

Border-network & Migration of Contact. Intermarriages in Belgium, France and Italy

Laura Odasso

▶ To cite this version:

Laura Odasso. Border-network & Migration of Contact. Intermarriages in Belgium, France and Italy . New research challenges on intermarriage and mixedness in Europe and beyond, Nov 2015, Paris, France. . hal-01671521

HAL Id: hal-01671521

https://hal.science/hal-01671521

Submitted on 18 Jan 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Border-network & Migration of contact Intermarriages in Belgium, France & Italy






Since the end of 1990s and during the 2000s, European Member States have restrained foreigners' access to residence permit and citizenship through marriage. To implement the reforms, new administrative dispositives have been created.

- Binational marriages are depicted by policy-makers as:
- the main reason for entry and stay in Europe,
 - a new migratory chain (i.e. intracommunautarian & kin marriages, etc.)
 - a danger to 'national identity' and public security (i.e. "hyphenated identities" (Yuval Davis 2011), fraud, etc.)

Policy makers' debates rely rarely on the analysis of migration law regimes (i.e. illegalisation of foreigners) and international relations (i.e. colonisation & economy) Lack of continuity and recognition: no "ethic of responsibility".

Binational marriages management hides the broader question of NEW BORDERS and BOUNDARIES in European societies.

What are the consequences for the members of the couples, after marrying until obtaining a common European citizenship?



SOFT BORDER NETWORK

2. blocking undesirables' entrance (i.e.: 'suffered' migration as by marriage)

Family protected (better norm has to be applied)
Less power to municipality > < More power to *questura*Rare controls, no integration requirements

PROBATIONARY BORDER NETWORK

Multiplication of procedures to obtain permit (withdrawal)
Reverse discrimination / Partners' dependency
Files with private data to prove love / paternalism
Power to municipality --> Discretional power

CONDITIONAL BORDER NETWORK

Family reunification procedure to obtain permit (withdrawal)
Requirements on BE citizen to TCN's permit / files with private data
Multiplication of actors (specific police hubs) / Discretional power
Controls' target: Moroccans & Turks



- 1) Degradations of legal status' stability and restrictions to mobility illegalize foreigners (TCNs)
- 2) Due to TCNs' legal status, couples search for administrative stability: injonction to marry = encounter with the border-network
- 3) Outcomes of the border-networks: fragments the access to long-term residence differently in EU States -> States' interest > EU harmonisation
- 4) New selective instability (i.e.: renewing, withdrawal permit) and dependence between partners (i.e.: unattended consequences: violence, blackmail)

5) Couples' local experiences help to understand how differently family migration affect the nationals (cf. EU route)

MIGRATION OF CONTACT (Odasso, 2013): The national partner experiences what it is like to be « migrant »!