HAL
open science

A simple proof of the Wirsching-Goodwin representation of integers connected to 1 in the 3x + 1 problem

Jean-Jacques Daudin

To cite this version:

Jean-Jacques Daudin. A simple proof of the Wirsching-Goodwin representation of integers connected to 1 in the 3x + 1 problem. 2017. hal-01664084v1

HAL Id: hal-01664084

https://hal.science/hal-01664084v1

Preprint submitted on 14 Dec 2017 (v1), last revised 17 Jan 2018 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A simple proof of the Wirsching-Goodwin representation of integers connected to 1 in the $3x+1$ problem.

J.J. Daudin

December 13, 2017

Summary. *A proof of the Wirsching-Goodwin representation of integers connected to 1 in the $3x+1$ problem (see [3] and [2]) with elementary mathematics.*

1 Basic elements

1.1 Definitions

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Direct algorithm

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 3n+1 & if \quad n \equiv 1 \mod 2 \\ n/2 & if \quad n \equiv 0 \mod 2 \end{cases}$$

Inverse algorithm

$$U(n) = \left\{ 2n \quad and \quad \frac{n-1}{3} \quad if \quad n \equiv 4 \mod 6 \right\}$$

Graph $G(n)$

Let $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. n_1 and n_2 are connected by an edge if $n_1 = T(n_2)$ or $n_2 = T(n_1)$. $G(n)$ is the subset of the integers connected to n.

Conjecture "$3x+1$"

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists k \in \mathbb{N} : T^k(n) = 1$. An equivalent assertion is $G(1) = \mathbb{N}$.

2 Restriction to odd integers

2.1 f and h

If the "$3x+1$" conjecture is true for the odd integers it is also true for the even ones by definition of T. The expressions of T and U restricted to odd terms are the following with n odd:

- T becomes f: $f(n) = (3n+1)2^{-j(3n+1)}$ with $j(3n+1)$ is the power of 2 in the prime factors decomposition of $3n+1$. f is often called the "Syracuse function".
- U becomes h, see[1]:

$$h(n) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & if \quad n \equiv 0 \mod 3 \\ \frac{n2^k-1}{3}, k = 2,4,6... & if \quad n \equiv 1 \mod 3 \\ \frac{n2^k-1}{3}, k = 1,3,5... & if \quad n \equiv 2 \mod 3 \end{cases}$$

The proof of the expression of h needs the following lemma.

Lemma 1.

$$2^k \overset{\text{mod } 3}{\equiv} \begin{cases} 2 & if \quad k \quad odd \\ 1 & if \quad k \quad even \end{cases}$$

Proof. $(2^k \equiv 1 \mod 3) \Rightarrow (2^k = 3x+1 \text{ with } x \in \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow 2^{k+1} = 3.2x+2 \Rightarrow (2^{k+1} \equiv 1 \mod 3)$.

$(2^k \equiv 2 \mod 3) \Rightarrow (2^k = 3x+2 \text{ with } x \in \mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow 2^{k+1} = 3(2x+1)+1 \Rightarrow (2^{k+1} \equiv 2 \mod 3)$.

$(2^0 \equiv 1 \mod 3) \Rightarrow (2^{2k} \equiv 1 \mod 3)$ and $(2^{2k+1} \equiv 2 \mod 3)$ □

The expression of h comes from the following:

$$f(n) = (3n+1)2^{-j(3n+1)} \Rightarrow n = \frac{f(n)2^{j(3n+1)}-1}{3} \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1}$$

There are 3 cases

- $(f(n) \equiv 0 \mod 3)$ (1) is impossible,
- $(f(n) \equiv 1 \mod 3) \Rightarrow f(n) = 3x+1$ with $x \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(n)2^{j(3n+1)}-1}{3} &= \frac{(3x+1)2^{j(3n+1)}-1}{3} \\ &= \frac{3x.2^{j(3n+1)}+2^{j(3n+1)}-1}{3} \\ &\in \mathbb{N} \quad if \quad j(3n+1)\ even \quad (lemma1) \end{aligned}$$

