



HAL
open science

Function spaces and classifying spaces of algebras over a prop

Sinan Yalin

► **To cite this version:**

Sinan Yalin. Function spaces and classifying spaces of algebras over a prop. Algebraic and Geometric Topology, 2016, 16 (5), pp.2715-2749. hal-01710718

HAL Id: hal-01710718

<https://hal.science/hal-01710718>

Submitted on 16 Feb 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FUNCTION SPACES AND CLASSIFYING SPACES OF ALGEBRAS OVER A PROP

SINAN YALIN

ABSTRACT. The goal of this paper is to prove that the classifying spaces of categories of algebras governed by a prop can be determined by using function spaces on the category of props. We first consider a function space of props to define the moduli space of algebra structures over this prop on an object of the base category. Then we mainly prove that this moduli space is the homotopy fiber of a forgetful map of classifying spaces, generalizing to the prop setting a theorem of Rezk.

The crux of our proof lies in the construction of certain universal diagrams in categories of algebras over a prop. We introduce a general method to carry out such constructions in a functorial way.

Keywords : props, classifying spaces, moduli spaces, bialgebras category, homotopical algebra, homotopy invariance.

AMS : 18G55 ; 18D50 ; 18D10 ; 55U10.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Props, algebras and moduli spaces	4
2. Dg categories associated to colored dg props	9
3. The subcategory of acyclic fibrations	21
4. Moduli spaces of algebraic structures as homotopy fibers	23
References	26

INTRODUCTION

Associative algebras, Lie algebras, Poisson algebras and their variants play a key role in algebra, topology, category theory, differential and algebraic geometry, mathematical physics. They all share the common feature of being defined by operations with several inputs and one single output (the associative product, the Lie bracket, the Poisson bracket). A powerful device to handle such kind of algebraic structures is the notion of operad, which have proven to be a fundamental tool to study algebras such as the aforementioned examples, feeding back important outcomes in these various fields. However, algebraic structures equipped not only with products but also with coproducts play a crucial role in various places in mathematics. One could mention for instance the following important examples: Hopf algebras in representation theory and mathematical physics, Frobenius algebras encompassing the Poincaré duality phenomenon in algebraic topology (which corresponds to unitary and counitary Frobenius bialgebras, see [19]), Lie bialgebras introduced by Drinfeld in quantum group theory (see [6] and [7]), involutive Lie

bialgebras originally encoding operations on free loops on surfaces in the work of Turaev [28] and then generalized to higher dimensional manifolds by Chas-Sullivan [4] in string topology [3]. A convenient way to handle such kind of structures is to use the formalism of props, a generalization of operads encoding algebraic structures based on operations with several inputs and several outputs. A dg prop is a collection of complexes $P = \{P(m, n)\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where each $P(m, n)$ represents formal operations with m inputs and n outputs. This collection P is equipped with composition products grafting and concatenating these operations in a compatible way.

This paper is a follow-up of [29], where we set up a homotopy theory for algebras over (possibly colored) differential graded (dg for short) props. The crux of our approach lies on the proof that the Dwyer-Kan classifying spaces attached to categories of algebras over dg props are homotopy invariants of the dg prop. Such spaces have been introduced by Dwyer and Kan in their seminal work on simplicial localization of categories (see [8], [9] and [10]). Recall from these papers that the classifying space of a category with weak equivalences (for instance a model category) is the nerve of its subcategory of weak equivalences. It encodes information about homotopy types and internal symmetries of the objects, i.e. their homotopy automorphisms. The goal of the present paper is to give another description of these classifying spaces, in terms of function spaces of dg prop morphisms, in order to make their homotopy theory accessible to computation. These function spaces are moduli spaces of algebra structures, that is, simplicial sets $P\{X\}$ whose vertices are dg prop morphisms $P \rightarrow \text{End}_X$ representing a P -algebra structure on an object X of the base category. For us, the base category is the category \mathcal{Ch} of \mathbb{Z} -graded chain complexes over a field \mathbb{K} . Let \mathcal{Ch}^P be the category of P -algebras and $w\mathcal{Ch}^P$ be its subcategory obtained by restriction to morphisms which are quasi-isomorphisms in \mathcal{Ch} . Let us denote by $\mathcal{N}(-)$ the nerve of a category. Our main theorem reads:

Theorem 0.1. *Let P be a cofibrant dg prop defined in the category of chain complexes \mathcal{Ch} and $X \in \mathcal{Ch}$. The commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P\{X\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}w\mathcal{Ch}^P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{X\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}w\mathcal{Ch} \end{array}$$

is a homotopy pullback of simplicial sets.

As a consequence, we get the following decomposition of function spaces in terms of homotopy automorphisms:

Corollary 0.2. *We have*

$$P\{X\} \sim \coprod_{[X]} Lw\mathcal{Ch}^P(X, X)$$

where $L(-)$ is the simplicial localisation functor of Dwyer-Kan [9], and $[X]$ ranges over the weak equivalence classes of P -algebras having X as underlying object. In particular, the simplicial monoids of homotopy automorphisms $Lw\mathcal{Ch}^P(X, X)$ are homotopically small in the sense of Dwyer-Kan, that is, their homotopy groups are all small as sets.

Theorem 0.1 is a broad generalization of the first main result of Rezk’s thesis [25, Theorem 1.1.5], which concerns the case of operads in simplicial sets and simplicial modules. However, the method of [25] relies on the existence of a model category structure on algebras over operads, which does not exist anymore for algebras over dg props. The crux of the proof of Theorem 0.1 lies in the construction of functorial diagram factorizations in categories of algebras over dg props. We use a new approach, relying on universal categories of algebras over dg props, to perform such constructions in our context. This method enables us to get round the lack of model structure.

We would like to emphasize some links with two other objects encoding algebraic structures and their deformations. Theorem 0.1 asserts that we can use a function space of dg props, the moduli space $P\{X\}$, to determine classifying spaces of categories of algebras over dg props $\mathcal{N}wCh^P$. The homotopy groups of this moduli space, in turn, can be approached by means of a Bousfield-Kan type spectral sequence. The E_2 -page of this spectral sequence is identified with the cohomology of certain deformation complexes. These complexes have been studied in [11], [22] and [23]. These papers prove the existence of an L_∞ -structure on such complexes which generalizes the intrinsic Lie bracket of Schlessinger and Stasheff [27]. We aim to apply this spectral sequence technique and provide new results about the deformation theory of bialgebras in an ongoing work. To complete this outlook, let us point out that Ciocan-Fontanine and Kapranov used a similar approach to that of Rezk in [5] in order to define a derived moduli space of algebras structures in the formalism of dg schemes. The author recently proved in [31], by different methods, that the simplicial moduli spaces considered in the present paper are also the global points of derived moduli stacks in the setting of Toen-Vezzosi’s derived algebraic geometry, and that the deformation complexes of [23] really computes the tangent complexes of these stacks.

Organization. In Section 1, we briefly recall several properties of dg props and their algebras, and we define the notion of moduli space of algebraic structures. In Section 2, we revisit the notion of a colored dg prop as a symmetric monoidal dg category generated by words of colors. Then we perform the main technical construction of this section: a dg category associated to the data of a small category \mathcal{J} and a colored dg prop $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ encoding \mathcal{I} -diagrams of P -algebras, where \mathcal{I} is a subcategory of \mathcal{J} . This category of “formal variables” is used to explain how a functorial \mathcal{I} -diagram of P -algebras can be extended to a functorial \mathcal{J} -diagram of P -algebras under several technical assumptions. This construction applies in particular to the functorial factorizations of morphisms provided by the axioms of model categories. In Section 3, we prove that the classifying space of quasi-isomorphisms of P -algebras is weakly equivalent to the classifying space of acyclic surjections of P -algebras. For this, we need to examine in Section 3 how the internal and external tensor products of a diagram category behaves with respect to its injective and projective model structures. The projective case is more subtle and does not appear in the literature. Then we combine the results of Section 2, those of Section 3 and Quillen’s Theorem A to provide this weak equivalence (induced by an inclusion of categories). Finally, in Section 4 we rely on the previous results to generalize [25, Theorem 1.1.5] to the dg prop setting.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Benoit Fresse for his useful remarks. I also thanks the referee for his careful reading and useful comments.

1. PROPS, ALGEBRAS AND MODULI SPACES

Throughout this paper, we work in the category \mathcal{Ch} of \mathbb{Z} -graded chain complexes over a field \mathbb{K} . We write “dg” as a shortcut for “differential graded”. We give brief recollections on our conventions and on the main definitions concerning dg props in this section. We refer to [12] for a more comprehensive account.

1.1. Recollections on props and their algebras. A \mathbb{S} -bobject in \mathcal{Ch} is a double sequence $\{M(m, n) \in \mathcal{Ch}\}_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ where each $M(m, n)$ is equipped with a right action of the symmetric group on m letters Σ_m , a left action of the symmetric group on n letters Σ_n and so that these actions commute to each other.

Definition 1.1. A dg prop is a \mathbb{S} -bobject in \mathcal{Ch} endowed with associative horizontal composition products

$$\circ_h : P(m_1, n_1) \otimes P(m_2, n_2) \rightarrow P(m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2),$$

vertical associative composition products

$$\circ_v : P(k, n) \otimes P(m, k) \rightarrow P(m, n)$$

and units $\eta : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow P(n, n)$. These products satisfy the exchange law

$$(f_1 \circ_h f_2) \circ_v (g_1 \circ_h g_2) = (-1)^{|g_1||f_2|} (f_1 \circ_v g_1) \circ_h (f_2 \circ_v g_2)$$

and are compatible with the actions of symmetric groups and with the differentials. Morphisms of dg props are equivariant morphisms of collections compatible with the composition products. We denote by $Prop$ the category of dg props.

The following definition shows how a given dg prop encodes algebraic operations on the tensor powers of a chain complex:

Definition 1.2. (1) The endomorphism dg prop of a chain complex X is given by $End_X(m, n) = Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(X^{\otimes m}, X^{\otimes n})$ where $Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(-, -)$ is the internal hom bifunctor of \mathcal{Ch} . The horizontal composition is given by the tensor product of homomorphisms and the vertical composition is given by the composition of homomorphisms.

(2) Let P be a dg prop. A P -algebra is a chain complex X equipped with a dg prop morphism $P \rightarrow End_X$.

Hence any “abstract” operation of P is sent to an operation on X , and the way abstract operations compose under the composition products of P tells us the relations satisfied by the corresponding algebraic operations on X .

One can perform similar constructions in the category of colored \mathbb{S} -bobjects in order to define colored dg props and their algebras:

Definition 1.3. Let C be a non-empty set, called the set of colors.

