

The importance of mass accuracy in selected ion monitoring analysis of branched and isoprenoid tetraethers

Nina Davtian, Edouard Bard, Guillemette Ménot, Yoann Fagault

▶ To cite this version:

Nina Davtian, Edouard Bard, Guillemette Ménot, Yoann Fagault. The importance of mass accuracy in selected ion monitoring analysis of branched and isoprenoid tetraethers. Organic Geochemistry, 2018, 118, pp.58 - 62. 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2018.01.007 . hal-01713879

HAL Id: hal-01713879 https://hal.science/hal-01713879

Submitted on 2 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	The importance of mass accuracy in selected ion monitoring
2	analysis of branched and isoprenoid tetraethers
3	Nina Davtian ^{a,*} , Edouard Bard ^a , Guillemette Ménot ^b , Yoann Fagault ^a
4	
5	^a CEREGE, Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, INRA, Collège de France, 13545
6	Aix-en-Provence, France
7	^b Univ Lyon, ENS de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5276 LGL-TPE,
8	F-69364, Lyon, France
9	
10	
11	*Corresponding author. <i>E-mail address</i> : davtian@cerege.fr (N. Davtian)

13 ABSTRACT

Among the new proxies based on the distribution of glycerol dialkyl glycerol 14 tetraethers (GDGTs), the BIT index (Branched and Isoprenoid Tetraether 15 16 index) is one of the most difficult to determine accurately, as shown by two round-robin GDGT studies. Sensitivity to mass spectrometer settings and 17 tuning, and a diversity of mass spectrometry techniques may explain the 18 relatively large observed interlaboratory scatter. However, the mass defect 19 difference between crenarchaeol and branched GDGTs (brGDGTs) has never 20 been specifically scrutinized. In this study, we analyzed five sediment 21 samples with contrasting BIT values using about 60 m/z values to assess the 22 shape of GDGT peaks using selected ion monitoring. We then assessed the 23 biases on relative GDGT signals and mass spectrometry-derived BIT values 24 under two scenarios which ignore the systematic mass defect difference 25 between crenarchaeol and brGDGTs. Our results show that approximate 26 27 mass selection for GDGT analysis using selected ion monitoring generates losses of relative GDGT signals of up to 36%. The observed effects on BIT 28 values are maximal for intermediate BIT values, with shifts of BIT values of 29 30 ± 0.1 unit. The shifts of BIT values due to approximate mass selection are thus not negligible compared to the interlaboratory scatter evidenced by the 31 32 latest round-robin GDGT study.

33

Keywords: Mass defect difference, selected ion monitoring, HPLC–APCI-MS,
GDGTs, BIT index.

36

1. Introduction

The development of normal phase high performance liquid 38 chromatography coupled to positive ion atmospheric pressure chemical 39 40 ionization mass spectrometry (HPLC-APCI-MS) has greatly facilitated the analysis of polar lipids with high molecular weights (Hopmans et al., 2000). 41 Following this analytical development, a number of new proxies based on 42 the relative distributions of glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) 43 44 have been developed (Schouten et al., 2013b and References therein). Among the new GDGT-based proxies, the BIT index (Branched and 45 Isoprenoid Tetraether index; Hopmans et al., 2004) has been proposed as a 46 tracer for terrestrial organic carbon in sediments. The BIT index is a ratio 47 that compares the abundance of crenarchaeol, an isoprenoid GDGT 48 produced by Thaumarchaeota (e.g., Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002), with 49 those of branched GDGTs (brGDGTs), which are produced by (acido)bacteria 50 51 (Weijers et al., 2009; Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2011, 2014). It is noteworthy that the BIT index, while widely determined, needs to be carefully 52 53 interpreted in order to reconstruct terrigenous fluxes (Schouten et al., 2013b 54 and References therein).

