

High-Flow-Rate Impinger for the Study of Concentration, Viability, Metabolic Activity, and Ice-Nucleation Activity of Airborne Bacteria

Tina Šantl-Temkiv, Pierre Amato, Ulrich Gosewinkel, Runar Thyrhaug, Anaïs Charton, Benjamin Chicot, Kai Finster, Gunnar Bratbak, Jakob Löndahl

▶ To cite this version:

Tina Šantl-Temkiv, Pierre Amato, Ulrich Gosewinkel, Runar Thyrhaug, Anaïs Charton, et al.. High-Flow-Rate Impinger for the Study of Concentration, Viability, Metabolic Activity, and Ice-Nucleation Activity of Airborne Bacteria. Environmental Science and Technology, 2017, 51 (19), pp.11224 - 11234. 10.1021/acs.est.7b01480. hal-01654827

HAL Id: hal-01654827 https://hal.science/hal-01654827v1

Submitted on 6 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	A high-flow-rate impinger for the study of concentration, viability, metabolic
2	activity, and ice nucleation activity of airborne bacteria
3	
4	Tina Šantl-Temkiv ^{1,2,3} *, Pierre Amato ⁴ , Ulrich Gosewinkel ⁵ *, Runar Thyrhaug ^{6Ω} , Anaïs
5	Charton ⁴ , Benjamin Chicot ⁴ , Kai Finster ^{2,3} , Gunnar Bratbak ⁶ , and Jakob Löndahl ¹
6	
7	¹ Lund University, Department of Design Sciences, Ergonomics and Aerosol Technology, P.O. box 118, SE-22100
8	Lund, Sweden
9	² Aarhus University, Stellar Astrophysics Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ny Munkegade 120, DK-
10	8000 Aarhus, Denmark
11	³ Aarhus University, Department of Bioscience, Microbiology Section, Ny Munkegade 116, DK-8000 Aarhus,
12	Denmark
13	⁴ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Institut de Chimie de Clermont-Ferrand, BP 10448, F-63000 Clermont-
14	Ferrand, France.
15	⁵ Aarhus University, Department of Environmental Science, Frederiksborgvej 399, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark
16	⁶ University of Bergen, Dept. of Biology, Thormøhlensgt. 53 A/B, PO box 7803, N-5020 Bergen, Norway.
17	
18	* Correspondence to: U Gosewinkel: Ulrich.Gosewinkel@envs.au.dk, tel: +45 871 58617; T
19	Šantl-Temkiv: temkiv@phys.au.dk, tel: + 45 871 68464
20	
21	$^{\Omega}$ We dedicate this paper to our colleague Runar Thyrhaug, who initiated the study, but sadly
22	passed away during its initial phase.

23 Abstract

24

25 The study of airborne bacteria relies on a sampling strategy that preserves their integrity and *in* 26 *situ* physiological state, e.g. viability, cultivability, metabolic activity, and ice-nucleation activity. 27 As ambient air harbours low concentrations of bacteria, an effective bioaerosol sampler should 28 have a high sampling efficiency and a high airflow. We characterize a high-flow-rate impinger 29 with respect to particle collection and retention efficiencies in the range 0.5- 3.0 µm, and we 30 investigated its ability to preserve the physiological state of selected bacterial species and 31 seawater bacterial community in comparison with 4 commercial bioaerosol samplers. The 32 collection efficiency increased with particle size and the cut-off diameter was between 0.5 and 1 33 um. During sampling periods of 120-300 min, the impinger retained cultivability, metabolic activity, viability, and ice-nucleation activity of investigated bacteria. Field studies in semi-urban, 34 35 high-altitude, and polar environments included periods of low bacterial air-concentrations thus 36 demonstrating the benefits of the impinger's high flow rate. In conclusion, the impinger 37 described here has many advantages compared with other bioaerosol samplers currently on the 38 market: a potential for long sampling time, a high flow rate, a high sampling and retention 39 efficiency, low costs, and applicability for diverse downstream microbiological and molecular 40 analyses.

42 1. Introduction

43 Knowledge on the activity and abundance of primary biological aerosol particles (PBAP) is 44 important for a variety of fields and applications, including atmospheric chemistry and physics, biogeography, meteorology, hospital hygiene, epidemiology as well as industry that is sensitive 45 to contamination, such as pharmaceutics or food processing. For the assessment of PBAP, a 46 47 sampling strategy is needed that preserves the integrity and *in situ* state of these particles. 48 Airborne bacteria are a particularly important group of PBAP. (i) They are potential human, 49 animal and plant pathogens¹. (ii) Airborne bacteria that maintain their metabolic activity can affect atmospheric chemical processes, in particular radical chemistry², carbon³⁻⁵ and nitrogen⁶ 50 51 cycles. (iii) Some bacterial species, such as Pseudomonas syringae, can be involved in cloud formation, thus influencing patterns of precipitation, meteorology and climate⁷⁻¹¹ due to 52 physiological properties like ice-nucleation activity¹² and biosurfactant production¹³. 53

54

Atmospheric concentrations of bacteria are much lower than in many other environments, 55 including deep marine and terrestrial subsurfaces¹⁴. It has been observed that there are on average 56 between 5 and 350 cells found per L of air over terrestrial and marine surfaces¹⁵. These low 57 58 bacterial concentrations imply sampling at high air flow rates (on the order of 100 L/min or 59 higher), and/or for prolonged duration (on the order of hours or days) in order to obtain sufficient 60 biomass for downstream analyses. Nevertheless, excessively long sampling time may deteriorate the physiological state of sampled bacterial cells¹⁶, and prevent the assessment of short-term 61 variability¹⁷. Consequently, bioaerosol sampling requires efficient particle collection at high flow 62 rate, while preserving biological properties of interest, such as cell integrity and metabolic 63 activity. 64

66 A number of samplers are available on the market for collection of bacteria on filters, on agar, or into liquid¹⁸. Filter samplers typically capture most of the biological material with a high 67 efficiency and can be adapted for the use at high flows¹⁹. However, cell properties are largely 68 affected by desiccation and other stresses over prolonged sampling time by air filtration²⁰. 69 70 Alternatives include the collection of microorganisms directly on nutrient plates for cultivation-71 dependent studies^{21–23}. However, aside from being biased towards the cultivable fraction of the 72 collected cells, this method impedes downstream community analysis using state-of-the-art 73 molecular approaches and single-cell analyses. Living biological material is therefore often 74 preferably sampled into a liquid (i.e. by impingement), which more efficiently preserves cell viability and allows fixation of cellular biological properties in real-time 20,24,25 . 75

76

Among the most common devices for sampling into liquid, both the all-glass impinger (AGI-30) and the SKC BioSampler use airflows of 12.5 L/min²⁴. There are also devices for sampling at higher flow rates, such as the XMX-CV that collects particles in the 1-10 μ m range at a flow rate of 530 L/min. However, due to evaporation of the sampling liquid, the total sampling duration cannot exceed 10 min according to user's manual. Hence, the current impingers appear poorly adapted to sampling airborne microorganisms in environments where their concentration is lowest, like at high altitude and in remote areas.

84

The objective of this study was to evaluate the use of a commercially available vacuum cleaner, which collects aerosols into a liquid, as a high-flow-rate impinger. We hypothesize that: (i) The performance of this impinger in terms of sampling and retention efficiency is comparable to currently available impingers for bio-aerosol sampling; (ii) The high-flow rate of the impinger allows it to be used in diverse environments, including pristine environments with low bacterial loads; (iii) The impinger preserves bacterial cultivability, viability, metabolic activity, and icenucleation activity; and (iv) The samples collected by the impinger are suitable for downstream
analyses.

