$. To show this, we prove that any element in $G_{\circ}$ is the composition of elements in $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \cup \mathcal{H}$ (see Definition 3.2 for the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{*} \subset G_{\circ} \cap \mathcal{J}_{*}$ ) such that the successive degree of the composition is non-decreasing (Proposition 3.7)
3.1. Properties of the groups $\mathcal{J}_{*}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{0}$. Before we run off to prove our claims, we quickly review the groups $\mathcal{J}_{*}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ and some of their properties.

Remark 3.1. The characteristic of an element $f \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is the tuple $\left(\operatorname{deg}(f) ; m_{1}^{e_{1}}, \ldots, m_{k}^{e_{k}}\right)$, where $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ are the different multiplicities of the base-points of $f$ and $e_{i}$ the number of base-points of multiplicity $m_{i}$.

An element of $\mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ has characteristic

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(d ;\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)^{4}, 2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}\right), \quad \text { if } \operatorname{deg}(f) \text { is odd } \\
& \left(d ;\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^{2},\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{2}, 2^{\frac{d-2}{2}}, 1\right), \quad \text { if } \operatorname{deg}(f) \text { is even }
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, if $d \geq 2, p_{1}, \bar{p}_{1}, p_{2}, \bar{p}_{2}$ are base-points of multiplicity $\frac{d-1}{2}$ or $\frac{d}{2}$ and $\frac{d-2}{2}$ and all other base-points are of multiplicity 2 or 1 [Z2015, Lemma 3.2].

In particular, $\mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ contains only quadratic maps with one real and a pair of non-real conjugate base-points.

The group $\mathcal{J}_{*}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{R}(x)) \rtimes \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ because on the chart $z=1$ all of its elements are of the form

$$
f:(x, y) \longmapsto\left(\frac{\alpha(y) x+\beta(y)}{\gamma(y) x+\delta(y)}, \frac{a y+b}{c y+d},\right)
$$

with $a, b, d, c \in \mathbb{R}, a d-b c \neq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}[y], \alpha \delta-\beta \gamma \neq 0$.
The characteristic of any element of $\mathcal{J}_{*}$ is $\left(d ; d-1,1^{2 d-2}\right)$, where $[1: 0: 0]$ is the base-point of multiplicity $d-1$.

Definition 3.2. We denote by $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{J}_{*}$ the subgroup of elements having at most one real base-point.

If an element of $\mathcal{H}$ is non-linear, all its base-points different from $[1: 0: 0]$ are pairs of non-real conjugate points. For any quadratic transformation $f \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ with one real and a pair of non-real conjugate base-points there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\beta f \alpha \in \mathcal{H}$. In fact, the
group $\mathcal{H}$ is generated by its linear and quadratic elements and is hence contained in $G_{\circ}$ (we can follow the argument of [AC2002, Theorem 8.4.3]).
Definition 3.3. A special quintic transformation is an element of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ of degree 5 whose base-points are three pairs of non-real conjugate base-points, two of them points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and one pair infinitely near one of the other two pairs. (See [BM2014, Example 3.2] for a definition via blow-ups.)
Remark 3.4. (1) A standard (resp. special) quintic transformation $f$ has multiplicity 2 in each of its base-points. In particular, there is no conic containing all its base-points.
(2) The inverse $f^{-1}$ is also a standard (resp. special) quintic transformation and $f$ sends the pencil of conics through two pairs of base-points onto a pencil of conics through two pairs of base-points of $f^{-1}$.
(3) Pick any three pairs of non-real conjugate points, not all six points contained in one conic. Suppose they are all points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (resp. two pairs are points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and one pair is in the first neighbourhood of one of the other two pairs). Then there is a standard (resp. special) quintic transformation with these points as its base-points [BM2014, Example 3.1, Example 3.2], [RV2005, §I].
(4) For any standard or special quintic transformation $f$ there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\beta f \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ [Z2015, Lemma 3.10]. In particular, all standard and special quintic transformations are contained in $G_{0}$.

For $f \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ and a point $p$, let $m_{f}(p)$ the multiplicity of $f$ in $p$.
Lemma 3.5 ([Z2015, Lemma 4.8]). Let $f \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ be non-linear and $g \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$. Suppose that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(f g) \leq \operatorname{deg}(g) \quad(\text { resp } .<\operatorname{deg}(g))
$$

Then there exists a base-point $q \notin\left\{p_{1}, \bar{p}_{1}, p_{2}, \bar{p}_{2}\right\}$ of $f$ of multiplicity 2 such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{g^{-1}}\left(p_{1}\right)+m_{g^{-1}}\left(p_{2}\right)+m_{g^{-1}}(q) \geq \operatorname{deg}(g) \quad(\text { resp. }>\operatorname{deg}(g)) \tag{ineq1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $f$ has a simple base-point $r$ and there exists $i \in\{1,2\}$ such that

$$
2 m_{g^{-1}}\left(p_{i}\right)+m_{g^{-1}}(r) \geq \operatorname{deg}(g), \quad \text { where } m_{f}\left(p_{i}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(f) / 2 \quad(\text { resp } .>\operatorname{deg}(g))
$$

