

# Effect of temperature and initial state on variation of thermal parameters of fine compacted soils

Ahmed Boukelia, S. Rosin-Paumier, Hossein Eslami, Farimah Masrouri

# ▶ To cite this version:

Ahmed Boukelia, S. Rosin-Paumier, Hossein Eslami, Farimah Masrouri. Effect of temperature and initial state on variation of thermal parameters of fine compacted soils. European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering, 2017, 23 (9), pp.1125-1138. 10.1080/19648189.2017.1344144. hal-01717778

# HAL Id: hal-01717778 https://hal.science/hal-01717778

Submitted on 18 Jun 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Effect of temperature and initial state on variation of thermal
2	parameters of fine compacted soils
3	
4	Boukelia, A. <sup>1, 2</sup> , Eslami, H. <sup>1, 2</sup> , Rosin-Paumier, S. <sup>1, 2</sup> *, Masrouri, F. <sup>1,2</sup>
5 6	<sup>1</sup> LEMTA – CNRS UMR 7563, Université de Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, F-54500, France.
7	<sup>2</sup> ESITC de Metz, Metz, France
8	*Corresponding author: <u>sandrine.rosin@univ-lorraine.fr</u>
9	
10	Postal address: ESNG - LEMTA, Bâtiment E, 2 rue du Doyen Marcel Roubault, TSA 70605, 54518
11	Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France
12	Acknowledgements :
13 14	The authors acknowledge C. Fontaine from IC2MP laboratory (Poitiers, France) for the
15	mineralogical analyses.
16	

### 18 **1. Introduction**

Soil thermal properties are required in some engineering applications such as the design of 19 high-level radioactive waste disposals (Rutqvist, Wu, Tsang, & Bodvarsson, 2002), buried 20 power transmission (De Lieto Vollaro, Fontana, & Vallati, 2011), energy geostructures 21 22 (Pahud, 2002 and Brandl, 2006) and thermal energy storage (Navarro et al., 2016 and Giordano, Comina, Mandrone, & Cagni, 2016). The study of heat flow in soil is based on the 23 thermal properties and temperature gradient. The thermal parameters governing the transfer of 24 heat are the **thermal conductivity** ( $\lambda$ ), which is the ability of the material to conduct heat, the 25 26 volumetric heat capacity (C), which describes the ability of the material to store thermal energy while undergoing a given temperature change, and the **thermal diffusivity** ( $\alpha = \lambda/C$ ), 27 which describes the ability of a material to conduct thermal energy relative to its ability to 28 store thermal energy. 29

30 A variety of measurement techniques are available for natural materials with a broad temperature range. Recently, Zhang, Cai,, Liu & Puppala (2016) presented most commonly 31 32 used measurement techniques such as the steady-state method, the transient hot-wire method, 33 the laser flash diffusivity method and the transient plane source method. The hot-wire method also known as the needle-probe method (ASTM, 2000) is a transient technique that measures 34 temperature rise at a known distance from a linear heat source embedded in the test sample. 35 This method is widely used in natural soil and compacted soil characterization for its 36 accuracy, speed and simple application. 37

The thermal parameters ( $\lambda$ , C and  $\alpha$ ) depend on soil parameters such as the mineralogy, water content, bulk density, particle size distribution and structural arrangement (Abu-Hamdeh (2001), Abu-Hamdeh (2003), Tang (2005), Brandl (2006), Ehdezi (2012)). Abu-Hamdeh and Reeder (2000) measured the thermal conductivity of four soils as a function of their water content and density. The authors noted that for each soil,  $\lambda$  increased with increasing density. 43 Similarly, when the water content increased  $\lambda$ , increased as well. The results of Tang (2005) showed the same trends for a clayey material. Barry-Macaulay, Bouazza, Singh, Wang, and 44 45 Ranjith (2013) presented the same type of results as part of their important database of Australian natural materials. For instance, as the thermal conductivity of solid particles 46 exceeds those of the air and the water, an increase in the dry density results in an increase in 47  $\lambda$ . Furthermore, increasing the contact surface between solid particles increases the heat flux 48 49 and results in an increase of  $\lambda$ . In the same way, as the thermal conductivity of water is higher 50 than that of the air, an increase in the saturation rate results in an increase in  $\lambda$ .