The two international intercomparisons of GDGT measurements (round-robin) have shown specific difficulties associated with calculation of the BIT index (Schouten et al., 2009, 2013a). Escala et al. (2009) have shown that the BIT index, which considers two different families of GDGTs, is particularly sensitive to APCI conditions. Such sensitivity may explain part

60 of the relatively large interlaboratory scatter of the BIT index, especially for samples with intermediate BIT values (Schouten et al., 2009, 2013a). 61 62 However, the approximate mass selection for GDGT analysis using selected 63 ion monitoring (SIM) has not yet been explored as a reason for the poor reproducibility between labs of the BIT index. It is noteworthy that while 64 many types of MS and GDGT analysis techniques exist, most laboratories 65 66 analyzed GDGTs using SIM with single quadrupole mass spectrometers (QMS) during both round-robin GDGT studies (Schouten et al., 2009, 67 2013a). 68

Following a review of about three hundred manuscripts where 69 GDGTs were analyzed using SIM with QMS, we have found only a dozen 70 71 manuscripts (ca. 4%) where m/z values are written with at least one decimal place (e.g., Herfort et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2011; Basse et al., 2014). In the 72 other manuscripts, the m/z values are either systematically written as 73 74 integer numbers (e.g., Cao et al., 2017; Freymond et al., 2017; Naafs et al., 2017), or not indicated at all (e.g., Keisling et al., 2017; Ruan et al., 2017; 75 Woelders et al., 2017). This means that only a few manuscripts clearly 76 77 reported exact m/z values rounded up to at least one decimal rather than approximate, integer m/z values for GDGT analysis using SIM. 78

In the present study, we analyzed five sediment samples with
contrasting BIT values. We selected about 60 *m/z* values for GDGT detection
using SIM to obtain the relative GDGT signals depending on mass selection.
We then assessed the biases on relative GDGT signals and on BIT values

under two scenarios that ignore the systematic mass defect differencebetween crenarchaeol and brGDGTs.

85

86 2. Materials and methods

The mass defect is defined as the exact molecular mass minus the nominal molecular mass – i.e., Mass defect = $M_{exact} - M_{nominal}$. GDGTs are long hydrogenated molecules and their mass defect values are around 1 or more. It should be noted that the mass defect is independent of the protonation – which adds about 1 Da to nominal and exact molecular masses. In this study, the assumption of a unique mass defect for all compounds is referred to as a "unique mass defect scenario".

Taking into account the newest brGDGT isomers (De Jonge et al.,
2013; Ding et al., 2016), the BIT index equation of Hopmans et al. (2004)
has been redefined as follows:

$$BIT = \frac{Ia + IIa_5 + IIIa_5 + IIa_6 + IIIa_6 + IIa_7 + IIIa_7}{Cren + Ia + IIa_5 + IIIa_5 + IIa_6 + IIIa_6 + IIa_7 + IIIa_7}$$
(1)

97 Roman numerals refer to brGDGT isomers following the nomenclature of
98 Ding et al. (2016). Equation (1) can be simplified as BIT = A/(A+B), with A
99 and B the brGDGTs and crenarchaeol, respectively. In addition, A and B are
100 distinguished by their different mass defects (Table 1).

Five previously analyzed sediment samples with contrasting BIT
values were selected: a modern marine sediment from the Bay of Marseille
(Mediterranean Sea, 43.26°N, 5.29°E; about 60 m water depth), a modern
lacustrine sediment from Lake Chad (LT17, 13°N, 14°E) and three sediment

