

Search for resonant states in 10 C and 11 C and their impact on the primordial 7 Li abundance

F. Hammache, A. Coc, N. de Séréville, I. Stefan, P. Roussel, M. Assié, L. Audouin, D. Beaumel, S. Franchoo, B. Fernandez-Dominguez, et al.

► To cite this version:

F. Hammache, A. Coc, N. de Séréville, I. Stefan, P. Roussel, et al.. Search for resonant states in ¹⁰C and ¹¹C and their impact on the primordial ⁷Li abundance. 7th Nuclear Physics in Astrophysics and 28th International Nuclear Physics Divisional Conference of the European Physical Society, May 2015, York, United Kingdom. pp.012016, 10.1088/1742-6596/940/1/012016. hal-01703777

HAL Id: hal-01703777 https://hal.science/hal-01703777

Submitted on 3 Jun2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Search for resonant states in ¹⁰C and ¹¹C and their impact on the primordial ⁷Li abundance

To cite this article: F Hammache et al 2018 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 940 012016

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- ⁶Li from Solar Flares Reuven Ramaty, Vincent Tatischeff, J. P. Thibaud et al.
- <u>The Large Hadron–Electron Collider at the</u> <u>HL-LHC</u> P Agostini, H Aksakal, S Alekhin et al.
- Fragmentation of multiply-charged small hydrocarbon molecules in C, H^{q+} (n = 1-3, g = 2-6) produced in high velocity collisions: Branching Ratios and associated Kinetic Energy Releases of the H⁺ fragment

K Béroff, V O Nguyen-Thi, M Chabot et al.



ECS Membership = Connection

ECS membership connects you to the electrochemical community:

- Facilitate your research and discovery through ECS meetings which convene scientists from around the world;
- Access professional support through your lifetime career:
- Open up mentorship opportunities across the stages of your career;
- Build relationships that nurture partnership, teamwork—and success!

Join ECS!

Visit electrochem.org/join



Search for resonant states in ${}^{10}C$ and ${}^{11}C$ and their impact on the primordial ⁷Li abundance

F Hammache¹, A Coc², N de Séréville¹, I Stefan¹, P Roussel¹, M Assié¹, L Audouin¹, D Beaumel¹, S Franchoo¹, B Fernandez-Dominguez³, S Fox⁴, C Hamadache², J Kiener², A Laird⁴, B Le Crom¹, A. Lefebvre-Schuhl², L Lefebvre¹, I Matea¹, A Matta¹, G Mavilla¹, J Mrazek⁵, P Morfouace¹, F de Oliveira Santos⁶, A Parikh⁷, L Perrot¹, A M Sanchez-Benitez⁸, D Suzuki¹, V Tatischeff²,

¹ Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay, UMR8608, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Paris sud 11, 91406 Orsay, France

² CSNSM, IN2P3-CNRS, Université Paris Sud, 91405 Orsay, France

 3 Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15786 Santiago, Spain

⁴ Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

⁵ Nuclear Physics Institute ASCR, 250 68 Rez, Czech Republic

⁶GANIL, CEA/DSM-CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France

⁷ Departament de Física i Enginyeria Nuclear, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, E-08036 Barcelona, Spain

⁸ Departamento de Física Aplicada, Universidad de Huelva, E-21071 Huelva, Spain

E-mail: hammache@ipno.in2p3.fr

Abstract. The cosmological ⁷Li problem arises from the significant discrepancy of about a factor 3 between the predicted primordial ⁷Li abundance and the observed one. The main process for the production of ⁷Li during Big-Bang nucleosynthesis is the decay of ⁷Be. Many key nuclear reactions involved in the production and destruction of 7 Be were investigated in attempt to explain the ⁷Li deficit but none of them led to successful conclusions. However, some authors suggested recently the possibility that the destruction of ${}^{7}\text{Be}$ by ${}^{3}\text{He}$ and ${}^{4}\text{He}$ may reconcile the predictions and observations if missing resonant states in the compound nuclei ¹⁰C and ¹¹C exist. Hence, a search of these missing resonant states in ¹⁰C and ¹¹C was investigated at the Orsay Tandem-Alto facility through ¹⁰B(³He,t)¹⁰C and ¹¹B(³He,t)¹¹C charge-exchange reactions respectively. After a short overview of the cosmological ⁷Li problem from a nuclear physics point of view, a description of the Orsay experiment will be given as well as the obtained results and their impact on the ⁷Li problem.


