



HAL
open science

New Type of 2D Perovskites with Alternating Cations in the Interlayer Space, $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$: Structure, Properties, and Photovoltaic Performance

Chan Myae Myae Soe, Constantinos C Stoumpos, Mikael Kepenekian, Boubacar Traoré, Hsinhan Tsai, Wanyi Nie, Binghao Wang, Claudine Katan, Ram Seshadri, Aditya D Mohite, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Chan Myae Myae Soe, Constantinos C Stoumpos, Mikael Kepenekian, Boubacar Traoré, Hsinhan Tsai, et al.. New Type of 2D Perovskites with Alternating Cations in the Interlayer Space, $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$: Structure, Properties, and Photovoltaic Performance. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2017, 139 (45), pp.16297-16309. 10.1021/jacs.7b09096 . hal-01628381

HAL Id: hal-01628381

<https://hal.science/hal-01628381>

Submitted on 20 Jun 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

New Type of 2D Perovskites with Alternating Cations in the Interlayer Space, $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$: Structure, Properties and Photovoltaic Performance

*Chan Myae Myae Soe,^{1#} Constantinos C. Stoumpos,^{1#} Mikael Kepenekian,² Boubacar Traoré,² Hsinhan Tsai,³ Wanyi Nie,³ Binghao Wang,¹ Claudine Katan,² Ram Seshadri,^{4,5,6} Aditya D. Mohite,³ Jacky Even,⁷ Tobin J. Marks,¹ Mercuri G. Kanatzidis^{*1}*

¹Department of Chemistry and Argonne-Northwestern Solar Energy Research Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

²Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes (ISCR), UMR 6226, CNRS - Université de Rennes 1 - Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes - INSA Rennes, France

³Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

⁴Materials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

⁵Materials Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

⁶Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

⁷Fonctions Optiques pour les Technologies de l'Information (FOTON), UMR 6082, CNRS - INSA Rennes - Université de Rennes 1, France

*Corresponding authors. E-mail: m-kanatzidis@northwestern.edu

KEYWORDS: Layered compounds, perovskite photovoltaics, solvent-engineering, hot-casting, crystallinity

ABSTRACT

We present the new homologous series, $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$), of layered 2D perovskites. Structural characterization by single-crystal X-ray diffraction reveals that these compounds adopt an unprecedented structure type which is stabilized by the alternating ordering of the guanidinium and methylammonium cations in the interlayer space (ACI). Compared to the more common Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) 2D perovskites, the ACI perovskites have a different stacking motif and adopt a higher crystal symmetry. The higher symmetry of the ACI perovskites is expressed in its physical properties, which show a characteristic decrease of the bandgap with respect to their RP perovskite counterparts with the same perovskite layer thickness (n). The compounds show a monotonic decrease in the optical gap as n increases: $E_g = 2.27$ eV for $n = 1$ to $E_g = 1.99$ eV for $n = 2$ and $E_g = 1.73$ eV for $n = 3$, which show slightly narrower gaps compared to the corresponding RP perovskites. First-principles theoretical electronic structure calculations confirm the experimental optical gap trends suggesting that the ACI perovskites are direct bandgap semiconductors with wide valence and conduction bandwidths. To assess the potential of the ACI perovskites towards solar cell applications, we studied the $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ ($n = 3$) compound. Compact thin films from the $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ compound with excellent surface coverage can be obtained from the anti-solvent dripping method. Planar photovoltaic devices from optimized ACI perovskite films yield a power-conversion-efficiency of 7.26% with a high open-circuit voltage of ~ 1 V and a striking fill factor of $\sim 80\%$.

INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) layered halide perovskites are highly promising candidates for optoelectronic applications, and this has sparked new investigations of these materials from the synthetic, physicochemical and applications point of view.¹⁻⁸ Unlike the more extensively studied three-dimensional (3D) AMX_3 perovskites ($A^+ = Cs, CH_3NH_3$ (MA) or $HC(NH_2)_2$ (FA)) where the possibility of a 3D stable crystal structure is limited by the size and shape of the A^+ cation⁹⁻¹⁰ the lower-dimensional perovskite semiconductors offer a much higher degree of structural versatility and permit better control and fine-tuning of the optoelectronic properties.¹¹ Conceptually, upon “cutting” the 3D parent compound along a specific crystallographic plane, infinite nanosheets with a slab thickness of atomic or molecular size can be obtained, which are separated by organic cations acting as spacers and in this context, they can be considered as natural quantum wells (QWs). These ultrathin negatively charged perovskite nanosheets are held together by intercalating organic cations, which balance the charge and support the structural integrity of the 2D perovskites. Depending on the cut in the 3D framework, the 2D perovskites can be subcategorized as $\langle 100 \rangle$, $\langle 110 \rangle$, and $\langle 111 \rangle$ -oriented perovskites. What effectively determines this crystallographic cut is the size and shape of the spacer cation. Cations employed for this purpose to date include, but not limited to, ammonium,^{1, 6, 12-15} amidinium,¹⁶⁻¹⁷ imidazolium¹⁸ and phosphonium¹⁹ ions. Among the $\langle 100 \rangle$ perovskites, the Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) perovskites,²⁰⁻²¹ are by far the most common structural type with less common types being the Dion-Jacobson (DJ),²²⁻²³ and Aurivillius (AV)²⁴⁻²⁶ phases both of which finding paradigms only in oxide perovskite families. The less common DJ phases are chemically different from the RP phases in that the two spacer cations (M)₂ of the (M)₂A_{n-1}B_nO_{3n+1} RP structure are replaced by

1
2
3 a single alkali metal M' spacer in the $M'A_{n-1}B_nO_{3n+1}$ DJ structure. The AV perovskites have a
4
5 closer relationship to the RP compounds, but they are distinctively different because the
6
7 individual M cations are replaced by extended cationic $[(Bi_2O_2)^{2+}]_{\infty}$ layers, which drastically
8
9 change the physical properties of the compounds.²⁷⁻²⁸ From the crystallographic viewpoint, the
10
11 DJ phases are accompanied by a relative shift of the layers along the *ab*-plane ($\frac{1}{2}$, 0 shift,
12
13 $KLaNb_2O_7$ -type)²⁹ or no shift at all (0, 0 shift, $CsLaNb_2O_7$ -type)³⁰ in the perovskite layers,
14
15
16
17 contrary to the RP phases that universally exhibit a ($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$) shift. The ($\frac{1}{2}$, 0) case results in an
18
19 'eclipsed' configuration of the layers along the stacking direction. The structural chemistry of the
20
21 DJ oxide perovskites has recently undergone a resurgence after the predictions that such
22
23 structures can exhibit ferroelectricity³¹⁻³² using certain material design principles.³³ Despite the
24
25 abundance of DJ perovskites in oxides,³⁴ this structural type is hitherto unknown in the hybrid
26
27 halide perovskites.
28
29
30

31
32 Herein we report on the $(C(NH_2)_3)(CH_3NH_3)_nPb_nI_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-3$) family of 2D perovskites, new
33
34 type of 2D halide perovskite which feature two different alternating cations in the interlayer
35
36 space (ACI). This results in cation-ordering in the interlayer space with the two spacer cations
37
38 being guanidinium $(C(NH_2)_3, GA)^+$ and methylammonium $(CH_3NH_3, MA)^+$. This unique
39
40 ordering leads to the formation of an unprecedented structure type which blends the chemical
41
42 formula of the RP perovskites with the structural features of the DJ perovskites. The ordering of
43
44 the cations leads to a ($\frac{1}{2}$, 0) shift of the layers reminiscent of the DJ perovskites but at the same
45
46 differing in the occupation of the interlayer sites due to charge balance restrictions, thus leading
47
48 to a different centering of the unit cell (Table 1). GA was chosen as a spacer since it has a
49
50 structural formula reminiscent of the formamidinium $(HC(NH_2)_2, FA)^+$ and iodoformamidinium
51
52 $(IC(NH_2)_2, IFO)^+$ cations, which stabilize the perovskite structure, either in its 3D form³⁵ or in
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the <110> 2D perovskite,³⁶ respectively. Conceptually, GA is obtained by substituting the C-H
4 hydrogen atom in FA or C-I iodine atom in IFO with an -NH₂ group. The present study expands
5
6 on the series of simple 2D guanidinium compounds that adopt the <110>-oriented RP perovskite
7
8 type, originally obtained by Szafranski and coworkers³⁷⁻³⁹ and recently revisited by the
9
10 Hillebrecht's group.⁴⁰ The ACI perovskites reported in this work find join the very recently
11
12 reported GAC_{S_n}Pb_nX_{3n+1} compounds which adopt a similar crystal structure.⁴¹ Here the ACI
13
14 phase GAMA_nPb_nI_{3n+1} series (n = 1, 2 and 3) has been structurally characterized by means of
15
16 single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The compounds exhibit lower bandgaps and slightly reduced
17
18 photoluminescence (PL) intensity compared to the known Ruddlesden-Popper (RP) perovskites
19
20 having butylammonium (BA) spacers. The observed trend in the bandgap within the ACI series
21
22 can be captured by DFT calculations. They predict that ACI have slightly narrower (n = 1, 3) or
23
24 comparable (n = 2) bandgap compared to the RP perovskites for their corresponding n-member.
25
26 We also investigated the photovoltaic performance of the highest member of the series
27
28 GAMA₃Pb₃I₁₀ (n = 3), which adopts the centrosymmetric *Imma* space group and has the smallest
29
30 optical gap in the series, $E_g = 1.73$ eV, making it a good candidate for a light absorber material in
31
32 both single-junction and tandem solar cells. Smooth, compact high-quality thin films were
33
34 obtained by employing the solvent-engineering method with anti-solvent dripping at an
35
36 optimized delay time. While the morphology improves drastically for the films before and after
37
38 anti-solvent treatment, the crystallinity is found to remain unaffected. Planar solar cells
39
40 comprising the optimized n = 3 films exhibit a promising power-conversion-efficiency (PCE) of
41
42 7.26% (average $6.69 \pm 0.47\%$), with a high Voc of 0.974 V and an impressive fill factor (FF) of
43
44 ~80%, which is the highest value reported for 2D perovskite solar cells.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials. Lead oxide (PbO, 99%), methylamine hydrochloride (CH₃NH₃Cl, 99%), guanidine hydrochloride ((C(NH₂)₃Cl, ≥ 98%), hydriodic acid (HI, 57 wt% in H₂O), hypophosphorous acid (H₃PO₂, 50 wt% in H₂O), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, anhydrous, 99.8%), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO, anhydrous, ≥ 99.9%), and chlorobenzene (CB, anhydrous, 99.8%) were used as received from Sigma-Aldrich without further purification. Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS) and phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PC₆₁BM, > 99%) were purchased from Clevios and American Dye Source Inc., respectively.

Materials Synthesis. ((C(NH₂)₃)₂PbI₄. PbO (670 mg, 3 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of 20.0 ml of aqueous HI and 1.7 ml of aqueous H₃PO₂ by heating to boiling under constant magnetic stirring for at least 15 min. To the hot bright yellow solution was added solid C(NH₂)₃Cl (573 mg, 6 mmol), and the reaction was kept under constant heating and stirring for a few hours until ~25% of the acid solution has evaporated. The stirring was then discontinued, and the hot supersaturated solution was slowly quenched to room temperature. Bright yellow plate-like crystals precipitated after the solution was allowed to stand for 2 days. The crystals were isolated by suction filtration and thoroughly dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven (Yield: 0.66g, 26% based on total Pb).