105	samples from core MD04-2790. The core was retrieved from the continental
106	shelf of the Black Sea (44.21°N, 30.99°E) during the ASSEMBLAGE 1 cruise
107	of R.V. Marion Dufresne. The BIT values of the core and modern marine
108	sediments were previously determined by Ménot and Bard (2012) and
109	Sanchi et al. (2013), respectively. The lacustrine sediment LT17 and the
110	marine sediment from the Bay of Marseille were selected and analyzed as
111	in-house standard sediments to check the absence of instrumental drift in
112	GDGT-derived indices.
113	New aliquots of the modern sediment samples were extracted at
114	CEREGE with DCM:MeOH (9:1, v:v) using an accelerated solvent extractor
115	ASE 350 (Dionex) at 120 °C and 107 Pa. Total lipid extracts were separated
116	following the automated procedure established by Sanchi et al. (2013). The
117	polar fractions of the five selected samples were then dissolved in
118	hexane:isopropanol (98.2:1.8, v:v) prior to GDGT analysis on an Agilent
119	1260 Infinity HPLC coupled to a 6120 single QMS installed at CEREGE.
120	The GDGTs were separated using similar chromatographic columns and
121	solvents to Hopmans et al. (2016), as well as the same elution program, but
122	with a 24 min re-equilibration time.
123	The APCI source conditions were: nebulizer pressure, 40 psi;
124	vaporizer temperature, 325 °C; drying gas (N ₂) at 4 L/min and 325 °C;
125	capillary voltage, 5 kV (positive mode); corona, 4 μA ; fragmentor, 170 V and
126	$280~V$ for the internal standard C_{46} (Huguet et al., 2006) and natural
127	GDGTs, respectively. About 60 m/z values (42 of them being reported in

Supplementary Table S1) were considered for GDGT detection using SIM 128 with a dwell time of 16 ms to assess the shapes of GDGT peaks (Fig. 1). The 129 130 samples were analyzed five times each. All the analyses were carried out 131 within a few days after an APCI autotune in positive mode, so the APCI source and QMS are assumed to be properly calibrated. The QMS was 132 calibrated by monitoring the m/z values of four APCI calibration compounds: 133 134 121.1, 622.0, 922.0 and 1522.0. Because we considered the correct and incorrect m/z values at the same time, the cleanliness and stability 135 conditions of the APCI source and guadrupole ion optics were not the 136 limiting factors for our study as confirmed by the coherent and systematic 137 differences described below. 138

The mass spectra at the apex of each GDGT peak were averaged over the five replicates of the five selected samples and then normalized to 100% (Fig. 1; Supplementary Table S1). The 5-, 6- and 7-methyl brGDGT isomers were treated as separate compounds due to the improved GDGT separation. The exact BIT values were calculated using equation (1) based on the GDGT peak areas at the *m/z* values of 1292.3, 1050.0, 1036.0 and 1022.0 (after, for instance, Herfort et al., 2006; Supplementary Table S2).

Two unique mass defects were tested: (i) a unique mass defect of 1.0, which favors brGDGTs over crenarchaeol, and (ii) a unique mass defect of 1.3, which favors crenarchaeol over brGDGTs (Fig. 1). The modified BIT values using the unique mass defects of 1.0 and 1.3 were calculated using equation (1) based on the GDGT peak areas at the *m/z* values of 1292.0,

1050.0, 1036.0 and 1022.0, and at the *m*/*z* values of 1292.3, 1050.3, 1036.3 151 and 1022.3, respectively (Supplementary Table S2). All single-ion 152 153 chromatograms were obtained using m/z windows restricted to, for instance, 154 1050.3–1050.3 to extract the ion at m/z 1050.3 only. The shifts in BIT values were then determined for each unique mass defect and each sample as the 155 modified BIT values minus the exact BIT values -i.e., Δ BIT = Modified BIT 156 157 value – Exact BIT value. Errors on GDGT signals and BIT values were calculated based on the five replicates per sample and propagated to the 158 shifts in BIT values using the variance formula. The biases on GDGT 159 signals and BIT values were assessed with paired Student's *t*-tests, which 160 were considered significant if p < 0.05. 161

162

163

3. Results and discussion

Under the two unique mass defect scenarios, the relative losses of 164 165 GDGT signals were calculated using the GDGT peak areas (Table 2). A deviation of 0.2–0.3 Da from the exact molecular mass generated losses of 166 relative GDGT signals between 35 and 36% for crenarchaeol, and between 167 168 18 and 27% for brGDGTs (Table 2; all paired Student's *t*-tests on GDGT peak areas with p < 0.01). Therefore, for any given sample processing 169 170 technique, chromatographic method, properly calibrated MS, mass setting and MS technique (e.g., Escala et al., 2009; Schouten et al., 2009, 2013), not 171 taking into account the mass defect difference between crenarchaeol and 172 173 brGDGTs is indeed sufficient to obtain different GDGT relative responses

and thus different BIT values. It is noteworthy that this caveat applies not
only to the BIT index (Fig. 2; Table 3), but also to all GDGT-based indices
that consider both isoprenoid GDGTs and brGDGTs.