(1) A C -colored \mathbb{S} -bobject M is a double sequence of chain complexes

$$\{M(m, n)\}_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$$

where each $M(m, n)$ admits commuting right Σ_m action and left Σ_n action as well as a decomposition

$$M(m, n) = \bigoplus_{c_i, d_i \in C} M(c_1, \dots, c_m; d_1, \dots, d_n)$$

compatible with these actions. The objects $M(c_1, \dots, c_m; d_1, \dots, d_n)$ should be thought as spaces of operations with colors c_1, \dots, c_m indexing the m inputs and colors d_1, \dots, d_n indexing the n outputs.

(2) A C -colored dg prop P is a C -colored \mathbb{S} -biobject endowed with a horizontal composition

$$\begin{aligned} \circ_h : P(c_{11}, \dots, c_{1m_1}; d_{11}, \dots, d_{1n_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes P(c_{k1}, \dots, c_{km_k}; d_{k1}, \dots, d_{kn_k}) \\ \rightarrow P(c_{11}, \dots, c_{km_k}; d_{k1}, \dots, d_{kn_k}) \\ \subseteq P(m_1 + \cdots + m_k, n_1 + \cdots + n_k) \end{aligned}$$

and a vertical composition

$$\begin{aligned} \circ_v : P(c_1, \dots, c_k; d_1, \dots, d_n) \otimes P(a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots, b_k) \\ \rightarrow P(a_1, \dots, a_m; d_1, \dots, d_n) \\ \subseteq P(m, n) \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to zero unless $b_i = c_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. These two compositions satisfy associativity axioms (we refer the reader to [17] for details).

Definition 1.4. (1) Let $\{X_c\}_C$ be a collection of chain complexes. The C -colored endomorphism dg prop $End_{\{X_c\}_C}$ is defined by

$$End_{\{X_c\}_C}(c_1, \dots, c_m; d_1, \dots, d_n) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}h}(X_{c_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{c_m}, X_{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{d_n}).$$

(2) Let P be a C -colored dg prop. A P -algebra is the data of a collection of objects $\{X_c\}_C$ and a C -colored dg prop morphism $P \rightarrow End_{\{X_c\}_C}$.

Remark 1.5. Let \mathcal{I} be a small category and let P be a dg prop. We can build an $ob(\mathcal{I})$ -colored dg prop $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ such that the $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebras are the \mathcal{I} -diagrams of P -algebras in $\mathcal{C}h$ in the same way as that of [21]. We refer the reader to Definition 2.3 where this construction is made explicit.

We provide $\mathcal{C}h$ with the model category structure such that the weak-equivalences are quasi-isomorphisms and fibrations are degreewise surjections. The category of \mathbb{S} -biobjects is a diagram category over $\mathcal{C}h$, so it inherits a cofibrantly generated model category structure in which weak equivalences and fibrations are defined componentwise. The free dg prop functor [12, Appendix A] gives rise to an adjunction $\mathcal{C}h^{\mathbb{S}} \rightleftarrows Prop$ between the category of \mathbb{S} -biobjects $\mathcal{C}h^{\mathbb{S}}$ and the category of dg props $Prop$, which transfers this model category structure to the category of dg props:

Theorem 1.6 (see [12, Theorem 4.9] and [17, Theorem 1.1]). (1) *Suppose that $char(\mathbb{K}) > 0$. The category $Prop_0$ of dg props with non-empty inputs (or outputs) equipped with the classes of componentwise weak equivalences and componentwise fibrations forms a cofibrantly generated semi-model category.*

(2) *Suppose that $char(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. Then the entire category of dg props inherits a cofibrantly generated model category structure with the weak equivalences and fibrations as above.*

(3) *Suppose that $char(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. Let C be a non-empty set. Then the category $Prop_C$ of C -colored dg props forms a cofibrantly generated model category with fibrations and weak equivalences defined componentwise.*

A semi-model category structure is a slightly weakened version of a model category structure where the lifting axioms only work for cofibrations with cofibrant domain, and where the factorization axioms only work for a map with a cofibrant domain (see the relevant section of [12]). The notion of a semi-model category is sufficient to apply the usual constructions of homotopical algebra. A dg prop P has non-empty inputs if it satisfies

$$P(0, n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define in a symmetric way a dg prop with non-empty outputs. Such dg props usually encode algebraic structures without unit or without counit, for instance Lie bialgebras.

We will use all the time the existence of a (semi)-model category structure on dg props. Our results hold over a field of any characteristic: we can work alternatively with every dg prop in characteristic zero or with dg props with non-empty inputs/outputs in positive characteristic.

Finally, we recall from [12] the construction of the endomorphism dg prop associated to a diagram $F : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}$:

$$End_F(m, n) := \int_{i \in \mathcal{J}} Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(X_i^{\otimes m}, X_i^{\otimes n})$$

where $X_i = F(i)$. This end can equivalently be defined as a coreflexive equalizer

$$End_F(m, n) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}} Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(X_i^{\otimes m}, X_i^{\otimes n}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_0} \\ \xrightarrow{d_1} \end{array} \prod_{u: i \rightarrow j} Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(X_i^{\otimes m}, X_j^{\otimes n})$$

$\xleftarrow{s_0}$

where d_0 is the product of the maps

$$u_* = (F(u)^{\otimes n} \circ -) : Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(X_i^{\otimes m}, X_i^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(X_i^{\otimes m}, X_j^{\otimes n})$$

induced by the morphisms $u : i \rightarrow j$ of \mathcal{J} and d_1 is the product of the maps

$$u^* = (- \circ F(u)^{\otimes m}) : Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(X_j^{\otimes m}, X_j^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{Ch}}(X_i^{\otimes m}, X_j^{\otimes n})$$

The section s_0 is the projection on the factors associated to the identities $id : i \rightarrow i$. This construction allows us to characterize a diagram of P -algebras $F : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}^P$, where \mathcal{Ch}^P is the category of P -algebras in chain complexes, as a dg prop morphism

$$P \rightarrow End_{U(F)},$$

where $U(F)$ is the diagram of chain complexes underlying F .

1.2. Moduli spaces of algebra structures. Throughout the text, we use the Kan-Quillen model category structure on simplicial sets. A moduli space of algebra structures over a dg prop P , on a given chain complex X , is a simplicial set whose points are the dg prop morphisms $P \rightarrow End_X$ and connected components are homotopy classes of P -algebra structures on X . Such a moduli space can be more generally defined on diagrams of chain complexes. We then deal with endomorphism dg props of diagrams. To construct properly such a simplicial set and give its first fundamental properties, we have to recall some results about cosimplicial and simplicial resolutions in a model category. For the sake of brevity and clarity, we

refer the reader to [15, Chapter 16] for a complete treatment of the notions of simplicial resolutions, cosimplicial resolutions and Reedy model categories.

Definition 1.7. Let \mathcal{M} be a model category and let X be an object of \mathcal{M} .

(1) A *cosimplicial resolution* of X is a cofibrant approximation to the constant cosimplicial object cc_*X in the Reedy model category structure on cosimplicial objects \mathcal{M}^Δ of \mathcal{M} .

(2) A *simplicial resolution* of X is a fibrant approximation to the constant simplicial object cs_*X in the Reedy model category structure on simplicial objects $\mathcal{M}^{\Delta^{op}}$ of \mathcal{M} .

Definition 1.8. Let \mathcal{M} be a model category and let X be an object of \mathcal{M} .

(1) A *cosimplicial frame* on X is a cosimplicial object \tilde{X} in \mathcal{M} , together with a weak equivalence $\tilde{X} \rightarrow cc_*X$ in the Reedy model category structure of \mathcal{M}^Δ . It has to satisfy the two following properties : the induced map $\tilde{X}^0 \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism, and if X is cofibrant in \mathcal{M} then \tilde{X} is cofibrant in \mathcal{M}^Δ .

(2) A *simplicial frame* on X is a simplicial object \tilde{X} in \mathcal{M} , together with a weak equivalence $cs_*X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ in the Reedy model category structure of \mathcal{M}^Δ . It has to satisfy the following two properties : the induced map $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}^0$ is an isomorphism, and if X is fibrant in \mathcal{M} then \tilde{X} is fibrant in $\mathcal{M}^{\Delta^{op}}$.

Proposition 1.9 ([15, Proposition 16.1.9]). *Let \mathcal{M} be a model category. There exists functorial simplicial resolutions and functorial cosimplicial resolutions in \mathcal{M} .*

Proposition 1.10 ([15, Proposition 16.6.3]). *Let X be an object of \mathcal{M} .*

(1) *If X is cofibrant then every cosimplicial frame of X is a cosimplicial resolution of X .*

(2) *If X is fibrant then every simplicial frame of X is a simplicial resolution of X .*

In a model category \mathcal{M} , one can define homotopy mapping spaces $Map_{\mathcal{M}}(-, -)$, which are simplicial sets equipped with a composition law defined up to homotopy. There are two possible definitions. We can take either $Map_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) = Mor_{\mathcal{M}}(X \otimes \Delta^\bullet, Y)$ where $(-) \otimes \Delta^\bullet$ is a cosimplicial resolution, or $Map_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y) = Mor_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y^{\Delta^\bullet})$ where $(-)^{\Delta^\bullet}$ is a simplicial resolution. When X is cofibrant and Y is fibrant, these two definitions give the same homotopy type of mapping space and have also the homotopy type of Dwyer-Kan's hammock localization $L^H(\mathcal{M}, w\mathcal{M})(X, Y)$ where $w\mathcal{M}$ is the subcategory of weak equivalences of \mathcal{M} (see [10]). Moreover, the set of connected components $\pi_0 Map_{\mathcal{M}}(X, Y)$ is the set of homotopy classes $[X, Y]_{\mathcal{M}}$ in $Ho(\mathcal{M})$.

Proposition 1.11 ([15, Corollary 16.5.3] and [15, Corollary 16.5.4]). *Let \mathcal{M} be a model category and C a cosimplicial resolution in \mathcal{M} .*

(1) *If Y is a fibrant object of \mathcal{M} , then $Mor_{\mathcal{M}}(C, Y)$ is a fibrant simplicial set.*

(2) *If $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration in \mathcal{M} , then $p_* : Mor_{\mathcal{M}}(C, X) \rightarrow Mor_{\mathcal{M}}(C, Y)$ is a fibration of simplicial sets, acyclic if p is so.*

(3) *If $p : X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ is a weak equivalence of fibrant objects in \mathcal{M} , then $p_* : Mor_{\mathcal{M}}(C, X) \xrightarrow{\sim} Mor_{\mathcal{M}}(C, Y)$ is a weak equivalence of fibrant simplicial sets.*

Definition 1.12. Let P be a cofibrant dg prop in \mathcal{Ch} . Let X be a chain complex. The *moduli space of P -algebra structures* on X is the simplicial set defined by

$$P\{X\} = Mor_{Prop}(P \otimes \Delta^\bullet, End_X),$$

where $(-) \otimes \Delta^\bullet$ is a functorial cosimplicial frame on $Prop$. We get a functor

$$\begin{aligned} Prop &\rightarrow sSet \\ P &\mapsto P\{X\} \end{aligned}$$

where $sSet$ is the category of simplicial sets.