Lemma 3.6 ([Z2015, Lemma 4.14]). In Lemma 3.5 (ineq 1), suppose that $q$ is a real proper point of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then one of the following two assertions holds:
(1) there exists $h \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ of degree 3 with base-points $p_{1}, \bar{p}_{1}, p_{2}, \bar{p}_{2}, q$.
(2) $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ is even and there exists $h \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ of degree 2 with base-points $p_{i}, \bar{p}_{i}, q$ and $2 m_{g^{-1}}\left(p_{i}\right)+m_{g^{-1}}(q) \geq \operatorname{deg}(g)$, where $m_{f}\left(p_{i}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{deg}(f)}{2}$.
3.2. The group $G_{\circ}$ is a strict subgroup of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$. The following proposition is the key to proving that $G_{\circ}$ is a strict subgroup of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$. The lemmas used in its proof are stated and proven in the next subsection, and to prove them we only use the properties of $\mathcal{J}_{*}, \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ listed in the previous subsection.
Proposition 3.7. For any $f \in G_{\circ}$ there exist $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J} \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that $f=g_{m} \cdots g_{1}$ and such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i} \cdots g_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i+1} g_{i} \cdots g_{1}\right)$, for $i=1, \ldots, m-1$.
Proof. We write $f=f_{N} \cdots f_{1}$ for some $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J} \circ \cup \mathcal{H}$. Define $d_{i}:=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i} \cdots f_{1}\right)$ and

$$
D:=\max \left\{d_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, m\right\}, \quad n:=\max \left\{i \mid d_{i}=D\right\}, \quad k=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)-1\right)
$$

We claim that there exist $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
f_{n+1} f_{n} f_{n-1}=h_{k} \cdots h_{1}
$$

and the pair $\left(D^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ associated to the composition $f_{N} \cdots f_{n+2} h_{k} \cdots h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}$ is strictly smaller that $(D, k)$ with respect to the lexicographic order.

If the claim holds, the proof finishes as follows. The procedure stops at the pair ( $D^{\prime \prime}, k^{\prime \prime}$ ) where $D^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{deg}(f)$ and $f=f_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime} \cdots f_{1}^{\prime}$. We define

$$
I:=\max \left\{i \mid \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}^{\prime} \cdots f_{1}^{\prime}\right)>\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i+1}^{\prime} \cdots f_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \quad f^{\prime}:=f_{I+1}^{\prime} \cdots f_{1}^{\prime}
$$

By construction, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(f^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{deg}(f)$. We repeat the process for $f^{\prime}$. After finitely many steps we will not find such an $I$ anymore, and the composition we have obtained is the one in the statement.

Let us prove the claim. If $D=1$, all $f_{i}$ are linear maps, so $f$ is linear, and the claim holds with $m=1$.

Suppose that $D \geq 2$. Any consecutive letters $f_{i}, f_{i+1}$ that are both contained in $\mathcal{A}$ or in $\mathcal{J}$ 。 we replace by their product. This does not increase the pair $(D, k)$. We can therefore assume that no two consecutive letters are both contained in $\mathcal{A}$ or in $\mathcal{J}_{\circ}$. By definition of $n$, we have $d_{n}>d_{n+1}$, and in particular,

$$
f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{J} \circ \backslash \mathcal{A}, \quad f_{n} \in \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{J}_{\circ}, \quad f_{n-1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \backslash \mathcal{A} \quad \text { and } \quad d_{n-2} \leq d_{n-1}=d_{n}=D
$$

(1) If $f_{n-1}, f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{H}$, we apply Lemma 3.11 to $f_{n-1}, f_{n}, f_{n+1}$. There exist $h_{1} \in \mathcal{H}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{A}$, $h_{3}, \ldots, h_{k} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that $f_{n+1} f_{n} f_{n-1}=h_{k} \cdots h_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{n-1}\right)-1 \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{2} h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}\right) \leq D \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{2} h_{1} f_{n-1} \cdots f_{1}\right)<D, \quad i=3, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $D^{\prime} \leq D$ and if $D^{\prime}=D$ then $n^{\prime} \leq n$ and

$$
k^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)-1\right)+\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)-1\right)<\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)-1\right)=k .
$$

