



HAL
open science

A survey on the Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams

Cécile Mammez

► **To cite this version:**

| Cécile Mammez. A survey on the Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams. 2018. hal-01686440v1

HAL Id: hal-01686440

<https://hal.science/hal-01686440v1>

Preprint submitted on 17 Jan 2018 (v1), last revised 24 Mar 2019 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A survey on the Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams

Cécile Mammez

Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale,

EA 2597 - LMPA Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville,

F-62228 Calais, France and CNRS, FR 2956, France

e-mail : mammez@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr

Abstract. In this article, we are interested in the Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_D of dissection diagrams introduced by Dupont in his thesis. We use the version with a parameter $x \in \mathbb{K}$. We want to study its underlying coalgebra. We conjecture it is cofree, except for a countable subset of \mathbb{K} . If $x = -1$ then we know there is no cofreeness. We easily see that \mathcal{H}_D is a free commutative right-sided combinatorial Hopf algebra according to Loday and Ronco. So, there exists a pre-Lie structure on its graded dual. Furthermore \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} and the enveloping algebra of its primitive elements are isomorphic. Thus, we can equip \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} with a structure of Oudom and Guin. We focus on the pre-Lie structure on dissection diagrams and in particular on the pre-Lie algebra generated by the dissection diagram of degree 1. We prove that it is not free. We express a Hopf algebra morphism between the Grossman and Larson Hopf algebra and \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} by using pre-Lie and Oudom and Guin structures.

Keywords. Combinatorial Hopf algebras, dissection diagrams, cofreeness, rooted trees, pre-Lie algebras, enveloping algebras, morphism, inserting process.

Résumé. Dans cet article, nous nous intéressons à l'algèbre de Hopf à paramètre \mathcal{H}_D des diagrammes de dissection introduite par Dupont dans sa thèse de doctorat. Nous cherchons plus particulièrement à étudier sa cogèbre sous-jacente. Nous conjecturons qu'elle est colibre excepté pour un ensemble dénombrable de paramètres. Il n'y a pas de coliberté lorsque le paramètre vaut -1 . L'algèbre de Hopf \mathcal{H}_D est un exemple d'algèbre de Hopf combinatoire commutative-associative-libre droite selon Loday et Ronco. Ceci implique l'existence d'une structure pré-Lie sur son dual gradué dont on sait qu'il est isomorphe à l'algèbre enveloppante de ses primitifs. Il est alors possible de munir \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} d'une structure de Oudom et Guin. Nous nous intéressons à la structure pré-Lie des diagrammes de dissection et plus particulièrement à la sous-algèbre pré-Lie non triviale engendrée par le diagramme de dissection de degré 1. Nous montrons que cette dernière n'est pas libre. Nous explicitons un morphisme d'algèbres de Hopf entre celle de Grossman et Larson et \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} grâce aux structures pré-Lie et aux structures de Oudom et Guin.

Mots-clés. Algèbres de Hopf combinatoires, diagrammes de dissection, arbres enracinés, algèbres pré-Lie, algèbres enveloppantes, morphisme, procédé d'insertion.

AMS classification. 16T30, 05C76.

Contents

1	Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams	3
1.1	Recalls	3
1.2	Path trees and corollas: two Hopf subalgebras of \mathcal{H}_D	5
1.2.1	Path trees and symmetric functions	5

1.2.2	Corollas and the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra	6
1.3	Primitive elements of degree less than or equal to 3	7
1.4	The antipode computation	9
2	Dissection diagrams, rooted trees, theorem of Oudom and Guin	11
2.1	pre-Lie algebras and theorem of Oudom and Guin	12
2.2	Hopf algebras of rooted trees	13
2.2.1	Hopf algebra of Calaque, Ebrahimi-Fard and Manchon	13
2.2.2	Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_{GL} of Grossman and Larson rooted trees	14
2.2.3	Quotient Hopf algebra of sub-binary trees	15
2.3	Dual of the dissection diagrams Hopf algebra	16
3	Definition of a Hopf algebra morphism from \mathcal{H}_{GL} to \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes}	17
3.1	Insertion of a chord in a vertex of a dissection diagram D	20
3.1.1	The chosen vertex of D is different from the root.	20
3.1.2	The chosen vertex of D is its root.	21
3.1.3	Computation of $L(D)$ where D is a dissection diagram of degree $n \geq 1$	23
3.2	Insertion of a chord in two dissection diagrams	25
3.2.1	Open D_1 in the root and D_2 in another vertex.	26
3.2.2	Open D_1 and D_2 in the root.	27
3.2.3	Computation of $L(D_1 D_2)$ where $(D_1, D_2) \in (\mathcal{D})_{n_1} \times (\mathcal{D})_{n_2}$ and $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$	29

Introduction

The Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams comes from number theory and was introduced by Dupont [7, chapter 2]. He considers the coproduct computation problem in the fundamental Hopf algebra of the category of mixed Hodge-Tate structures. Thanks to dissection diagrams, he aims at computing this coproduct for motivic dissection polylogarithms.

A dissection diagram of degree n is a $(n + 1)$ -gon with a set of n non-intersecting chords forming a planar rooted tree. Dupont builds a coalgebra structure on the symmetric algebra of dissection diagrams which makes it become a Hopf algebra denoted by \mathcal{H}_D . The coproduct is given with a parameter x selection-quotient process [7, sections 2.1.2 and 2.1.3]. Dupont considers the case $x = -1$, defines then a decorated version and explains compatibilities between decorations and chords contraction in an oriented graph [7, section 2.1.4]. The set of decorations must be a group and in this case, Dupont uses the complex numbers group. To each dissection diagram D , he defines dissection polylogarithms $I(D)$ as an absolutely convergent integral on a simplex of a differential form [7, definition 2.3.5]. The differential form depends on decorated chords and the simplex on decorated sides of D [7, section 2.3.2]. He gives then a motivic version $I^{\mathcal{H}}(D)$ [7, section 2.4.1] and computes the coproduct [7, section 2.4.2, theorem 2.4.9].

In this article, we aims at understanding the dissection diagrams Hopf algebra combinatorics. We first recall the Hopf algebra construction and two particular families of dissection diagrams stressed by Dupont, the path trees' one and the corollas' one, because they generate Hopf subalgebras. We express their Hopf algebraic structures as group coordinate algebras. The path trees subalgebra and the Hopf algebra of symmetric functions are isomorphic. The corollas subalgebra is the dissection diagrams version of the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra. Then, we discuss cofreeness. In the case of degree three, we give a basis of the primitive element vectors space but, their dimensions don't allow to conclude. Computations, made in joint work with Jean Fromentin, attest that it is not cofree with the parameter $x = -1$. We conclude this first section with computations of the antipode.

The second part consists in studying \mathcal{H}_D by using rooted trees Hopf algebras. The choice consisting in sending a dissection diagram to its underlying rooted tree is not efficient, because it doesn't respect the coalgebra structure. Dupont [7, remark 2.1.15] makes an allusion to a pre-Lie

structure on dissection diagrams. The Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_D^\otimes is graded, connected, cocommutative, so is isomorphic to the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}})$ of its primitive elements $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$. According to Loday and Ronco, \mathcal{H}_D is a free-commutative right-sided combinatorial Hopf algebra. This implies that there exists a pre-Lie structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$. In that way, we can use the Oudom and Guin structural theorem [22, proposition 2.7 - theorem 2.12] about enveloping algebras of pre-Lie algebras. After a brief recall about pre-Lie algebras and the Grossman and Larson Hopf algebra, we present the Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_D^\otimes and the pre-Lie structure on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$. We describe the unique morphism of Hopf algebras φ respecting Oudom and Guin structures of \mathcal{H}_{GL} and \mathcal{H}_D^\otimes and sending the rooted tree $t = \cdot$ of degree 1 to $D = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array}$. This morphism relies on a inserting process of chords

(propositions 37, 39, 44 and 46). We prove that the pre-Lie algebra generated by $\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array}$ is not free (corollary 34) and it is a strict sub-pre-Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$ (proposition 29). We conjecture the kernel of φ is the Hopf bialgebra generated by rooted trees with at least a vertex of degree at least three (conjecture 35). Propositions 41, 48 and 49 are first steps to solve this conjecture.

This article is a shortened version of chapter 3 of my thesis [19, chapitre 3].


1 Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams

1.1 Recalls

Let \mathbb{K} be a commutative base field of characteristic 0. We recall some notations and the construction of the Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams. For all non negative integer n , we consider a regular oriented $(n + 1)$ -gone Π_n with a special vertex called root. We draw Π_n as a circle and put the root at the bottom. An arc between two vertices is a side and a line between two different vertices is a chord.

Definition 1. A dissection diagram of degree n is a set of n non-intersecting chords of Π_n such that the graph formed by the chords is acyclic. So the n chords form a planar rooted tree whose root is the root of Π_n . For all dissection diagram D , we denote by $\mathcal{C}(D)$ the set of its chords.

Example. We consider the dissection diagram



Its sides are colored in blue and its chords are colored in red.

Lemma 2. The number of dissection diagrams of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is given by


$$d_n = \frac{1}{2n+1} \binom{3n}{n}$$

and satisfied the following recursive relation

$$\forall n \geq 1, d_n = \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3 \geq 0 \\ i_1 + i_2 + i_3 = n-1}} d_{i_1} d_{i_2} d_{i_3}.$$

Proof. Let recall ideas of the proof explained by Dupont [7, lemme 2.1.1]. For all dissection diagram D , there exists an unique triple of dissection diagrams (D_1, D_2, D_3) and an unique

triple of integers (i_1, i_2, i_3) such that $i_1 + i_2 + i_3 = n - 1$, for all $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the dissection diagram D_j is of degree i_j and D is the dissection diagram



The black vertex is the first vertex, in the clockwise orientation, connected with the root. So, if $d(h) = \sum_{n \geq 0} d_n h^n$, then

$$d(h) = 1 + hd(h)^3.$$

By the Lagrange inversion formula, for all integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, d_n is given by


$$d_n = \frac{1}{n} \langle (1+h)^{3n}, h^{n-1} \rangle = \frac{1}{2n+1} \binom{3n}{n}$$

where $\langle (1+h)^{3n}, h^{n-1} \rangle$ is the coefficient of h^{n-1} in $(1+h)^{3n}$. \square

Now we denote by \mathcal{D} the vector space spanned by dissection diagrams. The formal series d ([24, séquence A001764]) recalled in the preceding proof is the Poincaré-Hilbert series of \mathcal{D} .

As a dissection diagram D is clockwise oriented, it is possible to label the sides of Π_n and the chords of D . If it is necessary, we label the root by 0.


Example.



So, it is possible to do the following identification

$$\mathcal{C}(D) \simeq \{1, \dots, n\} \simeq \mathcal{S}^+ \text{ where } \mathcal{S}^+ = \{\text{sides of } \Pi_n\} \setminus \{\text{side } 0\}.$$

As it is natural, sometimes we won't write any label. For instance, the dissection diagram



Let D be a dissection diagram and C be a subset of $\mathcal{C}(D)$. We assume that the cardinality of C equals p . Chords in C give a partition of $\Pi_{\deg(D)}$ in $p + 1$ faces. For each face α , $\mathcal{S}_C(\alpha)$ is the set of sides of $\Pi_{\deg(D)}$ which are in the face α . We shall consider the set $\mathcal{S}_C^+(D) = \sqcup_{\alpha} \mathcal{S}_C^+(\alpha)$ where $\mathcal{S}_C^+(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_C(\alpha) \setminus \{\min(\mathcal{S}_C(\alpha))\}$.

Proposition 3. We define two maps over \mathcal{H}_D ; a product m which is the disjoint union, and a coproduct Δ given by a selection-quotient process:

$$m : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_D \otimes \mathcal{H}_D & \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_D \\ D_1 \otimes D_2 & \longrightarrow D_1 D_2 \end{cases}$$

and


$$\Delta : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_D & \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_D \otimes \mathcal{H}_D \\ D & \longrightarrow \sum_{C \subset \mathcal{C}(D)} x^{k_C(D)} q_C(D) \otimes r_C(D) \end{cases}$$

where

1. $x \in \mathbb{K}$ is scalar,
2. $q_C(D)$ is the disjoint union obtained by contracting chords in C ,
3. $r_C(D)$ is the dissection diagram obtained by keeping chords in C and contracting sides of $\Pi_{\deg(D)}$ which are in the set $\mathcal{S}_C^+(D)$,
4. $k_C(D)$ is the number of changes of direction we need to point properly chords of $r_C(D)$.

We call $1_{\mathcal{H}_D}$ the unit of \mathcal{H}_D algebra and ε its counit. The space $(\mathcal{H}_D, m, 1_{\mathcal{H}_D}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a Hopf algebra.

Proof. Dupont proves it in [7, proposition 2.1.11]. □

Example. As example, we use the dissection diagram $D =$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \left(\text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 1 \rightarrow 3 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} \right) = & \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 1 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} \otimes 1 + \left(x \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} + \right. \\ & \left. \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 3 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} + \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 1 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} \right) \otimes \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chord } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 1 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} \\ & + \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chord } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 1 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} \otimes \left(\text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} + (1+x) \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 3 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \end{array} \right) + 1 \otimes \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 1 \rightarrow 3 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Path trees and corollas: two Hopf subalgebras of \mathcal{H}_D

Dupont [7, examples 2.1.14] notices two special families: path trees and corollas. In fact, they form two Hopf subalgebras which are isomorphic to group coordinate Hopf algebras. We recall the two families and explain the isomorphisms.

1.2.1 Path trees and symmetric functions

Let n be a positive integer. The path tree of degree n is the dissection diagram Y_n of degree n such that for all $i \in \llbracket 1, n-1 \rrbracket$, the chord i comes from the vertex i to the vertex $i+1$ and the chord n connects the vertex n and the root. The path tree of degree 0 is the empty dissection diagram *i.e.* $Y_0 = 1$.