(C(NH₂)₃)(CH₃NH₃)PbI₄. PbO (670 mg, 3 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of 20.0 ml of aqueous HI and 1.7 ml of aqueous H₃PO₂ by heating to boiling under constant magnetic stirring for at least 15 min. To the hot bright yellow solution were added solids CH₃NH₃Cl (203 mg, 3

1
2
3 mmol) and $C(NH_2)_3Cl$ (287 mg, 3 mmol), and the reaction was kept under constant heating and
4
5 stirring for a few hours until ~25% of the acid solution has evaporated. The stirring was then
6
7 discontinued, and as the hot supersaturated solution was slowly cooled to room temperature. Red
8
9 crystals precipitated after the solution was allowed to stand for 2 days. The crystals were isolated
10
11 by suction filtration and thoroughly dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven (Yield: 0.54g, 22% based
12
13 on total Pb).
14
15

16
17
18 $(C(NH_2)_3)(CH_3NH_3)_2Pb_2I_7$. PbO (2232 mg, 10 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of 20.0 ml of
19
20 aqueous HI and 1.7 ml of aqueous H_3PO_2 by heating to boiling under constant magnetic stirring
21
22 for at least 15 min. To the hot bright yellow solution were added solids CH_3NH_3Cl (675 mg, 10
23
24 mmol) and $C(NH_2)_3Cl$ (478 mg, 5 mmol), and the reaction was kept under constant heating until
25
26 all the the reactants dissolved. The stirring was then discontinued, and as the hot supersaturated
27
28 solution was slowly quenched to room temperature, upon which the precipitation of dark red
29
30 crystals commenced. The crystals were allowed to settle for further 2 h, before the crystals were
31
32 isolated by suction filtration and thoroughly dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven (Yield: 1.64g, 23%
33
34 based on total Pb).
35
36
37

38
39
40 $(C(NH_2)_3)(CH_3NH_3)_3Pb_3I_{10}$. PbO (2232 mg, 10 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of 20.0 ml of
41
42 aqueous HI and 1.7 ml of aqueous H_3PO_2 by heating to boiling under constant magnetic stirring
43
44 for at least 15 min. To the hot bright yellow solution were added solids CH_3NH_3Cl (675 mg, 10
45
46 mmol) and $C(NH_2)_3Cl$ (318 mg, 3.33 mmol), and the reaction was kept under constant heating
47
48 until all the the reactants dissolved. The stirring was then discontinued, and as the hot
49
50 supersaturated solution was slowly quenched to room temperature, upon which the precipitation
51
52 of black crystals commenced. The crystals were allowed to settle for further 2 h, before the
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 crystals were isolated by suction filtration and thoroughly dried at 60 °C in a vacuum oven
4
5 (Yield: 1.50g, 22% based on total Pb).
6
7

8
9 **Materials Characterization.** High resolution synchrotron powder X-ray diffraction (SXRD)
10 data were collected at beamline 11-BM-B of the Advanced Photon Source (APS), Argonne
11 National Laboratory using $\lambda = 0.517040 \text{ \AA}$. Discrete detectors covering an angular range from 0
12 to $4^\circ 2\theta$ are scanned over a $26^\circ 2\theta$ range, with data points collected every $0.001^\circ 2\theta$ and a scan
13 speed of 0.1°/s.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images of the perovskite crystals were obtained by using a
21 Hitachi SU3400 equipped with an electron beam accelerated at 10-20 kV.
22
23

24 A Shimadzu UV-3600 PC double-beam, double-monochromator spectrophotometer was used to
25 acquire room-temperature optical diffuse reflectance spectra of the powdered samples in the
26 range of 200-2500 nm. BaSO₄ was used as a non-absorbing reflectance reference, and
27 reflectance data were converted to absorbance data via Kubelka–Munk transformation.⁴²⁻⁴⁴
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Photoluminescence spectra were collected on oriented crystals using Horiba LabRam Evolution
35 high-resolution confocal Raman microscope spectrometer (600 g/mm diffraction grating)
36 equipped with a diode CW laser (473 nm, 25 mW) and a Synapse CCD camera.
37
38
39

40 Single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments were performed using a STOE IPDS II or IPDS 2T
41 diffractometer with Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$) and operating at 50 kV and 40 mA.
42 Integration and numerical absorption corrections were performed using the X-AREA, X-RED,
43 and X-SHAPE programs. The structures were solved by charge flipping and refined by full-
44 matrix least squares on F^2 using the Jana2006 package.⁴⁵ The PLATON software⁴⁶ was used to
45 identify the twinning domains and validate the space groups of the compounds.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Theoretical calculations.** First-principles calculations are based on density functional theory
4 (DFT) as implemented in the Siesta package.⁴⁷ Calculations have been carried out with the GGA
5 functional in the PBE form, using the experimentally determined crystal structures.⁴⁸ Spin-orbit
6 coupling is taken into account through the on-site approximation as proposed by Fernández-
7 Seivane *et al.*⁴⁹ Core electrons are described with Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials.⁵⁰ The
8 valence wavefunction is developed over a double- ζ polarized basis set of finite-range numerical
9 pseudoatomic orbitals.⁵¹ An energy cutoff of 150 Ry for real-space mesh size is used as well as a
10 $1 \times 4 \times 4$ k -point sampling grid for sampling the Brillouin zone.

11
12 **Thin Film Characterization.** AFM images were acquired using a Dimensional Icon Scanning
13 Probe Microscope (Veeco) in tapping mode. Powder X-ray diffraction measurements were
14 carried out on a Rigaku MiniFlex600 X-ray diffractometer (Cu $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$)
15 operating at 40 kV and 15 mA. GIWAXS measurements were performed at Beamline 8-ID-E of
16 the Advanced Photon Source (APS) at Argonne National Laboratory. Samples prepared on
17 Si/PEDOT:PSS substrates were exposed to an X-ray beam ($\lambda = 1.6868 \text{ \AA}$) at an incident angle of
18 0.20° for 5 s, and the scattered light was collected by a Pilatus 1 M pixel array detector at 204
19 mm from the sample. Absorbance data were collected on Cary 6000i UV-Vis-NIR
20 spectrophotometer in the 175-1800 nm region.

21
22 **Solar Cell Device Fabrication.** The FTO-coated glass substrates (TEC7, 2.2 mm, Hartford
23 Glass Co. Inc.) were cleaned by sequential sonication in aqueous detergent, deionized water,
24 isopropanol (IPA), and acetone (ACE) for 15 min each, followed by a 5 min oxygen plasma
25 treatment. A thin layer of PEDOT:PSS was deposited on the pre-cleaned FTO substrates by spin-
26 coating at 6,000 rpm for 30 s and annealed at 150°C for 30 min in air. The substrates were then
27 transferred to a nitrogen-filled glove box to complete the rest of the device fabrication. Solutions
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of GAMA₃Pb₃I₁₀ were prepared by dissolving 300 mg of the oven-dried crystals in 1 ml of DMF
4 and 100 μl of DMSO solvents. For perovskite thickness study, various concentrations of the
5 compound (20 mg, 25 mg, 30 mg, 35 mg, and 40 mg) in 100 μl:10 μl DMF:DMSO were used.
6
7
8
9
10 The perovskite layer was deposited by spin-casting 60 μl of the as-prepared solution on the
11 ITO/PEDOT:PSS substrates at 500 rpm. for 3s, followed by 4,000 rpm. for 60 s. For solvent-
12 engineered films, 300 μl of CB anti-solvent was dropped on the spinning substrate at various
13 delay times (3 s, 5 s, 10 s, 20 s, 30 s, 40 s, and 50 s), and the films turned brown instantaneously.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20 The substrates were then annealed at 80 °C for 15 min to ensure removal of any remaining
21 solvent residuals. PC₆₁BM layer was deposited on the perovskite film from a 20 mg/ml PC₆₁BM
22 solution in CB at 5,000 rpm. for 30 s. Finally, 150 nm of Al was thermally evaporated through a
23 shadow mask at a pressure of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr. The active area of the device was 0.09 cm².
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Solar Cell Device Characterization.** The current density vs. voltage (J-V) characteristics were
31 collected in air without any encapsulation using a Keithley 2400 source meter under simulated
32 AM 1.5G irradiation (100 mW/cm²) generated by a standard solar simulator (Abet
33 Technologies). The light intensity was calibrated by using an NREL-certified monocrystalline Si
34 reference cell to reduce the spectral mismatch.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Materials synthesis. The synthesis of the series of $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)_2\text{PbI}_4$ and $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ compounds was accomplished by mixing stoichiometric ratios of pre-dried guanidinium chloride (GACl, $\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3\text{Cl}$), methylammonium chloride (MACl, $\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3\text{Cl}$), and lead oxide (PbO) in a hot HI solution. As soon as the supersaturated, clear, bright yellow solution was removed from the hot plate and left to cool to ambient temperature, crystals of the title compounds started forming. Bright yellow plates of $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)_2\text{PbI}_4$, red needles of $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)\text{PbI}_4$, dark red needles of $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$, and black needles of $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ were obtained, Figure 1. Unlike the RP perovskites, ACI perovskites obey a different synthetic protocol requiring a fully stoichiometric reaction in order to crystallize in pure form. This is presumably because of the higher solubility of guanidinium salts in the reaction medium, in contrast to the long aliphatic ammonium cations used in the synthesis of the RP perovskites. The synthetic procedure finds an additional obstacle in the form of the reaction by-product the yellow $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)\text{PbI}_3$,³⁷ a relatively insoluble one-dimensional (1D) “perovskitoid” of the NH_4CdCl_3 structure-type which competes with the precipitation of the ACI perovskite phases.¹¹ The co-formation of two reaction products was also observed in the Sn-based GA systems¹¹ with the 2D perovskite $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)_2\text{SnI}_4$ being the kinetic reaction product

1
2
3 and the 3D ((C(NH₂)₃)SnI₃) perovskite being the thermodynamic product. However, in the case
4
5 of the (C(NH₂)₃)₂PbI₄/(C(NH₂)₃)PbI₃ system this tendency inverts with the (C(NH₂)₃)₂PbI₄ being
6
7 the thermodynamic product and GAPbI₃ the kinetic one, possibly due to the lower
8
9 dimensionality of the 1D perovskitoid, which makes it easier to precipitate. With the hindsight of
10
11 the behavior of the pure guanidinium systems, we conducted the reactions to synthesize the
12
13 (C(NH₂)₃)(CH₃NH₃)_nPb_nI_{3n+1} (n = 1-3) perovskites under relatively low concentrations below the
14
15 saturation point of the solution, in order to avoid the formation of the 1D by-product.
16
17
18

19
20 All the ACI perovskites crystallize in high purity but their yield is relatively low (~25%)
21
22 due to the special synthetic considerations discussed above, Figure 1b. An exception is found for
23
24 (C(NH₂)₃)(CH₃NH₃)PbI₄ (the n = 1) member which shows a photo-instability (in solution and in
25
26 the solid state) towards decomposition to the higher n = 2 member, presumably through a
27
28 disproportionation mechanism. The photoreaction is not severe and it occurs only on the surface
29
30 of the crystallites. A similar photosensitivity has been observed for the similar cation iodo-
31
32 formamidinium cation n = 1 perovskite which degrades upon ambient light irradiation.⁵² Another
33
34 important characteristic of the ACI perovskites that differentiates them from the RP perovskites
35
36 is their mechanical behavior. All ACI perovskites are brittle and tend to crack when mechanical
37
38 force is applied, as opposed to the RP perovskites that are more malleable and tend to undergo a
39
40 plastic deformation. As will be discussed below, the unique mechanical properties of the ACI
41
42 perovskites arise from their tight, more 3D-like crystal structure, which locks the layers in place
43
44 with respect to one another in contrast to the RP perovskites in which the floppy organic chains
45
46 of the spacers lend the materials additional flexibility.
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 **Description of the crystal structures.** The crystal structures of the homologous ACI perovskite
54
55 series, (GA)(MA)_nPb_nI_{3n+1} (n = 1-3), are illustrated in Figure 2; selected crystallographic data are
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 presented in Table 2, and selected bonding parameters are provided in Table 3. All compounds
4
5 crystallize in orthorhombic space groups with their unit cell dimensions being [$a \times 2a \times$
6
7 $2(a \cdot n + x)$], where $a \sim 6.3 \text{ \AA}$ is the lattice parameter of the idealized cubic 3D perovskite and $x \sim$
8
9 3.1 \AA is the interlayer spacing (Table 2). The odd n -members ($n = 1, n = 3$) adopt the
10
11 centrosymmetric *Imma* space group, whereas the even n -member ($n = 2$) adopts the polar
12
13 noncentrosymmetric *Bmm2* space group. The difference in odd and even members of
14
15 homologous 2D perovskites series is expected as it arises from the changes in the
16
17 crystallographic symmetry element that bisects the perovskite layers themselves along the *ab*-
18
19 plane. For even n -members a mirror plane is present whereas for odd n -numbers this is replaced
20
21 by a glide plane.⁵³ As a consequence of this trend all even-numbered halide 2D perovskites that
22
23 bear MA as the “perovskitizer” cation tend to be noncentrosymmetric due to the incompatibility
24
25 of the CH_3NH_3^+ cation of sitting on a mirror plane.^{6, 54} Even though this assignment is
26
27 ambiguous because of the dynamic disorder present in most of the halide perovskites,⁵⁵ strictly
28
29 crystallographic criteria suggest the noncentrosymmetric structure to be the most favorable
30
31 one.⁵⁶⁻⁵⁷

