

Cultivar diversity of grape skin polyphenol composition and changes in response to drought investigated by LC-MS based metabolomics

Lucie Pinasseau, Anna Vallverdu Queralt, Arnaud Verbaere, Maryline Roques, Emmanuelle Meudec, Loic Le Cunff, Jean-Pierre Peros, Agnes Ageorges, Nicolas Sommerer, Jean Claude Boulet, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Lucie Pinasseau, Anna Vallverdu Queralt, Arnaud Verbaere, Maryline Roques, Emmanuelle Meudec, et al.. Cultivar diversity of grape skin polyphenol composition and changes in response to drought investigated by LC-MS based metabolomics. Frontiers in Plant Science, 2017, 8, 24 p. 10.3389/fpls.2017.01826 . hal-01628673

HAL Id: hal-01628673 https://hal.science/hal-01628673v1

Submitted on 3 Nov 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





Cultivar Diversity of Grape Skin Polyphenol Composition and Changes in Response to Drought Investigated by LC-MS Based Metabolomics

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Simone Diego Castellarin, University of British Columbia, Canada

Reviewed by:

Jose Carlos Herrera, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria Markus Keller, Washington State University, United States

> *Correspondence: Véronique Cheynier veronique.cheynier@inra.fr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Technical Advances in Plant Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

> Received: 23 May 2017 Accepted: 10 October 2017 Published: 27 October 2017

Citation:

Pinasseau L, Vallverdú-Queralt A, Verbaere A, Roques M, Meudec E, Le Cunff L, Péros J-P, Ageorges A, Sommerer N, Boulet J-C, Terrier N and Cheynier V (2017) Cultivar Diversity of Grape Skin Polyphenol Composition and Changes in Response to Drought Investigated by LC-MS Based Metabolomics. Front. Plant Sci. 8:1826. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01826 Lucie Pinasseau¹, Anna Vallverdú-Queralt¹, Arnaud Verbaere¹, Maryline Roques^{1, 2, 3}, Emmanuelle Meudec¹, Loïc Le Cunff³, Jean-Pierre Péros⁴, Agnès Ageorges², Nicolas Sommerer¹, Jean-Claude Boulet¹, Nancy Terrier² and Véronique Cheynier^{1, 2*}

¹ Plateforme Polyphénols SPO, INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France, ² SPO, INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France, ³ IFV Pôle national matériel végétal, UMT Génovigne, Montpellier, France, ⁴ AGAP, INRA, CIRAD, Montpellier SupAgro, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

Phenolic compounds represent a large family of plant secondary metabolites, essential for the quality of grape and wine and playing a major role in plant defense against biotic and abiotic stresses. Phenolic composition is genetically driven and greatly affected by environmental factors, including water stress. A major challenge for breeding of grapevine cultivars adapted to climate change and with high potential for wine-making is to dissect the complex plant metabolic response involved in adaptation mechanisms. A targeted metabolomics approach based on ultra high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (UHPLC-QqQ-MS) analysis in the Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) mode has been developed for high throughput profiling of the phenolic composition of grape skins. This method enables rapid, selective, and sensitive quantification of 96 phenolic compounds (anthocyanins, phenolic acids, stilbenoids, flavonols, dihydroflavonols, flavan-3-ol monomers, and oligomers...), and of the constitutive units of proanthocyanidins (i.e., condensed tannins), giving access to detailed polyphenol composition. It was applied on the skins of mature grape berries from a core-collection of 279 Vitis vinifera cultivars grown with or without watering to assess the genetic variation for polyphenol composition and its modulation by irrigation, in two successive vintages (2014–2015). Distribution of berry weights and δ^{13} C values showed that non irrigated vines were subjected to a marked water stress in 2014 and to a very limited one in 2015. Metabolomics analysis of the polyphenol composition and chemometrics analysis of this data demonstrated an influence of water stress on the biosynthesis of different polyphenol classes and cultivar differences in metabolic response to water deficit. Correlation networks gave insight on the relationships between

the different polyphenol metabolites and related biosynthetic pathways. They also established patterns of polyphenol response to drought, with different molecular families affected either positively or negatively in the different cultivars, with potential impact on grape and wine quality.

Keywords: grape berry, Vitis vinifera, phenolic compounds, UHPLC-QqQ-MS, metabolomics, water deficit, large-scale studies

INTRODUCTION

In the context of climate change, it is of prime importance to anticipate and predict the response of the different biota to the changes in environmental conditions, especially for plants, that are devoid of motility. Climate change is expected to affect plant composition and consequently, in the case of crop species such as grapevine, the quality of plant derived products. Among plant metabolites, secondary metabolites, including phenolic compounds, have been recognized as playing multiple roles in plant response to a wide range of biotic and abiotic stresses and in particular to water stress (Baker and Orlandi, 1995; Dixon and Paiva, 1995; Caldwell et al., 2003). They are also essential components of plant derived foods and beverages, responsible for major organoleptic properties such as color and taste and contributing health benefit (Manach et al., 2004).

Grape phenolic compounds comprise several families, divided between non flavonoids (hydroxybenzoic acids, hydroxycinnamic acids, and stilbenes) and flavonoids, based on the same C6-C3-C6 skeleton (flavonols, dihydroflavonols, flavan-3-ols, and anthocyanins). Each family is represented by several compounds differing by their hydroxylation level and by substitution of the hydroxy groups (methylation, glycosylation, acylation). For example, anthocyanins, the red grape pigments, are based on six aglycones which can be mono- or di-glucosylated and further acylated with acetic, p-coumaric, and caffeic acid, giving rise to a large number of compounds (Favretto and Flamini, 2000; Heier et al., 2002; Vidal et al., 2004a). Moreover, various anthocyanin derivatives such as anthocyanin dimers and flavan-3-ol anthocyanin adducts have been detected in grape skin extracts (Vidal et al., 2004b). Grape flavan-3-ols also show high diversity. They include several monomers (catechin, epicatechin, gallocatechin, epigallocatechin, and epicatechin 3-gallate) that are the constitutive units of oligomers and polymers (proanthocyanidins or condensed tannins), with degrees of polymerization ranging from 2 to over 100 in grape skin (Souquet et al., 1996).

The impact of water stress on grape berry composition has already been investigated (reviewed in Downey et al., 2006; Teixeira et al., 2013). However, those studies were performed on a few elite cultivars (e.g., Cabernet-Sauvignon, Chardonnay, Syrah, Merlot...) analyzed for a limited number of phenolic metabolites, most often anthocyanins. In addition, results are hardly comparable between studies since differences in water regime were not applied at the same developmental stage and with the same intensity, and amounts of phenolic compounds were not expressed in the same units. Since water stress induces a decrease of berry size, and given that most of phenolic compounds are stored in external cell layers of the cells, an increase of phenolic concentration expressed as mg/g of fresh weight can be measured without any increase of content expressed in mg/berry (Bucchetti et al., 2011). As a general trend, water stress was shown to induce an increase of anthocyanin content and a qualitative modification of the anthocyanin pool, when fine analysis was performed (Castellarin et al., 2007; Bucchetti et al., 2011; Ollé et al., 2011; Hochberg et al., 2015). In contrast, conflicting results were obtained on other classes of phenolic compounds. For example, no (Kennedy et al., 2002; Ollé et al., 2011) or slight (Ojeda et al., 2002) modifications in flavan-3-ol composition and a reduction (Hochberg et al., 2015; Savoi et al., 2017) or increase (Deluc et al., 2011; Herrera et al., 2017) of stilbene accumulation have been observed in response to water deficit. Cultivar specificity of these responses has been reported by comparing cv. Chardonnay (Deluc et al., 2009) or cv. Syrah (Hochberg et al., 2015) to cv. Cabernet Sauvignon. This may be related to hydraulic behavior or to differences in phenological stages (Hochberg et al., 2015) as early and late water deficit affect phenolic composition in different ways (Ojeda et al., 2002; Ollé et al., 2011; Casassa et al., 2015).

Nevertheless, a major challenge for breeding of grapevine cultivars adapted to climate change and with high potential for wine-making is to describe and dissect the complex global phenolic response involved in adaptation mechanisms on a wide range of genotypes. The aim of the present study was to investigate the polyphenol composition and its modification in response to water deficit on a large panel of cultivars reflecting the genetic diversity of grapevines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Experimental Design

The diversity panel (DP) of 279 *V. vinifera* cultivars described by Nicolas et al. (2016) was used for this study. It is composed of three subgroups of 93 cultivars representing the three main genetic pools, which differ in use and geographical origin: wine West (WW), wine East (WE), table East (TE).

Each cultivar was over-grafted in 2009 on 6-years old vines of cultivar Marselan in a complete randomized block design with five blocks and one plant of each cultivar per block. The trial was located at the Domaine du Chapitre of Montpellier Supagro (Villeneuve-les-Maguelonne, France), maintained under classical local training system (double cordon, 4,000 plants/ha). A drip irrigation was installed in two blocks in order to create a water contrast with the other three blocks. In 2014 and 2015, irrigation was applied 2 days per week from the last third of June to the end

of the berry sampling period (October 6th and October 16th, in 2014 and 2015, respectively). The quantity of supplied water was approximately of 10 mm per 10-day period. Data on total rainfall per 10-day period were obtained for the nearest climatic station.

Sampling

Grape berries were collected at ripeness when sugar concentration reached 20°Brix. To determine this sampling stage, regular measurements (three times a week from week 30) were performed with an optical refractometer using a few berries per cultivar/treatment. Three clusters were sampled per cultivar/treatment, their end parts were discarded and 100 berries randomly sampled to estimate mean berry weight. Thirty berries were then randomly selected and their skins isolated, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80° C until extraction and analysis. The remaining berries were crushed and the juice was filtered. An aliquot of 1 mL was prepared for the analysis of the 13C/12C ratio (δ *13*C).

δ^{13} C Analysis

 δ^{13} C or carbon isotope discrimination is expressed compared to a standard and ranges at maturity stage from -27 p. 1000 (no water deficit) to -20 p. 1000 (severe water deficit stress, Van Leeuwen et al., 2001). Its measurement was subcontracted. Samples were freeze-dried, pre-weighed, encapsulated, and then sent to OEA Laboratories Limited (Cornwall, UK). They were analyzed by a Sercon 20-20 dual turbo pumped Continuous Flow Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (CF/IRMS) linked to a Thermo EA1110 Elemental Analyzer (EA) NC dual tube configured fitted with a high performance Carbosieve G separation column. Samples and references were weight optimized for 813C analysis according to elemental composition. IRMS calibration was scale normalized using isotope references USGS-40 and USGS-41a as lower and upper scale anchors with random QC sample checks within sample sequences. Absolute weights of carbon in samples were determined from the IRMS total beam values relative to the elemental composition of the references. References were weighed from bulk material to 6 decimal places using a Mettler UMX5 microbalance. Standard deviations for isotope reference materials was typically better than 0.15 for carbon.

Extraction and Sample Preparation for Polyphenol Analysis

Extraction

The extraction procedure was adapted from that of Mané et al. (2007), as described by Pinasseau et al. (2016). Briefly, frozen skins were ground with liquid nitrogen with a Mortar Grinder Pulverisette 2 (Fritsch, Idar-Oberstein, Germany). One hundred milliliters of of powder were weighed and 500 μ L of methanol was immediately added. Then 3.5 mL of acetone/H2O 70/30 (v/v) 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid were added. The mixture was crushed with Precellys (Bertin Technologies, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) during three cycles (3 × 40 s each). 3.5 mL were centrifuged with a Heraeus Multifuge X3R Centrifuge (ThermoFischer Scientific, Waltham, USA) (21,320 g, 5 min, 4°C). Aliquots (1 mL) of the supernatant were dried with Genevac (SP Scientific, Warminster, PA, USA).

Sample Preparation for Determination of Polyphenol Composition

Five hundred microliters of methanol/H₂O 50/50 (v/v) 1% formic acid were added on the solid obtained after evaporation with Genevac (SP Scientific, Warminster, PA, USA). After solubilization using an Ultrasonic Cleaner (VWR, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) (30 min), the solution obtained was centrifuged with Hettich Mikro 220R (Hettich Lab Technology, Tuttlingen, Germany) (15,000 rpm, 15 min, 4°C). Dilutions 1/20 were prepared. Pure and diluted samples were injected in triplicate for UHPLC-QqQ-MS analysis.

The phloroglucinolysis reaction was carried out on the solid obtained after evaporation with Genevac (SP Scientific, Warminster, PA, USA), following the procedure described in Pinasseau et al. (2016).

Instrumentation

Analyses were carried out using an Acquity UPLC system (Waters, Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, France) hyphenated to a triple quadrupole (QqQ) TQD mass spectrometer (Waters, Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, France). The UPLC system included a binary pump, a cooled autosampler maintained at 7°C and equipped with a 5- μ L sample loop, a 100- μ L syringe and a 30- μ L needle, and a diode array detection (DAD). The DAD spectra were recorded in the range of 210–600 nm (resolution 1.2 nm). MassLynx software was used to control the instruments and to acquire the data which were then processed with the TargetLynx software.

Chromatographic Conditions

The column used for chromatographic separation was a reversed-phase Acquity HSS T3 1.8 μ m 1.0 \times 100 mm (Waters, Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, France) protected by a 0.2 μ m in-line filter and maintained at 40°C. The mobile phase consisted of 1% (v/v) formic acid in deionized water (solvent A) and 1% (v/v) formic acid in methanol (solvent B). The flow rate was 0.170 mL/min. Samples were injected into the column by using the Partial Loop with Needle Overfill injection mode with an injection volume of 1 μ L.

UPLC analysis of polyphenol composition

Isocratic 1%B from 0.0 to 2.0 min, linear 1–5%B from 2.0 to 2.1 min, linear 5–10%B from 2.1 to 8.0 min, linear 10–28%B from 8.0 to 12.0 min, isocratic 28%B from 12.0 to 18.0 min, linear 28–45%B from 18.0 to 22.0 min, linear 45–99%B from 22.0 to 23.5 min, isocratic 99%B from 23.5 to 26.5 min. At the end of this sequence, the column was brought back to initial conditions with linear 99–1%B from 26.5 to 27.0 min, then re-equilibrated with isocratic 1%B from 27.0 to 30.0 min.

UPLC Analysis of tannin Units after phloroglucinolysis

Isocratic 2%B from 0.0 to 1.5 min, linear 2–7%B from 1.5 to 3.0 min, linear 7–40%B from 3.0 to 5.0 min, linear 40–99%B from 5.0 to 6.0 min, isocratic 99%B from 6.0 to 6.5 min. As the end of this sequence, the column was brought back to initial conditions with linear 99–2%B from 6.5 to 7.0 min, then re-equilibrated with isocratic 1%B from 7.0 to 10.0 min.

Mass Spectrometry Conditions

The mass spectrometer was operated in MRM mode with electrospray ionization (ESI) either in positive or negative ionization mode. The source and desolvation temperatures were respectively set at 120 and 450° C. Nitrogen was used as desolvation (500 L/h) and cone (50 L/h) gas. Argon was used as collision gas at a flow rate of 0.16 mL/min. Capillary voltage was set at 3.5 kV in positive mode and 2.8 kV in negative mode.

Polyphenol Composition Data

Lower molecular weight phenolic compounds including phenolic acids, stilbenes, anthocyanins, flavonols, dihydroflavonols, flavan3-ol monomers, dimers and trimers, and derived pigments and tannins, were analyzed by UHPLC-QqQ-MS in the MRM mode, using a method adapted from that described by Lambert et al. (2015). A few additional phenolic compounds detected in the grape extracts were identified and included in the method as detailed below. Glutathione in its reduced and oxidized forms was analyzed by UHPLC-QqQ-MS in the MRM mode as described by Vallverdú-Queralt et al. (2015). Flavan-3-ol units released after phloroglucinolysis were analyzed by UHPLC-QqQ-MS in the MRM mode (Lambert et al., 2015).

MRM transitions parameters of added target compounds that are commercially available were optimized by using the Intellistart tool of the Masslynx software which consists in automatically detecting the major fragments and optimizing cone voltages and collision energies. 1-galloyl- β -D-glucose (glucogallin) was characterized by the loss of glucose (-162Th). The main fragment (*m*/*z* 139Th) of (-)-epigallocatechin was the result of a Retro-Diels-Alder (RDA) fragmentation. Piceatannol was characterized by the loss of a diphenol (-110Th). These three molecules and quercetin-3-O-glucuronide were included in the calibration standards.

For new target analytes that are not commercially available, MRM parameters were optimized directly in grape extracts and compared to data reported in the literature. Pelargonidin 3-glucoside was characterized by the loss of glucose (-162Th)(Arapitsas et al., 2012) while pelargonidin 3-acetylglucoside and pelargonidin 3-coumaroylglucoside were characterized by the loss of the acetylglucose (-204Th) and coumaroylglucose (-308Th), respectively. These fragmentation patterns were specifically targeted in accordance with those of the other anthocyanins described by Lambert et al. (2015). Transitions of (epi)gallocatechin-malvidin 3-glucoside and (epi)gallocatechinpeonidin 3-glucoside were specifically targeted in accordance with their non galloylated equivalents described in Lambert et al. (2015). They are characterized by the loss of glucose (-162Th). Analysis of anthocyanin-tannin (A-T) bicyclic A-type adducts, namely peonidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechin (m/z 753Th), petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechin (m/z 769Th), malvidin 3-glucoside-(epi) catechin (m/z 783Th), malvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)gallocatechin (m/z 799Th), was optimized in the same way. The main fragments detected at m/z 313, 329, and 343Th, respectively for peonidin, petunidin, and malvidin derived A-T adducts result from a retro Diels-Alder (RDA) fragmentation (-168Th), the loss of the anthocyanin A-ring (-126Th) and that of the glucose substituent (-162Th) (Remy-Tanneau et al.,

2003). Malvidin 3-glucoside dimer and malvidin 3-glucosidepeonidin 3-glucoside were characterized by the loss of the two glucose moieties (-324Th) (Vidal et al., 2004b). Glucosylated flavonols such as isorhamnetin 3-glucoside, kaempferol 3glucoside, and syringetin 3-glucoside were qualified by the loss of the glucose (-162Th) (Vrhovsek et al., 2012). Fragmentation of laricitrin 3-glucoside (-162Th) was specifically targeted in accordance with fragmentation patterns of the other glucosylated flavonols (Lambert et al., 2015). Kaempferol 3-glucuronide was qualified by the loss of the glucuronide (-176Th) (Vrhovsek et al., 2012). Fragmentations of isorhamnetin 3-glucuronide, laricitrin 3-glucuronide, and syringetin 3-glucuronide (loss of the glucuronide -176Th) were optimized in accordance with the fragmentation pattern of the other glucuronidated flavonols (Lambert et al., 2015). Piceatannol 3-glucoside was characterized by the loss of the glucose (-162Th) (Vrhovsek et al., 2012). Fragmentation of (+)-gallocatechin (fragment at m/z 139Th after a RDA fragmentation) was optimized in accordance with (-)-epigallocatechin which is commercially available. Anthocyanins were expressed as equivalent malvidin 3-O-glucoside. Flavonol glucosides and flavonol glucuronides were expressed as equivalent quercetin 3-glucoside and quercetin 3-glucuronide, respectively. Piceatannol glucoside was expressed as equivalent piceid.

