

Glycolysis Controls Plasma Membrane Glucose Sensors To Promote Glucose Signaling in Yeasts

Amélie Cairey-Remonnay, Julien Deffaud, Micheline Wésolowski-Louvel, Marc Lemaire, Alexandre Soulard

▶ To cite this version:

Amélie Cairey-Remonnay, Julien Deffaud, Micheline Wésolowski-Louvel, Marc Lemaire, Alexandre Soulard. Glycolysis Controls Plasma Membrane Glucose Sensors To Promote Glucose Signaling in Yeasts. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 2015, 35 (4), pp.747 - 757. 10.1128/MCB.00515-14 . hal-01632752

HAL Id: hal-01632752 https://hal.science/hal-01632752

Submitted on 10 Nov 2017 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Glycolysis Controls Plasma Membrane Glucose Sensors To Promote Glucose Signaling in Yeasts

Amélie Cairey-Remonnay, Julien Deffaud, Micheline Wésolowski-Louvel, Marc Lemaire, Alexandre Soulard Université Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR5240 Microbiologie, Adaptation et Pathogénie, équipe Génétique Moléculaire des Levures, Villeurbanne, France

Sensing of extracellular glucose is necessary for cells to adapt to glucose variation in their environment. In the respiratory yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis*, extracellular glucose controls the expression of major glucose permease gene *RAG1* through a cascade similar to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Snf3/Rgt2/Rgt1 glucose signaling pathway. This regulation depends also on intracellular glucose metabolism since we previously showed that glucose induction of the *RAG1* gene is abolished in glycolytic mutants. Here we show that glycolysis regulates *RAG1* expression through the *K. lactis* Rgt1 (*Kl*Rgt1) glucose signaling pathway by targeting the localization and probably the stability of Rag4, the single Snf3/Rgt2-type glucose sensor of *K. lactis*. Additionally, the control exerted by glycolysis on glucose signaling seems to be conserved in *S. cerevisiae*. This retrocontrol might prevent yeasts from unnecessary glucose transport and intracellular glucose accumulation.

Sensing and adaption to environmental variations and stresses is fundamental for any cell to live and to grow properly. Among the environmental signals that cells have to consider, nutrients, and especially glucose, are of particular importance. Indeed, glucose is the principal carbon and energy source for most living organisms. Glucose signaling is a key pathway allowing cells to adapt their sugar transport system and metabolism to the quality and quantity of carbon source present in their environment.

Glucose transport and the glucose signaling network have been widely studied in the fermentative yeast model *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (1). The yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis* is an excellent alternate and complementary model organism to investigate glucose signaling (2). Indeed, the pathway is simpler, with only two glucose permeases and little if any gene redundancy. Moreover, unlike *S. cerevisiae*, the *K. lactis* living style is preferably respiratory, making it closer to superior eukaryotes. In *K. lactis*, the fermentative growth on medium containing 5% glucose plus antimycin A (respiration inhibitor) defines the Rag-positive (Rag⁺) phenotype and is informative about the functionality of glucose signaling, glucose transport, and glucose metabolism.

In K. lactis, two glucose permeases are known: Rag1, with a low affinity for glucose, and Hgt1, having a high affinity for glucose (3, 4). Only RAG1 expression is regulated by the extracellular glucose concentration (5). In the absence of extracellular glucose, the transcriptional repressor K. lactis Rgt1 (KlRgt1), bound to the corepressor Sms1, represses RAG1 expression (6, 7) (see Fig. 1A for a model). Extracellular glucose is sensed by the plasma membrane glucose sensor Rag4, a glucose permease homolog unable to transport glucose (8) and exhibiting a characteristic long cytoplasmic C-terminal tail thought to have a role in glucose signaling. Glucose binding to Rag4 probably leads to a conformational change allowing interaction with the casein kinase I (CKI) Rag8 (6, 9). When activated by glucose, Rag8 is supposed to phosphorylate the corepressor Sms1, leading to Sms1 ubiquitination by the SCF^{Grr1} complex followed by its proteasomal degradation (7). Once Sms1 is degraded, KlRgt1 gets highly phosphorylated by a so-far-unknown kinase (6). This phosphorylation lowers KlRgt1 affinity for target promoters and then releases the repression of RAG1 transcription (6). In the presence of glucose, RAG1 maximal expression also requires the action of two transcriptional activators: Sck1, a myc-like basic helix-loop-helix activator (10), and *KI*Snf2, a subunit of the *SWI/SNF* chromatin remodeling complex, required for Sck1 recruitment to its target promoters (11). In addition to *RAG1*, the *KI*Rgt1-Sms1 complex acts as a direct transcriptional repressor for *SMS1* and *SCK1* genes as well as for the glycolytic enzyme genes coding for the hexokinase *KIHXK/RAG5* and for the enolase *KIENO/RAG17* (6, 7, 10). The latter observation indicates that glucose signaling controls not only glucose transport but also its metabolism via glycolysis (Fig. 1A).

The glucose signaling pathway is globally conserved between *K. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 1B) (1, 12). At least 17 hexose transporter homologs (Hxts) exist in *S. cerevisiae*, with Hxt1 being the Rag1 functional homolog (13). The function of the *S. cerevisiae* Rgt1 (*Sc*Rgt1) main transcriptional repressor is partly comparable to that of *Kl*Rgt1 (14, 15). Corepressors Std1 and Mth1 are homologous to Sms1 (16). Yck1 and Yck2 (Yck1/2) are casein kinase I homologs for Rag8 (17, 18). Snf3 and Rgt2 are glucose sensors similar to Rag4 (19). So far, no *HXT1* transcriptional activator has been clearly identified in *S. cerevisiae* (for a model, see reference 12).

Extracellular glucose is not the unique signal regulating *RAG1* expression and glucose transport in *K. lactis.* Mutations of the glycolytic genes encoding the hexokinase (*KlHXK*), the 3-phosphoglycerate kinase (*KlPGK*), and the enolase (*KlENO*) provoke a *RAG1* expression defect in the presence of glucose (20). Moreover, *RAG1* expression is not affected in a phosphoglucose isomerase ($\Delta Klpgi$) mutant in which the glycolytic flux can be maintained through the pentose phosphate pathway (20). All together, these

Received 16 April 2014 Returned for modification 2 June 2014 Accepted 9 December 2014

Accepted manuscript posted online 15 December 2014

Citation Cairey-Remonnay A, Deffaud J, Wésolowski-Louvel M, Lemaire M, Soulard A. 2015. Glycolysis controls plasma membrane glucose sensors to promote glucose signaling in yeasts. Mol Cell Biol 35:747–757. doi:10.1128/MCB.00515-14. Address correspondence to Alexandre Soulard, alexandre.soulard@univ-lyon1.fr. Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. doi:10.1128/MCB.00515-14



FIG 1 (A) A simplified model of the Rag4-*Kl*Rgt1 glucose signaling pathway controlling *RAG1* gene expression in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. The level of *RAG1* transcription (Tx) depends on the quantity of extracellular glucose (high glucose = high transcription; no glucose = low transcription). The molecular mechanisms represented are detailed in the introduction. The dashed arrow represents the positive regulation by glycolysis on *RAG1* expression that is analyzed in this study. The glucose-induced degradation of Sms1 was positioned between the nucleus and the cytoplasm because the localization of this process is uncertain. The nuclear envelope is shown as a dashed line. Phosphorylation (P) of Sms1 and Sck1 by Rag8 and *Kl*Rgt1 by an unknown kinase (kinase X) are presented. PM, plasma membrane; Cyt, cytosol; Nuc, nucleu; Tx, transcription; G-6-P, glucose-6-phosphate. (B) Table summarizing the functional conservation of the main factors of glucose signaling between *K. lactis* and Snf2 in S. *cerevisiae* glucose signaling has not yet been reported. Tx, transcription.

data suggest that a functional glycolysis process controls *RAG1* expression additionally to the presence of extracellular glucose. At least two signals control *RAG1* transcription: one extracellular signal mediated by glucose via the glucose signaling pathway Rag4-Rag8-*Kl*Rgt1 and one intracellular signal mediated by glycolysis via an unknown mechanism.