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 The crystal structures of the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ACI perovskites are unique among 2D
40
41 perovskites because they are characterized by a very unusual ordering of the GA and MA cations
42
43 which act as spacers between the perovskite layers. The GA/MA ordering leads to a doubling of
44
45 the unit cell along the *b*-axis relative to the ideal undistorted cell, which coincides with the
46
47 preferred growth direction of the crystals which adopt a needle-shaped morphology. The doubled
48
49 *b*-axis hosts two individual $(\text{PbI}_6)^{4-}$ units, whereas the GA and MA cations form individual stacks
50
51 along the crystallographic *a*-axis to engulf a single $(\text{PbI}_6)^{4-}$ unit. In order to accommodate the
52
53 ordering of the spacers, the perovskite layers deviate from their idealized tetragonal symmetry,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 undergoing a strong octahedral tilting along the cation ordering axis (*b*-axis). Each layer
4 compresses to engulf the compact MA spacer and expands to allow the incorporation of the
5 bulky GA cation at the layer edges, forming rhombic and hexagonal channels, respectively in the
6 process, Figure 3. The other two dimensions of the perovskite, along the *a* and *c* axes, remain
7 relatively undistorted as there is no mismatch in the crystal packing of the organic cations. The
8 MA cations that reside within the cuboctahedral perovskite cavities inside the layer adopt their
9 usual orientation, by aligning along the crystallographic *c*-axis in a very similar arrangement to
10 that observed in the 3D perovskite MAPbI₃.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 In order to better highlight the special features of the ACI perovskites it is important to
23 compare them with the common structure archetypes. The general distinctive features of the DJ
24 perovskites over the RP perovskites are: i) the number of cations spanning the layers is reduced
25 to one in DJ perovskites vs. two in the RP perovskites; ii) the change in the relative arrangement
26 of two adjacent layers which in the case of the DJ perovskites involves a shift by half a unit cell
27 in one direction ($\frac{1}{2}, 0$) or none at all (0, 0), but in RP perovskites involves a universal display of
28 a half unit cell shift in both *a* and *b* crystallographic axes ($\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$).⁵⁸ In oxides, the crystal structure
29 of DJ perovskites is strongly dependent on the size of the spacer cation and hinges between the
30 two archetypes; the (0,0) modification (*P4/mmm* archetype) is obtained for large cations (Rb, Cs)
31 which favor the occupation of the 8-coordinate sites between the layers, locking the layers on top
32 of one another. Small cations (Li, Na) on the other hand, adopt lower coordination numbers
33 resulting in the sliding of adjacent layer to the ($\frac{1}{2}, 0$) configuration (*I4/mmm* archetype).⁵⁹ All
34 members of the ACI (GA)(MA)_nPb_nI_{3n+1} homology adopt the latter ($\frac{1}{2}, 0$) type of the DJ-like
35 perovskite structure albeit in a lower symmetry modification which corresponds to the
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 “amphoteric” type of oxide DJ perovskites as obtained when 6-coordinated K is used as a spacer
4
5 and lies between the two archetypes.^{29, 59}
6
7

8 The fact that the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ compounds adopt the offset layer structure is
9
10 somewhat surprising given that both GA and MA are significantly larger than Cs.⁹ An
11
12 explanation to this may lie in the fact that the term “coordination” does not strictly apply to the
13
14 organic cations and trying to compensate for this by means of H-bonding fails since the closest
15
16 N···I distances are at $\sim 3.6 \text{ \AA}$ for both GA and MA implying only very weak hydrogen bonding.⁶⁰
17
18 It is perhaps more sensible to assess this effect based on the shape of the two cations which have
19
20 distinctive triangular (GA) and rod (MA) shapes and due to this “steric hindrance” the perovskite
21
22 layers offset to accommodate both cations between the two layers in a close-packed arrangement.
23
24 Note that this DJ-like structural rearrangement observed in the ACI halide perovskites is
25
26 necessary in order to maintain charge balance, since there is no conceivable charge redistribution
27
28 between the M^{2+} metal and the A^+ cation to satisfy the genuine DJ structure expressed by the
29
30 hypothetical “ $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ” formula. By contrast, transition metal oxide DJ perovskites
31
32 can maintain charge equilibrium and even interconvert to the RP structure simply by changing
33
34 the oxidation state of the constituent M^{x+} metal (typically $x = 4-5$) to compensate for the loss of
35
36 the A^+ cation.³⁴ The close-packing of the GA and MA cations can be seen when the arbitrary
37
38 “coordination” polyhedra around the GA (cutoff distance 4.8 \AA) and MA (cutoff distance 4.2 \AA)
39
40 cation are drawn, centered on the carbon atom of each cation, Figure 3. Both cations are 8-
41
42 coordinate with MA lying in the center of a compressed square prism and GA in the center of an
43
44 expanded gyrobifastigium. The parallelogram equatorial planes of the two polyhedra reflect
45
46 clearly the distortion of the perovskite lattice to accommodate the two cations. The equatorial
47
48 plane of both cations is defined by two parallel vertices that coincide with the crystallographic
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 axes along the a -direction and another two along the b -direction. Along a -axis the vertices
4 coincide with unit cell parameter (~ 6.3 Å), whereas along the b -axis the vertices deviate with the
5 one around GA expanding to ~ 7.3 Å whereas the one around MA gets compressed to ~ 5.2 Å. The
6 geometrical “sum” of these two octahedra has proper dimensions to allow for the doubling of the
7 perovskite layer along the b -axis (~ 12.5 Å) and it is probably responsible for the offset of the
8 adjacent layers.
9

10
11 Because of their specific characteristics, the crystal structures of the ACI perovskites are
12 distinctively different from the corresponding RP perovskites. Using the RP $(\text{BA})_2(\text{MA})_n$ -
13 $1\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ series as a reference example,⁶ the most distinctive differences can be summarized as
14 follows: i) RP perovskites exhibit a significant distortion in both a and b crystallographic
15 directions owing to the distortive nature of the bulky BA spacers which exert a strong disruptive
16 force at the perovskite edges. In ACI perovskites, however, the combination of the MA/GA
17 cations which pack tightly between the layers causes the distortion to be minimized in only one
18 direction. Using the crystallographic nomenclature (Glazer⁶¹ in parenthesis or Aleksandrov⁵³ in
19 square brackets), the individual layers in the BA series is characterized by a $(\bar{a}^0 a^0)$ [$\Phi 0 \Phi$]
20 tilting mode whereas the GA/MA series by a $(a^+ a^0 a^0)$ [$\Psi 0 0$] mode. Remarkably, none of these
21 two series has any significant distortion along the stacking direction, unlike the corresponding
22 PEA series (PEA is phenylethylammonium)^{8, 62} which shows a tilting preference along the
23 stacking axis adopting a $(a^0 a^0 a^+)$ [$0 0 \Psi$] tilting mode. ii) As a result of the more tidy packing of
24 the cations, ACI perovskites are more symmetric as reflected in the shape of the unit cell [$a \times 2a$
25 $\times 2(a_n+x)$] which is closer to the α -phase of the 3D perovskite as opposed to the RP perovskites
26 [$\sqrt{a} \times \sqrt{a} \times 2(a_n+x)$]. The latter are better described as a derivative of the β -MAPbI₃ phase at
27 room temperature.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Optical Properties. It is very interesting to investigate in the new structure types in 2D halide perovskites how these small structural changes affect the electronic structure of the material *vis-à-vis* the RP phases and consequently their photophysical properties. Figures 4a-c shows the absorption spectra of the (GA)(MA)_nPb_nI_{3n+1} perovskites (n = 1-3). The spectra of all three compounds exhibit a progressive decrease in the bandgap starting from $E_g = 2.27$ eV for n = 1 to $E_g = 1.99$ eV for n = 2 and $E_g = 1.73$ eV for n = 3. The bandgap value is estimated by extrapolating the high-energy edge to the energy axis, since the presence of excitonic peaks in all spectra precludes the observation of a clear band edge. Similar to the RP perovskites, the intensity of the excitonic peaks also decreases with increasing n in ACI perovskites as a consequence of the decrease in the dielectric confinement.⁶ However, the absorption edge and the exciton peak position is red shifted in ACI perovskites when compared to the RP perovskites. This is also reflected in the color of the (GA)(MA)_nPb_nI_{3n+1} compounds which changes from red (n = 1) to dark red (n = 2) to black (n = 3), whereas the more established BA-based RP series display a corresponding color change from orange (n = 1) to red (n = 2) to dark red (n = 3), Figures 4a-c.

A plausible, but not conclusive, explanation for this behavior is the symmetry (degree of distortion) in the corresponding perovskites.⁶³ As mentioned above, the ACI perovskites are distorted only along one dimension (the GA/MA ordering axis), whereas the RP perovskites are distorted along both directions in the *ab*-plane. The higher symmetry of the ACI perovskites is clearly reflected in the corresponding Pb-I-Pb angles which show the tilting along the *a*-axis to be marginal (176-180°), along the *b*-axis large (~160°) and intermediate along the stacking *c*-axis (165-167°). The trend is markedly different from the RP perovskites which see a more uniform deformation with the corresponding Pb-I-Pb angles ranging between 165-170° for both the *ac*-

