

# Towards Reconciling Gravitational Theories

Barrie W Jervis

## ▶ To cite this version:

Barrie W Jervis. Towards Reconciling Gravitational Theories. 2018. hal-01666894v2

# HAL Id: hal-01666894 https://hal.science/hal-01666894v2

Preprint submitted on 27 Jan 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### **Towards Reconciling Gravitational Theories**

B. W. Jervis

Retired professor, 115 Button Hill, Sheffield, S11 9HG, England.

barrie.jervis@cantab.net

17 January 2017

#### **Abstract**

The aim was to demonstrate the possible compatibility between the gravitational theories of Hajdukovic and of emergent gravity, and to derive new physical relationships. These theories and necessary, associated concepts are described. Assuming a spherical galaxy model they are shown to predict the same radial distance from the galactic centre for the onset of enhanced gravitational fields without the necessity for postulating dark matter. This agreement is used (1) to show that the virtual particle density of the vacuum at this distance depends only upon the Hubble scale and Planck's constant, (2) to calculate its value, and (3) to show that the particles consist of closely packed pions.

Keywords: emergent gravity, gravitational dipoles, spherical galaxy, dark matter
1 Introduction

Zwicky in 1933 [1] observed anomalous velocity dispersion in the Coma cluster of galaxies, and Rubin in 1970 [2] discovered that at large radii galactic material rotates faster than predicted by Newton's Laws. Both these observations suggested the presence of stronger than expected gravitational fields. The two most popular theories to explain these, and other galactic phenomena, are the presence of undiscovered matter (dark matter) or Bekenstein and Milgrom's MOND (Modified Newtonian Dynamics) theory [3] of the modification of the laws of gravity. Dark matter is postulated to consist of massive, weakly-interacting, cold, dark particles,

which neither emit nor reflect light. The location of these particles, assuming they exist, has been determined by computer modelling.

Recently two alternative, promising theories of gravity have been proposed which remain to be fully tested, but have explained some of the gravitational effects of galaxies without the need for dark matter. The theory of emergent gravity is based upon a melange of the theories of thermodynamics, entropy, black holes, information, elasticity, and string theory [4]. Gravity is shown to emerge from the quantum bits of information which characterise space-time, and is, therefore, not a fundamental property of matter. According to the second theory [5], the additional gravitational force associated with galaxies is contributed by the partial alignment of gravitationally polarised virtual particle pairs. The pairs of particles and antiparticles spontaneously appear very briefly and then self-annihilate, according to Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle and Einstein's equation of equivalence between mass and energy, E = mc². The antiparticles are assumed to have negative mass, as well as negative charge. This will be called the Hajdukovic theory, after its inventor. It is these two theories which are considered in this paper.

It is shown here that, based on a spherical galactic model, the two theories predict an enhanced gravitational effect at the same location. Then, by combining equivalent formulaic results from the two theories, it is shown that the virtual particle density in the galactic halo depends upon the Planck wavelength and the size of the universe. The particle density is calculated and the particles are shown to be pions.

Some underlying concepts and the two new theories are first reviewed. The new contribution appears in Sections 4 and 5.

#### 2 Underlying Concepts

#### 2.1 The Evidence for Dark matter

Modelling shows that every galaxy is surrounded by a halo of dark matter. These haloes have a constant central surface density [6]. Also studies of the dwarf galaxies Fornax and Sculptor show dark matter distributed uniformly within the central region [7]. Thus a uniform density is characteristic of dark matter. Further evidence for possible dark matter is offered by the lensing effect of the Bullet Cluster of colliding galaxies [8] and from the cosmic background microwave radiation (CMBR) [9]. However, the existence of dark matter has not been proved. Any new theory must account for these phenomena.

#### 2.2 Virtual Particle Pairs

It is fundamental to Hajdukovic's theory that the vacuum of space is full of virtual particle-pairs. Their existence has been shown by the Casimir Effect [10] and the Lamb shift [11].

It has been suggested that gravitational polarisation of these particles accounts for the dark matter explained by the MOND model [12] as well as for dark matter and dark energy [13], [14]. In Hajdukovic's theory these ideas are extended to the gravitational polarisation of the virtual dipoles.

### 2.3 Entropy, Information, Black Holes, and Entropic Force

These heading concepts are used in the theory of emergent gravity [4] and so relevant aspects are reviewed here. Thermodynamic entropy is given by the well-known Boltzmann equation

$$S = k_B ln W (1)$$

in which  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant, W is the number of possible states, and ln denotes logarithm. In information theory information is conveyed in strings of N binary bits. The information entropy, is given by

$$S = log_2 2^N \tag{2}$$

These equations are identical in form, showing that thermodynamic entropy and information entropy are interchangeable.

