

Photomodulation of DNA-Templated Supramolecular Assemblies

Jenifer Rubio-Magnieto, Tuan-Anh Phan, Mathieu Fossépré, Valérie Matot, Jeremie Knoops, Thibaut Jarrosson, Pascal Dumy, Françoise Serein-Spirau, Claude Niebel, Sébastien Ulrich, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Jenifer Rubio-Magnieto, Tuan-Anh Phan, Mathieu Fossépré, Valérie Matot, Jeremie Knoops, et al.. Photomodulation of DNA-Templated Supramolecular Assemblies. Chemistry - A European Journal, 2018, 24, pp.706-714. 10.1002/chem.201704538 . hal-01666843

HAL Id: hal-01666843 https://hal.science/hal-01666843v1

Submitted on 25 May 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

WILEY-VCH

Photomodulation of DNA-templated supramolecular assemblies

Jenifer Rubio-Magnieto,^[a] Tuan-Anh Phan,^[b,c] Mathieu Fossépré,^[a] Valérie Matot,^[a] Jérémie Knoops,^[a] Thibaut Jarrosson,^[b] Pascal Dumy,^[c] Françoise Serein-Spirau,^[b] Claude Niebel,^{*[b]} Sébastien Ulrich,^{*[c]} and Mathieu Surin^{*[a]}

Abstract: A new type of DNA ligand that contains a phosphatebinding group and a photoresponsive azobenzene moiety is reported. When the azobenzene is in *trans* configuration, the ligand binds to the minor groove of a double-stranded DNA, whereas it partially desorbs upon *trans-cis* isomerization with light. The ability to photoswitch the ligand upon interaction with DNA is evidenced by (chir)optical signatures, and deciphered by the differences of binding geometry, stability, and dynamics of the DNA/ligand complexes for the two isomers. We exploit these properties to photomodulate DNAtemplated self-assembly, through the incorporation of another πstacking DNA ligand, which together with the photoresponsive ligand form mixed supramolecular complexes along DNA. Our study demonstrates that well-designed photo-responsive DNA binders can be used to modulate multicomponent supramolecular DNA assemblies.

Introduction

The fine control over the formation of supramolecular assemblies and polymers via DNA templating has recently gained great interest to achieve functional nanostructures, with possible applications in sequence-controlled polymers, (bio)sensing, and delivery.^[1-11] In this regard, achieving DNA-templated multicomponent assemblies for which the organization and function can be modulated upon external stimuli is a fascinating challenge.^[6,12-18] Among the different stimuli, light is a practical trigger for enabling responsive materials,^[13,17] as exemplified by the incorporation of photoswitchable ligands into biomolecular systems in view of

[a] Dr. J. Rubio-Magnieto, Dr. M. Fossépré, V. Matot, J. Knoops, Prof. M. Surin Laboratory for Chemistry of Novel Materials Center of Innovation and Research in Materials and Polymers University of Mons - UMONS, 20 Place du Parc, 7000 Mons, Belgium E-mail: mathieu.surin@umons.ac.be T.-A. Phan, Dr. T. Jarrosson, Prof. F. Serein-Spirau, Dr. C. Niebel [b] Institut Charles Gerhardt, UMR 5253, CNRS, Université de Montpellier ENSCM, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Montpellier, 240 Avenue du Professeur Emile Jeanbrau, 34296 Montpellier cedex 5, France. E-mail: claude.niebel@enscm.fr T.-A. Phan, Prof. P. Dumy, Dr. S. Ulrich [c] Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron (IBMM) UMR 5247 CNRS, Université de Montpellier, ENSCM, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Montpellier, 240 Avenue du Professeur Emile Jeanbrau, 34296 Montpellier cedex 5. France. E-mail: sebastien.ulrich@enscm.fr. Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

controlling their conformation and activity in a reversible manner.^[12] Several groups have already developed DNA molecules photoresponsive by covalently linking photoswitching molecules within the nucleic acid structure (e.g. azobenzenes in between base pairs).[15,19-27] This covalent approach permits the formation and dissociation of DNA duplex by illuminating the azo-modified oligonucleotide with UV light, which is valuable for the photoregulation of bioreactions.[19,22,28-^{29]} However, the main drawback of this incorporation is the difficulty in synthesis/preparation of these covalently modified-DNA, which restricts its use. In this context, the use of photoswitchable molecules that can interact with the DNA via supramolecular recognition offers an alternative approach.^[24,30] For instance, spyropyran or azobenzene derivatives were used as photosensitive DNA intercalators, and the use of an adequate light source permitted to switch between association/dissociation of the intercalator with DNA or between assembly/disassembly of DNA nanostructures.[31-32]

In this article, we report on the design and characterization of a photoswitch (**Gua-Azo**, Chart 1) containing a guanidinium group, which is purposeful for DNA binding and has been shown to be valuable for applications in DNA sensing and gene delivery.^[25,33-42] The photoswitch is brought by an azobenzene moiety, which can undergo a reversible photoisomerization with UV light (365 nm, *trans* \rightarrow *cis*, *E*/*Z*) and visible light (450 nm, *cis* \rightarrow *trans*, *Z*/*E*).



