



## First record of terrestrial Enchytraeidae (Annelida: Clitellata) in Versailles palace's park, France

Joël Amossé, Gergely Boros, Sylvain Bart, Alexandre R.R. Pery, Céline Pelosi

### ► To cite this version:

Joël Amossé, Gergely Boros, Sylvain Bart, Alexandre R.R. Pery, Céline Pelosi. First record of terrestrial Enchytraeidae (Annelida: Clitellata) in Versailles palace's park, France. *Opuscula Zoologica*, 2018, 48 (Supplementum 2), pp.53-58. 10.18348/opzool.2017.S2.53 . hal-01713776

**HAL Id: hal-01713776**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01713776>**

Submitted on 28 Feb 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1 **First record of terrestrial *Enchytraeidae* (*Annelida: Clitellata*) in**  
2 **Versailles palace's park, France**

3  
4 Joël Amossé<sup>1</sup>, Gergely Boros<sup>2</sup>, Sylvain Bart<sup>1</sup>, Alexandre R.R. Péry <sup>1</sup>, Céline Pelosi<sup>1\*</sup>

5  
6 <sup>1</sup> UMR ECOSYS, INRA, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78026, Versailles, France

7 <sup>2</sup> Institute of Ecology & Botany, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Alkotmány, Vácrátót 2-42163,  
8 Hungary

9  
10 \* Corresponding author: UMR1402 INRA AgroParisTech ECOSYS, Bâtiment 6, RD 10, 78026  
11 Versailles cedex, France. Tel: (+33)1.30.83.36.07; Fax: (+33)1.30.83.32.59. E-mail address:  
12 celine.pelosi@versailles.inra.fr  
13



## Abstract

France can be qualified as *terra incognita* regarding terrestrial enchytraeids because very little data has been recorded so far in this country. In spring and autumn 2016, enchytraeid communities were investigated in a loamy soil in a meadow located in the park of Versailles palace, France. In total, twenty four enchytraeid species were identified, belonging to six different genera. i.e., eleven *Fridericia* species, four *Enchytraeus* species, four *Achaeta* species, two *Buchholzia* species, two *Marionina* species and one *Enchytronia* species. According to the published data, this was one of the highest diversity found in a meadow in Europe.

**Keywords:** Enchytraeids; Potworms; Soil fauna; Annelids; *Oligochaeta*; Meadow



## Introduction

Despite their key role in soils (Didden, 1993), enchytraeids (*Annelida: Clitellata*) are so far poorly studied in many countries worldwide. To our knowledge, and except a few species recorded in Schmelz and Collado (2010), no data have been published on enchytraeid communities in France, i.e., based on a literature search in the ISI Web of Knowledge, using the “All Databases” option, with the formula: ‘(enchytr\* or potworm\*) and (France or French) in Topics’.

Although some studies assessed enchytraeid community structures in grasslands, meadows and pastures, studying the influence of grazing (Schlaghamerský et al., 2007), liming (Davidson et al., 2004), fertilization (Sokołowska and Seniczak, 2005) or pesticides (Clements et al., 1987; Forsters et al., 1992; Martin, 1975), most of them only reported a total abundance of enchytraeids (e.g. Clements et al., 1987; Francini et al., 2014; Van Vliet et al., 2006), without any details on species diversity. Yet, several authors recommended to identify the individuals at least at the genus level, and if possible at the species level (Pelosi and Römbke, 2016). Indeed, indicators at the genus or species level appeared to be more sensitive to land use than total abundance. Moreover, taxonomic diversity provides key information on the requirements and sensitivity of the different species to environmental conditions, land use and management.

The objective of this study was to record the diversity of enchytraeids in a meadow in France and to compare it with other data in Europe.

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Study site

Samples were collected in a meadow (about 1 ha, see Figure 1) located in the park of Versailles palace, France (48°48'31''N, 2°05'26''E), which has not been cultivated since 1994. Before 1994, it was conventionally cultivated with e.g. barley, oats, beans, corn and wheat. The climate is oceanic temperate, with a mean annual temperature of 11 °C and a mean annual rainfall of 660 mm. The soil is a deep Luvisol (FAO soil classification) developed on loess. The homogeneity of the physicochemical characteristics in the experimental site was verified by random sampling a soil core (0-20 cm-depth) at seven different locations in the site (Table 1).