Examples. Path trees of degree 1 to 4:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \text{circle with vertices 1, 2 and chord } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \end{array}, & Y_3 &= \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \\ 3 \rightarrow 1 \end{array}, \\ Y_2 &= \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \end{array}, & Y_4 &= \text{circle with vertices 1, 2, 3, 4 and chords } \begin{array}{l} 1 \rightarrow 2 \\ 2 \rightarrow 3 \\ 3 \rightarrow 4 \\ 4 \rightarrow 1 \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

For all non negative integer n we have

$$\Delta(Y_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} Y_k \otimes Y_{n-k}.$$

Trivially, the vectorial space of disjoint union of path trees is a Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_D . We denote it by \mathcal{E}_Y . Dupont [7, examples 2.1.14, 1] notices that the \mathcal{E}_Y coproduct and the symmetric functions one are reminiscent. We give an isomorphism between both.

Let $G_0 = \langle 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n h^n \in \mathbb{K}[[h]] \rangle$ be the multiplicative group of formal series with constant equals 1. For all positive integer n we call n -th coordinate map the following map Σ_n defined by $\Sigma_n : \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}[[h]] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ Q = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n h^n & \longrightarrow q_n. \end{cases}$ We consider the Hopf algebra $Sym = \mathbb{K}[\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n, \dots]$ with its usual product and the coproduct given by: for all map $f \in Sym$, for all elements P and Q of G_0 , $\Delta_{G_0}(f)(P \otimes Q) = f(PQ)$.

Proposition 4. *The Hopf algebras \mathcal{E}_Y and Sym are isomorphic.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the following Hopf algebra morphism


$$\omega_1 : \begin{cases} Sym & \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_Y \\ \Sigma_n & \longrightarrow \frac{1}{n!} Y_n. \end{cases}$$

□

1.2.2 Corollas and the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra

The second prominent family noticed by Dupont is the corollas' one. For all positive integer n , the corolla of degree n is the dissection diagram such that for all $i \in [1, n]$ the chord i comes from vertex i to the root. The corolla of degree 0 is the empty dissection diagram *i.e.* $X_0 = 1$.

Examples. Non-empty corollas of degree n less than or equal to 4:



For all non negative integer n , we have:

$$\Delta(X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\sum_{\substack{i_0 + \dots + i_k = n-k \\ i_j \geq 0}} X_{i_0} \dots X_{i_k} \right) \otimes X_k.$$

Trivially, the vector space spanned by disjoint union of corollas is a Hopf subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_D that we denote by \mathcal{C}_X .

Let us recall now the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra construction. Let us consider the set $G_1 = \langle h + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n h^{n+1} \in \mathbb{K}[[h]] \rangle$. Provided with the natural formal series composition \circ , it is the group of formal diffeomorphisms. For all positive integer n we still call n -th coordinate map the linear map Σ_n defined by $\Sigma_n : \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}[[h]] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ Q = h + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n h^{n+1} & \longrightarrow q_n. \end{cases}$ We consider the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{FdB} = \mathbb{K}[\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_n, \dots]$ with its usual product and the coproduct given by: for all map $f \in \mathcal{H}_{FdB}$, for all elements P and Q of G_1 , $\Delta_{G_1}(f)(P \otimes Q) = f(Q \circ P)$. It is the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra.

Proposition 5. *The Hopf algebras \mathcal{C}_X and \mathcal{H}_{FdB} are isomorphic.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the morphism

$$\omega_2 : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{FdB} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_X \\ \Sigma_n & \longrightarrow & X_n. \end{cases}$$

□

1.3 Primitive elements of degree less than or equal to 3

We want to study the \mathcal{H}_D coalgebra to determine if it is cofree or not. We start by giving for all parameter $x \in \mathbb{K}$ a basis of the vector space $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D)_2$ (respectively $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D)_3$) spanned by degree 2 (respectively degree 3) primitive elements. Unfortunately, those two cases only don't allow to answer the question.

Let $F_{\mathcal{H}_D}$ the Poincaré-Hilbert formal series of \mathcal{H}_D . By definition, $F_{\mathcal{H}_D}(h) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-h^n)^{d_n}}$, where d_n is the number of dissection diagrams of degree n for all non negative integer. If \mathcal{H}_D is cofree, we have $F_{\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D)} = 1 - \frac{1}{F_{\mathcal{H}_D}}$ i.e.

$$F_{\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D)}(h) = h + 3h^2 + 9h^3 + 40h^4 + 185h^5 + \dots \quad (1)$$

A basis of $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D)_2$ is given by the linearly independant vectors triple (V_1, V_2, V_3) where

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= (1+x) \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} - 2 \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ V_2 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ V_3 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third degree case, we add the following notations.

Definition 6. *We call \mathcal{D}^+ the vector space spanned by non-empty dissection diagrams. Let U be in \mathcal{H}_D .*

1. *We denote by $l(U)$ its projection over \mathcal{D}^+ , by $q(U)$ its projection over $(\mathcal{D}^+)^2$, $t(U)$ its projection over $(\mathcal{D}^+)^3$ and by $r(U)$ the sum $U - l(U) - q(U) - t(U)$.*
2. *We call linear part of $\Delta(U)$ the projection of $\Delta(U)$ over $\mathcal{D}^+ \otimes \mathcal{D}^+$ denoted by $\delta(U)$.*
3. *The opposite linear part of $\Delta(U)$ is the linear part of $\Delta^{op}(U)$ denoted by $\delta^{op}(U)$.*
4. *We call quadratic part of $\Delta(U)$ the projection of $\Delta(U)$ over $(\mathcal{D}^+)^2 \otimes \mathcal{D}^+$ denoted by $\mathcal{Q}(U)$.*
5. *The opposite quadratic part of $\Delta(U)$ is the quadratic part $\Delta^{op}(U)$ denoted by $\mathcal{Q}^{op}(U)$.*

Lemma 7. *Let p be a primitive element of degree 3. We can write it as $p = l(p) + q(p) + t(p)$ and we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ \delta(l(p)) &= -2q(p), \\ \mathcal{Q}(l(p)) &= 0, \\ t(p) &= k \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \text{---} \circ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} \text{ où } k \in \mathbb{K}, \\ m \circ \mathcal{Q}(t(p)) &= -3t(p). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let p be a primitive element of degree 3. By commutativity, we have $m \circ \delta(l(p)) = -2q(p)$. By definition of $t(p)$, as p is of degree 3, there exists a scalar $k \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$t(p) = k \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}. \quad \text{Similarly, there exists scalars } k_1, k_2 \text{ and } k_3 \text{ in } \mathbb{K} \text{ such that}$$

$$q(p) = k_1 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + k_2 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \searrow^1 \circ \\ \swarrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + k_3 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xleftarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}. \quad \text{So } \mathcal{Q}(t(p)) = \mathcal{Q}^{op}(t(p)) \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{Q}(q(p)) = \mathcal{Q}^{op}(q(p)). \text{ So } \mathcal{Q}(l(p)) = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 8. *Let p be a primitive element of degree 3. The part $l(p)$ is a linear combination of the independent vectors U_1, \dots, U_9 where*

$$\begin{array}{ll} U_1 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} - x \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + x \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array}, & U_2 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - x^2 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + x \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ U_3 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array}, & U_4 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ U_5 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} - (1+x) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array}, & U_6 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array}, \\ U_7 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array}, & U_8 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array}, \quad U_9 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array}. \end{array}$$

Proposition 9. *A basis of $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D)_3$ is given by the vector family $\{V_1, \dots, V_9\}$ where*

$$\begin{array}{l} V_1 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - x \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + x \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - (1+x) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} - (1+x^2) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \searrow^1 \circ \\ \swarrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \\ + x \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + (x^2+1) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ V_2 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - x^2 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + x \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} - (1+x)^2 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + x(x-1) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \searrow^1 \circ \\ \swarrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \\ + x^2 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + \frac{x^3 - x^2 + 5x + 1}{3} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ V_3 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} - (x-2) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \searrow^1 \circ \\ \swarrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + (x-1) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ V_4 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \searrow^1 \circ \\ \swarrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} - (x-1) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \\ + (x-1) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ V_5 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} - (1+x) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \searrow \circ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array} - 2 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + 2(1+x) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \searrow^1 \circ \\ \swarrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} \\ - (1+x) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}, \\ V_6 = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \xrightarrow{1} \circ \\ \searrow^2 \\ \circ \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \\ \downarrow \circ \\ \swarrow \circ \end{array}, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_7 &= \text{Diagram 1} - \text{Diagram 2} + \text{Diagram 3} \text{ Circle} - \text{Diagram 4} \text{ Circle} + (x-1) \text{Diagram 5} \text{ Circle} \\
&\quad - (x-1) \text{Diagram 6} \text{ Circle} \text{ Circle} \text{ Circle}, \\
V_8 &= \text{Diagram 7} - 2 \text{Diagram 8} \text{ Circle} - \text{Diagram 9} \text{ Circle} + 2 \text{Diagram 10} \text{ Circle} \text{ Circle} \text{ Circle}, \\
V_9 &= \text{Diagram 11} - 3 \text{Diagram 12} \text{ Circle} + 2 \text{Diagram 13} \text{ Circle} \text{ Circle} \text{ Circle}.
\end{aligned}$$

The basis given before are compatible with formula (1). In a joint work with Jean Fromentin, we compute the Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_D in \mathbf{C}^{++} . With this computation it is possible to explain \mathcal{H}_D is not cofree if $x = -1$. Indeed, in degree 5, if $x = -1$, the primitive space dimension equals 187 which is different from 185. The $x = -1$ case is not the general case following by non positive real numbers, because, in the $x = -2$ case, $\dim(\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D)_5) = 185$.

Conjecture 10. *The Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_D is cofree, except if x belongs to a countable subset of \mathbb{K} .*

1.4 The antipode computation

We want to give a formula for antipode. We have to understand iterated coproduct *i.e.* morphisms $\Delta^k = (\Delta \otimes \underbrace{Id \otimes \dots \otimes Id}_{k-1 \text{ fois}}) \circ \dots \circ (\Delta \otimes Id) \circ \Delta$ where k is a positive integer. Let D be a dissection diagram and let C be a subset of $\mathcal{C}(D)$. By contracting C , we change labels in $q_C(D)$ and $r_C(D)$. So we have to define maps to change labels in a compatible way. As the coproduct is coassociative and we can contract C without order it, it is sufficient to change labels in $q_C(D)$ where C is a singleton.

So, let D be a dissection diagram of degree n , let a be a chord of D . The map we choose depends on the fact that $q_{\{a\}}(D)$ is a dissection diagram or the disjoint union of two dissection diagrams.

1. We assume first $q_{\{a\}}(D)$ is a dissection diagram, so the chord a connects the vertex n and the root or there exists a non negative integer $i \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket$ such that a connects vertices i and $i+1$. Let s be the label of the chord a given by its orientation. We define the map:

$$N_{s,D} : \begin{cases} \llbracket 1, n-1 \rrbracket & \longrightarrow \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha < s, \\ \alpha + 1 & \text{if } \alpha \geq s. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

We decide to keep the same notation for $q_{\{a\}}(D)$ and for the dissection diagram $q_{\{a\}}(D)$ with new labels given by $N_{s,D}$.

2. We assume now $q_{\{a\}}(D)$ is the disjoint union of two dissection diagrams D_1 (whose side 0 is that of D) and D_2 with respective positive degree n_1 and n_2 . So we have two possibilities: either there exists a positive integer j in $\llbracket 2, n-1 \rrbracket$ such that a connects the vertex j with the root, either there exists a positive integer i in $\llbracket 1, n-2 \rrbracket$ and another positive integer u in $\llbracket 2, n-i \rrbracket$ such that a connects vertices i and $i+u$. Then there exists three cases:

- (a) The chord a makes vertices i and $i+u$ neighbours and a is labeled by i (so i is positive). We have $n_1 = n-u$ and $n_2 = u-1$. We define the two following maps:

$$N_{i,i+u,D_1} : \begin{cases} \llbracket 1, n-u \rrbracket & \longrightarrow \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha < i, \\ \alpha + u & \text{if } \alpha \geq i. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_{i,i+u,D_2} : \begin{cases} \llbracket 1, u-1 \rrbracket & \longrightarrow \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow \alpha + i. \end{cases}$$

- (b) The chord a makes vertices i and $i+u$ neighbours, a is labelled by $i+u$ and i is different from the root. We have $n_1 = n-u$ and $n_2 = u-1$. We define the two following maps:

$$N_{i+u,i,D_1} : \begin{cases} \llbracket 1, n-u \rrbracket & \longrightarrow \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \leq i, \\ \alpha + u & \text{if } \alpha \geq i+1. \end{cases} \end{cases}.$$

and

$$N_{i+u,i,D_2} : \begin{cases} \llbracket 1, u-1 \rrbracket & \longrightarrow \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow \alpha + i. \end{cases}$$

- (c) The chord a makes vertex j and the root neighbours. As a consequence, a is labelled by j . We have $n_1 = j-1$ and $n_2 = n-j$. We define the two following maps:

$$N_{0,j,D_1} : \begin{cases} \llbracket 1, j-1 \rrbracket & \longrightarrow \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow \alpha \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_{0,j,D_2} : \begin{cases} \llbracket 1, n-j \rrbracket & \longrightarrow \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow \alpha + u. \end{cases}$$

We decide to keep the same notation for $q_{\{a\}}(D)$ and for the dissection diagram $q_{\{a\}}(D)$ with new labels given by $N_{i,i+u,D_1}$ and $N_{i,i+u,D_2}$ or, N_{i+u,i,D_1} and N_{i+u,i,D_2} or, N_{0,j,D_1} and N_{0,j,D_2} according to the label of the chord a .

We consider now a dissection diagram D of positive degree n and $C = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$ a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{C}(D) = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Contracting all chords in C gives the same result as contracting in D successively each chord. So, we keep the same notation for $q_C(D)$ and for the element $q_C(D)$ with new labels, successively given by previous maps.