Few studies have been conducted on the thermal conductivity of compacted soils. Ekwue, 51 Stone, and Bhagwat (2006) studied the combined effects of soil density and water content on 52 soil thermal conductivity of three soils: a sandy loam, a clayey loam and a clay. They 53 measured the thermal conductivity for different values of water content and dry density on the 54 compaction curve of these soils. For each soil,  $\lambda$  reaches a maximal value near the Proctor 55 optimum water content. The study of Ekwue et al. (2006) was also focused on the impact of 56 soil mineralogy on  $\lambda$ , and the material with a high sand content showed the most important 57 58 impact. However, the coupled effect of soil density and water content on the volumetric heat capacity and thermal diffusivity was not well studied. The monotonic and cyclic heat effect on 59 thermal parameters was also not studied. 60

Several prediction models of soil thermal parameters, taking into account the soil properties, are available to evaluate the thermal conductivity (De Vries (1963), Johansen (1977) and Kersten (1949)). For example, Farouki (1981) and, more recently, Dong, John, McCartney, and Lu (2015) presented an extensive review of the numerous available prediction models. However, these models are perfectible (Dong et al. 2015) and need to be improved to take into account new environmental or industrial issues such as soil temperature.

In civil engineering, the temperature variation range evolves according to the process; for 67 example, cyclic variations from 4 and 30°C were recorded for heat exchange piles (Peron, 68 Knellwolf, & Laloui, 2011) a maximum temperature of 70°C was estimated for thermal 69 energy storage (Giordano et al. 2016), 100°C was used for the design of high-level radioactive 70 waste disposal (Tang, 2005), and 90°C was used in the design of buried power transmission 71 cables (Hanna, Chikhani, & Salama, 1993). Temperature variations affect the physical 72 properties of soil (solid and water particles volumetric variation and change in water status), 73 74 inducing changes in the thermal properties. Considering the impact of temperature on the thermal proprieties of soils between 0° and 70°C, only a few studies are available. Hiraiwa 75 and Kasubuchi (2000) measured the thermal conductivity of two soils, a clay loam and a light 76 clay, at different volumetric water contents and different temperatures between 5 and 75°C. 77 Their results showed that  $\lambda$  increased with increasing temperature and volumetric water 78 content. Smits, Sakaki, Howington, Peters, and Illangasekare (2013) measured the thermal 79 conductivity and diffusivity of two sands prepared at various saturation rates at different 80 temperatures between 30 and 70°C. Their results showed that the thermal properties increased 81 dramatically for temperatures above 50°C, but small changes in thermal properties were 82 observed at temperatures between 30 and 50°C. 83

This literature review shows that numerous studies are available on the impact of soil parameters on the soil thermal conductivity; some of these studies address compacted soils, but fewer focus on the compacted soils used in civil engineering that are submitted to cyclic temperature variations from 1 to 70°C. Furthermore, few studies and little data are available on the variation of the volumetric heat capacity and the thermal diffusivity as a function of soil properties, despite their great importance in the study of heat flow and heat storage in soils.

91 The aim of this study was to better understand the coupled effect of water content (w), 92 dry density ( $\rho_d$ ) and temperature variations (T) on the thermal parameters ( $\lambda$ , C and  $\alpha$ ) of 93 compacted soils. The following issues were addressed:

- whether the mineralogy and the size distribution of soil particles affect their thermal
   properties,
- the coupled effect of w and  $\rho_d$  on the thermal parameters, and
- the effect of monotonic and cyclic thermal variation on soil thermal characteristics.

98 In the following sections, the preparation of the materials and the experimental device are 99 described first. Then, the results are presented and analysed to explain the main evolutions of 100 the thermal properties according to the initial state parameters of compacted soils and the 101 impact of thermal variations.

#### 102 **2. Materials and methods**

In this section, the properties of the five studied materials, the compaction of the materials at various water contents and dry densities, and the apparatus used to measure the thermal properties are presented.

### 106 2.1. The materials properties

Five different soils were studied. The mineralogical compositions of these soils are presented 107 in Table 1. The illitic soil (I) named Arginotech® came from eastern Germany. The Plaisir 108 loam (PL) was extracted from the Paris region and was dried, pulverized and sieved through a 109 2 mm sieve before being quartered and used for various experiments (Boukelia, 2016). Two 110 other loams from the Parisian basin, namely, the Jossigny loam (JL) and the Xeuilley loam 111 112 (XL), were also studied. The characteristics of each material including grain size distribution, Atterberg limits (AFNOR, 1993), specific surface (AFNOR, 1999a), carbonate content 113 114 (AFNOR, 1996) and Proctor compaction parameters (AFNOR, 1999b) are listed in Table 2.