Quantitative data on 105 compounds was thus obtained. In addition, 17 variables have been calculated, including quantitative variables, namely total concentrations of native anthocyanins (s_AN_n), flavonols (s_FO), stilbenes (s_ST), hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives (s_HC), hydroxybenzoic acid derivatives (s_HB), flavan-3-ols (i.e., sum of tannin units released after phloroglucinolysis, s_FA), and qualitative variables, %acylated anthocyanins (p_AN_acyl), %B-ring trihydroxylated anthocyanins (p_AN_tri), %B-ring methylated anthocyanins (p_AN_met), %B-ring monohydroxylated flavonols (p_FO_mono), %B-ring dihydroxylated flavonols (p_FO_di), %B-ring trihydroxylated flavonols (p_FO_tri), %Bring methylated flavonols (p_FO_met), %flavonol glucuronides (p_FO-glucur), %B-ring trihydroxylated flavan-3-ol units (p_FA_tri) %galloylated flavan-3-ol units (p_FA_gall), mean degree of polymerization (dp_FA), calculated as the molar ratio of total released units to total terminal units. The list of variables is given in Table 1, along with their codes and abbreviations.

Chemometrics

For the 2 years of sampling (2014 and 2015), chemometrics treatments were performed on the MRM data for the 105 compounds, sorted by families (same order in 2014 and 2015) anthocyanins, flavanols, stilbenes, etc. For each observation, the 105 compounds were associated to the 17 calculated parameters, and the three parameters from the vineyard: δ^{13} C, refractive index, berry weight. Only cultivars for which both irrigated and non-irrigated observations were available were considered in each vintage. Samples with missing berry weight values were also eliminated. For the 105 MRM parameters, values below the quantification threshold were automatically replaced with a value corresponding to half of the threshold value.

TABLE 1 | list of variables, variable codes, and abbreviations.

AN-NATIVE ANTHOCYANINS-FDIMERS: 1 AN-Pg-glc pelargonidin 3-glucoside 2 AN-Cy-glc cyanidin 3-glucoside 3 AN-Dy-glc delphinidin 3-glucoside 4 AN-Pr-glc petunidin 3-glucoside 5 AN-Pr-glc petunidin 3-glucoside 6 AN-M-glc malvidin3-glucoside 7 AN-Cy-diglc cyanidin 3.5-diglucoside 8 AN-Dy-diglc petunidin 3.5-diglucoside 9 AN-Pr-diglc petunidin 3.5-diglucoside 10 AN-Pr-diglc petunidin 3.5-diglucoside 11 AN-My-diglc malvidin 3.5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pg-acglc pelargonidin 3.5-diglucoside 13 AN-Oy-acglc cyanidin 3.5-diglucoside 14 AN-Dp-acglc pelargonidin 3-acetlylglucoside 15 AN-Pr-acglc petunidin 3-acetlylglucoside 16 AN-Pr-acglc pelargonidin 3-p-coumarcylglucoside 3-p-coumarcylglucoside 10 AN-Pc-coumglc cyanidin 3-p-coumarcylglucoside 21	Code	Abbreviation	Full name									
1 AN-Pg-glc pelargonidin 3-glucoside 2 AN-Cy-glc cyanicin 3-glucoside 3 AN-Dy-glc delphinidin 3-glucoside 4 AN-Pr-glc petunicin 3-glucoside 5 AN-Pr-glc petunicin 3-glucoside 6 AN-Mr-glc malvidin3-glucoside 7 AN-Cy-diglc cyanicin 3.5-diglucoside 8 AN-Dy-diglc petunicin 3.5-diglucoside 9 AN-Pr-diglc petunicin 3.5-diglucoside 10 AN-Pr-diglc petunicin 3.5-diglucoside 11 AN-Mr-diglc malvidin 3.5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pg-acglc cyanicin 3-acety/glucoside 13 AN-Oy-acglc cyanicin 3-acety/glucoside 14 AN-Dy-acglc petunicin 3-acety/glucoside 15 AN-Pr-acglc petunicin 3-acety/glucoside 16 AN-Pr-acglc petunicin 3-acety/glucoside 17 AN-Mw-acglc malvidin 3-p-coumarcy/glucoside 18 AN-Dy-coumglc cyanicin 3-p-coumarcy/glucoside 21 AN-Pr-coumglc petunicin 3-p-coumarcy/glucoside 22 AN-Pr-coumglc petunicin 3-caffeo/glucoside 23 AN-Mw-coumglc reaffeo/glucoside 24 AN-Oy-caffglc cyanic	AN-NATIV	AN-NATIVE ANTHOCYANINS+DIMERS:										
2AN-Cy-glccyanidin 3-glucoside3AN-Dp-glcdelphinidin 3-glucoside4AN-Dp-glcpetunidin 3-glucoside5AN-My-glcpeonidin 3-glucoside6AN-My-glcmalvidin3-glucoside7AN-Cy-diglccyanidin 3,5-diglucoside8AN-Dp-diglcpelunidin 3,5-diglucoside9AN-Pr-diglcpeonidin 3,5-diglucoside10AN-Pr-diglcpeonidin 3,5-diglucoside11AN-My-diglcmalvidin 3,5-diglucoside12AN-Pg-acglcpelargonidin 3-acety/glucoside13AN-Cy-acglcoyanidin 3-acety/glucoside14AN-Dp-acglcpelorgonidin 3-acety/glucoside15AN-Pr-acglcpelorgonidin 3-acety/glucoside16AN-Pr-acglcpelorgonidin 3-acety/glucoside17AN-My-acglcmalvidin 3-p-coumaroy/glucoside18AN-Pp-coumglcpelorgonidin20AN-Dp-coumglcpelorgonidin21AN-Pr-counglcpelorgonidin22AN-Dp-coumglcpelorgonidin23AN-My-caffglccyanidin 3-p-coumaroy/glucoside24AN-Pr-caffglcpeloridin 3-caffeoy/glucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcpeloridin 3-caffeoy/glucoside26AN-Pr-caffglcpeloridin 3-caffeoy/glucoside27AN-Pr-caffglcpeloridin 3-caffeoy/glucoside28AN-My-caffglcpeloridin 3-caffeoy/glucoside29AN-My-caffglcprodidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside29AN-My-caffglcpeloridin 3-caffe	1	AN-Pg-glc	pelargonidin 3-glucoside									
3 AN-Dp-glc delphinicin 3-glucoside 4 AN-Pr-glc pertunidin 3-glucoside 5 AN-Pr-glc perindin 3.glucoside 6 AN-V-glc malvidin 3.glucoside 7 AN-Cy-diglc cyanidin 3,5-diglucoside 8 AN-Dp-diglc pertunidin 3,5-diglucoside 9 AN-Pr-diglc pertunidin 3,5-diglucoside 10 AN-Pr-diglc pertunidin 3,5-diglucoside 11 AN-Mr-diglc malvidin 3,5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pr-diglc pertunidin 3-acetylglucoside 13 AN-Cy-acglc cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 14 AN-Dp-acglc pertunidin 3-acetylglucoside 15 AN-Pr-acglc pertunidin 3-acetylglucoside 16 AN-Pr-acglc pertunidin 3-acetylglucoside 17 AN-Mr-acglc malvidin 3-acetylglucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumglc pertunidin 3-acetylglucoside 20 AN-Pr-cauglc perunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 21 AN-Pr-coumglc perunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 22 AN-Pr-catfiglc perunidin 3-catfeoylglucoside 23 AN-Mr-coumglc perunidin 3-catfeoylglucoside 24 AN-Cy-catfiglc perunidin 3-catfeoylglucoside 25	2	AN-Cy-glc	cyanidin 3-glucoside									
44 AN-Pr-glc petunidin 3-glucoside 5 AN-Pr-glc peonidin 3-glucoside 6 AN-Mr-glc maivdin3-glucoside 7 AN-Cy-diglc cyandin 3,5-diglucoside 8 AN-Pr-diglc delphinkin 3,5-diglucoside 9 AN-Pr-diglc peonidin 3,5-diglucoside 10 AN-Pr-diglc peonidin 3,5-diglucoside 11 AN-Mr-diglc maivdin 3,5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pg-acglc pelargonidin 3-acetylglucoside 13 AN-Cy-acglc cyandin 3-acetylglucoside 14 AN-Pg-acglc pelargonidin 3-acetylglucoside 15 AN-Pr-acglc pelargonidin 3-acetylglucoside 16 AN-Pr-acglc pelargonidin 3-g-coumaroylglucoside 17 AN-Mr-acglc pelargonidin 3-g-coumaroylglucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumglc cyandin 3-g-coumaroylglucoside 20 AN-Pr-coumglc pelargonidin 3-g-coumaroylglucoside 21 AN-Pr-coumglc pelargonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 23 AN-Mr-coumglc pelargonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 24 AN-Or-caffglc cyandin 3-caffeoylglucoside 25 AN-Pr-caffglc pelnidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 26 AN-Mr-caffglc pelnidin 3-caffeoylglucoside <	3	AN-Dp-glc	delphinidin 3-glucoside									
5 AN-Pr-glc peonidin 3-glucoside 6 AN-Mr-glc malvidin3-glucoside 7 AN-Cy-diglc oyanidin 3,5-diglucoside 8 AN-Dp-diglc petrinidin 3,5-diglucoside 9 AN-Pr-diglc petrinidin 3,5-diglucoside 10 AN-Pr-diglc petrinidin 3,5-diglucoside 11 AN-Wr-diglc malvidin 3,5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pg-acglc oyanidin 3-acety/glucoside 13 AN-Cy-acglc oyanidin 3-acety/glucoside 14 AN-Dp-acglc petrinidin 3-acety/glucoside 15 AN-Pr-acglc petrinidin 3-acety/glucoside 16 AN-Pr-acglc petrinidin 3-acety/glucoside 17 AN-Mr-acglc malvidin 3-acety/glucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumglc oyanidin 3-p-coumaroy/glucoside 19 AN-Cy-coumglc oyanidin 3-p-coumaroy/glucoside 21 AN-Pr-coumglc petrinidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 22 AN-Pr-coumglc petrinidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 23 AN-Wr-coumglc petrinidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 24 AN-Oy-caffglc oyanidin 3-glucoside 25 AN-Pr-caffglc petrinidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 26 AN-Wr-glc-Pn-glc malvidin 3-glucoside dimer	4	AN-Pt-glc	petunidin 3-glucoside									
64 AN-W-glc makidin3-glucoside 7 AN-Cy-diglc cyanidin 3,5-diglucoside 8 AN-Dp-diglc petunidin 3,5-diglucoside 9 AN-Pr-diglc petunidin 3,5-diglucoside 10 AN-Mr-diglc makidin 3,5-diglucoside 11 AN-Mr-diglc makidin 3,5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pg-acglc cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 13 AN-Cy-acglc cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 14 AN-Dp-acglc delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside 15 AN-Pr-acglc petunidin 3-acetylglucoside 16 AN-Pr-acglc pelargonidin 17 AN-Mr-acglc pelargonidin 18 AN-Pg-coumglc cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 19 AN-Cy-coumglc ediphinidin 20 AN-Pr-coumglc pelargonidin 21 AN-Pr-coumglc pelargonidin 22 AN-Pr-coumglc pelargonidin 23 AN-Mr-coumglc pelargonidin 24 AN-Cy-caffglc oyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 25 AN-Mr-coumglc petunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 26 AN-Mr-caffglc pelnidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 27 AN-Pr-caffglc pelnidin 3-caffeoylglucoside <td< td=""><td>5</td><td>AN-Pn-glc</td><td>peonidin 3-glucoside</td></td<>	5	AN-Pn-glc	peonidin 3-glucoside									
7 AN-Cy-digic cyanidin 3,5-diglucoside 8 AN-Dp-digic delphinidin 3,5-diglucoside 9 AN-Pr-digic petunidin 3,5-diglucoside 10 AN-Pr-digic peonidin 3,5-diglucoside 11 AN-W-digic malvidin 3,5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pr-acgic pelargonidin 3-acetlylglucoside 13 AN-Cy-acgic cyanidin 3-acetlylglucoside 14 AN-Dp-acgic delphinidin 3-acetlylglucoside 15 AN-Pr-acgic pelargonidin 3-acetlylglucoside 16 AN-Pr-acgic pelargonidin 3-acetlylglucoside 17 AN-Mr-acgic malvidin 3-acetlylglucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumgic yelargonidin 3-p-coumarolylglucoside 20 AN-Pg-coumgic cyanidin 3-p-coumarolylglucoside 21 AN-Pr-coumgic pelargonidin 3-p-coumarolylglucoside 22 AN-Pr-coumgic peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 23 AN-Mr-coumgic peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 24 AN-Cy-caffgic oyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 25 AN-Dp-caffgic petunidin 3-glucoside 26 AN-Mr-caffgic peonidin 3-glucoside 27 AN-Pr-caffgic peonidin 3-glucoside 28 AN-Mr-gle-Pn-glc pr/ydroxyphenylpyra	6	AN-Mv-glc	malvidin3-glucoside									
8 AN-Dp-diglc delphinidin 3,5-diglucoside 9 AN-Pr-diglc petunidin 3,5-diglucoside 10 AN-Pn-diglc pelargonidin 3,5-diglucoside 11 AN-My-diglc malvidin 3,5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pg-acglc cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 13 AN-Cy-acglc cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 14 AN-Dp-acglc delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside 15 AN-Pt-acglc petunidin 3-acetylglucoside 16 AN-Pn-acglc petunidin 3-acetylglucoside 17 AN-My-acglc malvidin 3-acetylglucoside 18 AN-Oy-coumglc cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 20 AN-Pr-coumglc cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 21 AN-Pr-coumglc gelophinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 22 AN-Pr-coumglc peonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 23 AN-Wy-caffglc cyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 24 AN-Cy-caffglc peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 25 AN-Pr-caffglc peonidin 3-glucoside-goldin 26 AN-My-caffglc peonidin 3-glucoside 27 AN-My-caffglc peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 28 AN-My-caffglc peonidin 3-glucoside 29 AN-My-glc-Pn-glc glucoside <	7	AN-Cy-diglc	cyanidin 3,5-diglucoside									
9 AN-Pt-digle petunidin 3,5-diglucoside 10 AN-Pn-digle peonidin 3,5-diglucoside 11 AN-My-digle malvidin 3,5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pg-acgle pelargonidin 3-acety/glucoside 13 AN-Cy-acgle cyanidin 3-acety/glucoside 14 AN-Dp-acgle delphinidin 3-acety/glucoside 15 AN-Pt-acgle petunidin 3-acety/glucoside 16 AN-My-acgle pelargonidin 3-acety/glucoside 17 AN-My-acgle pelargonidin 3-acety/glucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumgle pelargonidin 3-acety/glucoside 19 AN-Cy-coumgle cyanidin 3-acety/glucoside 20 AN-Dp-coumgle pelargonidin 3-acety/glucoside 21 AN-Pt-coumgle pelargonidin 3-acety/glucoside 22 AN-Ph-coumgle peonidin 3-p-coumaroy/glucoside 23 AN-My-coumgle peonidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 24 AN-Qy-caffgle cyanidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 25 AN-Dp-caffgle pelonidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 26 AN-My-caffgle peonidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 27 AN-My-caffgle pelonidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside 28 AN-My-caffgle pelonidin 3-glucoside dimer 4D-Py-Ph-ngle pyranomabidin 3-gluc	8	AN-Dp-diglc	delphinidin 3,5-diglucoside									
10 AN-Pn-digic peonidin 3,5-diglucoside 11 AN-Mv-digic malvidin 3,5-diglucoside 12 AN-Pg-acgic pelargonidin 3-acetylglucoside 13 AN-Cy-acgic cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 14 AN-Dp-acgic delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside 15 AN-Pn-acgic petunidin 3-acetylglucoside 16 AN-Pn-acgic pelargonidin 17 AN-Mv-acgic malvidin 3-acetylglucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumgic cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 19 AN-Cy-coumgic cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 20 AN-Pt-coumgic cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 21 AN-Pt-coumgic peonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 22 AN-Pt-coumgic peonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 23 AN-Pt-coumgic cyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 24 AN-Op-caffic delphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 25 AN-Pt-caffic peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 26 AN-Mv-caffic peonidin 3-glucoside dimer 27 AN-Mv-glc-finer malvidin 3-glucoside dimer 28 AN-Mv-glc-finer malvidin 3-glucoside dimer 29 AN-Mv-glc p-n-glc 20 AN-Mv-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin <tr< td=""><td>9</td><td>AN-Pt-diglc</td><td>petunidin 3,5-diglucoside</td></tr<>	9	AN-Pt-diglc	petunidin 3,5-diglucoside									
11AN-Mv-diglcmalvidin 3,5-diglucoside12AN-Pg-acglcpelargonidin 3-acetylglucoside13AN-Cy-acglccyanidin 3-acetylglucoside14AN-Dp-acglcdelphinidin 3-acetylglucoside15AN-Pr-acglcpetunidin 3-acetylglucoside16AN-Pn-acglcpeonidin 3-acetylglucoside17AN-Mv-acglcpelargonidin18AN-Pg-coumglccyanidin 3-acetylglucoside19AN-Cy-coumglccyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside20AN-Dp-coumglcdelphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside21AN-Pt-coumglcpeonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside23AN-Pt-coumglccyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Mv-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside dimer4P-PYRANOHoryp-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3-glucoside30AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside31AP-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside32AP-hp-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside<	10	AN-Pn-diglc	peonidin 3,5-diglucoside									
12 AN-Pg-acglc pelargonidin 3-acetylglucoside 13 AN-Cy-acglc cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside 14 AN-Dp-acglc delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside 15 AN-Pt-acglc petunidin 3-acetylglucoside 16 AN-Pn-acglc peonidin 3-acetylglucoside 17 AN-W-acglc malvidin 3-acetylglucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumglc pelargonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside pelargonidin 19 AN-Cy-coumglc cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 20 AN-Dp-coumglc delphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside peonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 21 AN-Pr-coumglc pelargonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 22 AN-Pn-coumglc pelargonidin 3-acffeoylglucoside 23 AN-My-coumglc malvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 24 AN-Oy-caffglc cyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 25 AN-Dp-caffglc pelarinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 26 AN-My-caffglc pelarinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 27 AN-My-caffglc pelarinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 28 AN-My-caffglc pelarinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 29 AN-My-caffglc pyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 29 AN-My-glc pyranomalvidin 3-glucoside <	11	AN-Mv-diglc	malvidin 3,5-diglucoside									
