

Electric dipole, polarizability and structure of cesium chloride clusters with one-excess electron

A. Jraij, Abdul-Rahman Allouche, Franck Rabilloud, M. Korek, M. Aubert-Frécon, D. Rayane, I. Compagnon, R. Antoine, M. Broyer, Ph. Dugourd

► To cite this version:

A. Jraij, Abdul-Rahman Allouche, Franck Rabilloud, M. Korek, M. Aubert-Frécon, et al.. Electric dipole, polarizability and structure of cesium chloride clusters with one-excess electron. Chemical Physics, 2006, 322 (3), pp.298 - 302. 10.1016/j.chemphys.2005.09.033 . hal-01674054

HAL Id: hal-01674054 https://hal.science/hal-01674054

Submitted on 17 Jan2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Electric dipole, polarizability and structure of cesium chloride clusters with one excess electron.

A. Jraij¹, A. R. Allouche¹, F. Rabilloud¹, M. Korek², M. Aubert-Frécon¹, D. Rayane¹, I. Compagnon¹, R. Antoine¹, M. Broyer¹, Ph. Dugourd¹.

¹Laboratoire de Spectrométrie Ionique et Moléculaire, UMR 5579, CNRS et Université Lyon1, Bâtiment Alfred Kastler, F69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.

²Beirut Arab University, P. O. Box 11-5020, Beirut, Lebanon

Abstract.

The measurement of the electric dipole of gas phase one-excess electron Cs_nCl_{n-1} clusters is reported together with a theoretical ab initio prediction of stable structures, dipole moments and electronic polarizabilities for these species in their ground state. Results are in agreement with NaCl cubic structures.

Corresponding author:

Dr Driss Rayane Tel: +33 4 72 44 82 26 Fax: +33 4 72 43 15 07 e-mail: <u>rayane@lasim.univ-lyon1.fr</u>

1

Introduction.

Alkali-halide clusters have received a considerable experimental and theoretical attention [1-11] partly because they are relatively easy to form and because their ionic bonding character can be described through simple models. An important goal of these investigations is to determine the most stable isomers for each cluster size and to follow the size evolution of selected properties, with the final aim of studying the emergence of bulk behavior from the molecular limit. In NaF and NaCl clusters, which have been extensively studied, the cubic structure of the solid emerges in the very small sizes. The structure of cesium chloride bulk is different (height-fold coordinated CsCl lattice structure) and a competition between NaCl and CsCl structures has recently been evidenced in medium size clusters [12,13]. Moreover, when an extra electron is added to NaCl or NaF clusters, their ionic framework mainly formed of small cubic nanocrystals can accommodate the excess electron in several ways as classified in [7,14,15]. For CsCl clusters, the large size of the electronic inner shells may induce different localizations of the excess electron.

In this paper, we present the results of a joint experimental and theoretical investigation of the electric dipole of the one-excess electron clusters Cs_nCl_{n-1} (n=6-18). This property provides an insight in the various atomic arrangements and is sensitive to the excess electron density distribution. Experimental dipoles are investigated by deflection measurements of a molecular beam in a static inhomogeneous electric field, as previously reported for Cs_nBr_{n-1} [16] and for Na_nF_{n-1} clusters [17]. Stable geometrical structures have

been determined for the lowest-energy isomer from Hartree-Fock energy calculations. For these equilibrium isomers, the permanent dipole moment and the static dipolar polarizability were evaluated and compared to experimental data.

