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#### Abstract

We consider a strongly coupled ODE-PDE system representing moving bottlenecks immersed in vehicular traffic. The PDE consists of a scalar conservation law modeling the traffic flow evolution and the ODE models the trajectory of a slow moving vehicle. The moving bottleneck influences the bulk traffic flow via a point flux constraint, which is given by an inequality on the flux at the slow vehicle position. We prove uniqueness and continuous dependence of solutions with respect to initial data of bounded variation. The proof is based on a new backward in time method established to capture the values of the norm of generalized tangent vectors at every time.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

### 1.1 Presentation of the problem

Macroscopic models, in particular fluid-dynamic ones, for vehicular traffic were extensively studied and used in recent years in the applied math and engineering communities. The mains reasons for this success include the many analytic tools available [ $3,4,9,22$ ] and their usability with sensors data (both fixed and probe) $[12,21,30]$. Probe sensors has been successfully implemented for traffic monitoring since mid 2000s [1] and the new frontiers are in the area of traffic control. A lot of attention is now focused on Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CAVs) seen as distributed probe actuators [28]. CAVs can be represented as moving bottlenecks and some modeling approach are available, [18, 19, 25], based on flux constraints and coupled ODE-PDE systems. To develop a complete theoretical framework for traffic control via moving bottleneck, the main theoretical question to be addressed is the well-posedness of the ODE-PDE systems. This paper addresses this question for the model introduced by Delle Monache and Goatin in [18].

Let us describe in more detail the ODE-PDE models for moving bottlenecks. In [25], to represent the capacity drop of car flow due to the presence of a slow vehicle, the authors multiply the usual flux function by a cut-off function. To obtain a unique solution in the sense of Fillipov ([20]), they assume that the slow vehicle travels at maximal speed. In [18], the authors represent the moving constraint by an pointwise inequality on the flux and prove that the Cauchy problem (1) admits a solution using wave-front tracking approximations. In [19], a proof of the stability of
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Figure 1: Graphical representation of the flux function and of $\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho^{*}$
solutions for a weakly coupled PDE-ODE system is given. The term "weakly coupled" means that the position of the slow vehicle is assumed to be assinged. Some numerical methods have been developed in [14, 15, 17]. In [29], the authors replace the single conservation law, called Lighthill-Whitham-Richards (briefly LWR) first order model [26, 27], with a system of conservation laws, called the Aw-Rascle-Zhang (briefly ARZ) second order model [2, 31]. They define two different Riemann Solvers and they propose numerical methods.

Here we focus on the model proposed in [18], thus we study the following strongly coupled ODE-PDE system

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\partial_{t} \rho+\partial_{x}(\rho(1-\rho))=0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}, \\
\rho(0, x)=\rho_{0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\
f(\rho(t, y(t)))-\dot{y}(t) \rho(t, y(t)) \leqslant F_{\alpha}:=\frac{\alpha}{4}(1-\dot{y}(t))^{2}, & t \in \mathbb{R}^{+},  \tag{1}\\
\dot{y}(t)=\omega(\rho(t, y(t)+))), & t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \\
y(0)=y_{0}, & x \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{array}
$$

Above, $\rho=\rho(t, x) \in[0,1]$ is the mean traffic density, $f$ is the flux defined by

$$
f(\rho)=\rho v(\rho) \quad \text { with } \quad v(\rho)=1-\rho .
$$

The variable $y$ denotes the slow vehicle (briefly SV) position and the velocity of the SV is described by :

$$
\omega(\rho)= \begin{cases}V_{b} & \text { if } \rho \leqslant \rho^{*}:=1-V_{b},  \tag{2}\\ v(\rho) & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
$$

where $V_{b} \in(0,1)$ denotes the maximal speed of the SV. For future use, we also defined $\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ with $\check{\rho}_{\alpha} \leqslant \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ to be the solutions of $\frac{\alpha}{4}\left(1-V_{b}\right)^{2}+V_{b} \rho=f(\rho)$ and $\rho^{*}$ to be the solution of $V_{b} \rho=f(\rho)$. See also Figure 1.

### 1.2 Main result

Let us state the main result of this article. The following theorem is devoted to uniqueness and continuous dependence of solutions for (1) with respect to the initial data.

Theorem 1. The solution $(\rho, y) \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B V(\mathbb{R},[0,1])\right) \times W^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ in the sense of Definition 2 for the Cauchy problem (1) depends in a Lipschitz continuous way from the initial datum. More precisely, let $T>0$ and $\left(\rho^{1}, y^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\rho^{2}, y^{2}\right)$ two solutions of (1) with corresponding initial data $\left(\rho_{0}^{1}, y_{0}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\rho_{0}^{2}, y_{0}^{2}\right)$, then there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\rho^{2}(t)-\rho^{1}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}+\left|y^{2}(t)-y^{1}(t)\right| \leqslant C\left(\left\|\rho_{0}^{2}-\rho_{0}^{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}+\left|y_{0}^{2}-y_{0}^{1}\right|\right),
$$

for every $t \in[0, T]$.
The article is organized as follows. In Section 2.1 and Section 2.2, we recall some properties of system (1) (the Riemann solver and the existence of solutions). In section 2.3, we use the notion of generalized tangent vectors to estimate the $L^{1}$ distance of two different piecewise constant approximate solutions constructed by wave-front tracking method. In Section 2.4, we introduce a new mathematical object which traces the discontinuities of waves backwards in time. Section 2.5 deals with all the possible interactions between two waves and between a wave and the slow vehicle trajectory giving the evolution of the tangent vectors for each interaction. Section 2.6 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1; from the final state, we manage to follow backwards in time all the discontinuities capturing the evolution of generalized tangent vectors.

## 2 Notations and Preliminary materials

### 2.1 The Riemann problem with moving constraints

This section is devoted to the study of the Riemann problem. We consider (1) with Riemann type initial data

$$
\rho_{0}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\rho_{L} & \text { if } & x<0  \tag{3}\\
\rho_{R} & \text { if } & x>0
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad y_{0}=0 .\right.
$$

The definition of the Riemann solver for (1) and (3) is described in [18, Section 3]; We denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the standard Riemann solver for

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} \rho+\partial_{x}(\rho(1-\rho))=0, & (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{4}\\ \rho(0, x)=\rho_{0}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R},\end{cases}
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is defined in (3).
Definition 1. [18, Section 3]The constrainted Riemann solver $\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}:[0,1]^{2} \mapsto \boldsymbol{L}_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\mathbb{R} ;[0,1])$ for (1) and (3) is defined as follows.
$i$ If $f\left(\mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\left(V_{b}\right)\right)>F_{\alpha}+V_{b} \mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\left(V_{b}\right)$, then

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)(x / t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)(x / t) & \text { if } \quad x<V_{b} t, \\
\mathcal{R}\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho_{R}\right)(x / t) & \text { if } \quad x \geqslant V_{b} t,
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad y(t)=V_{b} t .\right.
$$

ii If $V_{b} \mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\left(V_{b}\right) \leqslant f\left(\mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\left(V_{b}\right)\right) \leqslant F_{\alpha}+V_{b} \mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\left(V_{b}\right)$, then

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad y(t)=V_{b} t .
$$

iii If $f\left(\mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\left(V_{b}\right)\right)<V_{b} \mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\left(V_{b}\right)$, then

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\alpha}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)=\mathcal{R}\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad y(t)=v\left(\rho_{R}\right) t
$$

### 2.2 The Cauchy problem: existence of solutions

We introduce the definition of solutions to the constrained Cauchy problem (1) as in $[18$, Section 4].
Definition 2. [18, Section 4] The couple

$$
(\rho, y) \in \mathbb{C}_{0}\left(\left[0,+\infty\left[; \boldsymbol{L}^{1} \cap \mathbf{B V}(\mathbb{R} ;[0,1])\right) \times \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}}([0,+\infty[; \mathbb{R})\right.\right.
$$

is a solution to (1) if
$i$ the function $\rho$ is a weak solution to the $\operatorname{PDE}$ in (1), for $(t, x) \in(0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$;
ii $\rho(0, x)=\rho_{0}(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
iii the function $y$ is a Caratheodory solution to the $O D E$ in (1), i.e. for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \omega(\rho(s, y(s)+)) d s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

iv the constraint is satisfied, in the sense that for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow y(t) \pm}(f(\rho)-w(\rho) \rho)(t, x) \leqslant F_{\alpha} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\rho_{0} \in B V(\mathbb{R},[0,1])$. The existence of solutions for (1) in the sense of Definition 2 is proved in [18]. The authors construct a sequence of approximation solutions via the wave-front tracking method and prove its convergence.

### 2.3 Wave-front tracking and generalized tangent vectors

Solutions to Cauchy problems for conservation laws can be constructed by various methods including wave-front tracking, see [6, 24]. In simple words, wave-front tracking works in the following way. One first approximate the initial data by piecewise constant functions, then solve the corresponding Riemann problems and piece solutions together approximating rarefaction waves with fans of rarefaction shocks. Then each wave moves with the speed prescribed by the RankineHugoniot condition and when two waves meet a new Riemann problem is solved. Since our problem is scalar, we can use the very first algorithm proposed by Dafermos [13]. For the system case and application to traffic see [22].

We introduce on $[0,1]$ the mesh $\mathcal{M}_{n}=\left\{\rho_{i}^{n}\right\}_{i=0}^{2^{n}}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n}=\left(2^{-n} \mathbb{N} \cap[0,1]\right) .
$$

To introduce the points $\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho^{*}$, we modify the mesh $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ as in $[18$, Section 4.1],

- if $\min _{i}\left|\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\rho_{i}^{n}\right|=2^{-n-1}$ then we add the point $\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$ to the mesh

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{n}}=\mathcal{M}_{n} \cup\left\{\check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right\}
$$

- if $\left|\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\rho_{l}^{n}\right|=\min _{i}\left|\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\rho_{i}^{n}\right|<2^{-n-1}$ then we replace $\rho_{l}^{n}$ by $\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{n}}=\mathcal{M}_{n} \cup\left\{\check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right\} \backslash\left\{\rho_{l}^{n}\right\}
$$

- we perform the same operation for $\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and for $\rho^{*}$.