(2) Else, we proceed as follows. Let $m_{i}(t)$ be the multiplicity of $\left(f_{i} \cdots f_{1}\right)^{-1}$ in the point $t$. If $f_{n-1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$, then by Lemma 3.5 there exists a base-point $q \notin\left\{p_{1}, \bar{p}_{1}, p_{2}, \bar{p}_{2}\right\}$ of $f_{n-1}^{-1}$ of multiplicity 2 such that

$$
m_{n-1}\left(p_{1}\right)+m_{n-1}\left(p_{2}\right)+m_{n-1}(q) \geq D
$$

or $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{n-1}\right)$ is even and there exists a simple base-point $r$ of $f_{n-1}^{-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 m_{n-1}\left(p_{i}\right)+m_{n-1}(r) \geq D, \quad \text { where } m_{f_{n-1}^{-1}}\left(p_{i}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{n-1}\right) / 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If $q$ is a non-real point, we can assume that it is a proper point of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ or in the first neighbourhood of one of $p_{1}, \bar{p}_{1}, p_{2}, \bar{p}_{2}$. Since $m_{f_{n-1}^{-1}}(q)=2$, the points $p_{1}, \ldots, \bar{p}_{2}, q, \bar{q}$ are not on one conic (Remark 3.1). By Remark 3.4 there exists a standard or special quintic transformation $\theta_{n-1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ with these 6 points as base-points.
- If $q$ is a real point, we can assume that it is a proper point of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (it cannot be infinitely near one of $\left.p_{1}, \ldots, \bar{p}_{2}\right)$. By Lemma 3.6 there exists $\theta_{n-1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ of degree 3 with base-points $p_{1}, \ldots, \bar{p}_{2}, q$ or there exists $\theta_{n-1} \in \mathcal{J}$ 。 of degree 2 with base-points $p_{i}, \bar{p}_{i}, q$ where $m_{f_{n-1}^{-1}}\left(p_{i}\right)=$ $\frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{n-1}\right)}{2}$ and $2 m_{n-1}\left(p_{i}\right)+m_{n-1}(q) \geq D$.
- If there is no such $q$, we have $r$ as in (1). If $r$ is not a proper point of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ we replace it by the (real) base-point of $f_{n-1}^{-1}$ to which it is infinitely near. This does not change Inequality (1). Remark 3.1 implies that $p_{i}, \bar{p}_{i}, r$ are not collinear, so there exists $\theta_{n-1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ of degree 2 with base-points $p_{i}, \bar{p}_{i}, r$ [Z2015, Lemma 3.4].

In all three cases we define $h_{1}:=\theta_{n-1} f_{n-1} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{n-1} f_{n-1} \cdots f_{1}\right) \leq D \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{n-1} f_{n-1}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{n-1}\right)
$$

We proceed analogously if $f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$, and find $\theta_{n+1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ satisfying the analogous inequalities with " $<$ ", and define $h_{k}:=f_{n+1} \theta_{n+1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}$. Analogously, we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{n+1} f_{n} \cdots f_{1}\right)<D, \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{k}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{n+1}\right)
$$

Now, we use Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.13. The constructions are summarised in the commutative diagrams below.

If $f_{n-1}, f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \backslash \mathcal{H}$, we apply Lemma 3.9 to $\theta_{n-1}^{-1}, f_{n}, \theta_{n+1}$. There exist $h_{2} \in \mathcal{A}$, $h_{3}, \ldots, h_{k-1} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J}$ 。 such that $\theta_{n+1} f_{n} \theta_{n-1}^{-1}=h_{k-1} \cdots h_{2}$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{3} h_{2} h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}\right)<D, \quad i=3, \ldots, k-1
$$

If $f_{n-1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \backslash \mathcal{H}$ and $f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{H}$, we apply the last part of Lemma 3.13 to $\theta_{n-1}, f_{n}, f_{n+1}$. There exist $h_{2} \in \mathcal{A}, h_{3}, \ldots, h_{k} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that $f_{n+1} f_{n} \theta_{n-1}=h_{k} \cdots h_{2}$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{3} h_{2} h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}\right)<D, \quad i=3, \ldots, k
$$

If $f_{n-1} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f_{n+1} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \backslash \mathcal{H}$, we apply Lemma 3.13 to $f_{n-1}, f_{n}, \theta_{n+1}$. There exist $h_{1} \in \mathcal{J}_{0}$, $h_{2} \in \mathcal{A}, h_{3}, \ldots, h_{k-1} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J}_{0} \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that $\theta_{n+1} f_{n} f_{n-1}=h_{k-1} \cdots h_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{n-1}\right)-1, \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{2} h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}\right) \leq D \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{3} h_{2} h_{1} f_{n-2} \cdots f_{1}\right)<D, \quad i=3, \ldots, k-1
\end{aligned}
$$



We claim that in each case, the pair $\left(D^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$ is strictly smaller than $(D, k)$. Indeed, the above conditions imply $D^{\prime} \leq D$. If $D^{\prime}=D$ then $n^{\prime} \leq n$ and

$$
k^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)-1\right)+\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)-1\right)<\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{i}\right)-1\right)=k .
$$

Corollary 3.8. The group $G_{\circ}$ contains no maps of degree 2 having more than one real basepoint. In particular, $G_{\circ} \subsetneq \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is a strict subgroup.