115 The particle size distributions were determined using a Laser diffraction particle size analyser 116 (Malvern Mastersizer 2000®) (AFNOR, 2009) for the illitic material (Eslami, Rosin-Paumier, 117 Abdallah, & Masrouri, 2015) and a wetting sieve method for the Plaisir loam. For the 118 Jossigny and Xeuilley loams, the particle size distribution curves were found in the literature 119 (Fleureau & Inderto, 1993 and Blanck, Cuisinier, & Masrouri, 2011). The Proctor optimum 120 water contents (w<sub>OPN</sub>) and maximum dry densities ( $\rho_{dmax}$ ) were obtained from the standard 121 Proctor curve performed for each mixture (AFNOR, 1999b) (*Figure 1* and *Table 3*).

# 122 2.2. Sample preparation

Six test series were performed (Table 5). In the first series, the effect of the dry density was 123 studied on an illitic soil (I) and a sand-illitic mixture (S+I). In the second series, the effect of 124 water content was studied on the same soils (I and S+I). In the third series, the effect of 125 particle size and mineralogy were evaluated for each soil. Then, the coupled effect of dry 126 density and water content was analysed by measuring the thermal properties of five materials 127 compacted at various water contents and dry densities using constant compaction energy (4<sup>th</sup> 128 129 series). Then, the temperature effect was studied on PL, I and S+I by measuring the thermal properties of different points on a compaction curve at varying temperatures within the range 130 of 1 to 70°C (5<sup>th</sup> series). Finally, the effect of cyclic temperature variations was studied on PL 131 132  $(6^{th} series).$ 

To prepare samples at the desired water content and dry density, powdered material was first mixed with water to reach the target water content and then packed into hermitic bags to homogenize over at least 24 h. Two types of samples were prepared. For the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> series, samples 70 mm in height and 35 mm in diameter were statically compacted. For the 3<sup>rd</sup> through 6<sup>th</sup> series, samples 116 mm in height and 152 mm in diameter were dynamically compacted in three layers in a CBR (Californian Bearing Ratio) mould. The standard Proctor compaction energy was applied. The samples were then heated or cooled to differenttemperatures in a climatic chamber, and the thermal properties of each sample were measured.

## 141 2.3. Thermal parameter measurements

The thermal properties were measured using a KD2 Pro thermal properties analyser®. Two 142 specific sensors were used: a dual-needle SH-1 and a single needle TR-1. The dual-probe SH-143 1 consists of two parallel probes (30 mm long and 1.3 mm diameter with 6 mm spacing). One 144 of these probes comprises a thermistor, and the other comprises the heater element. This 145 sensor measures thermal conductivity ( $\lambda$ ), thermal resistivity (R), thermal diffusivity ( $\alpha$ ) and 146 volumetric heat capacity (C) by employing the dual needle heat pulse method. The single 147 needle TR-1 (2.4 mm diameter and 100 mm long) measures only thermal conductivity ( $\lambda$ ); 148 this is used when  $\lambda$  is higher than 2  $W.m^{-1}.K^{-1}$ . The measurement range and the precision of 149 both sensors are summarized in Table 5. 150

To measure the thermal parameters of sample, the probe was covered by a thin layer of grease (Arctiv Silver® 5 – High-density polysynthetic silver thermal compound) and then was placed in the sample after soil drilling at the same diameter. In this case, the use of the grease is recommended to improve the contact between the sensor needle and the soil. A waiting time of 15 minutes was imposed before each test to reach an equilibrium temperature between the probe and the soil. The presented value is a mean value of 4 tests in different locations of the sample.

## 158 **3. Experimental results and discussion**

In the following sections, the experimental results and discussions for each series arepresented successively.

## 161 3.1. Dry density effect on thermal parameters ( $1^{st}$ series)

The effects of dry density on thermal parameters are studied on both illitic material (I) and a 162 sand-illitic mixture (S+I). Illitic samples were prepared at an initial water content in the range 163 of 28.8 to 33.4% and dry densities varying from 1.20 to 1.52 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Sand-illitic (S+I) 164 samples were prepared at an initial water content (w) in the range of 16.9 to 20.4% and dry 165 densities ( $\rho_d$ ) varying from 1.54 to 1.79 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>. The variations of water content and dry 166 167 density were chosen in the same range of the standard Proctor curve for both materials. The samples were thus prepared at water contents and dry densities compatible with their use in 168 169 geotechnical engineering. The real density  $(\rho_h)$  of the samples can be determined from Eq. 1.

$$170 \qquad \rho_h = (1+w) \times \rho_d$$

171 The results (*Figure* 2a, b, c) showed that the thermal conductivity, volumetric heat capacity 172 and thermal diffusivity increased with increasing dry density for both materials regardless of 173 the water content. Linear increases in  $\lambda$ , C and  $\alpha$  as functions of increasing dry density were 174 shown, in agreement with literature.