### 2.2. Sampling and identification

Ninety-six soil cores were sampled both in April and November 2016, following a regular grid of 60 m x 40 m and using a split soil corer (diameter of 5 cm) at 0-5 cm and 5-10 cm depths. Each sample was transferred separately into a plastic bag and stored at 4°C. Enchytraeids were extracted using wet funnel extractors under a light from incandescent light bulbs. Soil samples were heated up from 17°C to 43°C on their upper surface for 3 hours (O'Connor, 1959; Kobetičová and Schlaghamerský, 2003). All the individuals were kept in Petri dishes in tap water and counted. Adult and sub-adult individuals were identified at the species level under a light microscope (up to 400x magnification) according to the key of Schmelz and Collado (2010).

## Results

In total, twenty four species of enchytraeids were identified, belonging to six genera, i.e., eleven *Fridericia* species, four *Enchytraeus* species, four *Achaeta* species, two *Buchholzia* species, two *Marionina* species and one *Enchytronia* species. Species are listed below, with available information on their presence in Europe, habitat and life strategy (based on Graefe and Schmelz, 1999 and Schmelz and Collado, 2000):

- *Achaeta bohemica* (Vejdovský, 1879): widespread, fresh soils, absent in wet habitats, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.



- *Achaeta iberica* Graefe, 1989: found in Spain, Italy (Schmelz and Collado, 2000), and Switzerland (Amossé et al., 2016), only known from the original description.
- *Achaeta pannonica* Graefe, 1989: widespread, fresh soils, absent in wet habitats, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.
- *Achaeta unibulba* Graefe, Christensen & Dózsa-Farkas, 2005: widespread.
- *Buchholzia appendiculata* (Buchholz, 1862): common and widespread in neutral to slightly acidic soils, *r/F* (fragmenting)-strategist, litter dweller.
- *Buchholzia fallax* Michaelsen, 1887: widespread.
- *Enchytraeus buchholzi* Vejdovský, 1879: widespread, very common, species complex, not in strongly acidic soils, *r*-strategist, OF and soil dweller.
- *Enchytraeus bulbosus* Nielsen & Christensen, 1963: widespread, not in strongly acidic soils, *r*-strategist, OF and soil dweller.
- *Enchytraeus christenseni* Dózsa-Farkas, 1992: widespread but not common, wet habitats, not in strongly acidic soils, *r*-strategist, OF and soil dweller.
- *Enchytraeus lacteus* Nielsen & Christensen, 1961: widespread, not in strongly acidic soils, *r*-strategist, OF and soil dweller.
- *Enchytronia parva* Nielsen & Christensen, 1959: common and widespread in neutral to moderately acidic soils, probably a species complex, soil dweller, stress tolerant species adapted to acidity stress.
- *Fridericia bulboides* Nielsen & Christensen, 1959: widespread and common, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller
- *Fridericia christeri* Rota & Healy, 1999: Northern half of Europe, very common in Germany.
- *Fridericia galba* (Hoffmeister, 1843): widespread and very common in Central Europe, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.
- *Fridericia isseli* Rota, 1994: widespread and common.
- *Fridericia maculata* Issel, 1905: widespread, probably a species complex, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.
- *Fridericia nix* Rota, 1995: Italy, Germany.
- *Fridericia paroniana* Issel, 1904: widespread and common, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.
- *Fridericia tuberosa* Rota, 1995: moist soil, widespread.
- *Fridericia ulrikae* Rota & Healy, 1999: Northern and Central Europe.
- *Fridericia viridula* Issel, 1904: found in Ireland, The Netherlands, and Italy.
- *Fridericia glandifera* Friend, 1911: few records in England, Denmark, Germany and France.
- *Marionina argentea* (Michaelsen, 1889): very common and widespread, wet sites also aquatic, slightly salt-tolerant, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, soil dweller.
- *Marionina communis* Nielsen & Christensen, 1959: widespread, fresh soils but not wet, slightly acid to slightly alkaline conditions, never in strongly acid soils, *K*-strategist, OF dweller.

A total of 5 633 and 3 390 individuals were identified in Spring 2016 and in Autumn 2016, respectively. The number of individuals and the percentage of enchytraeid species are presented in Table 2. In Spring, the three most abundant species were *E. buchholzi* (23,6 %), *F. galba* (14,4 %), and *F. isseli* (13,2 %). In autumn, the three most abundant species were *En. parva* (12,7%), *F. christeri* (12,2 %), and *E. buchholzi* (11,8 %).