Proposition 11. *Let n be a positive integer and D be a dissection diagram of degree n . The value of the antipode S computed for D is given by:*

$$S(D) = \sum_{s=1}^n (-1)^s \sum_{P \in \Pi_D(s)} x^{k_P(D)} \prod_{i=1}^s r_{C_i}(q_{P_{i-1}}(D))$$

where

$\Pi_D(s)$ is the set of s -tuples (C_1, \dots, C_s) of no-empty sets which are a partition of $\mathcal{C}(D)$,

$P_0 = \emptyset$ for each P in $\Pi_D(s)$,

$P_i = \bigcup_{u=1}^i C_u$ for each P in $\Pi_D(s)$ and each integer i in $\llbracket 1, s \rrbracket$,

$k_P(D) = k_{C_1}(q_{P_0}(D)) + \dots + k_{C_s}(q_{P_{s-1}}(D))$.

If, for each non negative integer p , we denote by X_p (respectively by Y_p) the corolla (respectively the path tree) of degree n , we have in particular:

$$S(X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \sum_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \models n} \sum_{\substack{i_{j,0} + \dots + i_{j,p_j} = \alpha_j \\ p_j = \alpha_{j+1} + \dots + \alpha_k \\ j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \forall m, i_{u,m} \geq 0}} X_{\alpha_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} X_{i_{j,0}} \dots X_{i_{j,p_j}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(Y_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \vdash n} \frac{n!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_k!} Y_{\alpha_1} \dots Y_{\alpha_k} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \vdash n} \frac{n!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_k!} \frac{k!}{u_1! \dots u_s!} Y_{\alpha_{u_1}} \dots Y_{\alpha_{u_s}},
\end{aligned}$$

where, for each k -tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, partition of n

$$\begin{aligned}
s &= \text{Card}\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}, \\
m_1 &= \max \{i \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket, \forall j \leq i, \alpha_j = \alpha_1\}, \\
m_{l+1} &= \max \{i \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket, \forall m_l + 1 \leq j \leq i, \alpha_j = \alpha_{(u_l+1)}\} \text{ for } 0 \leq l \leq s-1, \\
u_1 &= m_1 \text{ and } u_{l+1} = m_{l+1} - m_l.
\end{aligned}$$

Examples.

$$\begin{aligned}
S\left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array}\right) &= -\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + (1+x) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \\
&\quad - (2x+3) \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array}, \\
S\left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array}\right) &= -\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 5 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} - 5 \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array}.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark. Considering the Faà di Bruno Hopf algebra as the Hopf algebra of coordinate of the group of formal diffeomorphisms G_1 , for each positive integer n , the antipode S computes in the coordinate map Σ_n is given by:

$$\forall P \in G_1, S(\Sigma_n)(P) = \Sigma_n(P^{-1})$$

where P^{-1} is the reverse of P for the formal series composition [11, section 4.3].

2 Dissection diagrams, rooted trees, theorem of Oudom and Guin

The aim of this section is to study \mathcal{H}_D by using rooted trees Hopf algebras. We can easily associate a rooted tree to any dissection diagram. It is sufficient to consider the underlying non planar rooted tree of its regular $(n+1)$ -gone. It may seem better to consider the underlying planar rooted tree of its regular $(n+1)$ -gone, but the non planar case allows to conclude. We have to consider a Hopf algebra of rooted trees graded by the number of edges and not the number of vertices. We can think about the Hopf algebra of rooted trees with the contraction coproduct introduced in [2]. Unfortunately, associating a dissection diagram with its underlying rooted tree is not a good idea, because this kind of morphisms doesn't respect the coalgebra structure. The given counter-example is a counter-example too for the planar case.

It is wiser to be interested in the graded dual \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} of the Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams. Dupont makes allusion to a pre-Lie structure over its primitive element space [7, remark 2.1.15]. As \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} and the enveloping algebra of its primitive elements \mathfrak{g}_D are isomorphic, it is possible to apply the theorem of Oudom and Guin [22, proposition 2.7 - théorème 2.12]. We build the unique pre-Lie morphism γ from the free pre-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R}$ generated by $t = \bullet$ to \mathfrak{g}_D sending t to the dissection diagram of degree 1. We can deduce that the pre-Lie algebra generated by the dissection diagram of degree 1 is not free and do not generate the whole pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_D . We extend γ as a morphism of Hopf algebras and give a conjecture about its kernel.

2.1 pre-Lie algebras and theorem of Oudom and Guin

Pre-Lie algebras (also called Vinberg algebras) were introduced in 1963 by Vinberg [26] in the theory of homogeneous convex cones and by Gerstenhaber [12, section 2] in deformation theory. The *PreLie* operad defining pre-Lie algebras was introduced and described by Chapoton and Livernet [5, theorem 1.9]. They describe the free pre-Lie algebra generated by one or several generators in terms of rooted trees too [5, corollaire 1.10]. It is another way to prove the isomorphism between the dual of the Connes and Kreimer Hopf algebra [6] and the Grossman and Larson Hopf algebra [13]. Foissy proves that the free pre-Lie algebra with one generator is free as a Lie algebra [9, theorem 8.4]. Chapoton, thanks to operad theory, proves this result for each free pre-Lie algebra [4, corollary 5.3]. He proves that the operad *PreLie* is anticyclic [3]. Livernet [17, theorem 3.4] determines the freedom of “Hopf pre-Lie algebras” equipped the relation $\Delta(x \circ y) = \Delta(x) \circ y + x \otimes y = x^{(1)} \circ y \otimes x^{(2)} + x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(2)} \circ y + x \otimes y$. Oudom and Guin [22, proposition 2.7 - theorem 2.12] build for each pre-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} a Hopf algebra which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is a generalization of the construction of the enveloping algebra of the free pre-Lie algebra of rooted trees generated by \cdot (Grossman and Larson Hopf algebra). Loday and Ronco [18, theorems 5.3 and 5.8] explain that there exists a pre-Lie structure for free-commutative right-sided combinatorial Hopf algebras. It is possible to equip an operad with a pre-Lie structure. Burgunder, Delcroix-Oger and Manchon [1, theorem 3.1] attest that an operad cannot be free as pre-Lie algebra. New structures can be defined too. Mansuy builds the quadratic operad *Com - PreLie* and gives as example the rooted trees algebra equipped with the grafting product and the grafting product on the root [21, section 4.2]. Foissy [10, definition 17 and theorem 20] explains the free Com-PreLie algebra with one generator as the partitioned trees algebra equipped with the disjoint union product and with the grafting product. As another structure, we can notice the quadratic operad *PostLie* introduced by Vallette [25, section A.2]. Une post-Lie algebra \mathcal{A} is equipped with a binary map \circ and with a Lie bracket $\{-, -\}$ which are compatible. If $(\mathcal{A}, \{-, -\})$ is abelian then (\mathcal{A}, \circ) is a pre-Lie algebra. The post-Lie algebra notion is a generalization of the pre-Lie algebra notion.

Definition 12. A left pre-Lie algebra is couple (\mathfrak{g}, \circ) where \mathfrak{g} is a vector space and $\circ : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an internal product with the following relation: for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$x \circ (y \circ z) - (x \circ y) \circ z = y \circ (x \circ z) - (y \circ x) \circ z.$$

Example. We consider the classical example of $\mathfrak{g} = \{P(X)\partial, P(X) \in \mathbb{K}[X]\}$, the derivation algebra of $\mathbb{K}[X]$, where ∂ is the derivation sending X to 1. We define the product \circ by

$$\circ : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} & \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ P(X)\partial \otimes Q(X)\partial & \rightarrow (P(X)\partial Q(X))\partial. \end{cases}$$

The product of two derivations $P(X)\partial$ and $Q(X)\partial$ is not the usual composition of maps (\mathfrak{g} is not stable under this product) but it is the unique derivation sending X to $P(X)Q'(X)$. Let $P(X)$, $Q(X)$ and $R(X)$ be polynomials. We have

$$P(X)\partial \circ (Q(X)\partial \circ R(X)\partial) - (P(X)\partial \circ Q(X)\partial) \circ R(X)\partial = P(X)Q(X)\partial^2 R(X)\partial.$$

This relation is symmetric over $P(X)$ and $Q(X)$ so the pre-Lie relation is satisfied.

Proposition 13. Let (\mathfrak{g}, \circ) be a pre-Lie algebra. We define the bracket $\{-, -\}$ by:

$$\{-, -\} : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} & \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ x \otimes y & \rightarrow x \circ y - y \circ x. \end{cases}$$

With this bracket, \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra denoted by \mathfrak{g}_{Lie} .

Proof. Direct. □

Definition 14. Let (\mathfrak{g}, \circ) a pre-Lie algebra. We consider the Hopf symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ equipped with its usual coproduct Δ . We extend the product \circ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let a, b, c and x be elements such that $a, b, c \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. We put:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \circ a = a, \\ a \circ 1 = \varepsilon(a)1, \\ (xa) \circ b = x \circ (a \circ b) - (x \circ a) \circ b, \\ a \circ (bc) = \sum_a (a^{(1)} \circ b)(a^{(2)} \circ c). \end{array} \right.$$

On $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$, we define a product \star by:

$$\star \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ a \otimes b \longrightarrow a \star b = \sum_a a^{(1)}(a^{(2)} \circ b). \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem 15. The space $(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}), \star, \Delta)$ is a Hopf algebra which is isomorphic to the enveloping Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{Lie})$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{Lie} generated by primitive elements.

Proof. This theorem was proved by Oudom and Guin in [22, proposition 2.7 - theorem 2.12]. □

2.2 Hopf algebras of rooted trees

2.2.1 Hopf algebra of Calaque, Ebrahimi-Fard and Manchon

Calaque, Ebrahimi-Fard and Manchon [2, sections 4.1 and 4.2] introduce a Hopf algebra of rooted trees graded by the number of edges (and not the number of vertices). Manchon and Saïdi [20, theorem 13] use this structure to explain the interaction between the pre-Lie insertion structure [2, section 4.3] and the pre-Lie grafting structure. Mansuy [21, section 4.3] gives a non commutative version of the Hopf algebra of rooted trees contraction. We recall the definition of the Calaque, Ebrahimi-Fard and Manchon Hopf algebra. Thus, we consider the algebra $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{T}_R]$ generated by rooted forests. The product of two rooted forests F_1 and F_2 is the disjoint union of F_1 and F_2 .

Definition 16. Let F be a rooted forest different from \bullet , $E(F)$ the set of its edges and e a subset of $E(F)$. We call

1. $Part_e(F)$ the rooted forest obtained by keeping all vertices of F and edges in e ,
2. $Cont_e(F)$ the rooted forest obtained by contracting edges in e and identifying the ends of edges in e .

Example. Let t the rooted tree $t = \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \bullet \end{array}$. The set e is the set of red edges. We have $Part_e(t) = \bullet \begin{array}{c} \vee \\ \bullet \end{array}$ and $Cont_e(F) = \mathbf{1}$.

We consider the quotient algebra $\mathcal{F}_R^{(C)}$ of $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{T}_R]$ by the ideal $\langle \bullet - \mathbf{1} \rangle$. For all rooted forest F and all subset e of $E(F)$, we still call $Part_e(F)$ (respectively $Cont_e(F)$) the image of $Part_e(F)$ (respectively $Cont_e(F)$) in $\mathcal{F}_R^{(C)}$.

Theorem 17. The triple $(\mathcal{F}_R^{(C)}, m, \Delta)$ where m is the disjoint union product and Δ the map Δ such that for all forest F , $\Delta(F) = \sum_{e \subset E(F)} Part_e(F) \otimes Cont_e(F)$, is a Hopf algebra.

Proof. This theorem is proved in [2, section 4.1]. □

Example. We still consider the rooted tree \mathbb{V}^\downarrow . We have:

$$\Delta\left(\mathbb{V}^\downarrow\right) = \mathbb{V}^\downarrow \otimes 1 + \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} + 2\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{V} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} + 1 \otimes \mathbb{V}^\downarrow.$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{K}$ be a scalar number. We denote by κ the algebra morphism defined by:

$$\kappa : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_D & \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{T}_R] \\ D \text{ diagram} & \longrightarrow t_D \text{ underlying rooted tree of } D. \end{cases}$$

The map κ is not a morphism of coalgebras. Indeed, by considering the dissection diagrams

$$D_1 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \text{---} \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{---} 1 \text{---} \quad \text{---} 3 \text{---} \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{---} 1 \text{---} \quad \text{---} 3 \text{---} \end{array}, \quad D_2 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \text{---} \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{---} 1 \text{---} \quad \text{---} 3 \text{---} \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{---} 1 \text{---} \quad \text{---} 3 \text{---} \end{array}, \quad D_3 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \text{---} \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{---} 1 \text{---} \quad \text{---} 3 \text{---} \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{---} 1 \text{---} \quad \text{---} 3 \text{---} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad D_4 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \text{---} \\ \uparrow \quad \downarrow \\ \text{---} 1 \text{---} \quad \text{---} 3 \text{---} \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow \\ \text{---} 1 \text{---} \quad \text{---} 3 \text{---} \end{array}, \quad \text{we have}$$

$$\kappa(D_1) = \mathbb{V}^\downarrow = \kappa(D_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(D_3) = \mathbb{1} = \kappa(D_4).$$

However, we don't have $(\kappa \otimes \kappa) \circ \Delta(D_1) = (\kappa \otimes \kappa) \circ \Delta(D_2)$ and $(\kappa \otimes \kappa) \circ \Delta(D_3) = (\kappa \otimes \kappa) \circ \Delta(D_4)$ at the same time.

Remark. The previous counter-example proves that for any rooted trees Hopf algebra, for any parameter $x \in \mathbb{K}$, the map sending a dissection diagram to its underlying planar or not planar rooted tree is not a morphism of coalgebras.

2.2.2 Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_{GL} of Grossman and Larson rooted trees

The Grossman and Larson Hopf algebra, written \mathcal{H}_{GL} , was introduced in [13] as a tool in the theory of differential operators [14, 15]. It is graded, connected, cocommutative and not commutative. By the Cartier-Quillen-Milnor-Moore theorem, it is isomorphic to the enveloping algebra of its primitive elements. Panaite [23] proves that there exists a connection between \mathcal{H}_{GL} and the graded dual \mathcal{H}_{CK}^\otimes of the Connes and Kreimer Hopf algebra [6]. He is corrected by Hoffman [16]. The two Hopf algebras are not equal but isomorphic in characteristic 0. In \mathcal{H}_{CK}^\otimes , because of grafts, there are symmetry coefficients which don't appear in \mathcal{H}_{GL} . Chapoton and Livernet [5, corollary 1.10] prove that the pre-Lie algebra of rooted trees in Grossman and Larson Hopf algebra is free and give another proof of the isomorphism between \mathcal{H}_{GL} and \mathcal{H}_{CK}^\otimes . Oudom and Guin [22, proposition 2.7 - theorem 2.12] use the \mathcal{H}_{GL} case as model to prove a structural theorem for the enveloping algebra of a pre-Lie algebra.

