



HAL
open science

Convexity and inequalities related to extended beta and confluent hypergeometric functions

Feng Qi, Kottakkaran Sooppy Nisar, Gauhar Rahman

► **To cite this version:**

Feng Qi, Kottakkaran Sooppy Nisar, Gauhar Rahman. Convexity and inequalities related to extended beta and confluent hypergeometric functions. *AIMS Mathematics*, 2019, 4 (5), pp.1499–1507. 10.3934/math.2019.5.1499 . hal-01703900v2

HAL Id: hal-01703900

<https://hal.science/hal-01703900v2>

Submitted on 19 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Research article

Convexity and inequalities related to extended beta and confluent hypergeometric functions

Feng Qi^{1,2,3,*}, Kottakkaran Sooppy Nisar⁴, and Gauhar Rahman⁵

¹ College of Mathematics, Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, Tongliao 028043, China

² School of Mathematical Sciences, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300387, China

³ Institute of Mathematics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454010, China

⁴ Department of Mathematics, College of Arts and Science at Wadi Al Dawaser, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Wadi Al Dawaser 11991, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

⁵ Department of Mathematics, Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University, Sheringal 18000, Upper Dir, Pakistan

* **Correspondence:** qifeng618@gmail.com

Abstract: In the paper, the authors establish the logarithmic convexity and some inequalities for the extended beta function and, by using these inequalities for the extended beta function, find the logarithmic convexity and the monotonicity for the extended confluent hypergeometric function.

Keywords: extended beta function; extended confluent hypergeometric function; inequality; logarithmic convexity; monotonicity

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 33B15; Secondary 26D15, 33B99

1. Preliminaries

In [6], the extended beta function was defined by

$$B(x, y; p) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} e^{-p/t(1-t)} dt, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Re(p), \Re(x), \Re(y) > 0$. It is clear that, if $p = 0$, then $B(x, y; 0) = B(x, y)$ is just the classical beta function [28].

In [7], the extended confluent hypergeometric function was defined as

$$\Phi_p(\beta, \gamma; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B(\beta + n, \gamma - \beta; p) z^n}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta) n!},$$

where $\Re(p) > 0$ and $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. An integral representation of $\Phi_p(\beta, \gamma; z)$ was given in [7, Eq. (3.7)] by

$$\Phi_p(\beta, \gamma; z) = \frac{1}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1} \exp\left(zt - \frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \quad (1.2)$$

for $p \geq 0$ and $\Re(\gamma) > \Re(\beta) > 0$.

In [30], the extended beta function $B(x, y; p)$ defined by (1.1) was generalized as

$$B_\lambda^p(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Re(p), \Re(x), \Re(y), \lambda > 0$, $E_\lambda(x) = E_{\lambda,1}(x)$ denotes the Mittag-Leffler function

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)},$$

and $\Gamma(z)$ is the classical Euler gamma function which can be defined [16, 23, 36] by

$$\Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! n^z}{\prod_{k=0}^n (z+k)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$$

or by

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \Re(z) > 0.$$

It is clear that

$$B_1^p(x, y) = B(x, y; p) \quad \text{and} \quad B_1^1(x, y) = B(x, y).$$

It is well known [11, 15] that, when $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\lambda(-w)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, when $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\lambda(-w)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$. For detailed information on complete monotonicity, please refer to [17, 24] and the closely related references therein.

In [30], the extended confluent hypergeometric function $\Phi_p(\beta, \gamma; z)$ defined by (1.2) was generalized as

$$\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n, \gamma - \beta)}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta)} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (1.4)$$

In [30, Eq. (31)], an integral representation of $\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; z)$ was given by

$$\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; z) = \frac{1}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1} \exp(zt) E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \quad (1.5)$$

for $p \geq 0$, $\lambda > 0$, and $\Re(\gamma) > \Re(\beta) > 0$.