GuaBiPy

dsDNA d(R)₂₀d(R)₂₀d; 5'-CGT CAC GTA AAT CGG TTA AC-3' 3'-GCA GTG CAT TTA GCC AAT TG-5', dsR₂₀

stDNA : salmon DNA , ~2000 base pairs

Chart 1. Top: Chemical structures of Gua-Azo photoswitch and GuaBiPy ligand. Bottom: DNA templates used in this study.

We demonstrate that the binding of this photoswitch molecule along the DNA can be modulated by light: when the azobenzene is in trans conformation, Gua-Azo binds DNA and show induced chiroptical signals, whereas the cis conformation has a lower affinity and show no induced chiroptical signal. This is rationalized by molecular modelling simulations, showing that the trans isomer perfectly accommodate into the DNA minor-groove of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), whereas the geometry of the cis leads to partial desorption of the azobenzene moiety from DNA minorgroove, a lower stability and different dynamics of the complex. Moreover, the photoresponsive DNA binder Gua-Azo can form mixed assemblies with another guanidinium-based compound such as GuaBiPv (Chart 1), which

permits the photomodulation of the supramolecular assembly along the DNA strands and therefore represents a step forward toward the photo-control of multicomponent DNA-templated selfassemblies.

Results and Discussion

Design and synthesis of Gua-Azo

We have previously reported the supramolecular self-assembly of **GuaBiPy** (Chart 1) along single-stranded and doublestranded DNA templates.^[11,43] This initial study revealed that, in addition to salt-bridge interactions between the guanidinium groups and the DNA phosphodiesters, π -stacking interactions are essential for stabilizing these DNA-templated complexes. We reasoned that the insertion of an azobenzene photoswitch would enable the photocontrol of this type of supramolecular assemblies given that the *E* isomer (*trans*) is planar and should therefore stabilize the assemblies, whereas the *Z* isomer (*cis*) is forced to be non-planar, which should destabilize the assembly.

Based upon our previous experience in usina acylhydrazone coupling reactions for synthesizing bioconjugates,[43,44] we selected a strategy that involves preparing Gua-Azo compound through the reaction between aldehyde GuaAld and the azohydrazide 5 (Scheme 1 and Figs. S1-S13 in the Supporting Information). GuaAld was prepared in three steps involving: i) the formation of thiourea 2 by addition of 4-(dimethoxymethyl)benzylamine 1 onto ethoxycarbonyl isothiocyanate; ii) the subsequent EDC-mediated coupling with benzylamine to afford compound 3, and iii) the hydrolysis of the ketal and carbamate protecting groups. 4-(phenylazo) benzohydrazide 6 was obtained in two steps: peptide coupling between 4-(phenylazo)benzoic acid 4 and tert-butyl carbazate affords compound 5 which was deprotected in acidic conditions to furnish the desired hydrazide 6. Finally, compounds GuaAld and 6 are combined to yield after acylhydrazone ligation the desired Gua-Azo in a 40% isolated yield.



WILEY-VCH



Scheme 1. Synthetic route for the preparation of compound Gua-Azo.

Photoisomerization of Gua-Azo

The photoisomerization experiments were carried out using a LED source by illuminating a solution of **Gua-Azo** compound (~ 120 µM) at 365 nm during 5 minutes, yielding the *trans* \rightarrow *cis* (*E*/*Z*) isomerization of the azobenzene moiety. The UV-Vis spectra (Figure 1) showed a decrease of the initial π - π^* absorption band at 330 nm with a large blue shift (~ 20 nm) and a weak increase of the n- π^* absorption band at around 430 nm, narrowed compared to the absorption of the *trans* isomer in this wavelength range (the n- π^* electronic transition is only allowed for the *cis* isomer).^[20,25] Under illumination of the solution at 450 nm, we observed the recovery of signals of the *trans* form, both on the intensity of the π - π^* transition and the decrease of the n- π^* absorption band, thereby demonstrating the reversible and photocontrolled *cis* \rightarrow *trans* (*Z*/*E*) isomerization.



Figure 1. UV-Vis absorption spectra of Gua-Azo (~ 120 μ M) in Milli-Q water upon illumination. [Gua-Azo]_{stock}= 10 mM in DMSO.

Gua-Azotrans 365 nm Gua-Azocis + DNA [Gua-Azocis · DNA] a) b) -dT_{el} Gua-Aza dT_ dT_Gas-Aco dT. :Gue Auto : UT_Xian-Azo (page 0.2 0.0 490 400 450 400 355 500 right (nes) Wave outh intro c) d) 0.0 -deR - their daff_Gua-Azu, 11 dsR_-Gua-Azo 3 0.4 0.1 0.0 400 450 300 250 400 500 Wave ingth inmiigth (rim) f) e) 6.8 MONA MONA Gue Are EDNA Gua-Aro EDNA Gast Arra MUNA Cua Am 000 001 64 350 400 450 500 200 300 1990 400 450 ength (nm) 500 260 300 ingth in

Gua-Azotrans + DNA [Gua-Azotrans · DNA]

¹H NMR studies in d₆-DMSO also confirmed the reversible photoswitch of Gua-Azo compound. Upon irradiation at 365 nm complete conversion of the trans isomer into the cis isomer takes place within 15 min and the subsequent $cis \rightarrow trans$ backisomerization was achieved by excitation of the $n-\pi^*$ absorption band (Fig. S14).

