



HAL
open science

Effects of Tropical Climate on Mental Rotation: The Role of Imagery Ability

Nicolas Robin, Guillaume R. Coudevylle, Olivier Hue, Stéphane Sinnapah

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Robin, Guillaume R. Coudevylle, Olivier Hue, Stéphane Sinnapah. Effects of Tropical Climate on Mental Rotation: The Role of Imagery Ability. *American Journal of Psychology*, 2017, 130 (4), 10.5406/amerjpsyc.130.4.0455 . hal-01633115

HAL Id: hal-01633115

<https://hal.science/hal-01633115>

Submitted on 11 Nov 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

Effects of Tropical Climate on Mental Rotation: The Role of Imagery Ability

Nicolas Robin, Guillaume R. Coudeville, Olivier Hue, and Stephane Sinnapah.

University of French West Indies, Department of Sport Sciences, Pointe-à-Pitre, France.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Nicolas Robin, Laboratoire
"Adaptation au Climat Tropical, Exercice & Santé" (UPRES EA 3596), Campus Fouillole, BP
592, 97159, Pointe à Pitre Cedex, France.

Contact: robin.nicolas@hotmail.fr

1 Abstract

2 This study examined how a tropical climate (i.e., hot and wet climatic environment) could
3 affect mental rotation according to imagery ability. The participants performed two tests
4 sessions in quite hot temperature: Tropical Climate (TC) then in Air Conditioning (AC) in a
5 randomized order. During each session, the participants fulfilled the Movement Imagery
6 Questionnaire Revised version (MIQ-R) and the Vandenberg Mental Rotation Test (VMRT).
7 A first analysis including all the participants revealed that men had better VMRT scores than
8 women in AC which confirm the gender effect generally observed. However, no statistical
9 gender difference was observed in TC in which men deteriorated their performance. A second
10 analysis including MIQ-R scores, as participant selection, evidenced a significant interaction
11 between imagery ability and climate condition and revealed that poor imagers had worse
12 VMRT scores in TC than in AC, whereas no significant difference was observed for good
13 imagers. Moreover, MIQ-R scores were lower in TC than in AC for the visual and
14 kinaesthetic imagery. More precisely, good imagers had significant lower visual imagery
15 MIQ-R scores in TC than in AC and poor imagers tend to deteriorate their kinaesthetic
16 imagery MIQ-R scores in TC. The results of this study are consistent with the idea that TC
17 can negatively affect mental rotation, motor imagery and imagery ability and the influence of
18 TC on them is discussed. Further research is needed to investigate the hygrometry effects on
19 imagery.

20 *Keywords:* mental rotation, imagery ability, tropical environment, gender

21

1 Effects of Tropical Climate on Mental Rotation: The Role of Imagery Ability

2 Since the early days of imagery research, many authors have been interested in
3 imagery ability. One reason for the interest may be that virtually everyone seems to have the
4 ability to generate and use images, but not to the same degree (Goss, Hall, Buckolz, &
5 Fishburne, 1986). Different methods were used to evaluate the ability to generate image.
6 Shepard and Metzler (1971) first used the term of Mental Rotation (MR). This is the ability to
7 make the mental image of a given 2D or 3D object turn in space. M R allows subjects to
8 compare the structure of two objects in considerable detail without completely understanding
9 the structure of either one (Just & Carpenter, 1985). According to Logie, Pernet, Buonocore,
10 and Della Sala (2011), healthy adults might use mental imagery to perform mental rotation,
11 but other might use a propositional strategy. Just and Carpenter (1985) added that it is
12 possible to perform MR task by using orientation-free descriptions with no spatial
13 manipulation. However, Hegarthy & Waller (2004) argued that in MR tests the majority of
14 participants reports imagining the rotations of the objects, and not themselves, and Wexler,
15 Kosslyn and Berthoz (1998) added that the most common strategy to accomplish these tasks
16 is rotation.

17 There is accumulated evidence of some gender differences in adult MR ability with
18 men generally outperforming women (e.g., Hoyek et al., 2009; Ozel, Molinaro, & Larue,
19 2001; Vandenberg & Kuse, 1978). Gender cognitive difference like that observed in MR are
20 also reported in other studies (Gaoua, 2010; Gur et al., 1999; Hancock & Vasmatzidis, 2003;
21 Koslow, 2004) but two of them catch our attention. In the first one, Wyon, Anderson and
22 Lundqvist (1972) reported that females could better withstand the negative effects of heat
23 stress than males. In the second one, Lan, Lian, Liu & Liu (2008) found that males prefer
24 cooler room temperature than females who furthermore are less sensitive to hygrometry. We
25 are particularly interested in these results because the gender effect in MR was observed in

1 neutral climate. Given that male and female reported differences in temperature and
2 hygrometry acceptance, we may postulate that tropical climate (i.e., hot and wet climatic
3 environment) could alter the gender effect observed in neutral condition. Our primary aim
4 was to replicate the gender effect in Air Conditioning (AC), a condition similar to neutral
5 climate used in most of MR studies. We also examined if the gender effect was replicated in
6 Tropical Climate (TC). The influence of this hot environment on MR ability will be more
7 precisely developed in the following paragraph. We predicted that the females, although they
8 have fewer MR performances than males as in AC, would be less affected by a hot and humid
9 environment than males.

10 Kosonen and Tan (2004) suggested that thermal environment (including heat and
11 hygrometry) is one of the most important indoor environmental factor that affect human
12 mental performance as work productivity. According to many authors, heat stress is
13 associated with decreased performance across multiple tasks (Berg et al., 2015; Gaoua, 2010;
14 Qian et al., 2015). The physiological responses of the human body to heat are well
15 understood, modeled and documented (Hancock & Vasmatazidis, 2003). In contrast, despite a
16 growing body of experimental studies in this area, the effects of heat stress on human
17 cognitive abilities are less well understood. Ramsey and Kwon (1992) confirmed that simple
18 mental tasks show little, if any, decrement in the heat. They added that, more difficult tasks
19 (perceptual motor tasks) show the onset of decrements the range between 30–33°C, regardless
20 of the duration of exposure. Qian and collaborators (2015) proposed that heat stress has a
21 potential fatigue-enhancing effect when individual is performing highly cognition-demanding
22 attention task. Moreover, Gaoua (2010) found that there was an impairment in working
23 memory and suggested that exposure to a hot environment is a competing variable to the
24 cognitive processes. According to Tomasino and Gremese (2016), MR is a complex cognitive
25 task that imply working memory and requires cognitive manipulation, comparison and spatial

1 transformation of the imagined object (Guillot, Champely, Batier, Thiriet & Collet, 2007,
2 Wexler et al., 1998). We could therefore hypothesize that heat stress, which is well known to
3 negatively influence performance in complex tasks, would also influence MR ability. MR is
4 known to enhance motor performance (Hoyeck, Collet, Fargier, & Guillot, 2012), spatial
5 route learning (Moffat, Hampson, & Hatzipantelis, 1998), math achievement (Voyer, 1996) or
6 learning improvement (Hoyeck et al., 2009), that's why a better understanding and use of MR
7 would benefits numerous students, athletes and people living in TC. The second aim was to
8 evaluate whether tropical climate influences MR ability. We predicted that the performance of
9 the participants would be affected by the deleterious effect of a hot and wet climatic
10 environment.

