

Fast Power system security analysis with Guided Dropout

Benjamin Donnot, Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Antoine Marot, Patrick

Panciatici

To cite this version:

Benjamin Donnot, Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Antoine Marot, Patrick Panciatici. Fast Power system security analysis with Guided Dropout. 26th European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks, Apr 2018, Bruges, Belgium. hal-01695793

HAL Id: hal-01695793 <https://hal.science/hal-01695793v1>

Submitted on 29 Jan 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fast Power system security analysis with Guided Dropout

Benjamin Donnot ‡ †*, Isabelle Guyon ‡ •, Marc Schoenauer $^{\ddagger},$ $\mathrm{Antoine~Marot}^\dagger, \, \mathrm{Patrick~Panciatici}^\dagger$ ‡ UPSud and Inria TAU, Université Paris-Saclay, France.

• ChaLearn, Berkeley, California. † RTE France.

Abstract. We propose a new method to efficiently compute load-flows (the steady-state of the power-grid for given productions, consumptions and grid topology), substituting conventional simulators based on differential equation solvers. We use a deep feed-forward neural network trained with load-flows precomputed by simulation. Our architecture permits to train a network on so-called "n-1" problems, in which load flows are evaluated for every possible line disconnection, then generalize to "n-2" problems without re-training (a clear advantage because of the combinatorial nature of the problem). To that end, we developed a technique bearing similarity with "dropout", which we named "guided dropout".

1 Background and motivations

Electricity is a commodity that consumers take for granted and, while governments relaying public opinion (rightfully) request that renewable energies be used increasingly, little is known about what this entails behind the scenes in additional complexity for Transmission Service Operators (TSOs) to operate the power transmission grid in security. Indeed, renewable energies such as wind and solar power are less predictable than conventional power sources (mainly thermal power plants). A power grid is considered to be operated in "security" (i.e. in a secure state) if it is outside a zone of "constraints", which includes that power flowing in every line does not exceed given limits. To that end, it is standard practice to operate the grid in real time with the so-called "n-1" criterion: this is a preventive measure requiring that at all times the grid would remain in a safe state even if one component (generators, lines, transformers, etc.) were disconnected. Today, the complex task of dispatchers, which are highly trained engineers, consists in analyzing situations and checking prospectively their effect using sophisticated (but slow) high-end simulators. As part of a larger project to assist TSOs in their daily operations [3], our goal in this paper is to emulate the power grid with a neural network to provide fast estimations of power flows in all lines given some "injections" (electricity productions and consumptions).

2 The guided dropout method

Due to the combinatorial nature of changes in power grid topology, it is impractical (and slow) to train one neural network for each topology. Our idea is to

[∗]Benjamin Donnot corresponding authors: benjamin.donnot@inria.fr

train a single network with architecture variants to capture all elementary grid topology variants (occurring either by willful or accidental line disconnections) around a reference topology for which all lines are in service. We train simultaneously on samples obtained with the reference topology and elementary topology changes, limited to one line disconnection ("n-1" cases), which are encoded in the neural network by activating "conditional" hidden units. Regular generalization is evaluated by testing the neural network with additional {injections, power flows} input/output pairs for "n-1" cases. We also evaluate *super-generalization* for "n-2" cases, in which a pair of lines is disconnected, by activating simultaneously the corresponding conditional hidden units (though the network was never trained on such cases).

While our work was inspired by "dropout" [6] and relates to other efforts in the literature to learn to "sparsify"[2] to increase network capacity without increasing computational time (used in automatic translation [5]) and to "mixed models" (used e.g. for person identification [7] or source identification [4]), we believe that our idea to encode topological changes in the grid in the network architecture is novel and so is the type of application that we address.

3 Baseline methods

We compared the performance of our proposed **Guided Dropout (GD)** method with multiple baselines (Figure 1):

One Model: One neural network is trained for each grid topology.

One Var (OV): One single input variable encodes which line is disconnected (0 for no line disconnected, 1 for line 1 is disconnected, 2 for line 2, etc.)

One Hot (OH): If n is the number of lines in the power grid, n extra binary input variables are added, each one coding for connection/disconnection.

DC approximation: A standard baseline in power systems. This is an approximation of the AC (Alternative Current) non-linear powerflow equations.