1
2
3 plane and the stacking b -axis.⁶ This symmetry argument, stating that the less distorted crystal
4 structure will have the narrowest bandgap that applies to the 3D halide perovskites,¹⁰ likely
5 applies to the 2D perovskites as well, with the ACI and RP perovskites being the primary
6 examples. In order to better illustrate the bandgap reduction effect, the absorption edges of the n
7 = 1 members of the ACI, RP and $\langle 110 \rangle$ -sliced perovskites are plotted in Figure 4d. The observed
8 trend reinforces the symmetry argument since it shows that the most distorted structure of the
9 $\langle 110 \rangle$ -sliced perovskite GA_2PbI_4 ($P2_1/n$, $E_g = 2.49$ eV)⁴⁰ has by far the widest bandgap. This is
10 due to the larger distortion and the dihedral angle dependence introduced by the $\langle 110 \rangle$ cleavage
11 of the perovskite sheets.¹¹ This can be compared to the regular absorption of the less distorted
12 RP perovskite BA_2PbI_4 at $E_g = 2.35$ eV⁶ and the GAMAPbI_4 ACI perovskite at $E_g = 2.27$ eV.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 The reason that the symmetry argument discussed above may not hold in full in the 2D
28 perovskites is that other factors such as the contribution of spacer cations to the dielectric
29 confinement or their close proximity of the perovskite layers can be significant. As first pointed
30 out by Ishihara,⁶⁴ the dielectric constant of the spacer can dramatically influence the excitons in
31 in 2D perovskites pinpointing the effect of conjugated organic molecules to the binding energy of
32 the confined exciton. The photo-physical puzzle of the 2D materials becomes even more
33 complex if one considers that the precise determination of the band edge continuum relative to
34 the exciton energy level may be challenging,^{3, 65} and that the mechanism of the exciton
35 expression also shows a strong temperature dependence.⁶⁶ Nevertheless, on first approximation,
36 the excitonic emission as this gets expressed using photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy does
37 not seem to be affected by these considerations, Figure 5.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 The PL spectra of the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ACI perovskite family (Figure 5) follows a
54 similar trend as the absorption spectra, with the PL emission wavelength increasing with
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 increasing n. The red shift in absorption observed for the ACI perovskites, relative to the RP
4 perovskites, holds firmly in the PL spectra as well. The marked difference between the spectra of
5
6 the two 2D families is that the ACI perovskites show significantly reduced PL emission intensity
7
8 relative to the RP series under comparable excitation conditions suggesting that the effect of the
9
10 dielectric environment due to the conjugated nature of the GA cations or the close proximity
11
12 between the perovskite layers has a significant effect. Although the present work is not an
13
14 exhaustive study of the optical properties of the new ACI perovskites, it is already obvious that
15
16 the properties of all 2D halide perovskites are significantly influenced by subtle structural
17
18 changes and thus offer a wide field for further investigations.
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Band structure.** The electronic band structure of the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ perovskites ($n = 1-3$)
25 was determined by means of Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations. The calculations
26
27 indicate that both the $n = 1$ and $n = 3$ members are direct bandgap semiconductors at the R point
28
29 of the Brillouin zone whereas the $n = 2$ member has a slightly indirect bandgap at the S point,
30
31 Figure 6a. The $n = 2$ member shows a slight deviation from the typical direct bandgap behavior,
32
33 observed in halide perovskites because of strong Rashba splitting caused by the
34
35 noncentrosymmetric nature of its structure (Figure S1). The calculated bandgaps are
36
37 underestimated within their typical margins at this level of theory but they nicely agree with the
38
39 experimental trends for the ACI $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ perovskites of $E_g = 1.12$ eV ($n = 1$), $E_g =$
40
41 0.75 eV ($n = 2$) and $E_g = 0.12$ eV ($n = 3$). Noteworthy, at the same level of calculation, the
42
43 corresponding bandgaps for the RP $(\text{BA})_2(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ perovskites amount to $E_g = 1.17$ eV (n
44
45 $= 1$), $E_g = 0.74$ eV ($n = 2$) and $E_g = 0.49$ eV ($n = 3$) again predicting the experimental trend (with
46
47 the exception of $n=2$).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A remarkable feature observed in the ACI perovskites comes from the fact that they
4 show a small but significant dispersion along the perovskite layer stacking direction (Figure 6a
5 and Table S7; along W-T for $n = 1$ and Y- Γ for $n = 2$), suggesting that the structures of the ACI
6 perovskites have a weak but noticeable 3D character. The effect is greater at the valence band
7 maximum (VBM) than at conduction band minimum (CBM; Table S7) and is likely due to the
8 short spacing between the layers (~ 3.1 Å) that is comparable with the Pb-I bonding within the
9 perovskite layers (~ 3.2 Å). In fact, the short spacing allows for additional anti-bonding
10 interaction between iodine p-orbitals (Figure 6b), leading to a greater destabilization of the VBM
11 at the edge of the Brillouin zone. Interestingly, the bands stop being dispersive between the
12 layers for $n = 3$ (< 1 meV) resulting in the typical 2D perovskite picture which has nearly flat
13 bands along the layer stacking direction (e.g. < 1 meV for the $n = 1-3$ RP $(\text{BA})_2(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$).
14 However, the reasons for the almost vanishing dispersion are different in the two cases. In the
15 typical 2D RP perovskites the interlayer distance is large leading to a vanishing electronic
16 coupling along the stacking direction. In addition, for the $n = 3$ ACI perovskite, the VBM
17 becomes localized on the middle perovskite layer with no weight on the iodides pointing towards
18 the spacing layer (Figure 6b). This localization, in turn, leads to the absence of inter-perovskite
19 layer electronic coupling. Interestingly, the CBM for the $n = 3$ localizes on the two outer
20 perovskite layers. By contrast, in the $n = 1$ perovskite both VBM and CBM reside on the same
21 unique perovskite layer not allowing the charges to be efficiently separated. The localized
22 density of states for the $n = 2$ ACI perovskite evidences a distinctive feature related to its
23 noncentrosymmetric $Bmm2$ space group and the VBM and CBM are forced to localize on each
24 individual layer finding themselves in a state of “charge-frustration”.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In order to assess the effect of the spacer dielectric constant on the dielectric confinement
4 of the ACI perovskites, we calculated the dielectric constant of the $(GA)(MA)_nPb_nI_{3n+1}$
5 perovskites ($n = 1$ and 3) of this study to compare to those of the RP $(BA)_2(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1}$
6 perovskites ($n = 1$ and 3 , Figure S2).⁶⁷⁻⁶⁸ We avoid the inclusion of the $n = 2$ members because
7 the permanent dipole related to the lack of inversion symmetry mentioned above bias the
8 calculation. The calculated dielectric profiles indicate that the dielectric contrast difference
9 between the inorganic and the spacer layers is significantly reduced for the ACI perovskites by a
10 factor of 2 compared to those obtained for the RP perovskites. Using a previously proposed
11 composite approach,⁶⁹ we demonstrate that the higher dielectric constant in the spacer layer in
12 ACI perovskites can be attributed to the leakage of electron density from the perovskite layers
13 due to the shorter interlayer spacing, as the contribution of the more polarizable GA/MA pairs is
14 only marginally larger than that of the $(BA)_2$ bilayers (Figure 2d). The reduction of the dielectric
15 confinement effect is expected to reduce the exciton binding energy. This trend holds universally
16 throughout the two perovskite families in which the dielectric constants of the materials increase
17 progressively to reach the maximum value of the dielectric constants found in the $n = \infty$ member
18 ($MAPbI_3$).

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 **Thin film fabrication and morphology.** For solar cell applications, we focused on the
42 compound with the smallest bandgap, $GAMA_3Pb_3I_{10}$ ($n = 3$). First, thin films of this compound
43 were prepared from 10:1 DMF:DMSO solutions. The synthesized compounds readily dissolve in
44 such solvents, yielding a clear bright yellow solution. It was found that a typical post-annealing
45 method yields a very rough, dull-colored film with a root-mean-square (rms) roughness of 37
46 nm, Figure 7a. The pinholes found in this type of film are unfavorable for planar solar cell device
47 architectures, creating undesired contacts between the two electrodes that results in poor device
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 performance.⁷⁰ To improve the film quality, we applied the previously reported solvent-
4 engineering method where anti-solvent, specifically chlorobenzene, is dripped during the
5 spinning of the substrate to expedite the solvent removal process and subsequently promotes
6 faster perovskite crystallization.⁷¹⁻⁷² This method enables precise control of the perovskite
7 crystallization rate by changing the delay time before the commencement of the spin-casting
8 process and the anti-solvent dropping.
9

10
11 Here we investigated the optimal crystallization rate for $\text{GAMA}_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ by varying the
12 delay time between 3 s and 50 s. The obtained films exhibit a desirable compact morphology
13 with nm-scale crystallites, a dramatic improvement from the films without the solvent treatment.
14 We find that 20-30 s delay times yield the smoothest, most compact films. Upon the introduction
15 of anti-solvent drip, the film surface rms roughness decreases markedly down to 10 nm for 10-20
16 s delay times, beyond which it begins to increase again and eventually reaches 35 nm at 50 s,
17 Figure 7b. The PXRD of these films are shown in Figure 7c. All the films were polycrystalline,
18 consistent with the Bragg diffraction rings in the 2D GIWAXS data (Figure S3). Unlike RP
19 perovskites,^{2, 4, 8} the present films from ACI perovskites do not orient vertically, presumably due
20 to the shorter spacing between the perovskite layers provided by GA in comparison to BA
21 cations.
22

23
24 Even though the perovskite slab orientation on the substrate is still suboptimal, we
25 fabricated solar cells with high efficiency. We constructed planar device structures sandwiched
26 between a PEDOT:PSS hole transport layer and a PCBM electron transport layer, Figure 8a,
27 since the desirable vertical growth of the layered perovskites is more favorable on flat substrates
28 for efficient charge transport.² The perovskite layer was deposited using the fast-crystallization
29 method with anti-solvent dripping, as described above. Following the trend shown in the AFM
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 images of the films, Figure 6a, which show the rms roughness of the films steadily decreasing
4
5 down to ~20s anti-solvent dripping delay time and then increasing again after the 30s delay
6
7
8 mark, we fabricated devices at the same dripping delay time intervals to study the effect of film
9
10 morphology on the device performance. Here the delay time is defined as the time between the
11
12 commencement of the spin-casting process and the dripping of the anti-solvent while the
13
14 substrate is spinning. The devices without the solvent treatment were not functional because of
15
16 the high roughness and protruding features on the rough perovskite film which create shorting
17
18 pathways. We observed a dramatic change in device parameters depending on when the anti-
19
20 solvent is dropped. As expected, there is a distinct correlation between the device performance
21
22 and the perovskite film smoothness and compactness. At very short delay times, low
23
24 photocurrents and low V_{oc} are observed, which improve upon increasing the anti-solvent delay
25
26 time, Figure 8.
27
28
29
30

31
32 Remarkably, a significant improvement in the overall performance is observed at 10-20
33
34 sec delay due to the formation of the compact, smooth film morphology. In particular, at 20 s
35
36 delay time, a high V_{oc} of ~1V, high J_{sc} of 9.84 mA/cm², and an impressively high FF of 79.68%
37
38 are obtained. The high V_{oc} illustrates an excellent interface between the perovskite layer and the
39
40 charge extraction layers. Intriguingly, while 2D perovskites typically suffer from low FF due to
41
42 the relatively higher charge recombination rate than their 3D counterparts, here we obtain a
43
44 superior FF, which we attribute to the high-quality film morphology with full surface coverage
45
46 and minimal pinholes. These qualities are in good agreement with previous studies on MAPbI₃
47
48 where GA was used as an additive.⁷³ The main difference between the devices fabricated from
49
50 the bulk materials as described here vs. devices made by mixing the constituent salts as described
51
52 by Marco et al.⁷³ can be seen from the optical absorption spectra and the PXRD patterns of the
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 films (Figures S3 and S4). Our data clearly identify the active solar absorber to be the title 2D
4
5 compound, whereas the additive approach yields MAPbI₃ as the active absorber.⁷³ Our results
6
7 suggest that the ACI perovskites are very promising in giving a competitive device efficiency
8
9 even without the benefits of preferred orientation,^{2,4} which is an obvious path towards improving
10
11 device performance in the future.
12
13

14
15 The present solar cells also show high reproducibility with an average PCE from 10
16
17 devices $6.69 \pm 0.47\%$. For longer anti-solvent delay times, the device performance begins to
18
19 decrease, as evidenced by large drops in V_{oc} and FF on going from 20 sec to 50 sec (Table 4).
20
21 This may be caused by the increased surface inhomogeneity and roughness as crystallites begin
22
23 to aggregate and form nanostructures compromising the compactness of the films. It is, however,
24
25 interesting that the photocurrent did not change significantly for longer delay times, likely
26
27 because the intrinsic film crystallinity remains unaltered regardless of the delay time.
28
29
30
31

32 To ensure fabrication of high efficiency solar cells, it is essential to optimize the light
33
34 absorber thickness, since the light harvesting ability and carrier diffusion length must be
35
36 balanced for optimum solar cell efficiency. Thus, we conducted a systematic study of device
37
38 efficiency as a function of precursor concentration, which in turn determines the perovskite
39
40 thickness and find an optimized condition at 30 mg in 110 μ L solvent mixture (Figure S3).
41
42 Compared to BA-based $n = 3$ cells, the photocurrent generated in this GA-based $n = 3$ devices is
43
44 comparatively low, likely due to non-optimally oriented nature of the 2D GAMA₃Pb₃I₁₀. Work is
45
46 in progress to further engineer the vertical orientation of the microstructure to further improve
47
48 device performance.
49
50
51
52