11 According to Kosslyn, Thompson, Wraga and Alpert (2001), MR and Motor Imagery
12 (MI) activate similar (i.e., primary motor cortex area 4) and specific neural structures (for a
13 review see Tomasino, & Gremese, 2016) but are two complementary process (Hoyeck, Collet,
14 & Guillot, 2010, Sirigu, & Duhamel, 2001). Wohlschlagel and Wohlschlagel (1998) provide a
15 substantial direct link between motor process and mental image transformation. Moreover,
16 Wexler et al. (1998) showed a specific detailed interaction between motor rotation of action
17 and visual mental image using a dual-task paradigm in which subjects performed the Cooper–
18 Shepard mental rotation task while executing an unseen motor rotation. As suggested by
19 Hoyeck et al. (2010), it could be considered that for a wide variety of sports and particularly
20 in those using rotation (i.e., dance, judo or gymnastics), MR abilities are needed (Habacha,
21 Molinaro, & Dosseville, 2014), as it is also the case for MI (Schack, Essig, Frank, & Koester,
22 2014). Finally, Sirigu and Duhamel (2001) concluded that MI contributes to MR in normal
23 subjects.

24 According to Williams et al. (2012), MI is the mental representation or cognitive
25 rehearsal of a motor act or movement in the absence of any overt motor output. It can

1 facilitate learning, improve performance, increase muscle strength and also modify cognition
2 as regulation of arousal and anxiety (Cumming & Williams, 2012; Guillot, Tolleran, & Collet,
3 2010). It is now well established that the effectiveness of MI as a performance enhancing
4 strategy depends upon one's capacity to generate mental images of specific movements
5 (Seiler, Monsma, & Newman-Norlund, 2015). According to the psychology literature, visual
6 (i.e., what an individual sees) and kinaesthetic (i.e., feeling or polysensory experience of the
7 body while performing a movement) are the two most common sensory modes of generating
8 images. A comprehensive yet inexpensive method of screening participants visual and
9 kinaesthetic imagery abilities is the use of self-report questionnaires (Goss et al., 1986; Hall,
10 Bernoties, & Schmidt, 1995). According to Williams et al. (2012), one of the most popular
11 and commonly used questionnaires is the revised version of the Movement Imagery
12 Questionnaire (MIQ-R; Hall & Martin, 1997). The MIQ-R is a briefer version of its
13 predecessor, the Movement Imagery Questionnaire (MIQ; Hall & Pongrac, 1983). It assesses
14 the ability to mentally see and feel simple movements. In the Goss et al. (1986) study, of the
15 219 subjects completing the MIQ, 22 fell into good imagery group and 19 fell into the poor
16 imagery group. It has been shown that individuals with higher levels of imagery ability "good
17 imagers" experience greater benefits from imagery compared with their lower level
18 counterparts "poor imagers" (Hall, Bernoties, & Schmidt, 1995; Hall, Buckolz, & Fishburne,
19 1989; Robin et al., 2007; Toussaint, Robin, & Blandin, 2010). Authors concluded that a good
20 imagery ability allowed better and faster acquisition, learning and memory encoding than
21 poor imagery ability. The good versus poor imagers difference was confirmed using different
22 techniques as the measure of autonomic nervous response (Guillot, Collet, & Dittmar, 2005)
23 or brain activity with functional magnetic resonance imaging (Guillot et al., 2008).
24 Consequently, based on Robin et al. (2007), we hypothesize that good imagers would have
25 better performance of a given task than poor imagers who have difficulties to build vivid

1 mental images. Moreover, Guillot, and collaborators (2005) using a task of service return in
2 table tennis, investigated the effectiveness of motor imagery when performed in a context
3 close to actual practice situations rather than in a neutral environment. They showed that some
4 environmental conditions (i.e., tactile, kinaesthetic and auditory information's), helped
5 athletes to visualize and feel sensations elicited by actual practice. Specific environmental
6 conditions, can therefore have a beneficial effect on MI making coherent the hypothesis that
7 other conditions as TC which is characterized by a hot and wet climatic environment, could
8 degrade them in contrast. To date, the effect of TC on mental imagery, to our knowledge,
9 remains unstudied. As, the MIQ-R lacks of rotation movement, the use of the MR, together,
10 should be useful to permits a better evaluation of imagery process.

11 Results of MR studies have been inconsistent in showing a relationship between
12 variations in imagery ability and task performance (Richardson, 1980). For example, Logie et
13 al. (2011) observed that high and low imagers differed in error and in brain activation patterns
14 whereas Habacha and collaborators (2014) revealed no significant difference. Thus, the third
15 aim of the present paper was to evaluate whether the tropical climate influences MR upon
16 participants' imagery ability. We predicted that the mental rotation performance of good
17 imagers would be less affected by the deleterious effect of a hot and wet climatic environment
18 than that of poor imagers.

19 Methodology

20 Participants

21 One hundred and thirteen participants self declared right-handed gave their informed
22 consent to participate in the study (62 females, 51 males; $M_{\text{age}} = 22.87$ years, age range: 19–
23 26 years). All were Guadeloupian students in the University of Antilles (in the French West
24 Indies) living in the tropical environment throughout the year and were recruited by course
25 credit. As many factors can influence mental performance, before each session, participants

1 completed a control self-report questionnaire evaluating their sports activity (nature and
2 intensity, competitive level, consumption of medicine, drug, alcoholic and non-alcoholic
3 drinks in the 24 h preceding each experimental trial). Participants had recreational or club
4 sport level and none of the them revealed having a very high sport practice intensity or to be
5 thirsty before the sessions. Two participants were tested, but were excluded because they
6 reported the use of alcoholic drinks and medicine. The participants completed all the sessions,
7 but for the second statistical analyses including imagery ability, only 44 participants (25
8 females and 19 males) were selected ($M_{\text{age}} = 21.75$ years, $SD = 1.42$ years) with regard to
9 their MIQ-R scores: Bad imagers (13 females, 10 males; $n = 23$; scores < 18) and good
10 imagers (12 females, 9 males; $n = 21$; scores > 36) groups (see Goss et al., 1986, for similar
11 participant selection).