2. Experiment and results.

Electric dipoles of free neutral clusters are investigated by deflecting a molecular beam in an inhomogeneous electric field. The experiment consists in a laser vaporization source coupled to an electric deflector and a position sensitive mass spectrometer. Alkali halide clusters are produced by ablation of a salt target (99 % CsCl purchased from Acros Organics) with the third harmonic of a Nd³⁺:YAG laser (355 nm). The clusters are carried by a helium pulse and leave the source through a 5 cm long nozzle. After passing through two skimmers, the beam is collimated by two rectangular slits (0.5 mm width) and travels through the electric deflector ("two-wires" electric field configuration with F_Z = 1.63x10⁷ Vm⁻¹ and ∇F_Z = 2.82x10⁹ Vm⁻² for V=27 kV across the two poles). Clusters are ionized one meter beyond the deviator in the extraction region of a position sensitive time-offlight mass spectrometer. The mass of the cluster and the profile of the beam are obtained from the arrival time at the detector. For each size, the beam profile is measured as a function of the electric field in the deflector. A mechanical chopper located in front of the first slit allows us to select and measure the velocity *v* of the beam. The deflection of a molecule is given by:

$$d = K \frac{\langle \mu_Z \rangle}{mv^2} \nabla F_Z , \qquad (1.)$$

where Z is the axis of the electric field, ∇F_Z its gradient, m the mass of the cluster and K a

3

geometrical factor. $\langle \mu_Z \rangle$ is the time-averaged value of the Z component of the dipole in the electric field.

Salt clusters were ionized with two different harmonics of a second Nd³⁺:YAG laser (λ =266 and λ =532 nm). At λ =266 nm, Cs_nCl_{n-1}⁺ clusters with n= 6, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18 and 20 are observed in the mass spectrum. The majority of sizes that are not observed in mass spectra (n= 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 17, 19,...) have, according to the literature [9] and to the present calculations, a cubic structure with an alkali tail. Two other families of peaks, corresponding to Cs_nCl_{n-2}⁺ and Cs_nCl_{n-4}⁺ series, are also observed but with weaker intensities. These species disappear as the nozzle is heated, while their intensity increases when the nozzle is cooled down. At λ =532 nm, a single intense peak corresponding to Cs₁₄Cl₁₃⁺ is observed.

Deflection measurements were performed using $\lambda = 266$ nm for every size. For n=14, measurements at $\lambda = 266$ and $\lambda = 532$ nm are similar. The profiles obtained with the electric field turned on are globally deflected towards the positive *Z* direction (high electric field region in the deflector). For Cs₁₄Cl₁₃, a slight broadening is superimposed to the deflection. For each size, the average deviation *d* is determined by fitting the experimental profiles with gaussian shapes. The deviation is studied as a function of the field. It is proportional to the square of the electric field. For each cluster, it is then possible to define an electric susceptibility χ :

$$\chi = \frac{\langle \mu_Z \rangle}{F_Z},\tag{2.}$$

and

$$d = \chi \ K \frac{F_Z \nabla F_Z}{mv^2}. \tag{3.}$$

The deflection experiments were calibrated on the lithium atom which polarizability is known with a good accuracy $(24.3\pm0.5 \text{ Å}^3)$ [18]. The precision on the experimental susceptibility depends on the calibration, on the velocity measurement and on the deflection measurement. This leads, depending on the size, to a precision of 9 to 18 % on the absolute value. Experimental susceptibilities obtained at room temperature from Eq. (3.) are reported in Fig. 1 and Table 1. They range from ~200 to 4250 Å³ with strong size dependence. The same pattern was observed for cesium bromide and sodium fluoride clusters.

The susceptibility is related to the electric dipole by the Langevin-Debye formula [19,20]:

$$\chi = \frac{\left\langle \mu^2 \right\rangle_T}{3kT} + \alpha \tag{4.}$$

where $\langle \mu^2 \rangle_T$ is the average value of the fluctuation of the square of the dipole at equilibrium at temperature T without electric field and α is the average static polarizability of the cluster.