177 Shifts in BIT values varied between 0.013 and 0.093 using a unique mass defect of 1.0, and between -0.055 and -0.011 using a unique mass 178 defect of 1.3 (Fig. 2; Table 3; all paired Student's *t*-tests on BIT values with 179 p < 0.01). Under both unique mass defect scenarios, the highest shifts in 180 BIT values occurred for the sediment samples from the core MD04-2790, 181 which also had intermediate BIT values (Fig. 2; Table 3). Our results are 182 consistent with those from the two round-robin GDGT studies, which gave 183 higher BIT index scatter for samples with intermediate BIT values than 184 they did for samples with extreme BIT values (Schouten et al., 2009, 2013a). 185 Theoretically, the observed shifts in BIT values are explained by a reduction 186 by 35% of the relative crenarchaeol signal using the unique mass defect of 187 188 1.0, and by a general reduction by 21% of the relative brGDGT signal using the unique mass defect of 1.3 (Fig. 2). 189

To assess the severity of the observed and estimated shifts in BIT values due to approximate mass selection, these shifts are compared with the 95% confidence intervals of interlaboratory means for single QMS only based on the latest round-robin GDGT study (Schouten et al., 2013a). The observed biases on BIT values for the five selected sediment samples were generally larger than the interlaboratory scatter of the relevant round-robin samples for single QMS only (Fig. 2). The observed biases on BIT values are

also not negligible compared to the interlaboratory scatter for all MS types
(Schouten et al., 2013a). This suggests that approximate mass selection
probably contributes to interlaboratory differences.

200 Laboratories 16, 18, 23 and 25 summed $[M+H]^+$ and $[M+H+1]^+$ ions – 201 protonated molecule and first isotope peak with one ¹³C atom – for BIT 202 index calculations instead of [M+H]⁺ ions only during the latest round-robin 203 GDGT study (Schouten et al., 2013a), which leads to another bias due to the various numbers of carbon atoms between the different GDGTs. To assess 204 this bias, the abundances of [M+H+1]⁺ ions relative to [M+H]⁺ ions were 205 206 calculated for the GDGTs included in the BIT index (Table 1) and then used to theoretically estimate the shifts in BIT values depending on the initial 207 208 BIT index value. The calculated shifts reach a maximal value of -0.03 for an 209 initial BIT index value close to 0.5 (Fig. 2), which means that the most likely sources of bias in addition to approximate mass selection are, among others, 210 211 the diversity of APCI parameters and MS types (e.g., Escala et al., 2009; Schouten et al., 2009, 2013). 212

213

214 4. Conclusions and perspectives

The results obtained from five sediment samples showed that not taking into account the systematic mass defect difference between crenarchaeol and brGDGTs generated shifts in BIT values of up to ±0.1 unit. The observed shifts in BIT values represent a non-negligible proportion of the interlaboratory scatter. A round-robin GDGT study focusing on mass

220	selection for SIM experiments would greatly help to assess the contribution
221	of approximate mass selection to the interlaboratory scatter of BIT values.
222	
223	Acknowledgements
224	Work at CEREGE is supported by the Collège de France and BNP-
225	Paribas Foundation (project CPATEMP). N.D. expresses her thanks to the
226	Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon for providing PhD salary support. The
227	authors thank the reviewers of an earlier version of this paper.
228	
229	Appendix A. Supplementary material
230	
231	Associate Editor–Ann Pearson
232	
233	References
234	Basse, A., Zhu, C., Versteegh, G.J.M., Fischer, G., Hinrichs, KU.,
235	Mollenhauer, G., 2014. Distribution of intact and core tetraether
236	lipids in water column profiles of suspended particulate matter off
237	Cape Blanc, NW Africa. Organic Geochemistry 72, 1–13.
238	Cao, J., Rao, Z., Jia, G., Xu, Q., Chen, F., 2017. A 15 ka pH record from an
239	alpine lake in north China derived from the cyclization ratio index of
240	aquatic brGDGTs and its paleoclimatic significance. Organic
241	Geochemistry 109, 31–46.