93

- 94 **2.** Materials and Methods
- 95

96 **2.1 Description of the impinger and tests performed**

97

98 The characterized high-flow-rate impinger is a new application of a water based commercial 99 vacuum cleaner by Kärcher (Alfred Kärcher GmbH & Co. KG, Germany, SI-Figure 1). Air 100 samples were collected using the two models, DS5600, and its more recent version DS5800. The Kärcher impinger consists of an outer part that generates the suction, and an inner part, the 101 "vortex chamber"²⁶ that contains the sampling liquid. The air flow within the vortex chamber of 102 103 the Kärcher DS5600/DS5800 is illustrated in Figure 1. Both models operate by the same 104 principle, but the DS5800 has been slightly modified by the manufacturer, reducing the size of 105 the vortex chamber to facilitate higher airflow. The Kärcher impinger works as a high-flow-rate 106 aerosol collector: the air enters the vortex chamber and swirls through the sampling liquid, which 107 thereby captures the airborne particles and water-soluble gases. The sampling liquid is added by 108 lifting the lid of the vortex chamber. The air flow through the vortex chamber was measured 109 using a wind speed meter with a rotating vane sensor (Kimo LVB, Marne-la-Vallee, France) 110 connected to the inlet pipe. For measuring the flow, the impinger was run in the same way as 111 when collecting samples. The effect of different collecting liquids was evaluated, but did not have a large impact on the flow (data not presented). The flow was kept at $(0.8-0.9) \times 10^3$ L/min 112 during sampling when powered by generators in the field and at 3.1×10^3 L/min when connected 113

to the electrical circuit, which was close to the values provided by the manufacturer $(3.3 \times 10^3 \text{ L/min})$. For the model DS5800, the airflow rate specified by the manufacturer $(4.1 \times 10^3 \text{ L/min})$ was adopted, as it was run connected to the electrical circuit.

117

The samplers were validated using: (i) sampling efficiency laboratory tests, (ii) retention efficiency laboratory tests, and (iii) validation field tests. An overview of all experiments is presented in Table 1. Field tests were performed in Norway, France, and Greenland. In two of the tests commercially available bioaerosol samplers were used as references.

- 122
- 123 **2.2.** Cleaning and sterilizing the impinger
- 124

125 Samplers and sampling liquids were decontaminated prior to each assay by applying sterilization 126 and rinsing procedures depending on the application (Table 1). E.g. a simple rinsing (Milli-Q 127 H₂O rinse) was required when using microspheres for determining sampling efficiency, a mild 128 sterilization (consecutive Ethanol and Milli-Q H₂O rinses) was required when examining 129 retention and preservation efficiency with model bacteria, and a stronger sterilization procedure 130 was required when collecting environmental samples (consecutives rinses with either HCl / 131 sterile Milli-Q H₂O / 96% Ethanol or 10% HNO₃ / deionized H₂O / bleach / deionized sterile 132 H_2O). The different sterilization liquids were either applied manually or by running the impinger 133 for 5 min having the vortex chamber filled with the respective liquid. The filter that protects the 134 motor (filter in Figure 1) was rinsed with the same sterilization liquids and replaced to its original 135 position. When transported into the field, the containers were kept enclosed in sterile plastic bags 136 and opened just before use. All sampling solutions were autoclaved (1-3 times) and then filtered 137 (0.22 µm or 0.1 µm porosity) to remove particles. Sterility and absence of particulate contaminants were verified by collecting control samples at the start of each sampling event. For negative controls, the impinger was run for 5 min with the sampling solution, so that the liquid came into contact with the entire container. An aliquot of the sampling solution was then withdrawn from the chamber and analysed in parallel to the samples. In most cases the negative control was produced under sterile conditions, with the exception of samples collected in Greenland, where the negative control was taken in the field. For the latter negative controls, we present the values in SI-Figure 9A.

145

146 **2.3 Experimental evaluation of the impinger**

147

148 2.3.1. Collection efficiency using an aerosol chamber

149

150 The collection efficiency of the samplers was evaluated with monodisperse fluorescent 151 polystyrene microspheres (Corpuscular Inc., Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) of different diameters (500 nm, 790 nm, 1000 nm, 1400 nm, 2000 nm, and 3000nm) (Figure 1). The microspheres were 152 153 sprayed into an aerosol mixing chamber made of galvanized steel (diameter 0.5 m, volume 0.2 m³) and sampled with the impinger. Fluorescent microspheres were mixed by shaking and a brief 154 155 ultrasonication (~5 s) in an ultrasonic bath. Thereafter, the microspheres were diluted in Milli-Q (MO) water to a final concentration of $1.3 \times 10^7 - 1.8 \times 10^8$ beads mL⁻¹. The microsphere suspension 156 was fed into a sparging liquid aerosol generator at 60 mL h⁻¹ (SLAG, CH Technologies, Inc), 157 158 which creates a relatively high particle number concentration at an airflow of 12 L/min of aerosols that is stable over time²⁷. A high dilution, 3100 L/min, was needed in order to achieve 159 160 sufficient flow rates for the impinger. The collection efficiency was determined by measuring 161 particle concentration in the air and in the impinger liquid. The particle concentration in the

aerosol chamber was kept at the same order of magnitude as atmospheric concentrations of bacteria (SI-Figure 2): 270 ± 2 L⁻¹ for 500 nm microspheres (average \pm standard error of the mean), 41 ± 2 L⁻¹ for 790 nm microspheres, 198 ± 4 L⁻¹ for 1000 nm microspheres, 46 ± 1 L⁻¹ for 1400 nm microspheres, 84 ± 2 L⁻¹ for 2000 nm microspheres, and 32 ± 1 L⁻¹ for 3000 nm microspheres. The concentration was measured at one-minute time resolution and typically varied by $\pm 30\%$ over time with periods of low concentration when the microsphere solution was refilled.

169

170 Aerosolized fluorescent microspheres were collected from the aerosol chamber using impinger 171 model DS5600 for either 1 h or 5 hrs. Three 1.5 mL MQ water aliquots were taken as negative 172 controls before pouring the MQ water into the vortex chamber, and from the vortex chamber after 173 collecting clean air within the aerosol chamber for 5 min. During the 1 h tests, triplicate 1.5 mL 174 sub-samples were removed from sampling liquid every 10 min, and during the 5 h tests 1.5 mL sub-samples were withdrawn after 5, 30, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, and 300 min. Both negative 175 176 controls and samples were kept in the dark at 4°C before they were analysed by flow cytometry 177 (FC).

178

A scanning mobility particle sizer SMPS (10-900 nm particles, design: Lund University) and an aerodynamic particle sizer (0.5-20 µm particles, APS, model 3321, TSI Inc., US) were used to obtain the aerosol number concentrations aloft in the chamber. Usually, microspheres appeared as monodisperse particle number concentration peaks, which were integrated to determine airborne concentrations. These were used to infer the theoretical numbers of microspheres sampled by the impinger and to calculate size-resolved particle collection efficiencies by comparison with the 185 concentration derived from FC counts in the sampling liquid (c_{FCM}) as: Eff [%] = (c_{FC} / (c_{APS} 186 + c_{SMPS})) · 100.