53 CONCLUSIONS

54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A new family of 2D halide perovskites of the formula $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ can be
4 created which contains alternating cations in the interlayer space (ACI), by employing
5 guanidinium cations as spacers. The red crystals of $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)\text{PbI}_4$ ($n = 1$) have an
6 optical gap of 2.27 eV; dark red crystals of $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_2\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$ ($n = 2$) have a 1.99 eV
7 optical gap, and black crystals of $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ ($n = 3$) have a bandgap of 1.73 eV.
8 These materials have narrower bandgaps in comparison to typical RP perovskites, exhibiting a
9 clear red shift in their optical gaps by 80, 90 and 230 meV, respectively for the corresponding $n =$
10 1-3 members. We attribute the reduced bandgap of the ACI perovskites to their less distorted
11 crystal structure, behaving in an analogous manner to the 3D halide perovskites as the A-cation
12 changes from Cs to FA. Theoretical calculations successfully confirm this trend providing further
13 insights in the dielectric confinement of these QW electronic systems, and suggesting a small
14 exciton binding energy, which is beneficial for charge-transport related applications. Our initial
15 attempts to employ these compounds as photo-absorbers in planar solar cells for the higher
16 member of the ACI perovskites, $\text{GAMA}_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ with the smallest bandgap within the present
17 series, have yielded solar cell devices with a maximum $\eta = 7.26\%$ power conversion efficiency
18 due to an impressive FF of $\sim 80\%$. The superior optoelectronic properties of the 2D ACI
19 perovskites suggest that this new class of compounds can advance photovoltaic research on 2D
20 halide perovskites, offering the possibility of breaching the 15% efficiency threshold.
21 Previously, we reported that the photo-physics of thin Ruddlesden-Popper perovskites films with
22 $n > 2$ are dominated by lower energy states associated with the local intrinsic electronic structure
23 of the edges of the perovskite layers. These states appear to provide a direct pathway for
24 dissociating excitons into longer-lived free-carriers that significantly enhance optoelectronic
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 device performance. The question arises as to whether the ACI perovskites also exhibit similar
4
5 edge states and will be the subject of future investigations.
6
7

8 **Supporting Information**

9
10 Additional DFT plots for $\text{GAMA}_2\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$ highlighting the noncentrosymmetric features (Rashba
11
12 splitting) of the compound, dielectric constant calculations, and PXRD and GIWAXS patterns of
13
14 $\text{GAMA}_2\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$ and $\text{GAMA}_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ are provided in the Supporting Information. Detailed
15
16 crystallographic tables and crystallographic data in .cif format can be found as supplementary
17
18 materials. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Corresponding Author**

25
26 *m-kanatzidis@northwestern.edu
27

28 **Author Contributions**

29
30
31 #These authors contributed equally to this work.
32

33 **Notes**

34
35
36 The authors declare no competing financial interest.
37
38

39 **Acknowledgements**

40
41 Work on the synthesis and characterization of the materials was supported by the U.S.
42
43 Department of Energy, Office of Science (Grant No. SC0012541). Work on the solar cells
44
45 fabrication and evaluation was supported as part of the ANSER Center, an Energy Frontier
46
47 Research Center funded by the US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic
48
49 Energy Sciences (award no. DE-SC0001059). Work at Los Alamos National Laboratory
50
51 (LANL) was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research & Development program. This
52
53 work was performed in part at the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, an Office of Science
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 User Facility operated for the US DOE Office of Science. LANL, an affirmative-action equal
4
5 opportunity employer, is operated by Los Alamos National Security for the National Nuclear
6
7 Security Administration of the US DOE under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396. C.K. and B.T.
8
9 acknowledge high-performance computing resources from Grand Equipment National de Calcul
10
11 Intensif (CINES/IDRIS, grant 2016-[x2016097682]). DFT calculations were performed at the
12
13 Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, which received funding from the European Union's
14
15 Horizon 2020 Programme for Research and Innovation under grant 687008. This work made use
16
17 of the SPID (confocal microscopy) and EPIC (scanning electron microscopy) facilities of
18
19 Northwestern University's *NUANCE* Center, which has received support from the Soft and
20
21 Hybrid Nanotechnology Experimental Resource (NSF ECCS-1542205), the Materials Research
22
23 Science and Engineering Centers (NSF DMR-1121262), the International Institute for
24
25 Nanotechnology (IIN), the Keck Foundation, and the State of Illinois through the IIN. Use of the
26
27 Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by the Basic Energy
28
29 Sciences program of the US DOE Office of Science under contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (1) Stoumpos, C. C.; Soe, C. M. M.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Cao, D. H.; Liu, F.; Traoré, B.; Katan, C.; Even, J.; Mohite, A. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., High Members of the 2D Ruddlesden-Popper Halide Perovskites: Synthesis, Optical Properties, and Solar Cells of $(\text{CH}_3(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Pb}_5\text{I}_{16}$. *Chem* **2017**, *2*, 427-440.
- (2) Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Asadpour, R.; Harutyunyan, B.; Neukirch, A. J.; Verduzco, R.; Crochet, J. J.; Tretiak, S.; Pedesseau, L.; Even, J.; Alam, M. A.; Gupta, G.; Lou, J.; Ajayan, P. M.; Bedzyk, M. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Mohite, A. D., High-efficiency two-dimensional Ruddlesden-Popper perovskite solar cells. *Nature* **2016**, *536*, 312-316.
- (3) Blancon, J.-C.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Pedesseau, L.; Katan, C.; Kepenekian, M.; Soe, C. M. M.; Appavoo, K.; Sfeir, M. Y.; Tretiak, S.; Ajayan, P. M.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Even, J.; Crochet, J. J.; Mohite, A. D., Extremely efficient internal exciton dissociation through edge states in layered 2D perovskites. *Science* **2017**, *355*, 1288-1292.
- (4) Cao, D. H.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T.; Kanatzidis, M. G., 2D Homologous Perovskites as Light-Absorbing Materials for Solar Cell Applications. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 7843-50.
- (5) Mao, L.; Tsai, H.; Nie, W.; Ma, L.; Im, J.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Malliakas, C. D.; Hao, F.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Mohite, A. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Role of Organic Counterion in Lead- and Tin-based Two-dimensional Semiconducting Iodide Perovskites and Application in Planar Solar Cells. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 7781-7792.
- (6) Stoumpos, C. C.; Cao, D. H.; Clark, D. J.; Young, J.; Rondinelli, J. M.; Jang, J. I.; Hupp, J. T.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Ruddlesden-Popper Hybrid Lead Iodide Perovskite 2D Homologous Semiconductors. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 2852-2867.
- (7) Mao, L.; Wu, Y.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Kanatzidis, M. G., White-light Emission and Structural Distortion in New Corrugated 2D Lead Bromide Perovskites. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 5210-5215.
- (8) Smith, I. C.; Hoke, E. T.; Solis-Ibarra, D.; McGehee, M. D.; Karunadasa, H. I., A Layered Hybrid Perovskite Solar-Cell Absorber with Enhanced Moisture Stability. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11232-11235.
- (9) Kieslich, G.; Sun, S.; Cheetham, A. K., Solid-state principles applied to organic-inorganic perovskites: new tricks for an old dog. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 4712-4715.
- (10) Stoumpos, C. C.; Kanatzidis, M. G., The Renaissance of Halide Perovskites and Their Evolution as Emerging Semiconductors. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2791-802.
- (11) Stoumpos, C. C.; Mao, L.; Malliakas, C. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Structure-Band Gap Relationships in Hexagonal Polytypes and Low-Dimensional Structures of Hybrid Tin Iodide Perovskites. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 56-73.
- (12) Papavassiliou, G. C.; Koutselas, I. B., Structural, optical and related properties of some natural three- and lower-dimensional semiconductor systems. *Synth. Met.* **1995**, *71*, 1713-1714.
- (13) Sourisseau, S.; Louvain, N.; Bi, W.; Mercier, N.; Rondeau, D.; Boucher, F.; Buzaré, J.-Y.; Legein, C., Reduced Band Gap Hybrid Perovskites Resulting from Combined Hydrogen and Halogen Bonding at the Organic-Inorganic Interface. *Chem. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 600-607.
- (14) Billing, D. G.; Lemmerer, A., Inorganic-organic hybrid materials incorporating primary cyclic ammonium cations: The lead iodide series. *CrystEngComm* **2007**, *9*, 236-244.
- (15) Mitzi, D. B., Organic-Inorganic Perovskites Containing Trivalent Metal Halide Layers: The Templating Influence of the Organic Cation Layer. *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39*, 6107-6113.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (16) Wang, S.; Mitzi, D. B.; Feild, C. A.; Guloy, A., Synthesis and Characterization of $[\text{NH}_2\text{C}(\text{I})\text{NH}_2]_3\text{MI}_5$ ($\text{M} = \text{Sn}, \text{Pb}$): Stereochemical Activity in Divalent Tin and Lead Halides Containing Single $\langle 110 \rangle$ Perovskite Sheets. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5297-5302.
- (17) A. Mousdis, G.; Gionis, V.; C. Papavassiliou, G.; P. Raptopoulou, C.; Terzis, A., Preparation, structure and optical properties of $[\text{CH}_3\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)_2]_3\text{PbI}_5$, $[\text{CH}_3\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)_2]_4\text{Pb}_2\text{Br}_8$ and $[\text{CH}_3\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)_2]_3\text{PbCl}_5 \cdot \text{CH}_3\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)_2\text{Cl}$. *J. Mater. Chem.* **1998**, *8*, 2259-2262.
- (18) Zimmermann, I.; Keene, T. D.; Hauser, J.; Decurtins, S.; Liu, S.-X., Crystal structures of isotypic poly[bis(benzimidazolium) [tetra-[mu]-iodido-stannate(II)]] and poly[bis(5,6-difluorobenzimidazolium) [tetra-[mu]-iodido-stannate(II)]]]. *Acta Crystallogr. E* **2014**, *70*, 178-182.
- (19) Apostolico, L.; Kociok-Kohn, G.; Molloy, K. C.; Blackman, C. S.; Carmalt, C. J.; Parkin, I. P., The reaction of tin(IV) iodide with phosphines: formation of new halotin anions. *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 10486-10494.
- (20) Ruddlesden, S. N.; Popper, P., The compound $\text{Sr}_3\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ and its structure. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1958**, *11*, 54-55.
- (21) Ruddlesden, S. N.; Popper, P., New compounds of the K_2NiF_4 type. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1957**, *10*, 538-539.
- (22) Dion, M.; Ganne, M.; Tournoux, M., Nouvelles familles de phases $\text{M}(\text{I})\text{M}(\text{II})_2\text{Nb}_3\text{O}_{10}$ a feuillet "perovskites". *Mater. Res. Bull.* **1981**, *16*, 1429-1435.
- (23) Jacobson, A. J.; Johnson, J. W.; Lewandowski, J. T., Interlayer chemistry between thick transition-metal oxide layers: synthesis and intercalation reactions of $\text{K}[\text{Ca}_2\text{Na}_{n-3}\text{Nb}_n\text{O}_{3n+1}]$ ($3 \leq n \leq 7$). *Inorg. Chem.* **1985**, *24*, 3727-3729.
- (24) Aurivillius, B., Mixed bismuth oxides with layer lattices. The structure type of $\text{CaNb}_2\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_9$. *Arkiv for Kemi* **1949**, *1*, 463-480.
- (25) Aurivillius, B., Mixed bismuth oxides with layer lattices. Structure of $\text{Bi}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{12}$. *Arkiv for Kemi* **1949**, *1*, 499-512.
- (26) Aurivillius, B., Mixed bismuth oxides with layer lattices. Structure of $\text{BaBi}_4\text{Ti}_4\text{O}_{15}$. *Arkiv for Kemi* **1950**, *2*, 519-527.
- (27) Hervoche, C. H.; Lightfoot, P., A Variable-Temperature Powder Neutron Diffraction Study of Ferroelectric $\text{Bi}_4\text{Ti}_3\text{O}_{12}$. *Chem. Mater.* **1999**, *11*, 3359-3364.
- (28) Kendall, K. R.; Navas, C.; Thomas, J. K.; zur Loye, H.-C., Recent Developments in Oxide Ion Conductors: Aurivillius Phases. *Chem. Mater.* **1996**, *8*, 642-649.
- (29) Sato, M.; Abo, J.; Jin, T.; Ohta, M., Structure determination of KLaNb_2O_7 exhibiting ion exchange ability by X-ray powder diffraction. *Solid State Ion.* **1992**, *51*, 85-89.
- (30) Kumada, N.; Kinomura, N.; Sleight, A. W., $\text{CsLaNb}_2\text{O}_7$. *Acta Crystallogr. C* **1996**, *52*, 1063-1065.
- (31) Strayer, M. E.; Gupta, A. S.; Akamatsu, H.; Lei, S.; Benedek, N. A.; Gopalan, V.; Mallouk, T. E., Emergent Noncentrosymmetry and Piezoelectricity Driven by Oxygen Octahedral Rotations in $n = 2$ Dion-Jacobson Phase Layer Perovskites. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2016**, *26*, 1930-1937.
- (32) Benedek, N. A.; Rondinelli, J. M.; Djani, H.; Ghosez, P.; Lightfoot, P., Understanding ferroelectricity in layered perovskites: new ideas and insights from theory and experiments. *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 10543-10558.
- (33) Benedek, N. A., Origin of Ferroelectricity in a Family of Polar Oxides: The Dion-Jacobson Phases. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 3769-3777.