We notice that if $\tilde{\rho}_{j}^{n}, \tilde{\rho}_{i}^{n} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ then $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \leqslant\left|\tilde{\rho}_{j}^{n}-\tilde{\rho}_{i}^{n}\right| \leqslant \frac{3}{2^{n+1}}$. For $i=\{1,2\}$, we construct a piecewise constant approximate solution of $\rho_{0}^{i}$ denoted by $\rho_{0}^{i, n}$ such that,

$$
\rho_{0}^{i, n}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \rho_{0, j}^{i, n} \chi_{\left(x_{j-1}^{0}, x_{j}^{0}\right]} \text { with } \rho_{0, j}^{i, n} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad T V\left(\rho_{0}^{i, n}\right) \leqslant T V\left(\rho_{0}^{i}\right)
$$

which approximates $\rho_{0}^{i}$ in the sense of the strong $L^{1}$ topology, that is to say,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\rho_{0}^{i, n}-\rho_{0}^{i}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbf{R})}=0
$$

Above $x_{1}^{0}<\cdots<x_{N}^{0}$ are the points where $\rho_{0}^{i, n}$ is discontinuous. Solving all the Riemann problem for (4) generated by the jump $\left(\rho_{0}^{i, n}\left(x_{i}^{0}-\right), \rho_{0}^{i, n}\left(x_{i}^{0}+\right)\right)$ for $i=1, \cdots, N$, the solution, denoted by $\rho^{i, n}$, can be prolonged until a first time $t_{1}$ is reached, when two wave-fronts interact. In the wavefront tracking method, the centered rarefaction waves are approximated by piecewise constant rarefaction fans where each rarefaction front has strengh less than $\frac{3}{2^{n+1}}$. Thus, $\rho^{i, n}\left(t_{1}, \cdot\right)$ is still a piecewise constant function, the corresponding Riemann problems can again be approximately solved within the class of piecewise constant functions and so on. Let $y^{i, n}$ the solution of

$$
\begin{cases}\left.\dot{y}(t)=\omega\left(\rho^{i, n}(t, y(t)+)\right)\right), & t \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \\ y(0)=y_{0}, & x \in \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

where $\rho^{i, n}(t)$ corresponds to the wave-front tracking approximate solution at time $t$ as described below with initial data $\rho_{0}^{i, n}$ (see [22, Section 2.6]). We will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{2, n}(t)-\rho^{1, n}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}+\left|y^{2, n}(t)-y^{1, n}(t)\right| \leqslant C(T)\left(\left\|\rho_{0}^{2, n}-\rho_{0}^{1, n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}+\left|y_{0}^{2}-y_{0}^{1}\right|\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the notion of generelized tangent vectors, introduced in [5, 7] for systems of conservation laws and adapted to traffic applications in [10, 23]. The main idea is to estimate the $L^{1}$-distance viewing $L^{1}$ as a Riemannian manifold. Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $P C$ denotes the set of piecewise constant functions with finitely many jumps. An elementary path is a map $\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow P C$ of the form

$$
\gamma(\theta)=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} \rho_{j} \chi_{\left[x_{j-1}^{\theta}, x_{j}^{\theta}\right]}, y^{\theta}\right)
$$

where $x_{j}^{\theta}=x_{j}+\xi_{j} \theta, y^{\theta}=y+\xi_{b} \theta$ with $x_{j-1}^{\theta}<x_{j}^{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in[a, b]$ and $j=1 \cdots N$. The length of an elementary path is defined as:

$$
\|\gamma\|=\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{j}\left|\Delta \rho_{j} \xi_{j}\right|+\left|\xi_{b}\right| d \theta
$$

and it is easy to check that this is compatible with the usual $L^{1}$ metric, i.e. $\|\gamma\|=\|\gamma\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}$. The functions $\left(\rho_{0}^{1, n}, y_{0}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\rho_{0}^{2, n}, y_{0}^{2}\right)$ can be joined by a piecewise elementary path $\gamma_{0}$ with a finite number of pieces. If we denote by $\gamma_{t}(\theta)$ the path obtained at time $t$ via wave-front tracking, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho^{2, n}(t)-\rho^{1, n}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}+\left|y^{2, n}(t)-y^{1, n}(t)\right| \leqslant \inf _{\gamma_{t}}\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\gamma_{0}}\left\|\gamma_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}=\left\|\rho_{0}^{2, n}-\rho_{0}^{1, n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}+\left|y_{0}^{2}-y_{0}^{1}\right| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $t \in[0, T], \gamma_{t}$ is a piecewise elementary path, thus $\gamma_{t}$ admits wave shifts denoted by $\xi_{i}(t, \theta)$ and an SV shift denoted by $\xi_{b}(t, \theta)$. Therefore for a.e $\theta \in[0,1]$ and $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}=\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k}\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(t, \theta) \xi_{k}^{n}(t, \theta)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(t, \theta)\right| d \theta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(t, \theta)$ are the signed strengths of the $k^{\text {th }}$-waves. Thanks to (8), (9) and (10), to prove inequality (7) it is enough to show, for every $\theta \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k}\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(T, \theta) \xi_{k}^{n}(T, \theta)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(T, \theta)\right| \leqslant C\left(\sum_{k}\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(0, \theta) \xi_{k}^{n}(0, \theta)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(0, \theta)\right|\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C>0$ independent of $n$. To simplify the notations, we drop the dependence on $\theta$ in (11). The following sections are devoted to the proof of (11).

### 2.4 Introduction of $K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, k\right)$

In the sequel, $\rho_{L}^{k}$ (resp. $\rho_{R}^{k}$ ) denotes the car density at the left side (resp. at right side) of a $k^{\text {th }}$-discontinuity. Our goal in this section is to track the ancestors of a discontinuity along a wave-front tracking solution, without taking account interactions with the SV trajectory.

Definition 3. We define the following waves and interactions:

- A classical shock $\left(\rho_{l}, \rho_{r}\right)$ is either a discontinuity such that $\rho_{l}<\rho_{r}$ (shock) or a discontinuity such that $\rho_{r}<\rho_{l}$ and $\rho_{l}-\rho_{r} \leqslant \frac{3}{2^{n+1}}$ (rarefaction).
- A non classical shock $\left(\rho_{l}, \rho_{r}\right)$ is a discontinuity such that $\rho_{r}=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{l}=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$. A non classical shock can appear only along the $S V$ trajectory.
- A wave-wave interaction is an interaction between two waves away from the $S V$ trajectory.
- A wave-SV interaction is an interaction between a wave and the $S V$ trajectory without creating or cancelling a non classical shock.

Definition 4. We now define the concept of ancestor.

- K $(n, t)$ denotes the set of classical shocks at time $t$.
- $i \in K\left(n, t_{1}\right)$ is an ancestor of $j \in K\left(n, t_{2}\right)$ if $t_{1} \leqslant t_{2}$ and $i$ can be connected by to $j$ via waves produced by wave-front tracking via interactions.
- Let $0 \leqslant t_{1} \leqslant t_{2}$. The set $K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, k\right)$ denotes the set of classical shocks at time $t_{1}$ which are the ancestors of the $k^{\text {th }}$-wave with $k \in K\left(n, t_{2}\right)$ (see Example 1). Moreover, we have $K\left(n, t_{2}, t_{2}, k\right)=\{k\}$.

The following Lemma gives some basic properties of $K(n, t)$ and $K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, k\right)$.
Lemma 1. For every $0<t_{1} \leqslant t_{2}$, the following holds.

- Let $k \in K\left(n, t_{2}\right)$ and $j \in K\left(n, t_{2}\right) \backslash\{k\}$. For every $(p, q) \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, j\right) \times K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, k\right)$, we have $p \neq q$.
- $\left|K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, j\right)\right| \leqslant\left|K\left(n, t_{0}, t_{2}, j\right)\right|$ for every $0<t_{0} \leqslant t_{1}$, where $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of the set $A$.
- $K\left(n, t_{1}\right)=\sqcup_{k \in K\left(n, t_{2}\right)} K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, k\right)$.

Proof. We analyze the effect of wave interactions on $K$, then all claims follow immediately.

- If no interaction occurs over $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, then $K\left(n, t_{2}\right)=K(n, t)$ and $K\left(n, t, t_{2}, j\right)=\{j\}$ for every $(t, j) \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \times K\left(n, t_{2}\right)$.
- If a wave 1 interacts with a wave 2 creating a wave 3 and no other interaction occurs at $t=\bar{t}>0^{1}$ (see Figure 3) then $K\left(n, \bar{t}^{-}\right)=\left(K\left(n, \bar{t}^{+}\right) \backslash\{3\}\right) \cup\{1,2\}$ and $K\left(n, \bar{t}^{-}, \bar{t}^{+}, 3\right)=\{1,2\}$.
- The possible interactions of a wave the SV trajectory are presented in Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6 and Figure 7. By definition, a non classical shock does not belong to $K(n, \cdot)$. Thus, we have $K\left(n, \bar{t}^{+}\right)=K\left(n, \bar{t}^{-}\right)$and $K\left(n, \bar{t}^{-}, \bar{t}^{+}, j\right)=\{j\}$ with $j \in K\left(n, \bar{t}^{+}\right)$.
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Figure 2: A particular configuration of discontinuities with the SV trajectory.

Definition 5. Let $\prec_{n}$ be the partial ordered over $\mathbb{N}$ defined as follows: $j \prec_{n} k$ if there exists $t_{1} \leqslant t_{2}$ such that $k \in K\left(t_{2}, n\right), j \in K\left(n, t_{1}\right)$ and $j \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, k\right)$. That is to say, $j$ is an ancestor of $k$ if and only if $j \prec_{n} k$.
Remark 1. If a centered rarefaction fan is created at $t=0$ (the sequence of discontinuities are denoted by $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{m}$ ), we have $\xi_{k_{i}}^{n}=\xi_{k_{i+1}}^{n}$ for every $i=\{1, \cdots, m-1\}$ where $\xi_{k}^{n}$ denotes the shift of the $k^{\text {th }}$-wave.

Example 1. In the particular case presented in Figure 2, we have

- $K(n, T)=\{14,19\}$,
- $K\left(n, t_{3}^{+}\right)=K\left(n, t_{3}^{-}\right)=\{2,3,4,5,6,9,19\}$,
- $K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}\right)=\{2,3,4,5,6,9,19\}$ and $K\left(n, t_{2}^{-}\right)=\{2,3,4,5,6,9,17,18\}$,
- $K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}\right)=\{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,17,18\}$ and $K\left(n, t_{1}-\right)=\{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,15,16,18\}$,
- $K(n, 0)=\{1,7,8,15,16,18\}$.

For instance, $K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}, T, 14\right)=\{2,3,4,5,6,9\}$ or $K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, T, 19\right)=\{17,18\}$. Moreover, $2 \prec_{n} 12$ and $7 \prec_{n} 12$ but 2 and 7 are not comparable.