- 187
- 188

189 2.3.2 Retention efficiency of the Kärcher impinger and of four bioaerosol impingers

190

191 The retention efficiency for the Kärcher impingers DS5600, DS5800 and four commercially 192 available bioaerosol impingers were assessed in either laboratory or field tests (Supporting 193 Information, SI, Section SI-1.1). The sampling liquid was spiked with an ice nucleation active (INA) Pseudomonas syringae 32b-74 (GenBank A.N. HQ256872)²⁸, Pantoea agglomerans 194 195 (ATCC 33243), Bacillus atrophaeus (ATCC 9372), or seawater bacterial community that was 196 collected in a sterile container just before the experiments. The retention efficiency was assessed by running the impingers for 2-5 hrs. The ability of the Kärcher DS5600 impinger to maintain 197 cell integrity was compared to four commercially available impingers (XMX-CV, SKC 198 199 BioSampler, SASS 2000PLUS, and SpinCon) in field tests, and the retention efficiency of 200 Kärcher DS5800 was texted in a laminar flow hood. The samples were assessed by FC for total 201 (Sybr Green I staining) and viable cell (Live/Dead staining) concentrations, colony forming units 202 (CFU) on R2A nutrient plates, protein synthesis activity measurements using tritium-labelled leucine²⁹, and ice nucleation activity (droplet-freezing assays) (Table 1, Supporting Information, 203 204 SI, Section SI-1.3).

205

206 2.4 Bioaerosol collection in ambient air

208 Bioaerosol sampling in ambient outdoor air was performed using Kärcher DS5800 impinger at 2 209 locations: from the roof of a building at the Clermont-Auvergne University campus "Les 210 Cézeaux" (45.76111 N, 3.116667 E), at ~4 m above the ground, and from the roof platform of the 211 atmospheric observatory of the Puy de Dôme mountain, nearby the city of Clermont-Ferrand 212 (45.77222 N, 2.96583 E, 1465 m asl) (Table 1). Sampling was performed for 3 to 12 consecutive 213 hours, with subsamples of the sampling liquid (sterile NaCl 0.9% solution) taken every hour in a 214 laminar flow hood. Before subsampling, evaporation losses were determined by weighing and 215 corrected by addition of sterile fresh sampling liquid or deionized water. For all calculations, we assumed 100% sampling efficiency and no significant cell loss from the sampling liquid (based 216 217 on the laboratory test: see Figures 2A and 4A).

218

219 Three air samples were collected in duplicates in southwest Greenland from the M500 peak (500 220 m above sea level, 64.12264 N, 51.36619 W) at the Nuuk Basic research station (Table 1). The Kärcher impinger was powered by generators, thus the air flow was kept at $(0.8-0.9) \times 10^3$ L/min 221 222 during the sampling period of 5 hrs, which resulted in the collection of aerosols from (1.30-1.45)×10⁵ L of air. The samples were collected into a high-salt solution, which is designed for 223 real-time preservation of sensitive RNA molecules³⁰. Duplicate samples were collected using two 224 225 Kärcher impingers DS5600 in order to evaluate sampling reproducibility. The samples and the 226 negative controls where concentrated onto SterivexTM filter units, and stored in presence of 1 mL of RNA later (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Massachusetts) as described by Lever *et al*³⁰. 227 228 The sampling solution was autoclaved twice and filter sterilized (0.1 µm, VacuCap 90 Devices, 229 Pall Corporation, New York). During sampling, evaporated water was replaced with sterile MQ 230 water.

232 **3.** Results and discussion

- 233
- 234 3.1 Sampling efficiency at different particle sizes
- 235



236

Figure 1: (Left) Model of the airflow in the Kärcher DS5800 impinger. Aerosol enters by the inlet (on the left), impacts and rotates through the liquid at the bottom, and leaves through an outlet on the top. Filter has the function of sealing the vortex chamber and protecting the motor of the vacuum. (Right) Schematic of the experimental setup deployed for sampling efficiency tests. SLAG stands for Sparging Liquid Aerosol Generator, APS for Aerodynamic Particle Sizer, and SMPS for Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer.

242

The collection efficiency and cut-off diameters of the sampler were assessed by sampling microspheres of defined size and known concentration from an aerosol chamber. The collection efficiency (Figure 2A) increased with increasing microsphere diameter, with $25\pm5\%$ for 500 nm microspheres and $69\pm17\%-199\pm28\%$ for larger particles. Based on these experiments we estimated a cut-off diameter of between 0.5 and 1 µm. Efficiencies higher than 100 % are likely due to microsphere doublets causing the APS to underestimate their actual number concentration in air. Thus, there may be an overall overestimate of sampling efficiency. There is an additional uncertainty from particle losses at the sampling inlets and heterogenous particle mixing, aslaminar homogenous isokinetic sampling was not possible to achieve at these high flow rates.

252

There was no saturation with microspheres in the sampling liquid over a sampling period of 5 hours at microsphere air concentrations comparable to high bacterial concentrations in ambient air (~100 L⁻¹). Figure 2B confirms that the concentrations of microspheres with a diameter of 500 nm and 1400 nm in the sampling liquid increased linearly with sampling time (1400 nm: $R^2=0.97$, p < 0.001, 500 nm: $R^2=0.97$, p < 0.001).

258

Collecting subsamples of the sampling liquid at dedicated times allowed us to follow the particle number concentration in air at various time resolutions, which were higher than achievable with most bioaerosol samplers (Figure 2D). The concentrations measured in the collection liquid of the impinger reflected the airborne particle number concentrations measured with the APS, within an order of magnitude (Figure 2C). At bacterial number concentrations of ~100 L⁻¹, which is at the higher end of bacterial cell concentrations in outdoor air¹⁵, sampling for analyses at a time resolution of 30 min was feasible.



268 Figure 2: Five-hour sampling tests of fluorescent microspheres in an aerosol chamber at relevant particle number concentrations (32-270 L⁻¹ for 2A, ~100 L⁻¹ for 2B-D). 2A: Particle size resolved sampling efficiency. Experiment 269 270 was performed twice with 790 nm microspheres and both values are presented. 2B: Comparison of the 271 concentrations of microspheres in the sampling liquid measured by FC and the predicted concentration based on the 272 aerosol concentration measured by APS. The linear model (dashed lines) is shown for the microspheres 273 concentration measured by FC. 2C: Correlation between particle number concentration measured with the impinger 274 and with the optical particle counter (APS) for different sphere sizes and for experiments performed for 1 h. An 275 asterix next to the legend indicates a significant linear regression model (p < 0.001). 2D: The average number 276 concentration of microspheres in the aerosol chamber as assessed with the optical particle counter (APS) and the 277 impinger (FC) for experiments performed for 5 hours.