175 The thermal diffusivities ( $\alpha = \lambda/C$ ) measured on the illitic samples were significantly lower 176 than those of the sand-illitic samples.

# 177 3.2. Water content effect on thermal properties $(2^{nd} \text{ series})$

The effect of water content on the thermal proprieties was showed by Tang (2005), Brandl (2006) and Barry-Macaulay et al. (2013). In *Figure 2*, the range variations of water content and dry density of I and S+I samples were the same as those in part 3.1. The enhancement of thermal conductivity as a function of water content increase was clearly observed (*Figure 2a*). *Figure 2*b showed that the volumetric heat capacity of both materials varied in the same range. The range variation of w has a negligible impact on the thermal diffusivity of both materials. The results obtained in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> series are in agreement with literature. They
therefore validated the experimental procedure used in this study.

# 186 **3.3.** Mineralogy and particle size effect on thermal properties (3<sup>rd</sup> series)

The mineralogy of the sample has an effect on its thermal conductivity (Ekwue et al. 2006, Zhang et al., 2017). For example, Brigaud and Vasseur (1989) denoted that the  $\lambda$  of quartz ( $\lambda_{quartz} = 7.7 \text{ W.m}^{-1}.\text{K}^{-1}$ ) is higher than that of illite ( $\lambda_{illite} = 1.85 \text{ W.m}^{-1}.\text{K}^{-1}$ ). As a consequence, the addition of sand to illitic material provided a higher  $\lambda$  for the S+I samples in comparison with the illitic samples (*Figure 2a*).

# 192 3.4. Coupled effect of water content and dry densities on thermal properties 193 (4<sup>th</sup>series)

The coupled effect of water content and dry density was studied by measuring the thermal parameters of samples compacted on several points of the compaction curves of five materials (illite (I), illite+sand (S+I), Plaisir loam (PL), sand+Jossigny loam (S+JL), sand+Xeuilley loam (S+XL)) of varying size distribution and mineralogy. Measurements of thermal parameters ( $\lambda$ , C and  $\alpha$ ) were carried out on samples prepared with the same compaction energy.

The results (Figure 3) showed that the thermal conductivity of each material increased on the 200 dry side of the compaction curve until reaching a maximum near the Proctor optimum. On the 201 dry side of the compaction curve, the dry density and the water content both increased, 202 203 resulting in an increase of  $\lambda$ . In contrast, on the wet side of the compaction curve, the evolutions are different according to the sample mineralogy. For the silicious materials (S+I, 204 PL, S+JL, S+XL),  $\lambda$  decreased, whereas for the silicate material (I),  $\lambda$  remained at its highest 205 values. These evolutions are consistent with the physical properties of the studied samples. On 206 the wet side of the compaction curve, the water content continues to increase, whereas the dry 207

208 density decreases. As the saturation rate remains approximately the same, the water molecules 209 took the place of solid grains. As  $\lambda_{quartz}$  (7.7 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>) is higher than  $\lambda_{water}$  (0.61 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>) 210 (Brigaud & Vasseur, 1989), the thermal conductivity of samples containing quartz decreased 211 quite quickly, whereas the thermal conductivity of samples containing silicates ( $\lambda_{illite} = 1.9$ 212 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>) remained at an approximately constant value.

The volumetric heat capacity of soils increased on the dry side of the compaction curve until reaching a maximum near the Proctor optimum. Then, the values remained constant or decreased slightly. The variation range of the volumetric heat capacity was identical for the five materials studied.

The thermal diffusivity followed the same variation as the thermal conductivity in accordance with its definition (D= $\lambda$ /C). Consequently, the thermal diffusivities of sand-loam mixtures (S+JL and S+XL) were three times higher than that of the illitic soil.