12 **Measures, Material and Task**

13 **Temperature.** Tropical climate is characterized by consistently high monthly
14 temperatures, often exceeding 18°C throughout the year, and rainfall that exceeds
15 evapotranspiration for at least 270 days per year (Salati, Lovejoy, & Vose, 1983). According
16 to the annual climatic bulletin (2015), these values varied across the day. For example,
17 between 10:00 am and 04:00 pm, indoor mean temperature can frequently reach $31 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$
18 (hygrometry = $80\% \pm 10\%$ rH) but in air conditioning rooms the mean temperature generally
19 measured is $24 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ (hygrometry = $45\% \pm 5\%$ rH). The present experiment was conducted
20 on a rectangular room (5×7 meters) where we can close the windows in order to elevate
21 temperature or use air conditioning to refresh temperature. Sessions were realized in an air
22 conditioning (AC) condition (mean temperature = 24.06°C , $SD = 0.73$; and hygrometry = 46.5
23 % rH, $SD = 3.9$) or tropical climate (TC) condition (mean temperature = 31.04°C , $SD = 0.31$;
24 and hygrometry = 71.2% rH, $SD = 8.2$). Temperature and hygrometry were recorded with a
25 thermohygrometer Fisherbrand (hygrometry precision $\pm 5\%$ rH from 35 to 75% rH, and $\pm 8\%$

1 rH beyond; temperature precision $\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$).

2 **Imagery.** During each experimental session, all the participants completed the
3 Movement Imagery Questionnaire–Revised (MIQ-R) (Hall & Martin, 1997). The MIQ-R is a
4 questionnaire assessing movement imagery ability for basic movements. The construct
5 validity (factorial structure and internal consistency) and the test-retest reliability of the
6 available French version were duly validated (Lorant & Nicolas, 2004). It consists in a total of
7 16 items and two scales (i.e., the visual imagery scale and the kinaesthetic imagery scale). It
8 contains seven items pertaining to visual imagery and seven items pertaining to kinaesthetic
9 imagery. The actions to be performed, which are similar in the two scales, involve the upper
10 limb, the lower limb, the whole body and actions in daily life. At the outset and for each item,
11 the examiner reads to the participants a description of the movement to be carried out. Then,
12 the participants are asked to actually perform the movement and then to either visually or
13 kinaesthetically imagine it. Following this step, they are asked to rate how difficult/easy it
14 was to imagine on two 7-point Likert-type scales (1 = *very hard to see/feel* and 7 = *very easy*
15 *to see/feel*). Then, the items for each subscale are averaged, a higher score representing a
16 greater ease of imaging.

17 **Mental rotation test.** During this experiment, we used the Vandenberg Mental
18 Rotation Test (VMRT), elaborated by Vandenberg and Kuse (1978) from the original figures
19 of Shepard and Metzler (1971). This test was translated in 1990 and standardized on a French
20 population of high-school students by Albaret and Aubert (1996). This is a paper-and-pencil
21 test of spatial visualization constructed from the figures used in the chronometric study of
22 Shepard and Metzler (1971). The VMRT contains 20 items in five sets of four items. It
23 consists of one reference figure on the left and four target figures on the right. The
24 participants have to mentally rotate the target figures in space to find the two correct items
25 that match the reference. 2 points are attributed for every line containing two correct choices

1 and a scoring method discouraging guessing was used, credit being given for an item only if
2 both correct test figures were properly identified (see Albaret & Aubert, 1996, for similar
3 procedure). The scores for each individual ranged from 0 to 40. The test was to be completed
4 within a 6-minute period.

5 **Procedure**

6 The present experiment was conducted during a regularly scheduled class. All
7 participants performed the procedure with a two-week interval between sessions, one in AC
8 and one in TC. These conditions were presented in a randomized order. During each session,
9 the participants completed the control questionnaire, the MIQ-R and the VMRT.

10 **Data Analysis**

11 For each participant, scores at the MIQ-R, and VMRT were measured. The dependent
12 variables were submitted to ANOVAs (with repeated measures on the second factor) using the
13 gender (female and male) as between-participants factor and the temperature condition (TC
14 and AC) as a within-participants factor and to ANOVAs (with repeated measures on the
15 second factor) using the imagery ability (good and poor imagers) and gender (female and
16 male) as between-participants factor and the temperature conditions (TC and AC) as a within-
17 participants factor in a second time. Moreover, order effect was tested for all the groups. All
18 significant main effects and interactions were broken down using the Newman-Keuls
19 technique. We verified that all variables were normally distributed using Kolmogorov-
20 Smirnov test. Alpha was set at .05 for all analyses and effect sizes (η^2) were indicated.

21 **Results**

22 **Analyses on Mental Rotation**

23 ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of gender, $F(1, 111) = 9.79$, $\eta^2 = 0.08$, but
24 did not reveal any significant main temperature condition, $F(1, 111) = 0.930$, $\eta^2 = 0.008$.

1 ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of visual imagery ability, $F(1, 40) = 99.9$, η^2
2 $= 0.79$, and temperature condition, $F(1, 40) = 7.66$, $\eta^2 = 0.16$, (Newman-Keuls test, $p < .05$)
3 (Figure 3). ANOVA did not reveal any significant main effect of gender, $F(1, 40) = 2.06$, η^2
4 $= 0.04$, or interactions between imagery ability and gender, $F(1, 40) = 0.00$, $\eta^2 = 0.00$,
5 temperature condition and imagery ability, $F(1, 40) = 0.08$, $\eta^2 = 0.00$, temperature condition
6 and gender, $F(1, 40) = 1.83$, $\eta^2 = 0.04$ and temperature condition and imagery ability and
7 gender, $F(1, 40) = 0.18$, $\eta^2 = 0.00$.

8 Moreover, planned comparisons revealed that good imagers have worse visual imagery
9 MIQ-R scores in TC than in AC, $F(1, 40) = 5.08$, $\eta^2 = 0.12$. The mean visual imagery MIQ-R
10 scores was 1.4 points inferior in TC than in AC, although there was no significant difference
11 between conditions for poor imagers, $F(1, 40) = 2.83$, $p = .09$, $\eta^2 = 0.06$ (Figure 4 and Table
12 1).