Dybwad et al²⁰ showed that, aside from filter samplers, all bioaerosol samplers had low collection 279 280 efficiency for 1 um fluorescent microspheres and efficiently collected microspheres in the 4 um 281 size range. The high-flow-rate impinger XMX-CV had the highest efficiency reduction for 1 µm compared to 4 μ m microspheres (26-fold), collecting between ~4% and ~20% of airborne 1 μ m 282 microspheres²⁰. In comparison, the Kärcher impinger performed much better with approximately 283 284 75% collection efficiency at ~1 µm. The AGI-30 impinger and the SKC BioSampler have 285 collection efficiencies above 90% at 1 µm, but operate at flowrates that are three orders of 286 magnitude lower, which allows for longer deposition times and more efficient impaction due to a 287 larger pressure drop in collection zone. It has been shown that bacterial cell shape influences its 288 capture efficiency, so that the rod-shaped cells are captured with higher efficiency than spherical cells³¹. Many bacterial cells, such as cells of the INA species *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, are rod 289 290 shaped with diameters 300-800 nm, and lengths 1000-3000 nm, resulting in an aerodynamic diameter of approximately 800 nm³². However, the majority of airborne bacteria at inland sites 291 292 were found associated with particles and thus had an aerodynamic diameter larger than 3000 nm³³. Thus, airborne biological particles, including bacteria, are in the diameter range that its 293 294 covered by the high efficiency range of the Kärcher impingers. This demonstrates that the 295 majority of airborne particles in size ranges similar to those observed for bacteria are collected by 296 the impinger.

297

298 3.2 Retention efficiency and maintenance of viability

299

Preservation of cell viability is an important criterion for the applicability of bio-aerosol
 samplers, as survival is essential for further physiological studies. Three tests were performed on
 different types of model bacteria (Proteobacteria: *P. agglomerans* and *P. syringae;* Firmicutes: *B.*

atrophaeus) as well as on a complex bacterial community from a sea water sample. The Kärcher impinger performed reproducibly with respect to liquid loss rate, loss rate of bacterial cells, cell viability, cell activity, and cell ice nucleation activity over the total sampling period (Table 2, Figure 3). It also showed similar trends independent of the type of bacteria spiked in the samplers.

308

309 A model INA strain of *P. syringae* was spiked into the sampling liquid to evaluate retention of 310 the total- and viable-cell concentrations as well as concentrations of ice nucleating particles (INP) 311 at temperatures between $-2^{\circ}C$ and $-7^{\circ}C$. The total cell concentration of *P. syringae* did not 312 change over a 5 hour sampling period (Kruskal-Wallis test; p > 0.05) (Table 2), indicating that 313 losses of bacteria due to reaerosolization from the vortex chamber during sampling are negligible. 314 However, the proportion of viable cells, relative to total cells, dropped within the first hour of 315 sampling, from $18\%\pm10\%$ to $2.2\%\pm0.2\%$ (i.e. ~12% of the initial viability was retained). Later, 316 viability remained stable over time (Kruskal-Wallis test; p > 0.05). The concentrations of ice 317 nucleating particles behaved similarly, with the concentration of highly active INP decreasing by 318 two orders of magnitude within the first two hours of sampling, and no further change over time 319 until the end of the sampling period (Figure 3). Sampling operations caused the ice nucleation 320 profiles to be shifted down in temperature by less than 2°C, indicating that the impinger did not 321 cause significant damage to the sample and is suitable for detecting INP in bioaerosol 322 populations.

323



324

Figure 3: Retention efficiency of bacterial INP in the Kärcher DS5800 impinger spiked with INA *P. syringae* over 5
hours of sampling.

328 Two model bacterial strains and a complex bacterial seawater community were spiked into the 329 vortex chamber in order to test the extent to which bacterial concentration, viability, and 330 metabolic activity were affected by a sampling period of 120 min. The abundance of seawater 331 bacteria remained unchanged while the abundances of P. agglomerans and B. atrophaeus cells 332 were reduced to $70\pm3\%$ and $79\pm3\%$ of their initial values after 120 min (SI-Figure 3, Table 2). 333 The concentration of cultivable cells decreased by 50-65% (SI-Figure 4, Table 2). Relative 334 leucine incorporation in the seawater bacteria decreased by 61±7% compared to the initial values 335 (SI-Figure 4, Table 2). Also, the fraction of cultivable among total cells decreased (SI-Figure 4, 336 Table 2). However, the fraction of cultivable and metabolically active cells among the total cell 337 population decreased rapidly within the first 5 min of sampling for *P. agglomerans* and seawater 338 bacteria, but was more stable during the later sampling period.

340 The retention efficiency of the Kärcher impinger was compared to four other commercially 341 available impingers (Table 2, SI-Figure 3-4), including the SKC BioSampler, which was previously used as a reference sampler due to its well characterized properties²⁰. The Kärcher 342 343 impinger has the highest flow rate (1-2 orders of magnitude higher) and the lowest liquid loss rate 344 of all samplers (Table 2). One limitation could be that the liquid volume in the Kärcher impinger 345 is hundredfold higher than in other samplers. We show, however, that the bioaerosols collected 346 by the Kärcher impinger can be concentrated 1000-times, for example by using SterivexTM filter 347 units for performing nucleic acid extractions (see section 2.4). Liquid can be replenished through 348 the lid of the vortex chamber by pausing the sampling. In this way we can compensate for 349 evaporation, thus extending the sampling period. Refilling the sampling liquid is not an option in 350 all other models (e.g. XMX-CV), which limits the sampling time.

351

352 Using flow cytometry we show that the Kärcher impinger had lower cell losses than all other 353 samplers except for the XMX-CV. About ¹/₃ of the cultivable and ¹/₂ of the active cells retained 354 cultivability/activity at the end of the sampling period with the Kärcher impinger DS5600 (Table 355 2). The XMX-CV and the SKC BioSampler had a 0.9-2.6 times higher efficiency in retaining cell 356 cultivability/activity than Kärcher impinger DS5600. Thus, the performance of the Kärcher 357 impinger in terms of preserving bacterial cultivability/activity was comparable with the SKC 358 BioSampler and worse than the performance of the XMX-CV in case of the sea-water community 359 and P. agglomerans. We suggest that the short sampling time (10 min) of the XMX-CV is 360 responsible for the better performance of the XMX-CV sampler in terms of both cell losses and 361 bacterial activity losses. The SKC BioSampler is slightly better than the Kärcher DS5600 362 impinger at preserving the cultivability/activity even after one hour of operation. The flow rate of this sampler, however, is more than 100-times lower than the flow rate of the Kärcher impinger, 363

which means that highly time-resolved sampling is impossible. Overall, the Kärcher impinger has many advantages for investigating environmental atmospheric bacteria compared to other tested impingers, due to its high flow rate, together with a comparatively high retention efficiency and the possibility of long sampling time.

