

Gradient Estimates on Dirichlet Eigenfunctions

Marc Arnaudon, Anton Thalmaier, Feng-Yu Wang

▶ To cite this version:

Marc Arnaudon, Anton Thalmaier, Feng-Yu Wang. Gradient Estimates on Dirichlet Eigenfunctions. 2017. hal-01625890v1

HAL Id: hal-01625890 https://hal.science/hal-01625890v1

Preprint submitted on 29 Oct 2017 (v1), last revised 11 Aug 2018 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Gradient Estimates on Dirichlet Eigenfunctions

Marc Arnaudon¹, Anton Thalmaier², Feng-Yu Wang³

¹Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, Université de Bordeaux, 351 Cours de la Libération, F-33405 Talence Cedex, France marc.arnaudon@math.u-bordeaux.fr

²Mathematics Research Unit, FSTC, University of Luxembourg, Maison du Nombre, L–4364 Esch-sur-Alzette, Grand Duchy of Luxembourg anton.thalmaier@uni.lu

³Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China wangfy@tju.edu.cn

October 29, 2017

Abstract

By methods of stochastic analysis on Riemannian manifolds, we derive explicit constants $c_1(D)$ and $c_2(D)$ for a d-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold D with boundary such that

$$c_1(D)\sqrt{\lambda}\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leqslant \|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty} \leqslant c_2(D)\sqrt{\lambda}\|\phi\|_{\infty}$$

holds for any Dirichlet eigenfunction ϕ of $-\Delta$ with eigenvalue λ . In particular, when D is convex with nonnegative Ricci curvature, this estimate holds for

$$c_1(D) = \frac{1}{de}, \quad c_2(D) = \sqrt{e} + \frac{e\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

AMS subject Classification: 35P20, 60H30, 58J65

Keywords: Dirichlet eigenfunction, gradient estimate, diffusion process, curvature, second fundamental form.

AT is supported by FNR Luxembourg: OPEN scheme (project GEOMREV O14/7628746). FW is supported in part by NNSFC (11771326, 11431014).

1 Introduction

Let D be a d-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂D . We write $(\phi, \lambda) \in \text{Eig}(\Delta)$ if ϕ is a Dirichlet eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ in D with eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$. According to [6], there exist two constants $c_1(D), c_2(D) > 0$ such that

$$(1.1) c_1(D)\sqrt{\lambda}\|\phi\|_{\infty} \leqslant \|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty} \leqslant c_2(D)\sqrt{\lambda}\|\phi\|_{\infty}, \quad (\phi,\lambda) \in \operatorname{Eig}(\Delta).$$

In this paper, by using stochastic analysis of the Brownian motion on D, we present explicit expressions of these two constants in terms of the lower bounds of Ric_D and $\mathbb{I}_{\partial D}$ where Ric_D is the Ricci curvature on D and $\mathbb{I}_{\partial D}$ the second fundamental form of ∂D .

Theorem 1.1. Let $K, \theta \ge 0$ be two constants such that

$$\operatorname{Ric}_D \geqslant -K$$
, $\mathbb{I}_{\partial D} \geqslant -\theta$.

Let

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left((d-1)\theta + \sqrt{(d-1)K} \right).$$

Then, for any nontrivial $(\phi, \lambda) \in \text{Eig}(\Delta)$,

$$\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{de(\lambda+K)}} \leqslant \frac{\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty}}{\|\phi\|_{\infty}} \leqslant \sqrt{e(\lambda+K)} + e\left(\alpha_0 + \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \alpha_0 \wedge \frac{\alpha_0^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}}\right).$$

In particular, when Ric_D , $\mathbb{I}_{\partial D} \geqslant 0$,

(1.2)
$$\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{de}} \leqslant \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_{\infty}}{\|\phi\|_{\infty}} \leqslant \sqrt{\lambda} \left(\sqrt{e} + \frac{e\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right), \quad (\phi, \lambda) \in \text{Eig}(\Delta).$$

Proof. This result follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1 below for the special case V=0. In this case, $\operatorname{Ric}_D^V=\operatorname{Ric}_D\geqslant -K$ is equivalent to (2.1) with n=d.

Remark 1.1. Various other estimates can be obtained from our method. For instance, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\frac{1}{2}\Delta\rho_{\partial D} \leqslant \alpha$ outside the focal set, where $\rho_{\partial D}$ denotes the distance to boundary ∂D . Then for $\lambda < \alpha^2/4$,

(1.3)
$$\frac{\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty}}{\|\phi\|_{\infty}} \leqslant \sqrt{e(\lambda+K)} + e\left(2\max(\alpha,0) + \frac{\alpha^2 e^2}{4}\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}}e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2\lambda}}\right).$$

This relies on Remark 3.1 where another estimate of the right hand side of (3.13) is given. It improves the estimate in Theorem 1.1 in the case when $\alpha < 0$ and $|\alpha|$ is large. See Theorem 3.5 below for case that k = 0 and $\theta < 0$.