13 _____
14 Figures 3, 4 and Table 1 near here
15 _____

16 **Analyses on Kinaesthetic Imagery**

17 The ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of kinaesthetic imagery ability, $F(1, 40) =$
18 337.6 , $\eta^2 = 0.97$ and temperature condition, $F(1, 40) = 5.48$, $\eta^2 = 0.12$ (Newman-Keuls, $p <$
19 $.05$) (Figure 5). However, ANOVA did not reveal any significant main effect of gender, $F(1,$
20 $40) = 0.26$, $\eta^2 = 0.00$, or interactions between imagery ability and gender, $F(1, 40) = 0.52$, η^2
21 $= 0.00$, temperature condition and imagery ability, $F(1, 40) = 0.16$, $\eta^2 = 0.00$ temperature
22 condition and gender, $F(1, 40) = 0.07$, $\eta^2 = 0.00$ or temperature condition and imagery ability
23 and gender, $F(1, 40) = 0.59$, $\eta^2 = 0.01$.

24 Moreover, planned comparisons revealed that poor imagers tend to have worse
25 kinaesthetic imagery MIQ-R scores in TC than in AC, $F(1, 40) = 3.52$, $p = .067$, $\eta^2 = 0.08$

1 (the mean kinaesthetic imagery difference was 1.7 points see table 2), whereas there was no
2 significant difference between conditions for good imagers (Figure 6).

3

4

Figures 5, 6 and Table 2 near here

5

6 **Order Effect**

7 For all the groups, no order effect was observed between temperature condition ($p > .05$).

8

8 **Discussion**

9 The primary aim of this study was to examine the effects of temperature condition and
10 gender on MR ability. Many studies have shown evidence that males and females differ in
11 their imagery generating capabilities (Ozel et al., 2001; Richardson, 1995; Hoyeck et al.,
12 2009). The results of the current study, in AC condition, replicate the previously reported
13 finding that men exhibit better spatial abilities than women. Two main factors might
14 contribute to explain these gender differences: The “psychosocial” variety (stereotype threat,
15 sex-role identification, experience and socialization) and the “biological” variety (e.g., sexual
16 hormones) (Titze, Jansen, & Heil, 2010). For example, Gur and collaborators (1999) observed
17 that the percentage of gray matter is higher in females, while the percentage of white matter,
18 and cerebrospinal fluid is augmented in males during imagery. According to this viewpoint,
19 differences in cortical activation patterns and most especially hemispheric lateralization of
20 brain activity were consistently reported (Seurinck, Vingerhoets, de Lange, & Achten, 2004).

21 An absence of gender difference in VMRT scores was observed in TC. However, the
22 fact that men deteriorated their performance between AC and TC whereas women
23 performance was not statistically different between these two conditions seems important to
24 be considered and could be explained in various ways. Firstly, as hypothesized, we can
25 presume that females can better withstand the negative effects of heat stress than males as

1 argued by Wyon et al. (1979) and Lan et al. (2008). Moreover, the latter authors observed that
2 females are less sensitive to hygrometry than males. As referred to by Habacha et al. (2014),
3 we may envisage that the women used a different strategy than the men: As a matter of fact,
4 the men's use of holistic strategy may have been facilitated by life experience and
5 construction games (Alexander & Evardone, 2008). Moreover a right hemisphere dominance
6 was observed in men while performing MR (for a review see Vogel, Bowers, & Vogel, 2003),
7 whereas no difference was noted in women (while performing the same test) what seems to
8 suggest the use of a different strategy. We may consider that the women's strategy, though
9 less effective than that of the men, must have been less affected by TC. We can therefore
10 envisage that one or many of these possibilities might explain why women VMRT
11 performance was slightly affected by TC. On the contrary, males are more sensitive to heat
12 and prefer cool environment as suggested by Karjalainen (2011). Therefore, as proposed by
13 Lan et al. (2011), the negative effects of elevated temperature in TC, causing thermal
14 discomfort, have probably negatively influenced the performance in MR for males. Indeed,
15 the authors observed that when subjects felt warm, they assessed the air quality (heat and
16 hygrometry) to be worse, expressed more negative mood, and were less willing to exert effort.

17 Secondly, as suggested in the previous paragraph, hygrometry can influence mental
18 performance. Hygrometry is a variable that has drawn little attention in the heat stress
19 literature. Pepler (1958), one of the rare exceptions, tested tracking performance under high
20 (80% rH) and low (20% rH) conditions, each realized at four different temperatures (22, 26,
21 29 and 34°C). The author identified a significant performance decline between 22°C and 26°C
22 for the 80% rH environment, and for the 20% rH environment a significant decrement was
23 obtained between the highest temperatures of 26 and 29°C. In the current study, the choice of
24 temperature in AC (mean temperature = 24.06°C) and TC (mean temperature = 31.04°C) was
25 made to come closest to local conditions in classroom of the University of Antilles. Because

1 of the gap between between TC 71.2 % rH and AC 46.5 % rH (due to Air Conditioning
2 system), hygrometry may have influenced participants' cognitive performance, particularly
3 men. Indeed, Vasmatzidis, Schlegel, and Hancock (2002) found that, at 34°C (but not at
4 28°C), the high level of hygrometry (70% rH) was more detrimental to time-sharing
5 performance than the lower level of 30% rH. A gender-based additional research is needed to
6 investigate the hygrometry effects on MR. For example, realizing the VMRT at 31°C and a
7 low level (30% rH) should permit to dissociate the influence of heat and hygrometry on
8 gender.

9 Thirdly, we may envisage that the high performance participants variability (men =
10 7.41, and women = 7.34 SD), in TC, did not allow to highlight a gender VMRT scores
11 difference. Gender effect could have been significant with more participants.