Experiment ^A	Sample/Locat ion	Date	Samplers	Sterilization/ Cleaning ^B	Sampling liquid	Sample analysis	Temperatu re (°C) ^{C,D}	Humidity (%) ^{C,D}	Wind Speed (m s ⁻ ¹) ^{C,D}	Rain (mm) ^C	Cloud (LWC, g m ⁻³) ^D
RET	Sea water, Norway	2007-04-20	DS5600, XMX-	Ethanol/MQ rinse	• Sterile PBS	Enumeration of bacterial cells and cultivable bacteria, activity measurements	-1.1-8.1	27-41	5-12	00.03	/
RET	P. agglomerans, Norway	2007-04-24	CV, SKC BioSampler, SASS				4.5-15.7	96-99	2-4	0	/
RET	<i>B. atrophaeus</i> , Norway	2007-04-25	2000PLUS, SpinCon				8.3-11.8	97-98	1-3	02.03	/
RET	P. syringae, France	/	DS5800	Ethanol/MQ rinse	sterile 0.9% NaCl	Enumeration of total and viable bacterial cells and IN	/	/	/	/	/
SET	LU	/	DS5600	MQ rinse	MQ water	Enumeration of fluorescent microspheres	/	/	/	/	/
FT		2013-07-30	DS5600	HCl/MQ/Ethanol rinse	Sterile high-salt soluton	DNA/RNA co- extraction and	13.4-17.5	31.0-48.5	/	0	/
FT	Nuuk Basic RS	2013-08-01					8.4-9.4	64.0-79.2	/	0	/
FT		2013-08-01				quantineation	9.1-10.2	77.2-83.7	/	0	/
FT (HS)		2014-06-11					/	/	/	0	0
FT (HS)		2014-12/13- 6		HNO3/dH2O/blea ch rinse	sterile 0.9% NaCl	Enumeration of bacterial cells, viable and cultivable bacteria, quantification of IN particles	13.7-17.7	77.8-100.0	2.1-6.8	0	0.00-0.07
FT (HS)	Puy de Dôme	2015-06-18	DS5800				10.2-13.8	79.7-94.6	1.8-2.2	0	0
FT (HS)		2015-06-16					8.1-8.8	100.00.00	2.4-3.0	0	
FT (HS)		2014-26/27- 6					8.3-10.3	96.5-100.0	5.5-12.0	0	0.00-0.37
FT (HS)	Clermont Auvergne	2015-06-02	DS5800	HNO3/dH2O/blea	sterile 0.9% NaCl	Enumeration of bacterial cells and viable bacteria	21.3-24.5	21.2-41.1	2.8-4.1	0	0
FT (HS)	University Campus	2015-06-05		ch rinse			25.6-32.4	9.6-37.3	2.9-4.7	0	0

Table 1. Overview of tests and samplers used and the weather conditions for outdoor tests.

^A RET – retention efficiency test ; SET – sampling efficiency test; FT – field test. HS – hourly subsampling

^B a – *Ethanol/MQ rinse*: the impinger was cleaned with ethanol and rinsed with sterile MQ. b – *HCl/MQ/Ethanol rinse*: the impinger was cleaned with 1 M HCl and then rinsed first with autoclaved, filter sterilized (0.1 μ m, VacuCap 90 Devices, Pall Corporation, New York) MQ water followed by 96% ethanol. The remainders of ethanol were left to evaporate under sterile conditions. c – *MQ rinse*: the vortex chamber was rinsed with MQ. d – *HNO3/dH*₂*O/bleach rinse*: the impinger was sterilized by an overnight application of the container with 10% nitric acid. The container was rinsed several times with deionized H₂O (dH₂O), then with diluted bleach (~0.1%) before being finally thoroughly rinsed with sterile dH₂O.

^C Norwegian Weather data loaded from <u>http://www.eklima.no</u> (The Norwegian Meteorological Institute). Data from Gardermoen weather station show min-max during the experimental period, from 0600 to 1800. For France (Clermont-Ferrand University Campus and Puy de Dôme), weather data were obtained from the Observatory of the Globe Physics of Clermont-Ferrand (OPGC).

^D Monitoring data for this paper are unpublished data, provided by L.H. Christensen, GeoBasis program run by University of Copenhagen (Dept. Geography) and Aarhus University (Dept. Bioscience). The program is part of the Greenland Environmental Monitoring (GEM) Program financed by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency, Danish Ministry of the Environment.

Sampler	Flow rate	Liquid volume	Liquid loss rate	Sampling time	Sample Volume	Total cells		Cultivable cells	Leucine uptake	Fraction of viable cells	INA cell proportion at -4°C
	[L/min]	[mL]	[% min ⁻¹]	[min]	m ³	Test bacteria	[% T0]	[% T0]	[% T0]	[% T0]	[% T0]
	530	5	0.6±0.0	10	5.3	SW	97.2±2.4		103.3±10.8		
XMX-CV						P. aggl	83.9±1.4	73.2±75.7			
						B. atr	75.7±6.2	63.2±23.0			
SASS 2000DI US	325	5	3.9±0.3	60	19.5	SW	26.0±4.8		0.5 ± 0.2		
5A55 2000PLU5						P. aggl	22.9±17.1	78.7±819.4			
SpinCon	450	10	3.3±0.5	60	27	SW	55.3±2.1		1.6 ± 0.8		
SpinCon						P. aggl	20.6±5.8	78.7±819.4			
	12.5	20	0.6±0.0	60	0.75	SW	64.1±2.3		68.1±4.9		
SKC BioSampler						P. aggl	99.7±0.2	43.7±3.1			
						B. atr	26.3±9.1	35.5±25.7			
	DS5600 3300 1500 0.1±0.0			SW	97.0±3.0		48.7±8.3				
Kärcher DS5600		1500	0.1±0.0	120	396	P. aggl	70.0±3.4	27.7±5.1			
						B. atr	79.0±2.6	38.5±6.6			
Kärcher DS5900	4080	1700	0.51±0.04	60	245	P. syr.	118.2±18.6			12.1±3.00	5.31%
Karcher D55600				300	1224	P. syr.	112.7±16.8			14.2±10.7	0.06%

Table 2. Technical characteristics and performance of the impingers tested in this study.

2

1

The flow rate and the sampling liquid volume provided by the manufacturer are listed, as well as the length of the sampling period as chosen by the authors (Kärcher impinger) or recommended by the manufacturers (all others). We present the fraction of remaining total, viable, and cultivable cells as well as the INP₋₄ proportion (at -4°C) out of initial values. Also, the fraction of final leucine uptake out of initial leucine uptake is given for the seawater bacterial community (SW). Values are listed as means ± standard deviations; % T₀ denotes the percent of the initial value. 7 3.3 Application to ambient bioaerosol sampling at semi-urban, mountain, and arctic sites

8

9 Deploying the sampler at a semi-urban, a remote mountain, and a pristine arctic site, we 10 demonstrate that due to its high flow rate the Kärcher impinger can be used at high-temporal 11 resolution (1- to 5-hours resolution) and a wide range of environmental conditions, in 12 particular for sampling air with low bacterial loads. The airborne bacterial communities are highly diverse in terms of cell sizes, genetic diversity, and their phenotypic characteristics. 13 14 We show here that the Kärcher impinger can be used for, but is not limited to, quantifying 15 these communities in terms of (i) the total bacterial cells, (ii) cultivable bacterial cells, (iii) ice nucleating particles, and (iv) nucleic acids. Using this sampler for collecting airborne viruses 16 in remote air was also attempted³⁴, but this would require further development. 17

18

19 3.3.1. Quantification of total cells

20

Cell concentration in the sampling liquid increased over time, reaching concentrations of $\sim 10^2$ 21 to $\sim 10^5$ cells mL⁻¹ over the entire sampling period (SI-Figure 6). Two samples were collected 22 23 at a semi-urban site on the Clermont-Auvergne University campus (Clermont-Ferrand, 24 France). The inferred airborne cell concentrations ranged between 3.7 ± 0.6 and 12.5 ± 3.4 cells 25 L⁻¹. Five samples were collected at the Puv de Dôme mountain site (1465 m asl) at different 26 periods (day and night) and under different weather conditions, including the presence of a cloud at the sampling site (Table 1). Overall, the inferred airborne bacterial concentrations 27 ranged between $(0.6\pm0.1)\times10^{0}$ and $(2.8\pm0.2)\times10^{3}$ cells L⁻¹, with variations depending on 28 29 sampling date and time (Table 1, Figure 4). Diurnal variations in bioaerosol concentrations have been previously described, but only based on culturable fraction of the airborne 30 communities^{35,36}. Here, similarly but focusing on total cells, we recorded higher cell number 31 concentrations around midday (June 11th 2015) than during the night and in the morning (June 32

- 12th, 16th, 18th, and 26th 2015). This illustrates that the Kärcher impinger can potentially be
 used for describing diurnal short-term variations of total bacterial concentrations.
- 35
- 36



37

Figure 4: Evolution of total airborne bacteria concentrations inferred from measurements in the sampling liquid of the Kärcher DS5800 impinger, averaged over the cumulated duration of sampling at the semi-urban site (University campus) and at the mountain site puy de Dôme (PDD) in France. Error bars are standard errors of measurements on at least triplicate subsamples of the sampling liquid. The concentration measured just before sampling (time "zero") at each occasion was deducted from the data. A dashed circle on a symbol indicates the presence of a cloud during the preceding sampling hour.