We recall the definition of \mathcal{H}_{GL} with the point of view of Oudom and Guin. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R}$ the vector space $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R} = Vect(t, t \in \mathcal{T}_R)$.

Definition 18. On $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R}$ we define the following product:

$$\circ : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R} & \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R} \\ t_1 \otimes t_2 & \longrightarrow \sum_{s \in V(t_2)} \tau_{t_1, t_2, s} \end{cases}$$

where $\tau_{t_1, t_2, s}$ is the rooted tree obtained by grafting t_1 on the vertex s of t_2 .

Theorem 19. The algebra $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R}, \circ)$ is the free left pre-Lie algebra generated by $t = \dots$

Proof. It is corollary 1.10 proved by Chapoton and Livernet in [5]. □

Examples.

$$\mathfrak{I} \circ \mathfrak{I} = \mathbb{V} + \mathbb{Y} + \mathfrak{I}, \quad \mathfrak{I} \circ \mathfrak{I} = \mathbb{V} + \mathfrak{I}.$$

Proposition 20. *On $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R})$, the product \star , built with the pre-Lie structure and the theorem of Oudom and Guin, is given by:*

$$\star : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{\text{GL}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{GL}} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{GL}} \\ t_1 \dots t_n \otimes t_{n+1} \dots t_{n+m} & \longrightarrow \sum_{\sigma: I \subseteq \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \rightarrow V(t_{n+1} \dots t_{n+m})} (t_1 \dots t_n, t_{n+1} \dots t_{n+m}, \sigma) \end{cases}$$

where

$V(t_{n+1} \dots t_{n+m})$ is the set of the vertices of the forest $t_{n+1} \dots t_{n+m}$,

$(t_1 \dots t_n, t_{n+1} \dots t_{n+m}, \sigma)$ is the rooted forest obtained by grafting the tree t_i on the vertex $\sigma(i)$ for all i in I .

Examples.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V} \star \mathfrak{I} &= \mathbb{V} + \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{V} \mathfrak{I}, \\ \dots \star \mathfrak{I} &= 2 \mathbb{V} \dots + 2 \mathfrak{I} \dots + \mathbb{V} \dots + \mathbb{Y} \dots + 2 \mathbb{V} \dots + \dots \mathfrak{I}, \\ \mathfrak{I} \star \dots &= 2 \mathfrak{I} \dots + \dots \mathfrak{I}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2.3 Quotient Hopf algebra of sub-binary trees

Definition 21. 1. A rooted tree t is a sub-binary tree if all its vertices have a fertility less than or equal to 2. The set of rooted sub-binary trees is denoted by \mathcal{T}_{SB} .

2. A rooted forest F is sub-binary if all of its trees are sub-binary trees. We denote the set of rooted sub-binary forests by \mathcal{F}_{SB} .

Proposition 22. *We consider the vector space $\mathcal{I} = \text{Vect}(F, F \in \mathcal{F}_R \setminus \mathcal{F}_{\text{SB}})$. It is a Hopf biideal of \mathcal{H}_{GL} .*

Proof. Direct checking. □

Proposition 23. *Le vector space $\mathbf{SBT} = \text{Vect}(F, F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{SB}})$ is a quotient Hopf algebra of \mathcal{H}_{GL} .*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the canonical surjection

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{SBT}} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{\text{GL}} & \longrightarrow \mathbf{SBT} \\ F \in \mathcal{F}_R & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} F & \text{if } F \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{SB}}, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

The biideal \mathcal{I} is the kernel of $\Pi_{\mathbf{SBT}}$. □

Remark. By duality, we can consider \mathbf{SBT}^{\otimes} as a Hopf subalgebra of the Connes and Kreimer Hopf algebra.

2.3 Dual of the dissection diagrams Hopf algebra

As the Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_D is graded and connected, its graded dual \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} is a graded connected Hopf algebra. We aim at studying its structure. Till corollary 26, we use the same approach as in [8, chapter 7, section 7.3].

Proposition 24. *The Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} is isomorphic to the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_D)$ where \mathfrak{g}_D is the Lie algebra $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes})$ of the primitive elements of \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} .*

Proof. We apply the Cartier-Quillen-Milnor-Moore theorem. □

We denote by $(Z_{F_D})_{F_D \in \mathcal{H}_D}$ the dual basis of disjoint union of dissection diagrams. We know that generators of the Lie algebra $\text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes})$ are $\mathfrak{g}_D = ((1) + \text{Ker}(\varepsilon)^2)^\perp$, so a basis of \mathfrak{g}_D is given by $(Z_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$. In order to describe operations of the Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} , it is sufficient to define the product on Z_D , with D a dissection diagram. Each dissection diagram D is primitive. Concerning the product, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 25. *Let D_1 and D_2 be two nonempty dissection diagrams of respective degree n_1 and n_2 and x a scalar. the product of Z_{D_1} and Z_{D_2} in this order is given by:*

$$Z_{D_1}Z_{D_2} = (1 + \delta_{D_1, D_2})Z_{D_1 D_2} + \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} c(D_1, D_2; D)Z_D$$

where for all dissection diagram D , the coefficient $c(D_1, D_2; D)$ is a polynomial Q_D , depending on D and evaluated in x . We have:

$$Q_D(x) = (Z_{D_1} \otimes Z_{D_2}) \circ \Delta(D).$$

Example.

$$Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} = 2Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + (1+x)Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \circ \end{array}.$$

Corollary 26. *The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_D is the vector space $\mathfrak{g}_D = \text{Prim}(\mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes})$ equipped with the bracket $[-, -]$ defined by: for all dissection diagrams D_1 and D_2 ,*

$$[Z_{D_1}, Z_{D_2}] = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left(c(D_1, D_2; D) - c(D_2, D_1; D) \right) Z_D.$$

Example.

$$\left[Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \circ \end{array}, Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \right] = -Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \downarrow \rightarrow \\ \circ \end{array} - Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \rightarrow \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} - Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \downarrow \rightarrow \\ \circ \end{array} - Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \rightarrow \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \\ + Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + xZ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + x^2Z \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \leftarrow \rightarrow \\ \circ \end{array}.$$

Now we aim at defining a Hopf algebra isomorphic to \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} by providing it with a structure of Oudom and Guin. We create then a morphism between \mathcal{H}_{GL} and \mathcal{H}_D^{\otimes} by using pre-Lie structures.

Proposition 27. *The vector space $\mathfrak{g}_D = \text{Prim}(\mathfrak{g}_D)$, equipped with \circ defined by:*


$$\circ : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}_D \otimes \mathfrak{g}_D & \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_D \\ Z_{D_1} \otimes Z_{D_2} & \longrightarrow \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} c(D_1, D_2; D)Z_D, \end{cases}$$

is a left pre-Lie algebra.

Proof. Let us first recall the notion of free-commutative right-sided combinatorial Hopf algebra introduced by Loday and Ronco in [18, definitions 3.16, 4.1, 4.16 and 5.7]. A free-commutative right-sided combinatorial Hopf algebra H is an associative commutative free Hopf algebra (H, m, Δ) generated by $\text{Gen}(H)$ and such that, for $h \in \text{Gen}(H)$, we have $\Delta(h) = \sum_h h^{(1)} \otimes h^{(2)}$ with $h^{(2)} \in \text{Gen}(H)$. The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a free-commutative right-sided combinatorial Hopf algebra. According to Loday and Ronco [18, theorems 5.3 and 5.8], the couple $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}, \circ)$ is a left pre-Lie algebra. \square

Proposition 28. *We consider the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}), \star, \Delta)$ where $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}})$ is the symmetric algebra of dissection diagrams, the coproduct Δ is the usual coproduct of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}})$ and the product \star is induced by the pre-Lie product \circ defined on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and the definition 14. The Hopf algebras \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. It is a direct application of theorem 15 and proposition 24. Now we identify $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes}$ with the Hopf algebra obtained by the theorem of Oudom and Guin. \square

Lemma 29. *The pre-Lie generated by the dissection diagram  is a non trivial sub-object of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

Proof. By direct computation we prove that for all positive integer different from 1, there exists more dissection diagrams of degree n than rooted forests of degree n . \square

3 Definition of a Hopf algebra morphism from \mathcal{H}_{GL} to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes}$

We want to describe a Hopf algebra morphism between the Grossman and Larson rooted forests Hopf algebra and the graded dual of the Hopf algebra of dissection diagrams. To do that we use the underlying pre-Lie structure of the two algebras, the Hopf algebra structure and a chords inserting process. To simplify the writing, for each disjoint union U , the element Z_U of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes}$ is denoted by U .

Remark. If $f : \mathcal{H}_{\text{GL}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes}$ is a graded Hopf morphism homogeneous of degree $k \geq 0$ then, thanks to lemma 29, it is not surjective.

Definition 30. *We call γ the following pre-Lie morphism:*

$$\gamma : \begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R} & \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}} \\ \cdot & \longrightarrow \text{dissection diagram} \end{cases}$$

Now we just have to extend this pre-Lie morphism γ as a Hopf algebra morphism. Let us recall first the definition of the grafting operator.

Definition 31. *The grafting operator, denoted by B , is given by:*


$$B : \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}[\mathcal{T}_R] & \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{T}_R} \\ t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T}_R & \longrightarrow \text{the rooted tree obtained by grafting } t_1, \dots, t_n \text{ on a common root.} \end{cases}$$

Example. $B(t_1, t_2) = {}^{t_1}\mathbf{V}^{t_2} = {}^{t_2}\mathbf{V}^{t_1} = B(t_2, t_1)$.

Proposition 32. *The unique extension φ of γ , built with the Oudom and Guin structure of \mathcal{H}_{GL} , is defined by:*


$$\varphi : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{\text{GL}} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes} \\ t_1 \dots t_n & \longrightarrow \varphi(t_1) \dots \varphi(t_n) \\ t & \longrightarrow L(\varphi(t_1) \dots \varphi(t_k)) \end{cases}$$


where $t_1 \dots t_k$ is the rooted forest such that $t = B(t_1 \dots t_k)$ and L is the following linear map:

$$L : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \\ D_1, \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left(Z_{D_1 \dots D_n} \circ Z \right) (G)G. \end{cases}$$


Corollary 33. *Let t be a rooted tree. If t has at least one vertex of fertility strictly greater than two, then $\gamma(Z_t) = 0$ so φ is not an injective morphism.*

Proof. Let D be a dissection diagram and a be a chord of D . The element $q_{\{a\}}(D)$ is a disjoint union with at most two dissection diagrams. \square

Corollary 34. *The pre-Lie algebra generated by  is not free, the pre-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is not free either.*

Proof. The first point is a direct consequence of corollary 33. For the second point we assume $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is free as pre-Lie algebra. Let V be the vector space such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the pre-Lie algebra freely generated by V . Let \mathcal{B} be a basis of V . By Chapoton and Livernet [5, corollary 1.10] $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is isomorphic to the pre-Lie algebra of rooted trees decorated by \mathcal{B} with the grafting product. As there is just one dissection diagram of degree 1, this one is an element of \mathcal{B} . As a consequence, the pre-Lie algebra generated by  is the pre-Lie algebra of no decorated rooted trees with the grafting product so, it is a free pre-Lie algebra, which is impossible. \square

Conjecture 35. *We call \mathcal{N} the vector space defined by:*



$$\mathcal{N} = \text{Vect}(F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}, \exists s \in V(F), \text{fert}(s) \geq 3)$$

i.e. the vector space generated by the non sub-binary forests. Then, \mathcal{N} is the kernel of the Hopf algebra morphism φ .

We consider now, as a departure space, the quotient Hopf algebra **SBT** of sub-binary rooted trees. We keep the same notation φ for this new morphism. We have:

$$\varphi : \begin{cases} \mathbf{SBT} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\otimes} \\ B(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{SB}} & \longrightarrow L(\varphi(t)), \\ B(t_1 t_2) \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{SB}} & \longrightarrow L(\varphi(t_1) \varphi(t_2)), \\ t_1 \dots t_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{SB}} & \longrightarrow \varphi(t_1) \dots \varphi(t_n), \end{cases}$$

where L is the following linear map:

$$L : \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}^+ \otimes \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathcal{D}^+ \otimes \mathcal{D}^+ & \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^+ \\ D \otimes 1 & \longrightarrow \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left(Z_D \circ Z \right) (G)G, \\ D_1 \otimes D_2 & \longrightarrow \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left(Z_{D_1 D_2} \circ Z \right) (G)G. \end{cases}$$



Examples. We consider the rooted tree $t = \mathbf{1}$. We know that $t = B(\cdot)$ so we have:

$$\varphi(t) = L\left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \right) = 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \searrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + (1+x) \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array}.$$

For the rooted tree $t = \mathbf{V} = B(\cdot\cdot)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= L\left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \circ \end{array}\right) \\ &= 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \searrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2x \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array} \\ &+ 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2x \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array} + 2 \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \swarrow \downarrow \swarrow \\ \circ \end{array}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 36. *Let t be a sub-binary rooted tree of positive degree n . Its image $\varphi(t)$ is homogeneous of degree n .*

Proof. It is true by construction. □

We aim at now determining $L(D)$ and $L(D_1D_2)$ for all dissection diagrams D , D_1 and D_2 . We formalize for this the dissection diagram construction by an inserting process of a chord in one or two dissection diagrams. We need more notations. Let D a dissection diagram of degree $n \geq 1$ and i an integer in the interval $\llbracket 0, n \rrbracket$.