Microscopically, in the domain of quantum mechanics, the binary information bits become qubits taking any value between 0 and 1. When the quantum mechanical wave function represents two states simultaneously the two states are said to be entangled. Thus, their representative qubits are also entangled. In the theory of black holes [15] the entropy of a black hole may be regarded as the number of associated entangled qubits.

An increase in entropy,  $\Delta S$ , is associated with the work done,  $F\Delta x$ , by the application of a force, F, in moving an object through a distance  $\Delta x$ . The energy increase in entropy terms is  $T\Delta S$ , where T is the temperature. Equating these gives

$$T\Delta S = F\Delta x \tag{3}$$

#### 3 The New Gravitational Theories

#### 3.1 Theory of Emergent Gravity

Verlinde presented a theory of emergent gravity [4], and a non-mathematical description has been given by Visser and Vonk [16]. According to Verlinde an empty space is filled with dark energy, which provides a volume contribution to the total entropy. It also acts as an expansive force, which drives the expansion of the

universe. If some of this energy is converted into ordinary baryonic matter, the entropy change produces a force, according to equation (3). The universe is considered an elastic medium and this force results in elastic strain, which slowly relaxes over a very long timescale. At the microscopic quantum scale, black hole theory is applied, and the entropy is associated with qubits. The creation of matter displaces the qubits, leaving a vacuum in the vicinity of the mass. The qubits push to fill the vacuum, which creates an additional gravitational force. This phenomenon is significant whenever the mass is sufficiently large, and causes the large gravitational fields in the vicinity of galaxies. Thus, gravity is regarded as an emergent macro property of the microscopic behaviour of the gubits.

Verlinde has demonstrated how Newton's Laws may be derived from this theory [17].

3.2 Hajdukovic's Theory

Hajdukovic produced his theory to explain the phenomenon of dark matter [5]. The virtual particles and antiparticles are assumed to have opposite gravitational charges and to form gravitational dipoles in which their gravitational charges repel each other. The concept of matter and antimatter being mutually repulsive has been investigated theoretically [18] with the conclusion that antigravity is predicted by the theory of general relativity. See also [19]. Hajdukovic also assumes that the virtual particle pairs constitute a gas of virtual pions, which are quark-antiquark pairs [20]. Pions may have the smallest mass possible in nature [21], and Hajdukovic argues that pions may dominate the content of the quantum vacuum [20]. While the opposite gravitational charges of the virtual dipoles repel each other, their opposite electrical charges attract, and the separation between the poles  $\cong \lambda_c = \hbar/mc$ , their Compton wavelength, at their equilibrium separation. Here c is the velocity of light,  $\hbar$  is the

reduced Planck constant, and m is the mass of the pion. Further, according to quantum field theory, a virtual particle pair should occupy the volume  $\lambda_c^3$  [5]. Thus, the particle pair density in the vacuum is  $N = 1/\lambda_c^3$ .

These polarised particle pairs become aligned in a gravitational field to an extent which depends upon the field strength, just as electric dipoles align in an electric field. Hajdukovic used a simple spherical model of a galaxy and calculated the radial gravitational charge density. The evidence for a uniform matter density in regions of dark matter has already been mentioned above [6],[7], and so regions of uniform gravitational charge density were taken to represent dark matter regions. In fact the radial distance from the centre at which the dark matter effect was to be found was determined to be

$$R_0 = \lambda_c \sqrt{\frac{M_b}{m_c}} \tag{4}$$

in which  $M_b$  is the baryonic mass. Hajdukovic took  $\lambda_c=\lambda_\pi$  and  $m_c=m_\pi$  because he was considering pions.

## 3.3 Gravitational Theories - the Evidence

Table 1 gives a summary of the successes,  $\sqrt{}$ , failures, X, and unknown predictions,?, for the supposed dark matter phenomena, as known by the author at present, by the different theories in different instances.

Dark matter	MOND	Emergent gravity	Gravitational
phenomenon			dipoles
Galactic haloes	V	V	V

Dwarf galaxies	V	?	?
Gravitational lensing	Х	V	V
Milky Way disc	X	?	$\sqrt{}$

Table 1 The theoretical predictions compared to the locations of known dark matter

The MOND theory predicted that the Milky Way would exhibit a disc of dark matter, while Hajdukovic's theory did not predict one. Attempts to find it were unsuccessful [22]. The emergent gravity theory has been successfully tested for the case of gravitational lensing [23]. While much more work remains to be done, the table shows that the emergent gravity and Hajdukovic's theories look promising, whilst the MOND theory failed in two instances.