Photoisomerization of Gua-Azo in presence of DNA

DNA binding of trans isomer of Gua-Azo. The interactions between Gua-Azo and DNA were studied by UV-Vis and Circular Dichroism (CD) at different molar ratios at 20 °C. For that, Gua-Azo in its stable trans (E) configuration was mixed with selected DNA, either with short oligonucleotides (ODN, dT₄₀ or dsR₂₀) or long genomic DNA (salmon sperm DNA, stDNA), see Chart 1. With a homopyrimidine single-stranded DNA dT_{40} , the CD spectra show the characteristic CD bands of DNA. centred at 276 nm and 250 nm, which are less intense upon the addition of Gua-Azo (see Figure 2). Interestingly, an induced circular dichroism (ICD) appears in the 300-400 nm range (see red line in Figure 2b), i.e. where the Gua-Azo absorbs albeit the pure compound is CD-silent (Fig. S15-S16).

This positive ICD signal shows a maximum intensity at a molar ratio around 1:50 in dT₄₀:Gua-Azo (Fig. S15), showing that the Gua-Azotrans adopts a chiral organization upon DNA binding. Note that ICD signals of ligands upon DNA binding were previously reported for other guanidinium derivatives,[11,32,36] including GuaBiPy for which the maximum ICD is around 1:20 in dT₄₀:GuaBiPy (single-stranded DNA template).^[11] The same experiments were repeated on double-stranded DNAs (dsDNA), a duplex oligonucleotide (dsR20) and a long dsDNA (stDNA). Upon addition of Gua-Azo with each of the dsDNA, important positive ICD signals also appear in the 300-400 nm region (Figure 2d,f), similar to that observed with the ssDNA. However, for the long DNA, we observed a bisignate (-/+) ICD signal in the Gua-Azo region with a zero crossing at around 403 nm.

DNA binding of cis isomer of Gua-Azo. We added a solution of DNA right after having UV illuminated a Gua-Azo solution under a LED (working wavelength at around 365 nm), i.e. yielding a large proportion of Gua-Azo in cis form. The UV-Vis absorption spectra of the [Gua-Azocis · DNA] mixture (see green lines in Figure 2) show a decreased intensity of the π - π * band and a change in the absorption maxima towards lower wavelengths ($\lambda_{max} \sim 313$ nm), which corresponds to the λ_{max} of the Gua-Azo in cis configuration (~ 310 nm). Importantly, we observe the appearance of a weaker $n-\pi^*$ absorption band in the visible region (~ 429 nm), as shown with pure Gua-Azocis. The CD spectra of complexes with oligonucleotides (either dT₄₀ or dsR_{20}) show no ICD signal in the range where the Gua-Azo absorbs (see Figure 2b,d), which indicates that the cis conformer does not adopt a preferential chiral organization upon binding with the short DNA. In contrast, with the long dsDNA (stDNA), an ICD bisignate signal is observed (Figure 2f) but weaker than that for the trans form.

Figure 2. Changes in UV-Vis (left) and CD (right) spectra of several DNA/Gua-Azo mixtures at 20 °C in MQ-Water before (red lines) and after illumination at 365 nm (green lines): (a-b) dT₄₀/Gua-Azo at 1:50 molar ratio; (c-d) dsR₂₀/Gua-Azo at 1:50 molar ratio; and (e-f) stDNA_{bp}/Gua-Azo at 1:1 molar ratio.

Azo as photo-controllable DNA-binding switch, we considered a cis-trans cycle. A solution of the complex [Gua-Azocis · DNA] was illuminated at 450 nm (cis-to-trans) for 15 minutes. We observed a red-shifted absorption that coincides with the characteristic band of $Gua-Azo_{trans}$ and with the vanishing of the $n-\pi^*$ band (see Figure 3), which is consistent with a *trans* form of Gua-Azo within the mixture. Interestingly, the ICD from [Gua-Azotrans · DNA] complex is recovered for the short oligonucleotides upon exposure at 450 nm (Figure 3b,d), which indicates that the photoisomerization process could be reversed to give the trans isomer. Upon cis-to-trans photoisomerization with stDNA, the bisignate ICD from [Gua-Azocis · DNA] turns into a positive monosignate signal, red-shifted ($\Delta \lambda_{max} = 27$ nm) and with a λ_{max} similar to the one observed for [Gua-Azo_{trans} · DNA] (Figure 2f, 3f), which indicates that the photoisomerization occurs when the ligand is bound to DNA. In terms of comparison, Baigl et al. pointed out that other guanidinium-azobenzene derivatives possessing either one or two terminal guanidinium groups (with a flexible spacer between the guanidinium group and the azobenzene) also bind via non-covalent interactions with different DNAs.^[28] In this case, only the bis-terminated compound exhibited ICD bands in its trans conformation,



10.1002/chem.201704538

indicating the importance of the geometrical arrangement of the ligands in the assembly with the DNA (see below).

Gua-Azotrans 365 nm Gua-Azocis + DNA [Gua-Azocis · DNA]



Figure 3. Changes in UV-Vis (left) and CD (right) spectra of several DNA/Gua-Azo mixtures at 20 °C in MQ-Water upon illumination at 365 nm and at 450 nm: (a-b) dT_{40}/Gua -Azo at 1:50 molar ratio; (c-d) dsR_{20}/Gua -Azo at 1:50 molar ratio; and (e-f) stDNA_{bp}/Gua-Azo at 1:1 molar ratio (in base pair/ligand ratio).