- The integer i is the vertex i (or the root, if $i = 0$). Sometimes the vertex i is denoted by $S_{D,i}$.
- We call fertility of the vertex i the number $f_D(i)$ of chords of D such that the vertex i be one of its extremities.
- The set of the chords of D with the vertex i in common labeled by respecting counter-clockwise is defined by: $A_{D,i} = \{a_{D,1}^i, \dots, a_{D,f_D(i)}^i\}$.
- Let $u < v$ integers between 0 and n . We denote by $\{u, v\}$ a chord connecting the vertices u and v . As the dissection diagram has a natural orientation we don't recall the orientation, of the chord $\{u, v\}$ in its notation.
- We consider an integer $t \in \llbracket 0, f_D(i) \rrbracket$. We define two subsets of chords: $A_{D,i}^{t,1} = \{a_{D,1}^i, \dots, a_{D,t}^i\}$ and $A_{D,i}^{t,2} = \{a_{D,t+1}^i, \dots, a_{D,f_D(i)}^i\}$.

If there is no ambiguity on the considered dissection diagram, we forget its name in notations.

Examples. We want to illustrate the way of labeling chords with a common vertex. In the first example, we consider a vertex different from the root; we color it in red. In the second one, we consider the root and we color it in yellow. In the two cases, chords with the considered common vertex are colored in red. To relieve notations, the s^{th} chords from the vertex i is written s instead of $a_{D,s}^i$.



3.1 Insertion of a chord in a vertex of a dissection diagram D

We consider D a dissection diagram of degree $n \geq 1$. We want to insert a new chord in D . To do that we start by choosing a vertex i in D , we split i in two vertices s_1 and s_2 and the chords of D with i in common too. The new object is not a dissection diagram. It is sufficient to build the chord between s_1 and s_2 to obtain again a dissection diagram. By this way, we can build all dissection diagrams G of degree $n + 1$ with at least one chord a such that $q_{\{a\}}(G) = D$.


3.1.1 The chosen vertex of D is different from the root.

We choose a vertex of D different from its root, so we just consider a positive integer i in $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$. Let $t \in \llbracket 0, f(i) \rrbracket$ be a integer, used to split the chord of D with the common vertex i . We define the following map:

$$\phi_{i,t} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}(D) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n+1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u, v\} & \text{if } (u \leq i-1 \text{ and } v \leq i-1) \\ & \text{or } (u \leq i-1, v = i \text{ and } \{u, v\} \in A_i^{t,1}), \\ \{u, v+1\} & \text{if } (u \leq i-1, v = i \text{ and } \{u, v\} \in A_i^{t,2}) \\ & \text{or } (u = i \text{ and } \{u, v\} \in A_i^{t,1}), \\ \{u+1, v+1\} & \text{if } (u = i \text{ and } \{u, v\} \in A_i^{t,2}) \text{ or } u \geq i+1. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

With this map, we consider a diagram $\tilde{G}_{D,i,t}$ of degree $n+1$ which is *open* between the vertices i and $i+1$ with $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{G}_{D,i,t}) = \phi_{i,t}(\mathcal{C}(D))$. This new diagram is not a dissection diagram, but an intermediate object in the definition of the insertion process.

Examples. We consider some dissection diagrams D and we give their diagram $\tilde{G}_{D,i,t}$. The vertex i to split is colored in red, the chords of D in $A_{D,i}^{t,1}$ are colored in blue and the chords in $A_{D,i}^{t,2}$ are colored in green.




It is then possible to define an insertion endomorphism homogeneous of degree 1 of the vector space of dissection diagrams. We consider a positive integer i (choice of the vertex to split to make insertion) and a non negative integer t (partition of the chord with the vertex i in common). We define the map $\Gamma_{i,t}$ by:

$$\Phi_{i,t} : \begin{cases} (\mathcal{D})_n & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D})_{n+1} \\ D & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{G}_{D,i,t} \text{ with } \mathcal{C}(\tilde{G}_{D,i,t}) = \phi_{i,t}(\mathcal{C}(D)) \cup \{i, i+1\} & \text{if } i \leq n \text{ and } t \leq f_D(i), \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

Remarks. Let D be a dissection diagram, i be a vertex and t be an integer in $\llbracket 0, f_D(i) \rrbracket$.

1. We easily know the orientation of the chord $\{i, i + 1\}$ in $G_{D,i,t} = \Phi_{i,t}(D)$. Indeed, there exists a unique integer $l(i)$ such that the chord labeled by i is the element $a_{D,l(i)}^i$ of $A_{D,i}$. If $t \leq l(i) - 1$ then $\{i, i + 1\}$ is labeled by i else $\{i, i + 1\}$ is labeled by $i + 1$.
2. The sum of maps $\Phi_{i,t}$ is called operation 1.

Examples. We use the two previous examples by keeping the same color code. The chord inserted is colored in red.





3.1.2 The chosen vertex of D is its root.

We consider now the root of D . Let $\tau \in \llbracket 0, f(0) \rrbracket$ be an integer to split the chords connected with the root. There exists an unique integer s in $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$ such that $a_{D,\tau}^0 = \{0, s\}$. We choose an element $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ and define two maps.

Case 1: $\lambda = 0$. The map $\phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda$ is defined by:

$$\phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda : \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}(D) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u, v\} & \text{if } u \geq 1 \text{ or } (u = 0 \text{ and } v \leq s - 1), \\ \{v, n + 1\} & \text{if } u = 0 \text{ and } v \geq s. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$


We call $\tilde{G}_{D,0,\tau}^\lambda$ the diagram of degree $n + 1$, *open* between the root and the vertex $n + 1$ such that $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{G}_{D,0,\tau}^\lambda) = \phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda(\mathcal{C}(D))$. The map $\phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda$ builds an *open* diagram by creating the vertex $n + 1$.




Case 2: $\lambda = 1$. We define the map $\phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda$ by:

$$\phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda : \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}(D) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n+1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u+1, v+1\} & \text{if } u \geq 1 \text{ or } (u=0 \text{ and } v \leq s-1), \\ \{u, v+1\} & \text{if } u=0 \text{ and } v \geq s. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

In this case the diagram $\tilde{G}_{D,0,\tau}^\lambda$ of degree $n+1$ is *open* between the root and the vertex 1 and $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{G}_{D,0,\tau}^\lambda) = \phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda(\mathcal{C}(D))$.

Example. We use again the dissection diagram $D =$  with $\tau = 2$ but

now $\lambda = 1$. We obtain: $\tilde{G}_{D,0,2}^1 =$ .

We define now the endomorphism homogeneous of degree 1 coding the insertion of a chord in the root. To do that we consider a non negative integer (partition of the chords connected with the root) and $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$. We define $\phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda$ by:

$$\Phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda : \begin{cases} (\mathcal{D})_n & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D})_{n+1} \\ D & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} G_{0,\tau}^\lambda(D) & \text{if } \tau \leq f_D(0), \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$


where the set of the chords of $G_{0,\tau}^\lambda(D)$ is:


$$\mathcal{C}(G_{0,\tau}^\lambda(D)) = \phi_{0,\tau}^\lambda(\mathcal{C}(D)) \cup \{ \{0, (1-\lambda)n+1\} \}.$$

Remarks. Let D be a dissection diagram, τ be an integer in $\llbracket 0, f_D(0) \rrbracket$ and λ be an element in $\{0, 1\}$.

1. The chord inserted to build $G_{D,0,\tau}^\lambda$ is naturally oriented. Indeed, either $\lambda = 0$ and we build $\{0, n+1\}$ (chord labeled by $n+1$), either $\lambda = 1$ and then we build $\{0, 1\}$ (chord labeled by 1) in $G_{D,0,\tau}^\lambda$.
2. The sum of all maps $\Phi_{0,t}^\lambda$ is called operation 2.

Examples. We use the two previous examples. The inserted chord is colored in red.





3.1.3 Computation of $L(D)$ where D is a dissection diagram of degree $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 37. *Let D be a dissection diagram of degree $n \geq 1$, i and j two integers in $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, t_i (respectively t_j) be an integer in $\llbracket 0, f(i) \rrbracket$ (respectively in $\llbracket 0, f(j) \rrbracket$), τ_1 and τ_2 two integers in $\llbracket 0, f(0) \rrbracket$ and, λ_1 and λ_2 two elements in $\{0, 1\}$. We have:*

1. $(\Phi_{i,t_1}(D), \{i, i+1\}) = (\Phi_{j,t_2}(D), \{j, j+1\}) \iff (i = j \text{ and } t_1 = t_2)$,
2. $(\Phi_{0,\tau_1}^{\lambda_1}(D), \{0, (1 - \lambda_1)n + 1\}) = (\Phi_{0,\tau_2}^{\lambda_2}(D), \{0, (1 - \lambda_2)n + 1\}) \iff (\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \text{ and } \tau_1 = \tau_2)$,
3. $(\Phi_{i,t_1}(D), \{i, i+1\}) \neq (\Phi_{0,\tau_1}^{\lambda_1}(D), \{0, (1 - \lambda_1)n + 1\})$.

Proof. Let D be a dissection diagram of degree $n \geq 1$, i and j two integers in $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, t_i (respectively t_j) be an integer in $\llbracket 0, f(i) \rrbracket$ (respectively in $\llbracket 0, f(j) \rrbracket$), τ_1 and τ_2 two integers in $\llbracket 0, f(0) \rrbracket$ and, λ_1 and λ_2 two elements in $\{0, 1\}$.

1. It is sufficient to prove the implication:

$$(\Phi_{i,t_1}(D), \{i, i+1\}) = (\Phi_{i,t_2}(D), \{i, i+1\}) \Rightarrow t_1 = t_2.$$

We denote by p_1 (respectively by p_2) the vertex i of the dissection diagram $\Phi_{i,t_1}(D)$ (respectively the dissection diagram $\Phi_{i,t_2}(D)$) and we consider its fertility $f(p_1)$ (respectively $f(p_2)$). We obtain $f(p_1) = t_1 + 1$ and $f(p_2) = t_2 + 1$. If we assume that the two couples $(\Phi_{i,t_1}(D), \{i, i+1\})$ and $(\Phi_{i,t_2}(D), \{i, i+1\})$ are equal then the implication becomes trivial.

2. We put $\lambda = \lambda_1$. To prove the equivalence, it is sufficient to consider the implication:

$$(\Phi_{0,\tau_1}^{\lambda}(D), \{0, (1 - \lambda)n + 1\}) = (\Phi_{0,\tau_2}^{\lambda}(D), \{0, (1 - \lambda)n + 1\}) \Rightarrow \tau_1 = \tau_2.$$

We use the same process as before.

3. As i is positive, it is trivial.

□

Corollary 38. *Let D be a dissection diagram of degree $n \geq 1$. We call σ_D the number of different couples (G, a) , where G is a dissection diagram of degree $n + 1$ and a is a chord $a = \{u, v\}$ with $0 \leq u < v \leq n + 1$, obtained by operations 1 and 2 applied to D . We have:*

$$\sigma_D = 3n + 2 + f_D(0).$$

Proof. Let D be a dissection diagram of degree $n \geq 1$. By direct computation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(D) &= \overbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (f_D(i) + 1)}^{\text{operation 1}} + \overbrace{2(f_D(0) + 1)}^{\text{operation 2}} \\ &= (3n - f_D(0)) + 2(f_D(0) + 1) \\ &= 3n + 2 + f_D(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 39. Let D be a dissection diagram of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We have

$$L(D) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ t \in \llbracket 0, f_D(i) \rrbracket}} x^{k_{\{a_i\}}(\Phi_{i,t}(D))} \Phi_{i,t}(D) + \sum_{\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_D(0) \rrbracket} \left[\Phi_{0,\tau}^0(D) + \Phi_{0,\tau}^1(D) \right],$$

with $a_i = \{i, i+1\}$. In other words,

$$L(D) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ t \in \llbracket 0, l(i)-1 \rrbracket}} \Phi_{i,t}(D) + x \sum_{\substack{i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket \\ t \in \llbracket l(i), f_D(i) \rrbracket}} \Phi_{i,t}(D) + \sum_{\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_D(0) \rrbracket} \left[\Phi_{0,\tau}^0(D) + \Phi_{0,\tau}^1(D) \right],$$

where for all integer $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, $l(i)$ is the unique integer in $\llbracket 1, f_D(i) \rrbracket$ such that the chord $a_{D,l(i)}^i$ of D is labeled by i .

Proof. The fact that dissection diagrams obtained with operations 1 and 2 are elements of $L(D)$ is trivial.

Let $(G, a) \in (\mathcal{D})_{n+1} \times \mathcal{C}(G)$ such that $q_{\{a\}} = D$. We write a as $a = \{i, j\}$ with $\{i, j\} \in \{\{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n+1\}$.

Case 1 : $i \neq 0$. $q_{\{a\}}(G) = D$ (only one diagram) so $j = i+1$. We have then

$$(G, a) = (\Phi_{i,t}(D), \{i, i+1\}) \text{ with } t = f_G(i) - 1.$$

Case 2 : $i = 0$. $q_{\{a\}}(G) = D$ (only one diagram) so $j \in \{1, n+1\}$. We have then

$$(G, a) = \begin{cases} (\Phi_{0,\tau}^1(D), \{0, 1\}) \text{ with } \tau = f_G(0) - 1 & \text{if } j = 1, \\ (\Phi_{0,\tau}^0(D), \{0, n+1\}) \text{ with } \tau = f_G(n+1) - 1 & \text{if } j = n+1. \end{cases}$$

□

Corollary 40. We assume $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Let D be a dissection diagram of degree $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We denote by $\sigma_{L(D)}$ the number of terms in $L(D)$ counted with multiplicity. We have:

$$\sigma_{L(D)} = 3nx + (1-x) \sum_{i=1}^n l(i) + (2-x)f_D(0) + 2$$



where for all integer $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$, $l(i)$ is the unique integer in $\llbracket 1, f_D(i) \rrbracket$ such that the chord $a_{l(i)}^i$ of D is labeled by i .