One Model is a brute force approach that does not scale well with the size of the power grid. A power grid with n lines would require training $n(n-1)/2$ neural networks to implement all "n-2" cases. One Variable is our simplest encoding allowing us to train one network for all "n-1" cases. However, it does not allow us to generalize to "n-2" cases. One Hot is a reference architecture, which allows us to generalize to "n-2" cases. The DC approximation of power flows neglects reactive power and permits to compute relatively fast an approximation of power flows using a matrix inversion, given a detailed physical model of the grid. See our supplemental material for all details on neural network architectures and DC calculations. To conduct a fair comparison towards this DC baseline, we use no more input variables than active power injections. However we should perform even better if we were using additional variables such as voltages as used in AC power flow, not considering them constant as in this DC approximation¹.

¹A supplemental material will be available at [https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01649938v2)[01649938v2](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01649938v2) or in the github repository [FPSSA-GuidedDropout.](https://github.com/BDonnot/FPSSA-GuidedDropout/blob/master/FPSA_with_GuidedDropout-supplemental_material.pdf)

Fig. 1: Neural network architectures being compared. We overlay all types of architectures under consideration. Lines denoting trainable parameters are not present in all models, as indicated in the legend. Biases not represented. The injection inputs are split into two submodules for productions and consumptions.

4 Experiments

Our goal in this section is to demonstrate empirically that multiple grid topologies can be modeled with a single neural network with the purpose of providing fast current flow predictions (measured in Amps), for given injections and given topologies, to anticipate whether some lines might exceed their thermal limit should a contingency occur. We show a phenomenon that we call super-generalization: with the "guided dropout" topology encoding, a neural network, trained with "n-1" topology cases only, generalizes to "n-2" cases. We demonstrate that our approach would be computationally viable on a grid as large as the French Extra High Voltage power grid.

We first conducted systematic experiments on small size benchmark grids from Matpower [8], a library commonly used to test power system algorithms [1]. We report results on the largest case studied: a 118-node grid with $n = 186$ lines. We used all 187 variants of grid topologies with zero or one disconnected line ("n-1" dataset) and randomly sampled 200 cases of pairs of disconnected lines ("n-2" dataset), out of 186 ∗ 185/2. Training and test data were obtained by generating for each topology considered 10,000 input vectors (including active and reactive injections). To generate semi-realistic data, we used our knowledge of the French gri, to mimic the spatio-temporal behavior of real data [3]. For example, we enforced spatial correlations of productions and consumptions and mimicked production fluctuations, which are sometimes disconnected for maintenance or economical reasons. Target values were then obtained by computing

Fig. 2: Mini grid of 118 buses (nodes). We show the L2 error in Amperes on a log scale as a function of training epochs. The neural network in both cases is trained for all "n-1" cases with multiple examples of injections. (a) Regular generalization. Test set made of (all) test injections for "n-1" cases. (b) Super-generalization. Test set made of a subset of test injections for "n-2" cases. Error bars are 25-75% quantiles over 10 runs having converged.

resulting flows in all lines with the AC power flow simulator $Hades2²$. This resulted in a "n-1" dataset of 1, 870, 000 samples (we include in the "n-1" dataset samples for the reference topology) and a "n-2" dataset of 2, 000, 000 samples. We used 50% of the "n-1" dataset for training, 25% for hyper-parameter selection, and 25% for testing. All "n-2" data were used solely for testing.

In all experiments, input and output variables were standardized. We optimized the "L2 error" (mean-square error) using the Adam optimizer of Tensorflow.

Figure 2 shows generalization and super-generalization learning curves for the various methods. For reasons given above, super-generalization can only be achieved by One Hot and Guided Dropout, which explains that Figure 2-b has only two curves. The DC approximation is represented as a horizontal dashed line (since it does not involve any training). The test error is represented in a log scale. Hence, it can be seen in Figure 2-a that neural networks are very powerful at making load flow predictions since the "One Model" approach (yellow curve) outperforms the DC approximation by an order of magnitude.3 However the "One Model" approach is impractical for larger grid sizes. The "One Hot" approach is significantly worse than both "Guided Dropout" and "DC approximation". Of all neural network approaches, "Guided Dropout" gives the best results, and it beats the DC approximation for "n-2" cases (super-generalization).

The super-generalization capabilities of neural networks trained with "Guided Dropout" are obtained by combining in a single network "shared" units trained

²A freeware version of Hades2 is available at <http://www.rte.itesla-pst.org/>

³We note in the yellow curve some slight over-fitting as evidenced by the test error increase after 10 training epochs, which could be alleviated with early stopping.

with all available data for many similar (yet different) grid topologies and specialized units activated only for specific topologies. This economy of resources, similar in spirit to weight sharing in convolutional neural networks, performs a kind of regularization.