12 The second aim of the current study was to evaluate whether the tropical climate
13 influences MR ability. This study was realized in TC partially characterized by hot
14 environment. It is difficult to conclude whether heat exposure does (Cian et al., 2000;
15 Ernwein & Keller, 1998; Hocking, Silberstein, Lau, Stough, & Roberts, 2001) or does not
16 (Amos, Hansen, Lau, & Michalski, 2000) have an adverse effect upon cognitive function and
17 under what specific environmental conditions these alterations appear (Gaoua, 2011). It is
18 generally shown that simple tasks such as reaction time are less vulnerable to heat stress than
19 more complex tasks such as vigilance, tracking and multiple tasks performed together
20 (Hancock & Vasmatzidis, 2003). Tomasino et Gremese (2016) proposed that MR is a
21 complex cognitive task. Therefore, as hypothesized, heat stress would negatively influence
22 VMRT performance. The results obtained in this study, showing a significant decreased of
23 performance for men in TC (in comparison to AC), partially confirm our hypothesis. This
24 result indicates that TC can negatively influence MR. As previously suggested, the thermal
25 discomfort caused by hot temperature and high hygrometry have probably negatively

1 influenced the performance in MR in males. In fact, it is impossible to definitely assert
2 whether heat stress or hygrometry or both factors negatively influence MR performance. A
3 study in a climate chamber would permit to dissociate the influence of these two factors on
4 MR. Moreover, Hancock and Vastmatzidis (2003) argued that attention is a factor that must
5 be considered. Indeed, as the level of environmental stress increases (by increasing
6 temperature and hygrometry for example), attentional resources are progressively drained.
7 The fact that men deteriorated their VMRT performance in TC, in comparison to AC, should
8 be explained by the fact that TC imposes to them a supplementary constraint in term of
9 cognitive resources. The measure of attention besides MR and a comparison of the results
10 obtained in TC and AC, could permit to answer this question. Finally, Paivio (1985) proposed
11 that mental practice besides inducing cognitive processes that supplement those induced by
12 physical practice have a motivational role. It is therefore possible that thermal stress in TC
13 induces a central fatigue that negatively influences the men motivation in MR and MI as
14 suggested by Qian and collaborators (2015).

15 However, the absence of significant main effect of temperature condition (AC versus
16 TC) on VMRT scores lead us to also wonder on task difficulty. MR task usually generates an
17 robust set of behavioral findings, with the time taken to respond to each stimulus pair
18 increasing with the angle of rotation between them (Debarnot, Piolino, Baron, & Guillot ,
19 2013). We may envisage that lager angle rotations are most susceptible to be influenced by
20 TC than smaller ones. However, the task used in our study did not investigate the angles of
21 rotation what can possibly mask the influence of TC. It is also possible that the VMRT was
22 not a rather complex task, like the mental transformation task evoked by Hancock and
23 Vasmatzidis (2003) which is less vulnerable to heat stress than more complex tasks. Indeed,
24 in Tomasino and Gremese (2016) study, the term of MR encompassed a large variety of tasks
25 using objects, body parts or combination of the two, for whom different factors can influence

1 mental rotation operations. For example, the activation in the brain can be modulated by the
2 type of stimulus in MR task. Neuropsychological studies observed that different operations
3 may be recruited in MR depending on whether the stimulus type is a two or three-dimensional
4 object or a body part (Kosslyn, Di Girolamo, Thompson, & Alpert, 1998). We may envisage
5 that the specific operations used to resolve the VMRT was moderately influenced by TC and
6 it is possible that another MR test using other strategies and more complex operations should
7 be more affected by this environment. Further research are needed in order to investigate
8 whether TC differentially influence several MR tests using different type of stimulus and
9 strategy.

10 The third aim of the current study was to evaluate whether tropical climate influences
11 VMRT scores upon participants imagery ability. Our results are consistent with those of
12 Habacha et al. (2014) who observed that high and low imagers performed equally well on this
13 test and those of Logie and collaborators (2011) who observed similar mean response times
14 for high and low imagers in a mental rotation task. However, the latest authors reported that
15 low imagers exhibit less accuracy for large angle rotations of images than for small angle
16 rotations. In contrast with the task used by Logie et al. (2011), the VMRT used in our study
17 did not investigate the angles of rotation but the accuracy of the answers. These authors
18 concluded that poor imagers probably used a different strategy to solve the task than good
19 imagers and that their strategy was prone to error. In their study, participants were permitted
20 as much time as they needed to complete each trial. Thus, they had time to use their own
21 strategies to solve the task. In contrast, the participants in our experiment were given only 6
22 min to complete the VMRT (as recommended by Albaret & Aubert, 1996). As Habacha and
23 collaborators (2014), which used the same time constraint, we may envisage that participants
24 were under time pressure, which has the potential to affect scores on mental rotation tasks
25 (Voyer, 1997). Consequently, as suggested by Habacha et al. (2014), it is likely that in the

1 VMRT, most of the participants used similar strategies, specifically the one recommended by
2 the experimenter (i.e., “try to rotate one object until it is aligned with the other”). A
3 questionnaire assessing the different MR strategies used in the VMRT would have allowed to
4 answer the question.

5 The significant interaction observed, in this study, between imagery ability and
6 climate condition revealing that poor imagers had worse VMRT scores in TC than in AC
7 reinforces the fact that it is necessary to take climate condition into account when measuring
8 imagery ability which is particularly affected by environmental conditions. Indeed MIQ-R
9 scores were worse in TC than in AC for the visual and kinaesthetic imagery. As suggested by
10 Guillot et al. (2005) it seems important to consider the environment in which mental imagery
11 is realized. Moreover, Logie et al. (2011) revealed that poor and good imagers activate
12 networks differently in mental rotation. These results are consistent with Guillot et al. (2008)
13 who observed the activation of common cerebral structures, but more diffuse neural networks
14 on poor imagers, as well during the real execution so as during the motor imagery. Such
15 findings reinforced the hypothesis that it is important to measure individual imagery ability
16 differences. Previous studies have shown that this factor influences the ease with which
17 simple movements patterns can be learned (Goss et al., 1986), and improves the impact of
18 motor imagery upon the accuracy of complex motor tasks (Isaac, 1992). In a tennis task,
19 Robin et al. (2007) showed that good imagers had better performance than poor imagers after
20 a motor imagery learning process. Poor imagers can benefit from an imagery practice but they
21 might need more trials to become as effective as good imagers (Goss et al., 1986). Moreover
22 they have to previously develop a sensory-specific representation of actions to successfully
23 employ MI as suggested by Toussaint et al. (2010).