- $(f(n) \equiv 2 \mod 3) \Rightarrow f(n) = 3x + 2$ with $x \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f(n)2^{j(3n+1)} - 1}{3} &= \frac{(3x+2)2^{j(3n+1)} - 1}{3} \\ &= \frac{3x.2^{j(3n+1)} + 2^{j(3n+1)+1} - 1}{3} \\ &\in \mathbb{N} \quad if \quad j(3n+1)\ odd \quad (lemma1)\end{aligned}$$

2.2 Graph $g(n)$

Let (n_1, n_2) be odd integers. n_1 and n_2 are connected by an edge if $n_1 = f(n_2)$ or $n_1 = f(n_2)$. $g(n)$ is the subset of the odd integers connected to n.

3 Properties of $g(1)$

3.1 Expression of $n \in g(1)$ as a sum of fractions

Theorem 1. *Let* $n \in g(1)$. $\exists (b, a > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0) \in \mathbb{N}^{b+2}$:

$$n = \frac{2^a}{3^b} - \sum_{i=1,b} \frac{2^{u_i}}{3^{b-i+1}}.$$

Note that $\frac{2^a}{3^b} \geq 1 \Rightarrow a \geq b\frac{log3}{log2}$.

Proof. $n \in g(1) \Leftrightarrow \exists b : n \in h^{(b)}(1)$. The proof use induction with b. Theorem 1 is true for $b = 1$ because $h(1) = \left\{\frac{2^k-1}{3}, k = 2, 4, 6..., \right\}$, and for $b = 2$ because

$$\begin{aligned}h^{(2)}(1) &\subset \left\{\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2^{k_1}-1}{3}2^{k_2} - 1\right), k_1 = 2, 4, 6...; k_2 \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\ &\subset \left\{\frac{2^{k_1+k_2}}{3^2} - \frac{2^{k_2}}{3^2} - \frac{2^0}{3}, k_1 = 2, 4, 6...; k_2 \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

Assume that theorem 1 is true for $l \leq b - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}h^{(b)}(1) &\subset \left\{\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(\frac{2^a}{3^{b-1}} - \sum_{i=1,b-1} \frac{2^{u_i}}{3^{b-i}}\right)2^k - 1\right], (a > u1 > ...u_{b-1} = 0, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{b+1}\right\} \\ &\subset \left\{\frac{2^{a+k}}{3^b} - \sum_{i=1,b-1} \frac{2^{u_i+k}}{3^{b-i+1}} - \frac{2^0}{3}, (a > u1 > ...u_{b-1} = 0, k) \in \mathbb{N}^{b+1}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

The last expression has the form claimed in theorem 1. □

Note that k_1 is even but k_l may be odd or even for $l > 1$. Thus $a - u_1$ is even. If $a - u_1 = 2$, $h(1) = 1$, so the first "interesting" value is $a - u_1 = 4$.

Proof. An alternative proof of theorem 1 using $f : n \in g(1) \Leftrightarrow \exists b \in \mathbb{N} : f^b(n) = 1$. b is the number of odd integers (excluding 1) in the sequence from n to 1.

Induction with b:

Let $b = 1$. $3n + 1 = 2^{j(3n+1)}x$ a partial prime factors decomposition of $3n + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= (3n+1)2^{-j(3n+1)} \\ &= 2^{j(3n+1)}x2^{-j(3n+1)} \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

$$b = 1 \Rightarrow f(n) = 1 \Rightarrow x = 1 \Rightarrow 3n + 1 = 2^{j(3n+1)} \Rightarrow n = \frac{2^{j(3n+1)}}{3} - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Let $b = 2$. $b = 2 \Rightarrow f(f(n)) = 1 \Rightarrow f(n) = \frac{2^{j(3f(n)+1)}}{3} - \frac{1}{3}$.