It is obvious that $\Phi_p^1(\beta, \gamma, z) = \Phi_p(\beta, \gamma; z)$ and $\Phi_0^1(\beta, \gamma, z) = \Phi(\beta, \gamma; z)$ which is the classical confluent hypergeometric series [28] and is the limit case of the Gauss hypergeometric function [27, 32–35].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall definitions of some convex functions and recite several lemmas needed in this paper. In Section 3, we present some inequalities for extended beta functions $B_\lambda^p(x, y)$ defined in (1.3). In Section 4, we find the monotonicity and the logarithmic convexity for functions related to extended confluent hypergeometric functions $\Phi_p(\beta, \gamma; z)$ defined in (1.4).

2. Definitions and lemmas

Now we recall definitions of some convex functions and recite several lemmas.

Definition 2.1 ([5, 22]). Let X be a convex set in a real vector space and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then f is said to be convex on X if the inequality

$$f(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) \leq \alpha f(x_1) + (1 - \alpha)f(x_2)$$

is valid for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

A function f is said to be concave if $-f$ is convex.

A function f is said to be logarithmically convex (or logarithmically concave respectively) on X if $f > 0$ and $\ln f$ (or $-\ln f$ respectively) is convex (or concave respectively) on X .

Lemma 2.1 ([8, 10, 21]). Let $f, g : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable and satisfy

$$[f(x) - f(y)][g(x) - g(y)] \geq 0$$

for all $x, y \in [a, b]$ and let $p(x) : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive integrable function. Then

$$\int_a^b p(x)f(x) \, dx \int_a^b p(x)g(x) \, dx \geq \int_a^b p(x) \, dx \int_a^b p(x)f(x)g(x) \, dx. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.2 ([29, 31]). Let θ_1 and θ_2 be positive numbers such that $\frac{1}{\theta_1} + \frac{1}{\theta_2} = 1$ and let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be integrable functions. Then

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x)g(x) \, dx \right| \leq \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^{\theta_1} \, dx \right)^{1/\theta_1} \left(\int_a^b |g(x)|^{\theta_2} \, dx \right)^{1/\theta_2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.3 ([4]). Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$, with $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_n > 0$ for all n , converge on $(-\alpha, \alpha)$. If the sequence $\{\frac{a_n}{b_n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is increasing (or decreasing respectively), then $x \mapsto \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ is also increasing (or decreasing respectively) on $(0, \alpha)$.

3. Inequalities for extended beta functions

Now we start off to establish inequalities for functions involving extended beta functions.

Theorem 3.1. If x, y, x_1, y_1 are positive numbers such that $(x - x_1)(y - y_1) \geq 0$, then, when $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$B_p^\lambda(x, y_1)B_p^\lambda(x_1, y) \leq B_p^\lambda(x_1, y_1)B_p^\lambda(x, y). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Consider the mappings $f, g, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $f(t) = t^{x-x_1}$, $g(t) = (1-t)^{y-y_1}$, and

$$h(t) = t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1}E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right).$$

Since $f'(t) = (x - x_1)t^{x-x_1-1}$ and $g'(t) = (y_1 - y)(1-t)^{y-y_1-1}$, the functions f and g have the same monotonicity on $[0, 1]$. Applying Chebyshev's integral inequality (2.1) to f, g , and h , we have

$$\int_a^b t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \int_a^b t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt$$

$$\leq \int_a^b t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \int_a^b t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt.$$

This can be rearranged as (3.1). The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

Corollary 3.1. For $x, y > 0$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$B_\lambda^p(x, y) \geq [B_\lambda^p(x, x)B_\lambda^p(y, y)]^{1/2}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.1 directly. \square

Theorem 3.2. The function $(x, y) \mapsto B_\lambda^p(x, y)$ is logarithmically convex on $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ for all $p \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Consequently,

$$\left[B_\lambda^p\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}, \frac{y_1 + y_2}{2}\right) \right]^2 \leq B_\lambda^p(x_1, y_1)B_\lambda^p(x_2, y_2). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in (0, \infty)^2$ and let $c, d \geq 0$ with $c + d = 1$. Then

$$B_\lambda^p(c(x_1, y_1) + d(x_2, y_2)) = B_\lambda^p(cx_1 + dx_2, cy_1 + dy_2).$$