### 2.5 Wave-wave or wave-SV interactions

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $T>0$. We describe all the possible interactions between two waves (see Figure 3) and all the possible interactions between a wave and the SV trajectory (Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6 and Figure 7). There is no other possible interaction (for more details, we refer to [18]). For each interaction, we determine the evolution of the shifts $\xi_{k}^{n}$ and the shift of the SV $\xi_{b}^{n}$ over time (see Lemma 3, Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and Lemma 6). Since $\xi_{k}^{n}$ and $\xi_{b}^{n}$ remain constant if no interaction takes place (see [7, 22]), we can only focus on wave-wave interactions and wave-SV interactions. We introduce the function $\psi$ defined by

$$
\psi\left(\rho_{R}, \rho_{L}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{w\left(\rho_{L}\right)-w\left(\rho_{R}\right)}{w\left(\rho_{L}\right)-\lambda} & \text { if }\left(\rho_{R}, \rho_{L}\right) \in\left(\rho^{*}, 1\right) \times\left(\left[0, \check{p}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{p}_{\alpha}, 1\right]\right) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$



Figure 3: Two waves interact together producing a third wave


Case a) $\rho^{*} \leqslant \rho_{R}<\rho_{L}$ and $\rho_{L}-\rho_{R} \leqslant$ $\frac{3}{2^{n+1}}$.


Case b) $\rho^{*}<\rho_{R}$ and $\rho_{L} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho_{R}\right]$.

Figure 4: Interaction coming from the right with the SV trajectory
with $\lambda:=1-\rho_{L}-\rho_{R}$ and $w$ defined in (2). We notice that $1-\psi\left(\rho_{R}, \rho_{L}\right)=\frac{w\left(\rho_{R}\right)-\lambda}{w\left(\rho_{L}\right)-\lambda}$ for $\left(\rho_{R}, \rho_{L}\right) \in\left(\rho^{*}, 1\right) \times\left(\left[0, \check{p}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{p}_{\alpha}, 1\right]\right)$. By straightforward computations, we have

$$
\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\rho_{R}-\rho^{*}}{\rho_{L}+\rho_{R}-\rho^{*}} & \text { if }\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{l} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho^{*}\right]\right),  \tag{12}\\ \frac{\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}}{\rho_{R}} & \text { if }\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{l} \in\left[\rho^{*}, \rho_{R}\right]\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\rho^{*} \leqslant \rho_{R}<\rho_{L}\right), \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

We introduce the function $\sigma$ defined by $\sigma\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right):=\frac{f\left(\rho_{L}\right)-f\left(\rho_{R}\right)}{\rho_{L}-\rho_{R}}$ which represents the speed of the shock wave ( $\rho_{L}, \rho_{r}$ ).

The following lemma, proved in [22, Lemma 2.7.2], deals with the interaction between two waves away from the SV trajectory (see Figure 3).

Lemma 2. The interaction between two waves produces a third wave.
Proof. We assume that a wave $\left(\rho_{l}, \rho_{m}\right)$ interacts with an other wave ( $\rho_{m}, \rho_{r}$ ) without producing a third wave. Then, we have $\rho_{l}=\rho_{r}$. Since, in the wave-front tracking method, we have chosen that the speed of a rarefaction $\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)$ is $\sigma\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right):=\frac{f\left(\rho_{L}\right)-f\left(\rho_{R}\right)}{\rho_{L}-\rho_{R}}$, the speed of the wave ( $\rho_{l}, \rho_{m}$ ) is equal to the speed of the wave $\left(\rho_{m}, \rho_{r}\right)$. We conclude that no interaction occurs, whence the contradiction.


Case a) $\rho_{L}=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{R} \in\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, 1\right]$


Case b) $\rho_{L}=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{R} \in\left[\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$

Figure 5: Interaction coming from the right with the SV trajectory cancelling (Case a)) or creating (Case b)) a non classical shock.


Case a) $\rho_{R}=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{L} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$


Case b) $\rho_{L} \in\left[\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$ and $\rho_{R}=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$

Figure 6: Interaction coming from the left with the SV trajectory cancelling (Case a)) or creating (Case b)) a non classical shock.


Figure 7: $\rho_{L} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right], \rho_{R} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, p^{*}\right]$ and $\rho_{L}+\rho_{R}<\rho^{*}$. Interaction coming from the left with the SV trajectory.

Lemma 3. Consider two waves with speeds $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$, respectively, that interact together at time $t=\bar{t}$ producing a wave with speed $\lambda_{3}$ (see Figure 3). If the first wave is shifted by $\xi_{1}$ and the second wave by $\xi_{2}$, then the shift of the resulting wave is given by

$$
\xi_{3}=\frac{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}} \xi_{1}+\frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}} \xi_{2}
$$

Besides,

$$
\Delta \rho_{3} \xi_{3}=\Delta \rho_{2} \xi_{2}+\Delta \rho_{1} \xi_{1}=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, \bar{t}^{-}, \bar{t}^{+}, 3\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}
$$

with $\Delta \rho_{3}=\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}, \Delta \rho_{1}=\rho_{R}-\rho_{M}$ and $\Delta \rho_{2}=\rho_{M}-\rho_{L}$.
Let $\bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$. The following Lemmas deal with the interaction between a wave $k$ and the SV trajectory with $k \in K\left(n, \bar{t}^{+}\right)=K\left(n, \bar{t}^{-}\right)$. Lemma 4 is proved in [10, Section 4.2]. The proof of Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 are standard and they are obtained by mimicking the proof of Lemma 4.

Lemma 4. We assume that the wave $k$ interacts at time $t=\bar{t}$ with the $S V$ trajectory without creating or cancelling a non-classical shock (see Figure 4), then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)+\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)\right) \xi_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right) \\
\xi_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right)=\xi_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\xi_{b}$ the $S V$ shift and $\xi_{k}$ the shift of the wave $k$.
Lemma 5. We assume that the wave $k$ interacts with the $S V$ trajectory and a non classical shock is created (see Figure 5 (right) and Figure 6 (right)). Then,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right) \xi_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\Delta \rho_{k}=\rho_{R}^{k}-\rho_{L}^{k}$, $\xi_{b}$ the SV shift and $\xi_{k}$ the shift of the wave $k$.
Lemma 6. We assume that the wave $k$ interacts with the $S V$ trajectory and a non classical shock is cancelled (see Figure 5 a) and Figure 6 a))

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)+\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)\right) \xi_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right) \xi_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(\bar{t}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\Delta \rho_{k}=\rho_{R}^{k}-\rho_{L}^{k}$, $\xi_{b}$ the SV shift and $\xi_{k}$ the shift of the wave $k$.
The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following estimates whose the proof is postponed in Appendix A.

Lemma 7. A. $\left\lvert\,\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right) \left\lvert\, \leqslant 1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}<1+\frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\right.\right.$, for every $\left(\rho_{R}, \rho_{L}\right) \in\left(\rho^{*}, 1\right) \times\left(\left[0, \check{p}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.\left[\hat{p}_{\alpha}, 1\right]\right)$,
B. $\left|\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)}{\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$, for $\operatorname{every}\left(\rho_{R}, \rho_{L}\right) \in\left(\rho^{*}, 1\right) \times\left(\left[0, \check{p}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{p}_{\alpha}, 1\right]\right)$,
C. $\left|\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)}{\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}}\right|+\left|1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$, for every $\left(\rho_{R}, \rho_{L}\right) \in\left(\rho^{*}, 1\right) \times\left[0, \check{p}_{\alpha}\right]$.

We give a further property of non classical shocks.
Lemma 8. A wave interacting with a non classical shock cancels it and produces an outgoing classical shock wave.

Proof. We assume that a wave $1\left(\rho_{L}^{1}, \rho_{R}^{1}\right)$ interacts at time $\bar{t}$ with a non classical shock $\left(\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)$ coming from the left.

- If the wave 1 is reflected in the non classical shock; in this case, a wave $2\left(\rho_{L}^{2}, \rho_{R}^{2}\right)$ is produced at $t=\bar{t}$ with $\rho_{L}^{1}=\rho_{L}^{2}$. Moreover, by construction, we have $\rho_{R}^{1}=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{R}^{2}=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$. We conclude that $\sigma\left(\rho_{L}^{1}, \rho_{R}^{1}\right)=\sigma\left(\rho_{L}^{2}, \rho_{R}^{2}\right)$ which is not possible for a reflection.
- If the wave goes through to the non classical shock; in this case $\rho_{L}^{1}=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}=\rho_{R}^{1}$, which is obviously not possible.

We conclude that a wave, coming from the left of the SV trajectory, cancels a non classical shock and, from Lemma 2, the interaction produces an outgoing classical shock wave. A similar proof can be done for a wave coming from the right.

### 2.6 Proof of Theorem 1

### 2.6.1 Ideas of the proof (a backwards in time method)

For every $k \in K(n, T)$, we want to track the exact values of $\xi_{b}$ and $\xi_{j}$ with $j \in K(n, t, T, k)$ from $t=T$ until $t=0$. We assume that no interactions occurs over $\left(t_{1}, T\right]$ and at $t=t_{1}$ we have either a wave-wave interaction (see Figure 3) or a wave-SV interaction (see Figure 4, 5, 6 and Figure 7). For every $t \in\left(t_{1}, T\right]$ and for every $k \in K(n, T)=K(n, t)$, we get $\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(T) \xi_{k}^{n}(T)=\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(t) \xi_{k}^{n}(t)$ and $\xi_{b}^{n}(T)=\xi_{b}^{n}(t)$.