By (1.2), when D is convex with nonnegative Ricci curvature, (1.1) holds with

$$c_1(D) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{de}}, \quad c_2(D) = \sqrt{e} + \frac{e\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

To estimate $c_1(D)$ and $c_2(D)$ for positive K or θ , let $\lambda_1 > 0$ be the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on D. Then Theorem 1.1 implies that the inequalities (1.1) hold for

$$c_1(D) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}{\sqrt{de(\lambda_1 + K)}},$$

$$c_2(D) = \frac{\sqrt{e(\lambda_1 + K)}}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + e\left(\frac{(d-1)\theta + \sqrt{K(d-1)}}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{\left((d-1)\theta + \sqrt{K(d-1)}\right)^2}{4\lambda_1\sqrt{2\pi}}\right).$$

This is due to the fact that the first expression is an increasing function of λ and the second one is a decreasing function of λ . Since there exist explicit lower bound estimates on λ_1 (see [8] and references within), this gives explicit lower bounds of $c_1(D)$ and upper bounds of $c_2(D)$.

The lower bound estimate of $\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty}$ will be derived by using Itô's formula for $|\nabla\phi|^2(X_t)$ where X_t is a Brownian motion (with drift) on D, see Section 2 for details. A powerful probabilistic tool for establishing upper bound gradient estimates is the use of Bismut type formulas for the Dirichlet semigroup P_t^D on D, which gives

$$|\nabla P_t^D f(x)| \le \frac{c(t)}{\rho_{\partial D}} ||f||_{\infty}, \quad t > 0, \ f \in \mathcal{B}_b(D),$$

where $\rho_{\partial D}$ is the Riemannian distance to ∂D and c(t) an explicit quantity depending on the geometry of D, see [7] for details. However, as this estimate blows up at the boundary ∂D , it does not give the wanted upper bound estimate of $\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty}$ near the boundary. To achieve the goal of a uniform upper bound on D, we will construct some martingales to reduce $\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty}$ to $\|\nabla\phi\|_{\partial D,\infty} := \sup_{\partial D} |\nabla\phi|$, and to estimate the latter using $\|\phi\|_{\infty}$, see Section 3 for details.

In general, we will consider Dirichlet eigenfunctions for the symmetric operator $L := \Delta + \nabla V$ on D where $V \in C^2(D)$. We denote by $\operatorname{Eig}(L)$ the set of pairs (ϕ, λ) where ϕ is a Dirichlet eigenfunction of -L on D with eigenvalue λ .

2 Lower bound estimate

In this Section we will estimate $\|\nabla \phi\|_{\infty}$ from below using the following Bakry-Émery curvature-dimension condition:

(2.1)
$$\frac{1}{2}L|\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla Lf, \nabla f \rangle \geqslant -K|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{(Lf)^2}{n}, \quad f \in C^{\infty}(D),$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \ge d$ are two constants. When V = 0, this condition with n = d is equivalent to $\text{Ric}_D \ge -K$.

Theorem 2.1 (Lower bound estimate). Assume that (2.1) holds. Then

(2.2)
$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \geqslant \|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \sup_{t>0} \frac{\lambda^{2}(e^{Kt}-1)}{nKe^{(\lambda+K)+t}}, \quad (\phi,\lambda) \in \operatorname{Eig}(L).$$

Consequently, for $K^+ := \max\{0, K\}$ there holds

(2.3)
$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \geqslant \frac{\lambda^{2}}{ne(\lambda + K^{+})} \|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2}, \quad (\phi, \lambda) \in Eig(L).$$

Proof. Let X_t be the diffusion process generated by $\frac{1}{2}L$ in D, and let

$$\tau_D := \inf\{t \geqslant 0 : X_t \in \partial D\}.$$

By Itô's formula, we have

(2.4)
$$d|\nabla \phi|^2(X_t) = \frac{1}{2}L|\nabla \phi|^2(X_t) dt + dM_t, \quad t \leqslant \tau_D,$$

for some martingale M_t . By the curvature dimension condition (2.1) and $L\phi = -\lambda\phi$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}L|\nabla\phi|^2 = \frac{1}{2}L|\nabla\phi|^2 - \langle\nabla L\phi,\nabla\phi\rangle - \lambda|\nabla\phi|^2 \geqslant -(K+\lambda)|\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{n}\phi^2.$$

Therefore, (2.4) gives

$$d|\nabla \phi|^2(X_t) \geqslant \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{n}\phi^2 - (K+\lambda)|\nabla \phi|^2\right)(X_t) dt + dM_t, \quad t \leqslant \tau_D.$$