13AN-Cy-acglccyanidin 3-acetylglucoside14AN-Dp-acglcdelphinidin 3-acetylglucoside15AN-Pt-acglcpetunidin 3-acetylglucoside16AN-Pn-acglcpeonidin 3-acetylglucoside17AN-Mv-acglcmalvidin 3-acetylglucoside18AN-Pg-coumglcpelargonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside19AN-Cy-counglccyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside20AN-Pt-coumglcpetunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside21AN-Pt-counglcpetunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside23AN-Mv-counglcpetunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Ph-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Ph-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside32AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin35AP-tc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin3-glucosideglucosideglucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin3-glucosideglucosideglucoside38AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranomalvidin3-glucosideglucosideglucoside39AF-etr-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin3-g	12	AN-Pg-acglc	pelargonidin 3-acetylglucoside									
14 AN-Dp-acglc delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside 15 AN-Pt-acglc petunidin 3-acetylglucoside 16 AN-Pn-acglc peonidin 3-acetylglucoside 17 AN-Mv-acglc malvidin 3-acetylglucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumglc pelargonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 19 AN-Cy-coumglc cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 20 AN-Dp-coumglc delphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 21 AN-Pt-coumglc petunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 22 AN-Pn-coumglc petunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 23 AN-W-coumglc malvidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 24 AN-Cy-caffglc cyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 25 AN-Pn-caffglc petunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 26 AN-Pn-caffglc petunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 27 AN-Pn-caffglc peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 28 AN-Mv-caffglc malvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 29 AN-Mv-glc-In-pglc malvidin 3-glucoside dimer AP-PYRANO-XITTOCYANINS Jan-Phy-Ph-glc pyranopeonidine 3-glucoside 31 AP-py-Mv-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 32 AP-hp-py-Pn-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 33 AP-hp-py-Mv-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin <td>13</td> <td>AN-Cy-acglc</td> <td>cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside</td>	13	AN-Cy-acglc	cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside									
15AN-Pt-acglcpetunidin 3-acetylglucoside16AN-Pn-acglcpeonidin 3-acetylglucoside17AN-Mv-acglcmalvidin 3-acetylglucoside18AN-Pg-coumglcpelargonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside19AN-Cy-coumglccyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside20AN-Dp-coumglcdelphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside21AN-Pt-coumglcpetunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside22AN-Pn-coumglcpeonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside23AN-Mv-coumglcpeonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcdelphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Pr-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-In-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-PYRANOAN-Mv-glcpyranopeonidine 3-glucoside31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside32AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 	14	AN-Dp-acglc	delphinidin 3-acetylglucoside									
16AN-Pn-acglcpeonidin 3-acetylglucoside17AN-Mv-acglcmalvidin 3-acetylglucoside18AN-Pg-coumglcpelargonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside19AN-Cy-counglccyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside20AN-Dp-coumglcdelphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside21AN-Pt-counglcpetunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside23AN-Pt-counglcpeonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside24AN-Vy-coufglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Dp-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Ph-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Ph-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-W-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-glucoside dimer29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside dimer30AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside32AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside31AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside <t< td=""><td>15</td><td>AN-Pt-acglc</td><td>petunidin 3-acetylglucoside</td></t<>	15	AN-Pt-acglc	petunidin 3-acetylglucoside									
17 AN-Mv-acglc malvidin 3-acetylglucoside 18 AN-Pg-coumglc pelargonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 19 AN-Cy-coumglc cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 20 AN-Dp-coumglc delphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 21 AN-Pt-coumglc petunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 22 AN-Pt-coumglc peonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 23 AN-Mv-coumglc malvidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 24 AN-Cy-caffglc cyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 25 AN-Pt-caffglc petunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 26 AN-Pt-caffglc peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 27 AN-Pr-caffglc peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 28 AN-Mv-caffglc malvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 29 AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glc malvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 30 AN-Mv-glc-dimer malvidin 3-glucoside 31 AP-py-Pn-glc pyranopeonidine 3- glucoside 32 AP-py-Pn-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3-glucoside 33 AP-hp-py-Pn-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside a-glucoside a-glucoside 36 AP-cbc-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside a-glucoside a-glucoside 37 AP	16	AN-Pn-acglc	peonidin 3-acetylglucoside									
18 AN-Pg-coumglc pelargonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 19 AN-Cy-coumglc cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 20 AN-Dp-coumglc delphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 21 AN-Pt-coumglc petunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 22 AN-Pn-coumglc peonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside 23 AN-Mv-coumglc malvidin3-p-coumaroylglucoside 24 AN-Cy-caffglc cyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 25 AN-Dp-caffglc delphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 26 AN-Pr-caffglc peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 27 AN-Pn-caffglc peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 28 AN-Mv-caffglc peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 29 AN-Mv-caffglc malvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside 29 AN-Mv-glc-dimer malvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside 31 AP-py-Pn-glc pyranopeonidine 3- glucoside 32 AP-py-Pn-glc proxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside 33 AP-hp-py-Pn-glc catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 34 AP-hp-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 35 AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 36 AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 37 AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc <td< td=""><td>17</td><td>AN-Mv-acglc</td><td>malvidin 3-acetylglucoside</td></td<>	17	AN-Mv-acglc	malvidin 3-acetylglucoside									
19AN-Cy-coumglccyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside20AN-Dp-coumglcdelphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside21AN-Pt-coumglcpetunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside22AN-Pn-coumglcpeonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside23AN-Mv-coumglcmalvidin3-p-coumaroylglucoside24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcdelphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Pt-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-hp-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatooxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccatooxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	18	AN-Pg-coumglc	pelargonidin 3- <i>p</i> -coumaroylglucoside									
20AN-Dp-coumglcdelphinidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside21AN-Pt-coumglcpetunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside22AN-Pn-coumglcpeonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside23AN-Mv-coumglcmalvidin3-p-coumaroylglucoside24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcdelphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Pt-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3-glucoside31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3-glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranopeonidine 3-glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatobxypyranopeonidin 	19	AN-Cy-coumglc	cyanidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside									
21AN-Pt-coumglcpetunidin 3-p-coumaroy/glucoside22AN-Pn-coumglcpeonidin 3-p-coumaroy/glucoside23AN-Mv-coumglcmalvidin3-p-coumaroy/glucoside24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcdelphinidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside26AN-Pt-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoy/glucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatoxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	20	AN-Dp-coumglc	delphinidin 3- <i>p</i> -coumaroylglucoside									
22AN-Pn-coumglcpeonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside23AN-Mv-coumglcmalvidin3-p-coumaroylglucoside24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcdelphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Pt-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-PYRANO +MTHOCYANINS31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside32AP-py-Pn-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 	21	AN-Pt-coumglc	petunidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside									
23AN-Mv-coumglcmalvidin3-p-coumaroylglucoside24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcdelphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Pt-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-PYRANO ANTHOCYANINS31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	22	AN-Pn-coumglc	peonidin 3-p-coumaroylglucoside									
24AN-Cy-caffglccyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside25AN-Dp-caffglcdelphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Pt-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-dimermalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-PYRANO ANTHOCYANINS31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatoxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccatoxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	23	AN-Mv-coumglc	malvidin3-p-coumaroylglucoside									
25AN-Dp-caffglcdelphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside26AN-Pt-caffglcpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-dimermalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-PYRANO ANTHOCYANINS31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	24	AN-Cy-caffglc	cyanidin 3-caffeoylglucoside									
26AN-Pt-caffgicpetunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside27AN-Pn-caffgicpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffgicmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-dimermalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-pyRANO ANTHOCYANINS31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidin 3- glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranopeonidin 3- glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	25	AN-Dp-caffglc	delphinidin 3-caffeoylglucoside									
27AN-Pn-caffglcpeonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside28AN-Mv-caffglcmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-dimermalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-PYRANO ANTHOCYANINS31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	26	AN-Pt-caffglc	petunidin 3-caffeoylglucoside									
28AN-Mv-caffgicmalvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-dimermalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-PYRANO ANTHOCYANINS31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin B)33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccatoxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	27	AN-Pn-caffglc	peonidin 3-caffeoylglucoside									
29AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glcmalvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside30AN-Mv-glc-dimermalvidin 3-glucoside dimer AP-PYRANO ANTHOCYANINS yranopeonidine 3- glucoside31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccatoboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	28	AN-Mv-caffglc	malvidin 3-caffeoylglucoside									
30AN-Mv-glc-dimermalvidin 3-glucoside dimerAP-PYRANO ANTHOCYANINS31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	29	AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glc	malvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside									
AP-PYRANO ANTHOCYANINS 31 AP-py-Pn-glc pyranopeonidine 3- glucoside 32 AP-py-Mv-glc pyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 33 AP-hp-py-Pn-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside 34 AP-hp-py-Mv-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 35 AP-ctc-py-Pn-glc catechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 36 AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 37 AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	30	AN-Mv-glc-dimer	malvidin 3-glucoside dimer									
31AP-py-Pn-glcpyranopeonidine 3- glucoside32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin B)33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	AP-PYRAI	NO ANTHOCYANINS										
32AP-py-Mv-glcpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin B)33AP-hp-py-Pn-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside34AP-hp-py-Mv-glcp-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside35AP-ctc-py-Pn-glccatechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside36AP-ctc-py-Mv-glccatechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside37AP-cbx-py-Pn-glccarboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside38AP-cbx-py-Mv-glccarboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside39AF-Pt-glc-(epi)catpetunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	31	AP-py-Pn-glc	pyranopeonidine 3- glucoside									
33 AP-hp-py-Pn-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside 34 AP-hp-py-Mv-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 35 AP-ctc-py-Pn-glc catechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 36 AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 37 AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	32	AP-py-Mv-glc	pyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin B)									
34 AP-hp-py-Mv-glc p-hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 35 AP-ctc-py-Pn-glc catechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 36 AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (pinotin A) 37 AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	33	AP-hp-py-Pn-glc	<i>p</i> -hydroxyphenylpyranopeonidin3- glucoside									
35 AP-ctc-py-Pn-glc catechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 36 AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (pinotin A) 37 AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin A) AF-ANTHOCYANINS-FLAVANOLS: 39 39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	34	AP-hp-py-Mv-glc	<i>p</i> -hydroxyphenylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside									
36 AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (pinotin A) 37 AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin A) AF-ANTHOCYANINS-FLAVANOLS: 39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	35	AP-ctc-py-Pn-glc	catechylpyranopeonidin 3-glucoside									
37 AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside 38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin A) AF-ANTHOCYANINS-FLAVANOLS: 39 39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	36	AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc	catechylpyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (pinotin A)									
38 AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin A) AF-ANTHOCYANINS-FLAVANOLS: 39 39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	37	AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc	carboxypyranopeonidin 3-glucoside									
AF-ANTHOCYANINS-FLAVANOLS: 39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	38	AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc	carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin A)									
39 AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechir A-F bicyclic	AF-ANTHO	OCYANINS-FLAVANOLS:										
	39	AF-Pt-glc-(epi)cat	petunidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechin A-F bicyclic									