Proof. Suppose that $G_{\circ}$ contains an element $\tau$ of $\operatorname{degree} \operatorname{deg}(\tau)=2$ with three real basepoints. By Proposition 3.7, there exist $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J} \circ \mathcal{H}$ such that $\tau=g_{n} \cdots g_{1}$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i} \cdots g_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i+1} \cdots g_{1}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

We may suppose that $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{1}\right) \geq 2$, and then $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i} \cdots g_{1}\right)=2$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. This is only possible if

- $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{1}\right)=2$,
- $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}\right)=1,2,3,4$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$,
- if $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}\right)=2,3,4$, then $g_{i}$ and $\left(g_{i-1} \cdots g_{1}\right)^{-1}$ share $2,3,3$ base-points of multiplicity: if $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}\right)=3$, these are a double and two simple base-points if $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}\right)=4$, these are three double points.
The characteristics in Remark 3.1 and Remark 3.2, and all $g_{i}$ being contained in $\mathcal{J}_{\circ} \cup \mathcal{H}$ of degree $\leq 4$ implies that the quadratic maps $g_{i} \cdots g_{1}$ have two non-real base-points for $i=1, \ldots, n$. This contradicts $\tau=g_{n} \cdots g_{1}$ having three real base-points.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 2.4 is the amalgamated product of $G_{*}$ and $G_{\circ}$ along their intersection. Furthermore, $G_{*}$ is a strict subgroup of $\mathrm{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ by [Z2015, Proposition 5.3], and $G_{\circ}$ is a strict subgroup by Corollary 3.8.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Let's prove the claim first for $G_{\circ}$. We denote by $\sigma:[x: y: z] \mapsto$ [yz:xz:xy] the standard quadratic involution of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, and define a map

$$
\varphi:\{[x: y: z] \mapsto[x+a z: y+b z: z] \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}:=A \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) / G_{\circ}, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha \sigma G_{\circ}
$$

Note that by Corollary 3.8 the transformation $\sigma$ is not contained in $G_{\circ}$. In particular, $\alpha \sigma G_{0} \neq$ $G_{0}$ for all $\alpha \in A$. We claim that the map $\varphi$ is injective. Note that for all $\alpha \in A$ the transformation $\sigma \alpha \sigma$ is of degree $\leq 2$. It is linear if and only if $\sigma$ and $(\alpha \sigma)^{-1}$ have three common base-points, i.e. if and only if $\alpha=$ Id. If $\sigma \alpha \sigma$ is of degree 2 then it has three real base-points. It follows from Corollary 3.8 that that for $\beta, \gamma \in A$ the transformation $\sigma \beta^{-1} \gamma \sigma$ is contained in $G_{\circ}$ if and only if it is linear, i.e. if and only if $\beta^{-1} \gamma=\mathrm{Id}$. Thus the map $\varphi$ is injective.

Let's prove the claim for $G_{*}$. By [Z2015, Lemma 6.7, Corollary 6.12] we have

$$
G_{*}=\left\langle\mathcal{J}_{*}, \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)\right\rangle \subset\left\langle\left\langle\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left[\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right), \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)\right] .
$$

Then there is a surjective map from $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) / G_{*}$ onto the abelianisation of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{(0,1]} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ [Z2015, Theorem 1.1]. It follows that $G_{*}$ has uncountable index in $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By Theorem 1.1, the group $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ acts on the Bass-Serre tree $T$ of the amalgam $G_{*} *_{G_{*} \cap G_{\circ}} G_{\circ}$. Then every element of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ of finite order has a fixed point on $T$. It follows that very finite subgroup of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ has a fixed point on $T$ [S1980, §I.6.5, Corollary 3], and is in particular conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{*}$ or $G_{\circ}$.

For infinite algebraic subgroups of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$, it suffices to check the claim for the maximal ones. Before we list them, we have to introduce some notation. For $n \geq 0$, we denote by $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ the $n$-th Hirzebruch surface

$$
\mathbb{F}_{n}=\left\{[x: y: z][u: v] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \mid u^{n} z=v^{n} y\right\}
$$

Note that $\mathbb{F}_{0}=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1}$. By $\mathcal{Q}_{3,1} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{3}$ we denote the real hypersurface given by $w^{2}=$ $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$. Note that it is isomorphic to the real surface $\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{1},(x, y) \mapsto(\bar{y}, \bar{x})\right)$. It is obtained by blowing up two conjugate points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and contracting the (real) line passing
through them. We denote by $\pi_{[2]}: X_{[2]} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ the conic bundle obtained by blowing up a pair of non-real conjugate points on $\mathcal{Q}_{3,1}$.