In situ trans \rightarrow cis \rightarrow trans photoisomerization. A solution of the complex [Gua-Azotrans - DNA] was illuminated at 365 nm for 5 minutes. The CD spectra showed the disappearance of the ICD bands, typical of the [Gua-Azocis - DNA] complex (Fig. S18), which indicates that the photoisomerization from trans \rightarrow cis occurred in interaction with DNA (in situ). We then tested a subsequent $cis \rightarrow trans$ photoisomerization in situ, by illuminating the same solution for 15 minutes at 450 nm. We noticed that the ICD signal typical of [Gua-Azotrans · DNA] was not completely recovered, even after longer illumination times (up to 90 min, Fig. S19). Regarding the UV-Vis absorption spectra, we observed that there is lesser extent of red-shift of the maximum absorption band when both photoisomerization processes (trans \rightarrow cis and then cis \rightarrow trans) are realized in interaction with DNA, which may indicate structural changes of the complex after a complete photoisomerisation cycle (see below).

DNA binding modes: docking studies

We performed docking studies to compare the affinity and the binding modes of **Gua-Azo** with double-stranded DNA (using a genetic algorithm in the AutoDock4 package on the dsR_{20}

sequence, see protocol in the Supporting Information), for both *cis* and *trans* stereoisomers. The most energetically favorable docking solution for each **Gua-Azo/DNA** complex is depicted in Figure 4. The calculated binding energy is more stable for the [**Gua-Azo_{trans}** · DNA] complex ($E_b = -9.99$ kcal/mol) than for the [**Gua-Azo_{cis}** · DNA] complex ($E_b = -8.82$ kcal/mol). Although **Gua-Azo** isomers are both adsorbed in the DNA minor grooves, their binding modes and interactions at play are different, which lead to these differences in stability (see next section), and also on the DNA sequence over which the isomers are adsorbed, as described below for each isomer in these energetically-favorable docking solutions.

Binding modes of trans isomer of Gua-Azo. The nearest DNA bases of dsR20 for Gua-Azotrans (i.e. located at a maximum distance of 4.0 Å), are 5'-...⁷GTAAATCG¹⁴...-3' (3'-...³⁵GCATTTA²⁹...-5'), see Figure 4. Remarkably, the shape of Gua-Azo in trans configuration perfectly accommodates the DNA minor-groove, see Figure 4 center in which Gua-Azo is shown in van der Waals spheres. We noticed two main interaction sites between Gua-Azotrans and DNA (Figure 4 left): 1) one strong H-bond between the polar hydrogen of the acylhydrazone group of Gua-Azo (HN1 atom, see numbering Figure 4 top) and the nucleobase oxygen of T32 residue (Figure 4a), with optimal H-bond parameters (distance of 2.2 Å and angle of 158° between donor-hydrogen-acceptor atoms); 2) a pattern of hydrogen-bonds and electrostatic interactions involving the guanidinium moiety with DNA. The HN2 atom is Hbonded to a phosphate oxygen of the C13 residue (distance 3.5 Å and angle 150°, see Figure 4b), and HN3 is H-bonded to the sugar oxygen of the same residue, with optimal geometrical parameters of 3.0 Å and 175° (Figure 4c). Concerning the nitrogen bearing two hydrogen atoms (HN4), one chargeassisted H-bond was detected with the phosphate oxygen of the residue T32 (geometrical parameters: 2.4 Å and 151°, see Figure 4d).

Binding modes of cis isomer of Gua-Azo. The nearest bases of dsR₂₀ for Gua-Azo_{cis} are 5'-…¹⁰AATCGGT¹⁶…-3' (3'-...³³ATTTAG²⁸...-5'), *i.e.* a different preferential sequence site than Gua-Azotrans, see Figure 4. In contrast to the trans stereoisomer, the cis conformation yield to a partial desorption of the azobenzene moiety, as the phenyl at the extremity of Gua-Azo is outside the DNA minor-groove (Figure 4 right). The interactions between Gua-Azocis and DNA (see Fig. S20) are: 1) a H-bond between the polar hydrogen of the acylhydrazone group of Gua-Azocis (HN1) and the sugar oxygen of the residue T12; 2) H-bond between the HN3 hydrogen atom of the guanidinium moiety, and a sugar oxygen of the A29 residue, with non-optimal geometrical parameters (distance 3.1 Å, angle 132°, Figure S20). The same hydrogen participates also in Hbonding with a phosphate oxygen of the residue T30, again with non-optimal geometrical parameters (3.3 Å and 145°). Finally, the HN4a hydrogen atom is at a distance of 2.5 Å from an oxygen phosphate of the residue G15. The binding mode of the Gua-Azocis isomer can be therefore summarized in two anchor points: one weak H-bond that implicates the HN1 hydrogen atom, and another anchor point that involves several weak H-bonds of the guanidinium moiety, reinforced by electrostatic interactions.

10.1002/chem.201704538

WILEY-VCH



Figure 4. Center: most energetically favorable binding modes for the two Gua-Azo isomers with dsR₂₀ DNA. Center: Gua-Azo is depicted in van der Waals spheres and DNA as ribbons with bases as sticks. Left: close-up views for the main intermolecular interactions for Gua-Azotrans, in stick representation. Right: close-up views at the location of the azobenzene moiety for the two isomers. Water molecules are omitted for clarity.