$$f(n) = (3n+1)2^{-j(3n+1)} \Rightarrow (3n+1)2^{-j(3n+1)} = \frac{2^{j(3f(n)+1)}}{3} - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Therefore

$$n = \frac{2^{j(3n+1)+j(3f(n)+1}}{3^2} - \frac{2^{j(3n+1)}}{3^2} - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Assuming that the theorem is true till $b - 1$ we have to prove that it is true for b.

$$f(n) = (3n+1)2^{-j(3n+1)} = \frac{2^a}{3^{b-1}} - \sum_{i=1,b-1} \frac{2^{u_i}}{3^{b-i}}.$$

Therefore

$$n = \frac{2^{a+j(3n+1)}}{3^b} - \sum_{i=1,b-1} \frac{2^{u_i+j(3n+1)}}{3^{b-i+1}} - \frac{1}{3}.$$

□

Note that the general form of u_i is thus $u_{b-i} = \sum_{l=1,i} j[3f^{(l-1)}(n)+1]$, with $f^{(0)} = Id$, and $a = \sum_{l=1,b} j[3f^{(l-1)}(n) + 1]$.

3.2 Admissible tuple $(b, a > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0)$

Only some values of $(b, a > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0)$ give an integer n in theorem 1, most of them do not.

Definition 1. *A tuple* $(b, a \geq b\frac{log3}{log2}, a > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0)$ *of* $b + 1$ *integers is admissible if* $\frac{2^a}{3^b} - \sum_{i=1,b} \frac{2^{u_i}}{3^{b-i+1}} \in \mathbb{N}$.

The admissible parity of $u_i - u_{i+1}$ is determined by the remainder modulo 3 of the integer obtained at step i (see the definition of h in section 2.1).

Lemma 2. *Let* $\frac{n2^k-1}{3} \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\frac{n2^k-1}{3} \equiv v \mod 3$. *Then* $\frac{n2^{k+2}-1}{3} \equiv v + 1 \mod 3$.

The lemma indicates that v is a periodic function of k with period 6: $\frac{n2^k-1}{3} \equiv \frac{n2^{k+6}-1}{3} \mod 3$.

Proof. $\frac{n2^k-1}{3} \equiv v \mod 3 \Rightarrow \frac{n2^k-1}{3} = 3x+v$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n2^{k+2}-1}{3} &= 4\frac{n2^k-1}{3}+1 \\ &= 4(3x+v)+1 \\ &\equiv v+1 \mod 3 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_1 = \frac{n2^k-1}{3} \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then $\forall l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{n_1 2^l-1}{3} \notin \mathbb{N}$.*

The lemma indicates that if $(b, a > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0)$ is admissible and k has not the correct parity, the tuple $(b+1, a+k > u_1+k > u_2+k, .. > u_b+k, u_{b+1} = 0)$ is not admissible and all tuples based on it are also not admissible. Conversely, if $(b, a > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0)$ is admissible, $(b-1, a-u_{b-1} > u_1-u_{b-1} > u_2-u_{b-1}, ... > u_{b-1}-u_{b-1} = 0)$ is also admissible and all such successive reduced tuples till $(1, a-u_1 > u_1-u_1 = 0)$

Proof. $n_1 = \frac{n2^k-1}{3} = \frac{p}{3}$, with p and 3 relatively prime. $\frac{n_1 2^l-1}{3} = \frac{\frac{p}{3}2^l-1}{3} = \frac{p2^l-3}{9}$. Suppose that $\frac{p2^l-3}{9} = x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $p2^l = 9x+3$ that is impossible because p and 3 are relatively prime. □

3.3 Tree structure of $g(1)$

Lemma 4. *$g(1)$ is a tree with an additionnal loop in its root 1.*

Proof. Let h^* be a modified version of h: $h^*(1) = \frac{n2^k-1}{3}, k = 4, 6, 8...$,

$g^*(1) = \left\{1 \cup h^*(1) \cup h[h^*(1)], .. \cup h^{(l)}[h^*(1)]..\right\}$. The case $n \in h(n_1) \cap h(n_2)$ with $n_1 \neq n_2$, is impossible because there is only one $f(n)$. Thus $g^*(1)$ is a tree because any $n \in g^*(1)$ cannot have two different parents. $g(1)$ is equal to $g^*(1)$ with a supplementary loop at node 1. □

The following definition 2 and proposition 1 are not nessessary for the proof of theorem 3 and may be skipped.