TABLE 1 | Continued

CodeAbbreviationFull name40AF-Pn-gic-(epi)catpeonidin 3-glucoside-(epi)cat A-F bicyclic41AF-Mv-gic-(epi)gallocatmalvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)gallocatech bicyclic42AF-Mv-gic-(epi)gallocat-Pn-gicmalvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)gallocatech bicyclic43AF-(epi)gallocat-Pn-gic(epi)gallocatechin-peonidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)44AF-(epi)gallocat-Mv-gic(epi)catechin-peonidin 3-glu F-A (2 isomers)45AF-(epi)cat-Mv-gic(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-glu F-A (2 isomers)46AF-(epi)cat-th-Pn-gic-11(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-glu F-A (2 isomers)47AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-gic-12(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-glu F-A (2 isomers)48AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-gic-13(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1) 3-glucoside (isomer 2)49AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-gic-13(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3)50AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-gic-14(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3)51AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-gic-13(epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3)52AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-gic-13(epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1)53AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-gic-14(epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 2)54AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-gic-13(epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3)55AC-caft-Pn-giccaftaric-peonidin 3-glucoside isomers)56HF-taxiftaxifolin57HF-astilbsatilbin58FO-syring-glucur<			
40 AF-Pn-glc-(epi)cat peonidin 3-glucoside-(epi)cat 41 AF-Mv-glc-(epi)gallocat malvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)gallocatech bicyclic 42 AF-Mv-glc-(epi)gallocat-Pn-glc malvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)gallocatech 43 AF-(epi)gallocat-Pn-glc (epi)gallocatechin-peonidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers) 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers) 44 AF-(epi)cat-Pn-glc (epi)catechin-malvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers) 46 AF-(epi)cat-Mv-glc (epi)catechin-malvidin 46 AF-(epi)cat-Mv-glc (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1) 42 aff-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-12 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 2) aglucoside (isomer 1) a-glucoside (isomer 2) 45 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-13 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1) a-glucoside (isomer 2) 49 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-13 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1) a-glucoside (isomer 2) 50 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-14 (epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1) a-glucoside (isomer 3) 51 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-14 (epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3) a-glucoside (isomer 3) 52	Code	Abbreviation	Full name
41 AF-Mv-glc-(epi)gallocat malvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)gallocatech bicyclic 42 AF-Mv-glc-(epi)cat malvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)gallocatech bicyclic 43 AF-(epi)gallocat-Pn-glc (epi)gallocatechin-realvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers) 44 AF-(epi)gallocat-Mv-glc (epi)gallocatechin-realvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers) 45 AF-(epi)cat-Pn-glc (epi)catechin-malvidin 3-gluc F-A (2 isomers) 46 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-12 (epi)catechin-malvidin 3-gluc F-A (2 isomers) 47 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-12 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1) 48 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-12 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3) 50 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-14 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3) 51 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-14 (epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3) 52 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-14 (epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 2) 53 AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-14 (epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3) 54 AC-caft-Pn-glc caftaric-peonidin 3-glucosidi isomers) 55 AC-caft-Pn-glc caftaric-malvidin 3-glucosidi isomers) 5	40	AF-Pn-glc-(epi)cat	peonidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechin A-F bicyclic
42AF-Mv-glc-(epi)catmavidin 3-glucoside-(epi)ca A-F bicyclic43AF-(epi)gallocat-Pn-glc(epi)gallocatechin-peonidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)44AF-(epi)gallocat-Mv-glc(epi)gallocatechin-malvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)45AF-(epi)cat-Pn-glc(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-gluc 	41	AF-Mv-glc-(epi)gallocat	malvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)gallocatechin A-F bicyclic
43AF-(epi)gallocat-Pn-glc(epi)gallocatechin-peonidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)44AF-(epi)gallocat-Mv-glc(epi)gallocatechin-malvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)45AF-(epi)cat-Pn-glc(epi)catechin-menvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)46AF-(epi)cat-Mv-glc(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-gluc F-A (2 isomers)47AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-11(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1)48AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-13(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 2)49AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-14(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 	42	AF-Mv-glc-(epi)cat	malvidin 3-glucoside-(epi)catechin A-F bicyclic
44AF-(epi)gallocat-Mv-glc(epi)gallocatechin-malvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)45AF-(epi)cat-Pn-glc(epi)catechin-peonidin 3-glu F-A (2 isomers)46AF-(epi)cat-Mv-glc(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-gluc F-A (2 isomers)47AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-11(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 	43	AF-(epi)gallocat-Pn-glc	(epi)gallocatechin-peonidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)
45AF-(epi)cat-Pn-glc(epi)catechin-peonidin 3-glu F-A (2 isomers)46AF-(epi)cat-thV-glc(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-gluc F-A (2 isomers)47AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-11(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1)48AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-12(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 	44	AF-(epi)gallocat-Mv-glc	(epi)gallocatechin-malvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)
46AF-(epi)cat-Mv-glc(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-gluc F-A (2 isomers)47AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i1(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1)48AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i2(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 2)49AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i3(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 	45	AF-(epi)cat-Pn-glc	(epi)catechin-peonidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)
47AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i1 a-glucoside (isomer 1) 3-glucoside (isomer 1)48AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i2 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 	46	AF-(epi)cat-Mv-glc	(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-glucoside F-A (2 isomers)
448AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i2 s-glucoside (isomer 2)49AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i3 s-glucoside (isomer 3)50AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i4 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 	47	AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i1	(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1)
49AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i3 -glucoside (isomer 3)50AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i4 (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 4)51AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i1 	48	AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i2	(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 2)
50AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i4 a-glucoside (isomer 4)51AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i1 a-glucoside (isomer 4)51AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i2 a-glucoside (isomer 1)52AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i2 	49	AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i3	(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 3)
51AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i1 a-glucoside (isomer 1)52AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i2 a-glucoside (isomer 2)53AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i3+4 a-glucoside (isomer 2)53AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i3+4 	50	AF-(epi)cat-eth-Pn-glc-i4	(epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin 3-glucoside (isomer 4)
52AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i2 3-glucoside (isomer 2)53AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i3+4 (epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomers 3 & 4)AC-CAFTARIC-ANTHOCYANINS:54AC-caft-Pn-glc 	51	AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i1	(epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 1)
53AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i3+4(epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomers 3 & 4)AC-CAFTARIC-ANTHOCYANINS:54AC-caft-Pn-glccaftaric-peonidin 3-glucoside isomers)55AC-caft-Mv-glccaftaric-malvidin 3-glucoside isomers)55AC-caft-Mv-glccaftaric-malvidin 3-glucoside 	52	AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i2	(epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomer 2)
AC-CAFTARIC-ANTHOCYANINS: 54 AC-caft-Pn-glc caftaric-peonidin 3-glucosid isomers) 55 AC-caft-Mv-glc caftaric-malvidin 3-glucosid isomers) 55 AC-caft-Mv-glc caftaric-malvidin 3-glucosid isomers) HF-DIHYDROFLAVONOLS 56 HF-taxif taxifolin 57 HF-astilb astilbin FO-FLAVONOLS 58 FO-syring-glucur syringetin 3-glucuronide 59 FO-syring-glc syringetin 3-glucuronide 60 FO-querc-glucur quercetin 3-glucuronide 61 FO-querc-glc quercetin 3-glucuronide 62 FO-myric-glucur myricetin 3-glucuronide 63 FO-myric-glucur myricetin 3-glucuronide 64 FO-laric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucoside 65 FO-laric-glc laricitrin 3-glucuronide 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempf-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 69 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside <	53	AF-(epi)cat-eth-Mv-glc-i3+4	(epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (isomers 3 & 4)
54AC-caft-Pn-glccaftaric-peonidin 3-glucosidi isomers)55AC-caft-Mv-glccaftaric-malvidin 3-glucosidi isomers)56AF-taxiftaxifolin57HF-astilbastilbinFO-FLAVONOLS58FO-syring-glucur59FO-syring-glcsyringetin 3-glucoside60FO-querc-glucurquercetin 3-glucoside61FO-querc-glcquercetin 3-glucoside62FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucoside63FO-myric-glcmyricetin 3-glucoside64FO-laric-glclaricitrin 3-glucoside65FO-laric-glclaricitrin 3-glucoside66FO-kaempf-glucurkaempferol 3-glucuronide67FO-kaempf-glckaempferol 3-glucoside68FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside67ST-c-resvercis-resveratrol71ST-t-resvertars-resveratrol	AC-CAFTARI	C-ANTHOCYANINS:	
55AC-caft-Mv-glccaftaric-malvidin 3-glucoside isomers)HF-DIHYDROFLAVONOLS56HF-taxiftaxifolin57HF-astilbastilbinFO-FLAVONOLS58FO-syring-glucur59FO-syring-glucursyringetin 3-glucuronide59FO-querc-glucurquercetin 3-glucoside60FO-querc-glucurquercetin 3-glucuronide61FO-querc-glucurmyricetin 3-glucuronide62FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucuronide63FO-myric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucoside64FO-laric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucuronide65FO-laric-glucurkaempferol 3-glucuronide66FO-kaempf-glucurkaempferol 3-glucuronide67FO-kaempf-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside68FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuronide69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuronide69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuronide69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside67ST-c-resvercis-resveratrol71ST-t-resvertrans-resveratrol	54	AC-caft-Pn-glc	caftaric-peonidin 3-glucoside (2 isomers)
HF-DIHYDROFLAVONOLS56HF-taxiftaxifolin57HF-astilbastilbinFO-FLAVONOLS58FO-syring-glucursyringetin 3-glucuronide59FO-syring-glcsyringetin 3-glucuronide60FO-querc-glucurquercetin 3-glucuronide61FO-querc-glcquercetin 3-glucuronide62FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucuronide63FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucuronide64FO-laric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucuronide65FO-laric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucuronide66FO-kaempf-glucurkaempferol 3-glucuronide67FO-kaempf-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuronide68FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuronide69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuronide69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuronide69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuronide67ST-c-resvercis-resveratrol71ST-t-resvertrans-resveratrol	55	AC-caft-Mv-glc	caftaric-malvidin 3-glucoside (2 isomers)
56HF-taxiftaxifolin57HF-astilbastilbinFO-FLAVONOLS58FO-syring-glucursyringetin 3-glucuronide59FO-syring-glcsyringetin 3-glucuronide60FO-querc-glucurquercetin 3-glucoside61FO-querc-glcquercetin 3-glucoside62FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucoside63FO-myric-glcmyricetin 3-glucoside64FO-laric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucoside65FO-laric-glclaricitrin 3-glucoside66FO-kaempf-glucurkaempferol 3-glucuronide67FO-kaempf-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside68FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside69FO-isorham-glcisorhamnetin 3-glucoside70ST-c-resver <i>cis</i> -resveratrol71ST-t-resver <i>trans</i> -resveratrol	HF-DIHYDRC	FLAVONOLS	
57HF-astilbastilbinFO-FLAVONOLS58FO-syring-glucursyringetin 3-glucuronide59FO-syring-glcsyringetin 3-glucuronide60FO-querc-glucurquercetin 3-glucuronide61FO-querc-glcquercetin 3-glucuronide62FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucuronide63FO-myric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucuronide64FO-laric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucoside65FO-laric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucoside66FO-kaempf-glucurkaempferol 3-glucuronide67FO-kaempf-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside68FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside70ST-c-resvercis-resveratrol71ST-t-resvertrans-resveratrol	56	HF-taxif	taxifolin
FO-FLAVONOLS58FO-syring-glucursyringetin 3-glucuronide59FO-syring-glcsyringetin 3-glucuronide60FO-querc-glucurquercetin 3-glucuronide61FO-querc-glcquercetin 3-glucuronide62FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucuronide63FO-myric-glcmyricetin 3-glucuronide64FO-laric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucoside65FO-laric-glclaricitrin 3-glucoside66FO-kaempf-glucurkaempferol 3-glucuronide67FO-kaempf-glckaempferol 3-glucuronide68FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucoside69FO-isorham-glcisorhamnetin 3-glucosideST-STILBENES70ST-c-resvercis-resveratrol71ST-t-resvertrans-resveratrol	57	HF-astilb	astilbin
58FO-syring-glucursyringetin 3-glucuronide59FO-syring-glcsyringetin 3-glucuside60FO-querc-glucurquercetin 3-glucuronide61FO-querc-glcquercetin 3-glucuside62FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucuside63FO-myric-glucurmyricetin 3-glucuside64FO-laric-glucurlaricitrin 3-glucuside65FO-laric-glclaricitrin 3-glucuside66FO-kaempf-glucurkaempferol 3-glucuside67FO-kaempf-glckaempferol 3-glucuside68FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuside69FO-isorham-glucurisorhamnetin 3-glucuside70ST-c-resvercis-resveratrol71ST-t-resvertrans-resveratrol	FO-FLAVONO	DLS	
59 FO-syring-glc syringetin 3-glucoside 60 FO-querc-glucur quercetin 3-glucuronide 61 FO-querc-glc quercetin 3-glucoside 62 FO-myric-glucur myricetin 3-glucoside 63 FO-myric-glucur myricetin 3-glucoside 64 FO-laric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucoside 65 FO-laric-glc laricitrin 3-glucoside 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempf-glc kaempferol 3-glucoside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucoside ST-STILBENES tisorhamnetin 3-glucoside 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	58	FO-syring-glucur	syringetin 3-glucuronide
60 FO-querc-glucur quercetin 3-glucuronide 61 FO-querc-glc quercetin 3-glucuronide 62 FO-myric-glucur myricetin 3-glucuronide 63 FO-myric-glc myricetin 3-glucuronide 64 FO-laric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucoside 65 FO-laric-glc laricitrin 3-glucoside 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempf-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucoside ST-STILBENES 70 ST-c-resver <i>cis</i> -resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	59	FO-svring-alc	svringetin 3-glucoside
61 FO-querc-glc quercetin 3-glucoside 62 FO-myric-glucur myricetin 3-glucuronide 63 FO-myric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucuronide 64 FO-laric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucoside 65 FO-laric-glc laricitrin 3-glucoside 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempf-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	60	FO-querc-alucur	auercetin 3-alucuronide
62 FO-myric-glucur myricetin 3-glucuronide 63 FO-myric-glucur myricetin 3-glucuronide 64 FO-laric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucuronide 65 FO-laric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucuronide 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucuronide 69 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucuronide 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	61	FO-querc-alc	quercetin 3-alucoside
62 FO-Infrite gluculi Infrite gluculi of gluculo inde 63 FO-myric-glc myricetin 3-glucuside 64 FO-laric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucuside 65 FO-laric-glc laricitrin 3-glucuside 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuside 67 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucuside 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucuside 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	62	FO-myric-glucur	myricetin 3-ducuronide
64 FO-laric-glucur laricitrin 3-glucuronide 65 FO-laric-glc laricitrin 3-glucoside 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempf-glc kaempferol 3-glucoside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucoside ST-STILBENES 70 ST-c-resver <i>cis</i> -resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver <i>trans</i> -resveratrol	63	FO-myric-gluoui	myricetin 3-glucoside
65 FO-laric-glucuri laricitrin 3-glucuronide 65 FO-laric-glc laricitrin 3-glucuronide 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempf-glc kaempferol 3-glucuronide 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucuronide 69 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucuronide ST-STILBENES 70 ST-c-resver <i>cis</i> -resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver <i>trans</i> -resveratrol	64	FO-larie-gluour	laricitrin 3-alucuronida
66 FO-lanc-gic lancinn 3-glucoside 66 FO-kaempf-glucur kaempferol 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempf-glc kaempferol 3-glucoside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucuronide 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucoside ST-STILBENES 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	04 85	EO lario alo	lariaitria 2 alucasida
67 FO-kaempi-glucur kaempireroi 3-glucuronide 67 FO-kaempi-glc kaempireroi 3-glucoside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucoside ST-STILBENES 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	00	FO-laric-gic	
or FO-kaempi-gic kaempterol 3-glucoside 68 FO-isorham-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucoside 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucoside ST-STILBENES 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	00	FO-kaempt-glucur	kaempterol 3-glucuronide
bb FO-isornam-glucur isorhamnetin 3-glucuronide 69 FO-isorham-glc isorhamnetin 3-glucoside ST-STILBENES strass-resveratrol 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	b <i>i</i>	r∪-kaempt-gic	kaempterol 3-glucoside
by FO-isornam-gic isornamnetin 3-glucoside ST-STILBENES cis-resveratrol 70 ST-c-resver cis-resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	68	FO-isorham-glucur	isornamnetin 3-glucuronide
ST-STILBENES 70 ST-c-resver 71 ST-t-resver 71 ST-t-resver	69	FU-Isorham-glc	isorhamnetin 3-glucoside
70 ST-c-resver <i>cis</i> -resveratrol 71 ST-t-resver <i>trans</i> -resveratrol	ST-STILBENE	:5	
71 ST-t-resver trans-resveratrol	70	ST-c-resver	cis-resveratrol
	71	ST-t-resver	trans-resveratrol

(Continued)

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Code	Abbreviation	Full name
72	ST-c-piceid	<i>cis</i> -piceide
73	ST-t-piceid	trans-piceide
74	ST-piceat-glc	piceatannol glucoside
75	ST-piceat	piceatannol
76	ST-resver-dimer	resveratrol dimers type εviniferin (2 isomers)
FA-FLAVA	ANOLS (TANNINS)	
77	FA-gallocat	gallocatechin
78	FA-epigallocat	epigallocatechin
79	FA-epicat	epicatechin
80	FA-cat	catechin
81	FA-(epi)cat-eth-(epi)cat-i1	(epi)catechin-ethyl-(epi)catechin (1 isomer)
82	FA-(epi)cat-eth-(epi)cat- i2+3	(epi)catechin-ethyl-(epi)catechin (2 isomers)
83	FA-gallocat-term	gallocatechin terminal unit
84	FA-epigallocat-term	epigallocatechin terminal unit
85	FA-epicat-term	epicatechin terminal unit
86	FA-epicat-gall-term	epicatechin 3-gallate terminal unit
87	FA-cat-term	catechin terminal unit
88	FA-(epi)gallocat-phlo	epigallocatechin phloroglucinol adduct (upper unit)
89	FA-epicat-phlo	epicatechin phloroglucinol adduct (upper unit)
90	FA-epicat-gall-phlo	epicatechin 3-gallate phloroglucinol adduct (upper unit)
91	FA-cat-phlo	catechin phloroglucinol adduct (upper unit)
HB-HYDF	ROXYBENZOIC ACIDS	
92	HB-glucogall	glucogallin
93	HB-vanill-ac	vanillic acid
94	HB-syring-ac	syringic acid
95	HB-protocat-ac	protocatechuic acid
96	HB-gall-ac	gallic acid
HC-HYDF	ROXYCINNAMIC ACIDS	
97	HC-ct-coutar-ac	coutaric acid (cis & trans isomers)
98	HC-ct-caftar-ac	caftaric acid (cis & trans isomers)
99	HC-t-fertar-ac	trans-fertaric acid
100	HC-t-caffeic-ac	trans-caffeic acid
101	HC-t-coumar-ac	trans-p-coumaric acid
102	HC-t-ferul-ac	trans-ferulic acid
OT-OTHE	RS	
103	OT-OH-tyrosol	hydroxytyrosol
104	OT-GSSG	oxidized glutathione (GSSG)
105	OT-GSH	glutathione (GSH)
PARAMET	TERS RELATED TO THE VINE	(ARD
	δ ¹³ C	Water stress
	brix	Refractive index
	Berry weight	berry weight (g)
CALCULA	TED VARIABLES	
code	formula	Full name

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Co	ontinued
--------------	----------

Code	Abbreviation	Full name
s_AN_n	∑AN1-AN28	total concentration of native anthocyanins
s_FO	$\Sigma FO = \Sigma FO1 - FO12$	total concentration of flavonols
s_ST	∑ST1-ST7	total concentration of stilbenes
s_HB	∑HB1-HB5	total concentration of hydroxybenzoic acids
s_HC	∑HC1-HC6	total concentration of hydroxycinnamic acids
s_TN	∑FA7-FA15	total concentration of tannin units (phloroglucinolysis)
p_AN_acyl	∑AN12-AN28/∑AN1- AN28	%acylated anthocyanins
p_AN_tri	∑Dp,Pt,Mv/∑AN1-AN28	%B-ring trihydroxylated anthocyanins
p_AN_met	\sum Pt,Pn,Mv/ \sum AN1-AN28	%B-ring methylated anthocyanins
p_FO_mono	∑kaempf/∑FO	%B-ring monohydroxylated flavonols
p_FO_di	\sum querc+isorham/ \sum FO	%B-ring dihydroxylated flavonols
p_FO_tri	\sum myric+laric+syring/ \sum FO	%B-ring trihydroxylated flavonols
p_FO_met	\sum isorham+laric+syring/ \sum F	O%B-ring methylated flavonols
p_FO-glucur	\sum glucuronides/ \sum FO	%flavonol glucuronides
p_TN_tri	(FA7+FA8+FA12)/∑FA7- FA15	trihydroxylated flavan-3-ol units
p_TN_gall	(FA10+FA14)/∑FA7-FA15	%galloylated flavan-3-ol units
dp_ TN	\sum FA7-FA15/ \sum FA7-FA10	mean degree of polymerization
$\sum Dp, Pp, Mv$ $AN21 + AN23 +$ $\sum Pt, Pn, Mv$ $+ AN22 + AN23$ $\sum kaempf = FC$ $\sum guerc + Isor$	= AN3+AN4+AN6+AN8+AN5 AN25+AN26+AN28 = AN4+AN5+AN6+AN9+AN +AN26+AN27+AN28 29+F010 ham = F03+F04+F011+F012	9+AN11+AN14+AN15+AN17+AN20+ 10+AN11+AN15+AN16+AN17+AN2

 $\sum myric+laric+syring = FO1+FO2+FO5+FO6+FO7+FO8$ $\sum isorham+laric+syring = FO1+FO2+FO7+FO8+FO11+FO12$

 \sum glucuronides = FO1+FO3+FO3+FO7+FO9+FO11.

One-way analysis of variance and principal component analysis were performed using the Fact toolbox of the Scilab software. Correlation networks were processed using Cytoscape. Hierarchical clustering of phenolic compounds and genotypes was performed using EXPANDER V6 (Sharan et al., 2003). The distance measurement used in the algorithm is (1-Pearson Correlation)/2, with complete linkage.

Reagents and Chemicals

Formic acid, HPLC grade methanol, acetone, hydrochloric acid, trifluoroacetic acid, ammonium formiate, L-ascorbic acid, and phloroglucinol were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Deionized water was obtained from a Milli-Q purification system (Millipore, Molsheim, France). Standards of (+)-catechin, (-)-epicatechin, (-)-epicatechin 3-O-gallate, reduced L-glutathione, oxidized L-glutathione, piceatannol, *p*-coumaric acid, protocatechuic acid, syringic acid, *trans*-caftaric acid, and *trans*-resveratrol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Standards of (-)-epigallocatechin, gallic acid, hydroxytyrosol, malvidin 3-O-glucoside chloride, malvidin

3,5-di-O-glucoside chloride, procyanidin B2, quercetin 3-Oglucuronide, and taxifolin were purchased from Extrasynthese (Geney, France). Standards of caffeic acid, ferulic acid, and vanillic acid were purchased from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). Standards of 1-O-Galloyl- β -D-glucose and quercetin 3-Oglucoside were purchased from PlantMetaChem, Transmit GmbH (Gießen, Germany). Standard of *trans*-piceid was purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Genetic Diversity of Polyphenol Composition

After elimination of cultivars for which both samples were not available and/or essential data such as berry weight was missing, complete data was obtained for 208 cultivars in 2014, for 161 cultivars in 2015, and for 147 cultivars in both years. The list of samples collected in 2014 and 2015 and their harvest dates is provided in **Table S1**. Data for all cultivars in both vintages are available in Pinasseau et al. (2017).