By definition, we have

$$B_\lambda^p(c(x_1, y_1) + d(x_2, y_2)) = \int_0^1 t^{cx_1+dx_2-1}(1-t)^{cy_1+dy_2-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 t^{cx_1+dx_2-(c+d)}(1-t)^{cy_1+dy_2-(c+d)} \left[E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) \right]^{c+d} dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 t^{c(x_1-1)} t^{d(x_2-1)} (1-t)^{c(y_1-1)} (1-t)^{d(y_2-1)} \left[E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) \right]^c \left[E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) \right]^d dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left[t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) \right]^c \left[t^{x_2-1}(1-t)^{y_2-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) \right]^d dt.$$

Setting $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{c}$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{1}{d}$ and using the Hölder inequality (2.2) give

$$B_\lambda^p(c(x_1, y_1) + d(x_2, y_2)) \leq \left[\int_0^1 t^{x_1-1}(1-t)^{y_1-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \right]^c \left[\int_0^1 t^{x_2-1}(1-t)^{y_2-1} E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \right]^d$$

$$= [B_\lambda^p(x_1, y_1)]^c [B_\lambda^p(x_2, y_2)]^d.$$

Accordingly, the function $B_\lambda^p(x, y)$ is logarithmically convex on $(0, \infty)^2$.

When $c = d = \frac{1}{2}$, the above inequality reduces to (3.2). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete. \square

Remark 3.1. Letting $x, y > 0$ such that $\min_{a \in \mathbb{R}}(x + a, x - a) > 0$ and taking $x_1 = x + a$, $x_2 = x - a$, $y_1 = y + b$, and $y_2 = y - b$ in (3.2) result in

$$[B_\lambda^p(x, y)]^2 \leq B_\lambda^p(x + a, y + b)B_\lambda^p(x - a, y - b)$$

for all $p \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 0$.

4. Inequalities for extended confluent hypergeometric functions

Now we find the logarithmic convexity and the monotonicity related to the extended confluent hypergeometric function $\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; z)$ defined in (1.4).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma, \delta > 0$.*

1. *For $\gamma \geq \delta$, the function $x \mapsto \frac{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)}{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x)}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.*

2. *For $\gamma \geq \delta$,*

$$\delta \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + 1, \gamma + 1; x) \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x) \geq \gamma \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x) \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + 1, \delta + 1; x). \quad (4.1)$$

3. *The function $x \mapsto \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)$ is logarithmically convex on \mathbb{R} .*

4. *For $\sigma, \gamma, x > 0$, the function*

$$\beta \mapsto \frac{B(\beta, \gamma) \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + \sigma, \gamma; x)}{B(\beta + \sigma, \gamma) \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)}$$

is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. By the definition in (1.4), we have

$$\frac{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)}{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x)} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(c) x^n}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(d) x^n},$$

where

$$a_n(z) = \frac{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n, z - \beta)}{B_\lambda^p(\beta, z - \beta)}.$$

If denoting $f_n = \frac{a_n(c)}{a_n(d)}$, then

$$f_n - f_{n+1} = \frac{a_n(c)}{a_n(d)} - \frac{a_{n+1}(c)}{a_{n+1}(d)} = \frac{B(\beta, \delta - \beta)}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta)} \left[\frac{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n, \gamma - \beta)}{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n, \delta - \beta)} - \frac{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n + 1, \gamma - \beta)}{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n + 1, \delta - \beta)} \right].$$

When taking $x = \beta + n$, $y = \delta - \beta$, $x_1 = \beta + n + 1$, and $y_1 = \gamma - \beta$ in (3.1), since $(x - x_1)(y - y_1) = \gamma - \delta \geq 0$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\frac{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n, \gamma - \beta)}{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n, \delta - \beta)} \leq \frac{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n + 1, \gamma - \beta)}{B_\lambda^p(\beta + n + 1, \delta - \beta)}$$

which is equivalent to say that $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an increasing sequence. Hence, with the aid of Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $x \mapsto \frac{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)}{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x)}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Recall from [30] that

$$\frac{d^n}{d x^n} \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x) = \frac{(\beta)_n}{(\gamma)_n} \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + n, \gamma + n; x). \quad (4.2)$$

Since the increasing property of $x \mapsto \frac{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)}{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x)}$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{d x} \left[\frac{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)}{\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x)} \right] \geq 0,$$

together with (4.2), we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x) - \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{\gamma}\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + 1, \gamma + 1; x)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \delta; x) - \frac{\beta}{\delta}\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + 1, \delta + 1; x) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the inequality (4.1).