3. Theoretical approach and results.

3.1. Calculation method

We report here first calculations on Cs_nCl_{n-1} cesium chloride clusters with one excess electron. They are isovalent to the previously investigated sodium fluoride Na_nF_{n-1} clusters [17]. For any size n=2-18 geometry, optimizations were performed through a Hartree-Fock (HF) approach. Cesium and chlorine atoms were treated as 9- and 7valence electron systems respectively, via pseudopotentials of semi-local type taken from the literature [21,22]. LANL2DZ basis sets were selected for both cesium (8s6p/[3s3p]) and chlorine (3s3p/[2s2p]) atoms, augmented respectively by one d function (with exponent: 0.069803) for Cs and one d (exponent: 0.44536) and one f (exponent: 1.18721461) functions for Cl, after tests on the calculated equilibrium distance the permament dipole of the CsCl diatomic molecule.

In order to select initial geometries for a given size n, we first built structures of related clusters with no excess electron i.e Cs_nCl_n and $(Cs_nCl_{n-1})^+$ clusters. For these species, the total energy was calculated with a Born-Mayer potential using the parameters given in Ref. [23]. Starting from an arbitrary initial geometry generated by a Monte Carlo algorithm, the total energy was minimized with respect to ionic positions thus providing a local minimum. Around 200 quenches were performed for each size. Then some (~20) of these configurations (corresponding to the lowest local minima or obtained several times from different initial choices ...) were selected to be used as input data to determine the lowest-energy structures at HF level for the clusters Cs_nCl_{n-1} under investigation. For filled cuboids or cuboids with adatom geometrical configurations previously derived for the corresponding ionized species (Cs_nCl_{n-1})⁺ were directly used as initial data in the minimization process of the total energy for Cs_nCl_{n-1} evaluated this time at HF level. For

6

cuboids with vacancies geometrical configurations previously derived for Cs_nCl_n were used as initial guess for the geometry optimization of Cs_nCl_{n-1} at HF level by removing a halogen atom from various positions. The geometrical configuration corresponding to the smallest energy was retained as probable structure for Cs_nCl_{n-1} . Calculations were performed using GAUSSIAN98 [24] through the graphical interface GABEDIT [25].

3.2 Theoretical results.

3.2.1 Lowest-energy equilibrium geometries

Lowest-energy structures are found to be of cuboidal form for any size considered here. They display either an anion vacancy: F-center (n= 6, 8, 12, 15, 16, 18) or a Cs adatom: cesium-tail (n= 7, 10, 11, 13, 17), except for n=14 which represents the first possible filled cube. They are shown in Fig. 1 for sizes that were experimentally observed, together with the excess electron density as well as the dipole moment represented by an arrow.

3.2.2 Dipole moment, static dipolar polarizability and electrical susceptibility.

The permanent dipole moment μ as well as the three cartesian components of the static dipolar polarizability α_{ii} with $i \in \{x, y, z\}$ and the isotropic value $\alpha = (\alpha_{xx} + \alpha_{yy} + \alpha_{zz})/3$ have been evaluated for clusters in their lowest-energy structure previously determined. From these calculated values, the susceptibility χ has been determined for each size using the Langevin-Debye formula (eq. 3) and T=300 K.

Calculated values of μ , α and χ are reported in Table 1. The calculated susceptibility is

shown in Fig. 1 comparatively to our available experimental results. Except for the size n=14, the general trends of the experimental results (evolution with the size n and absolute values) are reproduced in a satisfactory way by the calculated values. For n=6, 8, 15 calculated values for χ are inside the experimental uncertainty range. For n=9, 12, 16 the averaged relative error δ between calculated and experimental values of χ is ~16%, which can be considered as a satisfactory result not too far from the averaged experimental error for these three sizes (~12%). For Cs₁₈Cl₁₇, the experimental susceptibility is very large (4200 Å³). The calculated value is larger by an amount of \sim 30% than the experimental value (see discussion below). As for n=14, due to the huge calculated value of μ (32.772 Debye) together with a large value of α (620 Å³) we obtained χ =9264 Å³, a value which appears to be meaningless when compared to our experimental value 1945 Å³. At the present HF level, the lowest-energy structure of $Cs_{14}Cl_{13}$ is a cube of symmetry C_{3v} with the excess electron localized on a corner Cs atom. This explains both the large value of μ and the large value of α . This structure is in competition with two geometries with a delocalized electron (O_h structure and surface state). These structures are obtained by small deformations of the cube and have much lower permanent electric dipoles. At the present level of calculation, these structures correspond to saddle points on the potential energy surface. For this size, vibronic couplings clearly cannot be ignored. Moreover, correlation effects are expected to be important for such configurations. Because they are ignored at HF level, the present description of Cs₁₄Cl₁₃ is definitely meaningless.