Stability and dynamics of the complex DNA/photoswitch

The comparison of the interactions involved in docking solutions of [Gua-Azotrans · DNA] versus [Gua-Azocis · DNA] indicates that H-bonds are more optimal in terms of directionality in the [Gua-Azotrans · DNA] structure, thereby explaining why the Gua-Azotrans isomer has a higher affinity with DNA. When considering the ten energetically most favorable complexes obtained from our docking studies for both Gua-Azo isomers, our results show that the trans azobenzene stereoisomer of Gua-Azo is always found to be more stable: the calculated binding energy of the [Gua-Azotrans - DNA] goes from -9.99 to -9.51 kcal/mol for the 1st and 10th most stable complex, and from -8.82 to -7.15 kcal/mol for the corresponding [Gua-Azocis - DNA] complexes (see Table S2 in the Supporting Information). Let us note that, for Gua- $\textbf{Azo}_{trans},$ the docking scores for different sequence sites were estimated very close in energy, suggesting many ligands interacting along the same DNA structure, in agreement with the titration experiments. The 10th most stable [Gua-Azotrans · DNA] complex is indeed more stable than the 1st most stable [Gua-Azocis · DNA] complex, reinforcing clearly the preferential binding of the Gua-Azotrans isomer to DNA.

The difference of stability between the trans and cis isomers of Gua-Azo when bound to DNA is further shown by DNA melting temperature (T_m) experiments with stDNA, where we monitored the UV-Vis absorbance at 260 nm of the samples as a function of temperature (Fig. S25). The value of T_m is defined as the temperature for which the normalized absorbance equals 0.5; this value is ~ 50 °C for the pure stDNA in MilliQ water. When a solution of **Gua-Azo** in trans, this value goes up to 5°C ($T_m \sim$ 55 °C), whereas it goes up to 2°C when the Gua-Azo was primarily from *cis* ($T_m \sim 53$ °C). Besides, we noticed that, at temperatures higher than T_m , the plot for **Gua-Azo**_{trans} does not show a purely sigmoidal curve, and the ΔT_m between pure stDNA and [Gua-Azotrans - stDNA] further increases. When the same experiment was carried out after an in situ photoisomerisation of the complex, the curves show the same trend than for a non-irradiated mixture of [Gua-Azotrans · stDNA], with an increase of the melting temperature ($T_m \sim 59$ °C). Altogether, this shows the higher stability of the [Gua-Azotrans -DNA] compared to [Gua-Azocis - DNA].

1),

Photoswitching in DNA-templated heteromolecular complexes

Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations for the two complexes, starting from coordinates of the best docking poses for 100 ns The photo-control of the supramolecular association of Gua-Azo molecules onto DNA templates is attractive to modulate the assembly of other DNA binders. Recently, we have shown that a guanidinium-bispyrene derivative, GuaBiPy (Chart undergoes DNA-templated self-assembly through a combination of interaction with the phosphodiester DNA backbone and π stacking between pyrene moieties, with the pyrene moieties adopting a chiral organization within the complex.^[11] In order to evaluate the possibility of hetero-molecular complexes of guanidinium derivatives with DNA, mixtures of GuaBiPy and Gua-Azo were prepared in varying proportions but maintaining constant the total concentration of guanidinium compounds (Gua = GuaBiPy + Gua-Azo, the latter either in trans or cis). Each type of DNA was added to these mixtures using the same molar ratio than in the previous experiments. *i.e.* 1:50 molar ratio for both dT₄₀:Gua and dsR₂₀:Gua, and a 1:1 ratio in base pairs for stDNA:Gua (i.e. one quanidinium derivative per base pair). For mixtures with the oligonucleotides (dT₄₀ or dsR₂₀), the CD measurements show that, while both pure GuaBiPy and pure Gua-Azotrans interact with dT₄₀ and dsR₂₀, yielding ICD signals in the 320-400 nm range, the ICD signals become less intense than for pure GuaBiPy for the three different component mixtures (GuaBiPy:Gua-Azo 20:80, 50:50, 80:20) (Figs. S26-29). This indicates that Gua-Azotrans competes with GuaBiPy in terms of DNA binding. The shape of the ICD signals shows mixed signals between ICD of pure guanidinium derivatives, suggesting the formation of heteromolecular complexes with DNA. A weak ICD signal is maintained for the mixtures with Gua-Azocis only for large proportion of GuaBiPy within the mixture (i.e. for 50:50 and 80:20 in GuaBiPy:Gua-Azo). When the [ODN · GuaBiPy · Gua-Azocis] solutions are illuminated in situ at 450 nm (cis \rightarrow trans), the ICD signals remained weak, and are difficult to interpret. In contrast, with the long DNA template (stDNA), we observed

intense ICD signals for all the mixtures (Figure 5 and Figs. S30-31), with more intense signals for the 20:80 and 50:50 GuaBiPy:Gua-Azotrans complexes with stDNA than for the pure stDNA:GuaBiPy or pure stDNA:Gua-Azotrans. This may indicate a cooperative effect in the chiral organization of the ligands when these are interacting with long templates.^[46] Upon illuminating a Gua-Azo solution at 365 nm (trans \rightarrow cis) and then adding GuaBiPy and DNA, the ICD signal of the [stDNA · GuaBiPy · Gua-Azocis] mixture became less intense (except for the 80:20 in GuaBiPy:Gua-Azo, for which it remained constant, Fig. S31h), as the signature of the isomerization of Gua-Azo trans \rightarrow cis. The subsequent in situ illumination of this [stDNA \cdot GuaBiPy · Gua-Azocis] solution at 450 nm yield ICD signals typical of Gua-Azotrans with stDNA (Fig. S30f), indicative of the $cis \rightarrow trans$ isomerization even when the complex is composed of a mixed assembly along the DNA scaffold.^[47]



