HAL
open science

On multi-exit routings and AS relationships

Riad Mazloum, Marc-Olivier Buob, Jordan Auge, Bruno Baynat, Timur Friedman, D. Rossi

To cite this version:

Riad Mazloum, Marc-Olivier Buob, Jordan Auge, Bruno Baynat, Timur Friedman, et al.. On multi-exit routings and AS relationships. ISMA 2013 - 5th AIMS Workshop, Feb 2013, San Diego, United States. hal-01698837

HAL Id: hal-01698837

https://hal.science/hal-01698837v1

Submitted on 2 Feb 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On multi-exit routings and AS relationships

Riad Mazloum, Marc-Olivier Buob[1], Jordan Augé[1], Bruno Baynat[1], Timur Friedman[1] and Dario Rossi[2]

[1]UPMC, France
first.last@lip6.fr
[2]Telecom ParisTech, France
dario.rossi@enst.fr

February 06th, 2013 –ISMA 2013 AIMS 5

Internet routing example



Internet routing example



Surprising observations



BGP route with higher LP



A route has a higher LP



Single next-hop AS



Multi next-hop ASes



Equal LP for all next-hop ASes



Observed ME $\implies$ equal LP for next-hop ASes

Observed ME $\implies$ equal LP (ME)

$$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies LP_{AS_1}(AS_2) = LP_{AS_1}(AS_3)$$



Implementation of AS economical policies

Observed ME $\implies$ equal LP (ME)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies LP_{AS_1}(AS_2) = LP_{AS_1}(AS_3)$

Implementation of AS economical policies (POLICY)

$client > peer > provider$

Implementation of AS economical policies

Observed ME $\implies$ equal LP (ME)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies LP_{AS_1}(AS_2) = LP_{AS_1}(AS_3)$

Implementation of AS economical policies (POLICY)

$client > peer > provider \implies$
$LP(client) > LP(peer) > LP(provider)$

(ME) + (POLICY)

Observed ME $\implies$ equal LP (ME)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies LP_{AS_1}(AS_2) = LP_{AS_1}(AS_3)$

Implementation of AS economical policies (POLICY)

$client > peer > provider \implies$
$LP(client) > LP(peer) > LP(provider)$

(ME) + (POLICY)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies$ same type of relationship between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3

Does it work?

(ME) + (POLICY)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies$ same type of relationship between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3

Does it work?

(ME) + (POLICY)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies$ same type of relationship between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3

Check with CAIDA's inference dataset

- Get types of relations of cases such between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3 from CAIDA's inference dataset
- Check whether all of the next-hop ASes have the same relationship

Does it work?

(ME) + (POLICY)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies$ same type of relationship between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3

Check with CAIDA's inference dataset

- Get types of relations of cases such between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3 from CAIDA's inference dataset
- Check whether all of the next-hop ASes have the same relationship
- About 70% matching 30% mismatching

Multi-exit occurrences and relationship matching





Data

Multi-exit discovery:

- BGP: BGPmon, Colorado State University project[1]

[1] http://bgpmon.netsec.colostate.edu/
[2] http://www.top-hat.info/
[3] http://www.team-cymru.org/Services/ip-to-asn.html
[4] http://www.caida.org/data/active/as-relationships/

Data

Multi-exit discovery:

- BGP: BGPmon, Colorado State University project[1]
- traceroute: TDMI/TopHat, UPMC project[2]
    - IP/AS aliasing: Team Cymru IP to AS mapping service[3]

[1] http://bgpmon.netsec.colostate.edu/
[2] http://www.top-hat.info/
[3] http://www.team-cymru.org/Services/ip-to-asn.html
[4] http://www.caida.org/data/active/as-relationships/

Data

Multi-exit discovery:

- BGP: BGPmon, Colorado State University project[1]
- traceroute: TDMI/TopHat, UPMC project[2]
  - IP/AS aliasing: Team Cymru IP to AS mapping service[3]

AS relationships:

- CAIDA AS relationship inference database[4]

Our data is available on request.

[1] http://bgpmon.netsec.colostate.edu/
[2] http://www.top-hat.info/
[3] http://www.team-cymru.org/Services/ip-to-asn.html
[4] http://www.caida.org/data/active/as-relationships/

Discussion

Observed ME $\implies$ equal LP (ME)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies LP_{AS_1}(AS_2) = LP_{AS_1}(AS_3)$

Implementation of AS economical policies (POLICY)

$client > peer > provider \implies$
$LP(client) > LP(peer) > LP(provider)$

Check with CAIDA's inference dataset

- Get types of relations of cases such between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3 from CAIDA's inference dataset
- Check whether all of the next-hop ASes have the same relationship

Discussion

Observed ME $\implies$ equal LP (ME)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies LP_{AS_1}(AS_2) = LP_{AS_1}(AS_3)$

Implementation of AS economical policies (POLICY)

$client > peer > provider \implies$
$LP(client) > LP(peer) > LP(provider)$

Check with CAIDA's inference dataset

- Get types of relations of cases such between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3 from CAIDA's inference dataset
- Check whether all of the next-hop ASes have the same relationship

Discussion

Observed ME $\implies$ equal LP (ME)

$ME(AS_1, p) = \{AS_2, AS_3\} \implies LP_{AS_1}(AS_2) = LP_{AS_1}(AS_3)$

Implementation of AS economical policies (POLICY)

$client > peer > provider \implies$
$LP(client) > LP(peer) > LP(provider)$

Check with CAIDA's inference dataset

- Get types of relations of cases such between AS_1 and AS_2, AS_3 from CAIDA's inference dataset
- Check whether all of the next-hop ASes have the same relationship

Have another ideas?

- Feedback about the problem and the analysis process
- Get confirmation about the results (we don't have a ground truth of AS relationships)
- Possible collaborations

Reserved slides...

BGP and IP overlap



Multi-exit routing, BGP and IP results



TopHat interconnection




Set of AS paths per prefix
$p_1, AS_1 - AS_2 - ... - AS5$
$p_2, AS_1 - AS_2 - ... - AS5$
$p_1, AS_1 - AS_3 - ... - AS5$
Set of AS triplets
p_2, AS_1, AS_2
p_1, AS_1, AS_3
p_1, AS_1, AS_2
CAIDA inference DB
$AS_1, AS_3, peer$
$AS_1, AS_2, peer$
Set of multi-exits
$p_1, AS_1, \{AS_2, AS_3\}$
$p_1, AS_1, \{peer, peer\}$


Set of AS paths per prefix
$p_1, AS_1 - AS_2 - ... - AS5$
$p_2, AS_1 - AS_2 - ... - AS5$
$p_1, AS_1 - AS_3 - ... - AS5$
Set of AS triplets
p_2, AS_1, AS_2
p_1, AS_1, AS_3
p_1, AS_1, AS_2
CAIDA inference DB
$AS_1, AS_3, peer$
$AS_1, AS_2, client$
Set of multi-exits
$p_1, AS_1, \{AS_2, AS_3\}$
$p_1, AS_1, \{client, peer\}$



BGP Decision Process:

1- Highest local_pref
2- Shortest AS path
3- Lowest origin type
4- Lowest MED

5- eBGP over iBGP
6- Lowest IGP cost
7- Tie break solutions

Inter AS link

Continuation of the link to the announcing AS

An Autonomous System (AS)

The destination prefix p


AS_1
$@_1$
$@'_1$
AS_2
$@_2$
AS_3
$@_3$
AS_4
$@_d$
Inter AS link
Continuation of the link to the announcing AS
An Autonomous System (AS)
IP Hop $@_i$
The destination IP address $@_d$