- If the wave 1 interacts with the wave 2 producing the wave 3 (see Figure 3) then, from Lemma 3 ,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}(T)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)  \tag{13}\\
\Delta \rho_{k}(T) \xi_{k}(T)=\Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \quad \text { for every } \quad k \in K(n, T) \backslash\{3\}=K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}\right) \backslash\{1,2\} \\
\Delta \rho_{3}(T) \xi_{3}(T)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

- If the wave $k$ with $k \in K(n, T)$ interacts with the SV trajectory at $t=t_{1}$ (see Figures $4,5,6$ and 7) then, from Lemma 4,5 and Lemma 6 , there exists $\left(W_{b, k}^{1}\left(t_{1}\right), W_{b, k}^{2}\left(t_{1}\right), W_{k}^{1}\left(t_{1}\right), W_{k}^{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{4}$ such that $K(n, T)=K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}\right)$and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}(T)=W_{b, k}^{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+W_{k}^{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)  \tag{14}\\
\Delta \rho_{k}(T) \xi_{k}(T)=W_{b, k}^{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+W_{k}^{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{j}(T) \xi_{j}(T)=\Delta \rho_{j}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{j}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \quad \text { for every } j \in K(n, T) \backslash\{k\}=K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}\right) \backslash\{k\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

At time $t=t_{1}>0$, we repeat the previous strategy considering $K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}\right)$instead of $K(n, T)$ until a second interaction time $t=t_{2}>0$ and so on. Combining (13) with (14), for every $k \in K(n, T)$, there exist $W_{b}^{1}(0), W_{b, k}^{2}(0),\left(W_{j, k}^{1}(0)\right)_{j \in K(n, 0)},\left(W_{j, k}^{2}(0)\right)_{j \in K(n, 0)} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{|K(n, 0)|} \times \mathbb{R}^{|K(n, 0)|}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}(T)=W_{b}^{1}(0) \xi_{b}(0)+\sum_{j \in K(n, 0)} W_{j, k}^{2}(0) \Delta \rho_{j}(0) \xi_{j}(0)  \tag{15}\\
\Delta \rho_{k}(T) \xi_{k}(T)=W_{b, k}^{2}(0) \xi_{b}(0)+\sum_{j \in K(n, 0)} W_{j, k}(0) \Delta \rho_{j}(0) \xi_{j}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (15), we construct explicitly weight functions $\left(W_{k}^{n}(0)\right)_{k \in K(n, 0)}$ and $W_{b}^{n}(0)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in K(n, T)}\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(T) \xi_{k}^{n}(T)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(T)\right| \leqslant \sum_{k \in K(n, 0)}\left|W_{k}^{n}(0) \Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(0) \xi_{k}^{n}(0)\right|+\left|W_{b}^{n}(0) \xi_{b}^{n}(0)\right| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The desired inequality (11) is obtained using the following Lemma

Lemma 9. Let $T>0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. There exists $\left(\left(W_{k}^{n}(0)\right)_{k \in K(n, 0)}, W_{b}^{n}(0)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{|K(n, 0)|} \times\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in K(n, T)}\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(T) \xi_{k}^{n}(T)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(T)\right| \leqslant \sum_{k \in K(n, 0)}\left|W_{k}^{n}(0) \Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(0) \xi_{k}^{n}(0)\right|+\left|W_{b}^{n}(0) \xi_{b}^{n}(0)\right| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\max \left(\mid W_{k}^{n}(0), W_{b}^{n}(0)\right) \leqslant C, \quad \text { for every } k \in K(n, 0)
$$

with $C>0$ a constant independent of $n$.
Lemma 9 is proved by considering only the interactions which may occur an infinite number of times with the SV trajectory. In theses cases, the wave and SV shifts may blow up. In Section 2.6.2, we study the evolution of the SV shift and the evolution of the wave shifts when a non classical shock is created and then cancelled as well as the number of times these particular types of interaction can occur successively. In Section 2.6.3, we determine the expressions of $W_{k}^{n}(0)$ and $W_{k}^{n}(0)$, defined in (17), in the case where the wave and SV shifts may blow up. Lemma 7 proves that $W_{k}^{n}(0)$ and $W_{b}^{n}(0)$ are bounded independent of $n$.

Example: We consider the particular case presented in Figure 2. From Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 and $\xi_{b}(T)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}(T)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)}{\Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{3}^{3}\right)} \Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right) \xi_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)\right. \\
\Delta \rho_{14}(T) \xi_{14}(T)=\sum_{k \in\{2,3,4,5,6,9\}} \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{19}(T) \xi_{19}(T)=\Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right) \xi_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)=\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right), \rho_{R}^{19}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)\right)=\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)\right)$and $\Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)=\rho_{R}^{19}-\rho_{L}^{19}$. From Lemma 3 and Lemma 6, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \\
\Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{9}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{19}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{17}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{17}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\Delta \rho_{18}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{18}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We notice that $\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)$. Since $\xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}(T)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)\right)\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \\
\quad+\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)\right) \frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)}{\Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)} \sum_{k \in\{17,18\}} \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \\
\Delta \rho_{14}(T) \xi_{14}(T)=\sum_{k \in\{2,3,4,5,6,9\}} \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \\
\Delta \rho_{19}(T) \xi_{19}(T)=\sum_{k \in\{17,18\}} \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

From Lemma 3 and Lemma 5,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{7}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{7}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{7}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{7}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{17}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{17}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{15}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{15}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\Delta \rho_{16}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{16}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}-\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)$and $\Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\Delta \rho_{7}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{7}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\Delta \rho_{8}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{8}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}(T)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)\right)\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
+\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)\right) \frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \sum_{k=7}^{8} \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)}{\Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)} \sum_{k \in\{15,16,18\}} \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{14}(T) \xi_{14}(T)=\sum_{k \in\{2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}} \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{19}(T) \xi_{19}(T)=\sum_{k \in\{15,16,18\}} \Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By convention, we have $\xi_{1}(0)=\xi_{k}\left(0^{+}\right)$for every $k \in\{2,3,4,5,6\}$. Using $\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}+\right)=\xi_{b}(0)$, we conclude that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}(T)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)\right)\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}(0) \\
+\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)\right) \frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \sum_{k \in\{7,8\}} \Delta \rho_{k}(0) \xi_{k}(0)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)}{\Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)} \sum_{k \in\{15,16,18\}} \Delta \rho_{k}(0) \xi_{k}(0) \\
\Delta \rho_{14}(T) \xi_{14}(T)=\sum_{k \in\{1,7,8\}} \Delta \rho_{k}(0) \xi_{k}(0) \\
\Delta \rho_{19}(T) \xi_{19}(T)=\sum_{k \in\{15,16,18\}} \Delta \rho_{k}(0) \xi_{k}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the particular case presented in Figure 2, the inequality (11) becomes

$$
\sum_{k \in\{14,19\}}\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(T) \xi_{k}^{n}(T)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(T)\right| \leqslant \sum_{k \in\{1,7,8,15,16,18\}} W_{k}^{n}(0)\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(0) \xi_{k}^{n}(0)\right|+W_{b}^{n}(0)\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(0)\right|,
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W_{b}(0)=\left|\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)\right)\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right)\right| \\
W_{1}(0)=1 \\
W_{7}(0)=W_{8}(0)=1+\left|\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)\right) \frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{9}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\right| \\
W_{15}(0)=W_{16}(0)=W_{18}(0)=1+\left|\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{19}, \rho_{R}^{19}\right)}{\Delta \rho_{19}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}\right|
\end{array}\right.
$$

Applying Lemma 7, we obtain

$$
\max \left(\mid W_{k}^{n}(0), W_{b}^{n}(0)\right) \leqslant 1+\left(1+\frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\right)^{2}, \quad \text { for every } k \in\{1,7,8,15,16,18\}
$$

Remark 2. We assume that the wave $k_{1}$ interacts with the SV trajectory at $t=t_{1}$ creating a non classical shock (Lemma 5) and the wave $k_{2}$ interacts with the SV trajectory at $t=t_{2}$ cancelling the previous non classical shock (Lemma 6)). Using $\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)$, by straighforward computations we have

$$
\Delta \rho_{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\Delta \rho_{k_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{k_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\Delta \rho_{k_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{k_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\mathbf{0} \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)
$$

and
$\left|\Delta \rho_{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)\right|+\left|\Delta \rho_{k_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{k_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|\Delta \rho_{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{k_{1}}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right|+\left|\Delta \rho_{k_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{k_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right|+\left|2\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)\right|\left|\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)\right|$.
Since this type of interactions can occur an infinite number of times, the usual locally method, which consists in constructing a weight function $W_{b}$ for the SV shift and weight functions $W_{k}$ for waves such that $t \mapsto W_{b}(t)\left|\xi_{b}(t)\right|+W_{k}(t)\left|\Delta \rho_{k}(t) \xi_{k}(t)\right|$ for every $k \in K(n, t)$ are not increasing in time (see $[8,11,16]$ ), is more difficult to apply. That is why we introduce a backward in time method described above which captures all information over $[0, T]$.

Remark 3. Since $k^{\text {th }}$-wave may interact an infinite number of times with the SV trajectory, to find an upper bound of the weight $W_{k}^{n}(0)$, we have to prove that an infinite serie is bounded, which is the difficult point of this proof (see Proof of Lemma 13).

To obtain (15), we need to have a better understandable of the creation and cancellation of a non classical shock (see section 2.6.2).

### 2.6.2 Creation and cancellation of a non classical shock

We assume that a non classical shock is created at $t=t_{1}>0$. Let $t_{2}$ the first time after $t_{1}$ where a wave interacts with the SV trajectory. From Lemma 8, the non classical shock is cancelled


Figure 8: Different examples of NC1 interactions
at time $t_{2}$. We have two possibilities to create a non classical shock (see Figure 5 b ) and Figure 6 b)) and we have two possibilities to cancel a non classical shock (see Figure 5 a) and Figure 6 a)). Thus, we have four types of interaction denoted by NC1, NC2, NC3 and NC4 which will be described below. For each possible case, we determine the evolution of the tangent vectors backwards in time.

NC1: A NC1 interaction is obtained combining Figure 5 b) with Figure 6 a); the wave 1 $\left(\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)$interacts with the SV trajectory at time $t_{1}$ creating a non classical shock and a wave $\left(\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right), \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)\right)$which will be denoted by 1 as well. The wave $2\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)$ interacts with the SV trajectory at time $t_{2}$ cancelling a non classical shock and producing a wave $\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)\right)$which will be called 2 as well (see Figure 8 a)). In this case, $\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=$ $\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=\rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \in\left[\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right], \rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right], \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$, $\rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$. From Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{1}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right),  \tag{18}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \\
\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \\
\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right):=\rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)-\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right):=\rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)-\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right):=\rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)-\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)$ and $\psi$ is defined in (12).
From Lemma 8 and by definition of $t_{2}$, no other wave can interact with the non classical shock over $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Thus, we have $\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)$(see Figure 8 a)) and $\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=$
$\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}$. Moreover, since $\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}<\rho^{*}$, we have $\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)=0$. Using (18), we conclude that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)  \tag{19}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

We distinguish two different cases. By construction, there exists a couple $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K(n, T)^{2}$ such that $1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)=K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, T, k_{1}\right)$ and $2 \in K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}, T, k_{2}\right)=K\left(n, t_{2}^{-}, T, k_{2}\right)$.