Proof. Direct computation. □

Proposition 41. Let x be a scalar in \mathbb{K} . Let D_1 and D_2 be two nonempty dissection diagrams. We have the equivalence:

$$L(D_1) = L(D_2) \iff D_1 = D_2.$$

Proof. Let x be a scalar in \mathbb{K} . Let D_1 and D_2 be two nonempty dissection diagrams. We recall that $f_{D_1}(0)$ (respectively $f_{D_2}(0)$) is the root fertility of D_1 (respectively D_2). We know that, for all positive integer n , the projection of $L(D_1)$ (respectively $L(D_2)$) on the linear space of dissection diagrams with the root fertility equal to n is positive if $n \in \llbracket 1, f_{D_1}(0) + 1 \rrbracket \setminus \{f_{D_1}(0)\}$ (respectively $n \in \llbracket 1, f_{D_2}(0) + 1 \rrbracket \setminus \{f_{D_2}(0)\}$), and equals zero if $n \geq f_{D_1}(0) + 2$ (respectively $n \geq f_{D_2}(0) + 2$). As a conclusion, if $f_{D_1}(0) \neq f_{D_2}(0)$ the two elements $L(D_1)$ and $L(D_2)$ are different. We consider then two dissection diagrams D_1 and D_2 such that $f_{D_1}(0) = f_{D_2}(0)$. We assume





$L(D_1)$ and $L(D_2)$ equal. We write D_1 and D_2 as $D_1 =$  and $D_2 =$ .

We define $S_1 =$ , $S_2 =$ , $P_1 =$ , $P_2 =$ .

The sets $\{S_1, S_2\}$ and $\{P_1, P_2\}$ are equal. There are different cases.

If $S_1 = S_2$ or $S_1 \neq S_2$ with $S_1 = P_1$ the result is trivial.

If $S_1 \neq S_2$ and $S_1 = P_2$ then the dissection diagrams A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are all empty. Fur-

thermore, we have  =  and  = . By

induction on their root fertility, we obtain that $C_1 = C_2$ and the proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 42. *We assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. Let D be a dissection diagram of degree n greater than or equal to 2. We define the pairing*

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_D \otimes \mathcal{H}_D & \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ D_1 \dots D_k \otimes G_1 \dots G_l & \longrightarrow Z_{D_1 \dots D_k}(G_1 \dots G_l). \end{cases}$$

For all dissection diagram D of degree $n \geq 2$, there exists a dissection diagram G of degree $n - 1$ such that $\langle D, L(G) \rangle \neq 0$.

Proof. Let x be positive scalar and D a dissection diagram of degree n greater than or equal to 2. As there is not any intersection between its chords there exists a chord a such that a connects two consecutive vertices. We call G the dissection diagram $G = q_{\{a\}}(D)$. It has a degree equal to $n - 1$ and answers the question. \square

Proposition 43. *We assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. Let n be a non negative integer and $e_n \in \mathcal{T}_R$ the ladder of degree n . By using the previous pairing we have: for all non negative integer n and all dissection diagram D of degree n the scalar $\langle D, \varphi(e_n) \rangle$ is positive.*

Proof. We give a recursive proof. The result is trivial for e_1 . We assume the result true for a particular positive rank n . We write $\varphi(e_n) = \sum_{\substack{G \in (\mathcal{D})_n \\ \text{diagram}}} a_G G$ where each a_G is positive. Then,

$$\varphi(e_{n+1}) = \varphi(e_n) \circ \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \end{array} = \sum_{\substack{G \in (\mathcal{D})_n \\ \text{diagram}}} a_G L(G) = \sum_{\substack{D \in (\mathcal{D})_{n+1} \\ \text{diagram}}} b_D D$$

and, according to the previous proposition, all b_D are positive scalars. The result is true for the rank $n + 1$ so the proposition is proved. \square

3.2 Insertion of a chord in two dissection diagrams

Let D_1 and D_2 be two dissection diagrams of positive degree n_1 and n_2 . We choose a vertex i of D_1 , a vertex j of D_2 , integers $t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(i) \rrbracket$ and $\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket$. We want to insert a new chord by using the following steps. Thank to the opening maps defined in section 3.1, we obtain two *open* diagrams. Then we change the vertices labels and we insert a new chord to have a dissection diagram of degree $n_1 + n_2 + 1$. As a dissection diagram has only one root, we open at least one of the two dissection diagrams D_1 and D_2 in the root. We introduce an integer $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$, useful for the rest of the work.

3.2.1 Open D_1 in the root and D_2 in another vertex.

We consider the root of D_1 , an integer $t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket$ (partition of the chords of D_1 connected with the root), an integer $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$ (location of the root of the *open* diagram $\tilde{G}_{0,t}^\lambda$ obtained with D_1), a vertex of D_2 different from the root (*i.e.* an integer $j \in \llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket$) and an integer $\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket$ (partition of the chords of D_2 with the vertex j in common). The root of the dissection diagram $G_{D_1, D_2, t, j, \tau}^\lambda$ built after the insertion is given by the root of D_2 .

According to paragraph 3.1, thank to the map $\phi_{0,t}^\lambda$ (respectively $\phi_{j,\tau}$), we can consider the *open* diagram $\tilde{G}_{D_1, 0, t}^\lambda$ equipped with the set $\phi_{0,t}^\lambda(\mathcal{C}(D_1))$ (respectively $\tilde{G}_{D_2, j, \tau}$ equipped with the set $\phi_{j,\tau}(\mathcal{C}(D_2))$).

To change the labels of the chords, as the root of $G_{D_1, D_2, t, j, \tau}^\lambda$ comes from the root of D_2 , we consider the maps:

$$\gamma_{D_1, t}^\lambda : \begin{cases} \phi_{t, \lambda}^0(\mathcal{C}(G_1)) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u + j - \lambda, v + j - \lambda\} & \text{if } u \geq 1, \\ \{j + \lambda(n_1 + 1), v + j - \lambda\} & \text{if } u = 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma_{D_2, j, \tau} : \begin{cases} \phi_{j, \tau}(\mathcal{C}(G_2)) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u, v\} & \text{if } u \leq j \text{ and } v \leq j, \\ \{u, v + n_1\} & \text{if } u \leq j \text{ and } v \geq j + 1, \\ \{u + n_1, v + n_1\} & \text{if } u \geq j + 1. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$


With these functions, we have a diagram of degree $n_1 + n_2 + 1$ which is not a dissection diagram since there are just $n_1 + n_2$ chords. We just have to build the chord $\{j, j + n_1 + 1\}$.

We define now a morphism from $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ to \mathcal{D} homogeneous of degree 1. We consider two non negative integers t and τ , an element λ in $\{0, 1\}$, a positive integer j and the following map:


$$\Gamma_{t, j, \tau}^\lambda : \begin{cases} (\mathcal{D})_{n_1} \otimes (\mathcal{D})_{n_2} & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D})_{n_1 + n_2 + 1} \\ D_1 \otimes D_2 & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} G_{D_1, D_2, t, j, \tau}^\lambda & \text{if } t \leq f_{D_1}(0), j \leq n_2 \text{ and } \tau \leq f_{D_2}(j), \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where the set $\mathcal{C}(G_{D_1, D_2, t, j, \tau}^\lambda)$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{C}(G_{D_1, D_2, t, j, \tau}^\lambda) = \gamma_{D_1, t}^\lambda(\phi_{0,t}^\lambda(\mathcal{C}(D_1))) \cup \gamma_{D_2, j, \tau}(\phi_{j,\tau}(\mathcal{C}(D_2))) \cup \{ \{j, j + n_1 + 1\} \}.$$



with $\lambda = 1$, $t = 1$, $j = 2$ and $\tau = 1$. We build first $\tilde{G}_{D_1, 0, 1}^1$ and $\tilde{G}_{D_2, 2, 1}$ by keeping the color code explained in section 3.1. Finally, we make the insertion. The old root of D_1 becomes white and the new chord is colored in red. We have:



$$\Gamma_{1,2,1}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2) = \text{Diagram 1} = \text{Diagram 2} \cdot$$

Remarks. Let D_1 and D_2 be two dissection diagrams of respective positive degree n_1 and n_2 and $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$, $t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket$, $j \in \llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket$ and $\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket$ be integers.

1. It is not necessary to consider the two possible values of λ . Indeed by direct computation we have $\Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^\lambda(D_1 \otimes D_2) = \Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^{1-\lambda}(D_1 \otimes D_2)$.
2. We easily know the orientation of the inserted chord $\{j, j + n_1 + 1\}$. Indeed there exists an unique integer $l(j)$ such that the chord $a_{D_2, l(j)}^j$ of D_2 is labeled by j . If $\tau \leq l(j) - 1$ then the chord $\{j, j + n_1 + 1\}$ of $\Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^\lambda(D_1 \otimes D_2)$ is labeled by j else $\tau \geq l(j)$ and the chord $\{j, j + n_1 + 1\}$ of $\Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^\lambda(D_1 \otimes D_2)$ is labeled by $j + n_1 + 1$.
3. We call ν the map sending $D_1 \otimes D_2$ to $D_2 \otimes D_1$. The sum of maps of types $\Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^\lambda$ or $\Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^\lambda \circ \nu$ is called operation 3.

3.2.2 Open D_1 and D_2 in the root.

We decide now to work with the root of the two dissection diagrams. We consider an integer $t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket$ (partition of the chords of D_1 connected with the root), an integer $\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket$ (partition of the chords of D_2 connected with the root) and two integers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ (location of the root of the two diagrams $\tilde{G}_{D_1,0,t}^{\lambda_1}$ and $\tilde{G}_{D_2,0,\tau}^{\lambda_2}$, built with opening maps defined in section 3.1). The root of the dissection diagram $G_{D_1,D_2,t,\tau}^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$, built after the insertion, is again given by the root of D_2 . There are two cases.

Case 1: $\lambda = \lambda_2 = 0$ and $\lambda_1 = 1 - \lambda = 1$. According to paragraph 3.1, thank to the map $\phi_{0,t}^1$ (respectively $\phi_{0,\tau}^0$), we can consider the *open* diagram $\tilde{G}_{D_1,0,t}^1$ equipped with the set $\phi_{0,t}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_1))$ (respectively $\tilde{G}_{D_2,0,\tau}^0$ with the set $\phi_{0,\tau}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_2))$).

To change labels, as the root of $G_{D_1,D_2,t,\tau}^{1,1}$ is given by the root of D_2 , we use the following maps:

$$\gamma_{D_1,t}^1 : \begin{cases} \phi_{0,t}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_1)) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u + n_2, v + n_2\} & \text{if } u \geq 1, \\ \{u, v + n_2\} & \text{if } u = 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma_{D_2,\tau}^0 : \begin{cases} \phi_{0,\tau}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_2)) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \{u, v\}. \end{cases}$$

The diagram of degree $n_1 + n_2 + 1$ built with the previous map is not a dissection diagram since there are just $n_1 + n_2$ chords. We just have to add the chord $\{0, n_2 + 1\}$.

We define a morphism from $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ to \mathcal{D} homogeneous of degree 1. Let t and τ be two non negative integers and we consider the map:

$$\Gamma_{t,\tau}^0 : \begin{cases} (\mathcal{D})_{n_1} \otimes (\mathcal{D})_{n_2} & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D})_{n_1+n_2+1} \\ D_1 \otimes D_2 & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} G_{D_1,D_2,t,\tau}^{1,0} & \text{si } t \leq f_{D_1}(0) \text{ and } \tau \leq f_{D_2}(0), \\ 0 & \text{sinon,} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\mathcal{C}(G_{D_1,D_2,t,\tau}^{1,0}) = \gamma_{D_1,t}^1(\phi_{0,t}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_1))) \cup \gamma_{D_2,\tau}^0(\phi_{0,\tau}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_2))) \cup \{ \{0, n_2 + 1\} \}.$$

Example. We use the dissection diagrams $D_1 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 0 \end{array}$ and $D_2 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 0 \end{array}$

with $t = 1$ and $\tau = 1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_1 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow[\lambda_1=1]{t=1} \tilde{G}_{D_1,0,t}^1 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 4 \end{array}, \\
 D_2 &= \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow[\lambda_2=0]{\tau=1} \tilde{G}_{D_2,0,\tau}^0 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 4 \end{array}, \\
 \Gamma_{t,\tau}^0(D_1 \otimes D_2) &= \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 6 \quad 7 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 5 \text{---} 0 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 4 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 4 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 3 \text{---} 5 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 6 \end{array}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $\lambda = \lambda_2 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = 1 - \lambda = 0$. We consider now the *open* diagram $\tilde{G}_{D_1,0,t}^0$ equipped with the set $\phi_{0,t}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_1))$ (respectively $\tilde{G}_{D_2,0,\tau}^1$ with the set $\phi_{0,\tau}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_2))$).

To change labels we use:

$$\gamma_{D_1,t}^0 : \begin{cases} \phi_{0,t}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_1)) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \{u, v\} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma_{D_2,\tau}^1 : \begin{cases} \phi_{0,\tau}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_2)) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u + n_1, v + n_1\} & \text{if } u \geq 1, \\ \{u, v + n_1\} & \text{if } u = 0. \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

To have a dissection diagram of degree $n_1 + n_2 + 1$ we just add the chord $\{0, n_1 + 1\}$.

Let t and τ be two non negative integers and we define the morphism $\Gamma_{t,\tau}^1$ homogeneous of degree 1 by:


$$\Gamma_{t,\tau}^1 : \begin{cases} (\mathcal{D})_{n_1} \otimes (\mathcal{D})_{n_2} & \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D})_{n_1+n_2+1} \\ D_1 \otimes D_2 & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} G_{D_1,D_2,t,\tau}^{0,1} & \text{if } t \leq f_{D_1}(0) \text{ and } \tau \leq f_{D_2}(0), \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

with

$$\mathcal{C}(G_{D_1,D_2,t,\tau}^{0,1}) = \gamma_{D_1,t}^0(\phi_{0,t}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_1))) \cup \gamma_{D_2,\tau}^1(\phi_{0,\tau}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_2))) \cup \{ \{0, n_1 + 1\} \}.$$

Example. With $D_1 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 0 \end{array}$, $D_2 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 0 \end{array}$, $t = 1$ and $\tau = 1$ we have:

$$D_1 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 0 \end{array} \xrightarrow[\lambda_1=0]{t=1} \tilde{G}_{D_1,0,t}^0 = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} 2 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \text{---} 3 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} 4 \end{array},$$



Remarks. Let D_1 and D_2 be two dissection diagrams of respective positive degree n_1 and n_2 and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{0, 1\}$, $t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket$ and $\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket$ be integers.