The logarithmic convexity of $x \mapsto \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)$ can be proved by using the integral representation (1.5) and by applying the Hölder inequality (2.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) &= \frac{1}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1} \exp(\alpha xt + (1-\alpha)yt) E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 \left[\left(t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1} \exp(xt) E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) \right)^\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1} \exp(yt) E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) \right)^{1-\alpha} \right] dt \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1} \exp(xt) E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \right]^\alpha \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{1}{B(\beta, \gamma - \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta-1} \exp(yt) E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right) dt \right]^{1-\alpha} \\ &= [\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)]^\alpha [\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; y)]^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. For the case $x < 0$, the assertion follows immediately from the identity

$$\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x) = e^x \Phi_p^\lambda(\gamma - \beta, \gamma; -x)$$

in [30].

Let $\beta' \geq \beta$ and

$$h(t) = t^{\beta'-1}(1-t)^{\gamma-\beta'-1} \exp(xt) E_\lambda\left(-\frac{P}{t(1-t)}\right), \quad f(t) = \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\beta-\beta'}, \quad g(t) = \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^\sigma.$$

Using the integral representation (1.5), we have

$$\frac{B(\beta, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + \sigma, \gamma; x)}{B(\beta + \sigma, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)} - \frac{B(\beta', \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta' + \sigma, \gamma; x)}{B(\beta' + \sigma, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta', \gamma; x)} = \frac{\int_0^1 f(t)g(t)h(t) dt}{\int_0^1 f(t)h(t) dt} - \frac{\int_0^1 g(t)h(t) dt}{\int_0^1 h(t) dt}. \quad (4.3)$$

One can easily determine that, when $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\beta' \geq \beta$, the function f is decreasing and the function g is increasing. Since h is a nonnegative function for $t \in [0, 1]$, by Chebyshev's inequality (2.1), it follows that

$$\int_0^1 f(t)h(t) dt \int_0^1 g(t)h(t) dt \leq \int_0^1 h(t) dt \int_0^1 f(t)g(t)h(t) dt.$$

Combining this with (4.3) yields

$$\frac{B(\beta, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + \sigma, \gamma; x)}{B(\beta + \delta, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)} - \frac{B(\beta', \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta' + \sigma, \gamma; x)}{B(\beta' + \sigma, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta', \gamma; x)} \geq 0$$

which is equivalent to say that the function

$$\beta \mapsto \frac{B(\beta, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + \sigma, \gamma; x)}{B(\beta + \sigma, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)}$$

is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. \square

Remark 4.1. The decreasing property of the function

$$\beta \mapsto \frac{B(\beta, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + \sigma, \gamma; x)}{B(\beta + \sigma, \gamma)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x)}$$

is equivalent to the inequality

$$[\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + \sigma, \gamma; x)]^2 \geq \frac{B^2(\beta + \sigma, \gamma)}{B(\beta + 2\sigma, \gamma)B(\beta, \gamma)}\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta + 2\sigma, \gamma; x)\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; x). \quad (4.4)$$

When $\lambda = 1$, the inequality (4.4) becomes

$$\Phi_p^2(\beta + \sigma, \gamma; x) \geq \frac{B^2(\beta + \sigma, \gamma)}{B(\beta + 2\sigma, \gamma)B(\beta, \gamma)}\Phi^p(\beta + 2\sigma, \gamma; x)\Phi^p(\beta, \gamma; x)$$

which was established in [12]. When $\lambda = 1$ and $p = 0$, the inequality (4.4) reduces to

$$\Phi^2(\beta + \sigma, \gamma; x) \geq \frac{B^2(\beta + \sigma, \gamma)}{B(\beta + 2\sigma, \gamma)B(\beta, \gamma)}\Phi(\beta + 2\sigma, \gamma; x)\Phi(\beta, \gamma; x)$$

which recovers Theorem 4(b) in [9] and Eq. (24) in [12].