We focused on elucidating the mechanism by which glycolysis controls *RAG1* expression with special attention to a possible impact of glycolysis on glucose signaling. Here we demonstrated that glycolysis controls *RAG1* expression by promoting Rag4 stabilization at the plasma membrane which stimulates glucose signaling downstream. Moreover, this mechanism appears to be conserved in *S. cerevisiae*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and growth conditions. All the yeast strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Yeast cells were grown at 28°C in rich medium consisting of complete yeast extract-peptone (YP) medium containing 1% Bacto yeast extract, 1% Bacto peptone (Difco) supplemented with 2% glucose (yeast extract-peptone-dextrose [YPD] medium) or minimal medium (0.7% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids [Difco] supplemented with auxotrophic requirements) supplemented with 2% glucose, 2% glycerol, 2% glactose, or 2% lactate plus 0.1% glucose as a carbon source, as mentioned. The Rag phenotype was tested on GAA medium (YP medium containing 5% glucose and 5 μ M antimycin A). For G418 medium, YPD plates were supplemented with Geneticin (Life Technologies) (200 μ g/ml). For Clonat medium, YPD plates were supplemented with nourseothricin (Werner Bioagents, Jena, Germany) (100 μ g/ml). Glucose shift experiments were performed by addition of 2% glucose to

the glycerol- or lactate-based growth medium 30 min before cells were collected. Iodoacetate (Sigma-Aldrich) treatment was performed by addition of 0.25 to 2 mM iodoacetate to the growth medium for various times. All deletion and genomically tagged *K. lactis* strains were constructed by PCR-based gene targeting (21–23). Every epitope-tagged protein created in this study was functional since expression of the proteins in the corresponding *K. lactis* mutant restored a Rag⁺ phenotype or correct *RAG1* transcriptional regulation by glucose. The P_{ScGAL1} promoter from *S. cerevisiae* was used to constitutively express genes in *K. lactis* because this promoter is not repressed by glucose in *K. lactis* strains (our unpublished data).

Escherichia coli strain XL10 was used as a cloning host and for plasmid DNA propagation. *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) was used for the production of the recombinant proteins His₆-Sck1 and glutathione *S*-transferase–*Kl*Rgt1^{338–730} (GST-*Kl*Rgt1^{338–730}).

Plasmid constructions. The pACR1 plasmid is a centromeric pCXJ18 derivative plasmid (24) expressing a functional *LEXA-RAG8* fusion from the *RAG8* promoter. The pACR3 plasmid expressing an inactive kinase form of LexA-Rag8 (mutation K106R) was created by one-step PCR-based mutagenesis using pACR1 as a template. The pML155 plasmid, expressing a functional *LEXA-SCK1* in *E. coli*, and the centromeric pHN15 plasmid, expressing a functional *LEXA-SCK1*, were described previously (10). The pML319 plasmid is a derivative of the pGEX-6P-3 plasmid (GE Health-care) expressing an N-terminal GST fusion in frame with a *Kl*Rgt1 protein internal domain (amino acids 338 to 730). Cloning details are available upon request.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR analysis. Cells were grown to the midlog phase in YPD medium before harvesting. RNA extraction and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis were performed as described before (11). Oligonucleotide pairs were designed with Beacon

TABLE 1 Yeast strains used in this study

Strain	Genotype	Reference or source
K. lactis		
MW270-7B	MATa leu2 metA1-1 uraA1-1	4
MWK11/F1	Isogenic to MW270-7B except Klhxk $\Delta 2$::ura3	Laboratory collection
MWK3	Isogenic to MW270-7B except Kleno Δ 1::KanMX4	20
MWK12	MATa ade2-1 uraA1-1 rag2 Δ 1::URA3(Δ Klpgi)	43
MWL9S1	MATa leu2 lysA1-1 metA1-1 trp1 uraA1-1 Δ Klnej1::loxp	44
MWL1099	Isogenic to MWL9S1 except RGT1-3HA::KanMX4	This study
MWL1118	MAT a lysA1 trp1 uraA1 RGT1-3HA::KanMX4 Klhxk Δ 2::ura3	This study
MWL1121	MATa leu2 trp1 uraA1 RGT1-3HA::KanMX4 Kleno∆1::KanMX4	This study
KlAS041	MATα sms1::KanMX4 KlRGT1-3HA::KanMX4 ade2-1 uraA1-1	This study
KlAS040	MAT a Klhxk∆2::URA3 sms1::KanMX4 KlRGT1-3HA::KanMX4 metA1-1 trp1 uraA1-1	This study
KlAS029	MATα SMS1-13MYC::KanMX4 uraA1-1	This study
KlAS026	MATα SMS1-13MYC::KanMX4 KlhxkΔ2::URA3 uraA1-1 leu2 trp1 lysA1	This study
KlAS093	Isogenic to MWL9S1 except KanMX4::P _{ScGAL1} GFP-RAG8	This study
KlAS113	Isogenic to KlAS093 except $\Delta Klhxk::NatNT2$	This study
MLK239	Isogenic to MWL9S1 except RAG4-TAP::KanMX4	This study
ACRK101	Isogenic to MLK239 except $\Delta Klhxk::NatNT2$	This study
KlAS107	Isogenic to MWL9S1 except KanMX4::P _{ScGAL1} GFP-RAG4	This study
KlAS109	Isogenic to KlAS107 except $\Delta Klhxk::NatNT2$	This study
MLK191	Isogenic to MWL9S1 except KanMX4::P _{ScGAL1} 3HA-RAG4	This study
ACRK106	Isogenic to MLK191 except $\Delta Klhxk::NatNT2$	This study
S. cerevisiae		
BY4742	MATα his3 Δ 1 leu2 Δ 0 lys2 Δ 0 ura3 Δ 0	EUROSCARF
MLY788	Isogenic to BY4742 except MTH1-3HA::KanMX6	This study
yAS233	Isogenic to BY4742 except NatNT2::P _{CUPI} GFP-RGT2	This study
TB50a/α	MATa/ a leu2/leu2 ura3/ura3 rme1/rme1 trp1/trp1 his3 ⁻ /his3 ⁻ GAL ⁺ /GAL ⁺ HMLa/HMLa	M. Hall collection
yAS223	Isogenic to TB50a/α except ScRGT1/ScRGT1-3HA::KanMX4	This study
W303-1A	MATα leu2-3,112 ura3-1 trp1 ⁻ ; ade2 ⁻ , his3-11,1 can1-100	R. Rothstein
yAS273	Isogenic to W303-1A except MTH1-9MYC::KanMX4	This study
yAS275	Isogenic to W303-1A except NatNT2::P _{CUP1} GFP-RGT2	This study
yAS279	Isogenic to W303-1A except ScRGT1-6HA::NatNT2	This study
JW02870	Isogenic to W303-1A except $\Delta hxk1$::HIS3 $\Delta hxk2$::TRP1	J. Winderickx
yAS276	Isogenic to JW02870 except NatNT2::P _{CUP1} GFP-RGT2	This study
yAS277	Isogenic to JW02870 except MTH1-9MYC::NatNT2	This study
yAS280	Isogenic to JW02870 except ScRGT1-6HA::NatNT2	This study

Designer software (version 7.92) as follows: for RAG1, P548 (5'-TTTCT GGTTTAGGTGTTGGT-3') and P549 (5'-CTTAAATGTTTAGGAGCG GTTT-3'); for KlHXK, P550 (5'-GTGCTTACTACGATGTTG-3') and P551 (5'-AGGAACCATATTCACAGT-3'); for KlENO, P852 (5'-CTATC CGAATCCATCAAG-3') and P853 (5'-AAAGTGTCTTCAGTTTCA-3'); for RAG4, P854 (5'-ATGACTACTGATTCTGTTCCA-3') and P855 (5'-GTTACGCTCTTGTGCTTTC-3'); for RAG8, P856 (5'-CGGATTATGA AGGTTACC-3') and P857 (5'-CTTATTTCTATGTCTCTTACTC-3'); for SMS1, P858 (5'-TTAATGATATAAGGTCGTTACTC-3') and P859 (5'-GTTGATTGAATGCTATGGT-3'); for SCK1, P860 (5'-TTCTTCCT CCGTTTATTC-3') and P861 (5'-GATTAGTAGCGTTCATTAAG-3'); and for KlACT1, P547 (5'-ACATCAACATCACACTTC-3') and P546 (5'-AACTGCTTCTCAATCATC-3'). The data presented are the results of at least 3 biological replicates and two technical replicates. The statistical significance of the results was assessed by performing Student's t test to calculate the *P* value.