Definition 2. *$g^*(1)[t,s] \subset g^*(1)$ is the graph generated by the admissible tuples with $b \leq t$, $4 \leq a-u_1 \leq 2+6s$ and $u_i - u_{i+1} \leq 6s$.*

Proposition 1. *$|g^*(1)[t,s]| = 1 + \frac{3s[(2s)^t-1]}{2s-1}$, with $|A|$ is the cardinal of the set A.*

Proof. Lemma 2 implies that for each node of the tree there are $3s$ admissible children of which $2s$ have children. □

Note that with $s = 1$ one obtains that the ratio of integers pertaining to $g^*(1)[t,1]$ and less than $\max(g^*(1)[t,1]) \simeq \frac{2^{2+6t}}{3^t}$ is greater than $\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{2^5}{3}\right)^t$.

Lemma 5. $2^{2.3^k} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod 3^{k+1}$

Proof. By induction. The lemma is true for $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ because $2^2 - 1 = 3$ and $2^6 - 1 = 63 = 3^2 7$. Assume that lemma is true till $k-1$, with $\left(2^{2.3^{k-1}} - 1\right) = 3^k x$ with $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{2.3^k} - 1 &= \left(2^{2.3^{k-1}}\right)^3 - 1 \\
&= \left(2^{2.3^{k-1}} - 1\right)\left[\left(2^{2.3^{k-1}}\right)^2 + \left(2^{2.3^{k-1}}\right) + 1\right] \\
&= 3^k x\left[\left(2^{2.3^{k-1}}\right)^2 - 1 + \left(2^{2.3^{k-1}} - 1\right) + 3\right] \\
&= 3^k x\left[\left(2^{2.3^{k-1}} - 1\right)\left(2^{2.3^{k-1}} + 1\right) + 3^k x + 3\right] \\
&= 3^k x\left[3^k x\left(2^{2.3^{k-1}} + 1\right) + 3^k x + 3\right] \\
&= 3^{k+1} x\left[3^{k-1} x\left(2^{2.3^{k-1}} + 2\right) + 1\right]
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6. *Let $(b, u_0 > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0)$ an admissible tuple. Let $j < b$ and $u'_i = u_i + 2.3^{b-j-1}$ if $i \leq j$ and $u'_i = u_i$ if $i > j$. Then the tuple $(b, u'_0 > u'_1 > u'_2, ... > u'_b = 0)$ is admissible.*

Proof. Let $n = \frac{2^{u_0}}{3^b} - \sum_{i=1,b} \frac{2^{u_i}}{3^{b-i+1}}$, and $x = \frac{2^{u'_0}}{3^b} - \sum_{i=1,b} \frac{2^{u'_i}}{3^{b-i+1}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
x - n &= \left(\frac{2^{u_0}}{3^b} - \sum_{i=1,j} \frac{2^{u_i}}{3^{b-i+1}}\right)\left(2^{2.3^{b-j-1}} - 1\right) \\
&= \left(\frac{2^{u_0}}{3^b} - \sum_{i=1,j} \frac{2^{u_i}}{3^{b-i+1}}\right)\left(q 3^{b-j}\right) \\
&= q\left(\frac{2^{u_0}}{3^j} - \sum_{i=1,j} \frac{2^{u_i}}{3^{j-i+1}}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5 implies that $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and lemma 3 implies that the second term is integer, therefore x is integer. □

We introduce an alternative notation for the tuple $(b, u_0 > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0)$.