#### 4 Comparing the two Theories

As the theories of emergent gravity and Hajdukovic both explain galactic haloes and gravitational lensing, they should predict the same radial distance from the centres of spherical galaxies to the onset of dark matter effects. This distance was given in equation (4) for Hajdukovic's theory. Substituting  $\hbar/mc$  for  $\lambda_c$ , putting  $m=2.4\times 10^{-28}$  kg as the mass of a pion, and using  $M_b=1.6\times 10^{42}$  kg, the mass of the Milky Way, gives  $R_0=1.2\times 10^{20}$  km.

For emergent gravity, with A as the surface area of a sphere of radius R surrounding the galactic centre, beyond which dark matter is predicted, Verlinde's equation (4) in [4] describes the situation by,

$$\frac{A}{4G\hbar} > \frac{2\pi M}{\hbar a_0} \tag{5}$$

where M is the enclosed mass, G is the gravitational constant, and  $a_0$  is the cosmological acceleration scale, given by

$$a_0 = \frac{c^2}{L} \tag{6}$$

where *L* is the Hubble scale, the radius of the universe. Upon substituting equation (6) into equation (5), putting  $A = 4\pi R^2$ , and rearranging gives

$$R > \sqrt{2MLG}/c \tag{7}$$

Taking the case of our galaxy, the Milky Way,  $M=1.6\times 10^{42}$  kg, while  $L=4.4\times 10^{25}$  m,  $G=6.67\times 10^{-11}$  m<sup>3</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>, and  $c=3\times 10^8$  m s<sup>-1</sup> giving  $R>3.2\times 10^{20}$  km. Thus,  $R\cong 2.7R_0$ . Since R depends upon the estimated size of the universe, this may be regarded as a good agreement.

### 5 Combining the two theories

The evidence in Table 1, and the agreement between R and  $R_0$ , suggest the emergent gravity and Hajdukovic theories are compatible. Since equations (4) and (7) give essentially the same result, they may be equated to give the new relationship

$$\lambda_c \sqrt{\frac{M}{m_c}} = \sqrt{2MLG}/c \tag{8}$$

Substituting  $m_c=\hbar/\lambda_c c$  and  $N=1/\lambda_c^3$ , it is found that

$$NL = \frac{c^3}{2G\hbar} \tag{9}$$

showing that the particle density at  $R_0$  only depends upon known constants and the radius of the spherical universe. The Planck wavelength,  $\lambda_P$ , is

$$\lambda_P = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} \tag{10}$$

And so combining equations (9) and (10) gives

$$NL = \frac{1}{2\lambda_P^2} = \frac{\pi/2}{\pi\lambda_P^2} = \frac{\pi/2}{Planck\ area} \tag{11}$$

showing that NL is inversely proportional to the smallest meaningful area of space. The particle density at  $R_0$  can be estimated from equation (9) as

$$N = \frac{c^3}{2G\hbar L} \tag{12}$$

Substituting values gives  $N = 4.4x10^{43}$  particles m<sup>-3</sup>.

So far the type of particle has not been defined. If we assume, like Hajdukovic [5], that they are close neighbours with  $N=1/\lambda_c^3$  then  $\lambda_c=N^{-1/3}=2.84x10^{-15}$  m. This is close in value to  $\lambda_c$  for the electron  $(2.82x10^{-15}$  m) and for the pion  $(1.46x10^{-15}$  m). Uncertainties in the values mean that either of these is a possibility, but it is thought that the quantum vacuum is dominated by pions [5]. This agreement is further evidence that the two theories yield similar results and that equation (8) is valid. The physical meaningfulness of equation (11) also suggests the compatibility of the two theories.

In deriving the expression for  $R_0$ , equation (4), the spacing between the virtual particle and its antiparticle was taken to be approximately  $\lambda_c$  according to quantum field theory, and the virtual dipole pairs were assumed tightly packed so that the particle density is  $N = 1/\lambda_c^3$ . This second assumption can now be tested. Let the particle density be  $N = 1/x^3$  where  $x \ge \lambda_c$ . Then from equation (8)

$$x = \frac{\sqrt{2LGm_c}}{c} \tag{13}$$

If pions are assumed,  $m_c=2.4x10^{-28}$  kg, whence  $x=3.2x10^{-15}$  m, compared with  $\lambda_\pi=1.46x10^{-15}$ . Again, the factor of 2.7 difference is probably owing to the assumed value of L, and the pions are then indeed tightly packed. This is more evidence for the compatibility of the two theories. Note that

$$R/R_0 = x/\lambda_\pi = \sqrt{2LGm_\pi^3}/c\hbar = 2.7$$
.