# 3.5. Combined effect of water content, dry density and temperature variations on thermal properties (5<sup>th</sup> series)

According to the potential use of compacted soils near a heat source or heat sink (energy 222 storage, buried cables, or waste storage), the effect of temperature on the thermal properties 223 was studied within a maximum temperature range of 1 to 70°C. Samples were prepared at 224 various w and  $\rho_d$  under the same compaction energy (standard Proctor). Three materials were 225 226 investigated: the illitic material (I, Figure 4), the sand-illitic soil mixture (S+I, Figure 5) and the Plaisir loam (PL, Figure 7 and Figure 8). Thermal diffusivity measurements for the I 227 samples were quite scattered due to their very low values compared with the measurement 228 range (Table 4). In the studied temperature range, the main evolutions obtained in the 229 previous part were observed for each material: 230

- thermal parameters reached their maximum values near the Proctor optimum;

- thermal parameters increased on the dry side of the compaction curve;

- thermal parameters remained at their maximal values on the wet side of the
compaction curve for illitic samples; and

- thermal parameters decreased on the wet side of the compaction curve for the S+I and
PL samples.

Following this general trend, variations were noticed according to the sample temperature. An extensive experimental study was carried out on the illitic material, which was expected to be the material most sensitive to temperature variation. The uncertainty of this method (10%) is presented with a double arrow for one point of each series (*Figure 4*a, b and c). The variation of  $\lambda$  in the temperature range of 1 to 40°C was within this uncertainty interval, but for 70°C results have clearly shown an increase of  $\lambda$  with increasing temperature. Measurements performed on S+I and PL over a maximal temperature range of 1–50°C confirmed this trend.

The increase of thermal conductivity linked to the sample temperature was more important on the dry side than on the wet side of the compaction curve. This phenomenon is in addition to the increase of  $\lambda$  linked to the dilatation of the components (water and minerals) with increasing temperature.

248 In a saturated soil, the heat flux moves through solids and liquids by diffusion. Convection 249 movements may aid the transfer, but the liquid convection may be limited, especially in low permeability materials. In an unsaturated soil, at low saturation rates, as is the case on the dry 250 side of the compaction curve, the material contains solids, water and air. The thermal 251 252 conductivity of air is very low unless it contains water vapour. The water vapour moves through the pores, increasing the thermal conductivity of the material. At higher temperatures, 253 254 the air is able to reach higher moisture contents, which increases its participation in thermal conduction. 255

The impact of temperature variations on the volumetric heat capacity was not as clear as that on  $\lambda$ , especially for the I and S+I samples. *Figure 4*b and 5b do not show a clear trend for the evolution of this parameter. The impact of temperature variations seemed to be below the measurement sensitivity. For PL samples, C measurements at 50°C were higher than values at 20°C in the case of L1 samples (*Figure 8*). The thermal diffusivity increased with increasing temperature in accordance with the  $\lambda$  evolution (*Figure 4*c and 5c).

## 262 **3.6.** Cyclic temperature variation effect on thermal properties (6<sup>th</sup> series)

Several samples of Plaisir loam were submitted to cyclic variations of temperature. Different cycles of 20/50°C (*Table 6*) were applied to the samples that were hermetically closed to keep w constant. The measurements were performed at various steps of the temperature programmes, as defined in *Figure 6*.

The experimental results showed that heating the samples increased the thermal conductivity and the volumetric heat capacity. These results confirmed the trends obtained in the previous part.

The measurements after 60 cycles showed that the **thermal conductivity**  $\lambda_{PL}$  at 20°C after the thermal cycles (C3) was lower than  $\lambda_{PL}$  at 50°C (C2). Nevertheless, the value of  $\lambda_{PL}$  at 20°C measured after the cycles (C3) was slightly higher than  $\lambda_{PL}$  at 20°C measured at the beginning of the test (C1) (*Figure 7*). The thermal conductivity reflects the capacity of a material to conduct a heat flux, this difference can be due to a change in the soil structure after several cycles.

276 Measurements of the **volumetric heat capacity** at 20°C (C3) remained very close to those 277 obtained in test (C1) (*Figure 8*). The volumetric heat capacity reflects the ability of a material 278 to store energy, it may be considered as the sum of the participation of soil different 279 component. The ratio between solid, liquid and air did not vary in the sample and the 280 volumetric heat capacity remained unchanged.