Hence, for any t > 0,

$$e^{(K+\lambda)^{+}t} \|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \geqslant \mathbb{E}\left[|\nabla\phi|^{2}(X_{t\wedge\tau_{D}})e^{(K+\lambda)(t\wedge\tau_{D})}\right]$$

$$\geqslant \frac{\lambda^{2}}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t\wedge\tau_{D}} e^{(K+\lambda)s}\phi(X_{s})^{2} ds\right]$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{2}}{n}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t} 1_{\{s<\tau_{D}\}} e^{(K+\lambda)s}\phi(X_{s})^{2} ds\right].$$

Since $\phi|_{\partial D} = 0$ and $L\phi = -\lambda\phi$, by Jensen's inequality we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[1_{\{s<\tau_D\}}\phi(X_s)^2\right] \geqslant \left(\mathbb{E}[\phi(X_{s\wedge\tau_D})]\right)^2 = e^{-\lambda s}\phi(x)^2,$$

where $x = X_0 \in D$ is the starting point of X_t . Then, by taking x such that $\phi(x)^2 = \|\phi\|_{\infty}^2$, we arrive at

$$e^{(K+\lambda)^{+}t} \|\nabla \phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \geqslant \frac{\lambda^{2}}{n} \int_{0}^{t} e^{(K+\lambda)s} e^{-\lambda s} \phi(x)^{2} ds$$
$$= \frac{\lambda^{2} \|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2}}{n} \int_{0}^{t} e^{Ks} ds = \frac{\lambda^{2} (e^{Kt} - 1)}{nK} \|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2}.$$

This completes the proof of (2.2).

Since (2.1) holds for K^+ replacing K, we may and do assume that $K \ge 0$. By taking $t = \frac{1}{\lambda + K}$ in (2.2), we obtain

$$\|\nabla \phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \geqslant \frac{\lambda^{2}(e^{\frac{K}{\lambda + K}} - 1)}{nKe} \|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2} \geqslant \frac{\lambda^{2}}{ne(\lambda + K)} \|\phi\|_{\infty}^{2}.$$

Hence (2.3) holds.

3 Upper bound estimate

Let $\operatorname{Ric}_D^V = \operatorname{Ric}_D - \operatorname{Hess}_V$.

Theorem 3.1 (Upper bound estimate). Let $K_V, K_0, \theta \ge 0$ be constants such that

$$\operatorname{Ric}_D^V \geqslant -K_V$$
, $\operatorname{Ric}_D \geqslant -K_0$, $\mathbb{I}_{\partial D} \geqslant -\theta$.

Let

(3.1)
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \left((d-1)\theta + \sqrt{(d-1)K_0} + \|\nabla V\|_{\infty} \right).$$

Then, for any $(\phi, \lambda) \in \text{Eig}(L)$,

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty} \le \|\phi\|_{\infty} \left\{ \sqrt{e(\lambda + K_V)} + e\left(\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \alpha \wedge \frac{\alpha^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}}\right) \right\}.$$

To prove this result, we first estimate $\|\nabla \phi\|_{\infty}$ in terms of $\|\phi\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\nabla \phi\|_{\partial D,\infty}$ where $\|f\|_{\partial D,\infty} := \|1_{\partial D}f\|_{\infty}$ for a function f on D.

Lemma 3.2. Assume $\operatorname{Ric}_D^V \geqslant -K_V$ for some constant $K_V \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for any $(\phi, \lambda) \in \operatorname{Eig}(L)$,

$$(3.2) \|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty} \leqslant e^{\frac{(\lambda + K_V)^+}{2}t} \|\nabla\phi\|_{\partial D,\infty} + \|\phi\|_{\infty} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}t} \left(\frac{K_V}{1 - e^{-K_V t}}\right)^{1/2}, \quad t > 0.$$

Consequently,

Proof. We first recall some facts concerning the diffusion process generated by $\frac{1}{2}L$, see for instance [1, 3]. For any $x \in D$, the diffusion X_t solves the SDE

(3.4)
$$dX_t = \frac{1}{2}\nabla V(X_t) dt + u_t \circ dB_t, \quad X_0 = x, \ t \leqslant \tau_D,$$

where B_t is a d-dimensional Brownian motion, u_t is the horizontal lift of X_t onto the orthonormal frame bundle O(D) with initial value $u_0 \in O_x(D)$, and

$$\tau_D := \inf\{t \geqslant 0 : X_t \in \partial D\}$$

is the hitting time of X_t to the boundary ∂D . Setting $Z := \nabla V$, we have

(3.5)
$$du_t = \frac{1}{2} Z^*(u_t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^d H_i(u_t) \circ dB_t^i$$

where $Z^*(u) := h_u(Z_{\pi(u)})$ and $H_i(u) := h_u(ue_i)$ are defined by means of the horizontal lift $h_u : T_{\pi(u)}D \to T_u O(D)$ at $u \in O(D)$. Note that formally $h_{u_t}(u_t \circ dB_t) = \sum_i h_{u_t}(u_t e_i) \circ dB_t^i = \sum_i H_i(u_t) \circ dB_t^i$.

