

# Genome Sequence of a Toxin-Positive Clostridium difficile Strain Isolated from Murine Feces

Lucie Etienne-Mesmin, Benoit Chassaing, Oluwaseyi Adekunle, Lisa M. Mattei, Adrianne N. Edwards, Shonna M. Mcbride, Frederic D. Bushman, Andrew T. Gewirtz

### ▶ To cite this version:

Lucie Etienne-Mesmin, Benoit Chassaing, Oluwaseyi Adekunle, Lisa M. Mattei, Adrianne N. Edwards, et al.. Genome Sequence of a Toxin-Positive Clostridium difficile Strain Isolated from Murine Feces. Genome Announcements, 2017, 5 (14), 10.1128/genomeA.00088-17. hal-01658600

HAL Id: hal-01658600

https://hal.science/hal-01658600

Submitted on 25 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.







## Genome Sequence of a Toxin-Positive Clostridium difficile Strain Isolated from Murine Feces

Lucie Etienne-Mesmin,<sup>a</sup> Benoit Chassaing,<sup>a</sup> Oluwaseyi Adekunle,<sup>b</sup> Lisa M. Mattei,<sup>c</sup> Adrianne N. Edwards,<sup>d</sup> Shonna M. McBride,<sup>d</sup> Frederic D. Bushman,<sup>e</sup> Andrew T. Gewirtz<sup>a</sup>

Center for Inflammation Immunity and Infection, Institute for Biomedical Sciences, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA<sup>a</sup>; Immunology and Molecular Pathogenesis Graduate Program, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA<sup>b</sup>; PennCHOP Microbiome, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA<sup>c</sup>; Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Emory Antibiotic Resistance Center, Atlanta, Georgia, USA<sup>c</sup>; Department of Microbiology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA<sup>c</sup>

**ABSTRACT** Herein, we report the genome sequence of a *Clostridium difficile* strain isolated from the feces of antibiotic-treated C57BL/6 mice. We have named this strain, which differs considerably from those of the previously sequenced *C. difficile* strains, LEM1.

Cleading cause of nosocomial diseases in the United States (1, 2). *C. difficile*-induced disease is largely driven by the two exotoxins A (TcdA) and B (TcdB), which are part of a 19.6-kb pathogenicity locus (PaLoc), that contribute to diarrhea and severe colitis (3). Ablation of the gut microbiota with the use of antibiotics renders individuals susceptible to *C. difficile* infection (CDI) (4). In mice, CDI is modeled by antibiotherapy (antibiotic cocktail in drinking water *ad libitum* and intraperitoneal injection of clindamycin), followed by exposure to *C. difficile* spores (5). We have observed that following this treatment, a substantial percentage of antibiotic-treated C57BL/6 mice exhibited detectable levels of fecal *C. difficile* prior to infection (6).

Fecal samples tested positive for *C. difficile* upon antibiotherapy were plated onto taurocholate-cefoxitin-cycloserine-fructose agar (7, 8) and incubated anaerobically (48 h, 37°C) (9). Isolates that exhibited the characteristic *C. difficile* colony morphologies were further isolated on brain heart infusion agar supplemented with yeast extract and subsequently tested PCR positive for the presence of the *tcdB* gene (data not shown). *C. difficile* spores were prepared as described by Edwards et al. (10).

Genomic DNA was extracted from a pure spore preparation of *C. difficile* LEM1, according to the Pacific Biosciences phenol-chloroform protocol (PacBio), with the following modifications prior to DNA extraction: 10 min of incubation with 10% SDS (64°C), 3 min of bead beating with glass beads, and centrifugation (12,000 rpm, 5 min). DNA integrity was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis, and purity was verified by 260 and 280 nm optical density measurements on an Epoch BioTek instrument.

Complete genome sequencing of *C. difficile* LEM1 was carried out using single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing technology on a PacBio RSII (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA). Each library was loaded on SMRT cells (SMRT Cells 8Pac version 3) and sequenced using C4 chemistry. Genome assembly was performed with the HGAP.2 protocol (SMRT Analysis 2.3.0). The resulting preassembled consensus sequences were quality trimmed and then passed to the Celera Assembler. The assembly was refined by aligning all reads back to the assembly using BLASR. Quiver was used to call highly accurate consensus sequences. The polished genome was annotated using the RAST

**Received** 11 February 2017 **Accepted** 14 February 2017 **Published** 6 April 2017

Citation Etienne-Mesmin L, Chassaing B, Adekunle O, Mattei LM, Edwards AN, McBride SM, Bushman FD, Gewirtz AT. 2017. Genome sequence of a toxin-positive Clostridium difficile strain isolated from murine feces. Genome Announc 5:e00088-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00088-17.