- 1
2
3 (34) Schaak, R. E.; Mallouk, T. E., Perovskites by Design: A Toolbox of Solid-State
4 Reactions. *Chem. Mater.* **2002**, *14*, 1455-1471.
5
6 (35) Stoumpos, C. C.; Malliakas, C. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Semiconducting Tin and Lead
7 Iodide Perovskites with Organic Cations: Phase Transitions, High Mobilities, and Near-Infrared
8 Photoluminescent Properties. *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 9019-9038.
9
10 (36) Mitzi, D. B.; Wang, S.; Feild, C. A.; Chess, C. A.; Guloy, A. M., Conducting Layered
11 Organic-inorganic Halides Containing -Oriented Perovskite Sheets. *Science* **1995**, *267*, 1473-
12 1476.
13 (37) Grottel, M.; Szafranski, M.; Pajak, Z., NMR Study of Cation Motion in Guanidinium
14 Iodoplumbates. *Z. Naturforsch. A* **1997**, *52*, 783.
15 (38) Szafranski, M.; Katrusiak, A., Phase transitions in the layered structure of diguanidinium
16 tetraiodoplumbate. *Phys. Rev. B* **2000**, *61*, 1026-1035.
17 (39) Szafranski, M.; Stähl, K., Pressure-induced decoupling of the order-disorder and
18 displacive contributions to the phase transition in diguanidinium tetrachlorostannate. *Phys. Rev.*
19 *B* **2000**, *62*, 8787-8793.
20
21 (40) Daub, M.; Haber, C.; Hillebrecht, H., Synthesis, Crystal Structures, Optical Properties,
22 and Phase Transitions of the Layered Guanidinium-Based Hybrid Perovskites $[C(NH_2)_3]_2MI_4$; M
23 = Sn, Pb. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *2017*, 1120-1126.
24
25 (41) Nazarenko, O.; Kotyrba, M. R.; Wörle, M.; Cuervo-Reyes, E.; Yakunin, S.; Kovalenko,
26 M. V., Luminescent and Photoconductive Layered Lead Halide Perovskite Compounds
27 Comprising Mixtures of Cesium and Guanidinium Cations. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 11552-
28 11564.
29 (42) Gate, L. F., Comparison of the Photon Diffusion Model and Kubelka-Munk Equation
30 with the Exact Solution of the Radiative Transport Equation. *Appl. Opt.* **1974**, *13*, 236-238.
31 (43) Chondroudis, K.; McCarthy, T. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Chemistry in Molten Alkali Metal
32 Polyselenophosphate Fluxes. Influence of Flux Composition on Dimensionality. Layers and
33 Chains in $APbPSe_4$, $A_4Pb(PSe_4)_2$ (A = Rb, Cs), and $K_4Eu(PSe_4)_2$. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 840-
34 844.
35
36 (44) McCarthy, T. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Synthesis in Molten Alkali Metal
37 Polyselenophosphate Fluxes: A New Family of Transition Metal Selenophosphate Compounds,
38 $A_2MP_2Se_6$ (A = K, Rb, Cs; M = Mn, Fe) and $A_2M'_2P_2Se_6$ (A = K, Cs; M' = Cu, Ag). *Inorg.*
39 *Chem.* **1995**, *34*, 1257-1267.
40 (45) Petříček, V.; Dušek, M.; Palatinus, L., Crystallographic Computing System JANA2006:
41 General features. *Z. Kristallogr* **2014**, *229*, 345.
42 (46) Spek, A., Structure validation in chemical crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **2009**, *65*,
43 148-155.
44
45 (47) José, M. S.; Emilio, A.; Julian, D. G.; Alberto, G.; Javier, J.; Pablo, O.; Daniel, S.-P., The
46 SIESTA method for ab initio order- N materials simulation. *J. Phys.: Cond. Matter* **2002**, *14*,
47 2745.
48
49 (48) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M., Generalized Gradient Approximation Made
50 Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865-3868.
51 (49) Fernández-Seivane, L.; Oliveira, M. A.; Sanvito, S.; Ferrer, J., On-site approximation for
52 spin-orbit coupling in linear combination of atomic orbitals density functional methods. *J. Phys.:*
53 *Cond. Matter* **2006**, *18*, 7999.
54 (50) Troullier, N.; Martins, J. L., Efficient pseudopotentials for plane-wave calculations. *Phys.*
55 *Rev. B* **1991**, *43*, 1993-2006.
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (51) Artacho, E.; Sánchez-Portal, D.; Ordejón, P.; García, A.; Soler, J. M., Linear-Scaling ab-
4 initio Calculations for Large and Complex Systems. *Phys. Status Sol. B* **1999**, *215*, 809-817.
- 5 (52) Mitzi, D. B.; Liang, K.; Wang, S., Synthesis and Characterization of
6 $[\text{NH}_2\text{C}(\text{I})\text{NH}_2]_2\text{ASnI}_5$ with A = Iodoformamidinium or Formamidinium: The Chemistry of
7 Cyanamide and Tin(II) Iodide in Concentrated Aqueous Hydriodic Acid Solutions. *Inorg. Chem.*
8 **1998**, *37*, 321-327.
- 9 (53) Aleksandrov, K. S., Structural Phase Transitions in Layered Perovskitelike Crystals.
10 *Crystallogr. Rep.* **1995**, *40*, 279-301.
- 11 (54) Mercier, N., $(\text{HO}_2\text{C}(\text{CH}_2)_3\text{NH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$: a predicted non-centrosymmetrical
12 structure built up from carboxylic acid supramolecular synthons and bilayer perovskite sheets.
13 *CrystEngComm* **2005**, *7*, 429-432.
- 14 (55) Szafranski, M.; Katrusiak, A., Mechanism of Pressure-Induced Phase Transitions,
15 Amorphization, and Absorption-Edge Shift in Photovoltaic Methylammonium Lead Iodide. *J.*
16 *Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 3458-3466.
- 17 (56) Hamilton, W., Significance tests on the crystallographic R factor. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1965**,
18 *18*, 502-510.
- 19 (57) Rogers, D., On the application of Hamilton's ratio test to the assignment of absolute
20 configuration and an alternative test. *Acta Crystallogr. A* **1981**, *37*, 734-741.
- 21 (58) The discussion and notation are quoted from:
22 <https://www.princeton.edu/~cavalab/tutorials/public/structures/perovskites.html>. Accessed on
23 06/2017.
- 24 (59) Fukuoka, H.; Isami, T.; Yamanaka, S., Crystal Structure of a Layered Perovskite Niobate
25 $\text{KCa}_2\text{Nb}_3\text{O}_{10}$. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2000**, *151*, 40-45.
- 26 (60) Steiner, T., The Hydrogen Bond in the Solid State. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 48-
27 76.
- 28 (61) Glazer, A., The classification of tilted octahedra in perovskites. *Acta Crystallographica*
29 *Section B* **1972**, *28*, 3384-3392.
- 30 (62) Calabrese, J.; Jones, N. L.; Harlow, R. L.; Herron, N.; Thorn, D. L.; Wang, Y.,
31 Preparation and characterization of layered lead halide compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*,
32 2328-2330.
- 33 (63) Pedesseau, L.; Saponi, D.; Traore, B.; Robles, R.; Fang, H.-H.; Loi, M. A.; Tsai, H.; Nie,
34 W.; Blancon, J.-C.; Neukirch, A.; Tretiak, S.; Mohite, A. D.; Katan, C.; Even, J.; Kepenekian,
35 M., Advances and Promises of Layered Halide Hybrid Perovskite Semiconductors. *ACS Nano*
36 **2016**, *10*, 9776-9786.
- 37 (64) Hong, X.; Ishihara, T.; Nurmikko, A. V., Dielectric confinement effect on excitons in
38 PbI_4 -based layered semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *45*, 6961-6964.
- 39 (65) Ishihara, T.; Takahashi, J.; Goto, T., Optical properties due to electronic transitions in
40 two-dimensional semiconductors $(\text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n+1}\text{NH}_3)_2\text{PbI}_4$. *Phys. Rev. B* **1990**, *42*, 11099-11107.
- 41 (66) Yaffe, O.; Chernikov, A.; Norman, Z. M.; Zhong, Y.; Velauthapillai, A.; van der Zande,
42 A.; Owen, J. S.; Heinz, T. F., Excitons in ultrathin organic-inorganic perovskite crystals. *Phys.*
43 *Rev. B* **2015**, *92*, 045414.
- 44 (67) Saponi, D.; Kepenekian, M.; Pedesseau, L.; Katan, C.; Even, J., Quantum confinement
45 and dielectric profiles of colloidal nanoplatelets of halide inorganic and hybrid organic-inorganic
46 perovskites. *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8*, 6369-6378.
- 47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (68) Even, J.; Pedesseau, L.; Kepenekian, M., Electronic surface states and dielectric self-
4 energy profiles in colloidal nanoscale platelets of CdSe. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*,
5 25182-25190.
6
7 (69) Even, J.; Pedesseau, L.; Katan, C., Understanding Quantum Confinement of Charge
8 Carriers in Layered 2D Hybrid Perovskites. *ChemPhysChem* **2014**, *15*, 3733-3741.
9
10 (70) Soe, C. M. M.; Stoumpos, C. C.; Harutyunyan, B.; Manley, E. F.; Chen, L. X.; Bedzyk,
11 M. J.; Marks, T. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Room Temperature Phase Transition in Methylammonium
12 Lead Iodide Perovskite Thin Films Induced by Hydrohalic Acid Additives. *ChemSusChem* **2016**,
13 *9*, 2656-2665.
14 (71) Jeon, N. J.; Noh, J. H.; Kim, Y. C.; Yang, W. S.; Ryu, S.; Seok, S. I., Solvent engineering
15 for high-performance inorganic–organic hybrid perovskite solar cells. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 897-
16 903.
17 (72) Xiao, M.; Huang, F.; Huang, W.; Dkhissi, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Etheridge, J.; Gray-Weale, A.;
18 Bach, U.; Cheng, Y.-B.; Spiccia, L., A Fast Deposition-Crystallization Procedure for Highly
19 Efficient Lead Iodide Perovskite Thin-Film Solar Cells. *Angewandte Chemie* **2014**, *126*, 10056-
20 10061.
21 (73) Marco, N. D.; Zhou, H.; Chen, Q.; Sun, P.; Liu, Z.; Meng, L.; Yao, E.-P.; Liu, Y.;
22 Schiffer, A.; Yang, Y., Guanidinium: A Route to Enhanced Carrier Lifetime and Open-Circuit
23 Voltage in Hybrid Perovskite Solar Cells. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 1009-1016.
24 (74) Shimakawa, Y.; Kubo, Y.; Nakagawa, Y.; Goto, S.; Kamiyama, T.; Asano, H.; Izumi, F.,
25 Crystal structure and ferroelectric properties of $ABi_2Ta_2O_9$ (A=Ca, Sr, and Ba). *Phys. Rev. B*
26 **2000**, *61*, 6559-6564.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure Captions

Figure 1. (a) Representative SEM images of $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-3$) crystals showing a plate-like crystal morphology. The plates are irregular parallelepipeds growing preferentially along one dimension. The scale bars represent a $50\mu\text{m}$ length. (b) High resolution synchrotron X-ray data (11-BM, APS, $\lambda = 0.517040 \text{ \AA}$) for $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-3$). The experimental data are in excellent agreement with the theoretical patterns determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The impurity observed in the $n = 1$ perovskite that grows on the surface of the crystal was identified to arise from the $n = 2$ member and amounts to $\sim 10\%$ of the sample.