NC1-a) $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$; roughly speaking the wave 1 never interacts with the wave 2 . Let $k_{0} \in$ $K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)$ such that $1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{2}^{+}, k_{0}\right)$. From (19), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)  \tag{20}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{k_{0}}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{k_{0}}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, k_{0}\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}-\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 10. A wave coming from the right cannot interact with the $S V$ trajectory at time $t>t_{2}$. In particular, a NC1-a) interaction occurs at most one time.

Proof. Assuming a wave $k$, coming from the right, interacts with the SV trajectory at $t>t_{2}$. Using Lemma 2, we have $1 \prec_{n} k$ and $2 \prec_{n} k$. Thus, $k_{1}=k_{2}$, whence the contradiction. Since NC1-a) starts with an interaction coming from the right of the SV trajectory, the case NC1-a) can happen only once.

NC1-b) $k_{1}=k_{2}$; two different types of NC1-b) interaction are illustrated in Figure 8 b) and in Figure 8 c ). Roughly speaking the wave 1 interacts with the wave 2 . Since $t_{2}$ is the first time after $t_{1}$ where a wave interacts with the SV trajectory and $k_{1}=k_{2}$, there exist $t_{3} \in\left(t_{2}, T\right]$ and a wave $3 \in K\left(n, t_{3}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)$ such that every wave $k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right) \backslash\{2\}$ does not interact with the SV trajectory. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right) \backslash\left(K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right) \cup\{1\}\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19), (21) and the equality $\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{-}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}$, we conclude that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)  \tag{22}\\
\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{3}, 3\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From (22), a NC1-b) interaction has the same effect as wave-wave interations.
NC2: A NC2 interaction is obtained combining Figure 5 a) with Figure 6 b). We mimic the proof of the previous case keeping the same notations and taking in account that $\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)$ may be different of zero (see Figure 9). From Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, the equalities in (18) hold and by definition of $t_{2} \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)$. We distinguish two different cases. By construction, there exists a couple $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K(n, T)^{2}$ such that $1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)=K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, T, k_{1}\right)$ and $2 \in K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}, T, k_{2}\right)=K\left(n, t_{2}^{-}, T, k_{2}\right)$.


Figure 9: An example of a NC2-a) interaction (Case a)) and of a NC2-b) interaction (Case b)).

NC2-a) $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ (see Figure 9 a)); roughly speaking the wave 1 never interacts with the wave 2 . Let $k_{0} \in K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)$ such that $1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{2}^{+}, k_{0}\right)$. From (18), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)  \tag{23}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{k_{0}}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{k_{0}}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, k_{0}\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}-\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 11. A wave coming from the left cannot interact with the SV trajectory at time $t>t_{2}$. In particular, a NC2-a) interaction occurs at most one time.

Proof. The proof is obtained by mimicking the proof of Lemma 10.
Remark 4. From Lemma 10, if a NC1-a) interaction occurs over $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, a NC2-a) interaction can not happen on $\left[t_{2}, T\right]$. Reciprocally, using Lemma 11, if a NC2-a) interaction occurs over $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$, a NC1-a) interaction can not happen on $\left[t_{2}, T\right]$. Thus, either NC1-a) interaction or NC2-a) interaction can occur but not both.
NC2-b) $k_{1}=k_{2}($ see Figure 9 b$)$ ); roughly speaking the wave 1 interacts with the wave 2 . Since $t_{2}$ is the first time after $t_{1}$ where a wave interacts with the SV trajectory and $k_{1}=k_{2}$, then there exist $t_{3} \in\left(t_{2}, T\right]$ and a wave $3 \in K\left(n, T, t_{3}^{+}, k_{1}\right)$ such that every wave $k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right) \backslash\{2\}$ does not interact with the SV trajectory. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right) \backslash\left(K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right) \cup\{1\}\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (18), (24) and the equality $\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{-}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\left(\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{-}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}\right)  \tag{25}\\
\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

NC3: A NC3 interaction is obtained combining Figure 6 a) with Figure 6 b). The wave 1 $\left(\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)$interacts with the SV trajectory at time $t_{1}$ creating a non classical shock


Figure 10: An example of a NC3) interaction (Case a)) and of a NC4) interaction (Case b))
and a wave $\left(\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right), \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)\right)$which will be denoted by 1 as well. The wave $2\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)$ interacts with the SV trajectory at time $t_{2}$ cancelling a non classical shock and producing a wave $\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)\right)$which will be called 2 as well (see Figure 10 a) ). In this case, $\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=$ $\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \in\left[\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right], \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right], \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$, $\rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$. Since the speed of the SV is not modified $\left(\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)=0\right)$, from Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right),  \tag{26}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \\
\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\left(\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By construction, there exists $k_{1} \in K(n, T)$ such that $\{1\} \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)$ and $\{2\} \in$ $K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{2}^{-}, 2\right) \backslash\{1\}} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (26), (27), we conclude that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)  \tag{28}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

NC4: A NC4 interaction is obtained combining Figure 5 a) with Figure 5 b) (see Figure 10 b)). In this case, $\rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=\rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \in\left[\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right], \rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$ and $\rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \in$ $\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, 1\right], \rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$. Moreover, $\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)$may be different of zero. From Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, the equalities in (18) hold and, by definition of $t_{2}, \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=$ $\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)$. By construction, there exists $k_{1} \in K(n, T)$ such that $\{1\} \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)$ and $\{2\} \in K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}, T, k_{1}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{2}^{-}, 2\right) \backslash\{1\}} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (18) and (29), we conclude that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\left(\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}-\check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right),  \tag{30}\\
&+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\left(\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{-}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}\right) \\
& \Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Lemma 12. Let $t \in\left[0, t_{1}^{-}\right)$, a wave $k$ with $k \in K\left(n, t, t_{1}^{-}, 1\right)$ can not interact with the $S V$ trajectory at time $t$.

Proof. By contradiction, assume that some waves $k$ with $k \prec_{n} 1$ interact with the SV trajectory on $\left(0, t_{1}^{-}\right)$. Let $k$ be such that the time $t_{0}$ is the maximal interaction time on $\left(0, t_{1}^{-}\right)$with the SV trajectory. Then, necessarily, the interaction is from the left and it is of type Figure 6 a) and Figure 7 (Figure 6 b ) being excluded). Thus, $\rho_{L}^{k}\left(t_{0}^{-}\right)=\rho_{L}^{k}\left(t_{0}^{+}\right) \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$. Moreover, no wave can interact from the left with $k$ or any $k^{\prime}$ verifying $k \prec_{n} k^{\prime}$ on $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}^{-}\right)$, otherwise $t_{0}$ would not be maximal. This implies that $k^{\prime} \succ_{n} k$ and for $t \in\left(t_{0}^{+}, t_{1}^{-}\right)$, $\rho_{L}^{k^{\prime}}(t)=\rho_{L}^{k}\left(t_{0}^{+}\right) \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$ but this contradicts with $\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$.

## Conclusion.

- Using Lemma 10, Lemma 11 and Remark 4, we conclude that either a NC1-a) interaction or a NC2-a) interaction can occur but not both. Morever they happen at most one time and the evolution of tangent vectors is described in (23).
- From (22) and (28), a NC1-b) interaction and a NC3 interaction have the same effect as multiple wave-wave interactions (where the evolution of tangent vectors is described in Lemma 3).
- Using (25) and $\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)$, a NC2-b) interaction has the same effect as a classical waveSV interaction at time $t_{2}$ (where the evolution of tangents vectors is described in Lemma 4) with multiple wave-wave interactions (where the evolution of tangent vectors is described in Lemma 3).
- Notice that we may have many interactions of type NC4). But, thanks to Lemma 12 the involved waves do not interact in the following sense: if $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are involved in the creation of two different NC4) types then $k_{1} \prec_{n} k_{2}$ and $k_{2} \prec_{n} k_{1}$ can not hold true (the evolution of tangent vectors is described in (30)).


### 2.6.3 Proof of Lemma 9

A) If a non classical wave is created by a wave $k$ at time $t=t_{1}$ and it is cancelled at time $t_{2}>T$, then, using Lemma 5,

$$
\left|\Delta \rho_{k}(T) \xi_{k}(T)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}(T)\right| \leqslant\left|\Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|+\left(1+\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}-\check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)\left|\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|
$$

B) If a non classical wave in the initial datum is cancelled by a wave $k$ at time $t=t_{2}$ then, using Lemma 5,

$$
\left|\Delta \rho_{k}\left(t_{2}\right) \xi_{k}\left(t_{2}\right)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|\Delta \rho_{k}(0) \xi_{k}(0)\right|+\left(1+\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}-\check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)\left|\xi_{b}(0)\right|
$$

- The cases A) and B) may happen at most one time and $\left(1+\check{\rho}_{\alpha}-\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)<\infty$. Thus, the weight functions $W_{k}^{n}(0)$ and $W_{b}^{n}(0)$ defined in Lemma 9 can not blow up because of interactions $A$ ) or $B$ ).
- From Lemma 10 and Lemma 11, either a NC1-a) interaction or a NC2-a) interaction may occur at most one time. Moreover, $\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}-\check{\rho}_{\alpha}<\infty$ and from Lemma 7,

$$
\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right)<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}<\infty
$$

Thus, the weight function $W_{k}^{n}(0)$ and $W_{b}^{n}(0)$ defined in Lemma 9 can not blow up because of NC1-a) interactions or NC2-a) interactions.

- NC1-b) interactions and NC3 interactions produce tangent vector increases as multiple wave-wave interactions.
- NC2-b) interactions have the same effect as a classical wave-SV interaction at time $t_{2}$ (where the evolution of tangents vectors is described in Lemma 4) with multiple wave-wave interactions (where the evolution of tangents vectors is described in Lemma 3).
- The evolution of the SV tangent vector for a NC4 interaction is described in (30). In (30), $\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)-\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \leqslant \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}-\check{\rho}_{\alpha}$. Thus,

$$
\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\left(\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}-\check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\right) \leqslant 1
$$

We conclude that $\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \leqslant \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)$, that is to say a NC4 interaction does not increase the value of the SV tangent vector $\xi_{b}$ over time. From Lemma 12, Lemma 7 and (30)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) & =\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\left(\sum_{k \in K\left(n, 0, t_{2}^{-}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k}(0) \xi_{k}(0)\right) \\
& <\frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\left(\sum_{k \in K\left(n, 0, t_{2}^{-}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k}(0) \xi_{k}(0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the notation are described in Lemma 12. Thus, the weight function $W_{k}^{n}(0)$ and $W_{b}^{n}(0)$ defined in Lemma 9 can not blow up because of NC4 interactions.