To further asses the differences in dynamics between these two

states when bound in the DNA minor-grooves, we performed





To further assess the effect of photoisomerization over the self-assembly of **Gua-Azo/GuaBiPy** along the DNA template, fluorescence spectroscopy was carried out, as the pyrene moieties are efficient fluorescent probes to assess DNA-templating effects. It was previously observed in the emission spectra that excimer emission **GuaBiPy** (maximum at around 470 nm) is favoured at the expense of monomer emission (peaking at 396 nm) upon interaction with single-stranded DNA, indicative of pure **GuaBiPy** templated self-assembly, stabilized by π -stacking of pyrenes.^[11,36]

In a three-component mixture [ODN \cdot GuaBiPy \cdot Gua-Azo], when Gua-Azo is in *trans*, the excimer emission is quenched compared to excimer emission of a solution of [ODN \cdot GuaBiPy], see Figs.S33-34. This is further illustrated by plots of excimer/monomer ratio as a function of the composition of GuaBiPy:Gua-Azo in the mixture (Figs. S35). This is also the case for mixtures with the long template [stDNA \cdot GuaBiPy \cdot Gua-Azo], showing a significant decrease in excimer emission with respect to a DNA-templated assembly of pure GuaBiPy (*i.e.* [stDNA \cdot GuaBiPy]), as observed in E/M ratio of Figure 6b (black squares vs. blue triangle). The weaker excimer emission of pyrenes observed in these three-component mixtures indicates that Gua-Azotrans competes with GuaBiPy in the assembly along the DNA template.



Figure 6. (a) Emission spectra (at λ_{exc} = 348 nm) of a mixture of stDNA:**GuaBiPy:Gua-Azo** (stDNA:ligand: 1:1 ratio in base pair, [**GuaBiPy**]=[**Gua-Azo**]). [stDNA]_{bp} = 122 µM in base pair; [**GuaBiPy**] + [**Gua-Azo**] = 120 µM.. (b) Plot of the intensity ratio of excimer emission (taken at 472 nm) to monomer emission (taken at 396 nm) as a function of the molar ratio in [**GuaBiPy**]:[**Gua-Azo**] in the mixtures.

The evolution of emission and spectra excimer/monomer ratio (E/M) for the different mixtures for а complete photoisomerization cycle has been monitored as a function of the composition GuaBiPy:Gua-Azo in the mixture, see Figure 6 (and Figs. S33-36 in the Supporting Information). While the excimer emission of GuaBiPy is lowered for short dT40 templates in presence of Gua-Azo (whatever the isomer of Gua-Azo), the situation is different for mixtures with long dsDNA templates (Figure 6a). When going from trans \rightarrow cis, we observed a recovery of the excimer emission of GuaBiPy: the E/M ratio of [stDNA · GuaBiPy · Gua-Azocis] are relatively close to that of pure GuaBiPy with this template, see Figure 6b (red dots and blue triangle). This indicates that Gua-Azocis is expelled – at least partially – upon trans \rightarrow cis photoisomerization because of lower affinity with dsDNA, and replaced by GuaBiPy, as sketched in Figure 7. When this mixture is then illuminated at 450 nm (*cis* \rightarrow *trans*), the excimer emission is quenched compared to monomer emission (E/M ratio <1), which indicates that Gua-Azo in trans replaces GuaBiPy in the templated assembly. Given the highly dynamic behaviour of these DNA-templated self-assemblies, the fact that this process is not fully reversible in terms of E/M ratio for a

10.1002/chem.201704538

WILEY-VCH

complete photoisomerization cycle (as for ICD signals of [DNA - **Gua-Azo**] complexes, see above) may indicate kinetic processes, with structural changes within the complex from the initial *trans* to the final *trans* **Gua-Azo** configuration after photoisomerization. These structural changes could also originate from DNA damages upon UVA irradiation,^[48-49] albeit we used a limited irradiation time (5 min with a LED at 365 nm) to perform photoisomerization of **Gua-Azo** with DNA. This is comparable to UVA irradiation times used in other DNA-binding studies with azonbenzene-based peptidomimetic ligand, for which the nativity of DNA was stated to be unchanged.^[30]

Let us highlight that the E/M ratio of [stDNA \cdot **GuaBiPy** \cdot **Gua-Azo**_{trans}] complexes are higher than E/M ratio obtained for complexes with dT₄₀ templates (Figure 6 and Fig. S34), which suggests a better π -stacked pyrenes arrangement along long dsDNA templates.



Figure 7. Sketch of the DNA-templated self-assembly of GuaBiPy/GuaAzo depending on the isomerization of Gua-Azo.