Large differences in the phenolic composition were observed between cultivars. Tannins were very abundant in all cultivars with concentrations ranging from 0.4 to 7.5 mg berry⁻¹ in 2014, and over 12 mg berry⁻¹ in 2015. Anthocyanin contents ranged from less than $1 \,\mu g$ berry⁻¹ in white cultivars to 8.5 and 14.7 mg berry⁻¹, respectively in 2014 and 2015. Flavonols, and especially quercetin derivatives (quercetin 3-glucoside and quercetin 3-glucuronide), were also abundant, with concentrations ranging from 0.04 to over 6 mg berry⁻¹ in 2014 and from 0.06 to over 5 mg berry⁻¹ in 2015. Other polyphenol classes were hydroxycinnamic acids (8–2,000 μ g berry⁻¹) mostly represented by caftaric and coutaric acids, stilbenes $(1-745 \,\mu g \text{ berry}^{-1})$, among which cis- and trans- piceid and trans-resveratrol were the most abundant, dihydroflavonols (trace amounts to $196 \,\mu g$ berry⁻¹), and hydroxybenzoic acids (trace amounts to 25 µg berry⁻¹). A number of anthocyanin derivatives were also detected. Most of them (i.e., carboxypyranoanthocyanins; e.g., carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside, called vitisin A, caftaric anthocyanin adducts, and series of flavanolanthocyanin, anthocyanin-flavanol, anthocyanin-ethyl-flavanol, and flavanol-ethyl flavanol adducts), were present in low amounts, except pyranoanthocyanins resulting from reaction of acetaldehyde with anthocyanins, especially pyranomalvidin 3-glucoside (vitisin B), detected at concentrations up to $400 \,\mu g$ berry⁻¹.

Table 2 shows the correlation coefficients between irrigated and not irrigated populations, in 2014 and 2015, and between vintages for irrigated and not irrigated samples, for each of the 17 calculated polyphenol composition variables and for berry weight. Berry weight was highly correlated across all four conditions, as expected. The contents (per berry) and concentrations (per g of berry) of all polyphenol families, except flavonols and stilbenes, in irrigated and not-irrigated berries were highly correlated in 2014 but not in 2015. Correlations between years were low under both conditions. In contrast, for all qualitative variables, correlations between irrigated and not irrigated conditions were very high and correlations between years were only slightly lower.

Correlation networks established from the phenolic composition data showed several clusters. Correlations >0.8 are presented in Figure 1. The content of malvidin 3glucoside was correlated on one hand with those of delphinidin 3-glucoside, petunidin-3-glucoside, and of their coumaroyl and caffeoyl derivatives and, on the other hand, with those of some anthocyanin derivatives [pyranomalvidin 3-glucoside, carboxypyranomalvidin 3-glucoside, (epi)gallocatechin-(epi)catechin-malvidin 3-glucoside, malvidin 3-glucoside, and (epi)catechin-petunidin 3-glucoside] (Figure 1, A). Pelargonidin, cyaniding, and peonidin 3-glucosides were correlated together and with peonidin derivatives, namely pyranopeonidin 3-glucoside and (epi)catechin-peonidin 3glucoside (B) while their caffeoyl and p-coumaroyl esters formed another group (C). All acetylated anthocyanins were correlated together in a separate cluster (D). Other types of anthocyanin pigments, namely anthocyanin 3,5-di-O-glucosides (E), anthocyanin dimers (F), phenylpyranoanthocyanins correlated between them and with caftaric-anthocyanin adducts (G) and the different isomers of (epi)catechin-ethyl-peonidin-3glucoside and (epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin 3-glucoside (H) formed additional groups. Flavonols clustered in three different groups consisting of kaempferol and guercetin 3-glucosides (I), myricetin, laricitrin, and syringetin 3-glucosides (J), and laricitrin and syringetin 3-glucuronides (K), respectively. Stilbene glucosides (cis- and trans- piceids and piceatannol glucoside) formed another correlation network (L). Flavan-3-ol variables formed three clusters: (epi)catechin monomers and terminal units (M), (epi)gallocatechin terminal units (N), and (epi)catechin phloroglucinol derivatives (extension and upper units in the tannin structures) (**O**).

Vine Water Status in 2014 and 2015

Information from the rain and irrigation data and from the measures of δ^{13} C and berry weight was combined to characterize the vine water status during the vegetative seasons 2014 and 2015. Bar plots showing water quantities supplied by rainfall and irrigation are provided in **Figure 2**, showing that the total quantity of rainfall received within the plot trial the preceding winter and spring was very different After including data from 2013 (data not shown), the total rainfall received from November to the second third of June (before irrigation started) was 187.5 and 460.5 mm for 2014 and 2015, respectively. Another notable difference between the two vegetative seasons was the earlier occurrence of summer rainfall in 2015 as compared to 2014 (**Figure 2**).

Cultivar Response to Water Stress in Vintages 2014 and 2015

A first round of statistical analysis was performed with oneway ANOVA analysis on the four data sets (irrigated and notirrigated, 2014 and 2015) available for 147 cultivars (Table S2). The absence of significant differences (at p = 0.05) in refractive index values between conditions in both years confirmed that

		Polyphenol	contents per berr	У	Polyphenol concentrations per g berry						
	2014 I/NI	2015 I/NI	I_2014/2015	NI_2014/2015	2014 I/NI	2015 I/NI	I_2014/2015	NI_2014/2015			
s_acn_n	0.84	0.69	0.58	0.76	0.91	0.68	0.71	0.71			
p_acn_acyl	0.82	0.85	0.72	0.76							
p_acn_tri	0.84	0.92	0.86	0.87							
p_acn_met	0.85	0.88	0.83	0.85							
s_acn_n*	0.71	0.52	0.32	0.59	0.85	0.52	0.53	0.56			
p_acn_acyl*	0.92	0.94	0.88	0.91							
p_acn_tri*	0.95	0.96	0.95	0.94							
p_acn_met*	0.94	0.91	0.94	0.91							
s_tann	0.81	0.29	0.30	0.22	0.76	0.43	0.44	0.48			
dp_tann	0.89	0.96	0.69	0.72							
p_tann_gall	0.78	0.92	0.52	0.63							
p_tann_tri	0.78	0.93	0.65	0.72							
s_flavo	0.47	0.59	0.25	0.20	0.47	0.50	0.20	0.03			
p_flavo_mono	0.82	0.80	0.68	0.62							
p_flavo_di	0.75	0.71	0.50	0.47							
p_flavo_tri	0.90	0.90	0.88	0.83							
p_flavo_met	0.89	0.83	0.84	0.81							
p_flavo_glucur	0.63	0.72	0.48	0.37							
s_ahyb	0.78	0.33	0.52	0.41	0.80	0.26	0.49	0.43			
s_ahyc	0.82	0.58	0.64	0.55	0.76	0.52	0.62	0.58			
s_stil	0.50	0.30	0.32	0.39	0.56	0.20	0.32	0.34			
berry weight	0.91	0.88	0.87	0.84							

TABLE 2 | Stability of polyphenol composition data and berry weight; correlations between irrigated and not irrigated berries in 2014 (2014 I/NI) and 2015 (2015 I/NI), and between 2014 and 2015 berries, under irrigated (I_2014/2015) and not irrigated (NI_2014/2015) conditions.

* Calculated with colored (black, red, and pink) cultivars only.

berries were actually collected at the same developmental stages, while differences between years indicated a slight vintage effect. However, large phenotypic diversity was observed on berry weight (Figure 3). Water deficit induced a slight shift toward smaller berry size in 2014, with the major class below 1.5 g and between 1.5 and 2.5 g per berry, respectively, in non-irrigated and irrigated berries. Distribution of berry sizes was not impacted by irrigation in 2015. Large variations were also observed for δ^{13} C values within the collection (**Figure 4**). Irrigation induced larger shifts in 2014 than in 2015 and the whole population showed much lower values in 2015 than in 2014, regardless of the irrigation regime. Berry weight was significantly lower in not-irrigated berries in 2014 but not in 2015. Irrigation induced significant differences on the δ^{13} C values in both vintages, but water stress was much lower in 2015 with δ^{13} C values significantly higher than in 2014. Taken together, these data indicate that irrigation induced a marked contrast in 2014 but a very limited one in 2015.

ANOVA analysis performed on the 105 polyphenol variables expressed in mg per g of berry (Table S2) showed that most tannins and flavonols and of their total concentrations were significantly reduced by irrigation in 2014 but not in 2015. In 2015, the concentrations of *cis*-resveratrol and piceatannol were significantly increased by irrigation and that of glucogallin was significantly reduced. Significant vintage effect was also found on

over 50 compounds, with significantly higher levels in 2015 for the majority of them, except gallocatechin and epigallocatechin which were more abundant in 2014.

When the analysis was performed on the data expressed per berry (Table S2), no significant difference was found in the levels of phenolic compounds between irrigated and not irrigated conditions in 2015 whereas seven compounds from the flavan-3-ol family and oxidized glutathione were significantly increased by irrigation in 2014. Numerous compounds, distributed within all polyphenol families, were significantly higher in 2015 than in 2014, as well as total flavan-3-ols, flavonols, hydroxybenzoic acids, and hydroxycinnamic acids.

One way ANOVA was also performed separately on the complete 2014 and 2015 data sets (**Table 3**). There was no statistically significant difference between irrigated and not-irrigated conditions (at p = 0.05) in 2015 on polyphenol composition. In contrast, in 2014, irrigation induced significant changes in the content (per berry) of 16 polyphenols and in the concentration (per g of berry) of 47 compounds.

Taken together, these results indicate that berries were probably not exposed to any sufficient water stress regime in 2015 to induce changes in their phenolic composition. Consequently, data from 2015 were not further explored in this study.



Impact of Water Stress on Polyphenol Composition

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the phenolic composition data of all berry skin samples collected in 2014, expressed in mg per g of fresh berry. Projection of the samples on the first two principal components, accounting together for 37% of the variance, showed large cultivar differences, as well as a strong impact of irrigation (Figure 5A). White and red cultivars were separated along the first axis which was negatively correlated with the concentrations of most phenolic compounds, including anthocyanins, especially delphinidin, petunidin, and malvidin 3-glucosides, myricetin, laricitrin, and syringetin glycosides, hydroxybenzoic acids, especially gallic and syringic acids, and epigallocatechin, both in the free form and as terminal units of proanthocyanidins (Figure 5B). Non-irrigated samples generally appeared shifted negatively along the first axis, indicating that they contained higher levels of these molecules.

ANOVA analysis of variance performed on the polyphenol composition data set expressed per g berry (**Table 3**) indicated that berries from irrigated vines contained significantly lower concentrations of the *cis* isomers of resveratrol and piceid, of all tannin units determined after phloroglucinolysis, and of most benzoic acids, hydroxycinnamic acids, and flavonols. The concentrations of some anthocyanins, namely 3-glucosides of

pelargonidin, delphinidin, petunidin and malvidin, cyanidin 3,5diglucoside and petunidin 3,5-diglucoside were also significantly decreased, as well as those of some anthocyanin derivatives, namely pyranoanthocyanins, tannin-anthocyanin adducts, and caftaric anthocyanin adducts. Other variables such as the concentrations of flavan-3-ol monomers were not significantly modified.

When PCA was performed on the phenolic composition data expressed per berry (**Figure 6A**), most samples appeared shifted along the first and/or second axis, but in different directions. Again, white cultivars were separated from red cultivars along the first axis, which was negatively associated with the same phenolic compounds as in the previous PCA (**Figure 6B**).

When the data was expressed per berry, 16 compounds were significantly increased in berries from irrigated samples (**Table 3**). Thus, water stress induced a significant decrease of the biosynthesis of catechin and epicatechin, both as flavan-3-ol monomers and as constitutive units of proanthocyanidins, total flavan-3-ols, phenyl- and catechyl-pyranoanthocyanins, caftaricanthocyanin adducts, (epi)catechin-ethyl-malvidin-3- glucoside, caffeic acid, and piceatannol. Moreover, some qualitative flavan-3-ol variables, namely tannin mDP, and % trihydroxylated tannin units were significantly reduced by irrigation.

Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of metabolites and cultivars affected by drought was performed on the response of polyphenol composition to water status, with data expressed as



log (irrigated/non-irrigated), with polyphenol contents expressed per berry. The resulting plot (Figure 7) shows different response patterns for different cultivars and for the different groups of analytical variables. Groups of compounds whose content varies in the same direction in response to irrigation can be distinguished. Cluster a contained mainly mono- and di-hydroxylated flavonols and dihydroflavonols (astilbin and engeletin, respectively mono- and dihydroxylated on the Bring). The most abundant flavanol subunits (and also the sum of tannins) were grouped in cluster b, and linked with cluster c containing anthocyanin-flavanol derivatives linked with an ethyl-bridge. Cluster d grouped hydroxycinnamates and several of their reaction products with anthocyanins (pyranoanthocyanins and caftaric-anthocyanins). Most of the flavanol monomers and terminal units are clustered in the close e1 and e2. Clusters f1 and f2 contained respectively mono-and dihydroxylated anthocyanins along with some of their derivatives and trihydroxylated anthocyanins. The latter encompassed g1 and g2, containing trihydroxylated flavonols. It is also noticeable that β -glucogallin was included in f2. All stilbenes shared the same response to irrigation and were clustered in cluster h.

The same data (log (irrigated/non-irrigated), calculated from polyphenol concentrations expressed in mg berry⁻¹) was used to establish the correlation network shown in **Figure 8**. Only the correlations >0.8 are presented. Major clusters corresponded to stilbenes (**A**), native anthocyanins derived from delphinidin, petunidin, and malvidin (**B**), from peonidin (**C**), and from pelargonidin (**D**), caftaric and coutaric acids (**E**), kaempferol and quercetin 3-glucosides (**F**), catechin and gallocatechin monomers (**G**), (epi)catechin units of tannins (**H**), (epi)gallocatechin units of tannins (**I**), and anthocyanin derivatives, especially phenylpyranoanthocyanins and caftaric-anthocyanin adducts (**J**). Two additional clusters consisted of pyranopeonidin 3-glucoside, cyanidin 3-acetylglucoside and cluster D (**K**) and pyranomalvidin 3-glucoside with the 3-acetylglucosides of delphinidin and petunidin (**L**).

Berry anthocyanin, flavonol, hydroxycinnamic acid, and stilbene contents were increased or decreased under irrigated conditions in some cultivars. Groups of cultivars whose composition varies similarly in response to irrigation are clustered together (**Figure 7**). For example, irrigation resulted in increased and decreased stilbene levels in most cultivars of group



1 and group 2, respectively. The opposite pattern was observed for flavan-3-ols. The distribution of colored (i.e., black, red, and pink) cultivars and white cultivars, and that of the three genetic groups (WW, WE, TE) in some of the subgroups defined by unsupervised hierarchical clustering has been compared to that of the whole population (Figure 9). Chi2 tests performed on each subgroup showed that colored cultivars are overrepresented in subgroups 1-2-1 and 2-1-1 and underrepresented in subgroup 2-2-2 and cultivars from WW origin are overrepresented in subgroup 2-1-2 (Table S3). Although both contain mostly colored cultivars, subgroups 1-2-1 and 2-1-1 show different response to irrigation, with decreased anthocyanins (Figure 7, f1,f2), Bring trihydroxylated flavonols (g1, g2) and stilbenes (h) in the latter, and reduced tannins (b, e1, e2) and increased stilbenes (h) in the former. Distributions of the harvest dates for each group under irrigated and not-irrigated conditions were also examined (Figure 10). Chi-2 tests (Table S3) performed on the entire population showed that the distribution of harvest dates was similar for all subgroups under irrigated conditions but significantly different under not irrigated conditions. Chi-2 test values calculated for each subgroup indicated that the distribution of harvest dates in some of them was significantly different from that of the whole population, although the difference was significant at p = 0.05 only for 2-2-1. Thus, not irrigated cultivars of subgroups 1-1-2 and 1-2-1 and cultivars of subgroups 2-1-1, 2-2-1, and 2-2-2 were harvested earlier and later, respectively, and cultivars of subgroup 1-2-1 were shifted toward later harvest dates under irrigated conditions.

DISCUSSION

Cultivar Differences in the Polyphenol Composition of Grape Berry Skins

Major polyphenol families detected in berry skin samples were flavan-3-ols, including monomers and proanthocyanidins, anthocyanins, flavonols, hydroxycinnamic acids, and stilbenes, along with lower amounts of dihydroflavonols and benzoic acids, as classically reported. All families showed wide ranges of concentrations across the diversity panel. Anthocyanin contents enable distinction between white, pink, and red cultivars (Castellarin and Di Gaspero, 2007; Pelsy, 2010) although white grape berries also contain trace amounts of anthocyanin pigments (Arapitsas et al., 2015). Red cultivars were also characterized by the presence of flavonols with trihydroxylated B-rings, i.e., derived from myricetin, laricitrin, and syringetin glycosides, which are known to be specific of red cultivars (Mattivi et al., 2006). Cultivar differences in hydroxycinnamic acid contents have also been reported and related to differences in cultivar susceptibility to enzymatic browning (Cheynier et al., 1990). Genetic determinism of flavonols has been studied through QTL analysis (Malacarne et al., 2015), but this information is still lacking for stilbenes. However, their concentrations are also believed to highly depend on environmental factors as they are involved in plant defense against UV exposure and fungal attacks (Teixeira et al., 2013). It is noteworthy that some of the samples contained very high levels of flavonols and especially of quercetin and kaempferol



derivatives compared to values reported earlier (Mattivi et al., 2006). This may be related to environmental conditions as berry concentration of quercetin glycosides have been shown to increase dramatically following sunlight exposure (Price et al., 1995; Spayd et al., 2002; Downey et al., 2004). Similarly, the lack of correlation between the flavan-3-ol contents of berries collected from a population of 141 grapevines cultivars over 2 successive years indicated that tannin accumulation is mostly driven by environmental factors rather than genetically determined (Huang et al., 2012b). In contrast, qualitative profiles within the different polyphenol groups are known to be cultivar characteristics. Thus, chemotaxonomic approaches based on grape anthocyanin profiles (Roggero et al., 1988; Mazza, 1995; Fournier-Level et al., 2009) or hydroxycinnamic acid profiles (Boursiquot et al., 1986) have been proposed. Skin flavan-3ol composition also appeared highly conserved between years, meaning that it is mostly linked to genetic factors (Huang et al., 2012b). Our data, showing high correlations between years and between irrigation regimes for qualitative polyphenol variables and low correlations as well as strong vintage effect for quantitative variables (Table 2), confirm that the polyphenol profiles depend on cultivar while contents are affected by environmental factors, as reported in the above cited literature.

