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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider no-idle and no-wait shop scheduling problems with some standard configurations namely flow shop, job shop and open shop. We focus on the makespan, referred to as $C_{\max }$, as a performance measure. More precisely, we tackle four distinct problems which, using the general three-field notation [4], can be denoted as $F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\text {max }}$ for 2-machine the flow shop, J2|no-idle, no-wait $\mid C_{\max }$ for the for 2-machine job shop and $O 2 \mid n o$ - idle, no - wait $\mid C_{\text {max }}$ for the for 2-machine open shop. The m-machine flow shop problem is denoted as $F|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max }$. We refer first to problem $F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max }: n$ jobs are available at time zero; each job $j$ must be processed non-preemptively on two continuously available machines $M_{1}, M_{2}$ with integer processing times $p_{1, j}, p_{2, j}$, respectively. The processing order is $M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ for all jobs. Each machine processes at most one job at a time and operations of each job cannot overlap. For any sequence, $[j]$ denotes the job in position $j$. The objective is the minimization of the makespan. For the 2-machine jobshop problem, each job is required to strictly follow its own specific processing order and for the 2 -machine openshop problem, the operations of a job can be processed in any order.

With respect to the literature, to the best or our knowledge, few works have dealt with the no-idle and no-wait constraints simultaneously. In [1], it is mentioned that both problems $F 2|n o-i d l e| \sum C_{j}$ and $F 2|n o-w a i t| \sum C_{j}$ are $N P$-hard. Similar consideration holds for problem $F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| \sum C_{j}$. The relevant literature includes [3] where it is shown that minimizing the number of interruptions on the last machine is solvable in $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ time on two machines (the problem is denoted as $F 2|n o-w a i t| \mathcal{G}$ ) while it is $N P$-hard on three machines or more. We remark that problems $F 2 \mid$ no - wait $\mid \mathcal{G}$ and $F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max }$, even though close are not equivalent and an optimal solution with no interruption of problem $F 2|n o-w a i t| \mathcal{G}$ may be non-optimal for problem $F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max }$. Consider a $2-j o b$ instance with processing times $p_{1,1}=$ $p_{2,1}=i, p_{1,2}=i, p_{2,2}=j$, with $j>i$. Then, sequence $1-2$ is no-idle, no-wait,

[^0]has makespan $C_{\max }^{1-2}=2 j+i$ and is optimal for problem $F 2|n o-w a i t| \mathcal{G}$ as it has no interruption. However, it is not optimal for problem $F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max }$ as sequence $2-1$ is also no-idle, no-wait and has makespan $C_{\max }^{2-1}=2 i+j<2 j+i$.

In the next section we present some complexity results related to the four tackled shop scheduling problems.

## 2 Main results

We first point out that the no - idle, no - wait constraint is very strong as it forces consecutive jobs to share common processing times, namely, any feasible solution for $F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max }$, requires that $\forall j \in \ldots, n-1, p_{2,[j]}=p_{1,[j+1]}$. Figure 1 provides an example of a feasible no-idle, no-wait schedule for a 2-machine flow shop with four jobs.


Figure 1: A no-idle no-wait schedule for a 2-machine flow shop

The peculiarity of the no - idle, no - wait effect strictly links the above mentioned flow shop problem to the game of dominoes. Dominoes are $1 \times 2$ rectangular tiles with each $1 \times 1$ square marked with spots indicating a number. A traditional set of dominoes consists of all 28 unordered pairs of numbers between 0 and 6 . We refer here to the generalization of dominoes presented in [2] in which $n$ tiles are present, each of the tiles can have any integer (or symbol) on each end and not necessarily all pairs of numbers are present.

In [2], it is shown that the Single Player Dominoes ( $S P D$ ) problem, where a single player tries to lay down all dominoes in a chain with the numbers matching at each adjacency, is polynomially solvable as it can be seen as the solution of an eulerian path problem on an undirected multigraph. Figure 2 shows the solution of an $S P D$ problem with 12 tiles with numbers included between 0 and 6 .


Figure 2: Solution of an SPD problem with 12 dominoes

We refer to the oriented version of $S P D$ called $O S P D$ where all doming ane an orientation, e.g. if the numbers are $i$ and $j$, only the orientation $i \rightarrow j$ is a ed but not viceversa. It is easy to show that also problem OSPD is polynomially solvable as it can be seen as the solution of an eulerian path problem on a directed multigraph.

The following proposition holds.
Proposition $1 F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max } \propto O S P D$ and can be solved in $O(n)$ time .
Problem $F 2 \mid n o-i d l e$, no-wait $\mid C_{\text {max }}$ is also linked to a special case of the Hamiltonian Path problem on a connected digraph. Consider a digraph $G(V, A)$ that has the following property: $\forall v_{i}, v_{j} \in V$, either $S_{i} \cap S_{j}=\emptyset$, or $S_{i}=S_{j}$ where we denote by $S_{i}$ the set of successors of vertex $v_{i}$. In other words, each pair of vertices either has no common successor or has all successors in common. Let indicate the Hamiltonian path problem in that graph as the Common/Distinct Successors Hamiltonian Directed Path (CDSHDP) problem.

The following proposition holds.
Proposition $2 C D S H D P \propto F 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max }$. Correspondingly, problem CDSHDP is polynomially solvable.

The analysis leading to Proposition 1 can be extended also to the $m$-machine case. The following proposition holds.

Proposition 3 Problem $F \mid n o$ - idle, no - wait $\mid C_{\max }$ is polynomially solvable.
Finally, by reduction from the Numerical Matching with Target Sums (NMTS) problem, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 4 Problems J2|no-idle, no-wait $\mid C_{\max }$ and $O 2|n o-i d l e, n o-w a i t| C_{\max }$ are NP-Hard in the strong sense.
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