24 The deleterious effect of TC on MIQ-R scores, mentioned in the current study, lead us
25 to wonder about the imagery ability stability depending of environmental conditions. It seems

1 therefore important to take the temperature condition in which imagery ability questionnaires
2 are realized into account. Indeed, good imagers had significant lower visual imagery MIQ-R
3 scores in TC than in AC. Moreover, although being weak, we observed a decrease of MIQ-R
4 visual imagery performance in TC in comparison to AC in poor imagers (see Table 1). These
5 results could be explained by the Maximal Adaptability Model (Hancock & Warm, 1989),
6 which assumes that heat exerts its detrimental effects on performance by competing for and
7 eventually draining attentional resources. Moreover, the fact that TC tend to deteriorate the
8 poor imagers kinaesthetic imagery MIQ-R scores, whereas the performance of good imagers
9 was less affected (see Table 2) could be explained by the fact that not only does TC makes it
10 more difficult for poor imagers to generate vivid and accurate kinaesthetic images of action
11 but it also imposes on them further constraint in term of cognitive resources. For example, in
12 a recent study, Chase, Karwowski, Benedict, Quesade, and Irwin-Chase (2003) reported poor
13 task performance at 35°C due to the inability of the participants to successfully allocate
14 attention to the tasks of the study. According to Hocking and collaborators (2001) the
15 performance in the cognitive tasks would deteriorate when the total resources are insufficient
16 for both the task and the thermal stress. We may suppose that this was the case when poor
17 imagers had to realize kinaesthetic imagery in TC. The fact that good imagers kinaesthetic
18 imagery was less affected by TC could be explained by the fact that the addition of the
19 resource needed for mental imagery plus the resources assigned for thermal stress do not
20 overtake the total resources of Global Workspace. Further research is needed to test the
21 influence of TC on attentional process.

22 Finally, the gender differences, in VMRT scores, with men outperforming women
23 were not observed when participants were selected on the basis of their MIQ-R scores. Logie
24 et al. (2011) examined the difference between good and poor imagers in a mental rotation task
25 and no gender effect according to imagery ability was reported. Many authors (Lorant &

1 Nicolas, 2004; Monsma, Short, Hall, Gregg, & Sullivan, 2009; Williams et al., 2012) have
2 already demonstrated that there does not exist a significant difference between men and
3 women as regards motor imagery ability and this finding was corroborated in our sample.

4 One limit of this study could be the relatively small number of participants selected on
5 the basis of their MIQ-R scores (i.e., 40). However most of studies using the MIQ or MIQ-R,
6 used the same strict selection criteria (Goss et al., 1986; Robin et al., 2007; Toussaint et al.,
7 2010). For example, in Goss et al. (1986) study only 22 participants were selected as good
8 imagers and 19 as poor imagers, all other participants being in intermediate category.

9 Another limit could be the tests used in this study. The choice of the MIQ-R and the
10 VMRT, that are two pencil-paper test, was done because they can be realized everywhere, and
11 can be beneficial in the field of sport and education. Moreover, they are the two most
12 commonly used and validated in French tests, which is not the case of all the “English” tests
13 that are not available in French validated version. For example, the use of the VMRT was
14 reported in numerous studies (Debarnot et al., 2013; Habacha et al., 2011; Hoyeck et al.,
15 2012). However, according to Logie et al. (2011), in MR task, participants might use mental
16 imagery to perform mental rotation, and others might use a propositional strategy for the same
17 task. Indeed, both strategies are available. The existence of multiple strategies may explain
18 why it was difficult to convincingly demonstrate the discriminant validity of the visualization
19 and spatial orientation factors (Just & Carpenter, 1985). Contrary to Habacha et al. (2014)
20 who suggested that participants had similar MR strategies, it is therefore possible that, in a
21 particular group, participants resorted to different strategies in view against the significance of
22 the results. Indeed, using six paper-and-pencil tests of spatial abilities, Hegarty and Waller
23 (2004) observed that each test have a dominant strategy, that there is some variability in the
24 strategies used to solve all spatial tests and that no test is solved using the same strategy by all
25 individuals.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

Conclusion

The present study highlights the effect of tropical environment on mental rotation, as well as the necessity to take imagery ability into consideration. The results obtained in AC replicate the previous reported finding that men exhibit better spatial abilities than women. Moreover, the absence of main temperature condition effect showing no significant differences on the VMRT scores between TC and AC confirm the results generally observed in the literature (Hancock & Vasmatzidis, 2003) if we consider that VMRT is not a very complex task. The fact that TC negatively influences men's performance prompts us to question the differential effects of heat and hygrometry. Moreover, the fact that MIQ-R scores were negatively affected by tropical condition, leads to wonder about the imagery ability stability depending on environmental conditions. It seems important to consider temperature condition in which imagery ability is assessed. In agreement with the results of this study and the literature, it is reasonable to suggest that, at school or university in tropical environment, it is preferable to realize mental imagery and mental rotation as well as all difficult cognitive tasks in AC rather than in TC.

References

- 1
2 Albaret, J.-M., & Aubert, E. (1996). Test de rotation mentale de Vandenberg Etalonnage 15-
3 19 ans. *Evolutions Psychomotrices*, 8(34), 206–215.
- 4 Alexander, G. M., & Evardone, M. (2008). Blocks and bodies: Sex differences in a novel
5 version of the Mental Rotations Test. *Hormones and Behavior*, 53(1), 177–184.
6 doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2007.09.014
- 7 Amos, D., Hansen, R., Lau, W.-M., & Michalski, J. T. (2000). Physiological and cognitive
8 performance of soldiers conducting routine patrol and reconnaissance in the tropics.
9 *Military Medicine*, 165, 961–966.
- 10 Berg, R., Inaba, K., Sullivan, M., Okoye, O., Siboni, S., Minneti, M., Teixeira, P., &
11 Demetriades, D. (2015). The impact of heat stress on operative performance and
12 cognitive function during simulated laparoscopic operative tasks. *Surgery Volume*,
13 157, 87–95. doi:10.1016/j.surg.2014.06.012
- 14 Chase, B., Karwowski, W., Benedict, M., Qesade, P., & Irwin-Chase, H. (2003). A study of
15 computer-based task performance under thermal stress. *International Journal of*
16 *Occupational Safety and Ergonomics*, 9, 5–15. doi:10.1080/10803548.2003.11076550
- 17 Cian, C., Koulmann, N., Barraud, P. A., Raphel, C., Jimenez, C., & Melin, B. (2000).
18 Influence of variation in body hydration on cognitive function: Effect of
19 hyperhydration, heat stress and exercise-induced dehydration. *Journal of*
20 *Psychophysiology*, 14, 29–36. doi:10.1027//0269-8803.14.1.29
- 21 Cumming, J., & Williams, S. (2012). Sport imagery ability predicts trait confidence and
22 anxiety intensity and direction. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 34, 220-229.
- 23 Debarnot, U., Piolino, P., Baron, J. C., & Guillot, A. (2013). Mental rotation: effects of
24 gender, training and sleep consolidation. *PLoS One*, 8, e60296.
25 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060296