In addition to the expected native anthocyanins, several anthocyanin derivatives were detected. Among them, caftaric anthocyanin adducts were present only in trace amounts.

As these adducts result from enzymatic oxidation catalyzed by grape polyphenoloxidase (Sarni-Manchado et al., 1997), this indicates that no enzymatic oxidation took place during sample preparation. Pyranoanthocyanins and carboxypyranoanthocyanins resulting from reaction of anthocyanins respectively with acetaldehyde (Cheynier et al., 1997) and pyruvic acid (Fulcrand et al., 1998) have been reported in grape (Arapitsas et al., 2015). Anthocyanin dimers have also been isolated from grape skins (Vidal et al., 2004b). Strong correlations between the levels of malvidin-3-glucoside and peonidin 3glucoside and those of vitisin B and pyranopeonidin 3-glucoside, respectively (Figure 1), substantiate the hypothesis that these compounds are formed in vivo. Moreover, the high level of vitisin B detected in some cultivars indicates that acetaldehyde is present in subcellular compartments in rather large amounts together with anthocyanins. Two major groups of tannin-anthocyanin reaction products were also detected. Flavanol-anthocyanin adducts resulting from cleavage of tannins followed by addition with anthocyanins have been detected in wine (Salas et al., 2004) and in various fruits including grapes (Gonzalez-Paramas et al., 2006). Flavanol-ethyl-anthocyanins resulting from condensation of anthocyanins and flavanols with acetaldehyde are well known to occur in wine (Timberlake and Bridle, 1976; Arapitsas et al., 2012) and have been detected in cranberry extracts (Tarascou et al., 2011). Reactions of (epi)catechin, anthocyanins, and acetaldehyde yield complex mixtures of TABLE 3 | Results of the ANOVA performed on the data of irrigated (I) and non-irrigated (NI) vines separately on 2014 and 2015; polyphenol composition data in and microgram per berry and microgram per g of berry; variable codes are provided in Table 1.

Variable Code ^a	Content (μg/berry)							Concentration (μg/g berry)					
	2014 (208 cultivars)			2014 (208 cultivars)			2015	2015 (161 cultivars)					
	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK	
POLYPHENOLIC COMPC	SITION												
AN-Pg-glc	0.67	0.59		0.87	0.96		0.40	0.26	*	0.25	0.41		
AN-Cy-glc	56.79	53.36		71.77	81.11		32.05	22.03		28.83	36.27		
AN-Dp-glc	25.78	22.20		30.25	30.82		18.04	11.66	*	14.66	15.02		
AN-Pt-glc	27.33	24.60		34.26	32.67		19.15	12.74	*	16.26	15.78		
AN-Pn-glc	58.77	57.99		63.17	70.35		36.12	25.68		28.21	32.25		
AN-Mv-glc	194.41	179.54		219.41	195.34		135.03	89.40	*	101.48	90.94		
AN-Cy-diglc	0.24	0.23		0.34	0.40		0.14	0.09	*	0.13	0.17		
AN-Dp-diglc	0.28	0.22		0.26	0.26		0.18	0.11		0.12	0.12		
AN-Pt-diglc	0.03	0.04	*	0.14	0.15		0.02	0.01	*	0.058	0.061		
AN-Pn-diglc	0.06	0.06		0.23	0.19		0.03	0.03		0.088	0.074		
AN-Mv-diglc	0.11	0.10		1.12	0.61		0.07	0.05		0.37	0.20		
AN-Pg-acglc	0.06	0.06		0.15	0.16		0.04	0.03		0.064	0.068		
AN-Cy-acglc	2.75	3.00		2.79	3.43		1.95	1.62		1.38	1.79		
AN-Dp-acglc	7.69	7.84		7.68	8.73		6.01	4.64		4.25	4.66		
AN-Pt-acglc	7.85	8.23		8.33	8.83		6.12	4.71		4.46	4.78		
AN-Pn-acglc	10.33	10.34		11.65	12.37		7.21	5.42		5.87	6.32		
AN-Mv-acglc	63.98	69.97		74.50	67.48		47.03	37.54		36.94	35.11		
AN-Pg-coumglc	0.27	0.27		0.39	0.37		0.18	0.13		0.16	0.16		
AN-Cy-coumalc	11.31	11.77		17.45	17.38		6.63	5.26		7.26	7.79		
AN-Dp-coumglc	31.29	29.68		50.78	44.75		22.15	15.47		23.21	21.39		
AN-Pt-coumglc	26.99	26.40		42.65	34.96		19.08	13.37		19.14	16.44		
AN-Pn-coumglc	35.80	36.63		47.21	48.91		21.84	16.52		20.72	22.00		
AN-Mv-coumglc	185.94	191.62		306.18	230.11		128.94	91.66		132.17	102.46		
AN-Cy-caffglc	0.09	0.11		0.19	0.22		0.054	0.04		0.08	0.09		
AN-Dp-caffglc	0.07	0.09		0.20	0.21		0.05	0.05		0.09	0.092		
AN-Pt-caffglc	0.11	0.12		0.21	0.20		0.07	0.05		0.09	0.09		
AN-Pn-caffglc	0.39	0.42		0.55	0.65		0.26	0.20		0.24	0.29		
AN-Mv-caffglc	0.99	1.18		1.89	1.67		0.69	0.57		0.83	0.76		
AN-Mv-glc-Pn-glc	0.08	0.09		0.15	0.16		0.05	0.04		0.063	0.068		
AN-Mv-glc-dimer	0.08	0.08		0.15	0.16		0.05	0.04		0.062	0.068		
AP-py-Pn-glc	3.05	2.30		3.89	4.44		2.01	1.08	*	1.65	2.11		
AP-py-Mv-glc	13.05	9.00		19.86	17.57		9.42	5.30	*	9.18	8.46		
AP-hp-py-Pn-glc	0.03	0.04	*	0.14	0.15		0.02	0.02	*	0.06	0.06		
AP-hp-py-Mv-glc	0.04	0.044	*	0.14	0.15		0.02	0.02	*	0.06	0.06		
AP-ctc-py-Pn-glc	0.04	0.04	*	0.11	0.11		0.02	0.02	*	0.04	0.05		
AP-ctc-py-Mv-glc	0.04	0.04	*	0.11	0.11		0.02	0.02	*	0.04	0.05		
AP-cbx-py-Pn-glc	0.04	0.04		0.15	0.15		0.02	0.02	*	0.06	0.06		
AP-cbx-py-Mv-glc	0.32	0.34		0.45	0.41		0.22	0.15		0.19	0.17		
AT-Pn-glc-epi-gallocat	0.07	0.08		0.14	0.16		0.05	0.04		0.06	0.07		
AT-Pn-glc-epi-cat	0.16	0.17		0.19	0.20		0.11	0.08		0.08	0.09		
AT-Mv-glc-epi-gallocat	0.08	0.08		0.14	0.17		0.051	0.04		0.06	0.067		
AT-Mv-glc-epi-cat	0.087	0.096		0.24	0.19		0.05	0.03		0.07	0.07		
AT-epi-gallocat-Pn-glc	0.15	0.13		0.55	0.52		0.1	0.06	*	0.24	0.23		
AT-epi-gallocat-Mv-glc	0.27	0.24		0.54	0.45		0.18	0.12	*	0.24	0.20		
AT-epi-cat-Pn-glc	0.11	0.11		0.31	0.33		0.06	0.04		0.13	0.14		
AT-epi-cat-Mv-glc	0.19	0.16		0.68	0.59		0.12	0.07	*	0.30	0.27		

(Continued)

TABLE 3 | Continued

Variable Code ^a	Content (µg/berry)							Concentration (µg/g berry)					
	2014	4 (208 cultiva	ars)	201	2014 (208 cultivars)			i (161 cultiv					
	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK	
AT-epi-cat-eth-Pn-glc-i1	0.039	0.045		0.14	0.15		0.02	0.02		0.06	0.06		
AT-epi-cat-eth-Pn-glc-i2	0.052	0.086		0.14	0.17		0.03	0.04		0.06	0.08		
AT-epi-cat-eth-Pn-glc-i3	0.077	0.25		0.18	0.23		0.04	0.1		0.08	0.09		
AT-eni-cat-eth-Pn-glc-i4	0.048	0.070		0.14	0.15		0.03	0.03		0.06	0.06		
AT-eni-cat-eth-My-glc-i1	0.054	0.081		0.19	0.18		0.03	0.04		0.08	0.07		
AT-eni-cat-eth-My-glc-i2	0.07	0.13		0.30	0.33		0.00	0.06		0.11	0.13		
AT-epi-cat-eth-My-glc-i3+4	0.07	0.10	*	0.00	0.00		0.12	0.00		0.26	0.10		
AC-caft-Pn-alc	0.10	0.40	*	0.16	0.40		0.12	0.24	*	0.20	0.06		
AC-caft-Mu-glc	0.000	0.043	*	0.10	0.15		0.02	0.02	*	0.00	0.00		
HE toyif	0.007	0.040		1 92	1.90		0.02	0.02		0.00	0.00		
	0.00	0.02		5.00	1.00		1.47	1.60		1.96	1.70		
	2.00	0.040		0.02	4.74		0.02	0.00	*	0.000	0.007		
FO-syring-glucur	0.040	0.043		0.09	0.09		0.03	0.02		0.036	0.037		
FO-syring-gic	8.97	9.68		11.47	7.70		6.02	4.66		4.98	3.29		
FO-querc-glucur	79.49	80.57		97.92	100.50		43.67	31.32		39.74	42.01		
FO-querc-glc	245.77	242.26		344.07	327.16		126.35	90.73	*	126.60	129.51		
FO-myric-glucur	0.33	0.28		0.34	0.27		0.20	0.12	×	0.14	0.12		
FO-myric-glc	13.72	12.96		21.38	17.48		8.85	6.22	*	9.14	7.56		
FO-laric-glucur	0.061	0.056		0.10	0.10		0.04	0.03	*	0.04	0.04		
FO-laric-glc	9.73	10.15		11.45	8.28		6.42	4.92		4.93	3.55		
FO-kaempf-glucur	0.18	0.17		0.13	0.13		0.09	0.06	*	0.05	0.05		
FO-kaempf-glc	182.92	197.16		230.08	211.33		93.72	71.85	*	80.63	79.71		
FO-isorham-glucur	0.12	0.11		0.13	0.12		0.07	0.05	*	0.06	0.05		
FO-isorham-glc	21.24	21.14		18.78	16.15		12.05	8.91	*	7.59	6.48		
ST-c-resver	0.20	0.21		0.22	0.25		0.12	0.08	*	0.091	0.11		
ST-t-resver	5.69	6.13		8.60	9.73		3.57	2.54		3.36	4.02		
ST-c-piceid	24.63	24.56		28.37	27.75		15.54	9.78	*	12.19	11.98		
ST-t-piceid	6.26	7.02		8.98	8.95		3.87	2.90		3.66	3.59		
ST-piceat-glc	0.70	0.91		1.19	1.26		0.45	0.35		0.51	0.53		
ST-piceat	0.25	0.33	*	0.53	0.58		0.16	0.13		0.22	0.27		
ST-resver-dimer	0.99	1.18		1.50	1.43		0.63	0.50		0.68	0.65		
FA-gallocat	3.13	3.80		1.07	1.01		1.82	1.61		0.44	0.44		
FA-epigallocat	1.01	1.22		0.84	0.88		0.63	0.57		0.37	0.43		
FA-epicat	2.99	4.52	*	3.96	4.50		1.62	1.76		1.62	1.99		
FA-cat	11.57	16.72	*	22.74	25.36		6.00	6.37		8.71	10.68		
FA-epicat-eth-epicat-i1	0.041	0.049		0.14	0.15		0.025	0.022		0.06	0.06		
FA-epicat-eth-epicat-i2+3	0.14	0.16		0.30	0.24		0.098	0.080		0.13	0.11		
FA-gallocat-term	28.23	30.43		30.11	26.26		15.59	12.38	*	11.94	11.21		
FA-epigallocat-term	5.26	5.40		4.93	4.47		3.05	2.30	*	2.05	1.98		
FA-epicat-term	8.35	10.48	*	12.93	13.69		4.59	4.17		5.29	5.92		
FA-epicat-gall-term	3.75	3.87		5.64	5.47		2.08	1.56	*	2.27	2.28		
FA-cat-term	87.11	116.11	*	183.85	191.79		46.53	45.39		72.18	79.60		
FA-epigallo-gallocat-phlo	874.66	894.32		1109.09	979.30		513.98	382.53	*	469.47	438.24		
FA-epicat-phlo	1604.34	1897.39	*	2368.61	2399.51		899.24	771.81	*	992.33	1048.95		
FA-epicat-gall-phlo	96.59	105.63		108.94	109.48		55 18	43.82	*	45.60	48.33		
FA-cat-philo	7 84	9.23	*	16.04	16.08		4 40	3 74	*	6.48	6 92		
HB-alucoaall	20.7 83 0	0.68		1 8/	1 52		0.38	0.27	*	0.73	0.61		
HB-vanill-ac	0.00	0.11		0.04	0.05		0.00	0.046		0.10	0.10		
	0.10	0.00		0.24	0.20		0.004	0.040	*	0.10	0.12		
no-synng-ac	0.33	0.32		0.07	0.70		0.20	0.15		0.40	0.34		

(Continued)

TABLE 3 | Continued

Variable Code ^a		Content (µg/berry)							Concentration (µg/g berry)					
	2014	4 (208 cultiv	ars)	201	4 (208 cultiv	ars)	201	5 (161 cultiv	ars)					
	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK	NI	IR	SNK		
HB-protocat-ac	0.15	0.15		0.44	0.50		0.088	0.061	*	0.18	0.21			
HB-gall-ac	0.27	0.26		0.74	0.73		0.17	0.11	*	0.31	0.31			
HC-ct-coutar-ac	80.54	90.26		113.29	113.21		44.04	36.32	*	46.81	48.60			
HC-ct-caftar-ac	122.00	140.83		229.55	228.92		69.21	57.68	*	94.99	97.34			
HC-t-fertar-ac	4.56	4.38		10.91	10.27		2.49	1.72	*	4.33	4.19			
HC-t-caffeic-ac	0.04	0.05	*	0.15	0.15		0.022	0.021		0.06	0.06			
HC-t-coumar-ac	0.066	0.067		0.19	0.20		0.039	0.029	*	0.08	0.08			
HC-t-ferul-ac	0.040	0.044		0.16	0.17		0.023	0.019	*	0.07	0.07			
OT-OH-tyrosol	0.071	0.074		0.15	0.16		0.040	0.031	*	0.06	0.07			
OT-GSSG	1.74	2.13		3.10	3.21		0.95	0.90	*	1.32	1.36			
OT-GSH	4.82	5.24		9.30	10.40		2.74	2.35		3.92	4.70			
POLYPHENOLIC INDI	CES CALCULAT	ED FROM T	HE PREV	IOUS RESI	ULTS									
s_AN_n	750.39	736.69		994.54	893.37		509.54	359.35	*	447.55	415.62			
s_FO	562.55	574.57		735.92	689.30		297.51	218.89	*	273.95	272.40			
s_FA	2716.13	3072.86	*	3840.13	3746.05		1544.63	1267.71	*	1607.61	1643.40			
s_HB	1.53	1.52		4.13	3.81		0.93	0.64	*	1.72	1.60			
s_HC	207.25	235.63		354.26	352.92		115.83	95.79	*	146.35	150.35			
s_ST	38.73	40.34		49.38	49.94		24.34	16.29	*	20.70	21.15			
p_AN_acyl (%)	38.88	41.91		47.70	46.84									
p_AN_tri (%)	56.69	56.32		57.02	55.25									
p_AN_met (%)	56.39	56.83		58.14	57.51									
p_FO_mono (%)	29.03	27.84		26.98	25.70									
p_FO_di (%)	62.84	63.77		65.81	67.29									
p_FO_tri (%)	8.13	8.39		7.21	7.01									
p_FO_met (%)	8.55	8.40		6.04	5.57									
p_FO_glucur (%)	16.97	18.00		18.43	19.73									
p_FA_tri (%)	34.17	30.71	*	28.52	25.54	*								
p_FA_gall (%)	3.63	3.51		3.14	3.25									
dp_FA	23.10	21.04	*	19.40	18.73									
OTHER PARAMETERS	3													
deltaC13 ^b	-23.812	-25.392	*	-26.95	-27.689	*								
brix ^b	20.01	19.70		19.34	19.33									
berry weight (g)	1.93	2.67	*	2.67	2.73									

^aVariable codes as in **Table 1**.

*SNK: results of the Student-Newman-Keuls grouping (p < 0.05).