We can already get two interesting properties of these moduli spaces:

Lemma 1.13. *Let P be a cofibrant dg prop. For any chain complex X , the moduli space $P\{X\}$ is a fibrant simplicial set.*

Proof. Every chain complex is fibrant, and fibration of dg props are defined componentwise, so End_X is a fibrant dg prop. Given that P is cofibrant, the mapping space $P\{X\}$ is fibrant. \square

In this case, the connected components of this moduli space are exactly the homotopy classes of P -algebra structures on X .

To conclude, let us note that these moduli spaces are a well defined homotopy invariant of algebraic structures over a given object:

Lemma 1.14. *Let X be a chain complex. Every weak equivalence of cofibrant dg props $P \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$ gives rise to a weak equivalence of fibrant simplicial sets*

$$Q\{X\} \xrightarrow{\sim} P\{X\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi : P \rightarrow Q$ be a weak equivalence of cofibrant dg props. According to [15, Proposition 16.1.24], the map φ induces a Reedy weak equivalence of cosimplicial resolutions $P \otimes \Delta^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} Q \otimes \Delta^\bullet$. The dg prop End_X is fibrant, so we conclude by [15, Corollary 16.5.5] that this weak equivalence of cosimplicial resolutions induces a weak equivalence between the corresponding moduli spaces. \square

Remark 1.15. The reader may have noticed that, using the existence of functorial cosimplicial resolutions, Definition 1.12, Lemma 1.13 and Lemma 1.14 could have been stated without the cofibrancy assumption on P . In this case, let

$$P^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} cc^\bullet P$$

be such a cosimplicial resolution of a dg prop P , and

$$\widetilde{P\{X\}} = Mor_{Prop}(P^\bullet, End_X)$$

be this alternative construction of the moduli space. Let

$$P_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} P$$

be a functorial cofibrant resolution of P . Then a cosimplicial frame on P_∞ is a cosimplicial resolution of P_∞ by Proposition 1.10, hence a cosimplicial resolution of P as well. By [15, Proposition 16.1.13], any two cosimplicial resolutions of a given object are related by a zigzag whose middle object is a fibrant cosimplicial resolution, and by [15, Corollary 16.5.5] a Reedy weak equivalence of cosimplicial resolutions induce a weak equivalence of mapping spaces, hence

$$\widetilde{P\{X\}} = Mor_{Prop}(P^\bullet, End_X) \simeq Mor_{Prop}(P_\infty \otimes \Delta^\bullet, End_X) = P_\infty\{X\}.$$

Our alternative construction of a moduli space directly from a dg prop P thus has the homotopy type of the moduli space of homotopy P -algebra structures constructed in Definition 1.12 from a cofibrant resolution of P .

2. DG CATEGORIES ASSOCIATED TO COLORED DG PROPS

2.1. Colored dg props as symmetric monoidal dg categories. We revisit the definition of colored dg props by explaining how they can alternatively be defined as symmetric monoidal dg categories “monoidally” generated by the set of colors. We start with two simple examples before explaining the general construction.

Example 2.1. Any dg prop in \mathcal{Ch} can alternatively be defined as a dg monoidal category $\mathbf{cat}(P)$ such that $ob(\mathbf{cat}(P)) = \{x^{\otimes n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (where x is a formal variable), the tensor product is given by $x^{\otimes m} \otimes x^{\otimes n} = x^{\otimes(m+n)}$ and the complexes of morphisms by

$$Hom_{\mathbf{cat}(P)}(x^{\otimes m}, x^{\otimes n}) = P(m, n).$$

The category of P -algebras consists of enriched symmetric monoidal functors

$$\mathbf{cat}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}$$

with their natural transformations.

Example 2.2. Let P be a (1-colored) dg prop. There exists a 2-colored dg prop $P_{x \rightarrow y}$ such that the category of $P_{x \rightarrow y}$ -algebras is the category of morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in the category of P -algebras \mathcal{Ch}^P . It has two colors x, y and it is generated for the composition products by $P(x, \dots, x; x, \dots, x) = P(m, n)$, by $P(y, \dots, y; y, \dots, y) = P(m, n)$, and by an element $f \in P(x, y)$ which represents an abstract arrow $f : x \rightarrow y$. The associated dg monoidal category $\mathbf{cat}(P_{x \rightarrow y})$ is defined in the following way. Let $Free_{mon}(x, y)$ be the monoid freely generated by the two generators x and y , i.e the set of words in two letters $w \in Free_{mon}(x, y)$. Then the objects of $\mathbf{cat}(P_{x \rightarrow y})$ are the "monoidal words"

$$ob(\mathbf{cat}(P_{x \rightarrow y})) = \{w_{\otimes}(x, y), w \in Free_{mon}(x, y)\}$$

where $w_{\otimes}(x, y)$ is the formal tensor product corresponding to the word w . The complexes of morphisms are

$$Hom_{\mathbf{cat}(P_{x \rightarrow y})}(w_{\otimes}(x, y), v_{\otimes}(x, y)) = P_{x \rightarrow y}(\underline{w}, \underline{v})$$

where \underline{w} is the ordered sequence of letters, i.e colors, appearing in the word w . Algebras over $P_{x \rightarrow y}$ are enriched symmetric monoidal functors $\mathbf{cat}(P_{x \rightarrow y}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}$. A $P_{x \rightarrow y}$ -algebra is equivalent to a diagram of P -algebras $\{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet\} \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}^P$.

These constructions can be generalized to arbitrary diagrams

Definition 2.3. Let \mathcal{I} be a small category. Then there exists a $ob(\mathcal{I})$ -colored dg prop $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ consisting of abstract objects x_i associated to $i \in \mathcal{I}$, and the morphisms of $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ are generated by operations $p \in P_{\mathcal{I}}(x_i^{\otimes m}, x_i^{\otimes n})$ associated to each $p \in P(m, n)$ and each variable x_i , as well as abstract arrows $f : x_i \rightarrow x_j$ associated to the morphisms of \mathcal{I} . The corresponding dg monoidal category $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{I}})$ is defined as follows:

$$ob(\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{I}})) = \{w_{\otimes}(x_i, i \in ob(\mathcal{I})), w \in Free_{mon}(x_i, i \in ob(\mathcal{I}))\}.$$

The tensor product is defined by

$$w_{\otimes}(x_i, i \in ob(\mathcal{I})) \otimes v_{\otimes}(x_i, i \in ob(\mathcal{I})) = (w * v)_{\otimes}(x_i, i \in ob(\mathcal{I})).$$

The complexes of morphisms are

$$Hom_{\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{I}})}(w_{\otimes}(x_i, i \in ob(\mathcal{I})), v_{\otimes}(x_i, i \in ob(\mathcal{I}))) = P_{\mathcal{I}}(\underline{w}, \underline{v}).$$

The composition on the dg hom is the vertical composition product of $P_{\mathcal{I}}$, and the tensor product of morphisms is the horizontal composition product of $P_{\mathcal{I}}$.

In other words, the category $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{I}})$ is a differential graded monoidal category monoidally generated on objects by the set of colours of $P_{\mathcal{I}}$. This can be generalized in any symmetric monoidal category, giving an alternative definition of a colored dg prop:

Definition 2.4. (1) A C -colored dg prop is a small symmetric monoidal dg category monoidally generated by C .

(2) A $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebra is a symmetric monoidal dg functor $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{I}}) \rightarrow Ch$.

Proposition 2.5. A $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ -algebra corresponds to an \mathcal{I} -diagram of P -algebras.

This result follows from the construction of $P_{\mathcal{I}}$ in terms of generators and relations. For more details we refer the reader to [21, Section 2], where such a construction is carried out in the case of colored dg operads.

2.2. Categories of universal twisted sums and functorial diagrams of algebras. Let $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ be a colored prop on a small category \mathcal{J} . The category $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ reflects the universal structures of the symmetric monoidal category defined by a P -algebra in the category of chain complexes. But for some constructions of homotopy theory, we need operations of the ambient category of chain complexes which lie outside the image of this category $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$. Namely, we need to perform direct sums $C \oplus D$, suspensions ΣC , and twisted complexes (C, d) which we form by adding a twisting homomorphism $d \in Hom(C, C)$ to the internal differential of a chain complex $\delta : C \rightarrow C$. These operations can clearly not be formed within the image of $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ in the category of chain complexes in general. Therefore, we define a universal enriched category $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ generated by the formal image of the tensor products $w(x_j, j \in \mathcal{J}) \in ob(\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}}))$ under such direct sum, suspension, and twisting operations. If we put all these operations together, then we get the notion of a twisted direct sum which we formalize in our definition. Let us simply mention that we use formal tensor products $\mathbb{K}e \otimes V$, where $\mathbb{K}e$ is the free \mathbb{K} -module spanned by a homogeneous element of degree $d = deg(e)$ to materialize a d -fold suspension operation $\Sigma^d : C \mapsto \Sigma^d C$. In the sequel, our idea is to define universal models of the homotopical construction which we need to work out our problems in this enriched category $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$.

2.2.1. Construction of the category of universal twisted sums. Let \mathcal{J} be a small category and $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ the associated $ob(\mathcal{J})$ -colored dg prop. Our goal is to build from $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ a certain dg monoidal category $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ called its category of universal twisted sums. The objects are pairs

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} (\mathbb{K}e_{\alpha}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), \mathbf{tw} \right)$$

where

- the first term $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A}$ is a formal sum over a finite set A of multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of formal tensor products $(\mathbb{K}e_{\alpha}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n})$, where $x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}$ is an object of $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ and we consider the graded \mathbb{K} -module $\mathbb{K}e_{\alpha}$ generated by a homogeneous element e_{α} of a certain degree $d_{\alpha} = deg(e_{\alpha})$;

- The second term represents a collection of homomorphisms

$$\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}} \in \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathit{Hom}_{\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_m}, x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}),$$

indexed by the couples $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) \in A^2$, homogeneous of degree -1 , and that satisfy the relation of twisting cochains:

$$\delta(\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}) + \sum_{\gamma \in A} \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\gamma}} \circ \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\gamma}\underline{\beta}} = 0$$

in the dg-module $\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathit{Hom}_{\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_m}, x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n})$, for every couple of sequences of colours $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}) \in A^2$. The notation $\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\vee}$ represents an element dual to $\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}$, homogeneous of degree $\deg(\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\vee}) = -\deg(\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}})$, and we use the relation $\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\vee}(\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}) = 1$ when we form the composites $\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\gamma}} \circ \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\gamma}\underline{\beta}}$.