45 3.3.2. Quantification of culturable and viable cells

46

Three air samples were investigated for cultivable bacteria. Their concentration in the sampling liquid increased with sampling time and reached around ~200 CFU mL⁻¹ over the entire sampling period, corresponding to an average airborne concentration of 3.9×10^{-2} to 1.1 cultivable cells L⁻¹ (SI-Figure 7), or 0.02% to 11.2% of the total bacteria counted by flow cytometry. At realistic airborne concentration of 1-10 CFU L⁻¹, the detection limit for CFU counts (*i.e.* 20 colony per plate) is reached in 8-80 minutes with the Kärcher impinger, due to its high airflow rate. However, large uncertainties were often associated with CFU counts

(coefficient of variation of the mean count of triplicate plating: 13% < CV < 96%, ~ 50% in 54 average). On the other hand, when viability was assessed by flow cytometry using 55 LIVE/DEAD staining (Figure 5), results were more consistent, with CV ranging between 4% 56 57 and 34% of the mean. Viable cells accounted for 2.8% to 6.6% ot the total cell number, and viability was not linked with cultivability. Viability did not decrease over sampling duration, 58 59 contrary to observations made on the model bacterial strain (SI-Figure 4), but seemed rather 60 linked with environmental conditions: we observed a higher cell viability during the night (Figure 5), consistently with previous reports 37 . 61



Figure 5: 5A. Evolution of INP₋₁₀ concentration in the sampling liquid during sampling at the mountain site (PDD). 5B. Corresponding cumulative freezing profiles at the end of the sampling period expressed as airborne concentrations averaged over the duration of sampling. 5C. Evolution of the proportion of viable cells determined by LIVE/DEAD staining, during day/night sampling. Error bars are standard deviations from the

- 67 mean of measurements on triplicate subsamples of the sampling liquid. A dashed circle on a symbol indicates the68 presence of a cloud during the preceding sampling hour.
- 69

70 3.3.3. Quantification of ice nucleating particles (INP)

71

The temporal evolution of the INP₋₁₀ (INP that intiate freezing at temperatures \geq -10°C) 72 73 concentrations in the sampling liquid during sampling, as well as the endpoint freezing profiles of air samples collected at the mountain site, are shown in Figure 5. SI-Figure 8 74 75 shows the hourly evolution of the freezing profiles measured between 0°C and -12.5°C during sampling. The average airborne concentrations of INP.10 over the duration of sampling, 76 inferred from measurements in the sampling liquid, ranged between $< 10^{-3}$ INP L⁻¹ and 77 $\sim 14 \times 10^{-3}$ INP L⁻¹. These are consistent with observations made at comparable sites using 78 other sampling methods^{38,39}. At such low concentrations of airborne IN, the detection limit of 79 our method (0.05 IN mL⁻¹ of liquid) was reached in less than 20 minutes with the Kärcher 80 81 impinger, while about 80 minutes would be needed to reach the detection limit with a lowflow-rate impinger, such as the BioSampler. 82

83

84

88 Three samples were collected in duplicates and used for the quantification of 16S-rRNA-gene 89 and 16S-rRNA-molecules in air (SI-Figure 9). Three duplicated (duplicates denoted A and B) samples showed consistent results for bacterial cell concentrations (sample A11: $(5.5\pm0.2)\times10^{-1}$ 90 cell L⁻¹, sample A16: 6.8±3.1 cell L⁻¹, and sample A17: $(2.9\pm0.7)\times10^{-1}$ cell L⁻¹), which 91 92 demonstrates that the Kärcher impinger can be used in pristine air to quantify bacterial cells 93 based on molecular microbiological methods. The background concentrations of 16S rRNA genes reached between 0.4% and 26% of the concentrations measured in air (SI Figure 9), 94 pointing at a low signal to noise ratio. This indicates that we were in some cases very close to the 95 detection limit, which was due to the extremely low bacterial loads ($\sim 10^3$ per m³ of air). Thus, the 96 97 high flow rate of the Kärcher impinger allows us to quantify bacterial cell at such conditions. The 98 16S rRNA copy number concentration, which roughly corresponds to the ribosome concentration in air, was between 8.3×10^1 and 1.1×10^4 copies L⁻¹ and was highly variable between duplicates, 99 indicating the heterogeneity of the atmospheric bacterial community in terms of its activity. The 100 101 background contamination on the RNA level was assessed on two occasions and was 0.1% and 102 1.6% of the 16S rRNA copy numbers (SI-Figure 9).

103

Other samplers have previously been employed for molecular analysis of genomic DNA and RNA. Most studies used filter samplers to collect samples for DNA analysis^{19,40,41}. SKC BioSampler impingers have been used to investigate the diversity of airborne bacterial populations as well as to quantify airborne *ina* genes with qPCR targeting genomic DNA^{17,42}. *Ina* gene concentrations were below the detection limit in most cases⁴², which was likely due to a low sampling capacity of the SKC BioSampler. It has been shown that the SKC BioSamplers can be used for molecular studies on the RNA level either in environments heavily loaded with RNA viruses or by using 24 SKC BioSamplers in parallel to be able to perform RNA analysis of bacteria⁴³. We show that the Kärcher impinger allows both obtaining a sufficient amount of cells in pristine environments with low bacterial loads, and also preserving bioaerosols for sensitive RNA analysis, making it suitable for carrying out state-of-the-art molecular analysis.

115

116 In conclusion, we characterized a high-volume impinger and confirm that it is highly effective for 117 quantitative and qualitative research on airborne microorganisms. The impinger has a high 118 collection- and retention efficiency, facilitates sampling under diverse environmental conditions, 119 and gives reliable results in environments with low biomass. In comparison to four other 120 commercially available impingers, the Kärcher impinger had lower cell losses during sampling 121 and was suited for preserving viability, activity, and ice nucleation activity of bacterial cells. Its 122 main advantages over alternative impingers are its higher flow rate, low liquid loss, and ability to 123 sample over long periods. Finally, the Kärcher impinger is an accessible device that most 124 research groups could employ, which is in support of standardization within aero-microbiology.