^bA few missing values have been removed from the calculation.

products, including pyranoanthocyanin, (epi)catechin-ethylanthocyanin, and (epi)catechin-ethyl-(epi)catechin derivatives (Vallverdú-Queralt et al., 2017a,b). Molecules of the last group have been detected in wine (Cheynier et al., 1997) but this is the first report of their presence in grape. Flavanol-anthocyanins correlated with their anthocyanin precursors (Figures 1, A and B) while flavanol-ethyl-anthocyanins formed a specific cluster (Figure 1, H). Although these molecules could also form during sample preparation, the levels reported here and the relatively long reaction rates compared to the duration of our extraction procedure suggest that they were present *in planta*. Other correlations networks established for the 2014 data only (not shown) showed additional relationships between malvidin-3-glucoside and anthocyanin dimers (malvidin 3-glucoside and malvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside), and syringic acid that arises from degradation of malvidin (Furtado et al., 1993; Vallverdú-Queralt et al., 2016) and between peonidin 3-glucoside and vanillic acid. Formation of syringic and vanillic acids respectively from malvidin 3-glucoside-peonidin 3-glucoside can be promoted by light and heat exposure (Furtado et al., 1993).

Correlations between variables (Figure 1) can be interpreted in terms of biosynthetic pathways. Indeed, anthocyanin and



FIGURE 5 | PCA of the MRM phenolic composition data of berry skin samples collected in 2014 (mg g⁻¹); (**A**), projection of the samples on PC1 and PC2; red and white cultivars are represented in red and in green, respectively; IR, irrigated, NI, not-irrigated. (**B**), loadings of the variables (coded as in **Table 1**) on PC1. AN, native anthocyanins+dimers; AP, pyrano anthocyanins; AF, anthocyanin-flavanol adducts; AC, caftaric-anthocyanin adducts; HF, dihydroflavonols; FO, flavonols; ST, stilbenes; FA, flavanols (tannins); HB, hydroxybenzoic acids; HC, hydroxycinnamic acids; OT, others.



FIGURE 6 | PCA of the MRM phenolic composition data of berry skin samples collected in 2014 (mg berry⁻¹); (A), projection of the samples on PC1 and PC2; red and white cultivars are represented in red and in green, respectively; IR, irrigated; NI, not-irrigated. (B), loadings of the variables (coded as in **Table 1**) on PC1. AN, native anthocyanins+dimers; AP, pyrano anthocyanins; AF, anthocyanin-flavanol adducts; AC, caftaric-anthocyanin adducts; HF, dihydroflavonols; FO, flavonols; ST, stilbenes; FA, flavanols (tannins); HB, hydroxybenzoic acids; HC, hydroxycinnamic acids; OT, others.

flavonol variables clustered together according to their B-ring substitution (trihydroxylated/dihydroxylated) and/or acylation pattern. Clustering of those compounds according to their B-ring hydroxylation pattern is consistent with the ability of F3'H and F3'5'H to use both anthocyanin and flavonols as substrate (Bogs et al., 2006). Flavonols clustered in three correlation networks corresponding to B-ring trihydroxylated compounds (myricetin, laricitrin, and syringetin derivatives) and other (mono or dihydroxylated) flavonols and substitution by glucose or glucuronic acid. This probably reflects the high sugar specificity of the already described *Vitis* flavonol glycosyltransferases: when VvGT5 is quite exclusively a glucuronyl donor, VvGT6 catalyzes both flavonol glucosylation and galactosylation (Ono et al., 2010). Flavan-3-ols also clustered following their B-ring hydroxylation pattern, (epi)-catechin flavanol units, and (epi)gallocatechin units forming different groups. Strong correlations between terminal units and the corresponding monomers likely reflect the analytical method



as monomers contribute to terminal units. Moreover, upper units, detected as the corresponding phloroglucinol adducts, were separated from terminal units, suggesting that both types of units have different precursors, as already suspected (Stafford et al., 1982; Huang et al., 2012a). Acetylated anthocyanin derivatives were correlated, regardless of the anthocyanin B-ring substitution while glucosylated, coumaroylated, and caffeoylated anthocyanins derived from malvidin, petunidin, and delphinidin (trihydroxylated) and from other anthocyanidins formed distinct groups. Although the already characterized acyltransferase Vv3AT is able to use both aliphatic and aromatic acyl-CoA as substrate (Rinaldo et al., 2015), this suggests that anthocyanin acylation with acetic acid and with hydroxycinnamic acids could involve alternative biosynthetic mechanisms (Bontpart et al., 2015).

Finally, correlations of anthocyanins with their derivatives and degradation products (**Figure 1**, clusters **A** and **B**), and clustering of molecules such as anthocyanin dimers (**Figure 1**, **F**), flavanol-ethyl anthocyanins (**Figure 1**, **H**) and hydroxyphenyland catechyl-pyranoanthocyanins, resulting from anthocyanin reactions with *p*-coumaric and caffeic acid (**Figure 1**, **G**) reflect their formation from the same precursors and/or through identical reaction mechanisms.

Impact of Water Deficit on the Polyphenol Composition of Grape Berry Skins

Not-irrigated vines suffered water stress in 2014 but not in 2015. Indeed, water stress classically induces a decrease in berry weight (Roby et al., 2004; Bucchetti et al., 2011). In 2015, irrigation had no significant impact on berry weight, which indicates that berries were not exposed to any sufficient water stress regime to induce phenotypic changes. Corroborating this hypothesis, in 2015, berry weights were higher and δ^{13} C values were lower than those of berries from irrigated vines in 2014. Accordingly, none of the polyphenol variables showed significant differences between berries from irrigated and not irrigated vines (**Table 3**). In contrast, in 2014, water stress induced significant loss of berry weight as well as significant differences on the concentration of several polyphenols. This confirms that polyphenols are part of the chemical arsenal allowing adaptive response to abiotic stress, being protective molecules against oxidative damages by



scavenging Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) produced during stress (Rontein et al., 2002).

Not irrigated berries contained higher levels of most phenolic compounds when expressed in mg g⁻¹ fresh berry weight (Table 3, Figure 5). This concentration effect can be attributed to reduced berry size under water stress, as observed earlier (Roby et al., 2004; Bucchetti et al., 2011). However, shifts between irrigated and non-irrigated samples on the PCA performed on the phenolic composition data expressed in mg per berry (Figure 6) showed that water status affected polyphenol biosynthesis. Water deficiency is known to impact berry development, decrease berry weight, and modulate accumulation of secondary metabolites including polyphenols (Kennedy et al., 2002; Roby et al., 2004). Data available on a limited number of genotypes suggest that the response to moderate water stress differs depending on the level of irrigation and/or water stress, on the berry development stage when water deficit occurs and on the cultivar (Ojeda et al., 2002; Teixeira et al., 2013). Thus, several studies have shown an increase in the accumulation of stilbenes, flavonols, and anthocyanins and

enhanced transcription of genes involved in these pathways following moderate water deficiency while other studies failed to observe these effects or even observed a decrease. In Syrah, water deficit applied before or after veraison resulted in an increase of total anthocyanin contents and differences in the anthocyanin profiles (Ollé et al., 2011). Data on the effect of environment on tannin biosynthesis is still scarce: water deficiency in Cabernet Sauvignon (Kennedy et al., 2002; Castellarin et al., 2007) or in Syrah (Ollé et al., 2011), or thermic variation in Merlot (Cohen et al., 2012) did not affect tannin accumulation. In another study, a decrease or increase in tannin accumulation was reported in Syrah exposed respectively to early (between anthesis and veraison) or late (after veraison) water stress (Ojeda et al., 2002). However, tannin accumulation might also be related to biotic stress exposure (Dixon et al., 2005). As well, the significant increase of cis-resveratrol and piceatannol concentrations observed in 2015 under irrigated conditions may be due to plant response to increased fungal pressure as stilbenes are known to be involved in defense against fungi (Jeandet et al., 2002).



ANOVA performed on the 2014 samples (**Table 3**) showed that the levels of 16 MRM variables expressed per berry were significantly higher in irrigated berries. Tannins were the major family affected by irrigation, with both quantitative (increase of catechin and epicatechin, detected as monomers, and as terminal and upper units of tannin chains, and of total flavan-3-ol levels) and qualitative (decrease of % B-ring trihydroxylated units and mean DP) variations. A decrease of tannin DP in irrigated vines has been reported earlier (Ojeda et al., 2002) and the proportion of B-ring trihydroxylated units was reduced in shaded berries (Cortell and Kennedy, 2006). Other affected compounds included piceatannol, caffeic acid, and pigments resulting from reactions of anthocyanins with hydroxycinnamic acids, i.e., caftaric-anthocyanin adducts, phenylpyranoanthocyanins and catechyl-pyranoanthocyanins.

Several groups of variables were affected by irrigation in the same way and formed clusters on the correlation networks established from the response of MRM variables to irrigation (log; irrigated/non-irrigated of the concentrations expressed in mg berry⁻¹, **Figure 8**). Thus, clustering of phenylpyranoanthocyanins, catechylpyranoanthocyanins, and caftaric-anthocyanin adducts indicated that they were simultaneously increased upon irrigation. Stilbenes formed another cluster, indicating that they were not only closely related, as shown by clustering of their concentrations (**Figure 1**, **L**) but also impacted in the same way by water deficit (**Figures 7**, **h**, **8**, **A**). Transcription of genes involved in the biosynthesis of stilbene precursors has been shown to increase and decrease in response to water stress in Cabernet Sauvignon and Chardonnay, respectively (Deluc et al., 2011).



of the entire population are indicated by the corresponding Chi-2 values (p < 0.1) (cf. Table S3).

Other clusters grouped together members of the different flavonoid families (anthocyanins, flavan-3-ols, and flavonols) which were further sorted according to their B-ring substitution pattern. Thus, malvidin, delphinidin, and petunidin derivatives (trisubstituted on the B-ring) and peonidin derivatives (disubstituted on the B-ring) formed different groups (Figures 7, f1 and f2, 8, B and C). Water deficit has been shown to enhance expression of flavonoid 3',5'-hydroxylase (F3'5'H), involved in B-ring trihydroxylation, relative to that of flavonoid 3'-hydroxylase (F3'H), involved in B-ring dihydroxylation, and, consequently, to increase the proportion of B-ring trihydroxylated anthocyanins (Castellarin et al., 2007). An increase of O-methyltransferase expression also correlated with accumulation of malvidin and peonidin derivatives (Castellarin et al., 2007). However, in another study, water deficit applied before and after veraison affected anthocyanin composition differently, enhancement of malvidin accumulation being observed only with post-veraison stress (Ollé et al., 2011). Among flavonols, drought responses of kaempferol and quercetin glucosides (respectively mono and dihydroxylated on the B-ring) were correlated (Figures 7, a, 8, F). Quercetin glycosides have been shown to accumulate following UV exposure of the berry (Price et al., 1995) and are believed to play a role in UV protection. Interestingly, expression of F3'5'H and biosynthesis of B-ring trihydroxylated anthocyanins were reduced in tissues protected from light exposure by shading of the berries or accumulation of phenolic compounds acting as UV screens in external tissues (Guan et al., 2014). The presence of β -glucogallin in the same response group to water status as trihydroxylated flavonols and anthocyanins is unexpected. This compound is suspected to be an intermediate in the flavanol galloylation pathway (Bontpart et al., 2015). However, glucose ester of hydroxybenzoic acid was already described as glucose donor for flavonoid glucosylation (Nishizaki et al., 2013). In the flavanol family, (epi)catechin based upper tannin units clustered with terminal catechin (Figure 8, H) and (epi)gallocatechin tannin units formed a different cluster (Figure 8, I), again indicating different responses of tannins based on trihydroxylated and dihydroxylated B-rings. However, catechin and gallocatechin monomers formed another group (Figure 8, G), suggesting that biosynthesis of flavan-3-ol monomers and of proanthocyanidins are differently regulated. The last two clusters (L and K) were similarly based on dihydroxylated and trihydroxylated anthocyanin B-rings, respectively. However, unexpected correlations of these molecules with pyranoanthocyanins derived from reaction of acetaldehyde with other anthocyanins require further investigation.

Genetic Diversity of Grapevine Response to Drought Shown by Metabolomics

Grouping of polyphenols according to their drought response across the diversity panel provided confirmation of the results of variance analysis (e.g., for flavan-3-ols). Additional correlation networks between molecules that had not been detected in the global ANOVA treatment suggest different responses of the molecular clusters in different cultivars. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of cultivars and metabolites (including MRM data and calculated variables) affected by drought (Figure 7) was performed to explore this hypothesis. Molecules clustered by family and, within some families, by B-ring substitution pattern, confirming the impact of irrigation on some specific branches of the biosynthetic pathway shown by the correlation networks. Clustering of cultivars confirmed cultivar differences in the molecular response to drought. Some of these differences may be related to differences in polyphenol metabolism as some cultivars accumulate specific polyphenol classes (e.g., colored vs. white cultivars). Three cultivar subgroups comprised an excess of colored or white cultivars, compared to the whole population (Figure 9). However, color did not fully explain the clustering based on polyphenol response to drought. Genetic groups did not appear as a major factor although cultivars from genetic group WW were overrepresented in subgroup 2-1-2. Moreover, cultivars from group 1 were generally harvested earlier under not irrigated conditions than those of group 2 (Figure 10). Differences in precocity may also induce different polyphenol response to irrigation as some compounds such as flavan-3-ols and hydroxycinnamic acids are biosynthesized at early development stages while anthocyanins and flavonols accumulate after veraison. Finally, some of the observed responses may be indirect responses, for instance due to differences in cultivar responses to other types of stresses, such as UV-stress since water regime also impacts canopy (for instance for flavonols), or biotic stress (for stilbenes).

This preliminary study is based on only two vintages, with no treatment replicate for individual cultivars. However, some cultivars with contrasted responses to water stress have been identified and could be used in future more detailed studies. In particular, it will be of interest to also characterize the plant physiological status, to determine if these contrasted behaviors are related to the near iso/anisohydric phenomenon, analyze their stability and explore potential interferences with phenological stages and environmental factors.

Large Scale Metabolomics Studies Shedding Light on Polyphenol Composition

The MRM method used in this study was targeted on a large number of polyphenolic compounds, including 96 molecules analyzed directly and 9 additional compounds released after acid-catalyzed depolymerization of proanthocyanidins in the presence of pholoroglucinol. Such experiments had never been performed at a large scale, in terms of number of targeted molecules and of number of studied cultivars. The large data collected made it possible to establish correlation networks that confirmed previous knowledge and provided new

information on grape polyphenol metabolism. Conversely, the patterns established validate interpretation of mass spectrometry data for most of the compounds analyzed. However, a few compounds appeared as outliers in some of the clusters, raising questions on their attribution. For example, the signal attributed to petunidin-3,5-diglucoside clustered with pigments derived from reactions of anthocyanins with phenolic acids, suggesting confusion or contamination with another molecule of this group. This will be explored further, potentially leading to the discovery of new compounds. Similarly, clustering of β-glucogallin with B-ring trihydroxylated anthocyanins and flavonols is surprising. This may be related to a role of glucogallin in their biosynthesis, as proposed above. However, formation of β-glucogallin may also reflect degradation of delphinidin and/or myricetin, followed by glucosylation of the resulting gallic acid. In this case, other anthocyanins are expected to follow the same catabolic process. For example, degradation of malvidin or syringetin and of peonidin or isorhamnetin should similarly yield syringoyl- and vanilloyl-glucose which have not been included in the molecular targets of the MRM method. Detection of these new molecules would help validate this hypothesis.

CONCLUSIONS

A large scale experiment involving cultivation of an association panel of 279 V. vinifera cultivars designed to represent the genetic and phenotypic variation encountered in cultivated grapevine and metabolomics analysis targeted to a large number of polyphenolic compounds (polyphenomics) was performed in 2014 and 2015. Chemometrics analysis of the data showed large differences in polyphenol composition related to genetic factors, environmental factors (i.e., water stress), and genetic x environment interactions. Correlation networks shed light on the relationships between the different polyphenol metabolites and related biosynthetic pathways. In addition, detailed polyphenomics analysis confirmed that polyphenol reactions described in wine take place in the berries. Finally, this paper reports the first large scale study demonstrating an influence of water stress on the different classes of polyphenols but also cultivar differences in the types and extents of drought responses, with different molecules affected either positively or negatively and different impacts on grape and wine quality. This work will be the foundation for identifying the genetic basis of the drought differential response of the cultivars in term of polyphenol composition, through Genome-Wide Association Study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LP and AV developed the MRM methods and performed the analyses, MR developed and applied the extraction protocols, EM and AVQ interpreted the mass spectrometry data, NS supervised the metabolomics analysis, JB and NT performed the chemometrics analysis, LL, JP, AA, NT, NS, and VC conceived the designed research and interpreted the results. All authors

contributed to drafting and/or critical revision of the work and approved the manuscript.