We define the dg-modules of homomorphisms of $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ as the twisted sums of dg-modules

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathit{Hom}_{\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(\underbrace{(\oplus_{\underline{\beta} \in B} (\mathbb{K}\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}) \otimes (x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_m})), \mathbf{tw}_L}_{=L}, \underbrace{(\oplus_{\underline{\alpha} \in A} (\mathbb{K}\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n})), \mathbf{tw}_K}_{=K}) \\ & := \left(\bigoplus_{(\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}) \in B \times A} \mathbb{K}\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}} \otimes \mathbb{K}\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathit{Hom}_{\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_m}, x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), \partial \right), \end{aligned}$$

with twisting homomorphism $\partial : (f)_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\alpha}} \mapsto (\partial(f))_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\alpha}}$ such that

$$\partial(f)_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\alpha}} = \sum_{\gamma \in B} \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\gamma}} \circ f_{\underline{\gamma}\underline{\alpha}} - \sum_{\gamma \in A} \mathit{sign}(f) f_{\underline{\beta}\underline{\gamma}} \circ \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\gamma}\underline{\alpha}},$$

for every couple of sequences of colours $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta})$, where $\mathit{sign}(f)$ is a sign depending on f . This endows $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ with a dg category structure:

Proof. We equip this dg hom $\mathit{Hom}_{\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(K, L)$ with the total differential $\delta + \partial$ where δ is the internal differential induced by the differential of P and ∂ is the twisting homomorphism. The fact that $(\delta + \partial)^2 = 0$ follows from the relation of twisting cochains satisfied by the \mathbf{tw} 's with respect to δ . Indeed, for each $\underline{\beta} \in B, \underline{\alpha} \in A$, we have

$$(\delta + \partial)^2(f)_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}} = (\delta(\partial) + \partial^2)(f)_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}}$$

where $\delta(\partial)$ is the usual differential of a homomorphism defined by the commutator

$$\delta(\partial) = \delta \circ \partial - (-1)^{\deg(\partial)} \partial \circ \delta = \delta \circ \partial + \partial \circ \delta.$$

We have

$$\delta(\partial)(f)_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}} = \delta(\partial(f))_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}} + \partial(\delta(f))_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}} = \delta(\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}})(f)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial^2(f)_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}} &= \partial(\partial(f))_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}} \\
 &= \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in B} \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\gamma}} \circ \partial(f)_{\underline{\gamma}, \underline{\alpha}} - \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in A} \text{sign}(\partial(f)) \partial(f)_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\gamma}} \circ \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\gamma}, \underline{\alpha}} \\
 &= \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in B} \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\gamma}} \circ \partial(f)_{\underline{\gamma}, \underline{\alpha}} + \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in A} \text{sign}(f) \partial(f)_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\gamma}} \circ \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\gamma}, \underline{\alpha}} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in B} \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\gamma}} \circ \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\gamma}, \underline{\alpha}} \right) (f)
 \end{aligned}$$

because $\text{sign}(\partial(f)) = \text{sign}(f) - 1$ (the homomorphism ∂ is of degree -1), so

$$(\delta + \partial)^2(f)_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}} = \left(\delta(\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}}) + \sum_{\underline{\gamma} \in B} \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\beta}, \underline{\gamma}} \circ \mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\gamma}, \underline{\alpha}} \right) (f) = 0.$$

For each object

$$K = (\oplus_{\underline{\alpha}} \mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}} \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), \mathbf{tw}_K)$$

of $\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, the associated identity element in the dg hom $\text{Hom}_{\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(K, K)$ is the 0-cycle defined by

$$\oplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes \mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\vee} \otimes \text{id}_{x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}},$$

where $\text{id}_{x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}}$ is the identity on the object $x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}$ of $\text{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$. The composition law

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(K, L) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(K, M)$$

on such dg homs is then defined by the composition of dg homs in $\text{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ and the relation $\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\vee}(\mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}}) = 1$ on matching colors. The compatibility of this composition with the twisted differentials of the dg homs is automatic. \square

2.2.2. *The tensor structure on a category of universal twisted sums.* The category $\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ is equipped with a dg enriched symmetric monoidal structure, defined by the natural distribution formula at the level of objects

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underbrace{(\oplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_m}), \mathbf{tw}_K)}_K \otimes \underbrace{(\oplus_{\underline{\beta}} ((\mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}) \otimes (x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_n}), \mathbf{tw}_L))}_L \\
 := & \underbrace{(\oplus_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}} ((\mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_m} \otimes x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_n}), \mathbf{tw}_K \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \mathbf{tw}_L))}_{=K \otimes L},
 \end{aligned}$$

and where we use the horizontal compositions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\gamma}} \otimes \mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\vee} \otimes \text{Hom}_{\text{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_m}, x_{\gamma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\gamma_p}) \\
 & \otimes \mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\delta}} \otimes \mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\vee} \otimes \text{Hom}_{\text{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_n}, x_{\delta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\delta_q}) \\
 \xrightarrow{\otimes} & (\mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\gamma}} \otimes \mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\delta}}) \otimes (\mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\alpha}} \otimes \mathbb{K} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}})^{\vee} \\
 & \otimes \text{Hom}_{\text{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_m} \otimes x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_n}, x_{\gamma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\gamma_p} \otimes x_{\delta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\delta_q})
 \end{aligned}$$

to define the formal twisted cochain $\mathbf{tw}_K \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \mathbf{tw}_L$ of this object $K \otimes L$. An analogous construction holds at the level of homomorphisms.

Each object $x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n} \in \mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ is naturally identified with the trivial twisted sum $K = (\mathbb{K}e_0 \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), 0)$ in $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, where $\deg(e_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{K}e_0 = \mathbb{K}$. In particular, to each x_{α_i} corresponds a trivial twisted sum $K_{\alpha_i} = (\mathbb{K}e_0 \otimes x_{\alpha_i}, 0)$. This defines a functor

$$\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}}).$$

The category of universal twisted sums satisfies the following universal property with respect to this functor:

Lemma 2.6. *For every symmetric monoidal dg functor $R : \mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}$ (that is, every $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ -algebra), there exists a canonical factorization*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) & \xrightarrow{R} & \mathcal{Ch} . \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{R} & \\ \mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. We construct \tilde{R} by setting first $\tilde{R}(K_{\alpha_i}) = R(x_{\alpha_i})$ so that the diagram commutes. Then, for any object

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), \mathbf{tw} \right)$$

of $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{R} \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), \mathbf{tw} \right) \\ &= \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (R(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(x_{\alpha_n})), R(\mathbf{tw}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the left-hand term is built with the direct sum and tensor product of \mathcal{Ch} . The differential of $\tilde{R} \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), \mathbf{tw} \right)$ is then defined on each component of this direct sum by the sum of the differential of $R(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(x_{\alpha_n})$ with a twisting cochain $R(\mathbf{tw})$ defined as follows. Since R is a symmetric monoidal dg functor, it induces a morphism of chain complexes

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_m}, x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}} : \\ & \mathit{Hom}_{\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})}(x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_m}, x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}) \\ & \rightarrow \\ & \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{Ch}}(R(x_{\beta_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(x_{\beta_m}), R(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(x_{\alpha_n})) \end{aligned}$$

so that we can well define the collection $R(\mathbf{tw}) = \{R(\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}})\}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}) &= R_{x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_m}, x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}}(\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}) \\ &\in e_{\underline{\alpha}} \otimes e_{\underline{\beta}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathit{Hom}_{\mathcal{Ch}}(R(x_{\beta_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(x_{\beta_m}), R(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(x_{\alpha_n})). \end{aligned}$$

This collection satisfies the relation of twisting cochains because R is a symmetric monoidal dg functor and the collection $\{\mathbf{tw}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}\}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}$ satisfies the relation of twisting cochains in $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$. \square

2.2.3. *Functorial diagrams of algebras.* Our purpose is to use categories of universal twisted sums to perform diagrams of dg P -algebras “functorial in their variables” in a suitable sense.

Recall that the colored dg prop $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ parametrising \mathcal{J} -diagrams of P -algebras is equivalent to the datum of a symmetric monoidal dg category $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$. Algebras over $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ are then strict symmetric monoidal dg functors $\mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}h$, and morphisms of $P_{\mathcal{J}}$ -algebras are natural transformations preserving the strict symmetric monoidal dg structures. Such a natural transformation corresponds to a natural transformation of \mathcal{J} -diagrams of P -algebras.

Now let $A, B : \mathbf{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}h$ be two such algebras, and $\phi : A \Rightarrow B$ be a strict symmetric monoidal dg natural transformation. Recall that, according to Lemma 2.6, such functors lift to strict symmetric monoidal dg functors $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} : \mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}h$. We want to prove that such a lift works similarly for symmetric monoidal dg natural transformations between such functors:

Lemma 2.7. *The natural transformation ϕ lifts to a strict symmetric monoidal dg natural transformation $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{A} \Rightarrow \tilde{B}$.*

Proof. To see this, let us first recall from [18] the notion of enriched natural transformation in the case where the categories are enriched over $\mathcal{C}h$. Let $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be two dg functors and $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(-, -), Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(-, -)$ be respectively the dg homs of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} . A dg natural transformation $\tau : F \Rightarrow G$ is a collection of chain morphisms $\{\tau(x) : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), G(x))\}_{x \in ob(\mathcal{C})}$, that is of 0-cycles in the $Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), G(x))$'s indexed by the objects x of \mathcal{C} . For every $x, y \in ob(\mathcal{C})$, this collection makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \otimes \mathbb{K} \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow G_{x,y} \otimes \tau(x) \\
 \mathbb{K} \otimes Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(x, y) & & Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(G(x), G(y)) \otimes Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), G(x)) \\
 \tau(y) \otimes F_{x,y} \downarrow & & \downarrow \circ_{\mathcal{D}} \\
 Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(F(y), G(y)) \otimes Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), F(y)) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{\mathcal{D}}} & Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), G(y))
 \end{array}$$

For any object

$$K = \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), \mathbf{tw}_K \right)$$

of $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, we define the associated 0-cycle $\tilde{\phi}$ in

$$Hom_{\mathcal{C}h} \left(\left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (A(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(x_{\alpha_n})), A(\mathbf{tw}_K) \right), \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (B(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(x_{\alpha_n})), B(\mathbf{tw}_K) \right) \right)$$

by

$$\tilde{\phi}(K) = \bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}} (\mathbb{K}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (\phi(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi(x_{\alpha_n})).$$

We have to prove that this form a 0-cycle, thus that

$$(\delta + B(\mathbf{tw}_K)) \circ \tilde{\phi}(K) = \tilde{\phi}(K) \circ (\delta + A(\mathbf{tw}_K)).$$

The equality

$$\delta \circ \tilde{\phi}(K) = \tilde{\phi}(K) \circ \delta$$

follows from the fact that each $\phi(x_{\alpha_i}) : A(x_{\alpha_i}) \rightarrow B(x_{\alpha_i})$ is a morphism of chain complexes and the differential δ is the differential of $B(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes B(x_{\alpha_n})$ on the left-hand side of the equality and of $A(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(x_{\alpha_n})$ on the right-hand side. The equality

$$B(\mathbf{tw}_K) \circ \tilde{\phi}(K) = \tilde{\phi}(K) \circ A(\mathbf{tw}_K)$$

follows from the definition of $A(\mathbf{tw}_K)$ as

$$A(\mathbf{tw}_K) = \{A_{x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_m}, x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}}((\mathbf{tw}_K)_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}})\}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}$$

(and the same for $B(\mathbf{tw}_K)$), the fact that ϕ is a dg natural transformation between A and B and the definition of $\tilde{\phi}(K)$ in function of the $\phi(x_{\alpha_i})$'s.