Obtaining a good performance on new "unseen" grid topologies (not available for training) is the biggest practical advantage of "Guided Dropout": Acquiring data to train a model for all "n-2" cases for the Extra High Voltage French power grid (counting $\simeq 1,400$ nodes, $\simeq 2,700$ lines) would require computing \simeq 50 million power flow simulations, which would take almost half a year, given that computing a full AC power flow simulation takes about 300 ms for RTE current production software. Conversely, RTE stores almost all "n-1" cases as part of "security analyses" conducted every 5 minutes, so the "n-1" dataset is readily available.

To check whether our method scales up to the size of a real power transmission grid, we conducted preliminary experiments using real data of the French Extra High Voltage power grid with over 1000 nodes. To that end, we extracted grid state data from September $13th$ 2011 to October $20th$ 2014. This represents: 281, 543 grid states, 2735 lines, 297 generations units and 1203 individual loads (accounting for dynamic node splitting, this represented between $1400 - 1600$ power nodes in that period of time). We did not simulate line disconnections in these preliminary experiments (as we would normally do to apply our method). This is strictly an evaluation of computational performance at run time.

We trained a network with the following dimensions (its architecture remains to be optimized): 400 units in the (first) encoder layer, 2735 conditional units in the second (guided dropout) layer, and 400 units in the last (decoder) layer. Training takes of the order of one day.

With this architecture, when the data are loaded in the computer RAM memory, we are able to perform more than 1000 load-flows per second, which would enables to compute 300 more load-flows in the same amount of time than current AC power flow simulators.

5 Conclusions and future work

Our comparison of various approaches to approximate "load flows" using neural networks has revealed the superiority of "Guided Dropout". This novel method we introduced allows us to train a single neural network to predict power flows for variants of grid topology. Specifically, when trained on all variants with one single disconnected line ("n-1" scenarios), the network generalizes to variants with TWO disconnected lines ("n-2" scenarios). Given the combinatorial nature of the problem, this presents significant computational advantages.

Our target application is to pre-filter serious grid contingencies such as combinations of line disconnections that might lead to equipment damage or service discontinuity. We empirically demonstrated on standard benchmarks of AC power flows that our method compares favorably with several reference baseline methods including the DC approximation, both in terms of predictive accuracy

and computational time. In daily operations, only "n-1" situations are examined by RTE because of the computational cost of AC simulations. "Guided Dropout" would allow us to rapidly pre-filter alarming "n-2" situations, and then to further investigate them with AC simulation. Preliminary computational scaling simulations performed on the Extra High Voltage French grid indicate the viability of such hybrid approach: A neural network would be $\simeq 300$ times faster than the currently deployed AC power flow simulator.

Given that our new method is strictly data driven – no knowledge used of the physics of the system (e.g. reactance, resistance or admittance of lines) or the topology of grid –, the empirical results presented in this paper are quite encouraging, since the DC approximation DOES make use of such knowledge. This prompted RTE management to commit additional efforts to pursue this line of research. Further work will include incorporating such prior knowledge. The flip side of using a data driven method (compared to the DC approximation) is the need for massive amounts of training data, representative of actual scenarios or situations, in an ever changing environment, and the loss of explainability of the model. The first problem will be addressed by continuously fine tuning/adapting our model. To overcome the second one, we are working on the theoretical foundations of the method. A public version of our code that we will release on Github is under preparation.

References

- [1] O Alsac and B Stott. Optimal load flow with steady-state security. IEEE transactions on power apparatus and systems, (3):745–751, 1974.
- [2] Y. Bengio and et al. Estimating or propagating gradients through stochastic neurons for conditional computation. arXiv:1308.3432, 2013.
- [3] B. Donnot and et al. Introducing machine learning for power system operation support. In IREP Symposium, Espinho, Portugal, August 2017.
- [4] S. Ewert and M. B. Sandler. Structured dropout for weak label and multiinstance learning and its application to score-informed source separation. In Proc. ICASSP, pages 2277–2281, 2017.
- [5] N. Shazeer and et al. Outrageously large neural networks: The sparsely-gated mixture-of-experts layer. arXiv:1701.06538, 2017.
- [6] N. Srivastava and et al. Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. JMLR, 15(1):1929–1958, 2014.
- [7] T. Xiao and et al. Learning deep feature representations with domain guided dropout for person re-identification. In Proc. CVPR, pages 1249–1258, 2016.
- [8] R. D. Zimmerman and et al. Matpower. IEEE Trans. on Power Systems, pages 12–19, 2011.