Figure 2. View of the unit cells of the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-3$) perovskites along (a) the crystallographic b - and along (b) the crystallographic a -axis highlighting the ordered crystal packing of the GA and MA cations between the perovskite layers

Figure 3. (a) Projected view of a supercell of $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ along the crystallographic a -axis emphasizing on the polyhedral voids between the perovskite layers. (b-c) The “coordination” polyhedra of the GA and MA cations. (b) GA resides in the barycenter of a gyrobifastigium and (c) MA resides in the center of a square prism cavity. (d-f) The crystal packing of the respective polyhedra. (d) Packing of the GA cations along the a -axis. (e) Packing of GA and MA along the b -axis. (f) Side view of the packing of MA cations along the a -axis.

Figure 4. (a-c) Optical absorption spectra of the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-3$) perovskites. (d) Selected absorption spectra of $n = 1$ member of the ACI perovskite $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})\text{PbI}_4$, the RP perovskite $(\text{BA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ and the (110)-cleaved perovskite $(\text{GA})_2\text{PbI}_4$ highlighting the importance of the perovskite structure-type on the optical properties of the materials.

Figure 5. (a-c) Steady-state PL spectra and (d) graphical representation of the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-3$) ACI perovskites (solid lines) and their comparison with the corresponding n -members of the $(\text{BA})_2(\text{MA})_{n-1}\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ series of RP perovskites.

Figure 6. Electronic structure of the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-3$) perovskites. (a) Electronic band structure of the $n = 1-3$ members highlighting the progressive narrowing of the bandgap and the splitting of the band extrema as the number of 2D layers increases. (b) The localized density of states around the conduction band minimum (CBM) and the valence band maximum (VBM), illustrating the propensity of the terminal 2D layers to host the CBM and the central 2D layers to stabilize the VBM.

Figure 7. (a) AFM images of solution-processed thin films of $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ as a function of delay time for anti-solvent chlorobenzene (CB) dripping. The scale bar is $2 \mu\text{m}$. (b) change in

surface roughness with increasing CB drip delay time. The size of the AFM image is 10 μm x 10 μm , and (c) PXRD of the associated films, illustrating little influence of the anti-solvent delay time on the film crystallinity and orientation.

Figure 8. (a) Planar heterojunction solar cell structure employing $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ as a light absorber. (b) J-V curves of $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ as a function of delay time for anti-solvent chlorobenzene (CB) dripping, and (c) the corresponding plots J_{sc} , V_{oc} , FF and power conversion efficiency as a function of delay time for anti-solvent dripping.

Figures





Figure 1

**Figure 2**




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8

Table 1. Structural configurations of the three types of <100> 2D perovskites.

	RP	DJ	AV	ACI
Formula	$(A'A)_{n+1}B_nX_{3n+1}$	$A'A_{n-1}B_nX_{3n+1}$	$(Bi_2O_2)A_{n-1}B_nX_{3n+1}$	$(A'A)_{n+1}B_nX_{3n+1}$
Stacking sequence	$[(AX)(ABX)_n]$	$[(A')(A_{n-1}BX)_n]$	$[(Bi_2O_2)(A_{n-1}BX)_n]$	$[(AX)(ABX)_n]$
Centering of perovskite slabs ^a	$I(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$P(0,0,0)$	$I(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	$A/B/C(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2})$
$n = 3$ exemplar	$Sr_4Ti_3O_{10}$	$KCa_2Nb_3O_{10}$	$(Bi_2O_2)SrTa_2O_9$ ^{b,c}	$(GA)(MA)_3Pb_3I_{10}$

^a in the aristotype, *ie.* ignoring octahedral tilts^b The $n = 2$ is chosen for clarity; for the $n = 3$ member see reference ²⁷^c Reference ⁷⁴

Table 2. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\text{GAMA}_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ($n = 1-3$) at 293 K.

Formula	GAMAPbI_4	$\text{GAMA}_2\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$	$\text{GAMA}_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$
FW	807.0	1426.9	2046.9
Temperature (K)	293		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2		
Crystal system	orthorhombic		
Space group	<i>Imma</i>	<i>Bmm2</i>	<i>Imma</i>
Unit cell dimensions (Å)	a = 6.4286(6) b = 12.4577(9) c = 18.8258(13)	a = 6.3729(4) b = 12.5435(8) c = 31.376(2)	a = 6.3520(7) b = 12.4378(9) c = 43.970(3)
Volume (Å ³)	1507.7(2)	2508.2(3)	3473.8(5)
Z	4	4	4
ρ_{calc} (g/cm ³)	3.5551	3.7788	3.9138
μ (mm ⁻¹)	19.349	22.017	23.395
F(000)	1392	2424	3464
Crystal size (mm ³)	0.1111 x 0.0225 x 0.0167	0.0511 x 0.179 x 0.0100	0.0733 x 0.0372 x 0.0193
θ range (°)	3.27 to 29.23	2.6 to 29.27	2.78 to 29.29
Index ranges	-8 ≤ h ≤ 8 -17 ≤ k ≤ 16 -25 ≤ l ≤ 25	-8 ≤ h ≤ 8 -17 ≤ k ≤ 17 -42 ≤ l ≤ 42	-8 ≤ h ≤ 8 -17 ≤ k ≤ 16 -57 ≤ l ≤ 60
Reflections collected	7172	11855	12119
Independent reflections	784 [$R_{\text{int}} = 0.1277$]	2595 [$R_{\text{int}} = 0.0563$]	1810 [$R_{\text{int}} = 0.1261$]
Completeness to θ	99%	99%	99%
Data / restraints / parameters	784 / 3 / 28	2595 / 9 / 82	1810 / 5 / 59
GOF	3.18	2.19	2.80
R indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	$R_{\text{obs}} = 0.0575$ $wR_{\text{obs}} = 0.1356$	$R_{\text{obs}} = 0.0555$ $wR_{\text{obs}} = 0.1099$	$R_{\text{obs}} = 0.0597$ $wR_{\text{obs}} = 0.1254$
R indices [all data]	$R_{\text{all}} = 0.0677$ $wR_{\text{all}} = 0.1361$	$R_{\text{all}} = 0.0898$ $wR_{\text{all}} = 0.1126$	$R_{\text{all}} = 0.0863$ $wR_{\text{all}} = 0.1270$
Largest diff. peak and hole (e ⁻ Å ⁻³)	1.84 and -2.31	5.69 and -1.65	3.32 and -3.20

$$R = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|, wR = \{ \sum [w(|F_o|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2] / \sum [w(F_o^4)] \}^{1/2} \text{ and } w = 1 / (\sigma^2(I) + 0.0004I^2)$$


Table 3. Bond lengths [\AA] and angles (degrees) for GAMAPbI_4 , $\text{GAMA}_2\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$, and $\text{GAMA}_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

GAMAPbI₄			
Label	Distances	Label	Angles
Pb(1)-I(1)	3.181(2)	Pb(1)-I(2)-Pb(1)'	180.0(5)
Pb(1)-I(2)	3.2143(6)	Pb(1)-I(3)-Pb(1)'	159.04(13)
Pb(1)-I(3)	3.1673(8)		
GAMA₂Pb₂I₇			
Label	Distances	Label	Angles
Pb(1)-I(1)	3.168(3)	Pb(1)-I(1)-Pb(1)'	159.8(4)
Pb(1)-I(4)	3.271(9)	Pb(2)-I(2)-Pb(2)'	159.4(5)
Pb(1)-I(5)	3.121(7)	Pb(1)-I(4)-Pb(2)	166.13(14)
Pb(1)-I(6)	3.1910(6)	Pb(1)-I(6)-Pb(1)'	173.9(3)
Pb(1)-I(8)	3.181(3)	Pb(1)-I(8)-Pb(1)'	164.9(5)
Pb(2)-I(2)	3.205(4)	Pb(2)-I(9)-Pb(2)'	165.1(4)
Pb(2)-I(3)	3.097(7)		
Pb(2)-I(4)	3.206(9)		
Pb(2)-I(7)	3.1865(4)		
Pb(2)-I(9)	3.145(3)		
GAMA₃Pb₃I₁₀			
Label	Distances	Label	Angles
Pb(1)-I(5)	3.154(3)	Pb(2)-I(4)-Pb(2)'	162.70(14)
Pb(1)-I(6)	3.1760(7)	Pb(1)-I(5)-Pb(2)	167.66(11)
Pb(1)-I(7)	3.1280(7)	Pb(1)-I(6)-Pb(1)'	180.0(5)
Pb(2)-I(1)	3.092(2)	Pb(1)-I(7)-Pb(1)'	167.50(19)
Pb(2)-I(2)	3.1663(13)		
Pb(2)-I(3)	3.1779(7)		
Pb(2)-I(4)	3.1294(13)		
Pb(2)-I(5)	3.264(3)		

Table 4. Solar cell device parameters for $\text{GAMA}_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ as a function of the delay in the anti-solvent dripping. The average PCE is obtained from at least ten devices at each condition.

Delay time (s)	Jsc [mA/cm^2]	Voc [V]	FF [%]	η [%]
3 s	6.781	0.863	69.07	4.04 (3.90 ± 0.18)
5 s	7.446	0.925	71.86	4.95 (4.33 ± 0.40)
10 s	8.668	1.033	76.08	6.81 (6.14 ± 0.36)
20 s	9.357	0.974	79.68	7.26 (6.69 ± 0.47)
30 s	8.833	0.970	78.01	6.68 (6.30 ± 0.59)
40 s	8.821	0.695	65.44	4.01 (3.84 ± 0.19)
50 s	8.989	0.554	60.83	3.03 (2.72 ± 0.28)

TOC Image



Supporting Information

New Type of 2D Perovskites with Alternating Cations in the Interlayer Space, $(\text{C}(\text{NH}_2)_3)(\text{CH}_3\text{NH}_3)_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$: Structure, Properties and Photovoltaic Performance

*Chan Myae Myae Soe,^{1#} Constantinos C. Stoumpos,^{1#} Mikaël Kepenekian,² Boubacar Traoré,² Hsinhan Tsai,³ Wanyi Nie,³ Binghao Wang,¹ Claudine Katan,² Ram Seshadri,^{4,5,6} Aditya D. Mohite,³ Jacky Even,⁷ Tobin J. Marks,¹ Mercuri G. Kanatzidis*¹*

¹Department of Chemistry and Argonne-Northwestern Solar Energy Research Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

²Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes (ISCR), UMR 6226, CNRS - Université de Rennes 1 - Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes - INSA Rennes, France

³Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

⁴Materials Research Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

⁵Materials Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

⁶Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

⁷Fonctions Optiques pour les Technologies de l'Information (FOTON), UMR 6082, CNRS - INSA Rennes - Université de Rennes 1, France

*Corresponding authors. E-mail: m-kanatzidis@northwestern.edu




Figure S1. Closeup view of the electronic band structure of the $n = 2$ member of the $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_n\text{Pb}_n\text{I}_{3n+1}$ ACI perovskites, highlighting the Rashba splitting of the valence and conduction band extrema around the S point of the Brillouin zone.