Concerning the monoidality of our collection of 0-cycles $\{\tilde{\phi}(K)\}_{K \in \text{ob}(\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}}))}$, recall from 2.2.2 that the tensor product of two objects of $\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}}(\mathbb{k}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_m}), \mathbf{tw}_K)}_K \otimes \underbrace{(\bigoplus_{\underline{\beta}}(\mathbb{k}e_{\underline{\beta}}) \otimes (x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_n}), \mathbf{tw}_L)}_L \\ := & \underbrace{(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}}((\mathbb{k}e_{\underline{\alpha}} \otimes e_{\underline{\beta}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_m} \otimes x_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\beta_n})), \mathbf{tw}_K \otimes id + id \otimes \mathbf{tw}_L)}_{=K \otimes L} \end{aligned}$$

and that the functors $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} : \text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}$ associated to $A, B : \text{cat}(P_{\mathcal{J}}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{A} \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}}(\mathbb{k}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (x_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{\alpha_n}), \mathbf{tw} \right) \\ = & \left(\bigoplus_{\underline{\alpha}}(\mathbb{k}e_{\underline{\alpha}}) \otimes (A(x_{\alpha_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes A(x_{\alpha_n})), A(\mathbf{tw}) \right) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

We have natural isomorphisms

$$a_{K \otimes L} : \tilde{A}(K \otimes L) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{A}(K) \otimes \tilde{A}(L)$$

and

$$b_{K \otimes L} : \tilde{B}(K \otimes L) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{B}(K) \otimes \tilde{B}(L)$$

induced by natural isomorphisms

$$A(\cdot \otimes \cdot) \xrightarrow{\cong} A(\cdot) \otimes A(\cdot)$$

and

$$B(\cdot \otimes \cdot) \xrightarrow{\cong} B(\cdot) \otimes B(\cdot)$$

since A and B are symmetric monoidal functors. We have to check the commutativity of the square below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A}(K \otimes L) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}(K \otimes L)} & \tilde{B}(K \otimes L) \quad . \\ a_{K \otimes L} \downarrow & & b_{K \otimes L} \downarrow \\ \tilde{A}(K) \otimes \tilde{A}(L) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}(K) \otimes \tilde{\phi}(L)} & \tilde{B}(K) \otimes \tilde{B}(L) \end{array}$$

By construction of \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} and $\tilde{\phi}$, which are defined by applying A, B and ϕ to each variable of the tensor powers defining the objects of $\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, this boils down to the commutativity of such a monoidality square for A, B and ϕ , which holds because ϕ is a monoidal natural transformation.

The naturality of $\{\tilde{\phi}(K)\}_{K \in \text{ob}(\text{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}}))}$ then follows directly from the naturality of ϕ . \square

We consequently get two functors

$$\tilde{A}_*, \tilde{B}_* : \mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})^P \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}^P$$

that carry any P -algebra in $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, represented by a symmetric monoidal functor $\tilde{C} : \mathbf{cat}(P) \rightarrow \mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, to the P -algebra in \mathcal{Ch} represented by the composite functors $\tilde{A}\tilde{C}, \tilde{B}\tilde{C} : \mathbf{cat}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{Ch}$. We also have a natural transformation $\tilde{\phi}_* : \tilde{A}_* \Rightarrow \tilde{B}_*$ between these functors on P -algebras.

For any small category \mathcal{I} , we get strict symmetric monoidal dg functors

$$\tilde{A}_*, \tilde{B}_* : (\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})^P)^{\mathcal{I}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{Ch}^P)^{\mathcal{I}}$$

and a strict symmetric monoidal dg natural transformation $\tilde{\phi}_* : \tilde{A}_* \Rightarrow \tilde{B}_*$. This transformation consists in a collection of natural transformations of \mathcal{I} -diagrams of dg P -algebras

$$\tilde{\phi}_*(Y) : \tilde{A}_*(Y) \Rightarrow \tilde{B}_*(Y)$$

for every $Y \in (\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})^P)^{\mathcal{I}}$.

Thus, whenever we have an \mathcal{I} -diagram of P -algebras in $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, say Y , we can associate an \mathcal{I} -diagram of dg P -algebras $\tilde{A}_*(Y)$ to any \mathcal{J} -diagram of dg P -algebras A , and a natural transformation of \mathcal{I} -diagrams of dg P -algebras $\tilde{\phi}_*(Y) : \tilde{A}_*(Y) \Rightarrow \tilde{B}_*(Y)$ to any natural transformation of \mathcal{J} -diagrams of dg P -algebras $\phi : A \Rightarrow B$. This result is equivalent to the following statement:

Proposition 2.8. *Given an \mathcal{I} -diagram Y of P -algebras in $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{\mathcal{J}})$, the above construction determines a functor*

$$(\mathcal{Ch}^P)^{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{Ch}^P)^{\mathcal{I}}.$$

The main example to which we want to apply this construction is the following. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of chain complexes, then it admits a functorial factorization by an acyclic cofibration (i.e acyclic injection) followed by a fibration (i.e a surjection). This factorization is explicitly given by

$$\Xi(f : X \rightarrow Y) :$$

where

$$Z = (\mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_0} \otimes X \oplus \mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_{01}} \otimes Y \oplus \mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_1} \otimes Y, d_Z)$$

with $\deg(\mathbf{e}_0) = \deg(\mathbf{e}_1) = 0$ and $\deg(\mathbf{e}_{01}) = -1$. The differential d_Z can be expressed in this direct sum by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_X & 0 & 0 \\ f & -d_Y & -id \\ 0 & 0 & d_Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -d_Y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_Y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f & 0 & -id \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the first matrix of the sum is the differential of the direct sum $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_0} \otimes X \oplus \mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_{01}} \otimes Y \oplus \mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_1} \otimes Y$ and the second is a twisting \mathbf{tw}_Z , a map of degree -1 satisfying

$\mathbf{tw}_Z^2 = 0$. The map i sends $x \in X$ to $x \oplus 0 \oplus f(x)$ and s and p are respectively projections on the first and the third factor, that is, we have

$$i = (id \quad 0 \quad f),$$

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} id \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ id \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is a diagram of chain complexes

$$\Xi : Mor(\mathcal{C}h) \rightarrow Fun(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{C}h)$$

functorial in its variables, where $Mor(\mathcal{C}h)$ is the category whose objects are morphisms of chain complexes and morphisms are commutative squares, and \mathcal{Y} is the small category whose objects and arrows are given by

$$\mathcal{Y} := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \end{array} \right\}.$$

Our goal is to prove that for any cofibrant dg prop P , this functor induces a functor

$$\Xi : Mor(\mathcal{C}h^P) \rightarrow Fun(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{C}h^P),$$

that is, a functor

$$\Xi : (\mathcal{C}h^P)^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}h^P)^{\mathcal{Y}}.$$

This means the following:

Theorem 2.9. *Let P be a cofibrant dg prop. The functorial factorization of morphism of chain complexes described above lifts to a functorial factorization of P -algebra morphisms into an acyclic injection followed by a surjection.*

Proof. The general strategy is to prove that the diagram in $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{x \rightarrow y})$ associated to $\Xi(f : X \rightarrow Y)$ is actually a diagram in $\mathbf{TwSum}(P_{x \rightarrow y})^P$ and then apply Proposition 2.8.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of chain complexes and $P_{x \rightarrow y}$ be the 2-colored dg prop of P -algebra morphisms. In this proof, we will use the short notation

$$\mathbf{Tw} := \mathbf{TwSum}(P_{x \rightarrow y}).$$

We can associate to the diagram of chain complexes $\Xi(f : X \rightarrow Y)$ a diagram $\Xi(f : x \rightarrow y)$ in \mathbf{Tw} so that Proposition 2.8 applies. For this, recall that the colors x and y are embedded into \mathbf{Tw} as the objects $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_0} \otimes x, 0$ and $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_1} \otimes y, 0$. We will denote by f both the operation of $P_{x \rightarrow y}$ corresponding to f and the morphism $\mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_0} \otimes x, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_1} \otimes y, 0$ in \mathbf{Tw} . The object z of \mathbf{Tw} corresponding to Z is defined by

$$(\mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_0} \otimes x \oplus \mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_{01}} \otimes y \oplus \mathbb{K}_{\mathbf{e}_1} \otimes y, \mathbf{tw}_z)$$

with

$$\mathbf{tw}_z = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{tw}_{0,0} & \mathbf{tw}_{01,0} & \mathbf{tw}_{1,0} \\ \mathbf{tw}_{0,01} & \mathbf{tw}_{01,01} & \mathbf{tw}_{1,01} \\ \mathbf{tw}_{0,1} & \mathbf{tw}_{01,1} & \mathbf{tw}_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathfrak{e}_{01} \otimes \mathfrak{e}_0^\vee \otimes f & 0 & \mathfrak{e}_{01} \otimes \mathfrak{e}_1^\vee \otimes -id \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

representing the twisting part \mathbf{tw}_Z of Z . The maps i and p of $\Xi(f : x \rightarrow y)$ are then defined similarly to those of $\Xi(f : X \rightarrow Y)$.