Remark 4.2. In recent years, some new results about the topic in this paper have been obtained in the papers [2, 3, 13, 14, 18–20, 25] and closely related references therein.

Remark 4.3. In this paper, we established some inequalities involving $B_\lambda^p(x, y)$ and $\Phi_p^\lambda(\beta, \gamma; z)$. Throughout this paper, if we take $\lambda = 1$, all results in this paper reduce to those in [12]; if we take $\lambda = 1$ and $p = 0$, all results in this paper reduce to corresponding ones in [1, 8].

Remark 4.4. When $\lambda > 1$, is the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\lambda(-w)$ still positive on $(0, \infty)$? When $\lambda > 1$, what about the validity of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 and Corollary 3.1?

Remark 4.5. This paper is a slightly revised version of the preprint [26].

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank anonymous referees for their careful corrections to and valuable comments on the original version of this paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. R. P. Agarwal, N. Elezović, and J. Pečarić, *On some inequalities for beta and gamma functions via some classical inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2005**, no. 5, 593–613; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1155/JIA.2005.593>.
2. P. Agarwal, M. Jleli, and F. Qi, *Extended Weyl fractional integrals and their inequalities*, arXiv preprint (2017), available online at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.03131>.
3. P. Agarwal, F. Qi, M. Chand, and S. Jain, *Certain integrals involving the generalized hypergeometric function and the Laguerre polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **313** (2017), 307–317; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2016.09.034>.
4. M. Biernacki and J. Krzyż, *On the monotony of certain functionals in the theory of analytic functions*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska. Sect. A. **9** (1955), 135–147 (1957).
5. S. I. Butt, J. Pečarić, and A. U. Rehman, *Exponential convexity of Petrović and related functional*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2011**, Paper No. 89, 16 pages; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2011-89>.
6. M. A. Chaudhry, A. Qadir, M. Rafique, and S. M. Zubair, *Extension of Euler's beta function*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **78** (1997), no. 1, 19–32; available online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(96\)00102-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(96)00102-1).
7. M. A. Chaudhry, A. Qadir, H. M. Srivastava, and R. B. Paris, *Extended hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. **159** (2004), no. 2, 589–602; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2003.09.017>.
8. S. S. Dragomir, R. P. Agarwal, and N. S. Barnett, *Inequalities for Beta and Gamma functions via some classical and new integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2000), no. 2, 103–165; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1155/S1025583400000084>.
9. D. Karp and S. M. Sitnik, *Log-convexity and log-concavity of hypergeometric-like functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **364** (2010), no. 2, 384–394; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2009.10.057>.
10. P. Kumar, S. P. Singh, and S. S. Dragomir, *Some inequalities involving beta and gamma functions*, Nonlinear analysis and its applications (St. John's, NF, 1999). Nonlinear Anal. Forum **6** (2001), no. 1, 143–150.
11. K. S. Miller and S. G. Samko, *A note on the complete monotonicity of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function*, Real Anal. Exchange **23** (1997/98), no. 2, 753–755.
12. S. R. Mondal, *Inequalities of extended beta and extended hypergeometric functions*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2017**, Paper No. 10, 12 pages; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-016-1276-9>.
13. K. S. Nisar and F. Qi, *On solutions of fractional kinetic equations involving the generalized k -Bessel function*, Note Mat. **37** (2017), no. 2, 11–20; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1285/i15900932v37n2p11>.
14. K. S. Nisar, F. Qi, G. Rahman, S. Mubeen, and M. Arshad, *Some inequalities involving the extended gamma function and the Kummer confluent hypergeometric k -function*, J. Inequal.

Appl. **2018**, Paper No. 135, 12 pages; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-018-1717-8>.