Conclusions

We have reported the design of a photoresponsive DNA ligand, **Gua-Azo**, which photo-isomerizes between two configurations – *cis* and *trans* – that have different binding modes and affinities to DNA. We can pinpoint that:

- Gua-Azo binds to the DNA minor groove when the azobenzene moiety is in *trans* configuration, which is detectable by characteristic chiroptical signals. Gua-Azo is particular in view of recently reported guanidinium-based DNA ligands,^[11,32] as it binds to the DNA minor-groove from two sites of interactions (acylhydrazone and guanidinium groups), with a crescent shape that accommodates helically to the DNA minor groove, as shown by docking studies;
- in contrast, the *cis* configuration is partially desorbed from DNA minor groove and has a lesser affinity towards DNA;
- when Gua-Azo is mixed with another guanidinium-based DNA ligand (GuaBiPy) in presence of DNA, the ligands form heteromolecular supramolecular complexes in a chiral organization along the template;

• the stacking propensity of GuaBiPy along the DNA, reflected by excimer emission of pyrenes, can be indirectly controlled through Gua-Azo photoisomerisation. This amounts to a primitive supramolecular network,^[50-53] where the structural photoswitching of one compound has a remote control over the DNA-templated assembly of another compound present in the chemical system, which is detected by unique fluorescence signal output changes.

Altogether, these results show that well-designed photoresponsive DNA binders can be exploited to *photo-modulate the supramolecular DNA assembly* in templated hetero-molecular complexes. Besides the ability of light-induced ligand redistribution,^[54] our approach could be exploited to tune the delivery of competitive small molecules DNA binders and address the dynamics of DNA-templated multicomponent assemblies. This could also serve to photo-manipulate DNA nanostructures (e.g. DNA origami) through a non-covalent, dynamic approach.^[55]

Experimental Section

Details of synthesis, characterization, preparation of samples, additional spectra, molecular modelling methodology and analysis, see Supplementary Information at...

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the COST Action CM 1304 (Emergence and Evolution of Complex Chemical Systems) for a Short Term Scientific Mission (STSM) of J. R.-M. in Montpellier. Research in Mons was supported by the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique – FNRS under the grants n° 1.B333.15F (CHIRNATES) and n° F.4532.16 (MIS-SHERPA). This work was also supported by the Science Policy Office of the Belgian Federal Government (BELSPO - PAI 7/5). J.R.-M., M.F., J.K., and M.S. are FNRS researchers. Research in Montpellier was supported by the LabEx CheMISyst (ANR-10-LABX-05-01).

Keywords: DNA-templating • supramolecular self-assembly • photoswitch • minor-groove binder •

- J.-P. Sauvage and M. W. Hosseini in Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry, Vol. 9, Pergamon (UK), 1996.
- [2] H. A. Becerril and A. T. Woolley, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 329.
- [3] C. K. McLaughlin, G. D. Hamblin and H. F. Sleiman, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 5647.
- [4] a) M. Surin, P. G. A. Janssen, R. Lazzaroni, P. Leclère, E. W. Meijer and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Adv. Mater.* 2009, *21*, 1126. b) A. Ruiz-Carretero, P. G. A. Janssen, A. Kaeser and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, *47*, 4340. c) J. Lin, M. Surin, D. Beljonne, X. Lou, J. L. J. van Dongen and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Chem. Sci.* 2012, *3*, 2732.
- [5] J. Niu, R. Hili and D. R. Liu, *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 282.
- [6] M. Surin, *Polym. Chem.* **2016**, *7*, 4137.
- [7] Z.-G. Wang and B. Ding, Adv. Mat. 2013, 25, 3905.

- [8] E. Busseron, Y. Ruff, E. Moulin and N. Giuseppone, Nanoscale 2013, 5, 7098.
- [9] G. Sargsyan, B. M. Leonard, J. Kubelka and M. Balaz, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 1878.
- [10] S. M. D. Watson, M. A. Galindo, B. R. Horrocks and A. Houlton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6649.
- [11] D. Paolantoni, J. Rubio-Magnieto, S. Cantel, J. Martinez, P. Dumy, M. Surin and S. Ulrich, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 14257.
- [12] a) P. G. A. Janssen, A. Ruiz-Carretero, D. Gonzalez-Rodriguez, E. W. Meijer and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2009, *48*, 8103. b) Ruiz-Carretero, P. G. Janssen, A. L. Stevens, M. Surin, L. M. Herz and A. P. H. J. Schenning, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, *47*, 884.
- [13] X. Yan, F. Wang, B. Zheng and F. Huang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 6042.
- [14] Y. N. Teo and E. T. Kool, Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 4221.
- [15] W. Szymański, J. M. Beierle, H. A. V. Kistemaker, W. A. Velema and B. L. Feringa, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113*, 6114.
- [16] P. Besenius, J. Polym. Sci. A Polym. Chem. 2017, 55, 34.
- [17] G. Mayer and A. Heckel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4900.
- [18] M. Balaz, S. Tannir and K. Varga, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2017, 349, 66.
- [19] X. Tang and I. J. Dmochowski, *Mol. BioSyst.* 2007, *3*, 100.
- [20] C. Garcia-Iriepa, M. Marazzi, L. M. Frutos and D. Sampedro, *RSC Adv.* 2013, 3, 6241.
- [21] Y. Yan, X. Wang, J. I. L. Chen and D. S. Ginger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8382.
- [22] E. Sengupta, Y. Yan, X. Wang, K. Munechika and D. S. Ginger, ACS Nano 2014, 8, 2625.
- [23] H. Asanuma, X. Liang, H. Nishioka, D. Matsunaga, M. Liu and M. Komiyama, *Nat. Prot.* 2007, 2, 203.
- [24] A. A. Beharry and G. A. Woolley, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 4422.
- [25] H. M. D. Bandara and S. C. Burdette, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 1809.
- [26] C. Dugave and L. Demange, *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2475.
- [27] Y. Nakasone, H. Ooi, Y. Kamiya, H. Asanuma and M. Terazima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 9001.
- [28] H. Asanuma, T. Ito, T. Yoshida, X. Liang and M. Komiyama, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2393.
- [29] X. Liang, T. Mochizuki and H. Asanuma, Small 2009, 5, 1761.
- [30] S. Schimka, S. Santer, N. M. Mujkić-Ninnemann, D. Bléger, L. Hartmann, M. Wehle, R. Lipowsky and M. Santer, *Biomacromolecules* 2016, *17*, 1959.
- [31] J. Andersson, S. Li, P. Lincoln and J. Andreasson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11836.
- [32] A. Bergen, S. Rudiuk, M. Morel, T. Le Saux, H. Ihmels and D. Baigl, Nano Letters 2016, 16, 773.
- [33] J. M. Priegue, D. N. Crisan, J. Martinez-Costas, J. R. Granja, F. Fernandez-Trillo and J. Montenegro, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 7492.
- [34] E. Bartolami, Y. Bessin, N. Bettache, M. Gary-Bobo, M. Garcia, P. Dumy and S. Ulrich, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 9427.
- [35] E. Bartolami, Y. Bessin, V. Gervais, P. Dumy and S. Ulrich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 10183.

