

# Comparing different models of starch swelling

Artemio Plana-Fattori, Giana Almeida, Gabrielle Moulin, Camille Michon,
Denis Flick

### ▶ To cite this version:

Artemio Plana-Fattori, Giana Almeida, Gabrielle Moulin, Camille Michon, Denis Flick. Comparing different models of starch swelling. 12. International Congress on Engineering and Food, Jun 2015, Québec City, Canada. 2015. hal-01646890

HAL Id: hal-01646890

https://hal.science/hal-01646890

Submitted on 17 Feb 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Comparing different models of starch swelling**

Artemio Plana-Fattori, Giana Almeida, Gabrielle Moulin, Camille Michon, Denis Flick

AgroParisTech, UMR1145 Ingénierie Procédés Aliments, F-75231 Paris, France INRA, UMR1145 Ingénierie Procédés Aliments, F-91300 Massy, France

## **Swelling models**

Starch granules undergo structural changes under thermal treatment. The subsequent increase of the viscosity
associated with starch suspensions allows many applications in the food industry. <u>To our knowledge, no models
exist for predicting the evolution of the size distribution of starch granules under thermal treatment.</u>

• The first model considers: a) that each granule can exhibit two possible states only, namely not-swollen and fully-swollen; and b) that there is a probability per unit of time, P, of quick transition between these states. For a high number of granules, this can be expressed as one first-order kinetics for the proportion N<sub>0</sub> of starch granules which remain not-swollen.


At a given time, the actual granule size distribution is estimated as the weighted-average of the size distributions which characterize not-swollen and fully-swollen granules.


• The second model considers that the size of each granule can continuously increase with the time. The evolution of granules sizes can be assumed to follow a second-order kinetics for the swelling degree S (e.g. Lagarri

 $dS/dt = k(1-S)^2$ ,  $S = (d-d_0)/(d_{MAX}-d_0)$ .

Here k is the swelling kinetics rate, assumed to be the same for all the starch granules. For each granule, d is its diameter at a given time, while  $d = d_{\rm black}$  with uncooked starch (not-swollen granule) and  $d = d_{\rm black}$  with starch after maximum thermal treatment (fully-swollen granule).

• The third model considers diffusive swelling: starch granules swell while taking surrounding water. Hence, the inflation  $d/\sigma_{\phi}$  associated with smaller granules increases more rapidly and reach earlier its respective maximum value, than that associated with the larger granules. The diffusivity D for water inside starch granules is the key parameter. The problem can be solved following the approach proposed by Singh and Weber (1986).





· → · → · · · · · · · **○----○ ⇒ ○ ⇒(** o-⇔-o-⇔-o----o **○----○ ⇒ ○ ⇒(** 


#### **Observations**

An optical microscope (Olympus BX51, objective x50) coupled to a Linkam warming plate was used to continuously observe the starch granules behavior during a selected thermal treatment.


Starch in water at very low mass concentration (0.05 wt.%) was studied, allowing the monitoring of each granule along its thermal history.


each imego.

Major and minor diameters were estimated by fitting an ellipse. The geometric mean value of the ellipse axes was considered as the characteristic size; in the following it is












## **Models and observations**

Symbols summarize experimental data from the three samples. Forty granules were followed branule diameters at  $50^{\circ}$ C (uncooked starch) and  $50^{\circ}$ C (after thermal treatment) were associated with mean values of 14.8 and 37.0µm, and with standard deviations of 4.8 and 12.4µm respectivelies testandard deviations of 4.8 and 4.8µm, and with standard deviations of 4.8 and 4.8µm respectivelies the standard deviations were similar (about 3.2 % at  $50^{\circ}$ C) and 3.4 % at  $50^{\circ}$ C), corresponding institutions exhibit very similar shape. Maximum inflation  $d_{\rm Max} / d_1$  anged from 2.2 to 2.7.

Full lines indicate cumulative size distributions built by assuming log-normal distributions for the granule diameter. Dashed lines indicate distributions predicted by the swelling models, whose parameters were estimated from experimental data at 50 and 80°C.







#### **Conclusions and future work**

• The shape of the observed size distribution at the intermediate temperature (70°C) does not really match with one of the predicted distributions.
• Visual observation of individual granules suggests that swelling mechanism seems to be in between the stochastic and the diffusive models.
• The product of the granules do not start to swell at the same time (say, at the same temperature) as formulated in the schochastic model.

stic model. e other hand, the beginning of swelling is rather quick, then it becomes slower especially for the largest granules. behavior can be interpreted with the help of the diffusive model.

orthcoming ettorts include: i) observation of a larger number of starch granules under different heating rates, and ii) further model development, for instance by combining the stochastic and the diffusive appro

Lagarrique, S., Alvarez, G., Cuvelier, G., Flick, D. – 2008 – Swelling kinetics of waxy maize and maize starches at high temperatures and heating rates. Carbohydrate Polymers, 73: 148-155.
 Singh, J., Weber, M. E. – 1996 – Kinetics of one-dimensional gel swelling and collapse for large volume change. Chemica Engineering Science, 51: 4499-4500.



Artemio PLANA-FATTORI
AgroParisTech, Dept. MMIP, 16 rue Claude Bernard, 75231 Paris CEDEX 5 artemio.planafattori@agroparistech.fr