Protein extraction and Western blotting. Total protein extraction was performed as described before (25). The primary antibodies used for Western blotting were mouse monoclonal antihemagglutinin (anti-HA) (12CA5; Roche) (1:10,000), rabbit polyclonal anti-HA (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:5,000), mouse monoclonal anti-c-Myc (9E10; Roche) (1:5,000), rabbit polyclonal anti-LexA (Millipore) (1:5,000), rabbit polyclonal anti-protein A (Sigma) (1:10,000), rabbit polyclonal anti-Pma1/2 (y-300) (Santa Cruz Biotech) (1:1,000), and mouse monoclonal antiactin (mAbGEa; Novus

Biologicals) (1:10,000). Densitometric Western blot analyses were performed using Image J software.

Preparation of heavy and light membranes by ultracentrifugation was performed as follows. *K. lactis* cells were pelleted and washed before resuspension in cold lysis buffer (30 mM MOPS [morpholinepropanesulfonic acid] [pH 7], 1 mM EDTA, protease inhibitor cocktail, 1 mM EGTA, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF]). Cells were broken by bead beating using a FastPrep-24 instrument (MP Biomedicals) at the maximum speed for 5 runs of 30 s each. Cell lysate was clarified from unbroken cells and debris by 5 min of centrifugation at 1,000 × g and the resulting supernatant was centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000 × g to pellet the heavy-membrane fraction. The supernatant was centrifuged for 30 min at 100,000 × g to pellet the light-membrane fraction. Proteins present in the last supernatant were precipitated by the use of trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and correspond to the soluble fraction. All the different pellets were resuspended in storage buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], and 25% glycerol).

Halo assays. A 10- μ l aliquot from an overnight culture of strain MWL9S1 grown in YPD medium was spread onto YPD or GAA plates. A sterile 6-mm-diameter filter disk (Dutscher), previously soaked with 20 μ l of either serial dilutions of iodoacetate or sterile double-distilled water (dH₂O), was placed onto the plates before incubation at 28°C for 48 to 72 h.

Kinase assay. MWL9S1 (wild-type [WT]) and MWK11/F1 ($\Delta Klhxk$) strains were transformed with pACR1 and pACR3 plasmids, and kinase assays were performed as previously reported (10). Type 1 casein kinase inhibition with zinc chloride was performed as previously described (26). Densitometric analysis was achieved using Image J software.

Fluorescence microscopy. Cells expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged proteins were grown to the log phase in synthetic medium containing different carbon sources. The drug treatment was achieved by adding iodoacetate to the culture. Cells were collected periodically, and protein localization was analyzed using a Zeiss AxioSkop2 fluorescence microscope set with a GFP filter. The images presented were processed by Photoshop (Adobe) and are representative of all cells observed.

RESULTS

The expression of several glucose-regulated genes depends on a functional glycolysis. Our former observation that glycolysis controls RAG1 expression in K. lactis (20) prompted us to analyze the expression of other known glucose-regulated genes in three different glycolytic mutants: $\Delta K lhxk$, $\Delta K leno$, and $\Delta K lpgi$. The $\Delta K lhxk$ and $\Delta K leno$ mutants are affected in the upper part and lower part of the glycolysis, respectively, and are deficient for RAG1 gene expression (20). In the $\Delta Klpgi$ mutant, RAG1 gene regulation is not impaired, possibly because the glycolytic flux is maintained through the pentose phosphate pathway (20). We first analyzed by RT-qPCR the expression of *KlHXK* and *KlENO* genes in glucose-grown cells. Similarly to what was observed for RAG1, the KlHXK and KlENO genes were downregulated in the Δ Kleno and $\Delta K lhxk$ mutants, respectively, even in the presence of glucose (Fig. 2A). SMS1 and SCK1, two glucose-induced genes coding for RAG1 transcriptional regulators, were also downregulated in the $\Delta K lhxk$ and $\Delta K leno$ mutants (Fig. 2B). In contrast, this transcriptional defect was absent or less pronounced in the $\Delta Klpgi$ mutant, except for KlHXK and SCK1, for which the expression was also reduced (circa 50% of WT expression). All together, these findings demonstrate that, as observed for RAG1, a functional glycolysis is essential to maintain an optimal regulation of KlHXK, KlENO, SMS1, and SCK1 genes by glucose. Interestingly, these five genes are known to be regulated by the KlRgt1 transcriptional repressor (6, 7, 10). As KlRgt1 is controlled by the Rag4-Rag8 glucose signaling pathway, we investigated the expression level of the RAG4, RAG8, and KlRGT1 genes in the glycolytic mutants. While RAG4 expression was affected only slightly (circa 70% of WT expression), neither RAG8 gene expression nor KlRGT1 gene expression was significantly affected in the glycolytic mutants compared to the results seen with the WT (Fig. 2B and data not shown). This result shows that the glycolytic control of the RAG1, KlHXK, KlENO, SMS1, and SCK1 genes is not mediated via transcriptional control of the RAG4, RAG8, and KlRGT1 genes.

Glycolysis controls *KI*Rgt1 phosphorylation and Sms1 degradation. Regarding the impact of *KI*Rgt1 posttranslational modifications on *RAG1* gene regulation, we tested whether glycolysis could influence these *KI*Rgt1 modifications. *KI*Rgt1 is hyperphosphorylated in glucose-grown WT cells, which leads to its inactivation and derepression of its targeted genes (6). Because this hyperphosphorylation is informative about *KI*Rgt1 activity, we analyzed the phosphorylation level of *KI*Rgt1 in response to glucose in the $\Delta Klhxk$ and $\Delta Kleno$ glycolytic mutants by a mobility shift assay as previously described (6). In the glycolytic mutants, *KI*Rgt1 was not phosphorylated after glucose stimulation as demonstrated by the absence of an electrophoretic-mobility shift compared to what was observed in WT cells after glucose addition (Fig. 3A). This



FIG 2 Expression of glucose-regulated genes is downregulated in glycolytic mutants. The mRNA transcript levels of glucose permease gene RAG1 and glycolysis genes KlHXK and KlENO (A) and of glucose-signaling genes RAG4, RAG8, SMS1, and SCK1 (B) were determined by RT-qPCR in the wild-type strain (WT [MW270-7B]) and in $\Delta Klhxk$ (MWK11/F1), $\Delta Kleno$ (MWK3), and $\Delta Klpgi$ (MWK12) mutants grown in YPD medium. Expression levels were normalized to the KlACT1 transcript level. For each gene, the mRNA expression level in the $\Delta K lhxk$, $\Delta K leno$, and $\Delta K lpgi$ mutants is presented relative to their level in the WT strain, which was set to 1 and is represented as a thick line on the graph (WT relative expression). The means of the relative-expression data from three biological replicates with standard deviation (error bars) are represented. Significance levels were determined by calculating the P value for each data set with Student's t test and are represented as asterisks: * for P <0.05, ** for P < 0.01, and *** for P < 0.001. It should be noted that the $\Delta K lhxk$ mutant used in this figure (MWK11/F1) corresponds to a partial deletion of KlHXK coding for a nonfunctional truncated version of KlHxk. It is why *KlHXK* expression was still slightly observed by RT-qPCR in the $\Delta Klhxk$ strain.

result shows that a defect in glycolysis inhibits the glucose-induced hyperphosphorylation of *Kl*Rgt1, which might be in a constitutive repressing form when glycolysis is not functional.

The hyperphosphorylation of KlRgt1 in glucose-grown WT cells depends on the proteasomal degradation of its partner, Sms1, a process controlled by the Rag4 glucose signaling pathway (6, 7). To test if Sms1 mediates the effects of glycolysis on KlRgt1 phosphorylation, we constructed a $\Delta Klhxk \Delta sms1$ mutant and checked the phosphorylation status of KlRgt1 in response to glucose availability (Fig. 3B). KlRgt1 was found to be hyperphosphorylated in the $\Delta sms1$ mutant grown without glucose, confirming the protective role of Sms1 against KlRgt1 was also hyperphosphorylated in the $\Delta Klhxk \Delta sms1$ double mutant irrespective to the carbon source. This suggests (i) that glycolysis controls KlRgt1 phosphorylation through Sms1 and (ii) that KlRgt1-phosphorylating activities are still efficient even if glycolysis is defective, at least when Sms1 is absent.