- 1 Ernwein, V., & Keller, D. (1998). Exercice musculaire et environnement thermique chaud:
2 impacts sur les processus décisionnels chez des sportifs de grands terrains. [Effects of
3 muscular calibrated exercise in a heated environment on a pointing task by football
4 players]. *Science et Sports*, *13*, 93–96. doi:10.1016/S0765-1597(97)86908-0
- 5 Gaoua, N. (2010). Cognitive function in hot environments: A question of methodology.
6 *Scandinavian Journal of Medecine & Science in Sports*, *20*(3), 60–70.
7 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01210.x
- 8 Guillot, A., Champely, S., Batier, C., Thiriet, P., & Collet, C. (2007). Relationship between
9 spatial abilities, mental rotation and functional anatomy learning. *Advances in Health
10 Science Educatio.*, *12*, 491–507. doi:10.1007/s10459-006-9021-7
- 11 Guillot, A., Collet, C., & Dittmar, A. (2005). Influence of environmental context on motor
12 imagery quality: An automatic nervous system study. *Biology of Sport*, *22*, 215–226.
- 13 Guillot, A., Collet, C., Nguyen, V., Malouin, F., Richards, C., & Doyon, J. (2008). Functional
14 neuroanatomical networks associated with expertise in motor imagery ability.
15 *Neuroimage*, *41*, 1471–1483. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.03.042.
- 16 Guillot, A., Tolleran, C., & Collet, C. (2010). Does motor imagery enhance stretching and
17 flexibility? *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *28*, 291–298.
18 doi:10.1080/02640410903473828
- 19 Goss, S., Hall, C. R., Buckolz, E., & Fishburne, G. J. (1986). Imagery ability and the
20 acquisition and retention of movements. *Memory and Cognition*, *14*, 469–477.
21 doi:10.3758/BF03202518
- 22 Gur, R. C., Turetsky, B. I., Matsui, M., Yan, M., Bilker, W., Hughett, P., & Gur, R. E. (1999).
23 Sex differences in brain gray and white matter in healthy young adults: Correlations
24 with cognitive performance. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *19*, 4065–4072.

- 1 Habacha, H., Molinaro, C., & Dosseville, F. (2014). Effects of gender, imagery ability and
2 sports practice on the performance of a mental rotation task. *American Journal of*
3 *Psychology*, 127(3), 313–323.
- 4 Hall, C. R., Bernoties, L., & Schmidt, D. (1995). Interference effects of mental imagery on a
5 motor task. *Brain Journal of Psychology*, 86, 181–190. doi:10.1111/j.2044-
6 8295.1995.tb02555.x
- 7 Hall, C. R., Buckolz, E., & Fishburne, G. J. (1989). Searching for a relationship between
8 imagery ability and memory of movements. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, 17,
9 89–100.
- 10 Hall, C. R., & Martin, K. (1997). Measuring movement imagery abilities: A revision of the
11 movement imagery questionnaire. *Journal of Mental Imagery*, 21, 143–54.
12 doi:10.1037/t07979-000
- 13 Hall, C. R., & Pongrac, J. (1983). Movement Imagery Questionnaire. London, Ontario:
14 University of Western Ontario.
- 15 Hancock, P., & Vasmatazidis, I. (2003). Effects of heat stress on cognitive performance: The
16 current state of knowledge. *International Journal of Hyperthermia*, 19, 355–372.
17 doi:10.1080/0265673021000054630
- 18 Hancock, P. A., & Warm, J. S. (1989). A dynamic model of stress and sustained attention.
19 *Human Factors*, 31, 519–37. doi:10.7771/2327-2937.1024
- 20 Hegarty, M., & Waller, D. (2004). A dissociation between mental rotation and perspective-
21 taking abilities. *Intelligence*, 32, 175-191.
- 22 Hocking, C., Silberstein, R. B., Lau, W. M., Stough, C., & Roberts, W. (2001). Evaluation of
23 cognitive performance in the heat by functional brain imaging and psychometric
24 testing. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A Molecular Integrative*
25 *Physiology*, 128, 719–734. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(01)00278-1

- 1 Hoyek, N., Collet, C., Rastello, O., Fargier, P., Thiriet, P., & Guillot, A. (2009). Enhancement
2 of mental rotation abilities and its effect on learning. *Teaching and Learning in*
3 *Medicine, 21*, 201-206. doi:10.1080/10401330903014178
- 4 Hoyeck, N., Collet, C., Fargier, P., & Guillot, A. (2012). The use of the Vandenberg and Kuse
5 Mental Rotation test in Children. *Journal of Individual Differences, 33*, 62–67.
- 6 Hoyek, N., Collet, C., & Guillot, A. (2010). Représentation mentale et processus moteurs: le
7 cas de la rotation mentale. *Science & Motricité, 71*, 29–39. doi: 10.1051/sm/2009013
- 8 Isaac, A. (1992). Mental practice does it work in the field? *The Sport Psychologist, 6*, 192–
9 198.
- 10 Just, M. A., & Carpenter, P. A. (1985). Cognitive coordinate systems: Accounts of mental
11 rotation and individual differences in spatial ability. *Psychological Review, 92*, 137–
12 172.
- 13 Koslow, R. E. (2004). Sex-related differences and visual-spatial mental imagery as factors
14 affecting symbolic motor skill acquisition. *Sex Roles, 17*, 521–527.
15 doi:10.1007/BF00287733
- 16 Kosslyn, S. M., Di Girolamo, G. J., Thompson, W. L., & Alpert, N. M. (1998). Mental rotation
17 of objects versus hands: neural mechanisms revealed by positron emission
18 tomography. *Psychophysiology, 35*, 151–161. doi: 10.1111/1469-8986.3520151
- 19 Kosslyn, S. M., Thompson, W. L., Wraga, M., & Alpert, N. M. (2001). Imagining rotation by
20 endogenous versus exogenous forces: distinct neural mechanisms. *Neuroreport, 12*,
21 2519–2525. doi:10.1097/00001756-200108080-00046
- 22 Kosonen, R., & Tan, F. (2004). Assessment of productivity loss in air-conditioned buildings
23 using PMV index. *Energy Building, 36*, 987–993. doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2004.06.021