Let $v_i = u_{i-1} - u_i$, $i = 1, ...b$. The tuple $(b, \sum_{i=1,b} v_i, \sum_{i=2,b} v_i, ...v_b)$ is equal to the tuple $(b, u_0 > u_1 > u_2, ... > u_b = 0)$. The alternative notation for this tuple is $(b, v_1, v_2, ..., v_b)$.

Note that $v_i = j(3f^{(b-i)}(n) + 1)$, with n given by theorem1, see the second proof of theorem1.

Theorem 2. *Let $v_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 2, ...b$ with $1 \leq v_i \leq 2.3^{b-i}$ and $b > 1$. For each tuple $(v_2, v_3, ...v_b)$ $\exists v_1$ even with $4 \leq v_1 \leq 2.3^{b-1}$ such that $(b, \sum_{i=1,b} v_i, \sum_{i=2,b} v_i, ...v_b)$ is admissible.*

Proof. On one side the cardinal number of $\{v_2, ...v_b\}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} |\{v_2, ...v_b\}| &= \prod_{i=2,b} 2.3^{b-i} \\ &= 2^{b-1}3^{\sum_{i=2,b}(b-i)} \\ &= 2^{b-1}3^{\sum_{k=0,b-2} k} \\ &= 2^{b-1}3^{\frac{(b-2)(b-1)}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

On the other side the number of admissible $\{v_1 v_2, ...v_b\}$ nodes of $g^*(1)$ is equal to the product of the number of admissible nodes with children at each step excepted the last one with sterile nodes taken into account. At the first step v_1, this number is $\frac{2}{3}3^{b-1}$, at the step v_2 it is equal to $\frac{2}{3}3^{b-2}$, and so on till the last step with one admissible node (with or without child for this last step). The product is equal to $2^{b-1}3^{\frac{(b-2)(b-1)}{2}}$. The admissible nodes are different from each other because $g^*(1)$ is a tree, therefore there is a one to one function between the admissible nodes obtained with b steps and the tuple $\{v_1^*, v_2, ...v_b\}$ defined in the theorem. □

Example.

v1*	4	4	8	8	10	10	14	14	16	16	20	20
v2	3	5	2	6	1	5	4	6	1	3	2	4
v3	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1
n	17	35	75	2417	151	4849	...					1242755

Table 1: The 12 admissible tuples with $b = 3$

Among the possible sequences $(v_2, ...v_b)$ allowed by the Theorem 2 some are specially interesting such as the strictly ascending sequence $(f^{(i)}(n),\ i = 1, b-1)$ (see $n = 151$ in the above table as an example), given in the following corollary.

Corollary. $\forall b \in \mathbb{N},\ \exists n \in \mathbb{N}\ : \forall i \in (1, b-1),\ f^{(i)}(n) > f^{(i-1)}(n)$.

Proof. n is obtained with $v_i = 1,\ i = 2 : b$, and v_1 given by theorem 2 and lemma 6. □

The Wirsching-Goodwin representation of the nodes of $g(1)$ obtained with b steps (see [2]) may be now stated in the following theorem. Let $g^*(1, b) = \{n \in g(1)\ :\ f^{(b)} = 1$ and $f^{(b-1)} \neq 1\}$ and v_1^* the value of v_1 whose existence is proven in theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *There is a one to one relation between $g^*(1, b)$ with $b > 1$ and the set of the tuples $(b, v_1', v_2', ..., v_b')$ with $v_i' = v_i + 2.3^{b-i}c_i,\ c_i \in \mathbb{N}^*,\ v_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 2, ...b$ with $1 \leq v_i \leq 2.3^{b-i}$ and $4 \leq v_1 = v_1^* \leq 2.3^{b-1}$.*

Proof. Direct from theorem2 and lemma 6. □

References

[1] Livio Colussi. The convergence classes of Collatz function. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 412(39):5409–5419, 2011.

[2] J. R. Goodwin. The 3x+1 Problem and Integer Representations. *arXiv:1504.03040 [math.NT]*, 2015.

[3] G. Wirsching. On the combinatorial structure 3N+1 predecessor sets. *Discrete Mathematics*, 148:265–286, 1996.