## Discussion

This study, in which twenty four species were found, represents the first record of enchytraeid's community in France. Schmelz and Collado (2010) reported only four to five species identified in France: *Guaranidrilus europaeus* (Healy, 1979), *Enchytraeus varithecatus* (Bouguenec &



Giani, 1987), *Enchytraeus doerjesi* (Westheide & Graefe, 1992), *Fridericia glandifera*, and *Fridericia stephensoni* (Moszyński, 1933, need to be confirmed). A bit more than 200 species are listed in the key for terrestrial enchytraeids of Europe (Schmelz and Collado, 2010). Among them, 126 have been sampled in Germany (Römbke et al. 2013), and 50 of them can be classified as common for Central Europe (Didden et al., 1997).

When looking at the published literature on enchytraeid communities in grasslands, meadows and pastures, only forty publications could be found. Among them, some were performed under tropical climates, in Brazil (e.g., Schmelz et al., 2008). Schlaghamerský and Kobetičová (2005) studied *Enchytraeidae*, *Tubificidae*, *Aeolosomatidae* in a field experiment in the Czech Republic during meadow restoration on arable land and in a well-preserved meadow nearby. They found 22 species of *Enchytraeidae* in the meadow and considered it as a relatively high diversity. The same authors also studied two sites in the White Carpathians (Czechia) at four dates during one year (Schlaghamerský and Kobetičová, 2006). Each sites consisted of one meadow and one cattle pasture. They found respectively 16 and 17 enchytraeid species in the two meadows. The high percentages of *Fridericia* spp. in all plots were considered to correspond with their rather low soil acidity. In the present study, despite the neutral pH, we also found many *Fridericia* individuals and eleven out of twenty four species belonged to this genus. To our knowledge, the highest diversity reported in a meadow was 23 species (Schlaghamerský et al., 2007) in White Carpathians (Czechia), with twelve *Fridericia* species. The diversity found in our study was close to these results and thirteen species were in common. Some species such as *F. galba*, *E. buchholzi* or *M. argentea* appeared to be relatively well represented in the different meadows of the published studies. Contrarily, some others, such as *F. christeri* are more rarely found.

Regarding enchytraeid species distribution in European countries and soil characteristics (pH, soil water and C<sub>org</sub> contents), the species found in our study are in accordance with the observations of Schmelz and Collado (2010) and Graefe and Schmelz (1999). We found horizontal heterogeneity in the meadow concerning the occurrence of the different species of enchytraeids. This was probably due to the variations in soil properties in the plot (e.g. soil moisture, temperature, vegetation). For example, numerous *M. argentea* were found in few soil cores and absent in others. This could be mainly explained by wetter soil conditions in one part of the plot. By contrast, species such as *F. galba*, *F. isseli*, *F. christeri*, *E. buchholzi* or *En. parva* were observed in most of soil samples. Few species were mainly found in the soil layer at 5-10 cm depth such as *Achaeta* spp. or *F. nix*. Those observations confirm Graefe and Schmelz study (1999) as they indicated that *A. bohémica* and *A. pannonica* are known to be soil dwellers. However, no information was found about *F. nix* habitat requirements.

We found a total of five *r*-strategists (*Enchytraeus* spp. and *B. appendiculata*) including one fragmenting species (*B. appendiculata*) among the sampled species. We also observed numerous specimens of *Buchholzia* sp. with two chaeta, which is unusual except for very young specimens just hatched from cocoons and not “born” by fragmentation (Schmelz, pers. observ.). DNA test will confirm if a new species of *Buchholzia* has been found.

## Acknowledgements

This study was funded by ANSES (French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety), which provided a post-doctoral grant to Joël Amossé. We greatly thank Franck Brulle (ANSES), Arnaud Conrad (ANSES), Jean-Pierre Pétraud and Jodie Thénard (INRA) for their useful advices on the experimental design. We also thank the staff of the INRA UMR ECOSYS of Versailles who took part in the soil core sampling and extraction for enchytraeid community, soil temperature and soil moisture measurements (F. Poiroux, V. Etiévant, J.-P. Pétraud, G. Delarue, C. Laurent and A. Vincent).