**Copyright** © 2017 Etienne-Mesmin et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Lucie Etienne-Mesmin, letiennemesmin@gsu.edu. Etienne-Mesmin et al.

pipeline (11). A single circularized chromosomal contig was generated, with 4,191,506 bp in length and a G+C content of 28.8%. *C. difficile* LEM1 contains 4,118 predicted coding DNA sequences and 123 RNA genes, among which 33 are rRNAs and 90 are tRNAs.

Comparisons with the well-characterized *C. difficile* strain VPI 10463 revealed high sequence similarity (91 to 95%) but also unveiled unique sequences in the genome of *C. difficile* LEM1. Genome analysis of LEM1 PaLoc, based on established standards within the *C. difficile* field (12, 13), revealed that this strain is toxinotype 0, like VPI 10463. There are no differences in the TcdA and TcdB sequences themselves, nor in their respective promoters. *In vivo* and *in vitro* assays demonstrated that LEM1 produced a smaller amount of toxins than VPI 10463 (6). Multiple mobile elements related to phages were detected in the *C. difficile* LEM1 genome and may play a role in the pathogenesis of this strain.

**Accession number(s).** This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession no. CP019469 for *C. difficile* LEM1 and MUJV00000000 for *C. difficile* VPI 10463. The versions described in this paper are versions CP019469.1 and MUJV01000000 (which consists of sequences MUJV01000001 to MUJV01000002) for LEM1 and VPI 10463, respectively.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We are grateful for the excellent help from the sequencing core at PennCHOP Microbiome Center (University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA).

This work was supported by NIH grants DK099071 and DK083890 to A.T.G., and DK087763, Al109526, and Al116933 to S.M.M. B.C. is a recipient of the Career Development award from the Crohn's and Colitis Foundation of America (CCFA). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Kelly CP, LaMont JT. 2008. Clostridium difficile—more difficult than ever. N Engl J Med 359:1932–1940. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra0707500.
- Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati GK, Dunn JR, Farley MM, Holzbauer SM, Meek JI, Phipps EC, Wilson LE, Winston LG, Cohen JA, Limbago BM, Fridkin SK, Gerding DN, McDonald LC. 2015. Burden of Clostridium difficile infection in the United States. N Engl J Med 372: 825–834. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1408913.
- Rupnik M, Wilcox MH, Gerding DN. 2009. Clostridium difficile infection: new developments in epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:526–536. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2164.
- Kociolek LK, Gerding DN. 2016. Breakthroughs in the treatment and prevention of Clostridium difficile infection. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 13:150–160. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2015.220.
- Chen X, Katchar K, Goldsmith JD, Nanthakumar N, Cheknis A, Gerding DN, Kelly CP. 2008. A mouse model of *Clostridium difficile*-associated disease. Gastroenterology 135:1984–1992. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2008.09.002.
- Étienne-Mesmin L, Chassaing B, Adekunle O, Mattei LM, Bushman FD, Gewirtz AT. 20 February 2017. Toxin-positive Clostridium difficile latently infect mouse colonies and protect against highly-pathogenic C. difficile. [Epub ahead of print.] Gut https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313510.
- 7. George WL, Sutter VL, Citron D, Finegold SM. 1979. Selective and differ-

- ential medium for isolation of *Clostridium difficile*. J Clin Microbiol 9:214–219.
- Wilson KH, Kennedy MJ, Fekety FR. 1982. Use of sodium taurocholate to enhance spore recovery on a medium selective for *Clostridium difficile*. J Clin Microbiol 15:443–446.
- Edwards AN, Suárez JM, McBride SM. 2013. Culturing and maintaining Clostridium difficile in an anaerobic environment. J Vis Exp 79:e50787. https://doi.org/10.3791/50787.
- Edwards AN, Nawrocki KL, McBride SM. 2014. Conserved oligopeptide permeases modulate sporulation initiation in *Clostridium difficile*. Infect Immun 82:4276–4291. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02323-14.
- Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. BMC Genomics 9:75. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.
- 12. Rupnik M. 2010. *Clostridium difficile* toxinotyping. Methods Mol Biol 646:67–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-365-7\_5.
- Rupnik M, Avesani V, Janc M, von Eichel-Streiber C, Delmée M. 1998. A novel toxinotyping scheme and correlation of toxinotypes with serogroups of Clostridium difficile isolates. J Clin Microbiol 36:2240–2247.

Volume 5 Issue 14 e00088-17 genomea.asm.org **2**