Our goal is to prove that the weight functions $W_{k}^{n}(0)$ and $W_{b}^{n}(0)$, defined in Lemma 9 can not blow up when $n$ tends to infinity. Thus, we need only consider the interactions which may blow the weight functions $W_{k}^{n}(0)$ and $W_{b}^{n}(0)$ up. More precisely, we only take into account the simple wave-SV interactions, the wave-wave interactions, the NC1-b) interactions, NC2-b) interactions and the NC3 interactions.

Lemma 13. Let $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ such that $t_{1}<t_{2}$. We assume that only the following interactions may occur

- multiple wave-wave interactions (the evolution of tangent vectors is described in Lemma 3, Figure 3),
- wave-SV interactions (the evolution of tangent vectors is described in Lemma 4, Figure 4 and Figure 7),
- the NC1-b) interactions (the evolution of tangent vectors is described in (22), Figure 8 b))
- the NC2-b) interactions (the evolution of tangent vectors is described in (25), Figure 9 b)),


Figure 11: Interactions with the SV trajectory (...).

- the NC3 interactions (the evolution of tangent vectors is described in (28), Figure 10 a)), Then there exist $W_{b}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ and $W_{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ for $k \in K\left(n, t_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}\right)=W_{b}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}\right)} W_{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}  \tag{31}\\
\Delta \rho_{j}\left(t_{2}\right) \xi_{j}\left(t_{2}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, j\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}, \quad \text { for every } j \in K\left(n, t_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\left|W_{b}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|,\left|W_{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right|\right)<\frac{2 \exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right)}{\rho^{*}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is postponed in Appendix B. The following computations of the evolution of tangent vectors in the case of Figure 11 highlights the main ideas of the proof of Lemma 13.

Example: We consider the particular case presented in Figure 11. To simplify the notations, $\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{i}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{i}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right)$will be denoted by $\psi^{i}$. From Lemma 4,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi^{3}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)  \tag{33}\\
\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right) \\
\xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi^{p_{2}}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{p_{2}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right), \\
\Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{+}\right) \xi_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{+}\right)$and $\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)=\Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{+}\right) \xi_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{+}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{p_{2}}^{+}, t_{3}^{-}, 3\right) \backslash\left\{p_{2}^{+}\right\}} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& \xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{2}}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)+\left(\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{3}\right) \frac{\psi^{p_{2}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)}\right) \Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)  \tag{34}\\
&+\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)} \sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{p_{2}}^{-}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right) \backslash\left\{p_{2}^{+}\right\}} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} \\
& \Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{p_{2}}^{-}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

From Lemma 4,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi^{2}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)  \tag{35}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\operatorname{Using} \xi_{b}\left(p_{2}{ }^{-}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right), \Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)=\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{2}^{+}, t_{p_{2}}^{-}, p_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{2^{+}\right\}} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}$, (34) and (35), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{2}}\right)\left(1-\psi^{2}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\left(\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{3}\right) \frac{\psi^{p_{2}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)}\right) \Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{2}}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \\
\quad+\left(\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{2}}\right) \frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\right) \Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{p_{2}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)} \sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{2}^{-}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right) \backslash\left\{p_{2}^{+}, 2\right\}} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} \\
\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{2}^{-}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From Lemma 4,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi^{p_{1}}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{p_{1}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{1}}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{p_{1}}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{1}}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right)  \tag{36}\\
\Delta \rho_{p_{1}}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{+}\right) \xi_{p_{1}}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{p_{1}}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{1}}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right) \\
\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi^{1}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{1}}{\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \\
\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By straightforward computations, we conclude that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=W_{b}^{n}(0) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, 3, t_{3}^{+}\right) \backslash\left(\left\{p_{2}\right\} \cup K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, 2, t_{2}^{+}\right)\right)} W_{k}^{n}(0) \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}+W_{p_{2}}^{n}(0) \rho_{p_{2}}(0) \xi_{p_{2}}(0) \\
+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, 2, t_{2}^{+}\right) \backslash\left\{p_{1} \cup 1\right\}} W_{k}^{n}(0) \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}+W_{p_{1}}^{n}(0) \Delta \rho_{p_{1}}(0) \xi_{p_{1}}(0)+W_{1}^{n}(0) \Delta \rho_{1}(0) \xi_{1}(0) \\
\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right) \xi_{3}\left(t_{3}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{3}^{+}, 3\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W_{b}^{n}(0)=\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{2}}\right)\left(1-\psi^{2}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{1}}\right)\left(1-\psi^{1}\right)  \tag{37}\\
W_{k}^{n}(0)=\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}, \quad \forall k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, 3, t_{3}^{+}\right) \backslash\left(\left\{p_{2}\right\} \cup K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, 2, t_{2}^{+}\right)\right) \\
W_{p_{2}}^{n}(0)=\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{3}\right) \frac{\psi^{p_{2}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{2}}\left(t_{p_{2}}^{-}\right)}, \\
W_{k}^{n}(0)=\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{2}}\right) \frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}, \quad \forall k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, 2, t_{2}^{+}\right) \backslash\left\{p_{1} \cup 1\right\} \\
W_{p_{1}}^{n}(0)=\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{2}\right) \frac{\psi^{p_{1}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{1}}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right)}\right) \\
W_{1}^{n}(0)=\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{2}\right)\left(1-\psi^{p_{1}}\right) \frac{\psi^{1}}{\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus we have,

$$
\left|W_{b}^{n}\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{5}<\exp \left(\frac{15}{2 \rho^{*}}\right)
$$

We can notice that if a wave $k$ interacts with the SV trajectory $n$ times then $W_{k}^{n}$ will be decomposed into a sum of $n$ terms. We want to find a bound of $W_{k}^{n}$ which does not depend on $n$. For instance, we have

$$
\left|W_{1}^{n}(0)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{2}\left(\left|\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}\right|+\left|\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\right|\left(\left|\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\right|+\left|\left(1-\psi^{2}\right)\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\right)\right)
$$

The two following points are the main tools to prove Lemma 13:

- The wave 1 (resp. the wave 2 ) interacts with the SV trajectory modifying the speed of the SV at time $t_{1}$ (resp. at time $t_{2}$ ) with $t_{1}<t_{2}$ and $1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, 2\right)$ then $\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \in\left(0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)$. This statement is proved in Lemma 14.
- Since $\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \in\left(0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)$, from Lemma 7, we have $\left(\left|\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}\right|+\left|\left(1-\psi^{2}\right)\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\right) \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$. We conclude that

$$
\left|W_{1}^{n}(0)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{2}\left(\left|\frac{\psi^{3}}{\Delta \rho_{3}\left(t_{3}^{-}\right)}\right|+\left|\left(1-\psi^{3}\right)\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\right)
$$

Repeating the same process, we get

$$
\left|W_{1}^{n}(0)\right| \leqslant \frac{2 \exp \left(\frac{3}{\rho^{*}}\right)}{\rho^{*}}
$$

which does not depend on $n$.
Proof of Theorem 1: From Lemma 9, for every $T>0$ and $n \in N^{*}$

$$
\sum_{k \in K(n, T)}\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(T) \xi_{k}^{n}(T)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(T)\right|<C \sum_{k \in K(n, 0)}\left|\Delta \rho_{k}^{n}(0) \xi_{k}^{n}(0)\right|+\left|\xi_{b}^{n}(0)\right|
$$

with $C>0$ a constant independent of $n$. Thus, using Section 2, we have

$$
\left\|\rho^{2, n}(t)-\rho^{1, n}(t)\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}+\left|y^{2, n}(t)-y^{1, n}(t)\right| \leqslant C\left(\left\|\rho_{0}^{2, n}-\rho_{0}^{1, n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}+\left|y_{0}^{2}-y_{0}^{1}\right|\right)
$$

From [18, Lemma 3] we pass to the limit of the previous inequality and we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 5. In the particular case presented in Figure 11, $C=\frac{2 \exp \left(\frac{3}{\rho^{*}}\right)}{\rho^{*}}$.

## Appendix

## A Proof of Lemma 7

This proof is based on the equality $\rho^{*}=\check{\rho}_{\alpha}+\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}$.

- We have

$$
1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{\rho_{l}}{\rho_{L}+\rho_{R}-\rho^{*}} & \text { if }\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{p}_{\alpha}, \rho^{*}\right]\right) \\
\frac{\rho_{L}}{\rho_{R}} & \text { if }\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[\rho^{*}, \rho_{R}\right]\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(\rho^{*} \leqslant \rho_{R}<\rho_{L}\right) \\
0 & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, if $\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho^{*}\right]\right)$ or $\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[\rho^{*}, \rho_{R}\right]\right)$ we have $\mid 1-$ $\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right) \mid \leqslant 1$ and if $\left(\rho^{*} \leqslant \rho_{R}<\rho_{L}\right)$, using $\rho_{L}-\rho_{R} \leqslant \frac{3}{2^{n+1}}$, we conclude that $\mid 1-$ $\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right) \left\lvert\, \leqslant 1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right.$.

- We get

$$
\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)}{\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{\rho_{R}-\rho^{*}}{\left(\rho_{L}+\rho_{R}-\rho_{0}^{*}\right)\left(\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}\right)} & \text { if }\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right] \cup\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho^{*}\right]\right) \\
\frac{1}{\rho_{R}} & \text { if }\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[\rho^{*}, \rho_{R}\right]\right) \text { or } \quad\left(\rho^{*} \leqslant \rho_{R}<\rho_{L}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[\rho^{*}, \rho_{R}\right]\right)$ or $\left(\rho^{*} \leqslant \rho_{R}<\rho_{L}\right)$ we have immediately $\frac{1}{\rho_{R}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$. If $\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]\right)$ then $\left|\frac{\rho_{R}-\rho^{*}}{\left(\rho_{L}+\rho_{R}-\rho_{*}^{*}\right)\left(\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}\right)}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{\rho^{*}-\check{\rho}_{\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$ using $\rho^{*} \geqslant 2 \check{\rho}_{\alpha}$. If $\left(\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}, \rho^{*}\right]\right)$ then $\left|\frac{\rho_{R}-\rho^{*}}{\left(\rho_{L}+\rho_{R}-\rho^{*}\right)\left(\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}\right)}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{\left(\rho_{L}+\rho_{R}-\rho^{*}\right)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\hat{\rho}_{\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}} \operatorname{using} 2 \hat{\rho}_{\alpha} \geqslant \rho^{*}$.