## 281 **4.** Conclusions

The effects of dry density, water content, mineralogy, size distribution and temperature on the 282 thermal conductivity, volumetric heat capacity and thermal diffusivity of five materials were 283 studied in the laboratory. In accordance with previous studies, the thermal parameters of the 284 studied materials increased with increasing dry density and water content. The samples with 285 286 wider granularity had a higher density and better solid contacts that improved their thermal conductivity. The effect of water content on thermal conductivity was clearly observed in 287 288 loose materials, whereas in denser materials, the effect was negligible. The thermal conductivity of materials increased with increasing quartz percentage and the spread of the 289 290 granulometric curve, whereas the volumetric heat capacity of materials seemed less sensitive 291 to variations in the mineralogy and particle size. In the compacted soils, the thermal 292 conductivity, the volumetric heat capacity and the diffusivity increased on the dry side of the compaction curve until reaching a maximum near the Proctor optimum. 293

The effect of temperature variation on the thermal properties was studied within a maximum 294 temperature range of 1 to 70°C. The thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity increased 295 296 significantly on the dry side of the compaction curve and at high temperatures (illitic soil at 70°C), while the effect of temperature on the specific heat capacity was not significant. The 297 increase in thermal conductivity induced by temperature variation was more important on the 298 299 dry side than on the wet side of the compaction curve due to the water vapour movement. Heating the samples increased the thermal properties, but this modification is partially 300 reversible after several cycles for thermal conductivity and totally reversible for volumetric 301 heat capacity. 302

303

#### **305 5. References:**

AFNOR. (1993). NF P94-051 Sols: reconnaissance et essais; Détermination des limites
d'Atterberg-Limite de liquidité à la coupelle-Limite de plasticité au rouleau [Soil:
Inverstigation and testing. Determination of Atterberg's limits. Liquid limit test using
cassagrande apparatus. Plastic limit test on rolled thread] (p. 15). Paris: Association Française
de Normalisation.

- 311 AFNOR. (1996). NF P 94-048: Sols : Reconnaissance et Essais Détermination de la teneur
- *en carbonate –Méthode du calcimètre* (p.11). Paris: Association Française de Normalisation.
- AFNOR. (1999a). NF EN 933-9: Tests for geometrical properties of aggregates Part 9:
- 314 Assessment of fines-Methylene blue test (p.12). Paris: Association Française de Normalisation.
- AFNOR. (1999b). NF P 94-093 Sols: Reconnaissance et essais Détermination des références

316 de compactage d'un matériau. Essai Proctor Normal-Essai Proctor Modifié [Soils:

- 317 Investigation and testing. Determination of the compaction characteristics of a soil. Standard
- 318 Proctor test. Modified Proctor test] (p. 18). Paris: Association Française de Normalisation.
- 319 AFNOR. (2009). ISO 13320: Particle size analysis–Laser diffraction methods (p.60). Paris:
- 320 Association Française de Normalisation.
- 321 Abu-Hamdeh, N.H. (2001). Measurement of the Thermal Conductivity of Sandy Loam and
- Clay Loam Soils using Single and Dual Probes. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 80(2), 209–216.
- Abu-Hamdeh, N.H. (2003). Thermal Properties of Soils as affected by Density and Water
- 325 Content. *Biosystems Engineering*, 86(1), 97–102.
- Abu-Hamdeh, N.H., & Reeder, R.C. (2000). Soil Thermal Conductivity: Effects of Density,
- 327 Moisture, Salt Concentration, and Organic Matter. Soil Science Society of America Journal,
- **328** 64(4), 1285–1290.

- Barry-Macaulay, D., Bouazza, A., Singh, R. M., Wang, B., & Ranjith, P. G. (2013). Thermal
  conductivity of soils and rocks from the Melbourne (Australia) region. *Engineering Geology*,
  164, 131–138.
- Blanck, G., Cuisinier, O., & Masrouri, F. (2011, September). Effet d'un traitement non
- 333 traditionnel acide sur le comportement mécanique de trois limons. 20<sup>ème</sup> Congrès Français de
- 334 Mécanique, Besançon, France.
- Boukelia, A. (2016). Modélisation physique et numérique des géo-structures énergétiques.
- 336 (PhD thesis). Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France.
- Brandl, H. (2006). Energy foundations and other thermo-active ground structures. *Géotechnique*, 56(2), 81–122.
- Brigaud, F., & Vasseur, G. (1989). Mineralogy, porosity and fluid control on thermal
  conductivity of sedimentary rocks. *Geophysical Journal International*, 98, 525–542.
- 341 Dong, Y., McCartney, J.S. & Lu, N. (2015). Critical Review of Thermal Conductivity Models
- for Unsaturated Soils. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 33(2), 207-221.
- 343 De Vries, D. A. (1963). Thermal properties of soils. *Physics of Plant Environment*. North344 Holland, Amsterdam.
- 345 Ehdezi, P.K. (2012). Enhancing Pavements for Thermal Applications. (PhD thesis).
- 346 University of Nottingham, Royaume-Uni.
- 347 Ekwue, E.I., Stone, R.J., & Bhagwat, D. (2006). Thermal Conductivity of Some Compacted
- 348 Trinidadian Soils as affected by Peat Content. *Biosystems Engineering*, 94, 461–469.
- Eslami, H., Rosin-Paumier, S., Abdallah, A., & Masrouri, F. (2014). Impact of temperature
  variation on penetration test parameters in compacted soils. *European Journal of*
- 351 *Environmental and Civil Engineering*. doi: 10.1080/19648189.2014.960952.
- 352 Farouki, O.T. (1981). Thermal properties of soils. Monograph 81-1. U.S. Army Cold Regions
- 353 *Research and Engineering Laboratory,* Hanover, New Hampshire, USA.