15. H. Pollard, *The completely monotonic character of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_a(-x)$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 1115–1116; available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1948-09132-7>.
16. F. Qi, *Limit formulas for ratios between derivatives of the gamma and digamma functions at their singularities*, Filomat **27** (2013), no. 4, 601–604; available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/FIL1304601Q>.
17. F. Qi and R. P. Agarwal, *On complete monotonicity for several classes of functions related to ratios of gamma functions*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2019**, Paper No. 36, 42 pages; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-1976-z>.
18. F. Qi, A. Akkurt, and H. Yildirim, *Catalan numbers, k -gamma and k -beta functions, and parametric integrals*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **25** (2018), no. 6, 1036–1042.
19. F. Qi, R. Bhukya, and V. Akavaram, *Inequalities of the Grünbaum type for completely monotonic functions*, Adv. Appl. Math. Sci. **17** (2018), no. 3, 331–339.
20. F. Qi, R. Bhukya, and V. Akavaram, *Some inequalities of the Turán type for confluent hypergeometric functions of the second kind*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **64** (2019), no. 1, 63–70; available online at <https://doi.org/10.24193/subbmath.2019.1.06>.
21. F. Qi, L.-H. Cui, and S.-L. Xu, *Some inequalities constructed by Tchebysheff's integral inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **2** (1999), no. 4, 517–528; available online at <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-02-42>.
22. F. Qi and W.-H. Li, *A logarithmically completely monotonic function involving the ratio of gamma functions*, J. Appl. Anal. Comput. **5** (2015), no. 4, 626–634; available online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.11948/2015049>.
23. F. Qi and W.-H. Li, *Integral representations and properties of some functions involving the logarithmic function*, Filomat **30** (2016), no. 7, 1659–1674; available online at <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1607659Q>.
24. F. Qi and A.-Q. Liu, *Completely monotonic degrees for a difference between the logarithmic and psi functions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **361** (2019), 366–371; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2019.05.001>.
25. F. Qi and K. S. Nisar, *Some integral transforms of the generalized k -Mittag-Leffler function*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **106 (120)** (2019), 125–133; available online at <https://doi.org/10.2298/PIM1920125Q>.
26. F. Qi, G. Rahman, and K. S. Nisar, *Convexity and inequalities related to extended beta and confluent hypergeometric functions*, HAL preprint (2018), available online at <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01703900>.
27. S.-L. Qiu, X.-Y. Ma, and Y.-M. Chu, *Sharp Landen transformation inequalities for hypergeometric functions, with applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **474** (2019), no. 2, 1306–1337; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.02.018>.
28. E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Macmillan, New York, 1960.

29. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, Third edition, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
30. M. Shadab, S. Jabee, and J. Choi, *An extension of beta function and its application*, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) **103** (2018), no. 1, 235–251.
31. J.-F. Tian and M.-H. Ha, *Properties of generalized sharp Hölder's inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal. **11** (2017), no. 2, 511–525; available online at <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-11-42>.
32. M.-K. Wang, H.-H. Chu, and Y.-M. Chu, *Precise bounds for the weighted Hölder mean of the complete p -elliptic integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **480** (2019), no. 2, 123388, 9 pages; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.123388>.
33. M.-K. Wang, Y.-M. Chu, and Y.-P. Jiang, *Ramanujan's cubic transformation inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **46** (2016), no. 2, 679–691; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-2016-46-2-679>.
34. M.-K. Wang, Y.-M. Chu, and W. Zhang, *Monotonicity and inequalities involving zero-balanced hypergeometric function*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **22** (2019), no. 2, 601–617; available online at <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-2019-22-42>.
35. M.-K. Wang, Y.-M. Chu, and W. Zhang, *Precise estimates for the solution of Ramanujan's generalized modular equation*, Ramanujan J. **49** (2019), no. 3, 653–668.; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-018-0130-8>.
36. Z.-H. Yang, W.-M. Qian, Y.-M. Chu, and W. Zhang, *On rational bounds for the gamma function*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2017**, Paper No. 210, 17 pages; available online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-017-1484-y>.



AIMS Press

©2019 F. Qi, K. S. Nisar, G. Rahman, licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)