Note that for $n \geq 0$, the group $\mathcal{J}_{*}$ is conjugate to the group of birational transformations of $\mathbb{F}_{n}$ preserving the conic bundle structure $\operatorname{pr}_{2}: \mathbb{F}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. The group $\mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ is conjugate to the group of birational transformations of $X_{[2]}$ preserving the conic bundle structure $\pi_{[2]}: X_{[2]} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. The infinite maximal algebraic subgroups of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ are conjugate to $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ where $X$ is one of the real rational surfaces in the following list [RZ2016]:
(1) $X=\mathbb{P}^{2}$,
(2) $X=\mathcal{Q}_{3,1}$,
(3) $X=\mathbb{F}_{n}, n=0, n \geq 2$,
(4) $X$ is a del Pezzo surface of degree 6 obtained by blowing up a pair of non-real conjugate points on $\mathbb{F}_{0}$,
(5) $X$ is a del Pezzo surface of degree 6 obtained by blowing up two real points on $\mathbb{F}_{0}$,
(6) $\eta: X \rightarrow X_{[2]}$ is a birational morphism of real conic bundles blowing up $n \geq 1$ pairs with non-real conjugate points on non-real fibres on the non-real conjugate disjoint $(-1)$-curves of $X_{[2]}$ that are the exceptional divisors of $X_{[2]} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{3,1}$,
(7) $\eta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{n}$ is a birational morphism of real conic bundles blowing up $2 n \geq 4$ points on the zero section of self-intersection $n$.

We have $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \subset G_{*} \cap G_{\circ}$. The groups in (3) and (7) are conjugate to subgroups of $G_{*}$, and the groups in (6) conjugate to subgroups of $G_{\circ}$.

Via the birational map $\mathbb{F}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ that blows up a real point and blows down the strict transforms of the two (real) fibres passing through the point, the group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{0}\right)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{*}$.

Via the birational map $\mathcal{Q}_{3,1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ that blows up a real point and blows down the strict transforms of the two (non-real conjugate) fibres passing through the point, $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{3,1}\right)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{\circ} \cap G_{*}$.

Group (4): via the blow-down $X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{0}$ of the two exceptional divisors, the group is conjugate to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{0}\right)$ generated by a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{0}\right)$ and a transformation of $\mathbb{F}_{0}$ that is the composition of a transformation preserving the fibrations and the automorphism exchanging the fibrations (see construction in [RZ2016, Proposition 3.5]). Thus group (4) is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{*}$.

Group (5): via the blow-down $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of three disjoint ( -1 )-curves onto the three coordinate points of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, the group is conjugate to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ generated by a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ and $[x: y: z] \longmapsto[y z: x z: x y][R Z 2016$, Proposition 3.6]. Since the latter is contained in $J_{*}$, group (5) is also conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{*}$.
3.3. Lowering the degree. In this section we prove the lemmas used in the proof of Proposition 3.7. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{Bir}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right), f_{1}, f_{3} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ} \cup \mathcal{H}$ and $f_{2} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.9. Suppose that $f_{1}, f_{3} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ be standard or special quintic transformations and that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(g) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2} f_{1} g\right)
$$

Then there exists $h_{1} \in \mathcal{A}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ such that $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}=h_{m} \cdots h_{1}$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{2} h_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right), \quad i=2, \ldots, m
$$

Proof. Follows from [Z2015, Lemma 4.10] by taking $\Lambda$ to be the linear system of $\left(f_{1} g\right)^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.10. Let $f_{1}, f_{3} \in \mathcal{H}$ be of degree 2. Suppose that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(g) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2} f_{1} g\right)
$$

Then there exist $h_{1} \in \mathcal{A}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}=h_{m} \cdots h_{1}$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{2} h_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right), \quad i=2, \ldots, m
$$

Proof. If $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}\right)=1$, we put $h_{m}=h_{1}=f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}$.
If $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}\right)=2$, then $f_{1}^{-1}$ and $f_{3} f_{2}$ have exactly two common base-points, namely their pairs of non-real conjugate base-points. It follows that the quadratic map $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}$ has two non-real base-points and a real base-point. So there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\beta f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} \alpha \in \mathcal{H}$. The claim follows with $h_{1}=\alpha^{-1}, h_{2}=\beta f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} \alpha$ and $h_{m}=h_{3}=\beta^{-1}$.

If $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}\right)=3$, then the maps $f_{1}^{-1}$ and $f_{3} f_{2}$ have exactly one common base-point, namely [1:0:0]. Hence $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} \in \mathcal{J}_{*}$. Since moreover $f_{1}, f_{3}$ have only one real base-point, $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}$ has only one real base-point. Thus $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$ and the claim follows with $h_{1}=h_{m}=$ $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}$.