242	De Jonge, C., Hopmans, E.C., Stadnitskaia, A., Rijpstra, W.I.C., Hofland, R.,
243	Tegelaar, E., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2013. Identification of novel
244	penta- and hexamethylated branched glycerol dialkyl glycerol
245	tetraethers in peat using HPLC–MS ² , GC–MS and GC–SMB-MS.
246	Organic Geochemistry 54, 78–82.
247	Ding, S., Schwab, V.F., Ueberschaar, N., Roth, VN., Lange, M., Xu, Y.,
248	Gleixner, G., Pohnert, G., 2016. Identification of novel 7-methyl and
249	cyclopentanyl branched glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers in lake
250	sediments. Organic Geochemistry 102, 52–58.
251	Escala, M., Fietz, S., Rueda, G., Rosell-Melé, A., 2009. Analytical
252	considerations for the use of the paleothermometer Tetraether
253	$Index_{86}$ and the branched vs isoprenoid tetraether index regarding
254	the choice of cleanup and instrumental conditions. Analytical
255	Chemistry 81, 2701–2707.
256	Freymond, C.V., Peterse, F., Fischer, L.V., Filip, F., Giosan, L., Eglinton,
257	T.I., 2017. Branched GDGT signals in fluvial sediments of the
258	Danube River basin: method comparison and longitudinal evolution.
259	Organic Geochemistry 103, 88–96.
260	Herfort, L., Schouten, S., Boon, J.P., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2006.
261	Application of the TEX_{86} temperature proxy to the southern North
262	Sea. Organic Geochemistry 37, 1715–1726.
263	Hopmans, E.C., Schouten, S., Pancost, R.D., van der Meer, M.T.J.,
264	Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2000. Analysis of intact tetraether lipids in

265	archaeal cell material and sediments by high performance liquid
266	chromatography/atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass
267	spectrometry. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 14, 585–
268	589.
269	Hopmans, E.C., Schouten, S., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2016. The effect of
270	improved chromatography on GDGT-based palaeoproxies. Organic
271	Geochemistry 93, 1–6.
272	Hopmans, E.C., Weijers, J.W.H., Schefuß, E., Herfort, L., Sinninghe
273	Damsté, J.S., Schouten, S., 2004. A novel proxy for terrestrial organic
274	matter in sediments based on branched and isoprenoid tetraether
275	lipids. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 224, 107–116.
276	Huguet, C., Hopmans, E.C., Febo-Ayala, W., Thompson, D.H., Sinninghe
277	Damsté, J.S., Schouten, S., 2006. An improved method to determine
278	the absolute abundance of glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraether
279	lipids. Organic Geochemistry 37, 1036–1041.
280	Keisling, B.A., Castañeda, I.S., Brigham-Grette, J., 2017. Hydrological and
281	temperature change in Arctic Siberia during the intensification of
282	Northern Hemisphere Glaciation. Earth and Planetary Science
283	Letters 457, 136–148.
284	Ménot, G., Bard, E., 2012. A precise search for drastic temperature shifts of
285	the past 40,000 years in southeastern Europe. Paleoceanography 27,
286	PA2210.