## References



- AMOSSÉ, J., DÓZAS-FARKAS, K., BOROS, G., ROCHAT, G., SANDOZ, G., FOURNIER, B., MITCHELL, E.A.D. & LE BAYON, R.C. (2016): Patterns of earthworm, enchytraeid, and nematode diversity and community structure in urban soils of different ages. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 73: 46–58.
- CLEMENTS, R.O., BENTLEY, B.R. & NUTTALL, R.M. (1987): The invertebrate population and response to pesticide treatment of two permanent and two temporary pastures. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 111(2): 399–407.
- DAVIDSON, D.A., BRUNEAU, P.M.C., GRIEVE, I.C. & WILSON, C.A. (2004): Micromorphological assessment of the effect of liming on faunal excrement in an upland grassland soil. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 26(3): 169–177.
- DIDDEN, W.A.M. (1993): Ecology of terrestrial Enchytraeidae. *Pedobiologia*, 37: 2–29.
- DIDDEN, W.A.M., FRUEND, H.L. & GRAEFE, U. (1997): *Enchytraeids, in Fauna in soil ecosystems*. In: BENCKISER, G. (ed.) Recycling processes, nutrient fluxes and agricultural production. Dekker, M., Inc. New York.
- FORSTER, B., RÖMBKE, J. & KNACKER, T. (1992): *Comparison of the effects of pesticides on soil microflora and mesofauna in two different ecosystems*. In: ANDERSON, J.P.E., ARNOLD, D.J., LEWIS, F. & TORSTENSSON, L. (eds.) Proceedings of the international symposium on environmental aspects of pesticide microbiology. Sigtuna, Sweden, 234–239.
- FRANCINI, G., LIIRI, M., MANNISTO, M., STARK, S., KYTOVIITA, M.M. (2014): Response to reindeer grazing removal depends on soil characteristics in low Arctic meadows. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 76: 14–25.
- GRAEFE, U. & SCHMELZ, R.M. (1999): Indicator values, strategy types and life forms of terrestrial Enchytraeidae and other microannelids., In: SCHMELZ, R.M. & SÜHLO, K. (eds.) Newsletter on Enchytraeidae 6, *3th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae* Universitätsverlag Rasch, Osnabrück, 59–67.
- KOBETIČOVÁ, K. & SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. (2003): On the efficiency of three schemes of enchytraeid wet funnel extraction, 5th International Symposium on Enchytraeidae, *Newsletter on Enchytraeidae*, 8: 25–31.
- MARTIN, N.A. (1975): Effect of four insecticides on the pasture ecosystem: IV. Enchytraeidae and Diptera larvae heat-extracted in water-filled funnels. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 18: 313–315.
- O'CONNOR, F.B. (1959): Extraction of enchytraeid worms from a coniferous forest soil. *Nature*, 175: 815–816.
- PELOSI, C. & RÖMBKE, J. (2016) Are Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta, Annelida) good indicators of agricultural management practices? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 100: 255–263.
- RÖMBKE, J. JÄNSCH, S., HÖFER, H., HORAK, F., ROß-NICKOLL, M., RUSSELL, D., BURKHARDT, U. & TOSCHKI, A. (2013): State of knowledge of enchytraeid communities in German soils as a basis for biological soil quality assessment. *Soil Organisms*, 85: 123–146.
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J., SIDOVA, A. & PIŽL, V. (2007): From mowing to grazing: Does the change in grassland management affect soil annelid assemblages? *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 43: 72–78.



- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. & KOBETIČOVÁ, K. (2006): The impact of cattle pasturage on small annelids (Annelida: Enchytraeidae, Tubificidae, Aeolosomatidae) in grasslands of the White Carpathians (Czech Republic). *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 42: 305–309.
- SCHLAGHAMERSKÝ, J. & KOBETIČOVÁ, K. (2005): A small annelid community (Enchytraeidae, Tubificidae, Aeolosomatidae) during meadow restoration on arable land and in a nearby well-preserved meadow. In: *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences, Biology, Ecology*, TIMM, T. (Ed.), 54(4): 323–330.
- SCHMELZ, R.M. & COLLADO, R. (2010): A guide to European terrestrial and freshwater species of Enchytraeidae (Oligochaeta). *Soil Organisms*, 82(1): 1–176.
- SCHMELZ, R.M., COLLADO, R. & RÖMBKE, J. (2008): Mata Atlântica enchytraeids (Parana, Brazil): The genus *Achaeta* (Oligochaeta, Enchytraeidae). *Zootaxa*, 1809: 1–35.
- SOKOŁOWSKA, L. & SENICZAK, S. (2005): The effect of cattle liquid manure fertilization on alternating grassland and some groups of soil mesofauna. *Folia Biologica-Krakow*, 53: 133–137.
- UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA) (1975): Soil taxonomy: a basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington DC.
- VAN VLIET, P.C.J. & DE GOEDE, R.G.M. (2006): Effects of slurry application methods on soil faunal communities in permanent grassland. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 42: 348–353.