If $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}\right)=4$, then the maps $f_{1}^{-1}$ and $f_{3} f_{2}$ have no common base-points. The following construction is visualised in the diagram below. Let $[1: 0: 0], p, \bar{p}$ be the base-points of $f_{1}^{-1}$ and $r, q, \bar{q}$ the base-points of $f_{3} f_{2}$, and define $D:=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right)$. By $m(t)$ we denote the multiplicity of $\left(f_{1} g\right)^{-1}$ in the point $t$. The assumptions $\operatorname{deg}(g) \leq D$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} g\right)<D$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m([1: 0: 0])+2 m\left(p_{i}\right) \geq D, \quad m(r)+2 m(q)>D \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m([1: 0: 0]) \geq m(r)$, then Inequality (2) implies that

$$
m([1: 0: 0])+2 m(q) \geq m(r)+2 m(q) \stackrel{(2)}{>} D
$$

which means that $[1: 0: 0], q, \bar{q}$ are not collinear. In particular, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{H}$ of degree 2 such that $\theta \alpha$ has base-points $[1: 0: 0], q, \bar{q}$. The above inequality implies

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta \alpha f_{1} g\right)=2 D-m([1: 0: 0])-2 m(q)<D
$$

The maps $f_{1}^{-1}$ and $\theta \alpha$ have one common base-point, and the maps $\theta \alpha$ and $f_{3} f_{2}$ have two common base-points. We proceed as in (3) and (2).


Suppose that $m([1: 0: 0])<m(r)$. Inequalities (2) imply that

$$
m(r)+2 m(p)>m([1: 0: 0])+2 m(p) \geq 2 D
$$

so $r, p, \bar{p}$ are not collinear. There exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{H}$ of degree 2 such that $\theta \alpha$ has these points as base-points. The claim follows as above.

Lemma 3.11. Let $f_{1}, f_{3} \in \mathcal{H}$. Suppose that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(g) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2} f_{1} g\right)
$$

Then there exist $h_{1} \in \mathcal{H}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{A}, h_{3}, \ldots, h_{m} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}=h_{m} \cdots h_{1}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{2} h_{1} g\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} g\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{3} h_{2} h_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right), \quad i=3, \ldots, m .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the inequality in the assumption is strict, all inequalities are strict.
Proof. By Remark 3.2, $f_{1}$ and $f_{3}$ both have exactly one real base-point, and the rest of their base-points are pairs of non-real conjugate points. Let $m(q)$ be the multiplicity of $\left(f_{1} g\right)^{-1}$ in the point $q$, and $D:=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2} f_{1} g\right)$. Both $f_{1}$ and $f_{3} f_{2}$ have characteristic ( $d ; d-1,1^{2 d-2}$ ), hence there exist non-real base-points $q_{1}, q_{2}$ of $f_{1}^{-1}$ and $f_{3}$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m([1: 0: 0])+2 m\left(q_{1}\right) \geq D, \quad m\left(f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])\right)+2 m\left(f_{2}^{-1}\left(q_{2}\right)\right)>D \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can assume that $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ or on the exceptional divisor of $[1: 0: 0]$ or $f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])$, respectively. Furthermore, the points $[1: 0: 0], q_{1}, \bar{q}_{1}$ and the points $f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0]), q_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}$ are not collinear because of Bézout theorem.

If $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are both in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, there exist $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$ of degree 2 with base-points $[1: 0: 0], q_{1}, \bar{q}_{1}$ and $[1: 0: 0], q_{2}, \bar{q}_{2}$ respectively. We define $h_{1}:=\tau_{1} f_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $h_{m}:=f_{3} \tau_{2}^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}$. By construction we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-1, \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{m}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3}\right)-1
$$

and by Inequalities (3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} g\right)= & \operatorname{deg}\left(\tau_{1} f_{1} g\right)=2 D-m([1: 0: 0])-2 m\left(q_{1}\right) \leq D \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(\tau_{2} f_{2} f_{1} g\right)=2 D-m\left(f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])\right)-2 m\left(f_{2}^{-1}\left(q_{2}\right)\right)<D .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Lemma 3.10 to $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-2}, h_{1}, \tau_{1}, f_{2}, \tau_{2}$ to get the claim.
Let us consider what to do if $q_{1}$ or $q_{2}$ is on the exceptional divisors of $[1: 0: 0]$.
If they are both on the exceptional divisors, respectively, then $f_{1}$ and $f_{3}$ are both of degree at least 3 and

$$
2 m\left(q_{1}\right) \leq m([1: 0: 0]), \quad 2 m\left(f_{2}^{-1}\left(q_{2}\right)\right) \leq m\left(f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])\right)
$$