1. By direct computation we have:

$$\Gamma_{t,\tau}^0(D_1 \otimes D_2) = \Gamma_{\tau,t}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1) \text{ and } \Gamma_{t,\tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2) = \Gamma_{\tau,t}^0(D_2 \otimes D_1).$$

2. The two cases $(\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 0)$ and $(\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 1)$ are useless. Indeed, it is sufficient to use the tow maps

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{D_1,t}^0 : \begin{cases} \phi_{0,t}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_1)) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u + n_2 + 1, v + n_2 + 1\} & \text{si } v \leq n_1 \\ \{0, u + n_2 + 1\} & \text{si } v = n_1 + 1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{D_1,t}^1 : \begin{cases} \phi_{0,t}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_1)) & \longrightarrow \{ \{u, v\}, 0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1 \} \\ \{u, v\} & \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \{u - 1, v - 1\} & \text{si } u \geq 1, \\ \{v - 1, n_1 + 1\} & \text{si } u = 0, \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

and the dissection diagrammes $G_{D_1, D_2, t, \tau}^{0,0}$ and $G_{D_1, D_2, t, \tau}^{1,1}$ of degree $n_1 + n_2 + 1$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(G_{D_1, D_2, t, \tau}^{0,0}) &= \tilde{\gamma}_{D_1,t}^0(\phi_{0,t}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_1))) \cup \gamma_{D_2,\tau}^0(\phi_{0,\tau}^0(\mathcal{C}(D_2))) \cup \{ \{0, n_2 + 1\} \}, \\ \mathcal{C}(G_{D_1, D_2, t, \tau}^{1,1}) &= \tilde{\gamma}_{D_1,t}^1(\phi_{0,t}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_1))) \cup \gamma_{D_2,\tau}^1(\phi_{0,\tau}^1(\mathcal{C}(D_2))) \cup \{ \{0, n_1 + 1\} \}. \end{aligned}$$

The we have: $G_{D_1, D_2, t, \tau}^{0,0} = G_{D_1, D_2, t, \tau}^{1,0}$ and $G_{D_1, D_2, t, \tau}^{1,1} = G_{D_1, D_2, t, \tau}^{0,1}$.

3. The sum of maps of type $\Gamma_{t,\tau}^\lambda$ is called operation 4.

3.2.3 Computation of $L(D_1 D_2)$ where $(D_1, D_2) \in (\mathcal{D})_{n_1} \times (\mathcal{D})_{n_2}$ and $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$.

Proposition 44. Let D_1 and D_2 two dissection diagrams of positive degree n_1 and n_2 , i in $\llbracket 1, n_1 \rrbracket$, ϱ in $\llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(i) \rrbracket$, p in $\llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket$, j, j_1 and j_2 in $\llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket$, τ , respectively τ_1 , respectively τ_2 in $\llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket$, respectively $\llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j_1) \rrbracket$, respectively $\llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j_2) \rrbracket$, ω, ω_1 and ω_2 in $\llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket$, t, t_1 and t_2 in $\llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket$ in $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$ in $\{0, 1\}$ be integers.

We assume first that D_1 and D_2 are two different dissection diagrams. We have the following statements:

1. $(\Gamma_{t_1, j_1, \tau_1}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{j_1, j_1 + n_1 + 1\}) = (\Gamma_{t_2, j_2, \tau_2}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{j_2, j_2 + n_1 + 1\})$ is equivalent to $(j_1 = j_2, t_1 = t_2 \text{ and } \tau_1 = \tau_2)$,
2. $(\Gamma_{t_1, \omega_1}^{\lambda_1}(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{0, \lambda_1 n_1 + (1 - \lambda_1) n_2 + 1\}) = (\Gamma_{t_2, \omega_2}^{\lambda_2}(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{0, \lambda_2 n_1 + (1 - \lambda_2) n_2 + 1\})$ is equivalent to $(t_1 = t_2, \omega_1 = \omega_2 \text{ and } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2)$,

3. $(\Gamma_{t_1, j_1, \tau_1}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{j, j + n_1 + 1\}) \neq (\Gamma_{t_2, \omega_2}^\lambda(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{0, \lambda_2 n_1 + (1 - \lambda_2)n_2 + 1\})$,
4. $(\Gamma_{t, j, \tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{j, j + n_1 + 1\}) \neq (\Gamma_{p, i, \varrho}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1), \{i, i + n_2 + 1\})$.

We assume that D_1 equals D_2 and we denote $D = D_1 = D_2$. We have the following statements:

5. $(\Gamma_{t_1, j_1, \tau_1}^1(D \otimes D), \{j_1, j_1 + n_1 + 1\}) = (\Gamma_{t_2, j_2, \tau_2}^1(D \otimes D), \{j_2, j_2 + n_1 + 1\})$ is equivalent to $(j_1 = j_2, t_1 = t_2 \text{ and } \tau_1 = \tau_2)$,
6. $(\Gamma_{t, \omega}^\lambda(D \otimes D), \{0, n_1 + 1\}) = (\Gamma_{\omega, t}^{1-\lambda}(D \otimes D), \{0, n_1 + 1\})$,
7. $(\Gamma_{t_1, j_1, \tau_1}^1(D \otimes D), \{j, j + n_1 + 1\}) \neq (\Gamma_{t_2, \omega_2}^\lambda(D \otimes D), \{0, n_1 + 1\})$.

Proof. We assume that D_1 and D_2 are two different dissection diagrams.

1. It is sufficient to prove:

$$\Gamma_{t_1, j, \tau_1}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2) = \Gamma_{t_2, j, \tau_2}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2) \implies (t_1 = t_2 \text{ and } \tau_1 = \tau_2).$$

We assume $K_1 = \Gamma_{t_1, j, \tau_1}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2)$ equals $K_2 = \Gamma_{t_2, j, \tau_2}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2)$. We denote by $p_1(j)$ (respectively by $p_2(j)$) the vertex j of the dissection diagram K_1 (respectively of the dissection diagram K_2) and we consider its fertility $f_1(j)$ (respectively $f_2(j)$). We have:

$$f_1(j) = f_{D_1}(0) - t_1 + \tau_1 + 1 = f_{D_1}(0) - t_2 + \tau_2 + 1 = f_2(j).$$

We now use the subset of chords $A_{K_1, j}$ (respectively $A_{K_2, j}$) and the chord $a = \{j, j + n_1 + 1\}$ too. We know that $a = a_{K_1, \tau_1 + 1}^j = a_{K_2, \tau_2 + 1}^j$ so we have $t_1 = t_2$ and $\tau_1 = \tau_2$.

2. We assume that the two dissection diagrams $\Gamma_{t_1, \omega_1}^{\lambda_1}(D_1 \otimes D_2)$ and $\Gamma_{t_2, \omega_2}^{\lambda_2}(D_1 \otimes D_2)$ are equal, and that the two chords $\{0, \lambda_1 n_1 + (1 - \lambda_1)n_2 + 1\}$ and $\{0, \lambda_2 n_1 + (1 - \lambda_2)n_2 + 1\}$ are equal too, but we assume λ_1 and λ_2 different. We obtain then $n_1 = n_2$. Without any lack of generality we can use the case $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$. We denote $K_1 = \Gamma_{t_1, \omega_1}^{\lambda_1}(D_1 \otimes D_2)$ and $K_2 = \Gamma_{t_2, \omega_2}^{\lambda_2}(D_1 \otimes D_2)$, we consider the subgraph K_1 (respectively the subgraph K_2) created with vertices from the set $\{1, \dots, n_1 + 1\}$ and we contract $\{0, n_1 + 1\}$. As K_1 and K_2 are equal, the obtained dissection diagrams are equal too. And yet, with K_1 (respectively K_2) we obtain D_2 (respectively D_1) so $D_1 = D_2$. We can conclude that λ_1 and λ_2 are equal. Without any lack of generality, we assume $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. Let p_1 (respectively p_2) be the root of K_1 (respectively K_2). We consider their fertility f_1 (respectively f_2). We have:

$$f_1 = f_{D_2}(0) - \omega_1 + t_1 + 1 = f_{D_2}(0) - \omega_2 + t_2 + 1 = f_2.$$

We now use the subset of chords $A_{K_1, 0}$ (respectively $A_{K_2, 0}$) and the chord $a = \{0, n_2 + 1\}$ too. We have $a = a_{K_1, t_1 + 1}^0 = a_{K_2, t_2 + 1}^0$ so $t_1 = t_2$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_2$.

3. As j is positive, this point is trivial.
4. We assume there exists integers $j \in \llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket$ (respectively $i \in \llbracket 1, n_1 \rrbracket$), $t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket$ and $\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket$ (respectively $p \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket$ and $\varrho \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(i) \rrbracket$) such that

$$(\Gamma_{t, j, \tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{j, j + n_1 + 1\}) = (\Gamma_{p, i, \varrho}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1), \{i, i + n_2 + 1\}).$$

We obtain $i = j$ and $n_1 = n_2$. We denote $K_1 = \Gamma_{t, j, \tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2)$ and $K_2 = \Gamma_{p, i, \varrho}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1)$, we consider the subgraph of K_1 (respectively K_2) formed by vertices in $\{1, \dots, j\} \cup \{j + n_1 + 1, \dots, j + 2n_1 + 1\}$ and we contract $\{j, j + n_1 + 1\}$. We obtain then $D_1 = D_2$.

We assume now $D = D_1 = D_2$.

5. It is sufficient to consider the proof of 1.

6. It is true by definition.

7. It is trivial because j is positive.

□

Corollary 45. *Let D_1 and D_2 be two dissection diagrams of positive degree n_1 and n_2 . We define σ_D as the numbers of different couples (G, a) where G is a dissection diagram of degree $n_1 + n_2 + 1$ and a a chord $a = \{u, v\}$ with $0 \leq u < v \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1$ obtained by applying operations 3 and 4 on D_1 and D_2 . We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(D_1, D_2) &= \begin{cases} (f_{D_2}(0) + 1) \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} (f_{D_1}(i) + 1) + (f_{D_1}(0) + 1) \sum_{j=0}^{n_2} (f_{D_2}(j) + 1) & \text{if } D_1 \neq D_2, \\ (f_{D_2}(0) + 1) \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} (f_{D_1}(i) + 1) & \text{if } D_1 = D_2, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (f_{D_2}(0) + 1)(3n_1 + 1) + (f_{D_1}(0) + 1)(3n_2 + 1) & \text{if } D_1 \neq D_2, \\ (f_{D_1}(0) + 1)(3n_1 + 1) & \text{if } D_1 = D_2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is a direct computation. □

Proposition 46. *Let D_1 and D_2 be two dissection diagrams of positive degree n_1 and n_2 .*

$$\begin{aligned} L(D_1 D_2) &= \sum_{\substack{t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket \\ j \in \llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket \\ \tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket}} x^{k_{\{a_j, n_1\}}(\Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2))} \Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket \\ i \in \llbracket 1, n_1 \rrbracket \\ t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(i) \rrbracket}} x^{k_{\{a_i, n_2\}}(\Gamma_{\tau,i,t}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1))} \Gamma_{\tau,i,t}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1) + \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \{0,1\} \\ t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket \\ \tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket}} \Gamma_{t,\tau}^\lambda(D_1 \otimes D_2) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$a_{j,n_1} = \{j, j + n_1 + 1\} \text{ and } a_{i,n_2} = \{i, i + n_2 + 1\}.$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} L(D_1 D_2) &= \sum_{\substack{t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket \\ j \in \llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket \\ \tau \in \llbracket 0, l_2(j) - 1 \rrbracket}} \Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2) + x \sum_{\substack{t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket \\ j \in \llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket \\ \tau \in \llbracket l_2(j), f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket}} \Gamma_{t,j,\tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket \\ i \in \llbracket 1, n_1 \rrbracket \\ t \in \llbracket 0, l_1(i) - 1 \rrbracket}} \Gamma_{\tau,i,t}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1) + x \sum_{\substack{\tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket \\ i \in \llbracket 1, n_1 \rrbracket \\ t \in \llbracket l_1(i), f_{D_1}(i) \rrbracket}} \Gamma_{\tau,i,t}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1) + \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \{0,1\} \\ t \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(0) \rrbracket \\ \tau \in \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(0) \rrbracket}} \Gamma_{t,\tau}^\lambda(D_1 \otimes D_2) \end{aligned}$$

where for all couples $(i, j) \in \llbracket 1, n_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket$, $(l_1(i), l_2(j))$ is the unique couple of integers in $\llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(i) \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket$ such that $a_{D_1, l_1(i)}^i$ in $\mathcal{C}(D_1)$ is labeled by i and $a_{D_2, l_2(j)}^j$ in $\mathcal{C}(D_2)$ is labeled by j .

Proof. We recall that Id is the identity morphism and l is the projection on \mathcal{D}^+ . By definition,

$$L(D_1 D_2) = \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left(Z_{D_1 D_2 \circ} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) \right) (G) G$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left(Z_{D_1 \circ (D_2 \circ \text{diagram})} - Z_{(D_1 \circ D_2) \circ \text{diagram}} \right) (G)G \\
&= \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left((Z_{D_1} \otimes Z_{D_2} \otimes Z_{\text{diagram}}) \circ (Id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \right) (G)G \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left((Z_{D_1} \otimes Z_{D_2} \otimes Z_{\text{diagram}}) \circ (\Delta \otimes Id) \circ (l \otimes Id) \circ \Delta \right) (G)G \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left(Z_{D_1 D_2} \otimes Z_{\text{diagram}} \right) (\Delta(G))G & \text{if } D_1 \neq D_2, \\ 2 \sum_{\substack{G \in \mathcal{D} \\ G \text{ diagram}}} \left(Z_{D_1 D_2} \otimes Z_{\text{diagram}} \right) (\Delta(G))G & \text{else.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(G, a) \in (\mathcal{D})_{n_1+n_2+1} \times \mathcal{C}(G)$ be a couple diagram-chord such that $q_{\{a\}}(G) = D_1 D_2$. We write a as $a = \{i, j\}$ with $0 \leq i < j \leq n_1 + n_2 + 1$. There exists $\nu \in \llbracket 1, f_G(i) \rrbracket$ such that $a_\nu^i = \{i, j\}$. $q_a(G) = D_1 D_2$ so $j = i + n + 1$ with $n \in \{n_1, n_2\}$.