125

126 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the EU PASR 2006 programme (project Aerobactics, contract SEC6-PR-214400), The Research Council of Norway (project MicrobAir, contract no. 177802), the Swedish Resarch Council FORMAS (project 2014-1460) and the Danish Council for Independent Research (project NUMEN, DFF-FTP-4005-00485B). TST is grateful for funding from the Stellar Astrophysics Centre, which is provided by The Danish National Research Foundation (Grant agreement DNRF106). KF, UG and TST acknowledge support by the AUFF Nova programme (AUFF-E-2015-FLS-9-10). We gratefully acknowledge the

134	contributions of Arctic Research Centre (ARC), Aarhus University and the Canada Excellence
135	Research Chair (CERC). This work is a contribution to the Arctic Science Partnership (ASP). PA
136	is grateful to LaMP-OPGC, in particular J.M. Pichon, for providing meteorological data, and to
137	Fédération de Recherche en Environnement (CNRS FR3467) for partial financial support.
138	Special thanks go to F. Conen, L. Zimmerman and E. Stopelli, University of Basel, for kindly
139	providing LINDA, and to S. Souzani and H. Licina, Lund University, for providing the model of
140	the airflow.

142 Supporting Information.

a .

143 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

144 The content of the Supporting Information includes: Supporting Methods, Supporting Figures 1-9

145 & Tables, and Supporting References. The methods used for assessing sampling and retention

146 efficiencies, as well as for bioaerosol analysis (*i.e.* total, viable and cultivable bacterial cells,

147 fluorescent microspheres, ice nuclei and nucleic acids quantifications and metabolic activity

148 measurements) are presented in Supporting Information (SI, Section SI-1.2 and SI-1.3).

149

150 **5.** References

- Brodie, E. L.; DeSantis, T. Z.; Parker, J. P. M.; Zubietta, I. X.; Piceno, Y. M.; Andersen,
 G. L. Urban Aerosols Harbor Diverse and Dynamic Bacterial Populations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2007, *104* (1), 299–304.
- Vinatier, V.; Wirgot, N.; Joly, M.; Sancelme, M.; Abrantes, M.; Deguillaume, L.; Delort,
 A.-M. Siderophores in Cloud Waters and Potential Impact on Atmospheric Chemistry:
 Production by Microorganisms Isolated at the Puy de Dôme Station. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*2016, 50 (17), 9315–9323 DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.6b02335.
- 158 (3) Vaïtilingom, M.; Amato, P.; Sancelme, M.; Laj, P.; Leriche, M.; Delort, A.-M.
 159 Contribution of Microbial Activity to Carbon Chemistry in Clouds. *Appl. Environ.*160 *Microbiol.* 2010, 76 (1), 23–29 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01127-09.
- 161 (4) Vaïtilingom, M.; Deguillaume, L.; Vinatier, V.; Sancelme, M.; Amato, P.; Chaumerliac,
- 162 N.; Delort, A.-M. Potential Impact of Microbial Activity on the Oxidant Capacity and
- 163 Organic Carbon Budget in Clouds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110* (2), 559–564

- 164 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1205743110.
- 165 (5) Šantl-Temkiv, T.; Finster, K.; Hansen, B. M.; Pašić, L.; Karlson, U. G. Viable
 166 Methanotrophic Bacteria Enriched from Air and Rain Can Oxidize Methane at Cloud-like
 167 Conditions. *Aerobiologia (Bologna)*. 2013, 29 (3), 373–384 DOI: 10.1007/s10453-013168 9287-1.
- 169 (6) Hill, K. a.; Shepson, P. B.; Galbavy, E. S.; Anastasio, C.; Kourtev, P. S.; Konopka, A.;
 170 Stirm, B. H. Processing of Atmospheric Nitrogen by Clouds above a Forest Environment.
 171 *J. Geophys. Res.* 2007, *112* (D11), D11301 DOI: 10.1029/2006JD008002.
- 172 (7) Möhler, O.; DeMott, P. J.; Vali, G.; Levin, Z. Microbiology and Atmospheric Processes:
 173 The Role of Biological Particles in Cloud Physics. *Biogeosciences* 2007, *4* (6), 1059–1071
 174 DOI: 10.5194/bg-4-1059-2007.
- 175 (8) Delort, A.-M.; Vaïtilingom, M.; Amato, P.; Sancelme, M.; Parazols, M.; Mailhot, G.; Laj,
 176 P.; Deguillaume, L. A Short Overview of the Microbial Population in Clouds: Potential
 177 Roles in Atmospheric Chemistry and Nucleation Processes. *Atmos. Res.* 2010, *98* (2–4),
 178 249–260 DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2010.07.004.
- (9) Després, V. R.; Alex Huffman, J.; Burrows, S. M.; Hoose, C.; Safatov, A. S.; Buryak, G.;
 Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J.; Elbert, W.; Andreae, M. O.; Pöschl, U.; Jaenicke, R. Primary
 Biological Aerosol Particles in the Atmosphere: A Review. *Tellus B* 2012, *64* DOI:
 10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.15598.
- 183 (10) Bigg, E. K.; Soubeyrand, S.; Morris, C. E. Persistent after-Effects of Heavy Rain on
 184 Concentrations of Ice Nuclei and Rainfall Suggest a Biological Cause. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*185 2015, 15 (5), 2313–2326 DOI: 10.5194/acp-15-2313-2015.
- (11) Hoose, C.; Kristjánsson, J. E.; Burrows, S. M. How Important Is Biological Ice Nucleation
 in Clouds on a Global Scale? *Environ. Res. Lett.* 2010, 5 (2), 24009 DOI: 10.1088/17489326/5/2/024009.
- Morris, C. E.; Sands, D. C.; Vinatzer, B. a; Glaux, C.; Guilbaud, C.; Buffière, A.; Yan, S.;
 Dominguez, H.; Thompson, B. M. The Life History of the Plant Pathogen Pseudomonas
 Syringae Is Linked to the Water Cycle. *ISME J.* 2008, *2* (3), 321–334 DOI:
 10.1038/ismej.2007.113.
- (13) Renard, P.; Canet, I.; Sancelme, M.; Wirgot, N.; Deguillaume, L.; Delort, A.-M. Screening
 of Cloud Microorganisms Isolated at the Puy de Dôme (France) Station for the Production
 of Biosurfactants. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2016, *16* (18), 12347–12358 DOI: 10.5194/acp-1612347-2016.
- 197 (14) Pedersen, K. Exploration of Deep Intraterrestrial Microbial Life: Current Perspectives.
 198 *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 2000, 185 (1), 9–16 DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2000.tb09033.x.
- (15) Burrows, S. M.; Elbert, W.; Lawrence, M. G.; Pöschl, U. Bacteria in the Global
 Atmosphere Part 1: Review and Synthesis of Literature Data for Different Ecosystems. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2009, *9* (23), 9263–9280 DOI: 10.5194/acp-9-9263-2009.
- (16) Lin, X.; A. Reponen, T.; Willeke, K.; Grinshpun, S. A.; Foarde, K. K.; Ensor, D. S. LongTerm Sampling of Airborne Bacteria and Fungi into a Non-Evaporating Liquid. *Atmos. Environ.* 1999, *33* (26), 4291–4298 DOI: 10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00169-7.
- (17) Fierer, N.; Liu, Z.; Rodríguez-Hernández, M.; Knight, R.; Henn, M.; Hernandez, M. T.
 Short-Term Temporal Variability in Airborne Bacterial and Fungal Populations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2008, 74 (1), 200–207 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01467-07.
- (18) Reponen, T.; Willeke, K.; Grinshpun, S.; Nevalainen, A. Biological Particle Sampling. In
 Aerosol Measurement; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2011; pp 549–570.
- 210 (19) Radosevich, J. L.; Wilson, W. J.; Shinn, J. H.; DeSantis, T. Z.; Andersen, G. L.
- 211 Development of a High-Volume Aerosol Collection System for the Identification of Air-