1. Introduction

The Big-Bang model of the Universe is mainly supported by three observational evidences: the expansion of the Universe, the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB), and the primordial or Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) of light nuclei like ²H, ^{3,4}He, and ⁷Li. The observed primordial abundances for D and ^{3,4}He agree well with the predictions of the BBN theory together with the precise WMAP cosmic baryon density while ⁷Li observations lie below the BBN+WMAP expectations by a factor of ~ 3 [1, 2]. This observed deficit constitutes the so-called lithium

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

P Ujic⁶ and Marine Vandebrouck¹

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 940 (2018) 012016






Figure 1. BBN nuclear reactions network.

problem. Many scenarios were proposed to try to explain this anomaly. The first one is related to the possibility of systematic errors in the extraction of Li abundances from the observed atomic spectra due to the used atmosphere models. But this is very unlikely since the various models give nearly the same results [3]. The second explanation is the possibility that the atmospheric ⁷Li may have partially depleted from the atmosphere of the metal poor halo stars through rotationally induced mixing and/or diffusion [4]. But then a uniform destruction mechanism of ⁷Li is needed all over this Spite plateau which exhibits a very little dispersion of the observed ⁷Li abundance as a function of the metallicity of the stars. Some astrophysicists claim that the ⁷Li problem point towards physics beyond standard model such as decay of super-symmetric particles, variation of the fundamental constants...[5, 6]. But before investigating these far going possibilities, one has to be sure about the nuclear data involved.

The main process for the production of the BBN ⁷Li is the decay of ⁷Be. Hence any reaction which produces or destroys ⁷Be has an impact on ⁷Li. Among the 12 BBN standard reaction network displayed in Fig. 1, the most important reaction which produces ⁷Be is ³He+⁴He reaction and the main reaction which destroys it is ⁷Be(n,p)⁷Li followed by ⁷Li(p, α) α . The cross section of ³He(α , γ)⁷Be reaction was measured by several groups using several methods and its knowledge is nowadays better than 8% according to the latest evaluation of solar fusion cross sections of Adelberger et al. [7]. Concerning ⁷Be(n,p) reaction, its cross section is very well known according to the latest analysis of BBN rates performed by Descouvement et al. [8] as well as the following reaction ⁷Li(p, α) α .

Recently some authors [9, 10, 11, 12] investigated the possibility that missed resonances in some secondary destruction channels of ⁷Li and ⁷Be with p,n, d,t,³He may be responsible of the ⁷Li deficit. From their investigations, three promising candidates came out: ⁷Be+d, ⁷Be+³He and ⁷Be+⁴He reactions. According to Cyburt et al. [12], the $5/2^+$ state close to 16.8 MeV excitation energy in the compound nucleus ⁹B may enhance significantly the ⁷Be+d reaction rate, thus leading to an appreciable depletion of ⁷Li, if the deuteron width of the state is between 10-40 keV. For ⁷Be+³He channel, the presence of 1⁻ or 2⁻ state close to 15 MeV excitation energy in ¹⁰C with a resonance energy between 50 to 100 keV and having a narrow width may reconcile the predicted primordial ⁷Li with the observed one [9]. For ⁷Be+⁴He reaction, the solution may come from an existence of a hypothetical state close to 7.8 MeV in the compound nucleus ¹¹C and having a total width between 30-160 keV [11].

⁷Be+d reaction was investigated by various works and was dismissed as possible solution to the ⁷Li problem. Indeed, the ⁷Be(d,p)2 α cross section measurement [13] showed no enhancement of the cross section in the BBN region. The ⁷Be(d,d) measurement [14] didn't observe any resonance in the region of interest and the extracted upper limit for the deuteron width of about 1 keV was found much less than the needed width to solve the ⁷Li problem. And finally the recent study [15] of ⁷Be(d,p)2 α reaction rate using the spectroscopic properties of the state of interest at 16.8 MeV of ⁹B (Ex=16.80 MeV±10 keV, Γ =81(5) keV, J^{π} =5/2⁺) obtained from ⁹Be(³He,t)⁹B measurement, showed that the state at 16.8 MeV can not enhance significantly ⁷Be(d,p)2 α reaction rate and its impact on ⁷Li abundance is less than 4%.

So what about ${}^{7}\text{Be}+{}^{3}\text{He}$ and ${}^{7}\text{Be}+{}^{4}\text{He}?$ the case of ${}^{10}\text{C}$ is appealing because no states between 10 and 16.5 MeV are known and the state of interest lies close to 15 MeV. For ${}^{11}\text{C}$, the excited states up to 9 MeV were studied through various indirect reactions and no state close to 7.8 MeV is reported. However, no dedicated measurements in this narrow energy region were carried out so one can not exclude that a weakly state in this energy region has been missed.

2. Experiment and results

A search for the missing levels has been performed at the ALTO facility [16]. ¹⁰C and ¹¹C nuclei were populated with the (³He,t) charge exchange reaction on ¹⁰B and natural Boron targets. (³He,t) measurements were also performed on C and Si₂O₄ targets because of the contamination of the boron targets by ¹²C and ¹⁶O nuclei. The emitted tritons were detected in the focal plane of Split-Pole spectrometer first by a position-sensitive gas detector and then by ΔE proportional gas counter. For ¹⁰B(³He,t) the tritons were detected at four different angles, 7°,10°,13° and 15° and for ¹¹B(³He,t), they were detected at two angles, 7° and 10°. A good identification of the tritons and the deuterons was achieved by only using the position versus ΔE measured spectrum, see Fig. 2.