The endomorphism dg prop $End_{(\Xi(f:x \rightarrow y), \mathbf{Tw})}$ projects to the endomorphism dg prop $End_{(f, \mathbf{Tw})}$ of the subdiagram $f : x \rightarrow y$, hence we have a fibration of dg props

$$End_{(\Xi(f:x \rightarrow y), \mathbf{Tw})} \twoheadrightarrow End_{(f, \mathbf{Tw})}.$$

We will denote these dg props by $End_{\Xi(f:x \rightarrow y)}$ and End_f for short. We have to prove that this fibration is acyclic. For this, we consider the following commutative diagram of \mathbb{S} -biobjects:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} End_z = Hom_{zz} & & & & \\ & \searrow^{(i^*, p_*)} & & \searrow^{p_*} & \\ & & Hom_{xz} \times_{Hom_{xy}} Hom_{zy} & \longrightarrow & Hom_{zy} \\ & \searrow^{i^*} & \downarrow & & \downarrow^{i^*} \\ & & Hom_{xz} & \xrightarrow{p_*} & Hom_{xy} \end{array}$$

where $Hom_{zz}(m, n) = Hom_{\mathbf{Tw}}(z^{\otimes m}, z^{\otimes n})$. Limits of \mathbb{S} -biobjects are created pointwise, so for every $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Hom_{\mathbf{Tw}}(z^{\otimes m}, z^{\otimes n}) & & & & \\ & \searrow^{((i^{\otimes m})^*, (p^{\otimes n})_*)} & & \searrow^{(p^{\otimes n})_*} & \\ & & pullback & \longrightarrow & Hom_{\mathbf{Tw}}(z^{\otimes m}, y^{\otimes n}) \\ & \searrow^{(i^{\otimes m})^*} & \downarrow & & \downarrow^{(i^{\otimes m})^*} \\ & & Hom_{\mathbf{Tw}}(x^{\otimes m}, z^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{(p^{\otimes n})_*} & Hom_{\mathbf{Tw}}(x^{\otimes m}, y^{\otimes n}) \end{array}$$

We have to check that $((i^{\otimes m})^*, (p^{\otimes n})_*)$ is an acyclic fibration. Since acyclic fibrations of \mathbb{S} -biobjects are determined pointwise, we deduce that

$$(i^*, p_*) : End_z \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom_{xz} \times_{Hom_{xy}} Hom_{yz}$$

is an acyclic fibration of Σ -objects. Let us consider now the base extensions

$$End_x \times_{Hom_{xz}} End_z \times_{Hom_{zy}} End_y = End_{\Xi(f:x \rightarrow y)}$$

and

$$End_x \times_{Hom_{xz}} (Hom_{xz} \times_{Hom_{xy}} Hom_{zy}) \times_{Hom_{zy}} End_y = End_f.$$

Acyclic fibrations are stable under base extensions, and acyclic fibrations of dg props are determined in the category of \mathbb{S} -biobjects under the forgetful functor, so we finally get the desired acyclic fibration of dg props

$$End_x \times_{Hom_{xz}} (i^*, p_*) \times_{Hom_{zy}} End_y : End_{\Xi(f:x \rightarrow y)} \xrightarrow{\sim} End_f.$$

Now let us note $X_b = \mathbb{K}e_0$, $Y_b = \mathbb{K}e_1$ and $f_b : X_b \rightarrow Y_b$ the morphism sending e_0 to e_1 . This morphism admits a factorization

$$X_b \xrightarrow[\sim]{i_b} Z_b \xrightarrow[p_b]{\twoheadrightarrow} Y_b.$$

Our goal is to prove that for every natural integers m and n , we have isomorphisms of chain complexes

$$Hom_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}}(z^{\otimes m}, z^{\otimes n}) \cong Hom_{Ch}(Z_b^{\otimes m}, Z_b^{\otimes n}) \otimes P(m, n),$$

$$Hom_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}}(z^{\otimes m}, y^{\otimes n}) \cong Hom_{Ch}(Z_b^{\otimes m}, Y_b^{\otimes n}) \otimes P(m, n)$$

and

$$Hom_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}}(x^{\otimes m}, z^{\otimes n}) \cong Hom_{Ch}(X_b^{\otimes m}, Z_b^{\otimes n}) \otimes P(m, n).$$

The method is exactly the same for the three cases, so we just write the argument for the third isomorphism. We need to determine the tensor powers of z . For every natural integer n , the object $z^{\otimes n}$ is given by the direct sum of shuffles

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq i \leq n \\ \sigma \in Sh(i, m-i) \\ \tau \in Sh(j, m-j)}} \sigma_*((\mathbb{K}e_0 \otimes x)^{\otimes n-i}, \tau_*((\mathbb{K}e_{01} \otimes y)^{\otimes j}, (\mathbb{K}e_1 \otimes y)^{\otimes i-j})),$$

where the action $\sigma_*(A^{\otimes k}, B^{\otimes l})$ of a (k, l) -shuffle σ on a pair of tensor powers $(A^{\otimes k}, B^{\otimes l})$ permutes the variables of the tensor product $A^{\otimes k} \otimes B^{\otimes l}$. The twisting of $z^{\otimes n}$ is determined by

$$\mathbf{tw}_{0,01}^{\otimes n} = e_{01}^{\otimes n} \otimes (e_0^\vee)^{\otimes n} \otimes f^{\circ_h n}$$

and

$$\mathbf{tw}_{1,01}^{\otimes n} = e_{01}^{\otimes n} \otimes (e_1^\vee)^{\otimes n} \otimes (-id)^{\circ_h n}$$

where \circ_h is the horizontal composition product of the dg prop $P_{x \rightarrow y}$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}}(x^{\otimes m}, z^{\otimes n}) &= \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq i \leq n \\ \sigma \in Sh(i, m-i) \\ \tau \in Sh(j, m-j)}} Hom_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}}(x^{\otimes m}, \sigma_*((\mathbb{K}e_0 \otimes x)^{\otimes n-i}, \tau_*((\mathbb{K}e_{01} \otimes y)^{\otimes j}, (\mathbb{K}e_1 \otimes y)^{\otimes i-j}))) \\ &\cong \\ &= \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq i \leq n \\ \sigma \in Sh(i, m-i) \\ \tau \in Sh(j, m-j)}} \mathbb{K}e_0^{\otimes n-i} \otimes \mathbb{K}e_{01}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathbb{K}e_1^{\otimes i-j} \otimes Hom_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}}(x^{\otimes m}, \sigma_*(x^{\otimes n-i}, \tau_*(y^{\otimes j}, y^{\otimes i-j}))) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$Hom_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}}(x^{\otimes m}, \sigma_*(x^{\otimes n-i}, \tau_*(y^{\otimes j}, y^{\otimes i-j}))) = P_{x \rightarrow y}(x, \dots, x; \sigma_*(x, \dots, x, \tau_*(y, \dots, y)))$$

where $P_{x \rightarrow y}(x, \dots, x; \sigma_*(x, \dots, x, \tau_*(y, \dots, y)))$ has m copies of the color x in input, and in output $n - i$ colors x and i colors y permuted by the shuffles σ and τ .

We want to build an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq i \leq n \\ \sigma \in Sh(i, m-i) \\ \tau \in Sh(j, m-j)}} \mathbb{K}e_0^{\otimes n-i} \otimes \mathbb{K}e_{01}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathbb{K}e_1^{\otimes i-j} \otimes P_{x \rightarrow y}(x, \dots, x; \sigma_*(x, \dots, x, \tau_*(y, \dots, y))) \\
 & \cong \\
 & \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq i \leq n \\ \sigma \in Sh(i, m-i) \\ \tau \in Sh(j, m-j)}} Hom_{Ch}(X_b^{\otimes m}, \sigma_*(X_b^{\otimes n-i}, \tau_*(Y_b[-1]^{\otimes j}, Y_b^{\otimes i-j}))) \otimes P(m, n)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $[-1]$ is the degree shift applied to the chain complex Y_b . For this, we define in each component (i, j, σ, τ) of the direct sum an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{K}e_0^{\otimes n-i} \otimes \mathbb{K}e_{01}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathbb{K}e_1^{\otimes i-j} \otimes P_{x \rightarrow y}(x, \dots, x; \sigma_*(x, \dots, x, \tau_*(y, \dots, y))) \\
 & \rightarrow \\
 & Hom_{Ch}(X_b^{\otimes m}, \sigma_*(X_b^{\otimes n-i}, \tau_*(Y_b[-1]^{\otimes j}, Y_b^{\otimes i-j}))) \otimes P(m, n)
 \end{aligned}$$

which sends any

$$\xi \in P_{x \rightarrow y}(x, \dots, x; \sigma_*(x, \dots, x, \tau_*(y, \dots, y)))$$

to

$$\sigma_* \tau_* \otimes \sigma_*(f^{\circ_h n-i}, id^{\circ_h i}) \circ_v \xi,$$

where $\sigma_* \tau_*$ is the unique homomorphism sending $e_0^{\otimes m}$ to $\sigma_*(e_0^{\otimes n-i}, \tau_*(e_{01}^{\otimes j}, e_1^{\otimes i-j}))$ and $\sigma_*(f^{\circ_h n-i}, id^{\circ_h i})$ is the permutation of the variables in the iterated horizontal product $f \circ_h \dots \circ_h f \circ_h id \circ_h \dots \circ_h id$ by σ .

Finally, since $((i_b^{\otimes m})^*, (p_b^{\otimes n})_*)$ is the tensor product of $((i_b^{\otimes m})^*, (p_b^{\otimes n})_*)$ by $P(m, n)$, it remains to apply the methods of [12, Lemma 8.3] in the category of chain complexes, for X_b and Y_b , to prove that $((i_b^{\otimes m})^*, (p_b^{\otimes n})_*)$ is an acyclic fibration. We write the arguments here for the sake of clarity. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Hom_{Ch}(Z_b^{\otimes m}, Z_b^{\otimes n}) & \xrightarrow{(p_b^{\otimes n})_*} & Hom_{Ch}(Z_b^{\otimes m}, Y_b^{\otimes n}) \\
 \searrow^{((i_b^{\otimes m})^*, (p_b^{\otimes n})_*)} & \text{pullback} \longrightarrow & \downarrow (i_b^{\otimes m})^* \\
 & & Hom_{Ch}(X_b^{\otimes m}, Y_b^{\otimes n}) \\
 \searrow^{(i_b^{\otimes m})^*} & \downarrow & \xrightarrow{(p_b^{\otimes n})_*} \\
 & Hom_{Ch}(X_b^{\otimes m}, Z_b^{\otimes n}) &
 \end{array}$$

Recall that chain complexes over a field are all cofibrant and fibrant in the model structure of \mathcal{Ch} . The map i_b is a cofibration and X_b is cofibrant, so by the pushout-product axiom, for every integer n the map $i_b^{\otimes n} : X_b^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Z_b^{\otimes n}$ is a cofibration. The category \mathcal{Ch} satisfies the limit monoid axioms [12, Section 6] and Y_b is fibrant, so for every integer n the map $p_b^{\otimes n} : Z_b^{\otimes n} \rightarrow Y_b^{\otimes n}$ is a fibration [12, Proposition 6.7]. Moreover, by the pushout-product axiom, the tensor product preserves acyclic cofibrations between cofibrant objects, so by Brown's Lemma it preserves weak equivalences between cofibrant objects. Given that Z_b and Y_b are cofibrant, it

implies that $p_b^{\otimes n}$ is an acyclic fibration. According to the dual pushout-product axiom, the fact that $i_b^{\otimes m}$ is a cofibration and $p_b^{\otimes n}$ is an acyclic fibration implies that $((i_b^{\otimes m})^*, (p_b^{\otimes n})_*)$ is an acyclic fibration. \square

3. THE SUBCATEGORY OF ACYCLIC FIBRATIONS

The goal of this section is to prove that the classifying space of weak equivalences of P -algebras is weakly equivalent to the classifying space of acyclic fibrations of P -algebras:

Theorem 3.1. *Let P be a cofibrant dg prop. The inclusion of categories $i : fwCh^P \hookrightarrow wCh^P$ gives rise to a weak equivalence of simplicial sets $\mathcal{N}fwCh^P \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}wCh^P$.*

Remark 3.2. Actually, the methods of [30] can be transposed in our setting to prove the following much stronger statement. We refer the reader to the seminal papers [8], [9] and [10] for the notions of simplicial localization, hammock localization and Dwyer-Kan equivalences of simplicial categories. The inclusion of categories $i : fwCh^P \hookrightarrow wCh^P$ induces a Dwyer-Kan equivalence of hammock localizations

$$L^H(Ch^P, fwCh^P) \xrightarrow{\sim} L^H(Ch^P, wCh^P).$$

We refer the reader to [30] for more details about this proof, which relies on the properties of several models of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories (simplicial categories [2], relative categories [1] and complete Segal spaces [26]).