Now, Inequalities (3) imply that

$$
2 m([1: 0: 0]) \geq D, \quad 2 m\left(f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])\right)>D
$$

Since $f_{1}$ and $f_{3}$ are of degree at least three, this is only possible if $f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])=[1: 0: 0]$. In particular $f_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$. We put $h_{1}=h_{m}=f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Let us assume that $f_{2} \notin \mathcal{H}$. Then either $q_{1}$ or $q_{2}$ are points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Suppose that $q_{1}$ is in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $q_{2}$ is on the exceptional divisor of $f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])$. Then, because of the above reasoning, we have

$$
2 m\left(f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])\right)>D>2 m([1: 0: 0]) .
$$

Inequalities (3) get us

$$
m\left(f_{2}^{-1}([1: 0: 0])\right)+2 m\left(q_{1}\right)>m([1: 0: 0])+2 m\left(q_{1}\right) \geq D .
$$

Then $[1: 0: 0], f_{2}\left(q_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(\bar{q}_{1}\right)$ are not collinear and all of them are points of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. There exists $\tau_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}$ of degree 2 with base-points $[1: 0: 0], f_{2}\left(q_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(\bar{q}_{1}\right)$. We proceed as in the first case with $\tau_{2}^{\prime}$ instead of $\tau_{2}$. If $q_{2}$ is a point of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $q_{1}$ is on the exceptional divisor of $[1: 0: 0]$, we proceed analogously.

Lemma 3.12. Let $f_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$ be of degree 2 and $f_{3} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ a standard or special quintic transformation. Suppose that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(g) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2} f_{1} g\right)
$$

Then there exist $h_{1} \in \mathcal{A}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J} \circ \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}=h_{m} \cdots h_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} g\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{3} h_{2} h_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \quad i=2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

If we have strict inequality in the assumption, all inequalities are strict.
The same claim holds if $f_{1} \in \mathcal{J}$ 。 is a a standard or special quintic transformation and $f_{3} \in \mathcal{H}$ is of degree 2.
Proof. By $m(s)$ we denote the multiplicity of $\left(f_{1} g\right)^{-1}$ in $s$ and defined $D:=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right)$. Let $r_{1}, \bar{r}_{1}, r_{2}, \bar{r}_{2}, r_{3}, \bar{r}_{3}$ be the base-points of $f_{3} f_{2}$ and order them such that

$$
m\left(r_{1}\right) \geq m\left(r_{2}\right) \geq m\left(r_{3}\right)
$$

and $r_{1}$ is a proper point of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $r_{2}$ is either a proper point of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ or in the first neighbourhood of $r_{1}$. Let $[1: 0: 0], q, \bar{q}$ be the base-points of $f_{1}^{-1}$. Lemma 3.5 and $f_{1}$ being quadratic imply respectively that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(r_{1}\right)+m\left(r_{2}\right)+m\left(r_{3}\right)>D, \quad m([1: 0: 0])+2 m(q) \geq D \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We look at two cases, $q=r_{1}$ and $q \neq r_{1}$.
(1) Suppose that $q=r_{1}$. As $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ are not collinear, at least one of $r_{2}, r_{3}$ is not one a line contracted by $f_{1}^{-1}$, say $r_{i}$, and it is a point of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}$ sends the pencil of conics passing through the non-real base-points of $f_{1}$ and $f_{1}^{-1}\left(r_{i}\right), f_{1}^{-1}\left(\bar{r}_{i}\right)$ onto a pencil of conics through two pairs of non-real conjugate points of $f_{3}^{-1}$. Hence, there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\beta f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} \alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$. The claim follows with $h_{1}=\alpha^{-1}, h_{2}=\beta f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} \alpha$ and $h_{m}=h_{3}=\beta^{-1}$.
(2) Suppose that $q \neq r_{1}$. We look at two cases, depending on the multiplicities $m([1: 0: 0])$, $m(q), m(\bar{q})$.
(2.1) If $m(q) \geq m([1: 0: 0])$, then Inequalities (4) and the order of the $r_{i}$ imply that $m(q) \geq \frac{D}{3}$ and $m\left(r_{1}\right)+m\left(r_{2}\right)>\frac{2 D}{3}$, and so

$$
m(q)+m\left(r_{1}\right)+m\left(r_{2}\right)>D
$$

In particular, the six points $q, \bar{q}, r_{1}, \bar{r}_{1}, r_{2}, \bar{r}_{2}$ are not on a conic. Then the chosen order of the points implies that there exists a standard or special quintic transformation $\theta \in \mathcal{J} \circ$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\theta \alpha$ has these 6 points as base-points. The above inequality implies

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta \alpha f_{1} g\right)=5 D-4 m(q)-4 m\left(r_{1}\right)-4 m\left(r_{2}\right)<D
$$