Since *Kl*Rgt1 was not hyperphosphorylated in a Δ *Klhxk or* Δ *Kleno* mutant (Fig. 3A), we investigated whether a glycolysis defect (Δ *Klhxk* mutant) could prevent Sms1 degradation in glucose-grown cells. In the absence of glucose, the Sms1 steady-state



FIG 3 KlRgt1 phosphorylation and Sms1 stability are affected in glycolytic mutants. (A) KlRgt1 phosphorylation in glycolytic mutants. WT (MWL1099), $\Delta K lhxk$ (MWL1118), and $\Delta K leno$ (MWL1121) strains expressing C-terminally 3HA-tagged KlRgt1 (KlRgt1-3HA) from the genome were grown in synthetic lactate medium to the exponential phase. One half of each culture was incubated with 2% glucose (+) for 30 min and the other was not (-) before cell collection and total protein extraction. Whole-cell lysates were analyzed for KlRgt1-HA by Western blotting (KlRgt1-3HA). (B) SMS1 is required for glycolytic control of KlRgt1 phosphorylation. KlRgt1-3HA expression in the WT (MWL1099), $\Delta K lhxk$ (MWL1118), $\Delta sms1$ (KlAS041), and $\Delta K lhxk \Delta sms1$ (KIAS040) strains was analyzed by Western blotting after cells were stimulated or not stimulated with 2% glucose as described for panel A. (C) Sms1 protein level in glycolytic mutants. WT (KlAS029) and $\Delta Klhxk$ (KlAS026) strains expressing C-terminally 13myc-tagged Sms1 from the genome (Sms1-13myc) were grown and glucose stimulated as described for panels A and B. The Sms1 protein level was analyzed by Western blotting of whole-cell lysate. The percentage of Sms1 (% Sms1) was determined by densitometric analysis of the Sms1-13myc WB signal normalized to the actin signal (nd = not determined). The values are representative of the tendency observed in replica experiments. In all panels, a wild-type strain expressing untagged proteins (MWL9S1 or derivative) was used as a negative control (mock) and in-gel Coomassie staining of total protein extract and/or KlAct1 (Actin) immunodetection was used as a loading control (total extract).

protein level was increased (almost 3-fold) in the hexokinase mutant cells compared to the WT cells (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, Sms1 degradation induced by glucose was much less effective in the hexokinase mutant than in the WT cells (glucose provoked a 4-fold decrease of Sms1 in WT cells compared to a 1.5-fold decrease in $\Delta K lhxk$ cells) (Fig. 3C). Given that SMS1 gene expression was downregulated in the glycolytic mutants (Fig. 2B), the high level of Sms1 protein in the hexokinase mutant might be representative of a partial defect in its glucose-induced degradation.

Taken together, these data demonstrate that a functional glycolysis is required to promote the optimal Sms1 degradation in the presence of glucose, and thus subsequent *KI*Rgt1 hyperphosphorylation, promoting the expression of *RAG1* and other glucoseregulated genes. Furthermore, the "glycolysis signal" may act upstream of Sms1 without affecting kinase activities regulating the *KI*Rgt1 repressor function.

Glycolysis chemical inhibition affects glucose signaling. We cannot exclude the possibility that the phenotypes observed in the glycolytic mutants (RAG1 downregulation, KlRgt1 hypophosphorylation, and Sms1 stabilization) are due to cell adaptation to the mutations rather than to a defective glycolysis. In order to untangle these two possibilities, we assessed the effect of an acute chemical inhibition of glycolysis on glucose signaling by using iodoacetate, a drug already known to inhibit glycolytic GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) activity in S. cerevisiae (27, 28) We first performed a Rag phenotype assay (fermentative growth upon respiration inhibition by antimycin A) to test if addition of iodoacetate was effective for inhibition of glycolysis in the WT K. lactis strain. As shown in Fig. 4A, iodoacetate gave rise to a Rag⁻ phenotype, suggesting that this drug is able to block glycolysis in K. lactis. We then tested the effect of iodoacetate on KlRgt1 and Sms1 modifications. Interestingly, treating glucosegrowing WT cells with iodoacetate led to a rapid and progressive decrease in the level of the hyperphosphorylated KlRgt1 form (Fig. 4B). However, even after a 30-min iodoacetate treatment, KlRgt1 dephosphorylation appeared partial since its electrophoretic mobility remained lower than that of glycerol-grown WT cells (Fig. 4C) or $\Delta K lhxk$ mutant cells (Fig. 3A and B). Importantly, in iodoacetate-treated $\Delta sms1$ cells, the electrophoretic mobilities of the KlRgt1 hyperphosphorylated form remained similar throughout the experimental time course, suggesting that iodoacetate treatment influences KlRgt1 phosphorylation in a Sms1-dependent manner. In agreement with this hypothesis, iodoacetate addition to glucose-grown WT cells led to the partial reaccumulation of Sms1 in the cells (Fig. 4D).

These results show that inhibiting glycolysis by an acute chemical inhibition weakens glucose signaling. However, the effects on the *Kl*Rgt1 and Sms1 modifications are comparable but are less pronounced than what is observed in glycolysis mutants. This might reflect the existence of other unappreciated factors that would affect glucose signaling when glycolysis is chronically altered but not when it is transiently inhibited.

Glycolysis controls glucose sensor Rag4 but not casein kinase Rag8. In K. lactis, casein kinase I (CKI) Rag8 cooperates with the Rag4 glucose sensor to initiate the signalization cascade leading to Sms1 degradation when cells are grown on glucose (7). CKI Rag8 also phosphorylates the Sck1 transcriptional activator in vitro and is required for Sck1 stability in vivo (7). Since glucose-induced Sms1 degradation is impaired in both $\Delta K lhxk$ (Fig. 3C) and rag8 (7) mutants, we wondered whether Sms1 stabilization occurring upon glycolysis inhibition could be due to a CKI Rag8 deficiency under these conditions. Under all conditions tested, neither the RAG8 gene expression level (Fig. 2B) nor the Rag8 steady-state protein level or localization (Fig. 5A and B) nor the Rag8 in vivo and in vitro kinase activity toward Sck1 (Fig. 5C and D) was altered in the $\Delta K lhxk$ mutant compared to the WT strain results. Our results did not rule out the possibility that the hexokinase mutant has a reduced rate of Rag8 kinase activity because solely an endpoint kinase assay was performed here. However, taken together, these data indicate that glycolysis is probably not targeting CKI Rag8 to control glucose signaling in K. lactis.

The extracellular glucose signal is propagated by plasma membrane glucose sensor Rag4 via its direct interaction with CKI Rag8 (6). As Rag8 activity is not influenced by glycolysis (see above), the Sms1 stabilization and *Kl*Rgt1 hypophosphorylation observed in the glycolytic mutants might rather be explained by a defect in



FIG 4 Chemical glycolysis inhibition with iodoacetate affects *KI*Rgt1 phosphorylation and Sms1 stability. (A) Iodoacetate (IA) confers a Rag⁻ phenotype. Representative examples of iodoacetate halo assays of WT cells (MWL9S1) on YPD and GAA (containing the respiratory inhibitor antimycin A) plates are shown. A 20-µl volume of water (0 µmol IA), 50 mM iodoacetate (1 µmol IA), or 100 mM iodoacetate (2 µmol IA) was dropped onto filter papers. (B) *KI*Rgt1 is rapidly dephosphorylated upon iodoacetate treatment. Cells expressing *KI*Rgt1-3HA (MWL1099) were grown to the exponential phase in complete synthetic medium with glycerol (Gly) or glucose (Glu) as the carbon source. Glycerol-grown cells were harvested, and glucose-grown cells were incubated with 0.25 mM iodoacetate and collected after 0, 1, 2, 5, and 10 min of treatment. Whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed for *KI*Rgt1-3HA by Western blotting. Detection of total proteins by in-gel Coomassie staining was used as a loading control (total extract). (C) *SMS1* deletion prevents iodoacetate-induced *KI*Rgt1 dephosphorylation. WT (MWL1099) and Δ *sms1* (KlAS041) strains expressing *KI*Rgt1-3HA were grown in glucose-containing medium (Glu) or in glycerol-containing medium (Gly) until the exponential phase. An aliquot of glucose-grown cells was treated with 0.25 mM iodoacetate for 10 and 30 min before harvest. Whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed for *KI*Rgt1-3HA and actin (loading control) by Western blotting. The MWL9S1 wild-type strain was used as a mock treatment control. (D) Iodoacetate stabilizes Sms1 in glucose-grown cells. Sms1-13myc-expressing cells (KlAS029) were grown and treated as described for panel A. Total protein extract was analyzed for Sms1-13myc by Western blotting. Detection of total proteins by Ponceau staining was used as a loading control (total extract). The wild-type strain MWL9S1 was used as a mock treatment control.