Figure S2. Dielectric profiles for (a) $(GA)(MA)_nPb_nI_{3n+1}$, (b) $(BA)_2(MA)_{n-1}Pb_nI_{3n+1}$ for $n = 1$ and $n = 3$. (c) Dielectric profile for bulk 3D $MAPbI_3$. (d) Comparison between the dielectric profiles of RP and ACI layered perovskites with the same n -member, illustrating the net contribution of the spacer cations to the dielectric profile (green trace).




Figure S3. (a) PXR D patterns of thin films of $(GA)(MA)_2Pb_2I_7$ and $(GA)(MA)_3Pb_3I_{10}$ obtained from the chlorobenzene (CB) anti-solvent method. (b) Optical absorption spectra of thin films of $(GA)(MA)_2Pb_2I_7$ and $(GA)(MA)_3Pb_3I_{10}$ obtained from the anti-solvent method. (c) Efficiency vs. precursor solution concentration for devices made of $(GA)(MA)_3Pb_3I_{10}$ using the anti-solvent method. (d) GIWAXS patterns of thin films of $(GA)(MA)_3Pb_3I_{10}$ as a function of the delay in dripping time of the anti-solvent.




Figure S4. Close up view of the PXRD pattern of a thin film of $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ obtained from the anti-solvent method, referenced against the calculated patterns of $(\text{GA})(\text{MA})_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ and MAPbI_3 , highlighting the formation of the 2D ACI perovskite on the substrate.

Table S1. Atomic coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for GAMAPbI₄ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

Label	x	y	z	Occupancy	U_{eq}^*
Pb(1)	0	0	0	1	32(1)
I(1)	0	418(2)	1667(2)	1	66(1)
I(2)	5000	0	0	1	69(2)
I(3)	0	7500	306(2)	1	78(2)
N(1)	5000	2500	1110(50)	1	220(30)
N(2)	0	7500	2231(15)	1	54(5)
N(3)	0	8461(14)	3279(13)	1	54(5)
C(1)	5000	2500	1910(50)	1	220(30)
C(2)	0	7500	2948(15)	1	54(5)
H(1n3)	0	8490.85	3740.93	1	64.8
H(2n3)	0	9050.08	3031.18	1	64.8
H(1n2)	0	6895.2	1999.53	1	64.8
H(2n2)	0	8104.8	1999.53	1	64.8
H(1n1)	5930.53	2950.49	956.76	0.25	265.7
H(2n1)	5290.76	1858.9	956.76	0.25	265.7
H(3n1)	3778.71	2690.61	956.76	0.25	265.7
H(1c1)	6221.29	2309.39	2061.62	0.25	265.7
H(2c1)	4709.24	3141.1	2061.62	0.25	265.7
H(3c1)	4069.47	2049.51	2061.62	0.25	265.7

* U_{eq} is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

Table S2. Atomic coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for $\text{GAMA}_2\text{Pb}_2\text{I}_7$ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

Label	x	y	z	Occupancy	U_{eq}^*
Pb(1)	5000	2486(2)	3875(1)	1	32(2)
Pb(2)	5000	2486(2)	1826(1)	1	33(2)
I(1)	5000	0	4052(3)	1	83(5)
I(2)	5000	5000	2009(4)	1	82(4)
I(3)	5000	2889(7)	852(2)	1	69(3)
I(4)	5000	2175(3)	2840(3)	1	84(2)
I(5)	5000	2900(6)	4856(2)	1	67(3)
I(6)	0	2516(10)	3822(3)	1	72(3)
I(7)	0	2473(10)	1825(3)	1	75(3)
I(8)	5000	5000	3742(4)	1	119(7)
I(9)	5000	0	1696(3)	1	109(7)
N(1)	0	0	2786(17)	1	103(19)
N(2)	0	5000	2587(1)	1	500(100)
N(3)	0	5000	1276(13)	1	65(14)
N(4)	5000	5000	-260(30)	1	190(40)
N(5)	5000	932(1)	-180(20)	1	140(30)
N(6)	5000	0	460(20)	1	140(30)
N(7)	5000	986(12)	5810(11)	1	33(7)
N(8)	5000	0	5208(10)	1	33(7)
C(1)	0	0	3264(17)	1	103(19)
C(2)	0	5000	3065(1)	1	500(100)
C(3)	0	5000	798(13)	1	65(14)
C(4)	5000	5000	220(30)	1	190(40)
C(5)	5000	0	30(20)	1	140(30)
C(6)	5000	0	5638(10)	1	33(7)
H(1n1)	873.54	480.25	2693.79	0.25	123.3
H(2n1)	381.84	-624.48	2693.79	0.25	123.3
H(3n1)	-1255.38	144.23	2693.79	0.25	123.3
H(1n2)	1277.74	4921.35	2494.7	0.25	596.4
H(2n2)	-772.93	4477.13	2494.7	0.25	596.4
H(3n2)	-504.8	5601.52	2494.7	0.25	596.4
H(1n3)	-1011.97	4595.94	1368.49	0.25	78.5
H(2n3)	1194.73	4756.77	1368.49	0.25	78.5

H(3n3)	-182.76	5647.29	1368.49	0.25	78.5
H(1n4)	3758.24	4828	-351.94	0.25	229.2
H(2n4)	5327.7	5632.37	-351.94	0.25	229.2
H(3n4)	5914.06	4539.63	-351.94	0.25	229.2
H(1n5)	5000	932.01	-461.06	1	167.6
H(2n5)	5000	1532.67	-45.14	1	167.6
H(1n6)	5000	-600.66	600.24	0.5	167.6
H(2n6)	5000	600.66	600.24	0.5	167.6
H(1n7)	5000	1064.18	6085.52	1	39.8
H(2n7)	5000	1544.4	5645.3	1	39.8
H(1n8)	5000	-600.66	5069.11	0.5	39.8
H(2n8)	5000	600.66	5069.11	0.5	39.8
H(1c1)	966.4	-528.76	3366.27	0.25	123.3
H(2c1)	418.1	689.59	3366.27	0.25	123.3
H(3c1)	-1384.5	-160.83	3366.27	0.25	123.3
H(1c2)	1416.32	4946.5	3167.17	0.25	596.4
H(2c2)	-616.97	5649.92	3167.17	0.25	596.4
H(3c2)	-799.35	4403.58	3167.17	0.25	596.4
H(1c3)	846.04	5579.56	696.02	0.25	78.5
H(2c3)	564.88	4337.96	696.02	0.25	78.5
H(3c3)	-1410.92	5082.47	696.02	0.25	78.5
H(1c4)	4707.54	4293.9	320.55	0.25	229.2
H(2c4)	6349.82	5224.37	320.55	0.25	229.2
H(3c4)	3942.64	5481.73	320.55	0.25	229.2

* U_{eq} is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

Table S3. Atomic coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for $\text{GAMA}_3\text{Pb}_3\text{I}_{10}$ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

Label	x	y	z	Occupancy	U_{eq}^*
Pb(1)	0	0	0	1	35(1)
Pb(2)	0	12(1)	1451(1)	1	33(1)
I(1)	0	5406(2)	2144(1)	1	74(1)
I(2)	0	7500	1335(1)	1	84(2)
I(3)	5000	0(3)	1427(1)	1	80(1)
I(4)	0	2500	1558(1)	1	88(2)
I(5)	0	283(2)	713(1)	1	91(2)
I(6)	5000	0	0	1	128(2)
I(7)	0	7500	77(2)	1	151(3)
N(1)	5000	7500	953(1)	1	530(90)
N(2)	-5000	2500	839(18)	1	230(30)
N(3)	0	7500	3166(19)	1	190(20)
N(4)	5000	2500	2614(7)	1	60(5)
N(5)	5000	1541(14)	2163(6)	1	60(5)
C(1)	5000	7500	612(1)	1	530(90)
C(2)	-5000	2500	498(18)	1	230(30)
C(3)	0	7500	2825(19)	1	190(20)
C(4)	5000	2500	2306(7)	1	60(5)
H(1n1)	6282.98	7574.81	1019.02	0.25	633.3
H(2n1)	4231.65	8030.03	1019.02	0.25	633.3
H(3n1)	4485.37	6895.16	1019.02	0.25	633.3
H(1n2)	-6285.59	2437.95	905.09	0.25	273.8
H(2n2)	-4251.98	1962.44	905.09	0.25	273.8
H(3n2)	-4462.43	3099.62	905.09	0.25	273.8
H(1n3)	-1285.48	7562.63	3232	0.25	222.8
H(2n3)	536.54	6900.14	3232	0.25	222.8
H(3n3)	748.94	8037.23	3232	0.25	222.8
H(1n4)	5000	3105.77	2712.43	0.5	72.3
H(2n4)	5000	1894.23	2712.43	0.5	72.3
H(1n5)	5000	1514.89	1965.17	1	72.3
H(2n5)	5000	948.27	2268.09	1	72.3
H(1c1)	3886.23	7953.88	539.15	0.25	633.3
H(2c1)	4787.21	6780.46	539.15	0.25	633.3

H(3c1)	6326.56	7765.66	539.15	0.25	633.3
H(1c2)	-6200.48	2107.99	425.22	0.25	273.8
H(2c2)	-3735.01	2165.06	425.22	0.25	273.8
H(3c2)	-5064.51	3226.95	425.22	0.25	273.8
H(1c3)	98.44	6774.04	2752.13	0.25	222.8
H(2c3)	1181.83	7906.52	2752.13	0.25	222.8
H(3c3)	-1280.27	7819.44	2752.13	0.25	222.8

* U_{eq} is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

Table S4. Anisotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for GAMAPbI₄ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

Label	U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₃
Pb(1)	25(1)	28(1)	42(1)	0	0	3(1)
I(1)	90(2)	63(2)	44(2)	0	0	-2(2)
I(2)	21(2)	111(3)	74(2)	0	0	21(2)
I(3)	155(4)	22(2)	57(2)	0	0	0

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2[h^2a^{*2}U_{11} + \dots + 2hka^*b^*U_{12}]$.

Table S5. Anisotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for GAMA₂Pb₂I₇ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

Label	U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₃
Pb(1)	24(2)	26(3)	47(2)	0	0	1(2)
Pb(2)	37(2)	28(3)	34(2)	0	0	0(2)
I(1)	139(12)	35(5)	74(6)	0	0	0
I(2)	102(9)	40(6)	103(7)	0	0	0
I(3)	97(7)	75(5)	36(3)	0	0	34(2)
I(4)	107(3)	119(3)	26(2)	0	0	-20(4)
I(5)	91(7)	67(4)	43(3)	0	0	20(2)
I(6)	18(3)	128(8)	72(4)	0	0	12(4)
I(7)	26(3)	125(8)	72(3)	0	0	-25(4)
I(8)	233(17)	6(4)	119(8)	0	0	0
I(9)	248(19)	13(5)	65(5)	0	0	0

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2[h^2a^{*2}U_{11} + \dots + 2hka^*b^*U_{12}]$.

Table S6. Anisotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for GAMA₃Pb₃I₁₀ at 293 K with estimated standard deviations in parentheses.

Label	U ₁₁	U ₂₂	U ₃₃	U ₁₂	U ₁₃	U ₂₃
Pb(1)	37(1)	34(1)	35(1)	0	0	2(1)
Pb(2)	31(1)	29(1)	38(1)	0	0	0(1)
I(1)	116(2)	72(2)	35(2)	0	0	-10(1)
I(2)	130(4)	25(2)	99(3)	0	0	0
I(3)	26(1)	123(2)	90(2)	0	0	-15(2)
I(4)	172(4)	25(2)	68(3)	0	0	0
I(5)	120(2)	128(2)	26(2)	0	0	-2(2)
I(6)	25(2)	250(5)	108(4)	0	0	-1(5)
I(7)	304(8)	21(2)	128(5)	0	0	0

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2[h^2a^{*2}U_{11} + \dots + 2hka^*b^*U_{12}]$.

Table S7. Calculated band dispersion (meV) along the perovskite layer stacking direction in reciprocal space for ACI (GA)(MA)_nPb_nI_{3n+1} perovskites with n = 1-3.

<i>n</i> -member	1	2	3
<i>k</i> -direction	W-T	Γ-Y	W-T
VBM (meV)	48	63	< 1
CBM (meV)	11	26	4