- [36] C. Bouillon, D. Paolantoni, J. C. Rote, Y. Bessin, L. W. Peterson, P. Dumy and S. Ulrich, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, 20, 14705.
- [37] C. Gehin, J. Montenegro, E.-K. Bang, A. Cajaraville, S. Takayama, H. Hirose, S. Futaki, S. Matile and H. Riezman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9295.
- [38] E. I. Geihe, C. B. Cooley, J. R. Simon, M. K. Kiesewetter, J. A. Edward, R. P. Hickerson, R. L. Kaspar, J. L. Hedrick, R. M. Waymouth and P. A. Wender, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 13171.
- [39] T. A. Theodossiou, A. Pantos, I. Tsogas and C. M. Paleos, *ChemMedChem* 2008, 3, 1635.
- [40] P. A. Wender, W. C. Galliher, E. A. Goun, L. R. Jones and T. H. Pillow, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 2008, 60, 452.
- [41] E. A. Goun, T. H. Pillow, L. R. Jones, J. B. Rothbard and P. A. Wender, *ChemBioChem* 2006, 7, 1497.
- [42] R. Qi, S. Wu, H. Xiao, L. Yan, W. Li, X. Hu, Y. Huang and X. Jing, J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 18915.
- [43] D. Paolantoni, S. Cantel, P. Dumy and S. Ulrich, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2015, 16, 3609.
- [44] E. Bartolami, J. Knoops, Y. Bessin, M. Fossepré, J. Chamieh, P. Dumy, M. Surin and S. Ulrich, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 14323. b) N. Kanfar, A. Mehdi, P. Dumy, S. Ulrich, J. Y. Winum, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017; c) N. Kanfar, M. Tanc, P. Dumy, C. T. Supuran, S. Ulrich and J. Y. Winum, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 6788. d) W. Drożdż, C. Bouillon, C. Kotras, S. Richeter, M. Barboiu, S. Clément, A. R. Stefankiewicz and S. Ulrich, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201703868.
- [45] N. Holmgaard List, J. Knoops, J. Rubio-Magnieto, J. Idé, D. Beljonne, P. Norman, M. Surin and M. Linares, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14947-14953.
- [46] J. Rubio-Magnieto, M. Kumar, P. Brocorens, J. Idé, S.J. George, R. Lazzaroni and M. Surin, *Chem. Comm.* 2016, 52, 13873.
- [47] Note that the change in the order of addition of GuaBiPy for the [stDNA-GuaBiPy-Gua-Azo] mixture (*i.e.* addition of stDNA to the mixtures of GuaBiPy and Gua-Azo or addition to GuaBiPy directly to the preformed [stDNA:Gua-Azo] complex) forms mixtures with quite similar chiroptical signatures, regardless the Gua-Azo configuration (Fig. S32 in the Supplementary Information).
- [48] X. Fang, N. Ide, S.-I. Higashi, Y. Kamei, T. Toyooka, Y. Ibuki, K. Kawai, H. Kasai, K. Okamoto, S. Arimoto-Kobayashi and T. Negishi, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2014, 13, 1338.
- [49] E. Sage, P.-M. Girard and S. Francesconi, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2012, 11, 74.
- [50] N. Giuseppone and J.-M. Lehn, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1715.
- [51] S. Ulrich and J.-M. Lehn, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 5640.
- [52] G. Vantomme, S. Jiang and J.-M. Lehn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9509.
- [53] J.-M. Lehn, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3276.
- [54] D. V. Berdnikova, T. M. Aliyeu, T. Paululat, Y. V. Fedorov, O. A. Fedorova and H. Ihmels, *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 4906.
- [55] J. Brglez, P. Nikolov, A. Angelin and C. M. Niemeyer, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 9440-9446.

WILEY-VCH