To prove this theorem, we use Quillen's Theorem A [24]: we have to check that for every chain complex X , the nerve of the comma category $(X \downarrow i)$ is contractible. For this aim, we prove the following more general result:

Proposition 3.3. *Let \mathcal{I} be a small category. Every simplicial map $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)$ is null up to homotopy.*

As a consequence we get:

Proposition 3.4. *The simplicial set $\mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)$ is contractible.*

To prove Proposition 3.4, we apply Proposition 3.3, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, to the subdivision category of a simplicial model of the n -sphere S^n . We take $\partial\Delta^{n+1}$ as simplicial model of S^n and note $sd\partial\Delta^{n+1}$ its subdivision category. We then use general arguments of homotopical algebra:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D} : G$ be a Quillen adjunction. It induces natural isomorphisms*

$$Map_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), Y) \cong Map_{\mathcal{C}}(X, G(Y))$$

where X is a cofibrant object of \mathcal{C} and Y a fibrant object of \mathcal{D} .

Proof. We will use the definition of mapping spaces via cosimplicial frames. The proof works as well with simplicial frames. The adjunction (F, G) induces an adjunction at the level of diagram categories

$$F : \mathcal{C}^{\Delta} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}^{\Delta} : G.$$

Now let $\phi : A^{\bullet} \twoheadrightarrow B^{\bullet}$ be a Reedy cofibration between Reedy cofibrant objects of \mathcal{C}^{Δ} . This is equivalent, by definition, to say that for every integer r the maps

$$(\lambda, \phi)_r : L^r B \coprod_{L^r A} A^r \twoheadrightarrow B^r$$

induced by ϕ and the latching object construction $L^\bullet A$ are cofibrations in \mathcal{C} . Let us consider the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^r A & \longrightarrow & A^r \\ L^r \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L^r B & \longrightarrow & L^r B \amalg_{L^r A} A^r \end{array} .$$

The fact that ϕ is a Reedy cofibration implies that for every r , the map $L^r \phi$ is a cofibration. Since cofibrations are stable under pushouts, the map $A^r \rightarrow L^r B \amalg_{L^r A} A^r$ is also a cofibration. By assumption, the cosimplicial object A^\bullet is Reedy cofibrant, so it is in particular pointwise cofibrant. We deduce that $L^r B \amalg_{L^r A} A^r$ is cofibrant. Similarly, each B^r is cofibrant since B^\bullet is Reedy cofibrant. The map $(\lambda, \phi)_r$ is a cofibration between cofibrant objects and F is a left Quillen functor, so $F((\lambda, \phi)_r)$ is a cofibration of \mathcal{D} between cofibrant objects. Recall that the r^{th} latching object construction is defined by a colimit. As a left adjoint, the functor F commutes with colimits so we get a cofibration

$$L^r F(B^\bullet) \amalg_{L^r F(A^\bullet)} F(A^r) \twoheadrightarrow F(B^r).$$

This means that $F(\phi)$ is a Reedy cofibration in \mathcal{D}^Δ . Now, given that Reedy weak equivalences are the pointwise equivalences, if ϕ is a Reedy weak equivalence between Reedy cofibrant objects then it is in particular a pointwise weak equivalence between pointwise cofibrant objects, hence $F(\phi)$ is a Reedy weak equivalence in \mathcal{D}^Δ . We conclude that F induces a left Quillen functor between cosimplicial objects for the Reedy model structures. In particular, it sends any cosimplicial frame of a cofibrant object X of \mathcal{C} to a cosimplicial frame of $F(X)$. \square

Remark 3.6. The isomorphism above holds if the cosimplicial frame for the left-hand mapping space is chosen to be the image under F of the cosimplicial frame of the right-hand mapping space. But recall that cosimplicial frames on a given object are all weakly equivalent, so that for any choice of cosimplicial frame we get at least weakly equivalent mapping spaces.

Now, recall that the geometric realization functor and the singular complex functor induce a Quillen equivalence

$$|-| : sSet \rightleftarrows Top : Sing_\bullet(-)$$

between topological spaces and simplicial sets. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Map_{sSet}(\mathcal{N}sd\partial\Delta^{n+1}, \mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)) &\simeq Map_{sSet}(\mathcal{N}sd\partial\Delta^{n+1}, Sing_\bullet(|\mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)|)) \\ &\simeq Map_{Top}(|\mathcal{N}sd\partial\Delta^{n+1}|, |\mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)|) \\ &\simeq Map_{Top}(S^n, |\mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)|) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$|Map_{sSet}(\mathcal{N}sd\partial\Delta^{n+1}, \mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i))| \simeq |Map_{Top}(S^n, |\mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)|)|,$$

in particular

$$\pi_0 |Map_{sSet}(\mathcal{N}sd\partial\Delta^{n+1}, \mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i))| \cong [S^n, |\mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)|]_{Ho(Top)}.$$

Proposition 3.3 means that for every integer n , the space $|Map_{sSet}(\mathcal{N}sd\partial\Delta^{n+1}, \mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i))|$ has only one connected component (the component of the zero map), that is, the homotopy groups of $|\mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)|$ are trivial.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. The category $(X \downarrow i)$ has weak equivalences $X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ as objects and acyclic fibrations as morphisms. It contains the initial object $X \xrightarrow{\cong} X$ of $(X \downarrow Ch)$.

Every simplicial map $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(X \downarrow i)$ comes from a functor $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow (X \downarrow i)$, i.e a \mathcal{I} -diagram in $(X \downarrow i)$. Let F be such a functor. Let \overline{X} be the initial \mathcal{I} -diagram, that is the constant diagram on $X \xrightarrow{\cong} X$. In order to simplify notations, we note Y for a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ (an object of $(X \downarrow Ch)$) and $Y \rightarrow Y'$ for a commutative triangle relating $X \rightarrow Y$ to $X \rightarrow Y'$ (a morphism of $(X \downarrow Ch)$). The diagram $F \times \overline{X} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow (X \downarrow Ch)$ is defined on objects by $F \times \overline{X}(k) = F(k) \times X$ and on arrows by $F \times \overline{X}(\phi) = F(\phi) \times id_X$. Applying the functorial factorization of Theorem 2.9 to the unique initial morphism $\overline{X} \rightarrow F \times \overline{X}$, we get a decomposition in $(X \downarrow Ch)^P$ into a diagram \mathcal{Y} given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \overline{X} \\
 & \xrightarrow{=} & \nearrow \\
 \overline{X} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{i} & G \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow p_1 \\
 & & F \\
 & \xrightarrow{=} & \downarrow p_2 \\
 & & \bullet
 \end{array}$$

where the functor G is defined pointwise by the functorial factorization of Theorem 2.9. The map $(p_1, p_2) : G \rightarrow F \times \overline{X}$ is a pointwise fibration and i is a pointwise acyclic cofibration of chain complexes. Since the map $(p_1, p_2) : G \rightarrow F \times \overline{X}$ is a pointwise fibration and F and \overline{X} are pointwise fibrant, the maps p_1 and p_2 are pointwise acyclic fibrations: the product $F \times \overline{X}$ is given by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F \times \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{p_1} & X \\
 p_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 F & \longrightarrow & \bullet
 \end{array}$$

and pointwise fibrations are stable under pullbacks so p_1 and p_2 are pointwise fibrations. Since $id_{\overline{X}} = p_1 \circ i$ and $\overline{X} \rightarrow F = p_2 \circ i$ are weak equivalences, the maps p_1 and p_2 are acyclic by the two-out-of-three property.

The functors \overline{X} and F take their values in $(X \downarrow i)$ by definition. This implies that the functor G sends morphisms of \mathcal{I} to acyclic fibrations by definition of the functorial factorization in chain complexes. We obtain consequently a zigzag of natural transformations $\overline{X} \leftarrow G \rightarrow F$ of functors $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow (X \downarrow i)$. This zigzag implies that $\mathcal{N}F$ is homotopic to $\mathcal{N}\overline{X}$, which is itself null up to homotopy. This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.3. \square

4. MODULI SPACES OF ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES AS HOMOTOPY FIBERS

4.1. Moduli spaces of algebra structures on fibrations. The results of this subsection holds for algebras in \mathcal{E} over a prop in \mathcal{C} , where the category \mathcal{C} is a cofibrantly generated symmetric monoidal model category and the category \mathcal{E} is a

cofibrantly generated symmetric monoidal model category over \mathcal{C} . However, for the sake of simplicity we explain only the case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Ch}$.

We start by recalling [12, Lemma 7.2]. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of \mathcal{Ch} , we have a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\{A \rightarrow f B\}} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \text{End}_B \\ d_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ \text{End}_A & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{Hom}_{AB} \end{array}$$

where Hom_{AB} is defined by $\text{Hom}_{AB}(m, n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Ch}}(A^{\otimes m}, B^{\otimes n})$.

Lemma 4.1 ([12, Lemma 7.2]). (1) If f is a (acyclic) fibration then so is d_0 .

(2) If f is a cofibration, then d_1 is a fibration. If f is also acyclic then d_1 is an acyclic fibration and d_0 a weak equivalence.

Remark 4.2. It is a generalization in the prop context of [25, Proposition 4.1.7] and [25, Proposition 4.1.8].

Lemma 4.3. Let $X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ be a chain of fibrations of chain complexes. For every $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the map d_0 in the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\{X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0\}} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \text{End}_{\{X_k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0\}} \\ d_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{End}_{\{X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{k+1}\}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{X_{k+1}X_k} \end{array}$$

is a fibration. Moreover, if the fibrations in the chain $X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ are acyclic then so is d_0 .