4. Discussion and conclusion.

From the overall agreement between experimental and calculated values of the electrical susceptibility of the lowest-energy structures of Cs_nCl_{n-1} , it may be inferred that measurements correspond to cuboid structures for these species. Nevertheless, it may be interesting to have an insight into the limitation of this comparison and on the possibility of distinguishing from an isomer to another for a given size. Then, for 3 particular sizes, two (n=8, 15) for which the agreement is quite satisfactory and one (n=18) for which the agreement is rather bad, we discuss in details the structure and susceptibility of all isomers that were obtained in a transition energy range of ~1 eV. Calculated isomers for these three sizes are shown in Fig. 2.

For Cs_8Cl_7 , 2 low-lying (4x2x2) cuboid structures with one missing chlorine ion are obtained. Isomer (c) is built up from 2 layers of Cs_3Cl_3 plus one $CsCl_2$. Isomer (d) displays a two layer octagonal structure with an anion vacancy. Isomer (e) may be described as a widely open sheet. Electric susceptibility calculated for the most stable structure is in perfect agreement with the experimental value. The other isomers have a susceptibility that is higher. In particular, the sheet (structure e) has a susceptibility more than one order magnitude larger than the experimental value.

For $Cs_{15}Cl_{14}$, 10 low-lying structures have been obtained as stable geometries in the energy range $\Delta E \le 0.51$ eV. Isomers (a, c, d, e, i, j) have a similar structure, they are cuboids with 5 layers of Cs_3Cl_3 and an anion vacancy located at different positions. Isomer (b) is a $3x_3x_3$ cube with a CsCl on one edge, the excess electron localizes on the anion vacancy. Isomers (g,h) have the same structure with 2 layers of Cs_6Cl_6 plus an overhead layer of Cs_3Cl_3 with an anion vacancy situated at a corner of the Cs_3Cl_3 layer (g) or at a corner of the second layer of Cs_6Cl_6 (h). The calculated susceptibility for these isomers varies from 327 to 8570 Å³. The value for isomer (a) (1236 Å³) is within the experimental error bar (1160±133 Å³). Isomer (b) has a huge permanent dipole which results in a large susceptibility (8570 Å³) induced by the localization of the excess electron. Due to the large difference in susceptibility, isomer (a) and (b) would be spatially separated after deflection. The analysis of the deflected peak shows that there is no isomer (b) in the beam.

For the two above sizes, comparison of the experimental and theoretical results allows a precise determination of the cluster geometry. This is true for all sizes inferior or equal to 12. This is a not the case for the third example ($Cs_{18}Cl_{17}$). Calculated isomers are shown in Fig. 2. Excepted isomer (g), they are ($3x_3x_5$) cuboids with an anion vacancy located at different positions. All these structures have a large electric dipole. The particularly large dipoles calculated for this family of cuboid structures is in agreement with the high value measured for this size (see Fig. 1). However the experimental value (4244 Å^3) is not in agreement with the one calculated for isomer (a) (5577 Å^3). This may be due to a non accurate calculation of the relative energy between different isomers and isomer (a) may not be the most stable structure (isomer (b) has a calculated susceptibility ranging at the bottom of the experimental error bar). Or, the dipole of isomer (a) may be over estimated. A possible explanation for the overestimation of the calculated value is the lack of correlation. One cannot also exclude for large sizes a mixing of isomers in the beam [7]. The generation of isomers (b) to (f) from isomer (a) only implies the displacement of a

vacancy that might occur during the travel through the deflector, which is different for example from size 15 (in this case a complete reconstruction is needed to go from structure (a) to structure (b)).