287	Naafs, B.D.A., Inglis, G.N., Zheng, Y., Amesbury, M.J., Biester, H., Bindler,
288	R., Blewett, J., Burrows, M.A., del Castillo Torres, D., Chambers,
289	F.M., Cohen, A.D., Evershed, R.P., Feakins, S.J., Gałka, M., Gallego-
290	Sala, A., Gandois, L., Gray, D.M., Hatcher, P.G., Honorio Coronado,
291	E.N., Hughes, P.D.M., Huguet, A., Könönen, M., Laggoun-Défarge, F.,
292	Lähteenoja, O., Lamentowicz, M., Marchant, R., McClymont, E.,
293	Pontevedra-Pombal, X., Ponton, C., Pourmand, A., Rizzuti, A.M.,
294	Rochefort, L., Schellekens, J., De Vleeschouwer, F., Pancost, R.D.,
295	2017. Introducing global peat-specific temperature and pH
296	calibrations based on brGDGT bacterial lipids. Geochimica et
297	Cosmochimica Acta 208, 285–301.
298	Ruan, J., Huang, Y., Shi, X., Liu, Y., Xiao, W., Xu, Y., 2017. Holocene
299	variability in sea surface temperature and sea ice extent in the
300	northern Bering Sea: a multiple biomarker study. Organic
301	Geochemistry 113, 1–9.
302	Sanchi, L., Ménot, G., Bard, E., 2013. An automated purification method for
303	archaeal and bacterial tetraethers in soils and sediments. Organic
304	Geochemistry 54, 83–90.
305	Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., Rosell-Melé, A., Pearson, A., Adam, P.,
306	Bauersachs, T., Bard, E., Bernasconi, S.M., Bianchi, T.S., Brocks,
307	J.J., Carlson, L.T., Castañeda, I.S., Derenne, S., Selver, A.D., Dutta,
308	K., Eglinton, T., Fosse, C., Galy, V., Grice, K., Hinrichs, KU., Huang,
309	Y., Huguet, A., Huguet, C., Hurley, S., Ingalls, A., Jia, G., Keely, B.,

310	Knappy, C., Kondo, M., Krishnan, S., Lincoln, S., Lipp, J.,
311	Mangelsdorf, K., Martínez-García, A., Ménot, G., Mets, A.,
312	Mollenhauer, G., Ohkouchi, N., Ossebaar, J., Pagani, M., Pancost,
313	R.D., Pearson, E.J., Peterse, F., Reichart, GJ., Schaeffer, P.,
314	Schmitt, G., Schwark, L., Shah, S.R., Smith, R.W., Smittenberg, R.H.,
315	Summons, R.E., Takano, Y., Talbot, H.M., Taylor, K.W.R., Tarozo, R.,
316	Uchida, M., van Dongen, B.E., Van Mooy, B.A.S., Wang, J., Warren,
317	C., Weijers, J.W.H., Werne, J.P., Woltering, M., Xie, S., Yamamoto,
318	M., Yang, H., Zhang, C.L., Zhang, Y., Zhao, M., Sinninghe Damsté,
319	J.S., 2013a. An interlaboratory study of TEX_{86} and BIT analysis of
320	sediments, extracts, and standard mixtures. Geochemistry,
321	Geophysics, Geosystems 14, 5263–5285.
322	Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2013b. The organic
323	geochemistry of glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraether lipids: a review.
324	Organic Geochemistry 54, 19–61.
325	Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., van der Meer, J., Mets, A., Bard, E., Bianchi,
326	T.S., Diefendorf, A., Escala, M., Freeman, K.H., Furukawa, Y.,
327	Huguet, C., Ingalls, A., Ménot-Combes, G., Nederbragt, A.J., Oba, M.,
328	Pearson, A., Pearson, E.J., Rosell-Melé, A., Schaeffer, P., Shah, S.R.,
329	Shanahan, T.M., Smith, R.W., Smittenberg, R., Talbot, H.M., Uchida,
330	M., Van Mooy, B.A.S., Yamamoto, M., Zhang, Z., Sinninghe Damsté,
331	J.S., 2009. An interlaboratory study of TEX ₈₆ and BIT analysis using