301  
302  
303

**Table 1. Physicochemical characteristics of the soil at the experimental site (n = 7,  $\pm$  Standard Deviation)**

Parameters	Meadow soil
Texture (USDA, 1975)	Loamy soil
Clay (%)	22.9 $\pm$ 0.7
Silt (%)	48.0 $\pm$ 1.3
Sand (%)	29.1 $\pm$ 1.2
pH <sub>H2O</sub>	7.5 $\pm$ 0.2
C <sub>org</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	18.9 $\pm$ 1.0
N <sub>tot</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	1.5 $\pm$ 0.1
C <sub>org</sub> /N <sub>tot</sub>	12.7 $\pm$ 0.3
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.08 $\pm$ 0.01
CaCO <sub>3</sub> (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	23.3 $\pm$ 8.1
Cu <sub>tot</sub> (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> )	25.2 $\pm$ 1.6



304  
305  
306  
307

**Table 2. Enchytraeid density (ind.m<sup>-2</sup>) per species and per season (Spring and Autumn 2016). Nomenclature follows Schmelz and Collado (2010).**

Genus	Species	Spring 2016		Autumn 2016	
		number of individuals	% abundance	number of individuals	% abundance
<i>Achaeta</i>	<i>bohemica</i>	0	0,00	20	0,59
<i>Achaeta</i>	<i>iberica</i>	311	5,52	186	5,49
<i>Achaeta</i>	<i>pannonica</i>	12	0,21	34	1,00
<i>Achaeta</i>	<i>unibulba</i>	13	0,23	28	0,83
<i>Buchholzia</i>	<i>appendiculata</i>	238	4,23	120	3,54
<i>Buchholzia</i>	<i>fallax</i>	27	0,48	9	0,27
<b><i>Enchytraeus</i></b>	<b><i>buchholzi</i></b>	<b>1330</b>	<b>23,61</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>11,77</b>
<i>Enchytraeus</i>	<i>bulbosus</i>	97	1,72	29	0,86
<i>Enchytraeus</i>	<i>christenseni</i>	32	0,57	0	0,00
<i>Enchytraeus</i>	<i>lacteus</i>	2	0,04	0	0,00
<b><i>Enchytronia</i></b>	<b><i>parva</i></b>	<b>441</b>	<b>7,83</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>12,74</b>
<i>Fridericia</i>	<i>bulboides</i>	0	0,00	31	0,91
<b><i>Fridericia</i></b>	<b><i>christeri</i></b>	<b>426</b>	<b>7,56</b>	<b>412</b>	<b>12,15</b>
<b><i>Fridericia</i></b>	<b><i>galba</i></b>	<b>813</b>	<b>14,43</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>11,71</b>
<i>Fridericia</i>	<i>glandifera</i>	0	0,00	2	0,06
<b><i>Fridericia</i></b>	<b><i>isseli</i></b>	<b>745</b>	<b>13,23</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>11,39</b>
<i>Fridericia</i>	<i>maculata</i>	10	0,18	55	1,62
<i>Fridericia</i>	<i>nix</i>	17	0,30	30	0,88
<i>Fridericia</i>	<i>paroniana</i>	319	5,66	320	9,44
<i>Fridericia</i>	<i>tuberosa</i>	254	4,51	270	7,96
<i>Fridericia</i>	<i>ulrikae</i>	152	2,70	102	3,01
<i>Fridericia</i>	<i>viridula</i>	62	1,10	23	0,68
<i>Marionina</i>	<i>argentea</i>	244	4,33	37	1,09
<i>Marionina</i>	<i>communis</i>	3	0,05	4	0,12



	NI	85	1,51	64	1,89
	<b>Total</b>	<b>5633</b>	<b>100 %</b>	<b>3390</b>	<b>100 %</b>
308					
309					
310					
311					
312					
313					
314					
315					
316					
317					
318					
319					
320					
321					
322					
323					
324					
325					
326					
327					
328					
329					
330					
331					
332					
333					
334					
335					
336					
337					
338					



339  
340  
341



Figure 1. Meadow located in the park of Versailles palace

342  
343  
344