Case 1 : $i \neq 0$. We consider the subgraph S of G with vertices

$$\{0, \dots, i\} \cup \{j, \dots, n_1 + n_2 + 1\}.$$

We have:

$$(G, a) = \begin{cases} (\Gamma_{t,i,\tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{i, i + n_1 + 1\}) \text{ with } t = f_{D_1}(0) - f_G(i) + \nu \text{ and } \tau = \nu - 1 & \text{if } q_{\{a\}}(S) = D_2, \\ (\Gamma_{\tau,i,t}^1(D_2 \otimes D_1), \{i, i + n_2 + 1\}) \text{ with } t = \nu - 1 \text{ and } \tau = f_{D_2}(0) - f_G(i) + \nu & \text{if } q_{\{a\}}(S) = D_1. \end{cases}$$

Case 2 : $i = 0$. We use the subgraph S of G with vertices $\{0, \dots, j\}$. We have:

$$(G, a) = \begin{cases} (\Gamma_{t,\tau}^1(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{0, n_2 + 1\}) \text{ with } t = f_{D_1}(0) - f_G(0) + \nu \text{ and } \tau = \nu - 1 & \text{if } q_{\{a\}}(S) = D_2, \\ (\Gamma_{t,\tau}^0(D_1 \otimes D_2), \{0, n_1 + 1\}) \text{ with } t = \nu - 1 \text{ and } \tau = f_{D_2}(0) - f_G(0) + \nu & \text{if } q_{\{a\}}(S) = D_1. \end{cases}$$

□

Corollary 47. *We assume that $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Let D_1 and D_2 be two dissection diagrams of positive degree n_1 and n_2 . We call $\sigma_{L(D_1 D_2)}$ the number of terms in $L(D_1 D_2)$ counted with multiplicity .*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{L(D_1 D_2)} &= (f_{D_2}(0) + 1) \left[x(3n_1 + 1) + (1 - x) \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} l_1(i) + (1 - x)(f_{D_1}(0) + 1) \right] \\
&\quad + (f_{D_1}(0) + 1) \left[x(3n_2 + 1) + (1 - x) \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} l_2(j) + (1 - x)(f_{D_2}(0) + 1) \right]
\end{aligned}$$



where, for each $(i, j) \in \llbracket 1, n_1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket 1, n_2 \rrbracket$, $(l_1(i), l_2(j))$ is the unique element in $\llbracket 0, f_{D_1}(i) \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0, f_{D_2}(j) \rrbracket$ such that the chord $a_{D_1, l_1(i)}^i$ of D_1 is labeled by i and the chord $a_{D_2, l_2(j)}^j$ of D_2 is labeled by j .

Proposition 48. *Let x be a scalar. Let D, D_1, D_2, G_1 and G_2 be five nonempty dissection diagrams. Then,*

1. $L(D) \neq L(G_1G_2)$,


2. $L(D_1D_2) = L(G_1G_2) \iff ((D_1, D_2) = (G_1, G_2) \text{ or } (D_1, D_2) = (G_2, G_1))$.

Proof. Let x be a scalar in \mathbb{K} . Let D, D_1, D_2, G_1 and G_2 five dissection diagrams. We consider their root fertility. As in the proof of proposition 41, if $f_D(0) \neq f_{G_1}(0) + f_{G_2}(0)$ and if $f_{D_1}(0) + f_{D_2}(0) \neq f_{G_1}(0) + f_{G_2}(0)$ then $L(D) \neq L(G_1G_2)$ and $L(D_1D_2) \neq L(G_1G_2)$. We consider the case where $f_D(0) = f_{G_1}(0) + f_{G_2}(0)$ and $f_{D_1}(0) + f_{D_2}(0) = f_{G_1}(0) + f_{G_2}(0)$. We write the




$L(G_1G_2)$ equal so $f_D(0) \geq 2$. There are two cases:

- (a) If $f_{G_1}(0)$ and $f_{G_2}(0)$ are both different from 1, then there exists an integer $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $J_1 = K_i$. It is impossible.
- (b) We assume now there exists at least an integer $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $f_{G_i}(0) = 1$. Without any lack of generality, we can consider $i = 1$ so γ_1 is empty. We use know the fact that $\{T_1, T_2\}$ and $\{P_1, P_2\}$ be equal. We have two cases.
 - i. In the first one, (T_1, T_2) equals (P_1, P_2) so α_1 and β_1 are empty, $G_1 = X_1$, $A = \alpha_2$, $B = \beta_2$ and we have more




Thereafter A and B are empty and we have the equality




So, there exists a non negative integer n such that $\gamma_2 = X_n$ and then $D = X_{n+2}$, $G_1 = X_1$ and X_{n+1} . It is impossible.


- ii. In the second one, (T_1, T_2) equals (P_2, P_1) so α_2 and β_2 are empty, $A = \alpha_1$, $B = \beta_1$ and we have two equalities




So, we obtain



If γ_2 is empty then A and B are empty too and we obtain $D = X_2$ and $G_1 = G_2 = X_1$. It is impossible. The diagram γ_2 is not empty. As for all integers i and j in $\{1, 2\}$, the dissection diagrams J_i and K_j are different, there exists two

nonempty dissection diagrams W_1 and W_2 such that $J_1 =$  and

$J_2 =$ . Then A and B are empty and we obtain that D , G_1 and

G_2 are corollas. It is impossible.


Thus, $L(D)$ and $L(G_1G_2)$ are different.



2. We assume $L(D_1D_2)$ and $L(G_1G_2)$ equal. We have to consider three cases.


- In the first case, $f_{D_1}(0)$, $f_{D_2}(0)$, $f_{G_1}(0)$ and $f_{G_2}(0)$ are all equal to 1. As the two sets $\{S_1, S_2\}$ and $\{P_1, P_2\}$ are equal the result is trivial.
- In the second one, $f_{D_1}(0)$, $f_{D_2}(0)$, $f_{G_1}(0)$ and $f_{G_2}(0)$ are all positive integers different from 1. As the two sets $\{H_1, H_2\}$ and $\{K_1, K_2\}$ are equal the result is trivial.
- In the third one, $f_{D_1}(0) = 1$ and $f_{D_2}(0) \neq 1$. The sets $\{S_1, S_2\}$ and $\{P_1, P_2\}$ are equal so we assume without any lack of generality $S_1 = P_1$ and $S_2 = P_2$. For all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have $A_i = \alpha_i$ and $B_i = \beta_i$.

We start by proving there exists a unique integer $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $f_{G_i}(0) = 1$. We just have to prove the existence. We assume $f_{G_1}(0)$ and $f_{G_2}(0)$ different


from 1. We consider Ω_1 (respectively Ω_2) the set of dissection diagrams of fertility $f_{D_1}(0) + f_{D_2}(0)$ in the root built to obtain $L(D_1 D_2)$ (respectively $L(G_1 G_2)$). By

writing $\gamma_2 =$  with $\overline{\gamma_2}$ of fertility 1 in the root we have two different

possibilities; either $\Omega_{1,1} =$  equals $\Omega_{2,1} =$  either

$\Omega_{2,2} =$  . So, $B_2 = \beta_2$ is empty and $A_2(= \alpha_2)$ and D_1 have the


same degree. We consider K_1 and K_2 . If there exists $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $K_1 = H_i$ or $K_2 = H_i$ the one of the two couples (G_1, G_2) and (G_2, G_1) equals (D_i, D_{-i+3}) . It is not constant. Thus there exists a nonempty dissection diagram W such that



$K_1 =$  and we obtain

$$\deg(D_1) > \deg(B_1) = \deg(G_2) > \deg(\alpha_2) + 1 > \deg(D_1)$$


which is impossible.

Now we know that there exists a unique integer $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $f_{G_1}(0) = 1$.

If $i = 1$ then the result is trivial. If $i = 2$, then we have $\Omega_{1,1} =$ 

equals $\Omega_{2,1} =$  or $\Omega_{2,2} =$  . Furthermore $f_{\gamma_1}(0) =$

$f_{C_2}(0)$ so γ_1 and C_2 are equal. If $\Omega_{1,1} = \Omega_{2,2}$ then $A_1 = A_2 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $B_1 = B_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2$ so $(D_1, D_2) = (G_2, G_1)$. If $\Omega_{1,1} \neq \Omega_{2,2}$ then $\Omega_{1,1} = \Omega_{2,1}$ and



We have proved the statement

$$L(D_1 D_2) = L(G_1 G_2) \iff ((D_1, D_2) = (G_1, G_2) \text{ or } (D_1, D_2) = (G_2, G_1)).$$

□

Proposition 49. *Let n be a positive integer. There exists a basis \mathcal{B}_1 of sub-binary forests and a basis \mathcal{B}_2 of the dissection diagrams algebra such that the matrix in those basis of the restriction of φ on homogeneous elements of degree n is triangular by blocks.*

Proof. Let n be a positive integer and t a sub-binary tree of degree n . We call $m(t)$ the number of vertices of t with two children. For each disjoint union of dissection diagramme $U = D_1 \dots D_k$ the integer k is called length of U and is denoted by $\mu(U)$. Let D be a dissection diagram of degree n . We call $m(D) = \max\{\mu(q_C(D)), C \in \mathcal{C}(D)\}$. By definition of L , if $m(D) < m(t)$ then $Z_D(\varphi(t)) = 0$. □

Corollary 50. *Let n be a positive integer. We recall that $e_n \in \mathcal{T}_R$ is the ladder of degree n , $Y_n \in \mathcal{D}$ is the path tree of degree n and $X_n \in \mathcal{D}$ is the corolla of degree n . Let t a sub-binary tree. We have $Z_{Y_n}(\varphi(t)) = \begin{cases} n! & \text{if } t = e_n, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ and $Z_{X_n}(\varphi(t)) \neq 0$. Actually $Z_{X_n}(\varphi(t)) = 2^{\text{int}(t)}$ where $\text{int}(t)$ is the number of internal vertices i.e. the number of vertices with at least one child.*

References

- [1] E. BURGUNDER, B. DELCROIX-OGER, AND D. MANCHON, *An operad is never free as a pre-Lie algebra*, ArXiv e-prints, (2017).
- [2] D. CALAQUE, K. EBRAHIMI-FARD, AND D. MANCHON, *Two interacting Hopf algebras of trees: a hopf-algebraic approach to composition and substitution of B-series*, Adv. in Appl. Math., 47 (2011), pp. 282–308.
- [3] F. CHAPOTON, *On some anticyclic operads*, Algebr. Geom. Topol., 5 (2005), pp. 53–69.
- [4] ———, *Free pre-Lie algebras are free as lie algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull., 53 (2010), pp. 425–437.
- [5] F. CHAPOTON AND M. LIVERNET, *Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (2001), pp. 395–408.
- [6] A. CONNES AND D. KREIMER, *Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry*, in Quantum field theory: perspective and prospective (Les Houches, 1998), vol. 530 of NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 59–108.
- [7] C. DUPONT, *Périodes des arrangements d’hyperplans et coproduit motivique*, PhD thesis, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 2014.
- [8] L. FOISSY, *Algèbres de Hopf Combinatoires*.
- [9] ———, *Finite-dimensional comodules over the Hopf algebra of rooted trees*, J. Algebra, 255 (2002), pp. 89–120.

- [10] ———, *The Hopf algebra of Fliess operators and its dual pre-Lie algebra*, *Comm. Algebra*, 43 (2015), pp. 4528–4552.
- [11] A. FRABETTI AND D. MANCHON, *Five interpretations of Faà di Bruno’s formula*, in *Faà di Bruno Hopf algebras, Dyson-Schwinger equations, and Lie-Butcher series*, vol. 21 of IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2015, pp. 91–147.
- [12] M. GERSTENHABER, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 78 (1963), pp. 267–288.
- [13] R. GROSSMAN AND R. G. LARSON, *Hopf-algebraic structure of families of trees*, *J. Algebra*, 126 (1989), pp. 184–210.
- [14] ———, *Hopf-algebraic structure of combinatorial objects and differential operators*, *Israel J. Math.*, 72 (1990), pp. 109–117. Hopf algebras.
- [15] ———, *Symbolic computation of derivations using labelled trees*, *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 13 (1992), pp. 511–523.
- [16] M. E. HOFFMAN, *Combinatorics of rooted trees and Hopf algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355 (2003), pp. 3795–3811 (electronic).
- [17] M. LIVERNET, *A rigidity theorem for pre-Lie algebras*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 207 (2006), pp. 1–18.
- [18] J.-L. LODAY AND M. RONCO, *Combinatorial Hopf algebras*, in *Quanta of maths*, vol. 11 of Clay Math. Proc., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 347–383.
- [19] C. MAMMEZ, *Deux exemples d’algèbres de Hopf d’extraction-contraction: mots tassés et diagrammes de dissection*, PhD thesis, Université du Littoral Côte d’Opale - LMPA Joseph Liouville, 2017.
- [20] D. MANCHON AND A. SAÏDI, *Lois pré-Lie en interaction*, *Comm. Algebra*, 39 (2011), pp. 3662–3680.
- [21] A. MANSUY, *Preordered forests, packed words and contraction algebras*, *J. Algebra*, 411 (2014), pp. 259–311.
- [22] J.-M. OUDOM AND D. GUIN, *On the Lie envelopping algebra of a pre-Lie algebra*, *Journal of K-theory: K-theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology*, 2 (2008), pp. 147–167.
- [23] F. PANAITÉ, *Relating the Connes-Kreimer and Grossman-Larson Hopf algebras built on rooted trees*, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 51 (2000), pp. 211–219.
- [24] N. J. A. SLOANE, *The On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, 1964.
- [25] B. VALLETTE, *Homology of generalized partition posets*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 208 (2007), pp. 699–725.
- [26] E. B. VINBERG, *The theory of homogeneous convex cones*, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč.*, 12 (1963), pp. 303–358.