

How can we capture Multiword Expressions?

Seongmin Mun, Guillaume Desagulier, Kyungwon Lee

▶ To cite this version:

Seongmin Mun, Guillaume Desagulier, Kyungwon Lee. How can we capture Multiword Expressions?. 5th International Conference on Statistical Language and Speech Processing SLSP 2017, Oct 2017, Le Mans, France. hal-01705515

HAL Id: hal-01705515

https://hal.science/hal-01705515

Submitted on 9 Feb 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

How can we capture multiword expressions?

Seongmin Mun , Guillaume Desagulier , Kyungwon Lee

* Lifemedia Interdisciplinary Program, Ajou University * UMR 7114 MoDyCo - CNRS, University Paris Nanterre


[†] UMR 7114 MoDyCo - University Paris 8, CNRS, University Paris Nanterre

SLSP Springer *

[‡] Department of Digital Media, Ajou University [†] stat34@ajou.ac.kr, [†]guillaume.desagulier@univ-paris8.fr, [‡] kwlee@ajou.ac.kr







Processing

- N-grams
- Dependency tag
- POS tagging

Pre-processing

- Cleaning with RegExp
- Lemmatization
- Tokenization
- Lowercasing

English dictionaries

- THE DEVIL'S DICTIONARY ((C)1911 Released April 15 1993)
- Easton's 1897 Bible Dictionary
- Elements database 20001107
- The Free On-line Dictionary of Computing (27 SEP 03)
- U.S. Gazetteer (1990)
- The Collaborative International Dictionary of English v.0.44
- Hitchcock's Bible Names Dictionary (late 1800's)
- Jargon File (4.3.1, 29 June 2001)
- Virtual Entity of Relevant Acronyms (Version 1.9, June 2002)
- WordNet (r) 2.0
- CIA World Factbook 2002
- User Dictionary

Figure 1: Data processing structure. Framework for topic acquisition from corpus data.

Introduction

Topics in a text corpus include features and information. Analyzing these topics can improve a user's understanding of the corpus. These topics can be divided into two types: those whose meaning can be described in one word and those whose meaning in expressed through a recurring combination of words, also known as multiword expressions (MWE). Out of context, the MWE 'she sets the bar high' is ambiguous between a literal and a metaphorical reading. Ambiguity resolution is needed to extract accurate topics. Several well-known techniques have been proposed for topic extraction: TF*PDF (Khoo Khyou Bun et al., 2002), Topic Detection and Tracking (Kuan-Yu Chen et al., 2007), LDA (T. L. Griffiths and M. Steyvers, 2004), inter alia. However, most of these techniques target single words, not MWEs. In this paper, we propose a system that extracts MWE-based topics accurately. Our algorithm breaks down into six steps: Recognition, Pre-Processing, Processing, Candidate Extraction, Topic Validation, and Storing. We benchmark the Evaluation step using ambiguous sentences. Results show that the algorithm identifies MWEs faster and more accurately. This is because it detects problematic expressions, parses them in the light of a repository of resolved MWEs, and manages to provide a correct interpretation. Compiling a repository of MWEs that are correctly parsed and interpreted is time consuming. We show how this can be solved in the near future.

Case study

We present a data processing architecture for extracting MWEs from corpus (Figure 1). In the processing, candidate words were extracted from a combination of tokenized words with N-grams and reference relations of words with Dependency structure. Dependency is the notion that linguistic units, e.g. words, are connected to each other by directed links (Mel'čuk, Igor A., 2012). Figure 2 illustrates how we can extract multiword candidates with dependency structure from the example

sentence: 'Shall I wake him up?'

```
Result of dependency graph below
dependency graph:
-> wake/VBP (root)
-> Shall/NNP (nsubj)
-> I/PRP (dep)
-> him/PRP (dobj)
-> up/RP (compound:prt)
-> ?/. (punct)
Result of multiword candidates

wake Shall
Shall I
wake Shall I
wake him
wake up
wake ?
```

Figure 2: Words candidates from Dependency structure

Figure 3 shows the results of extracting meaningful words using only N-grams and extracting meaningful words using both dependency tags and N-grams. The result shows that more meaningful words are returned when both methods are used.

```
Final result below

0. wake is meaningful: wake
1. shall is meaningful: shall
2. i is meaningful: i
3. up is meaningful: up
4. shall i is meaningful: shall i
5. him is meaningful: him

Final result below

0. wake is meaningful: wake
1. shall i is meaningful: shall i
2. i is meaningful: i
3. wake up is meaningful: wake up
4. up is meaningful: up
5. him is meaningful: him
6. shall is meaningful: shall
```

N-gram

N-gram & Dependency parser

Figure 3: Comparing the MWEs to N-gram and Dependency tag

Conclusion

Often, MWEs cause problems; Google translation, Stanford CoreNLP, etc. Those problems can be solved if the algorithm can extract and recognize MWEs correctly. For this reason, we made a parsing algorithm to extract MWEs from the corpus. The case study shows how to extract MWEs. In the near future, we will create a web application based on our algorithm to visualize the results and integrate the user's input on MWEs.