Rag4 function. Western blot analysis showed that the Rag4-TAP (where TAP is the tandem affinity purification tag) protein level (expressed from its own promoter) was decreased in the $\Delta Klhxk$ mutant grown in the presence of glucose compared to the WT strain results (Fig. 6A). Furthermore, the Rag4-TAP quantity was also diminished WT grown in the absence of glucose, but in this case, deletion of *KlHXK* did not further affect the Rag4-TAP protein level (Fig. 6A). As shown in Fig. 6B, an identical effect was also observed when 3HA-Rag4 was expressed under the control of a strong nonregulated promoter (the *S. cerevisiae GAL1* promoter was used as it is functional and not subjected to glucose repression in *K. lactis* [our unpublished data]). All together, these data suggest that glycolysis and the nature of the carbon source control Rag4 protein stability rather than *RAG4* gene expression.

To investigate more precisely the Rag4 protein level and its localization in the $\Delta K lhxk$ mutant, we performed membrane fractionation to separate heavy membranes (containing the plasma membrane, organelle membranes, and cytoskeleton) from light membranes (containing Golgi and transport vesicles) and the cytosol (29). The [H⁺] ATPase KlPma1 and actin were used as controls for the membrane and cytosolic fractions, respectively (30). In glucose-grown wild-type cells, Rag4-TAP (expressed from its own promoter) was detected in both the heavy-membrane fraction and the light-membrane fraction but not in the cytosol (Fig. 6C). In glucose-grown $\Delta K lhxk$ cells, the Rag4-TAP quantity in both the heavy-membrane fraction and the light-membrane fraction was severely reduced compared to the WT cell results (Fig. 6C), confirming the results obtained with total cell lysates (Fig. 6A). This reduction in Rag4-TAP protein level observed in the membrane fractions of the hexokinase mutant (Fig. 6C) suggests that the plasma membrane localization of Rag4 is probably impaired in this mutant. This hypothesis was analyzed by fluorescence microscopy observations using a GFP-Rag4 fusion (P_{ScGAL1} promoter-driven expression). In the presence of glucose, GFP-Rag4 was localized at the plasma membrane in the WT cells whereas this plasma membrane localization almost completely vanished in the $\Delta K lhxk$ mutant cells (Fig. 6D). Furthermore, in the hexokinase mutant, a strong GFP signal, probably originating from GFP-Rag4 degradation products, accumulated into the seeming vacuole (Fig. 6D). Interestingly, there was no substantial difference with respect to GFP-Rag4 localization and quantity between the WT and $\Delta K lhxk$ strains when cells were grown in the presence of glycerol (Fig. 6D). Under this condition, GFP-Rag4 showed faint but persistent plasma membrane localization together with a vacuolar GFP signal in both strains. This suggests that KlHxk is not required for GFP-Rag4 localization in the absence of glucose. Similarly to what was observed in the hexokinase mutant (Fig. 6D), the long-term glycolysis inhibition of glucosegrown cells in the presence of iodoacetate altered the plasma membrane localization of GFP-Rag4 and favored the vacuolar accumulation of a GFP signal compared to the results seen with untreated cells (Fig. 6E, 2 h and 6 h). Short-term treatment with iodoacetate did not provoke any noticeable change in the GFP-Rag4 level or plasma membrane localization compared to the untreated-cell results (Fig. 6E, 30 min). All together, these data demonstrate that a functional glycolysis is essential to maintain Rag4 at the plasma membrane in the presence of glucose. Alteration of the glycolytic flux would lead to a mislocalization and probably vacuolar degradation of Rag4, abolishing the downstream glucose signaling.

Glycolysis control of glucose signaling is conserved in *S. cerevisiae.* The glucose signaling pathway is highly conserved between *K. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae* (1). Similarly to *RAG1* in *K. lactis*, the expression of the *S. cerevisiae* glucose transporter *HXT1* gene



FIG 5 Casein kinase 1 Rag8 protein level, localization, and kinase activity in a hexokinase mutant. (A) The Rag8 protein level is stable in a glycolytic mutant. WT (MWL9S1) and $\Delta K lhxk$ (MWK11/F1) strains transformed with the pACR1 plasmid encoding an N-terminally LexA-tagged Rag8 (RAG8 promoter) were grown in lactate minimal media without uracil. Cells were then shifted (+) or not shifted (-) to 2% glucose and incubated for 30 min before cell collection. Whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed for LexA-Rag8 by Western blotting. MWL9S1 transformed with an empty plasmid was used as a mock treatment control. For a loading control, total proteins were analyzed by in-gel Coomassie staining (total extract). (B) GFP-Rag8 localization is not affected by the carbon source or by a glycolysis defect. WT (KlAS093) and $\Delta K lhxk$ (KlAS113) strains expressing N-terminally GFP-tagged Rag8 (P_{ScGAL1} promoter) from the genome were grown in glucose-based (Glucose) or glycerol-based (Glycerol) minimal medium to the log phase and then observed with a fluorescence microscope. Cells were imaged using a GFP filter (GFP-Rag8) or Nomarski optics (differential inference contrast [DIC]). The acquisition times were equal for all GFP images. (C) Sck1 protein is stable in the hexokinase mutant. WT (MWL9S1) and $\Delta Klhxk$ (MWL1118) cells transformed with the pHN15 plasmid encoding LexA-Sck1 were grown in glycerol synthetic medium without uracil. Glucose was then added (+) or not added (-) to the cells for 30 min before being collected. The LexA-Sck1 protein level was then assessed by Western blotting of total protein extract. The mock treatment control corresponds to WT (MWL9S1) cells transformed with an empty plasmid. Total extracts were analyzed by Ponceau staining for a loading control (total extract). (D) Rag8 in vitro kinase activity is not affected in a glycolysis

was previously shown to be impaired in a hexokinase mutant grown in the presence of glucose (31). To assess whether glycolysis controls glucose signaling upstream of HXT1 in S. cerevisiae, we analyzed the functionality of glucose signaling in a $\Delta hxk1 \Delta hxk2$ hexokinase mutant. As shown in Fig. 7A, the glucose-induced phosphorylation of ScRgt1-6HA was decreased in the hexokinase mutant (Fig. 7A). This effect is similar to but less pronounced than the one observed in K. lactis (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, the glucosedependent degradation of ScRgt1 corepressor Mth1 (Sms1 homolog) was much less effective in the hexokinase mutant than in the WT cells (glucose induced a 1,000-fold decrease of Mth1-9myc levels in WT cells compared to a 1.8-fold decrease in $\Delta hxk1/2$ cells) (Fig. 7B). In this glycolytic mutant, the protein level of glucose sensor Rgt2 (Rag4 homolog) was also diminished upon growth in glucose (Fig. 7C) and its localization at the plasma membrane was almost completely abolished (Fig. 7D). The Rgt2 protein level and localization were also affected by the carbon source, but the absence of the hexokinases had no additive effect under these growth conditions (Fig. 7C and D). These results were confirmed and strengthened by our observations that, in glucosegrown cells, the acute chemical inhibition of glycolysis by iodoacetate provoked the dephosphorylation of ScRgt1 (Fig. 8A), the partial stabilization of Mth1 (Fig. 8B), and the delocalization of Rgt2 from the plasma membrane (Fig. 8C). As with K. lactis, the effects of chronic (mutant) and acute (iodoacetate) glycolysis inhibition on glucose signaling are not strictly identical in S. cerevisiae. This again suggests the existence of other unknown factors regulating glucose signaling when glycolysis is chronically reduced.

These results plus those obtained in *K. lactis* suggest that the glycolytic control exerted on glucose sensors and subsequently through glucose signaling is globally conserved between *K. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae*.

DISCUSSION

The effect of the extracellular glucose concentration on glucose signaling has been widely studied in both *K. lactis* (11) and *S. cerevisiae* (1, 12). However, intracellular glucose and particularly its metabolism through glycolysis appear to exert regulation of glucose transport independently of the presence of extracellular hexose. Indeed, in *K. lactis*, when glucose is not correctly metabolized due to an impaired glycolysis, the expression of the main target of glucose signaling, the *RAG1* glucose permease gene, is impaired (20). In this study, we further characterized the molecular basis of *RAG1* control by glycolysis. We have demonstrated reduced not only *RAG1* expression in glycolytic mutants but also expression of various glycolysis genes and genes involved in glu-

mutant. A wild-type form (WT) and a "kinase-deficient" form (K106R) of LexA-Rag8 were immunoprecipitated from WT (MWL9S1 plus pACR1 or MWL9S1 plus pACR3) and $\Delta Klhxk$ (MWK11/F1 plus pACR1) strains grown in synthetic glucose-containing medium without uracil (-ura). Kinase activity was assayed against 1 µg of recombinant His₆-Sck1 expressed and purified from *E. coli*. Total and phosphorylated proteins were visualized by Coomassie staining (Coomassie) and autoradiography (³²P), respectively. An aliquot of immunoprecipitated LexA-Rag8 was conserved for analysis of total LexA-Rag8 by Western blotting (WB). The level of Sck1 phosphorylation relative to the Rag8 quantity was quantified by densitometric analysis and normalized to the WT strain level. The specificity of the kinase activity was controlled by pretreating purified LexA-Rag8 with 1 mM ZnCl₂ before performing the kinase raction against His₆-Sck1 (+ZnCl₂).