#### 11 Conclusion

The predicted agreements with the "observations" of dark matter, and the agreement between the predicted radial locations of the supposed dark matter for a spherical galactic model suggest the theories of Hajdukovic and of emergent gravity are equivalent. By equating the two formulae for the radial location of the dark matter effect it is shown that the virtual particle density in this region depends only upon the Hubble scale and the Planck area,  $\pi\lambda_P^2$ . This basic relationship also suggests compatibility between the two theories. The number density of virtual particle pairs was calculated to be  $4x10^{43}$  m<sup>-3</sup>, and their separation by approximately one Compton wavelength corresponded to that of tightly packed pions or electrons.

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks Dr A. J. Jervis for providing some references and comments on the manuscript, and John Edwards for feedback.

#### References

[1] F. Zwicky, Helvetica Physica Acta, **6**, (1933), 110,

doi:http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1933AcHPh...6..110Z

[2] V. Rubin, W. K. Ford, The Astrophysical Journal, 159, (1970), 379, doi:10.1086/150317.

- [3] J. Bekenstein and M. Milgrom, Astrophysical Journal, **286**, (1984), 7, doi: 10.1086/162570
- [4] E. P. Verlinde, SciPost Phys. 2, (2017), 016, doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.2.3.016
- [5] D. S. Hajdukovic, Astrophys Space Sci 334, (2011), 215, doi: 10.1007/s10509-011-0744-4
- [6] F. Donato, G. Gentile, P. Salucci, F. Martins, M. I. Wilkinson, G. Gilmore, E. K. Grebel, A. Koch, and R. Wyse, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., **397**, (2009), 1169, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15004.x
- [7] M. Walker and J. Peñarrubia, The Astrophysical Journal, 742, (2011), 1, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/742/1/20
- [8] D. Clowe, M. Bradač, A. H. Gonzalez, Maxim Markevitch, S. W. Randall, C. Jones, and D. Zaritsky, The Astrophysical Journal Letters, **648**, (2006), 109, doi:10.1086/508162
- [9] J Einasto, Baltic Astronomy, 20, (2011), 231, doi:10.1515/astro-2017-0287
  [10] H.B.G. Casimir, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch, B51, (1948), 793
  [11] W. E. Lamb, and R. C. Retherford, Physical Review, 72, (1947), 241, doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.72.241.
- [12] L. Blanchet, L: Class. and Quant.Grav., 24, (2007),3, doi:10.1088/0264-9381/24/14/001
- [13] L. Blanchet, and A. Tiec, Phys. Rev., **D78**, (2008), 024031, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.78.024031
- [14] L. Blanchet, and A. Tiec, Phys. Rev., **D80**, (2009), 023524, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.80.023524
- [15] J. D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev., D 7, (1973), 2333, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333

[16] M. Visser and M. Vonk, Quantum Universe, 20/02/2017, 23 05

[17] E. Verlinde, 29, (2011), 1, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2011)029

ArXiv ePrint:1001.0785

[18] M. Villata, EPL (Europhysics Letters), 94, (2011), 20001,

doi:10.1209/0295-5075/94/20001

[19] D. Hajdukovic, (2009), arXiv:0810.3435v3 [physics.gen-ph]

[20] D. S. Hajdukovic, Europhysics Letters, 89, (2010), 1,

Doi:10.1209/0295-5075/89/49001

[21] C.G.Böhmer and T.Harko, Physics Letters B, **630**, (2005), 73,

doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2005.09.071

[22] C. Moni Bidin, G. Carraro, R. A. Méndez, and W. F. van Altena, The

Astrophysical Journal Letters, **724**, (2010), 122, doi:10.1088/2041-8205/724/1/L122

[23] M. M. Brouwer, M. R. Visser, A. Dvornik, H. Hoekstra, K. Kuijken, E. A.

Valentijn, M. Bilicki, C. Blake, S. Brough, H. Buddelmeijer, T. Erben, C. Heymans, H.

Hildebrandt, B. W. Holwerda, A. M. Hopkins, D. Klaes, J. Liske, J. Loveday, J.

McFarland, R. Nakajima, C. Sifón, E. N. Taylor, Monthly Notices of the Royal

Astronomical Society, 466, (2017), 2547,

doi:10.1093/mnras/stw3192