- 212 Borne Micro-Organisms. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2002**, *34* (3), 162–167.
- (20) Dybwad, M.; Skogan, G.; Blatny, J. M. Comparative Testing and Evaluation of Nine
 Different Air Samplers: End-to-End Sampling Efficiencies as Specific Performance
 Measurements for Bioaerosol Applications. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48 (3), 282–295
 DOI: 10.1080/02786826.2013.871501.
- 217 (21) Parks, S. R.; Bennett, A. M.; Speight, S. E.; Benbough, J. E. An Assessment of the
 218 Sartorius MD8 Microbiological Air Sampler. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* 1996, *80* (5), 529–534
 219 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.1996.tb03252.x.
- (22) Pady, S. M. An Improved Slit Sampler for Aerobiological Investigations. *Trans. Kansas Acad. Sci.* 1954, 57 (2), 157 DOI: 10.2307/3626015.
- (23) Schmale III, D. G.; Dingus, B. R.; Reinholtz, C. Development and Application of an
 Autonomous Unmanned Aerial Vehicle for Precise Aerobiological Sampling above
 Agricultural Fields. J. F. Robot. 2008, 25 (3), 133–147 DOI: 10.1002/rob.20232.
- (24) Lin, X.; Willeke, K.; Ulevicius, V.; Grinshpun, S. A. Effect of Sampling Time on the
 Collection Efficiency of All-Glass Impingers. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* 1997, *58* (7), 480–
 488 DOI: 10.1080/15428119791012577.
- (25) Rule, A. M.; Kesavan, J.; Schwab, K. J.; Buckley, T. J. Application of Flow Cytometry for
 the Assessment of Preservation and Recovery Efficiency of Bioaerosol Samplers Spiked
 with Pantoea Agglomerans. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2007, *41* (7), 2467–2472.
- (26) Zweifel, U. L.; Hagström, Å.; Holmfeldt, K.; Thyrhaug, R.; Geels, C.; Frohn, L. M.;
 Skjøth, C. A.; Karlson, U. G. High Bacterial 16S rRNA Gene Diversity above the
 Atmospheric Boundary Layer. *Aerobiologia (Bologna)*. 2012, 28 (4), 481–498 DOI:
 10.1007/s10453-012-9250-6.
- (27) Mainelis, G.; Berry, D.; Reoun An, H.; Yao, M.; DeVoe, K.; Fennell, D. E.; Jaeger, R.
 Design and Performance of a Single-Pass Bubbling Bioaerosol Generator. *Atmos. Environ.*237 2005, *39* (19), 3521–3533 DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.02.043.
- (28) Vaïtilingom, M.; Attard, E.; Gaiani, N.; Sancelme, M.; Deguillaume, L.; Flossmann, A. I.;
 Amato, P.; Delort, A.-M. Long-Term Features of Cloud Microbiology at the Puy de Dôme
 (France). *Atmos. Environ.* 2012, *56*, 88–100 DOI: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.03.072.
- (29) Simon, M.; Azam, F. Protein Content and Protein Synthesis Rates of Planktonic Marine
 Bacteria. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **1989**, *51*, 201–2013.
- (30) Lever, M. A.; Torti, A.; Eickenbusch, P.; Michaud, A. B.; Šantl-Temkiv, T.; Jørgensen, B.
 B. A Modular Method for the Extraction of DNA and RNA, and the Separation of DNA
 Pools from Diverse Environmental Sample Types. *Front. Microbiol.* 2015, 6 (MAY) DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00476.
- (31) Willeke, K.; Qian, Y.; Donnelly, J.; Grinshpun, S.; Ulevicius, V. Penetration of Airborne
 Microorganisms Through a Surgical Mask and a Dust/Mist Respirator. *Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J.* 1996, *57* (4), 348–355 DOI: 10.1080/15428119691014882.
- (32) Jones, A. M.; Harrison, R. M. The Effects of Meteorological Factors on Atmospheric
 Bioaerosol Concentrations A Review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2004, *326* (1–3), 151–180
 DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2003.11.021.
- (33) Shaffer, B. T.; Lighthart, B. Survey of Culturable Airborne Bacteria at Four Diverse
 Locations in Oregon: Urban, Rural, Forest, and Coastal. *Microb. Ecol.* 1997, *34* (3), 167–
 177.
- (34) Courault, D.; Albert, I.; Perelle, S.; Fraisse, A.; Renault, P.; Salemkour, A.; Amato, P.
 Assessment and Risk Modeling of Airborne Enteric Viruses Emitted from Wastewater
 Reused for Irrigation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2017, *592*, 512–526 DOI:
 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.105.

- (35) Lighthart, B. An Hypothesis Describing the General Temporal and Spatial Distribution of
 Alfresco Bacteria in the Earth 'S Atmospheric Surface Layer. 1999, *33*, 611–615.
- (36) Lighthart, B.; Shaffer, B. T. Airborne Bacteria in the Atmospheric Surface Layer:
 Temporal Distribution above a Grass Seed Field. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1995, *61* (4),
 1492–1496.
- (37) Tong, Y.; Lighthart, B. Solar Radiation Has a Lethal Effect on Natural Populations of
 Culturable Outdoor Atmospheric Bacteria. *Atmos. Environ.* 1997, *31* (6), 897–900 DOI:
 10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00235-X.
- (39) Joly, M.; Amato, P.; Deguillaume, L.; Monier, M.; Hoose, C.; Delort, A.-M.
 Quantification of Ice Nuclei Active at near 0 °C Temperatures in Low-Altitude Clouds at
 the Puy de Dôme Atmospheric Station. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2014, *14* (15), 8185–8195.
- (40) Bowers, R. M.; Sullivan, A. P.; Costello, E. K.; Collett, J. L.; Knight, R.; Fierer, N.
 Sources of Bacteria in Outdoor Air across Cities in the Midwestern United States. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2011, 77 (18), 6350–6356 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.05498-11.
- (41) DeLeon-Rodriguez, N.; Lathem, T. L.; Rodriguez-R, L. M.; Barazesh, J. M.; Anderson, B.
 E.; Beyersdorf, A. J.; Ziemba, L. D.; Bergin, M.; Nenes, A.; Konstantinidis, K. T.
 Microbiome of the Upper Troposphere: Species Composition and Prevalence, Effects of
 Tropical Storms, and Atmospheric Implications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2013, *110*(7), 2575–2580 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1212089110.
- (42) Garcia, E.; Hill, T. C. J.; Prenni, A. J.; DeMott, P. J.; Franc, G. D.; Kreidenweis, S. M.
 Biogenic Ice Nuclei in Boundary Layer Air over Two U.S. High Plains Agricultural
 Regions. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2012, 117 (D18), 1–12 DOI: 10.1029/2012JD018343.
- (43) Lindsley, W. G.; Blachere, F. M.; Thewlis, R. E.; Vishnu, A.; Davis, K. A.; Cao, G.;
 Palmer, J. E.; Clark, K. E.; Fisher, M. A.; Khakoo, R.; Beezhold, D. H. Measurements of
 Airborne Influenza Virus in Aerosol Particles from Human Coughs. *PLoS One* 2010, 5
 (11), e15100 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015100.
- 290