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction. The case $n = 1$ is Lemma 4.1. Now suppose that our lemma is true for a given integer $n \geq 1$. Let $X_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0$ be a chain of fibrations of complexes. We distinguish two cases:

-**the case $k = n$:** we have the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_{\{X_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0\}} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \text{End}_{\{X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0\}} \\ d_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{End}_{X_{n+1}} & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{Hom}_{X_{n+1}X_n} \end{array}$$

where $f : X_{n+1} \rightarrow X_n$. The fact that f is a fibration implies that f_* is a fibration, so d_0 is a fibration because of the stability of fibrations under pullback, and the acyclicity of f implies the acyclicity of d_0 . The detailed proof of these statements is done in the proof of [12, Lemma 7.2].

-**the case $0 \leq k \leq n-1$:** $d_0 = \text{End}_{\{X_{n+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0\}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\{X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0\}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\{X_k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0\}}$ is the composite of a map satisfying the induction hypothesis with the map of the case $k = n$, so the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 4.4. This lemma is the generalization in the prop context of [25, Proposition 4.1.9].

We deduce from lemmata 4.1 and 4.3 the following properties of our moduli spaces:

Proposition 4.5. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a chain complex morphism and P be a cofibrant dg prop. The pullback of lemma 5.9 gives rise to the following diagram of simplicial sets:*

$$P\{X\} \xleftarrow{(d_1)_*} P\{f\} \xrightarrow{(d_0)_*} P\{Y\}$$

(1) *If f is a cofibration then $(d_1)_*$ is a fibration. Moreover, if f is acyclic then $(d_0)_*$ and $(d_1)_*$ are weak equivalences.*

(2) *If f is a fibration then $(d_0)_*$ is a fibration. Moreover, if f is acyclic then $(d_0)_*$ and $(d_1)_*$ are weak equivalences.*

Proof. (1) If f is a cofibration then d_1 is a fibration. So $(d_1)_*$ is a fibration of simplicial sets according to Proposition 1.11. If f is acyclic, then d_0 and d_1 are weak equivalences. Every chain complex is fibrant and cofibrant, and fibrations of props are determined componentwise, so End_X and End_Y are fibrant props. This implies that $End_{\{f\}}$ is also fibrant. We deduce from this and Proposition 1.11 that $(d_0)_*$ and $(d_1)_*$ are weak equivalences.

(2) The proof is the same as in the previous case. \square

By induction we can also prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4.6. *Let $X_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots \xrightarrow{\sim} X_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0$ be a chain of acyclic fibrations and P be a cofibrant dg prop. For every $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the map $(d_0)_*$ is an acyclic fibration and $(d_1)_*$ a weak equivalence in the diagram below:*

$$P\{X_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{k+1}\} \xleftarrow{(d_1)_*} P\{X_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0\} \xrightarrow{(d_0)_*} P\{X_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \dots \xrightarrow{\sim} X_1\}.$$

Remark 4.7. Propositions 4.5 and 4.6 are generalizations in the prop context of [25, Proposition 4.1.11], [25, Proposition 4.1.12] and [25, Proposition 4.1.13].

4.2. Proof of Theorem 0.1. We have now all the key results to generalize Rezk's theorem to algebras over dg props. The remaining arguments are the same as that of Rezk, so we will not repeat it with all details but essentially show how our Theorem 3.1, as well as the main theorem of [29], fit in the proof.

Let P be a cofibrant dg prop, and $\mathcal{N}wCh^{P \otimes \Delta^\bullet}$ the bisimplicial set defined by

$$(\mathcal{N}wCh^{P \otimes \Delta^\bullet})_{m,n} = (\mathcal{N}wCh^{cf})^{P \otimes \Delta^n}_m.$$

The dg prop P is cofibrant, thus so is $P \otimes \Delta^n$ for every $n \geq 0$. According to Theorem 3.1, we have a weak equivalence induced by an inclusion of categories

$$\mathcal{N}fwCh^{P \otimes \Delta^n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}wCh^{P \otimes \Delta^n}$$

Moreover, for every $n, n' \geq 0$, $\Delta^n \rightarrow \Delta^{n'}$ induces a weak equivalence of cofibrant dg props $P \otimes \Delta^n \rightarrow P \otimes \Delta^{n'}$ and thereby a weak equivalence of simplicial sets

$$\mathcal{N}wCh^{P \otimes \Delta^{n'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}wCh^{P \otimes \Delta^n}$$

according to [29, Theorem 0.1]. We obtain a zigzag of weak equivalences

$$diag \mathcal{N}fwCh^{P \otimes \Delta^\bullet} \xrightarrow{\sim} diag \mathcal{N}wCh^{P \otimes \Delta^\bullet} \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}wCh^P$$

We use an adaptation of a slightly modified version of Quillen's theorem B (cf. [24]), namely [25, Lemma 4.2.2], in order to determine the homotopy fiber of the map $diagNfwCh^{P\otimes\Delta^\bullet} \rightarrow NfwCh$. To prove that our map verifies the hypotheses of this lemma we use the propositions of Section 4.1 exactly in the same way as Rezk in the operadic case. Then we check that $diag(U \downarrow X) \simeq P\{X\}$ where $U : fwCh^{P\otimes\Delta^\bullet} \rightarrow fwCh$ is the forgetful functor (by using again the propositions of Section 4.1) and finally we get the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P\{X\} & \longrightarrow & diagNfwCh^{P\otimes\Delta^\bullet} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & diagNwCh^{P\otimes\Delta^\bullet} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & NwCh^P \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 pt & \longrightarrow & NfwCh & \xrightarrow{\sim} & NwCh & &
 \end{array}$$

The proof of Theorem 0.1 is complete.

Remark 4.8. Note that we can recover the transfer theorem of bialgebras structures of [12, Theorem A] as a consequence of Theorem 0.1. Indeed, let P be a cofibrant dg prop in Ch . Let $X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ be a morphism of Ch such that Y is endowed with a P -algebra structure. We have a homotopy pullback of simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 P\{X\} & \xrightarrow{p} & NwCh^P \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{N}U \\
 \{X\} & \longrightarrow & NwCh
 \end{array}$$

which induces an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$\pi_0 P\{X\} \rightarrow \pi_0 NwCh^P \rightarrow \pi_0 NwCh.$$

The base point of the set $\pi_0 NwCh$ is the weak equivalence class of X , denoted by $[X]$. The weak equivalence $X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ in Ch implies that we have the equality $[Y] = [X]$ and thus $\pi_0 \mathcal{N}U([Y]_P) = [X]$, where $[Y]_P$ is the weak equivalence class of Y in Ch^P . The exactness of the above sequence implies that $\pi_0 p(P\{X\}) = (\pi_0 \mathcal{N}U)^{-1}([X])$ so $[Y]_P \in \pi_0 p(P\{X\})$. This means that there exists a P -algebra structure on X such that we have a zigzag of P -algebras morphisms

$$X \xleftarrow{\sim} \dots \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$$

which are weak equivalences of Ch .

Remark 4.9. We do not address the case of simplicial sets. However [17, Theorem 1.4] endows the algebras over a colored prop in simplicial sets with a model category structure. Moreover, the free algebra functor exists in this case. Therefore one can transpose the methods used in the operadic setting to obtain a simplicial version of [29, Theorem 0.1]. Theorem 0.1 in simplicial sets can be proved by following step by step Rezk's original proof. We also conjecture that our results have a version in simplicial modules which would follow from arguments similar to ours.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Barwick and D. M. Kan, *Relative categories: another model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories*, *Indagationes Mathematicae* 23 (2012), 42–68.
- [2] J. Bergner, *A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 359 (2007), 2043–2058.

- [3] M. Chas, D. Sullivan, *String topology*, preprint, arXiv:math/9911159.
- [4] M. Chas, D. Sullivan, *Closed string operators leading to Lie bialgebras and higher string algebra*, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, 771-784, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [5] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, M. M. Kapranov, *Derived Hilbert schemes*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), 787-815.
- [6] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proc. ICM Berkeley 1986, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, vol. 1 (1987), 798-820.
- [7] V. G. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with $Gal(\overline{Q}/Q)$* , Algebra i Analiz 2 (1990), 149-181. English translation in Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), 829-860.
- [8] W. G. Dwyer, D. M. Kan, *Simplicial localization of categories*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 17 (1980), 267-284.
- [9] W. G. Dwyer, D. M. Kan, *Calculating simplicial localizations*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 18 (1980), 17-35.
- [10] W. G. Dwyer, D. M. Kan, *Function complexes in homotopical algebra*, Topology 19 (1980), pp. 427-440.
- [11] Y. Fregier, M. Markl, D. Yau, *The L_∞ -deformation complex of diagrams of algebras*, New York J. Math. 15 (2009), 353-392.
- [12] Benoit Fresse, *Props in model categories and homotopy invariance of structures*, Georgian Math. J. 17 (2010), pp. 79-160.
- [13] Benoit Fresse, *Modules over operads and functors*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1967, Springer-Verlag (2009).
- [14] Paul G. Goerss, John F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Modern Birkhauser Classics, Birkhauser Verlag (1999).
- [15] Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs volume 99, AMS (2003).
- [16] Mark Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs volume 63, AMS (1999).
- [17] Mark W. Johnson, Donald Yau, *On homotopy invariance for algebras over colored PROPs*, Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures 4 (2009), 275-315.
- [18] G. M. Kelly, *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 64 (1982), 245 pp.
- [19] J. Kock, *Frobenius algebras and 2D topological quantum field theories*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts No. 59, 2003.
- [20] Saunders MacLane, *Categorical algebra*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Volume 71, Number 1 (1965), 40-106.
- [21] Martin Markl, *Homotopy algebras are homotopy algebras*, Forum Math. 16 (2004), 129-160.
- [22] Martin Markl, *Intrinsic brackets and the L_∞ -deformation theory of bialgebras*, Journal of Homotopy and related structures (2010).
- [23] Serguei Merkulov, Bruno Vallette, *Deformation theory of representations of properads II*, Journal fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal), Issue 636 (2009).
- [24] Daniel G. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I*, in Higher K-theories, Vol. I, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 341, Springer-Verlag (1973), pp. 85-147.
- [25] Charles Rezk, *Spaces of algebra structures and cohomology of operads*, PhD Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1996).
- [26] C. Rezk, *A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 353 (2001), 973-1007.
- [27] Michael Schlessinger, James Stasheff, *The Lie algebra structure of tangent cohomology and deformation theory*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 38 (1985), 313-322.
- [28] V. G. Turaev, *Skein quantization of Poisson algebras of loops on surfaces*, Ann. Sci. ENS 24 (1991), no. 6, 635-704.
- [29] S. Yalin, *Classifying spaces of algebras over a prop*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology 14, issue 5 (2014), 2561-2593.
- [30] S. Yalin, *Simplicial localization of homotopy algebras over a prop*, Math. Proc. of Cambridge Phil. Soc. 2014, 157 (2014), no. 3, pp. 457-468.
- [31] S. Yalin, *Moduli stacks of algebraic structures and deformation theory*, to appear in J. Non-commut. Geom.

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN
5, 2100 KØBENHAVN
E-mail address: `yalinprop@gmail.com`