Figure 2. $B\rho$ versus energy loss identification spectrum

The measured $b\rho$ position spectra for ¹¹C and ¹⁰C at the angle of 10° are displayed in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 respectively. The well populated peaks in ¹¹C spectrum are the already well known ${}^{11}C$ levels. No new peaks are observed at 10° in the energy region of interest between 7.79 and 7.9 MeV, neither at 7°. The very small "peaks" observed in this energy region are only statistical fluctuations. Thanks to the very large signal to background ratio measured in this spectrum, we can assert that it is very unlikely that a new state in ¹¹C exists in this energy region. Moreover, all the known states in the mirror nucleus ¹¹B below 9 MeV excitation energy have their counterpart in ¹¹C nucleus. For the ¹⁰C case, no additionnal states in ¹⁰C are observed around 15 MeV (see Fig. 4, blue histogram), the only states we observe are those coming from the ¹⁶O contamination of the target as one can see in the spectrum (red histogram) obtained from the measurement on Si_2O_4 target. The same results were obtained at 7°, 13° and 15° measured angles. But since the background in this case is very important and the signal to background ratio is 10 times less than in the case of ¹¹C, we can not exclude that a state may be hidden in the background. However, from a chi2 study [16] of a simulated assumed state close to 15 MeV with various widths and various populated cross sections on the top of measured background, we could draw an exclusion zone in the plane of the charge-exchange cross section versus the width of the assumed state (see figure 4 in [16]) and conclude that any 1^- or 2^- state

of ${}^{10}C$ in the excitation energy region around 15 MeV should have very likely, if present, a total width larger than 590 keV with a 95% CL to escape our detection.




Figure 3. ¹¹C B ρ position spectrum measured at 10°.




Figure 4. ¹⁰C B ρ position spectrum measured at 10°.

Reaction rate calculations for the two only possible open channels, ${}^{7}Be({}^{3}He,p){}^{9}B$ and ${}^{7}Be({}^{3}He,\alpha){}^{6}Be$ were performed assuming a 1⁻ state in the compound nucleus ${}^{10}C$ having a total width equal to our deduced lower limit, 590 keV, and even a three times lower value, 200 keV in case the differential charge-exchange cross section is three times smaller than the expected minimum one. The calculated ${}^{7}Be+{}^{3}He$ reaction rates [16] were included in a BBN nucleosynthesis calculation and were found to have no impact on the primordial ${}^{7}Li/H$ abundance. In conclusion, our results exclude ${}^{7}Be+{}^{3}He$ and ${}^{7}Be+{}^{4}He$ reactions as possible solution to ${}^{7}Li$.

Finally With Orsay results [16] and those of previous works [13, 14, 15] concerning other possible resonant reaction channels, we may even say that the solution to the ⁷Li problem has very likely to be found outside of nuclear physics.

References

- [1] R.H. Cyburt, B.O. Fulop and K. A. Olive, J. Cosmology and Astroparticle Phys. 11, 12 (2008)
- [2] A. Coc, E. Vangioni, J. of Physics Conference Series 202, 012001 (2010).
- [3] M. Asplund and K. Lind, Proceedings of the International Astronomical Unio, 5, (S268) 191 (2009)
- [4] O. Richard, G. Michaud and J. Richer, Astrophys. J. 619, 538 (2005)
- [5] M. Kusakabe et al., Phys. Rev D 76, 121302 (R) (2007)
- [6] A. Coc, N. J. Nunes, K. A. Olive and E. Vangioni, Phys. Rev. D 76, 023511 (2007)
- [7] E. G. Adelberger et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 195 (2011)
- [8] P. Descouvemont, A. Adahchour, C. Angulo, A. Coc and E. Vangioni-Flam, At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 88, 203 (2004).
- [9] N. Chakraborty, B. D. Fields, K. Olive, Phys. Rev. D 83, 063006 (2011).
- [10] C. Broggini, L. Canton, G. Fiorentini, F.L. Villante, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys. 06, 030 (2012).
- [11] O. Civitarese, M.E. Mosquera, Nucl. Phys. A 898, 1 (2013).
- [12] R.H. Cyburt, M. Pospelov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 21, 1250004 (2012).
- [13] C. Angulo, E. Casarejos, M. Coude, P. Demaret, P. Leleux et al., Astrophys. J. 630, L105 (2005)
- [14] P. D. O'Malley, D. W. Bardayan, A. S. Adekola, S. Ahn, K. Y. Chae et al., Phys. Rev. C 84, 042801 (2011).
- [15] O. S. Kirsebom, B. Davids, Phys. Rev. C 84, 058801 (2011) and references therein
- [16] F. Hammache, A. Coc, N. de Séréville, I. Stefan, P. Roussel et al., Phys. Rev. C 88, 062802(R) (2013), arXiv:1312.0894 [nucl-ex].