The maps $f_{1}$ and $\theta \alpha$ have two common base-points, and we find the maps $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$ as in Case 1. The maps $g^{\prime}:=h_{k} \cdots h_{1} g, \theta^{-1}, f_{2} \alpha, f_{3}$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.9 with " $<$ ", and we get the maps $h_{k+1}, \ldots, h_{m}$ from there.
(2.2) If $m(q)<m([1: 0: 0])$, then Inequalities (4) and the order of the $r_{i}$ imply that $m([1: 0: 0]), m\left(r_{1}\right) \geq \frac{D}{3}$, so

$$
m([1: 0: 0])+2 m\left(r_{1}\right)>D
$$

In particular, the points $[1: 0: 0], r_{1}, \bar{r}_{1}$ are not collinear. As they are proper points of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, there exists $\theta \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ of degree 2 and $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ such that $\theta \alpha$ has these 3 points as base-points. Moreover, the above inequality implies that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta \alpha f_{1} g\right)=2 D-m(q)-2 m\left(r_{1}\right)<D
$$

We apply Lemma 3.11 to $f_{1}, \alpha, \theta$ to get $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}$ and then Case 1 to $g^{\prime}:=h_{k} \cdots h_{1} g$, $\theta^{-1}, f_{2} \alpha, f_{3}$ with " $<$ " to get the rest of the $h_{i}$.

The case where $f_{1}$ is a standard or special quintic transformation and $f_{3} \in \mathcal{H}$ is of degree 2 is done analogously.

Lemma 3.13. Let $f_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f_{3} \in \mathcal{J}_{\circ}$ a standard or special quintic transformation. Suppose that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(g) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{3} f_{2} f_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2} f_{1} g\right)
$$

Then there exist $h_{1} \in \mathcal{H}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{A}, h_{3}, \ldots, h_{m} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{J} \circ \cup \mathcal{H}$ such that $f_{3} f_{2} f_{1}=h_{m} \cdots h_{1}$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} g\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{3} h_{2} h_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \quad i=3, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

If we have strict inequality in the assumption, all inequalities are strict.
If $f_{1} \in \mathcal{J}$ 。 is a a standard or special quintic transformation and $f_{3} \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i} \cdots h_{2} h_{1} g\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right) \quad i=2, \ldots, m
$$

Proof. Let $m(s)$ be the multiplicity of $\left(f_{1} g\right)^{-1}$ in the point $s$ and define $D:=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1} g\right)$. Since $f_{1}$ has characteristic ( $d ; d-1,1^{2 d-2}$ ) and is contained in $\mathcal{H}$, there exist a non-real base-point $q$ of $f_{1}^{-1}$ such that

$$
m([1: 0: 0])+2 m(q) \geq D .
$$

We can assume that they are points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ or on the exceptional divisor of $[1: 0: 0]$. Furthermore, the points $[1: 0: 0], q, \bar{q}$ are not collinear by Bézout theorem.
(1) Suppose that $q, \bar{q}$ are points in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Then there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ of degree 2 such that $\tau$ has base-points $[1: 0: 0], q, \bar{q}$. We put $h_{1}:=\tau f_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-1 \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} g\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\tau f_{1} g\right)=2 D-m([1: 0: 0])-2 m(q) \leq D
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Lemma 3.12 to $g^{\prime}:=h_{1} g$ and $\tau^{-1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ to get $h_{2}, \ldots, h_{m}$.
(2) Suppose that $q, \bar{q}$ are on the exceptional divisor of $[1: 0: 0]$. Then $m([1: 0: 0]) \geq$ $2 m(q)$. Call $r_{1}, \bar{r}_{1}, r_{2}, \bar{r}_{2}, r_{3}, \bar{r}_{3}$ the base-points of $f_{3} f_{2}$, and suppose that

$$
m\left(r_{1}\right) \geq m\left(r_{2}\right) \geq m\left(r_{3}\right)
$$

and $r_{1}$ is a point in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$
m\left(r_{1}\right)+m\left(r_{2}\right)+m\left(r_{3}\right)>D .
$$

We get $m([1: 0: 0]) \geq \frac{D}{3}$ and $m\left(r_{1}\right)>\frac{D}{3}$, and so $m([1: 0: 0])+2 m\left(r_{1}\right)>D$. In particular, the points $[1: 0: 0], r_{1}, \bar{r}_{1}$ are not collinear, and hence there exists $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ of degree 2 with these three points its base-points. As above we put $h_{1}:=\tau f_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$ and note that

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)-1, \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1} g\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\tau f_{1} g\right)<D
$$

and apply Lemma 3.12 to $g^{\prime}:=h_{1} g$ and $\tau^{-1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$.
If $f_{1}$ is a standard or special quintic transformation and $f_{3} \in \mathcal{H}$, we construct $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}$ analogously.
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