332	high-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry.
333	Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems 10, Q03012.
334	Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Rijpstra, W.I.C., Hopmans, E.C., Foesel, B.U.,
335	Wüst, P.K., Overmann, J., Tank, M., Bryant, D.A., Dunfield, P.F.,
336	Houghton, K., Stott, M.B., 2014. Ether- and ester-bound iso-diabolic
337	acid and other lipids in members of Acidobacteria subdivision 4.
338	Applied and Environmental Microbiology 80, 5207–5218.
339	Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Rijpstra, W.I.C., Hopmans, E.C., Weijers, J.W.H.,
340	Foesel, B.U., Overmann, J., Dedysh, S.N., 2011. 13,16-dimethyl
341	octacosanedioic acid (iso-diabolic acid), a common membrane-
342	spanning lipid of Acidobacteria subdivisions 1 and 3. Applied and
343	Environmental Microbiology 77, 4147–4154.
344	Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., Duin, A.C.T. van,
345	Geenevasen, J.A.J., 2002. Crenarchaeol: the characteristic core
346	glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraether membrane lipid of
347	cosmopolitan pelagic crenarchaeota. Journal of Lipid Research 43,
348	1641 - 1651.
349	Weijers, J.W.H., Panoto, E., van Bleijswijk, J., Schouten, S., Rijpstra,
350	W.I.C., Balk, M., Stams, A.J.M., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2009.
351	Constraints on the biological source(s) of the orphan branched
352	tetraether membrane lipids. Geomicrobiology Journal 26, 402–414.
353	Woelders, L., Vellekoop, J., Kroon, D., Smit, J., Casadío, S., Prámparo, M.B.,
354	Dinarès-Turell, J., Peterse, F., Sluijs, A., Lenaerts, J.T.M., Speijer,

355	R.P., 2017. Latest Cretaceous climatic and environmental change in
356	the South Atlantic region. Paleoceanography 32, 466–483.
357	Yang, H., Ding, W., Zhang, C.L., Wu, X., Ma, X., He, G., Huang, J., Xie, S.,
358	2011. Occurrence of tetraether lipids in stalagmites: implications for
359	sources and GDGT-based proxies. Organic Geochemistry 42, 108–115.
360	

361 Figure captions

Fig. 1. Examples of averaged mass spectra normalized to 100% of (A) 362 363 crenarchaeol and of (B) brGDGT-Ia for the sediment sample at 2860 cm 364 depth in core MD04-2790. The error bars correspond to the standard 365 deviations (o) of the averaged relative GDGT signals per mass (mean value $\pm 2\sigma$, n = 5). The blue and brown dashed lines describe the scenarios that 366 consider a unique mass defect (ΔM) of 1.0 and 1.3, respectively. The black 367 curves represent the Gaussian functions fitted to the averaged mass spectra 368 so that the shapes of GDGT peaks are more apparent. 369

370

Fig. 2. Shifts in BIT values (Δ BIT) vs exact mass spectrometry-based BIT 371 372 values according to the unique mass defect (1.0 or 1.3). The blue and brown symbols indicate the results from five sediment samples. The horizontal 373 error bars correspond to the standard deviations (o) of the exact BIT values 374 375 indicated in Table 3 (mean value $\pm 2\sigma$, n = 5). The vertical error bars correspond to the standard deviations of the Δ BIT values, which were 376 determined by propagating the standard deviations shown in Table 3 using 377 378 the variance formula (mean value $\pm 2\sigma$, n = 5). The blue and brown solid 379 curves indicate the theoretical results for given losses of crenarchaeol and 380 brGDGT signals, respectively. The dark red solid curves indicate the theoretical results when [M+H]⁺ and [M+H+1]⁺ ions – protonated molecule 381 and first isotope peak with one ¹³C atom, respectively – are summed for BIT 382 383 index calculations instead of [M+H]⁺ ions only. The colored double arrows

indicate the 95% confidence intervals ($\pm t \times \text{SD}/\sqrt{n}$, with t = 2.12 the tstatistic value for a two-sided 95% confidence interval with 16 degrees of freedom, SD the standard deviation and n = 17) of interlaboratory means for single quadrupole mass spectrometers only from the latest round-robin GDGT study (Schouten et al., 2013a). The x-axis positions of the colored double arrows correspond to the averaged interlaboratory BIT values for single quadrupole mass spectrometers only.