In conclusion, we report here the first measurement and calculation of electric dipole properties of gas phase Cs_nCl_{n-1} cesium chloride clusters. The experimental results are in global agreement with NaCl cubic structures. For small sizes, the comparison between experiment and theory allows a precise determination of the most stable structure. For large sizes, in particular for those with a very large dipole, the comparison is clearly limited by the precision of the calculation.

References

- [1] J. Diefenbach, T. P. Martin: J. Chem. Phys. 83 (1985) 4585.
- [2] R. Pflaum, K. Sattler, E. Recknagel: Phys. Rev. B 33 (1986) 1522.
- [3] E. C. Honea, M. L. Homer, P. Labastie, R. L. Whetten: Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 394.
- [4] P. Xia, L. A. Bloomfield: Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 1779.
- [5] C. Ochsenfeld, R. Ahlrichs: J. Chem. Phys. 101 (1994) 5977.
- [6] V. Bonacic-Koutecky, J. Pittner, J. Koutecky: Chem. Phys. 210 (1996) 313.
- [7] P. Dugourd, R. R. Hudgins, M. F. Jarrold: Chem. Phys. Lett. 267 (1997)
 186.
- [8] A. Aguado, A. Ayuela, J. M. Lopez, J. A. Alonso: Phys. Rev. B 58 (1998) 9972.
- [9] G. Durand, J. Giraud-Girard, D. Maynau, F. Spiegelmann, F. Calvo: J. Chem. Phys. 110 (1999) 7871.
- [10] G. Durand, F. Spiegelmann, P. Poncharal, P. Labastie, J. M. L'Hermite, M. Sence: J. Chem. Phys. 110 (1999) 7884.
- [11] F. K. Fatemi, A. J. Dally, L. A. Bloomfield: Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 51.
- [12] S. Krückeberg, D. Schooss, M. Maier-Borst, J. H. Parks: Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 4494.
- [13] A. Aguado: Phys. Rev. B 62 (2000) 13687.
- [14] U. Landman, D. Scharf, J. Jortner: Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 1860.
- [15] G. Durand, F. Spiegelmann, P. Labastie, J. M. L'Hermite, P. Poncharal: Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 633.

- [16] D. Rayane, R. Antoine, P. Dugourd, M. Broyer: J. Chem. Phys. 113 (2000)4501.
- [17] D. Rayane, I. Compagnon, R. Antoine, M. Broyer, P. Dugourd, P. Labastie,
 J. M. L'Hermite, A. le Padellec, G. Durand, F. Calvo, F. Spiegelman, A. R.
 Allouche: J. Chem. Phys. 116 (2002) 10730.
- [18] R. W. Molof, H. L. Schwartz, T. M. Miller, B. Bederson: Phys. Rev. A 10 (1974) 1131.
- [19] J. H. Van vleck: Phys. Rev. 30 (1927) 31.
- [20] P. Debye: Polar molecules, Dover Publications, New York, 1929.
- [21] W. R. Wadt, P. J. Hay: J. Chem. Phys 82 (1985) 284.
- [22] P. J. Hay, W. R. Wadt: J. Chem. Phys 82 (1985) 299.
- [23] D. O. Welch, O. W. Lazareth, G. J. Dienes: J. Chem. Phys. 64 (1976) 835.
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, T. Keith, G. A. Petersson, J. A. Montgomery, K. Raghavachari, M. A. Al-Laham, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. V. Ortiz, J. B. Foresman, C. Y. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, E. S. Replogle, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, J. S. Binkley, D. J. DeFrees, J. Baker, J. P. Stewart, M. Head-Gordon, C. Gonzales, J. A. Pople: GAUSSIAN98(Revision A.6), Pittsburgh, PA, 1998.
- [25] GABEDIT is a graphical user interface for the use of MOLPRO and GAUSSIAN available at the website: http://lasim.univ-lyon1.fr/allouche/gabedit.