FIG 6 The glucose sensor Rag4 is a target of glycolysis. (A and B) Rag4 protein stability is affected in a glycolysis mutant in a carbon source-dependent manner. (A) WT (MLK239) and $\Delta Klhxk$ (Δ) (ACRK101) strains expressing Rag4-TAP from its own promoter were grown in synthetic medium containing glucose (Glucose) or glycerol (Glycerol) as a carbon source. Whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed for Rag4-TAP by Western blotting. A wild-type strain expressing untagged proteins (MWL9S1 or derivative) was used as a negative control (mock), and in-gel Coomassie staining of total protein extract (total extract) and KlAct1 (Actin) immunodetection were used as a loading control. (B) WT (MLK191) and Δ Klhxk (ACRK106) strains expressing N-terminally 3HA-tagged Rag4 from the P_{ScGAL1} promoter were grown and analyzed as described for panel A. (C) The Rag4 membrane distribution is impaired in a hexokinase mutant. Cell-free lysates from isogenic WT (MLK239) and $\Delta Klhxk$ (Δ) (ACRK101) strains expressing Rag4-TAP and grown in synthetic glucose-containing medium (Glucose) were subjected to differential centrifugation to separate heavy membranes (HM), light membranes (LM), and cytosolic supernatant (Sup). For all fractions, equal protein quantities were examined by Western blotting to detect Rag4-TAP, KlPma1, and KlAct1 (Actin). MWL9S1 (mock) was similarly processed to get a mock treatment control. Each fraction was analyzed by Ponceau staining for a loading control (total extract). (D) The in vivo Rag4 localization is impaired in the hexokinase mutant. WT (KlAS107) and $\Delta Klhxk$ (KlAS109) strains expressing N-terminally GFP-tagged Rag4 (P_{ScGAL1} promoter) from the genome were grown to the exponential phase in synthetic minimal medium containing either glucose or glycerol. Cells were observed with a fluorescence microscope and imaged using a GFP filter (GFP-Rag4) or Nomarski optics (DIC). The acquisition times were equal for all GFP images. (E) Acute chemical inhibition of glycolysis by iodoacetate affects in vivo Rag4 localization. The KIAS107 strain used as described for panel C was grown to the log phase in YPD medium and then treated (+ IA) or not treated (no IA) with 0.25 mM iodoacetate. A fraction of the cells was collected periodically (0 min, 30 min, 2 h, and 6 h posttreatment) and observed under the microscope for the GFP signal (GFP-Rag4). The acquisition times were equal for all GFP images.

cose signaling. Strikingly, all these downregulated genes are known to be controlled by the KlRgt1 transcriptional repressor (6, 7, 10). This suggests that *Kl*Rgt1 might be the target of glycolytic control. Similarly to what was observed in K. lactis, the S. cerevisiae Hxk2 hexokinase was shown to be required for the optimal expression of the HXT1 glucose permease gene in response to extracellular glucose (31). This effect seems to be mediated via the direct interaction of Hxk2, with ScRgt1 then modulating its repressor function (32). It is tempting to hypothesize that the regulation of RAG1 expression by glycolysis in K. lactis is mediated via the interaction of KlHxk with KlRgt1. Despite the interaction between KlHxk and KlRgt1, which seems to be conserved in K. lactis (our unpublished data), here we provide several examples of evidence showing the existence of a more global effect originating from glycolysis and controlling KlRgt1 function. First, inhibition of glycolysis at the top of the pathway ($\Delta K lhxk$ mutant) and inhibition of glycolysis at the bottom of the pathway ($\Delta K leno$ mutant)

similarly reduced the expression of KlRgt1-dependent genes in the presence of glucose. Second, the chronic (mutants) or acute (chemical) inhibition of glycolysis provokes the dephosphorylation of KlRgt1 (repressive state) and the partial stabilization of its corepressor Sms1 in glucose-containing medium. These observations favor a model in which KlRgt1 repressor activity is primarily regulated by the flux of glycolysis rather than through its direct interaction with the hexokinase. Moreover, in this model, glycolysis would exert its control upstream of Sms1 by tightly regulating Sms1 stability and, consequently, KlRgt1 phosphorylation. Thus, inhibition of glycolysis would conduce to a reduced turnover of Sms1 and a more stable KlRgt1/Sms1 complex bound to DNA in the promoter region of RAG1 and other target genes. This regulation seems to be conserved in S. cerevisiae, as (chronic or acute) glycolysis inhibition of glucose-grown cells also led to ScRgt1 dephosphorylation and Mth1 stabilization. The latter observation implies that, in S. cerevisiae, glycolysis controls HXT1 gene expres-



Glucose

Galactose

FIG 7 The *S. cerevisiae* glucose signaling pathway is inhibited in a hexokinase mutant. (A) The glucose-dependent phosphorylation of *Sc*Rgt1 is affected in a hexokinase mutant. WT (yAS279) and $\Delta hxk1/2$ (yAS280) *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing C-terminally 6HA-tagged *Sc*Rgt1 (*Sc*Rgt1-6HA) from the genome were grown in synthetic galactose medium to the exponential phase. One half of each culture was incubated with 2% glucose (+) for 30 min and the other was not (-) before cell collection and total protein extraction. Whole-cell lysates were analyzed for *Sc*Rgt1-HA (*Sc*Rgt1-6HA) and actin (loading control) by Western blotting. (B) Mth1 protein level in a hexokinase mutant. WT (yAS273) and $\Delta hxk1/2$ (yAS280) *S. cerevisiae* strains expressing C-terminally 9myc-tagged Mth1 from the genome (Mth1-9myc) were grown and treated as described for panel A. Whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed for Mth1-9myc and actin (loading control) by Western blotting. The percentage of Mth1-9myc in each lane (% Mth1) was determined by densitometric analysis of the myc signal normalized to the actin signal (nd, not determined). The values are representative of the tendency observed in replica experisinae strains expressing GFP-Rgt2 (P_{*CUP1*} promoter) from the genome were grown to the exponential phase in synthetic medium containing 0.02 mM CuSO₄ (promoter induction) and glucose or galactose as a carbon source. Whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed for GFP-Rgt2 and actin (loading control) by Western blotting. A wild-type strain expressing GFP-Rgt2 medium containing 0.02 mM CuSO₄ (promoter induction) and glucose as a carbon source. Whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed for GFP-Rgt2 and actin (loading control) by Western blotting. A wild-type strain expressing untagged proteins (W303-1A) was used as a negative control (mock). A single asterisk corresponds to an aspecific band. (D) Rgt2 *in vivo* plasma membrane localization is impaired in the hexokinase mutant. *S. cerevisiae* strains and growth

sion not only via the direct interaction between the hexokinase Hxk2 and *Sc*Rgt1 (32) but also by regulating glucose signaling upstream of *Sc*Rgt1. It should be noted that the effects of acute glycolysis inhibition by iodoacetate on glucose signaling in *K. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae* are similar but less pronounced than those observed in the glycolytic mutants, where glycolysis is chronically inhibited. At least two possibilities might explain these differences: either acute glycolysis inhibition and chronic glycolysis inhibition affect glucose signaling through different molecular mechanisms or the chronic inhibition of glycolysis weakens glucose signaling via additional unknown factors that are not influenced upon acute glycolysis inhibition. These two hypotheses are under investigation.