391

392 Table captions

393 **Table 1**

394 Molecule names, nominal and exact masses of [M]⁺ and [M+H]⁺ ions – nonprotonated and protonated molecules, respectively – mass defects of [M+H]+ 395 396 ions and abundances of [M+H+1]⁺ ions – first isotope peak with one ¹³C atom – relative to [M+H]⁺ ions. The compounds are indicated following the 397 398 nomenclature of Ding et al. (2016). All masses and mass defects shown here assume GDGTs with only ¹²C, ¹H and ¹⁶O isotopes. Note that the mass 399 defect is independent of the protonation, which adds about 1 Da to both 400 nominal and exact molecular masses. 401

402

403 **Table 2**

404 Relative losses of GDGT signals (in %) of five sediment samples using the

405 unique mass defects of 1.0 and 1.3 for crenarchaeol and brGDGTs,

406 respectively. The errors correspond to the standard deviations (σ) per

- 407 compound and per sample (mean value $\pm 2\sigma$, n = 5). The compounds are
- 408 indicated following the nomenclature of Ding et al. (2016).
- 409

410	Table	3
-----	-------	---

- 411 Exact and modified mass spectrometry-based BIT values of five sediment
- 412 samples according to the unique mass defect (1.0 or 1.3). The errors
- 413 correspond to the standard deviations (σ) per scenario and per sample (mean

414 value $\pm 2\sigma$, n = 5).









Observations and theoretical estimations

- Unique mass defect = 1.0
- Unique mass defect = 1.3
- -35% crenarchaeol area
 - -21% brGDGTs area
 - _ Summing [M+H][⁺] and [M+H+1][⁺] ions (cases with only brGDGTs-la or -Illa)

95% confidence limits round-robin study 2011 Single quadrupole mass spectrometers only

- ← Sediment A
- ←→ Sediment B
- ← Sediment C
- ← Mixture D

Molecule name Nominal mass f		Nominal mass for [M+H] ⁺	Exact mass for [M+H] ⁺	Mass defect for $[M+H]^+$	[M+H+1]+/[M+H]+ ions
Crenarchaeol/Crenarchaeol regio-isomer	1290	1291	1292.2444	1.2444	0.946
brGDGT-IIIa5/brGDGT-IIIa6/brGDGT-IIIa7	1048	1049	1050.0488	1.0488	0.748
brGDGT-IIa5/brGDGT-IIa6/brGDGT-IIa7	1034	1035	1036.0325	1.0325	0.737
brGDGT-Ia	1020	1021	1022.0166	1.0166	0.726

Sample	Ia	IIa ₅	IIa6	IIa7	IIIa ₅	IIIa ₆	IIIa7	Cren
Bay of Marseille	27 ± 1	24 ± 6	20 ± 5	21 ± 8	20 ± 1	20 ± 4	25 ± 4	35 ± 2
Lake Chad LT17	25 ± 2	21 ± 2	21 ± 4	23 ± 7	20 ± 4	21 ± 2	21 ± 3	35 ± 2
MD04-2790 2851 cm	26 ± 2	21 ± 4	22 ± 2	23 ± 7	19 ± 1	20 ± 2	21 ± 5	36 ± 3
MD04-2790 2860 cm	27 ± 2	22 ± 5	22 ± 4	25 ± 10	19 ± 3	18 ± 4	18 ± 8	35 ± 1
MD04-2790 2870 cm	26 ± 3	22 ± 2	20 ± 2	26 ± 3	19 ± 2	20 ± 4	23 ± 11	35 ± 2

Sample	Exact value	Unique mass defect = 1.0	Unique mass defect = 1.3
Bay of Marseille	0.174 ± 0.007	0.246 ± 0.004	0.140 ± 0.006
Lake Chad LT17	0.963 ± 0.002	0.976 ± 0.001	0.952 ± 0.002
MD04-2790 2851 cm	0.661 ± 0.014	0.753 ± 0.013	0.607 ± 0.012
MD04-2790 2860 cm	0.635 ± 0.011	0.728 ± 0.007	0.580 ± 0.012
MD04-2790 2870 cm	0.651 ± 0.007	0.743 ± 0.003	0.596 ± 0.010