Figure captions.

Figure 1: Experimental (I) and calculated (o) susceptibilities for Cs_nCl_{n-1} clusters (between n=6 and 20). The structure of lowest energy obtained for each size is shown. For each structure, the direction of the electric dipole is indicated by an arrow and an iso-surface of the excess electron density is plotted (identical iso-values (0.02) were used).

Figure 2: Low energy isomers obtained at the HF level for sizes 8, 15 and 18. For each structure, the direction of the electric dipole is indicated by an arrow and an iso-surface of the excess electron density is plotted (identical iso-values (0.02) were used). The relative energies and the susceptibilities are given.

Calculated and experimental susceptibilities χ of Cs_nCl_{n-1} clusters. Calculated average polarizability (α_{cal}) and total permanent dipole moment (μ_{cal}) are also given. Calculated data are given for the lowest energy isomer and T=300K is used to calculate the susceptibility (see Eq. 4).

n	Structure	α_{cal}	μ_{cal}	Xcal	Xexp
		(A^3)	(Debye)	(\mathring{A}^{3})	(A^3)
6	2x2x3-Cl	145	3.4	238	$233\pm~24$
8	2x2x4-Cl	155	3.9	278	274 ± 39
9	2x3x3-Cl	152	11.2	1154	953 ± 106
12	2x3x4+Cs	164	4.9	353	400 ± 44
14	$3x3x3(C_{3v})$	623	32.8	9263	$1945 \pm \ 186$
15	2x3x5-Cl	177	11.5	1236	$1160 \pm\ 133$
16	2x4x4-Cl	182	5.2	398	$477\pm\ 68$
18	3x3x4-Cl	185	25.9	5577	$4244\pm~370$
20					410 ± 73



Fig. 1

Cs_8Cl_7					
				to the second	
(a) $\Delta E = 0.00 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 278 \text{ Å}^3$	(b) $\Delta E= 0.17 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 578 \text{ Å}^3$	(c) $\Delta E = 0.22 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 466 \text{ Å}^3$	(d) $\Delta E = 0.60 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 355 \text{ Å}^3$	(e) $\Delta E = 1.07 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 8929 \text{ Å}^3$	

$Cs_{15}Cl_{14}$					
		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C			
(a) $\Delta E = 0.00 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 1236 \text{ Å}^3$	(b) $\Delta E = 0.15 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 8569 \text{ Å}^3$	(c) $\Delta E = 0.19 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 337 \text{ Å}^3$	(d) $\Delta E= 0.20 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 402 \text{ Å}^3$	(e) $\Delta E = 0.25 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 1828 \text{ Å}^3$	
			0.00		
(f) $\Delta E = 0.30 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 1236 \text{ Å}^3$	(g) $\Delta E = 0.30 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 1113 \text{ Å}^3$	(h) $\Delta E = 0.32 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 731 \text{ Å}^3$	(i) $\Delta E= 0.44 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 326 \text{ Å}^3$	(j) $\Delta E = 0.51 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 1770 \text{ Å}^3$	

$Cs_{18}Cl_{17}$				
(a) $\Delta E = 0.00 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 5577 \text{ Å}^3$	(b) $\Delta E = 0.24 \text{ eV}$ $\chi = 3866 \text{ Å}^3$	 (c) ΔE=0.27eV χ= 3197 Å³ 	(d) ΔE=0.50 eV χ= 3945 Å ³	(e) ΔE=0.58eV χ= 5783 Å ³
(f) ΔE=0.81eV χ= 4251 Å ³	(g) ΔE=0.99eV χ= 1560 Å ³			

Fig. 2