- Fleureau, J.M, & Indarto. (1993). Comportement du limon de Jossigny remanié soumis à une
  pression interstitielle négative. *Revue française de géotechnique*, 62, 59–66.
- 356 Giordano, N., Comina, C., Mandrone, G., & Cagni, A. (2016). Borehole thermal energy
- storage (BTES). First results from the injection phase of a living lab in Torino (NW Italy).
- 358 *Renewable Energy*, 86, 993–1008.
- Hanna, M.A., Chikhani, A.Y., & Salama, M.M.A. (1993). Thermal analysis of power cables
  in multi-layered soil. Part 1: Theoretical model. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 8(3),
  761–771.
- 362 Hiraiwa, Y., & Kasubuchi, T. (2000). Temperature dependence of thermal conductivity of soil
- 363 over a wide range of temperature (5-75°C). *European Journal of Soil Science*, 51(2), 211–
  364 218.
- Johansen, O. (1977). Thermal conductivity of soils. U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and
   *Engineering Laboratory*, Hanover, New Hampshire, USA.
- 367 Kersten, M.S. (1949). *Thermal properties of soils* (Report No. 28). University of Minnesota:
  368 Retrieved from the University of Minnesota Digital Conservancy,
  369 http://purl.umn.edu/124271.
- De Lieto Vollaro, R., Fontana, L., & Vallati, A. (2011). Thermal analysis of underground
  electrical power cables buried in non-homogeneous soils. *Applied Thermal Engineering*,
  31(5), pp.772–778.
- 373 Navarro, L., De Gracia, A., Niall, D., Castell, A., Browne, M., McCormack, S.J.,... Cabeza,
- L.F. (2016). Thermal energy storage in building integrated thermal systems: A review. Part 2.
- Integration as passive system. *Renewable Energy*, 85, 1334–1356.
- Pahud, D. (2002). Geothermal energy and heat storage. SUPSI DCT LEEE Laboratorio di *Energia, Ecologia ed Economia.*

- Péron, H., Knellwolf, C., & Laloui, L. (2011). A method for the geotechnical design of heat
- 379 exchanger piles. In H. Jie & D. E. Alzamora (Eds.), Geo-Frontiers 2011: Advances in
- 380 *Geotechnical Engineering* (470–479). Dallas, TX: American Society of Civil Engineers.
- 381 Geotechnical Special Publications 211.
- 382 Rutqvist, J., Wu, Y.-S., Tsang, C.-F., & Bodvarsson, G. (2002). A modeling approach for
- analysis of coupled multiphase fluid flow, heat transfer, and deformation in fractured porous
- rock. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 39(4), 429–442.
- 385 Smits, K., Sakaki, T., Howington, S., Peters, J., & Illangasekare, T. (2013). Temperature
- dependence of thermal properties of sands across a wide range of temperatures (30-70°C).
- 387 *Vadose Zone Journal*, 12 : doi 10.2136/vzj2012.0033.
- Standard ASTM (2000). D5334 14: Standard test method for determination of thermal
  conductivity of soil and soft rock by thermal needle probe procedure (8p). West
  Conshohocken, PA www. ASTM. org: ASTM International.
- 391 Tang, A. (2005). Effet de la température sur le comportement des barrières de confinement.
- 392 (PhD thesis). École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées, Paris, France. Retrieved from
   393 http://pastel.archives-ouvertes.fr/pastel-00001594/
- Zhang, T., Cai, G., Liu, S., & Puppala, A. J. (2017). Investigation on thermal characteristics
- and prediction models of soils. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 106, 10741086.
- 397 Zhao, D., Qian, X., Gu, X., Jajja, S.A. & Yang, R. (2016). Measurement Techniques for
- 398 Thermal Conductivity and Interfacial Thermal Conductance of Bulk and Thin Film Materials.
- *Journal of Electronic Packaging*, 138(4), 040802, 64p.