- 1 Lan, L., Lian, Z., Liu, W. & Liu, Y. (2008). Investigation of gender difference in thermal
2 comfort for Chinese people. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *102*, 471–480.
3 doi:10.1007/s00421-007-0609-2
- 4 Logie, R. H., Pernet, C. R., Buonocore, A., & Della Sala, S. (2011). Low and high imagers
5 activate networks differentially in mental rotation. *Neuropsychologia*, *49*, 3071–3077.
6 doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.07.011
- 7 Lorant, J., & Nicolas, N. (2004). Validation de la traduction française du Movement Imagery
8 Questionnaire – Revised (MIQ-R) [Validation of the French translation of the
9 Movement Imagery Questionnaire-Revised (MIQ-R)]. *Sciences & Motricité*, *53*, 57–
10 68. doi:10.3917/sm.053.0057
- 11 Moffat, S. D., Hampson, E., & Hatzipantelis, M. (1998). Navigation in a ‘virtual’ maze: Sex
12 differences and correlation with psychometric measures of spatial ability in humans.
13 *Evolution and Human Behavior*, *19*, 73–87.
- 14 Monsma, E., Short, S., Hall, C., Gregg, M., & Sullivan, P. (2009). Psychometric properties of
15 the revised movement imagery questionnaire (MIQ-R). *Journal of Imagery Research
16 in Sport and Physical Activity*, *4*, 1–12. doi:10.2202/1932-0191.1027
- 17 Ozel, S., Molinaro, C. & Larue, J. (2001). Influence of sport on gender differences in spatial
18 imagery. *Homeostasis in Health & Disease*, *41*, 169–175.
- 19 Paivio, A. (1985). Cognitive and motivational functions of imagery in human performance.
20 *Canadian Journal of Applied Sport Sciences*, *10*, 22–28. Retrieved from [http://](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4085129)
21 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4085129.
- 22 Pepler, R. D. (1958). Warmth and performance: An investigation in the tropics. *Ergonomics*,
23 *2*, 63–88. doi:10.1080/00140135808930403
- 24 Qian, S., Li, M., Li, G., Liu, K., Li, B., Jiang, Q., Li, L., Yang, Z., & Sun, G. (2015).
25 Environmental heat stress enhances mental fatigue during sustained attention task

- 1 performing: Evidence from an ASL perfusion study. *Behavioral Brain Research*, 280,
2 6–15. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2014.11.036
- 3 Ramsey, J., & Kwon, Y. (1992). Recommended alert limits for perceptual motor loss in hot
4 environments. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 9, 245–257.
5 doi:10.1016/0169-8141(92)90018-U
- 6 Richardson, J. T. (1980). Mental Imagery and Stimulus Concreteness. *Journal of Mental*
7 *Imagery*, 4, 87–97.
- 8 Richardson, J. T. (1995). Gender differences in the Vividness of Visual Imagery
9 Questionnaire: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Mental Imagery*, 19, 177–187.
10 doi:10.1080/03075079512331381760
- 11 Robin, N., Dominique, L., Toussaint, L., Blandin, Y., Guillot, A., & Le Her, M. (2007).
12 Effects of motor imagery training on returning serve accuracy in tennis: The role of
13 imagery ability. *International Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 2, 177–188.
14 doi:10.1080/1612197X.2007.9671818
- 15 Salati, E., Lovejoy, T. E., & Vose, P. B. (1983). Precipitation and water recycling in tropical
16 rain forests with special reference to the amazon basim. *Environmentalist*, 3, 67–72.
17 doi: 10.1007/BF02240058
- 18 Schack, T., Essig, K., Frank, C., Koester, D. (2014). Mental representation and motor imagery
19 training. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 8, 1–10. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00328
- 20 Seiler, B., Monsma, E., & Newman-Norlund, R. (2015). Biological evidence of imagery
21 abilities: Intraindividual differences. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 37,
22 421–35. doi:10.1123/jsep.2014-0303
- 23 Seurinck, R., Vingerhoets, G., de Lange, F. R., & Achten, E. (2004). Does egocentric mental
24 rotation elicit sex differences? *Neuroimage*, 23, 1440–1449.
25 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.08.010

- 1 Shepard R. N., & Metzler, J. (1971). Mental rotation of three-dimensional objects. *Science*,
2 171, 701–703. doi:10.1126/science.171.3972.701
- 3 Sirigu, A., & Duhamel, J.R. (2001). Motor and visual imagery as two complementary but
4 neurally dissociable mental processes. *Journal of Cognitive Neurosciences*, 13, 910–
5 919. doi:10.1162/089892901753165827
- 6 Titze, C., Jansen, P., & Heil, M. (2010). Mental rotation performance and the effect of gender
7 in fourth graders and adults. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 7, 432–
8 444. doi:10.1080/17405620802548214
- 9 Tomasino, B., & Gremese, M. (2016). Effects of Stimulus Type and Strategy on Mental
10 Rotation Network: An Activation Likelihood Estimation Meta-Analysis. *Frontiers in*
11 *Neuroscience*, 9, 1–26. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00693
- 12 Toussaint, L., Robin, N., & Blandin, Y. (2010). On the content of sensorimotor
13 representations after actual and motor imagery practice. *Motor Control*, 14, 159–175.
- 14 Vandenberg, S. G., & Kuse, A. R. (1978). Mental rotations, a group test of three dimensional
15 spatial visualization. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 47, 599–604.
16 doi:10.2466/pms.1978.47.2.599
- 17 Vasmatzidis, I., Schlegel, R., & Hancock, P. (2002). An investigation of heat stress effects on
18 timesharing performance. *Ergonomics*, 45, 218–39. doi:10.1080/00140130210121941
- 19 Vogel, J. J., Bowers, C. A., & Vogel, D. S. (2003). Cerebral lateralization of spatial abilities:
20 A meta-analysis. *Brain and Cognition*, 52(2), 197–204. doi:10.1016/S0278-
21 2626(03)00056-3
- 22 Voyer, D. (1996). The relation between mathematical achievement and gender differences in
23 spatial abilities: A suppression effect. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 88, 563–
24 571. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.88.3.563

- 1 Voyer, D. (1997). Scoring procedure, performance factors, and magnitude of sex differences
2 in spatial performance. *American Journal of Psychology*, *110*, 259–276.
3 doi:10.2307/1423717
- 4 Wexler, M., Kosslyn, S., & Berthoz, A. (1998). Motor processes in mental rotation. *Cognition*
5 *68*, 77–94.
- 6 Williams, E., Cumming, J., Ntoumanis, N., Nordin-Bates, S. M., Ramsey, R., & Hall, C. R.
7 (2012). Further validation and development of the movement imagery questionnaire.
8 *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, *34*, 621–646. Retrieved from
9 <http://journals.humankinetics.com/jsep>
- 10 Wohlschläger, A., & Wohlschläger, A. (1998). Mental and manual rotation. *Journal of*
11 *Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *24*, 397–412.
12 doi:10.1037/0096-1523.24.2.397
- 13 Wyon, D.P., Andersen, I. & Lundqvist, G.R. (1972). Spontaneous magnitude estimation of
14 thermal discomfort during changes in the ambient temperature. *Journal of Hygiene*,
15 *70*, 203–221.
16
17