Our data strongly suggest that the glucose sensors are the target of a "glycolytic signal" controlling glucose signaling in both *K. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae*. The glucose-dependent plasma membrane localization of Rag4 in *K. lactis* and Rgt2 in *S. cerevisiae* is altered in the presence of an alternate carbon source or upon (acute or chronic) glycolysis inhibition of glucose-grown cells. However, inhibition of glycolysis did not further affect Rag4 or Rgt2 localization when cells were grown in the presence of glycerol or galactose. Our finding showing that Rag4 and Rgt2 localizations are controlled by the carbon source is in agreement with a recent report showing that the stability of Snf3 and Rgt2 glucose sensors in *S. cerevisiae* is regulated by the concentration of extracellular glucose (33). Hence, Snf3 and Rgt2 are stabilized at the plasma membrane when the extracellular glucose concentration is in the range of their respective affinities. In the absence of glucose, Rgt2 gets rapidly internalized and degraded in the vacuole. Those authors further conclude that the S. cerevisiae glucose sensors are more stable in their glucose-bound conformation, favoring the transmission of the glucose signal into the cell. Our results with respect to Rag4 and Rgt2 stability fit partly with this model. Indeed, our observations that both Rag4 and Rgt2 are not stable in glucose-grown cells when the level of glycolysis is reduced suggest that the intracellular glycolytic signal may overcome the effect of extracellular glucose binding to the glucose sensors. Finally, Roy and Kim further suggest that Rgt2 stability is controlled via Rsp5dependent ubiquitination of two lysine residues located in the Rgt2 C-terminal cytosolic extension, a region characteristic of the glucose sensors of yeasts (8, 19, 33-35). Sequence alignment and computational analysis of these C-terminal extensions revealed that the two lysines important for Rgt2 stability are not conserved in Rag4 (our unpublished data).

Interestingly, while the regulation of the stability of the glucose sensors by the carbon source in *K. lactis* is similar to that in *S. cerevisiae* (see above), the mechanisms of regulation of the type I casein kinases seem to be different in these two yeast species. Indeed, it was recently reported that the stability of *S. cerevisiae* type I casein kinases Yck1 and Yck2 is tightly regulated by the carbon source (36). These findings differ from our observations showing that Rag8 kinase activity, localization, and stability are affected



FIG 8 Chemical glycolysis inhibition with iodoacetate affects the S. cerevisiae glucose signaling pathway. (A) ScRgt1 is dephosphorylated upon iodoacetate treatment. Cells expressing ScRgt1-3HA (yAS223) were grown to the exponential phase in complete synthetic medium with glucose (Glu) or galactose (Gal) as a carbon source. Galactose-grown cells were harvested, and glucose-grown cells were incubated for 30 min with drug vehicle (-) or 0.25 mM iodoacetate (+). Cells were then collected, and whole-cell lysates were prepared and analyzed for ScRgt1-3HA by Western blotting. TB50a/a was used as a mock treatment control. Total proteins were analyzed by in-gel Coomassie staining for a loading control (total extract). (B) Iodoacetate stabilizes Mth1 in glucosegrown cells. Mth1-3HA-expressing cells (MLY788) were grown to the exponential phase in complete synthetic medium with glucose (Glu) or galactose (Gal) as a carbon source. Glucose-grown cells were then treated with 0.25 mM iodoacetate (+) or drug vehicle (-) for 30 min. Glucose (2% final concentration) was added to galactose-grown cells for 30 min (Gal Glu). After cell collection, total protein extracts were prepared and analyzed for Mth1-3HA by Western blotting. Mock and loading control experiments were done as described for panel B. Asterisks represent nonspecific signal. (C) Iodoacetate treatment affects Rgt2 localization at the plasma membrane. An S. cerevisiae strain expressing GFP-Rgt2 ($P_{CUP1}\,{\rm promoter})$ from the genome (yAS233) was grown to the exponential phase in synthetic medium containing 0.1 mM CuSO₄ (promoter induction) and 2% glucose (Glucose) or 2% lactate plus 0.1% glucose (Lactate). Cells were then treated with 0.25 mM iodoacetate (IA) or with water (untreated) and collected after 60 min. Cells were then observed with a fluorescence microscope and imaged using a GFP filter (GFP-Rgt2) or Nomarski optics (DIC). The acquisition times were equal for all GFP images.

neither by glycolysis nor by the carbon source. Even if the glucose signaling pathway is globally well conserved between *K. lactis* and *S. cerevisiae*, the way casein kinases are regulated might be one point at which it significantly diverges.

The main function of glycolysis is to generate pyruvate from glucose through a multistep pathway that produces part of the energy necessary for cells. However, accumulating evidence demonstrates that glycolysis and, particularly, its intermediate metabolites have multiple regulatory functions in cells. For example, the highly cytotoxic side product of glycolysis, methylglyoxal, was previously shown to covalently modify several glycolytic enzymes and to affect glucose transport by enhancing the endocytosis of the Hxt1 glucose permease in S. cerevisiae (37, 38). In mammals and yeast, several metabolites such as 1,3-biphosphoglycerate, phosphoenolpyruvate, and fructose-1,6-biphosphate were shown to exert feedback control on glycolysis by affecting the activity of different glycolytic enzymes (39–41). Thus, it is reasonable to hypothesize that one of the intermediate metabolites of glycolysis might serve as a signal controlling the stability of glucose sensors in yeasts. Another possibility is that the intracellular glucose level serves as a signal controlling glucose sensors. A recent finding in S. *cerevisiae* showing that the affinity of the Snf3 glucose sensor for extracellular glucose depends on the intracellular glucose concentration supports this hypothesis (42). The identification of the glycolytic signal will be particularly important to better understand the molecular mechanism underlying the regulatory function exerted by glycolysis on glucose sensors and glucose signaling in yeast.

Our findings point out the crucial role played by glucose catabolism in control of glucose signaling in both K. lactis and S. cerevisiae. In our model, glycolysis promotes the stabilization of the glucose sensors at the plasma membrane through an intracellular signal whose molecular nature remains to be characterized. Stabilized glucose sensors can then efficiently bind extracellular glucose and propagate the signal into the cell by inducing Sms1/Mth1 degradation and then inactivating phosphorylation of the transcriptional repressor KlRgt1/ScRgt1. Thus, the low-affinity glucose permease genes and other Rgt1-dependent genes get derepressed to promote glucose entry and metabolism in the cell. In the absence of glucose and/or when glycolysis is affected, the glucose sensors get internalized and degraded, which inhibits downstream signaling. This mechanism might then define a virtuous circle in which cells can signal extracellular glucose only when they can efficiently metabolize it via glycolysis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Claire Bärtschi for technical assistance and to Pascale Cotton and Cécile Ribot for fruitful discussion and critical reading of the manuscript. We thank Michael Hall (Biozentrum, Basel, Switzerland) and Joris Winderickx (KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium), who provided some *S. cerevisiae* strains. The RT-qPCR experiments were performed thanks to the DTAMB (Développement de Techniques et Analyze Moléculaire de la Biodiversité, Université Lyon 1).

A.C.-R. was the recipient of a fellowship from the Ministère de la Recherche of France.

REFERENCES

- 1. Horák J. 2013. Regulations of sugar transporters: insights from yeast. Curr Genet 59:1–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00294-013-0388-8.
- Rodicio R, Heinisch JJ. 2013. Yeast on the milky way: genetics, physiology and biotechnology of *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Yeast 30:165–177. http://dx .doi.org/10.1002/yea.2954.
- Goffrini P, Wesolowski-Louvel M, Ferrero I, Fukuhara H. 1990. RAG1 gene of the yeast Kluyveromyces lactis codes for a sugar transporter. Nucleic Acids Res 18:5294. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/18.17.5294.
- 4. Billard P, Ménart S, Blaisonneau J, Bolotin-Fukuhara M, Fukuhara H, Wésolowski-Louvel M. 1996. Glucose uptake in *Kluyveromyces lactis*: role of the *HGT1* gene in glucose transport. J Bacteriol **178**:5860–5866.
- Wésolowski-Louvel M, Goffrini P, Ferrero I, Fukuhara H. 1992. Glucose transport in the yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis*. I. Properties of an inducible low-affinity glucose transporter gene. Mol Gen Genet 233:89–96.