- If $\rho_{R}>\rho^{*} \& \rho_{L} \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$, then $\frac{1}{\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\rho^{*}-\check{\rho}_{\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$ using $2 \check{\rho}_{\alpha} \leqslant \rho^{*}$. Thus $\left|\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)}{\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}}\right|+\mid(1-$ $\left.\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}=\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)}{\rho_{R}-\rho_{L}}+\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\right) \frac{2}{\rho^{*}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)+\psi\left(\rho_{L}, \rho_{R}\right)\right)=\frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\right.$.


## B Proof of Lemma 13

From Lemma 3, Lemma 4, Section 2.5 and Section 2.6.1, (31) holds true. The proof of the estimate (32) is based on the following lemma

Lemma 14. We only consider the interactions are described in Lemma 13. We assume the wave 1 (resp. the wave 2) interacts with the SV modifying the speed of the SV at time $t_{1}$ (resp. at time $t_{2}$ ) with $t_{1}<t_{2}$ and $1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, 2\right)$ then $\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \in\left(0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right)$.

Proof. A wave modifies the speed of the SV only if a wave comes from the right of the SV trajectory (see Figure 4 a), Figure 4 b) and Figure 5 a)). Using $1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, 2\right)$ and Lemma 1 , some waves $k$ with $1 \prec_{n} k$ and $k \prec_{n} 2$ interact with the SV on $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Let $k$ be such that the time $t_{0}$ is the maximal interaction time on $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Then, necessarily, the interaction is from the left and of type Figure 6 a) and Figure 7 (Figure 6 b) being excluded). Thus, $\rho_{L}^{k}\left(t_{0}^{-}\right)=\rho_{L}^{k}\left(t_{0}^{+}\right) \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$. Moreover, no wave can interact from the left with $k$ or any $k^{\prime}$ verifying $k \prec_{n} k^{\prime}$ on $\left(t_{0}, t_{2}\right)$, otherwise $t_{0}$ would not be maximal. This implies that $k^{\prime} \succ_{n} k$ and for $t \in\left(t_{0}^{+}, t_{2}\right), \rho_{L}^{k^{\prime}}(t)=\rho_{L}^{k}\left(t_{0}^{+}\right) \in\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$. In particular, $\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\left[0, \check{\rho}_{\alpha}\right]$.

Proof of Lemma 13. Since the NC1-b) interactions, NC2-b) interactions and the NC3 interactions have the same effect as mutliple wave-wave interactions and wave-SV interactions. We can restrict our study to wave-wave interactions and wave-SV interactions. Before we deal with the general case, we will consider two particular cases.

Particular case 1: we assume that there exist a wave 1 and a wave 2 interacting with the SV trajectory at $t=t_{1}$ and $t=t_{2}$ as a wave-SV interaction modifying the speed of the SV with $1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}, 2\right)$. Let $t_{2}$ be the first interation time after $t_{1}$ such that $1 \prec_{n} 2$. From Lemma 4,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right),  \tag{38}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right), \\
\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)\right)}{\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right), \\
\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Combining Lemma $3,1 \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right)$ with (38), we have $\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\Delta \rho_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right) \xi_{1}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+$ $\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right) \backslash\{1\}} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}$. We may have multiple $m$ wave-SV interactions coming from the right. More precisely, for $i=\{1, \cdots, m\}$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we assume that the $p_{i}$-wave intersects the SV trajectory at time $t_{p_{i}}$. Since $t_{2}$ is the first interaction time from the right, we have $t_{1}<t_{p_{1}}<\cdots<$ $t_{p_{m}}<t_{2}$ and $1 \notin K\left(n, t_{1}^{+}, t_{p_{i}}^{-}, p_{i}\right)$. To simplify the notations, we will write $\psi\left(\rho_{L}^{i}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right), \rho_{R}^{i}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)\right)$as $\psi^{i}$. From Lemma 4,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{+}\right)=\left(1-\psi^{p_{i}}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{p_{i}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i}}\left(t p_{p_{i}}\right)} \Delta \rho_{p_{i}}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{i}}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{-}\right) .  \tag{39}\\
\rho_{p_{i}}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{+}\right) \xi_{p_{i}}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{+}\right)=\rho_{p_{i}}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{i}}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{-}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since no other interaction occurs with the SV trajectory from the right on $\left(t_{p_{i-1}}, t_{p_{i}}\right)$ for $i=$ $\{2, \cdots, m\}$, we get $\xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{-}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{i-1}}^{+}\right), \xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{m}}^{+}\right)$and $\xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{p_{1}}^{-}\right)$. Combining (38) with
(39) we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) & =\prod_{i=1}^{m+1}\left(1-\psi^{p_{i}}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{\psi^{p_{k}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{k}}\left(t_{p_{k}}^{-}\right)} \Delta \rho_{p_{k}}\left(t_{p_{k}}^{-}\right) \xi_{p_{k}}\left(t_{p_{k}}^{-}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{m-k}\left(1-\psi^{p_{m-j+1}}\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

with $p_{m+1}:=2$ and $p_{0}:=1$. Now $1 \notin K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{2}^{-}, p_{i}\right)$. From Lemma 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}, 2\right) \backslash \cup_{i=0}^{m} K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{p_{i}}, p_{i}\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}+\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{p_{i}}, p_{i}\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (39),(40) and (41), we conclude that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=W_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}\right)} W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}\right) \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}  \tag{42}\\
\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right) \xi_{2}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}, 2\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $W_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m+1}\left(1-\psi^{p_{i}}\right)$ and

$$
W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)} \quad \text { if } k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}, 2\right) \backslash \cup_{i=0}^{m} K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{p_{i}}, p_{i}\right)  \tag{43}\\
\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}\left(t_{2}^{-}\right)}+\left(1-\psi^{2}\right) \frac{\psi^{p_{i}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i}}\left(t_{p_{i}}^{-}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i}\left(1-\psi^{p_{m-j+1}}\right) \quad \text { if }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{p_{i}}, p_{i}\right) \\
0 \in\{0, \cdots, m\} \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

From Lemma $7,\left|\frac{\psi^{p_{i}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i}}}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$ for every $i \in\{0, \cdots, m-1\}$ and $\left|\prod_{j=1}^{m-i}\left(1-\psi^{p_{i}}\right)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{m}$. Moreover,

$$
\left|\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}}+\left(1-\psi^{2}\right) \frac{\psi^{p_{i}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i}}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i}\left(1-\psi^{p_{m-j+1}}\right)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{m}\left(\left|\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}}\right|+\left\lvert\,\left(1-\psi^{2} \left\lvert\, \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\right.\right)\right.\right.
$$

Combining Lemma 7 and Lemma 14, we conclude that

$$
\left|\frac{\psi^{2}}{\Delta \rho_{2}}+\left(1-\psi^{2}\right) \frac{\psi^{p_{i}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i}}} \prod_{j=1}^{m-i}\left(1-\psi^{p_{m-j+1}}\right)\right| \leqslant \frac{2\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{m}}{\rho^{*}}
$$

Since, for every $\tilde{\rho}_{j}^{n}, \tilde{\rho}_{i}^{n} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}_{n}}, \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \leqslant\left|\tilde{\rho}_{j}^{n}-\tilde{\rho}_{i}^{n}\right|$ we have $\frac{m}{2^{n+1}} \leqslant T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)$, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{m} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{2^{n+1} T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)} \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\max \left(W_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}\right), W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{2 \exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right)}{\rho^{*}}
$$

Particular case 2: we assume that there exist $m$ wave denoted by $1, \cdots, m$ interacting with the SV trajectory at $t=t_{1}, t=t_{2}, \cdots, t=t_{m}$ respectively as a wave-SV interaction modifying the speed of the SV with $1 \prec_{n} 2 \prec_{n} \cdots \prec_{n} \prec_{n} m$ and no other interactions with the SV trajectory occur. That is to say for $i=\{1, \cdots, m-1\}, i \in K\left(n, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, i+1\right)$ and $\xi_{b}\left(t_{i-1}^{+}\right)=\xi_{b}\left(t_{i}^{-}\right)$. Substituting $p_{i}$ by $i$ in (40), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{m}^{+}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\psi^{i}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}} \Delta \rho_{m} \xi_{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{\psi^{k}}{\Delta \rho_{k}} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} \prod_{j=0}^{m-k-1}\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for $i=\{1, \cdots, m-1\}, i \in K\left(n, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, i+1\right)$ we have, for every $k \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k} & =\Delta \rho_{k-1} \xi_{k-1}+\sum_{l \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{k}, k\right) \backslash\left\{K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{k-1}, k-1\right)\right\}} \Delta \rho_{l} \xi_{l} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{i}, i\right) \backslash\left\{K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{i-1}, i-1\right)\right\}} \Delta \rho_{l} \xi_{l} . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Above, by convention $K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{0}, 0\right)=\emptyset$. Using (45) and (46), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{m}^{+}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\psi^{i}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} W_{i}\left(\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{i}, i\right) \backslash K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{i-1}, i-1\right)} \Delta \rho_{k} \xi_{k}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{i}:=\left(\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}}+\sum_{k=i}^{m-1} \frac{\psi^{k}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{k}}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-k-1}\left(\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right), \quad i=\{1, \cdots, m-1\}\right.  \tag{48}\\
& W_{m}=\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}}
\end{align*}
$$

We notice that $W_{i}=W_{i+1}+\frac{\psi^{i}}{\Delta \rho_{i}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-i-1}\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\psi^{i}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W_{m} \quad \text { if } k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}, m\right) \backslash K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{m-1}^{+}, m-1\right)  \tag{50}\\
W_{i} \quad \text { if } k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{i}, i\right) \backslash K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{i-1}, i-1\right), \quad i \in\{1, \cdots, m-1\} \\
0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $W_{m}$ and $W_{i}$ defined in (48). From (44), $\left|W_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)\right| \leqslant \exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right)$. Using Lemma 7, we have $\left|\frac{\psi^{i}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i}}}\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$ for every $i \in\{1, \cdots, m\}$ and we conclude that

$$
\left|W_{i}\right| \leqslant\left|\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}}\right|+\sum_{k=i+2}^{m-1}\left|\frac{\psi^{k}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{k}}}\right| \prod_{j=0}^{m-k-1}\left|\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right|+\left|\frac{\psi^{i+1}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i+1}}}\right| \prod_{j=0}^{m-i-2}\left|\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right|+\frac{2}{\rho^{*}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-i-1}\left|\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right| .
$$