FUNDING

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under the grant agreement no FP7-311775, Project Innovine. Financial support from GIS IBiSA (Infrastructures en Biologie Santé et Agronomie), Région Languedoc Roussillon, and INRA CNOC for funding of the UPLC–MS equipment and funding from Alfonso Martín Escudero Foundation for the postdoctoral fellowship of AVQ are also acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Arapitsas, P., Oliveira, J., and Mattivi, F. (2015). Do white grapes really exist? *Food Res. Internat.* 69, 21–25. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2014.12.002
- Arapitsas, P., Perenzoni, D., Nicolini, G., and Mattivi, F. (2012). Study of sangiovese wines pigment profile by UHPLC-MS/MS. J. Agric. Food Chem. 60, 10461–10471. doi: 10.1021/jf302617e
- Baker, C. J., and Orlandi, E. W. (1995). Active oxygen in plant pathogenesis. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 33, 299–321. doi: 10.1146/annurev.py.33.090195.001503
- Bogs, J., Ebadi, A., McDavid, D., and Robinson, S. P. (2006). Identification of the flavonoid hydroxylases from grapevine and their regulation during fruit development. *Plant Physiol.* 140, 279–291. doi: 10.1104/pp.105. 073262
- Bontpart, T., Cheynier, V., Ageorges, A., and Terrier, N. (2015). BAHD or SCPL acyltransferase? What a dilemma for acylation in the world of plant phenolic compounds. *New Phytol.* 208, 695–707. doi: 10.1111/nph.13498
- Boursiquot, J., Sapis, J., and Macheix, J. (1986). Les esters hydroxycinnamiques chez le genre Vitis. Essai d'application taxonomique: premiers résultats. *CR Acad. Sci. Ser. III* 302, 177.
- Bucchetti, B., Matthews, M. A., Falginella, L., Peterlunger, E., and Castellarin, S. D. (2011). Effect of water deficit on Merlot grape tannins and anthocyanins across four seasons. *Sci. Hortic.* 128, 297–305. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2011.02.003
- Caldwell, M. M., Ballaré, C. L., Bornman, J. F., Flint, S. D., Björn, L. O., Teramura, A. H., et al. (2003). Terrestrial ecosystems, increased solar ultraviolet radiation and interactions with other climate change factors. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2, 29–38. doi: 10.1039/B211159B
- Casassa, L. F., Keller, M., and Harbertson, J. F. (2015). Regulated deficit irrigation alters anthocyanins, tannins and sensory properties of cabernet sauvignon grapes and wines *Molecules* 20, 7820–7844. doi: 10.3390/molecules20057820
- Castellarin, S. D., and Di Gaspero, G. (2007). Transcriptional control of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in extreme phenotypes for berry pigmentation of naturally occurring grapevines. *BMC Plant Biol.* 7:46. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-7-46
- Cheynier, V., Rigaud, J., Souquet, J.M., Duprat, F., Moutounet, M. (1990). Must browning in relation to the behaviour of phenolic compounds during oxidation. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 41, 346–349.
- Castellarin, S. D., Matthews, M. A., di Gaspero, G., and Gambetta, G. A. (2007). Water deficits accelerate ripening and induce changes in gene expression regulating flavonoid biosynthesis in grape berries. *Planta* 227, 101–112. doi: 10.1007/s00425-007-0598-8
- Cheynier, V., Doco, T., Fulcrand, H., Guyot, S., Le Roux, E., Souquet, J. M., et al. (1997). ESI-MS analysis of polyphenolic oligomers and polymers. *Analusis* 25, M32–M37.
- Cohen, S. D., Tarara, J. M., Gambetta, G. A., Matthews, M. A., and Kennedy, J. A. (2012). Impact of diurnal temperature variation on grape berry development, proanthocyanidin accumulation, and the expression of flavonoid pathway genes. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 2655–2665. doi: 10.1093/jxb/err449

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Gilles Berger, Yves Bertrand, Jean-Luc Guiraud, Thérèse Marlin, and Léa Ollier for technical assistance.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017. 01826/full#supplementary-material

 Table S1 | List of cultivars collected in 2014 and/or 2015, with their codes, genetic groups, colors, and harvest dates under irrigated (I) and not irrigated (NI) conditions.

- Cortell, J. M., and Kennedy, J. A. (2006). Effect of shading on accumulation of flavonoid compounds in (*Vitis vinifera* L.) pinot noir fruit and extraction in a model system. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 8510–8520. doi: 10.1021/jf0616560
- Deluc, L. G., Decendit, A., Papastamoulis, Y., Mérillon, J. M., Cushman, J. C., and Cramer, G. R. (2011). Water deficit increases stilbene metabolism in Cabernet Sauvignon berries. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59, 289–297. doi: 10.1021/jf1024888
- Deluc, L. G., Quilici, D. R., Decendit, A., Grimplet, J., Wheatley, M. D., Schlauch, K. A., et al. (2009). Water deficit alters differentially metabolic pathways affecting important flavor and quality traits in grape berries of Cabernet Sauvignon and Chardonnay. *BMC Genomics* 10:212. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-10-212
- Dixon, R. A., and Paiva, N. L. (1995). Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism. Plant Cell 7, 1085–1097. doi: 10.1105/tpc.7.7.1085
- Dixon, R. A., Xie, D. Y., and Sharma, S. B. (2005). Proanthocyanidins - a final frontier in flavonoid research? *New Phytol.* 165, 9–28. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01217.x
- Downey, M. O., Dokoozlian, N. K., and Krstic, M. (2006). Cultural practice and environmental impacts on the flavonoid composition of grapes and wine: a review of recent research. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 3, 257–268.
- Downey, M. O., Harvey, J. S., and Robinson, S. P. (2004). The effect of bunch shading on berry development and flavonoid accumulation in Shiraz grapes. *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* 10, 55–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0238.2004.tb00008.x
- Favretto, D., and Flamini, R. (2000). Application of electrospray ionization mass spectrometry to the study of grape anthocyanins. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 51, 55–64.
- Fournier-Level, A., Le Cunff, L., Gomez, C., Doligez, A., Ageorges, A., Roux, C., et al. (2009). Quantitative genetic bases of anthocyanin variation in grape (Vitis vinifera L. ssp. sativa) berry: a quantitative trait locus to quantitative trait nucleotide integrated study. *Genetics* 183, 1127–1139. doi: 10.1534/genetics.109.103929
- Fulcrand, H, Benabdeljalil, C., Rigaud, J., Cheynier, V., Moutounet, M. (1998). A new class of wine pigments yielded by reactions between pyruvic acid and grape anthocyanins. *Phytochemistry* 47, 1401–1407. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(97)00772-3
- Furtado, P., Figueiredo, P., Chaves das Neves, H., and Pina, F. (1993). Photochemical and thermal degradation of anthocyanins. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem. 75, 113–118. doi: 10.1016/1010-6030(93)80191-B
- Gonzalez-Paramas, A. M., Lopes da Silva, F., Martin-Lopez, P., Macz-Pop, G., Gonzalez-Manzano, S., Alcalde-Eon, C., et al. (2006). Flavanolanthocyanin condensed pigments in plant extracts. *Food Chem.* 94, 428–436. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.11.037
- Guan, L., Li, J. H., Fan, P. G., Li, S. H., Fang, J. B., Dai, Z. W., et al. (2014). Regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in tissues of a teinturier grape cultivar under sunlight exclusion. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 65, 363–374. doi:10.5344/ajev.2014.14029
- Heier, A., Blaas, W., Droß, A., and Wittkowski, R. (2002). Anthocyanin analysis by HPLC/ESI-MS. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 53, 78–86.
- Herrera, J. C., Hochberg, U., Degu, A., Sabbatini, P., Lazarovitch, N., Castellarin, S. D., et al. (2017). Grape metabolic response to postveraison water deficit is

affected by interseason weather variability. J. Agric. Food Chem. 65, 5868–5878. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01466

- Hochberg, U., Degu, A., Cramer, G. R., Rachmilevitch, S., and Fait, A. (2015). Cultivar specific metabolic changes in grapevines berry skins in relation to deficit irrigation and hydraulic behavior. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 88, 42–52. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2015.01.006
- Huang, Y. F., Cheynier, V., and Terrier, N. (2012a). "Shedding light on the black boxes of the proanthocyanidin pathway with grapevine," in *Recent Advances in Polyphenol Research*, eds V. Cheynier, P. Sarni-Manchado, and S. Quideau (Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell), 161–190.
- Huang, Y. F., Doligez, A., Fournier-Level, A., Le Cunff, L., Bertrand, Y., Canaguier, A., et al. (2012b). Dissecting genetic architecture of grape proanthocyanidin composition through quantitative trait locus mapping. *BMC Plant Biol*. 12:30. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-12-30
- Jeandet, P., Douillet, A. C., Debord, S., Sbaghi, M., Bessis, R., and Adrian, M. (2002). Phytoalexins from the Vitaceae: biosynthesis, phytoalexin gene expression in transgenic plants, antifungal activity, and metabolism. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50, 2731–2741.doi: 10.1021/jf011429s
- Kennedy, J. A., Matthews, M. A., and Waterhouse, A. (2002). Effect of maturity and vine water status on grape skin and wine flavonoids. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 53, 268–274.
- Lambert, M., Meudec, E., Verbaere, A., Mazerolles, G., Wirth, J., Masson, G., et al. (2015). A high-throughput UHPLC-QqQ-MS method for polyphenol profiling in rosé wines. *Molecules*. 20, 7890–7914. doi: 10.3390/molecules20057890
- Malacarne, G., Costantini, L., Coller, E., Battilana, J., Velasco, R., Vrhovsek, U., et al. (2015). Regulation of flavonol content and composition in (Syrah×Pinot Noir) mature grapes: integration of transcriptional profiling and metabolic quantitative trait locus analyses. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 4441–4453. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv243
- Manach, C., Scalbert, A., Morand, C., Rémésy, C., and Jiménez, L. (2004). Polyphenols: food sources and bioavailability. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 79, 727–747.
- Mané, C., Souquet, J. M., Véran, F., Mazerolles, G., Cheynier, V., and Fulcrand, H. (2007). Optimisation of simultaneous flavanol, phenolic acid and anthocyanin extraction from grapes using an experimental design; Application to the characterisation of Champagne grape varieties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 55, 7224–7233. doi: 10.1021/jf071301w
- Mattivi, F., Guzzon, R., Vrhovsek, U., Stefanini, M., and Velasco, R. (2006). Metabolite profiling of grape: flavonols and anthocyanins. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 7692–7702. doi: 10.1021/jf061538c
- Mazza, G. (1995). Anthocyanins in grape and grape products. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 35, 341–371. doi: 10.1080/10408399509527704
- Nicolas, S. D., Péros, J.-P., Lacombe, T., Launay, A., Le Paslier, M.-C., Bérard, A., et al. (2016). Genetic diversity, linkage disequilibrium and power of a large grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) diversity panel newly designed for association studies. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16:74. doi: 10.1186/s12870-016-0754-z
- Nishizaki, Y., Yasunaga, M., Okamoto, E., Okamoto, M., Hirose, Y., Yamaguchi, M., et al. (2013). p-Hydroxybenzoyl-glucose is a Zwitter donor for the biosynthesis of 7-polyacylated anthocyanin in delphinium. *Plant Cell* 25, 4150–4165. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.113167
- Ojeda, H., Andary, C., Kraeva, E., Carbonneau, A., and Deloire, A. (2002). Influence of pre- and postveraison water deficit on synthesis and concentration of skin phenolic compounds during berry growth of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Shiraz. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 53, 261–267.
- Ollé, D., Guiraud, J. L., Souquet, J. M., Terrier, N., Ageorges, A., Cheynier, V., et al. (2011). Effect of pre- and post-veraison water deficit on proanthocyanidin and anthocyanin accumulation during Shiraz berry development. *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* 17, 90–100. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0238.2010.00121.x
- Ono, E., Homma, Y., Horikawa, M., Kunikane-Doi, S., Imai, H., Takahashi, S., et al. (2010). Functional differentiation of the glycosyltransferases that contribute to the chemical diversity of bioactive flavonol glycosides in grapevines (*Vitis vinifera*). *Plant Cell* 22, 2856–2871. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110. 074625
- Pelsy, F. (2010). Molecular and cellular mechanisms of diversity within grapevine varieties. *Heredity* 104, 331–340. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2009.161
- Pinasseau, L., Verbaere, A., Roques, M., Meudec, E., Vallverdu-Queralt, A., Ollier, L., et al. (2017). InnoVine WP3: 105 Phenolic Compound Quantification of 2014 and 2015 Mature Grape Berries from a Core-Collection of 279 Irrigated and Non-irrigated Vitis vinifera Cultivars [Data set]. Zenodo.

- Pinasseau, L., Verbaere, A., Roques, M., Meudec, E., Vallverdú-Queralt, A., Terrier, N., et al. (2016). A fast and robust UHPLC-MRM-MS method to characterize and quantify grape skin tannins after chemical depolymerization. *Molecules* 21:1409. doi: 10.3390/molecules21101409
- Price, S. F., Breen, P. J., Valladao, M., and Watson, B. T. (1995). Cluster sun exposure and quercetin in Pinot noir grapes and wine. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 46, 187–194.
- Remy-Tanneau, S., Le Guernevé, C., Meudec, E., and Cheynier, V. (2003). Characterization of a colorless anthocyanin-flavan-3-ol dimer containing both carbon-carbon and ether interflavanoid linkages by NMR and mass spectrometry J. Agric. Food Chem. 51, 3592–3597. doi: 10.1021/jf021227b
- Rinaldo, A., Cavallini, E., Jia, Y., Moss, S. M., McDavid, D. A., Hooper, L. C., et al. (2015). A grapevine anthocyanin acyltransferase, transcriptionally regulated by VvMYBA, can produce most acylated anthocyanins present in grape skins. *Plant Physiol.* 169, 1897–1916. doi: 10.1104/pp.15.01255
- Roby, G., Harbertson, J. F., Adams, D. A., and Matthews, M. A. (2004). Berry size and vine water deficits as factors in winegrape composition: anthocyanins and tannins. *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* 10, 100–107. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0238.2004.tb00012.x
- Roggero, J. P., Larice, J. L., Rocheville Divorne, C., Archier, P., and Coen, S. (1988). Composition anthocyanique des cépages. I: essai de classification par analyse en composantes principales et par analyse factorielle discriminante. *Rev. F. Oenol.* 112, 41–48.
- Rontein, D., Basset, G., and Hanson, A. D. (2002). Metabolic engineering of osmoprotectant accumulation in plants. *Metab. Eng.* 1, 49–56. doi: 10.1006/mben.2001.0208
- Salas, E., Atanasova, V., Poncet-Legrand, C., Meudec, E., Mazauric, J. P., and Cheynier, V. (2004). Demonstration of the occurrence of flavanol-anthocyanin adducts in wine and in model solutions. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 513, 325–332. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2003.11.084
- Sarni-Manchado, P., Cheynier, V., and Moutounet, M. (1997). Reaction of enzymically generated quinones with malvidin-3-glucoside. *Phytochemistry* 45, 1365–1369. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(97)00190-8
- Savoi, S., Wong, D. C. J., Degu, A., Herrera, J. C., Bucchetti, B., Peterlunger, E., et al. (2017). Multi-omics and integrated network analyses reveal new insights into the systems relationships between metabolites, structural genes, and transcriptional regulators in developing grape berries (*Vitis vinifera* L.) exposed to water deficit. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1124. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01124
- Sharan, R., Maron-Katz, A., and Shamir, R. (2003). CLICK and EXPANDER: a system for clustering and visualizing gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 19, 1787–1799. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg232
- Souquet, J. M., Cheynier, V., Brossaud, F., and Moutounet, M. (1996). Polymeric proanthocyanidins from grape skins. *Phytochemistry* 43, 509–512. doi: 10.1016/0031-9422(96)00301-9
- Spayd, S. E., Tarara, J. M., Mee, D. L., and Ferguson, J. C. (2002). Separation of sunlight and temperature effects on the composition of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Merlot berries. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 53, 171–182.
- Stafford, H. A., Shimamoto, M., and Lester, H. H. (1982). Incorporation of [14C]phenylalanine into flavan-3-ols and procyanidins in cell suspension cultures of Douglas fir. *Plant Physiol.* 69, 1055–1059. doi: 10.1104/pp.69.5.1055
- Tarascou, I., Mazauric, J. P., Meudec, E., Souquet, J. M., Cunningham, D., Nojeim, S., et al. (2011). Characterization of genuine and derived cranberry proanthocyanidins by LC-ESI-MS. *Food Chem.* 128, 802–810. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.03.062
- Teixeira, A., Eiras-Dias, J., Castellarin, S. D., and Gerós, H. (2013). Berry phenolics of grapevine under challenging environments. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 18711–18739. doi: 10.3390/ijms140918711
- Timberlake, C. F., and Bridle, P. (1976). Interactions between anthocyanins, phenolic compounds, and acetaldehyde and their significance in red wines. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 27, 97–105.
- Vallverdú-Queralt, A., Meudec, M., Eder, M., Lamuela-Raventos, R., Sommerer, N., and Cheynier, V. (2017a). Targeted filtering reduces the complexity of routine UHPLC-HRMS data to decipher polyphenol polymerization. *Food Chem.* 227, 255–263. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.01.106
- Vallverdú-Queralt, A., Meudec, M., Eder, M., Lamuela-Raventos, R., Sommerer, N., and Cheynier, V. (2017b). The hidden face of wine polyphenol polymerization highlighted by high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Chem. Open* 6, 336–339. doi: 10.1002/open.201700044

- Vallverdú-Queralt, A., Meudec, M., Ferreira-Lima, N., Sommerer, N., Dangles, O., Cheynier, V., et al. (2016). A comprehensive investigation of guaiacyl-pyranoanthocyanin synthesis by one-/two-dimensional NMR and UPLC–DAD–ESI–MSn. *Food Chem.* 199, 902–910. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.12.089
- Vallverdú-Queralt, A., Verbaere, A., Meudec, E., Cheynier, V., and Sommerer, N. (2015). Straightforward method to quantify GSH, GSSG, GRP, and hydroxycinnamic acids in wines by UPLC-MRM-MS. J. Agric. Food Chem. 63, 142–149. doi: 10.1021/jf504383g
- Van Leeuwen, C., Gaudillère, J.-P., and Tregoat, O. (2001). Evaluation du régime hydrique de la vigne à partir du rapport isotopique 13C/12C. J. Int. Sci. Vigne Vin 35, 195–205. doi: 10.20870/oeno-one.2001. 35.4.984
- Vidal, S., Hayasaka, Y., Meudec, E., Cheynier, V., and Skouroumounis, G. (2004a). Fractionation of grape anthocyanin classes using multilayer coil countercurrent chromatography with step gradient elution. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 52, 713–719. doi: 10.1021/jf034906a
- Vidal, S., Meudec, E., Cheynier, V., Skouroumounis, G., and Hayasaka, Y. (2004b). Mass spectrometric evidence for the existence of oligomeric anthocyanins

in grape skins. J. Agric. Food Chem. 52, 7144-7151. doi: 10.1021/jf0 48939h

Vrhovsek, U.,Masuero, D., Gasperotti, M., Franceschi, P., Caputi, L., Viola, R., et al. (2012). Versatile targeted metabolomics method for the rapid quantification of multiple classes of phenolics in fruits and beverages. J. Agric. Food Chem. 60, 8831–8840. doi: 10.1021/jf2051569

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Pinasseau, Vallverdú-Queralt, Verbaere, Roques, Meudec, Le Cunff, Péros, Ageorges, Sommerer, Boulet, Terrier and Cheynier. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.