- Rolland S, Hnatova M, Lemaire M, Leal-Sanchez J, Wesolowski-Louvel M. 2006. Connection between the Rag4 glucose sensor and the *Kl*Rgt1 repressor in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Genetics 174:617–626. http://dx.doi.org /10.1534/genetics.106.059766.
- Hnatova M, Wésolowski-Louvel M, Dieppois G, Deffaud J, Lemaire M. 2008. Characterization of *KlGRR1* and *SMS1* genes, two new elements of the glucose signaling pathway of *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Eukaryot Cell 7:1299–1308. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/EC.00454-07.
- Betina S, Goffrini P, Ferrero I, Wésolowski-Louvel M. 2001. RAG4 gene encodes a glucose sensor in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Genetics 158:541–548.
- Blaisonneau J, Fukuhara H, Wésolowski-Louvel M. 1997. The *Kluyvero-myces lactis* equivalent of casein kinase I is required for the transcription of the gene encoding the low-affinity glucose permease. Mol Gen Genet 253: 469–477. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004380050345.
- Neil H, Hnatova M, Wésolowski-Louvel M, Rycovska A, Lemaire M. 2007. Sck1 activator coordinates glucose transport and glycolysis and is controlled by Rag8 casein kinase I in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Mol Microbiol 63:1537–1548. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.05606.x.
- Cotton P, Soulard A, Wésolowski-Louvel M, Lemaire M. 2012. The SWI/SNF KlSnf2 subunit controls the glucose signaling pathway to coordinate glycolysis and glucose transport in *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Eukaryot Cell 11:1382–1390. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/EC.00210-12.
- 12. Kim J-H, Roy A, Jouandot D, Cho KH. 2013. The glucose signaling network in yeast. Biochim Biophys Acta 1830:5204–5210. http://dx.doi .org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.07.025.
- Ozcan S, Johnston M. 1999. Function and regulation of yeast hexose transporters. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 63:554–569.
- Ozcan S, Leong T, Johnston M. 1996. Rgt1p of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, a key regulator of glucose-induced genes, is both an activator and a repressor of transcription. Mol Cell Biol 16:6419–6426.
- Jouandot D, Roy A, Kim J-H. 2011. Functional dissection of the glucose signaling pathways that regulate the yeast glucose transporter gene (*HXT*) repressor Rgt1. J Cell Biochem 112:3268–3275. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002 /jcb.23253.
- Schmidt MC, McCartney RR, Zhang X, Tillman TS, Solimeo H, Wölfl S, Almonte C, Watkins SC. 1999. Std1 and Mth1 proteins interact with the glucose sensors to control glucose-regulated gene expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Cell Biol 19:4561–4571.
- Moriya H, Johnston M. 2004. Glucose sensing and signaling in Saccharomyces cerevisiae through the Rgt2 glucose sensor and casein kinase I. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:1572–1577. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas .0305901101.
- Pasula S, Chakraborty S, Choi JH, Kim J-H. 2010. Role of casein kinase 1 in the glucose sensor-mediated signaling pathway in yeast. BMC Cell Biol 11:17. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2121-11-17.
- Ozcan S, Dover J, Johnston M. 1998. Glucose sensing and signaling by two glucose receptors in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J 17: 2566–2573. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/17.9.2566.
- Lemaire M, Wésolowski-Louvel M. 2004. Enolase and glycolytic flux play a role in the regulation of the glucose permease gene *RAG1* of *Kluyvero-myces lactis*. Genetics 168:723–731. http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics .104.029876.
- Wach A, Brachat A, Pöhlmann R, Philippsen P. 1994. New heterologous modules for classical or PCR-based gene disruptions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 10:1793–1808. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/yea.320101310.
- 22. Longtine MS, Mckenzie A, III, Demarini DJ, Shah NG, Wach A, Brachat A, Philippsen P, Pringle JR. 1998. Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 14:953–961.
- 23. Janke C, Magiera MM, Rathfelder N, Taxis C, Reber S, Maekawa H, Moreno-Borchart A, Doenges G, Schwob E, Schiebel E, Knop M. 2004. A versatile toolbox for PCR-based tagging of yeast genes: new fluorescent proteins, more markers and promoter substitution cassettes. Yeast 21: 947–962. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/yea.1142.
- Chen XJ. 1996. Low- and high-copy-number shuttle vectors for replication in the budding yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Gene 172:131–136. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(96)00125-4.
- 25. Kushnirov VV. 2000. Rapid and reliable protein extraction from yeast. Yeast 16:857–860.http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1097-0061(20000630)16:9<857::AID -YEA561>3.0.CO;2-B.
- 26. Souza AA, Miranda MN, da Silva SF, Bozaquel-Morais B, Masuda CA, Ghislain M, Montero-Lomelí M. 2012. Expression of the glucose trans-

porter HXT1 involves the Ser-Thr protein phosphatase Sit4 in *Saccharo-myces cerevisiae*. FEMS Yeast Res 12:907–917. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j .1567-1364.2012.00839.x.

- Dong L, Xu CW. 2004. Carbohydrates induce mono-ubiquitination of H2B in yeast. J Biol Chem 279:1577–1580. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc .C300505200.
- Newcomb LL, Diderich JA, Slattery MG, Heideman W. 2003. Glucose regulation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell cycle genes. Eukaryot Cell 2:143–149. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/EC.2.1.143-149.2003.
- Chesneau L, Dupré S, Burdina A, Roger J, Panse SL, Jacquet M, Cuif M-H. 2004. Gyp5p and Gyl1p are involved in the control of polarized exocytosis in budding yeast. J Cell Sci 117:4757–4767. http://dx.doi.org /10.1242/jcs.01349.
- 30. Domitrovic T, Kozlov G, Freire JCG, Masuda CA, da Silva Almeida M, Montero-Lomeli M, Atella GC, Matta-Camacho E, Gehring K, Kurtenbach E. 2010. Structural and functional study of Yer067w, a new protein involved in yeast metabolism control and drug resistance. PLoS One 5:e11163. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011163.
- Belinchón MM, Gancedo JM. 2007. Different signalling pathways mediate glucose induction of SUC2, HXT1 and pyruvate decarboxylase in yeast. FEMS Yeast Res 7:40-47. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364 .2006.00136.x.
- Palomino A, Herrero P, Moreno F. 2006. Tpk3 and Snf1 protein kinases regulate Rgt1 association with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae HXK2* promoter. Nucleic Acids Res 34:1427–1438. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl028.
- Roy A, Kim J-H. 2014. Endocytosis and vacuolar degradation of the yeast cell surface glucose sensors Rgt2 and Snf3. J Biol Chem 289:7247–7256. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.539411.
- 34. Ozcan S, Dover J, Rosenwald AG, Wölfl S, Johnston M. 1996. Two glucose transporters in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are glucose sensors that generate a signal for induction of gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:12428–12432. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.22.12428.
- 35. Coons DM, Vagnoli P, Bisson LF. 1997. The C-terminal domain of Snf3p is sufficient to complement the growth defect of snf3 null mutations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: SNF3 functions in glucose recognition. Yeast 13:9–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(199701)13:1<9 ::AID-YEA51>3.0.CO;2-U.
- 36. Reddi AR, Culotta VC. 2013. SOD1 integrates signals from oxygen and glucose to repress respiration. Cell 152:224–235. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1016/j.cell.2012.11.046.
- 37. Gomes RA, Vicente Miranda H, Silva MS, Graça G, Coelho AV, Ferreira AE, Cordeiro C, Freire AP. 2006. Yeast protein glycation in vivo by methylglyoxal. Molecular modification of glycolytic enzymes and heat shock proteins. FEBS J 273:5273–5287. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1742 -4658.2006.05520.x.
- Yoshida A, Wei D, Nomura W, Izawa S, Inoue Y. 2012. Reduction of glucose uptake through inhibition of hexose transporters and enhancement of their endocytosis by methylglyoxal in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Biol Chem 287:701–711. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.322222.
- Moellering RE, Cravatt BF. 2013. Functional lysine modification by an intrinsically reactive primary glycolytic metabolite. Science 341:549–553. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1238327.
- Grüning N-M, Rinnerthaler M, Bluemlein K, Mülleder M, Wamelink MMC, Lehrach H, Jakobs C, Breitenbach M, Ralser M. 2011. Pyruvate kinase triggers a metabolic feedback loop that controls redox metabolism in respiring cells. Cell Metab 14:415–427. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016 /j.cmet.2011.06.017.
- Xu Y-F, Zhao X, Glass DS, Absalan F, Perlman DH, Broach JR, Rabinowitz JD. 2012. Regulation of yeast pyruvate kinase by ultrasensitive allostery independent of phosphorylation. Mol Cell 48:52–62. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.07.013.
- Karhumaa K, Wu B, Kielland-Brandt MC. 2010. Conditions with high intracellular glucose inhibit sensing through glucose sensor Snf3 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Cell Biochem 110:920–925. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1002/jcb.22605.
- Goffrini P, Wésolowski-Louvel M, Ferrero I. 1991. A phosphoglucose isomerase gene is involved in the Rag phenotype of the yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis*. Mol Gen Genet 228:401–409.
- Wésolowski-Louvel M. 2011. An efficient method to optimize *Kluyvero-myces lactis* gene targeting. FEMS Yeast Res 11:509–513. http://dx.doi.org /10.1111/j.1567-1364.2011.00741.x.