Material	Ref.	Quartz	calcium carbonate	Feldspar	clay minerals	Others
Illitic soil	Ι	Traces	12% Calcite	Traces	77% Illite 10% Kaolinite	
Plaisir Loam	PL	81%	5% Calcite 7% Dolomite	3%	5%	
Jossigny Loam	JL	98%	Traces	1%	1%	
Xeuilley Loam	XL	83%	2%	3%	11%	1% Goethite
Hostun Sand	S	97.4%	Traces			2.6%

400 Table 1. Mineralogical composition of the raw materials

Properties	Illitic soil I	Plaisir loam PL	Jossigny loam JL Fleureau & Inderto (1993)	Xeuilley loam XL Blanck et al. (2011)
Grain size distribution				
Passer - by 80 µm	100	41	80	95
Passer - by 2 µm	85	20	28	25
Atterberg limits				
Plastic limit (%)	34	20.6	16 - 19	28
Liquid limit (%)	65	27.3	37	37
Plasticity index	31	6.7	18 - 21	9
Specific surface				
MBV(g/100g)	5.41	1.85	-	3.1
Carbonate content				
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	-	0.8	-	1.3
Proctor compaction				
WOPN(%)	31.3	16	15.5	18.5
$\gamma_{\rm dmax}/\gamma_{\rm w}$	1.43	1.81	1.75	1.71
$\gamma_{\rm max}/\gamma_{\rm w}$	1.88	2.10	2.02	2.03
Soil Class				
<b>GTR</b> Classification	A3	A1	A2	A2
<b>USCS</b> Classification	MH	CL	CL	ML

# *Table 2. The characteristics of the studied materials*

	Mixture	Composition	WOPN (%)	$\rho_{dmax}$ $(Mg/m^3)$	$ ho_{hOPN}$ $(Mg/m^3)$
	S+I	50% Hostun sand and 50% Illitic soil	18.6	1.71	2.03
	S+JL	50% Hostun sand and 50% Jossigny Loam	13.6	1.89	2.15
	S+XL	50% Hostun sand and 50% Xeuilley Loam	13.7	1.88	2.14
413					
414					
415					
416					
417					

# *Table 3. Composition and parameters of the standard Proctor curve for the mixtures*

Coming	Variables			Materials		
Series		Ι	S+I	PL	S+JL	S+XL
1	γ	+	+			
2	W	+	+			
3	mineralogy and particle size	+	+	+		
4	w and $\gamma$	+	+	+	+	+
5	w and $\gamma$ and T	+	+	+		
6	w and $\gamma$ and T (cycle)			+		

*Table 4. Performed tests as a function of material type* 

421 Table 5. Range and precision of sensors SH-1 and TR-1

. ....

	Drogram	Stage	1	Stage 2		NB
	Flogram	T°C	Time	T°C	Time	cycle
	P1	20	9h	50	9h	60
	P2	20	2h	50	4h	60
	P3	20	9h	50	9h	4
429						
430						
431						

*Table 4. Temperature programme applied on PL* ( $6^{th}$  series)





*Figure 1. Compaction curves of the studied materials.* 



Figure 2. Evolution of the (a) Thermal conductivity, (b) Volumetric heat capacity and (c)
Thermal diffusivity as a function of dry density at different water contents for the illitic
material (I) and the sand-illitic material mixture (S+I) (1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> series).



444
445 *Figure 3. (a) Thermal conductivity, (b) Volumetric heat capacity and (c) thermal diffusivity as*446 *a function of water content and dry density of materials (4<sup>th</sup> series).*



449 Figure 4. Evolution of the thermal properties of the illitic soil (I) according to the
450 temperature and uncertainty (double arrow) : (a) Thermal conductivity, (b) Volumetric heat
451 capacity and (c) Thermal diffusivity (5<sup>th</sup> series).



453 Figure 5. Evolution of the thermal properties of the sand-illitic soil mixture (S+I) according
454 to the temperature: (a) Thermal conductivity, (b) Volumetric heat capacity and (c) Thermal
455 diffusivity (5<sup>th</sup> series).





458 Figure 6. The chronology of measurements as a function of cyclic temperature variation
459 applied to the PL samples (6<sup>th</sup> series).



*Figure 7. Warming effect on thermal conductivity of PL (P1, P2, 6<sup>th</sup> series).* 



*Figure 8. Warming effect on volumetric heat capacity of PL (P3, 6<sup>th</sup> series).*