Moreover, using Lemma 7,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, \frac{\psi^{i+1}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i+1}}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-i-2}\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)+\frac{\psi^{i}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i}}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-i-1}\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right. & =\prod_{j=0}^{m-i-2}\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\left(\frac{\psi^{i+1}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i+1}}}+\left(1-\psi^{i+1}\right) \frac{\psi^{i}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i}}}\right) \\
& \leqslant \prod_{j=0}^{m-i-2}\left|\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|W_{i}\right| \leqslant\left|\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}}\right|+\sum_{k=i+3}^{m-1}\left|\frac{\psi^{k}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{k}}}\right| \prod_{j=0}^{m-k-1}\left|\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right|+\left|\frac{\psi^{i+2}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{i+2}}}\right| \prod_{j=0}^{m-i-3}\left|\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right|+\frac{2}{\rho^{*}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-i-2}\left|\left(1-\psi^{m-j}\right)\right| .
$$

By induction, we conclude that

$$
\left|W_{i}\right| \leqslant\left|\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}}\right|+\left|\left(1-\psi^{m}\right)\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}},
$$

whence

$$
\max \left(W_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}\right), W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{2}^{+}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{2 \exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right)}{\rho^{*}}
$$

General case: we assume that there exist $m$ wave denoted by $1, \cdots, m$ interacting with the SV trajectory at $t=t_{1}, t=t_{2}, \cdots, t=t_{m}$ respectively as a wave-SV interaction modifying the speed of the SV with $1 \prec_{n} 2 \prec_{n} \cdots \prec_{n} \prec_{n} m$, that is to say $i=\{1, \cdots, m-1\}, i \in K\left(n, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, i+1\right)$. Let $t_{i}$ be the first interation time after $t_{i-1}$ such that $i-1 \prec_{n} i$ for $i=\{2, \cdots, m\}$. Besides, for $i=\{1, \cdots, m-1\}$, we may have multiple $n_{i}$ wave-SV interactions coming from the right on $\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$. More precisely, for $j=\left\{1, \cdots, n_{i}\right\}$, we assume that the $p_{i, j}$-wave interacts with the SV trajectory as a wave-SV interactions at time $t_{p_{i, j}}$ such that $t_{i}<t_{p_{i, 1}}<\cdots<t_{p_{i, n_{i}}}<t_{i+1}$ and $p_{i, j} \in K\left(n, t_{p_{i, j}}, t_{i+1}^{-}, i+1\right)$ et $i \notin K\left(n, t_{i}, t_{i+1}^{-}, p_{i, j}\right)$. We introduce the following notation

$$
K_{j}\left(n, t_{1}\right):=\left\{K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{j}, j\right) \backslash\left(\cup_{q=0}^{n_{j}-1} K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{j, n_{j}-q}, p_{j, n_{j}-q} \cup K\left(n, t_{1}, j-1\right)\right)\right)\right\} .
$$

$K_{j}\left(n, t_{1}\right)$ denotes the set of classical shocks at time $t_{1}$ whom the elements are the ancestors of the $j^{\text {th }}$-wave with $j \in K\left(n, t_{j}\right)$ and they never interacts again with the SV trajectory over $\left[t_{1}, t_{j}\right)$. We notice that

$$
K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{m}, p_{m}\right)=\left(\cup_{j=1}^{m} K_{j}\left(n, t_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left(\cup_{j=2}^{m} \cup_{q=0}^{n_{j}-q} K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{j, n_{j}-q}, p_{j, n_{j}-q}\right)\right)
$$

In the sequel, we will denote $C_{i}$ by $C_{i}:=\left(1-\psi^{i}\right)$. Combining (42) and (47) with straightforward computations we have

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{m}^{+}\right) & =W_{b}^{m}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} W_{j}^{m} \sum_{k \in K_{j}\left(n, t_{1}\right)} \Delta_{k} \rho_{k}  \tag{52}\\
& +\sum_{j=2}^{m} \sum_{q=0}^{n_{j}-1} W_{j, q}^{m} \sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{j, n_{j}-q}, p_{j, n_{j}-q}\right)} \Delta_{k} \rho_{k} \\
\Delta \rho_{j} \xi_{j} & =\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{m}, j\right)} \Delta_{k} \xi_{k}, \quad \text { for every } j \in K\left(n, t_{m}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{b}^{m}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{m-2}\left(C_{m-i} \prod_{l=1}^{n_{m-i}} C_{p_{n_{m-i}, l}}\right)\left(1-\psi^{1}\right)  \tag{53}\\
W_{j}^{m}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}} \\
\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}} \\
\text { if } j=m \\
k=j \\
k=1 \\
\Delta \rho_{p_{k}}
\end{array} \prod_{i=0}^{m-k-1} C_{m-i} \prod_{i=0}^{m-k-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n_{m-i}} C_{p_{n_{m-i}, l},}\right., \quad \text { otherwise. } \tag{54}
\end{gather*}
$$

and for $j=\{1, \cdots, m\}, q=\left\{0, \cdots, n_{j}-1\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{j, q}^{m}=W_{j}+\prod_{i=0}^{m-j-1}\left(C_{m-i} \prod_{l=1}^{n_{m-i}} C_{p_{n_{m-i}, l}}\right) C_{j} \prod_{l=n_{j}-q+1}^{n_{j}} C_{n_{j}, l} \frac{\psi^{p_{j, n_{j}-q}}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{j, n_{j}-q}}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q=0$, by convention we require that $\prod_{l=n_{j}-q+1}^{n_{j}} C_{n_{j}, l}:=1$. From (52), we conclude that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{b}\left(t_{m}^{+}\right)=W_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right) \xi_{b}\left(t_{1}^{-}\right)+\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}\right)} W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right) \Delta_{k} \rho_{k}  \tag{56}\\
\Delta \rho_{j}\left(t_{m}^{+}\right) \xi_{j}\left(t_{m}^{+}\right)=\sum_{k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}, j\right)} \Delta_{k} \xi_{k}, \quad \text { for every } j \in K\left(n, t_{m}^{+}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $W_{b}^{m}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)$defined in (53) and

$$
W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)= \begin{cases}W_{j}^{m} & \text { if } k \in K_{j}\left(n, t_{1}\right), j=\{1, \cdots, m\}  \tag{57}\\ W_{j, q}^{m} & \text { if } k \in k \in K\left(n, t_{1}, t_{j, n_{j}-q}, p_{j, n_{j}-q}\right), i \in\{1, \cdots, m-1\}, q=\left\{0, \cdots, n_{j}\right\} \\ 0 \quad \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $W_{j}^{m}$ and $W_{j, q}^{m}$ are defined in (55). From now on, we prove that $W_{b}^{m}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)$defined in (53) and $W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)$defined in (57) are bounded independently of $n$. Let $j=\{1, \cdots, m\}$, $q=\left\{0, \cdots, n_{j}-1\right\}$. From Lemma $7,\left|\prod_{i=0}^{m-j-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n_{m-i}} C_{n_{m-i}, l}\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{3}{2^{n+1} \rho^{*}}\right)^{n_{m}+\cdots+n_{j+1}}$ and $\max \left(\frac{\psi^{j}}{\Delta \rho_{p_{j}}}, \frac{\psi^{p_{j, n_{j}-q}} \Delta \rho_{p_{j, n_{j}-q}}}{}\right) \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$. Since $\sum_{i=2}^{m} n_{i} \leqslant T V\left(\rho_{0}\right) 2^{n+1}$ we have $\left|\prod_{i=0}^{m-j-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n_{m-i}} C_{n_{m-i}, l}\right| \leqslant$ $\exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\frac{\max \left(W_{j-1}^{m}, W_{j, q}^{m}\right)}{\exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right)} \leqslant\left|\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}}\right|+\sum_{k=j}^{m-1}\left|\frac{\psi^{k}}{\Delta \rho_{k}}\right| \prod_{i=0}^{m-k-1}\left|C_{m-i}\right|+\prod_{i=0}^{m-j}\left|C_{m-i}\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=j}^{m-1}\left|\frac{\psi^{k}}{\Delta \rho_{k}}\right| \prod_{i=0}^{m-k-1}\left|C_{m-i}\right|+\prod_{i=0}^{m-j}\left|C_{m-i}\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}= \\
\sum_{k=j+1}^{m-1}\left|\frac{\psi^{k}}{\Delta \rho_{k}}\right| \prod_{i=0}^{m-k-1}\left|C_{m-i}\right|+\prod_{i=0}^{m-j-1}\left|C_{m-i}\right|\left(\left|\frac{\psi^{j}}{\Delta \rho_{j}}\right|+\left|1-\frac{\psi^{j}}{\Delta \rho_{j}}\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

From Lemma 7 and Lemma 14,

$$
\left|\frac{\psi^{j}}{\Delta \rho_{[ } j}\right|+\left|1-\frac{\psi^{j}}{\Delta \rho_{j}}\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\frac{\max \left(W_{j-1}^{m}, W_{j, q}^{m}\right)}{\exp \left(\frac{2^{5}}{\rho^{*}}\right)} \leqslant\left|\frac{\psi^{m}}{\Delta \rho_{m}}\right|+\sum_{k=j+1}^{m-1}\left|\frac{\psi^{k}}{\Delta \rho_{k}}\right| \prod_{i=0}^{m-k-1}\left|C_{m-i}\right|+\prod_{i=0}^{m-j-1}\left|C_{m-i}\right| \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}
$$

By induction, we deduce that $\frac{\max \left(W_{j-1}^{m}, W_{j, q}^{m}\right)}{\exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right)} \leqslant \frac{2}{\rho^{*}}$, whence, for every $k \in K\left(n, t_{1}^{-}\right)$,

$$
\max \left(W_{b}^{m}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right), W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{2 \exp \left(\frac{3 T V\left(\rho_{0}\right)}{\rho^{*}}\right)}{\rho^{*}}
$$

with $W_{b}^{m}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)$defined in (53) and $W_{k}\left(t_{1}^{-}, t_{m}^{+}\right)$defined (57). We conclude the proof of Lemma 13 noticing for every $k \in K\left(n, t_{1}\right)$ that there exist $m \in N^{*}, j \in\{1, \cdots, m\}, n_{j} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $q \in\left\{0, \cdots, n_{j}-1\right\}$ such that

$$
W_{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
W_{j}^{m} \\
W_{j, q}^{m} \\
0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $W_{k}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is defined in (31), $W_{j}^{m}$ is defined in (54) and $W_{j, q}^{m}$ is defined in (55).
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