For $f \in C^{\infty}(D)$, let $a := df \in \Gamma(T^*D)$. Setting $m_t := u_t^{-1}a(X_t)$, we see by Itô's formula that

(3.6)
$$dm_t \stackrel{\text{m}}{=} \frac{1}{2} u_t^{-1} (\Box a + \nabla_Z a)(X_t) dt$$

where $\Box a = \operatorname{tr} \nabla^2 a$ denotes the so-called connection (or rough) Laplacian on 1-forms and $\stackrel{\text{m}}{=}$ equality modulo the differential of a local martingale.

Denote by $Q_t: T_xD \to T_{X_t}D$ the solution, along the paths of X_t , to the covariant ordinary differential equation

$$DQ_t = -\frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{Ric}_D^V)^{\sharp} Q_t \, dt, \quad Q_0 = \operatorname{id}_{T_x D}, \ t \leqslant \tau_D,$$

where $D := u_t du_t^{-1}$ and where by definition

$$(\operatorname{Ric}_D^V)^{\sharp} v = \operatorname{Ric}_D^V(\cdot, v)^{\sharp}, \quad v \in T_x D.$$

Thus, condition $\operatorname{Ric}_D^V \geqslant -K_V$ implies

$$(3.7) |Q_t v| \leqslant e^{\frac{K_V}{2}t} |v|, \quad t \leqslant \tau_D.$$

Finally, note that for any smooth function f on D, we have by the Weitzenböck formula:

$$d(\Delta + Z)f = d(-d^*df + (df)Z)$$

$$= \Delta^{(1)}df + \nabla_Z df + \langle \nabla_z Z, \nabla f \rangle$$

$$= (\Box + \nabla_Z)(df) - \operatorname{Ric}_D^V(\cdot, \nabla f)$$

$$= (\Box - \operatorname{Ric}_D^V + \nabla_Z)(df)$$
(3.8)

where $\Delta^{(1)}$ denotes the Hodge-deRham Laplacian on 1-forms.

Now let $(\phi, \lambda) \in \text{Eig}(L)$, i.e. $L\phi = -\lambda \phi$, where $L = \Delta + Z$. For $v \in T_xD$, consider the process

$$n_t(v) := (\mathrm{d}\phi)(Q_t v).$$

Then

$$n_t(v) = \langle \nabla \phi(X_t), Q_t v \rangle = \langle u_t^{-1}(\nabla \phi)(X_t), u_t^{-1}Q_t v \rangle.$$

Using (3.6), we see by Itô's formula and formula (3.8) that

$$dn_t(v) \stackrel{\text{m}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (\Box d\phi + \nabla_Z d\phi)(X_t) Q_t v dt + d\phi(X_t)(DQ_t v) dt = -\frac{\lambda}{2} n_t(v) dt.$$

It follows that

$$e^{\lambda t/2} n_t(v) = e^{\lambda t/2} \langle \nabla \phi(X_t), Q_t v \rangle, \quad t \leqslant \tau_D,$$

is a martingale, and consequently, for any function $h \in C^1([0,\infty);\mathbb{R})$,

$$h_t e^{\lambda t/2} \langle \nabla \phi(X_t), Q_t v \rangle - \int_0^t \dot{h}_s e^{\lambda s/2} \langle \nabla \phi(X_s), Q_s v \rangle ds, \quad t \leqslant \tau_D,$$

is a martingale as well. By the formula

$$e^{\lambda t/2} \phi(X_t) = \phi(X_0) + \int_0^t e^{\lambda s/2} \langle \nabla \phi(X_s), u_s dB_s \rangle$$

we see then that

$$N_t(v) := h_t e^{\lambda t/2} \langle \nabla \phi(X_t), Q_t v \rangle - e^{\lambda t/2} \phi(X_t) \int_0^t \langle \dot{h}_s Q_s v, u_s dB_s \rangle, \quad t \leqslant \tau_D,$$

is a martingale.

Now, for fixed t > 0, we take $h \in C^1([0, t]; [0, 1])$ such that $h_0 = 1$ and $h_t = 0$. Then, by the martingale property of $\{N_{s \wedge \tau_D}(v)\}_{s \in [0, t]}$ we obtain

$$|\nabla_{v}\phi|(x) = |N_{0}(v)| = |\mathbb{E}N_{t\wedge\tau_{D}}(v)|$$

$$= \left|\mathbb{E}\left[1_{\{t>\tau_{D}\}} e^{\lambda\tau_{D}/2} h_{\tau_{D}} \langle \nabla\phi(X_{\tau_{D}}), Q_{\tau_{D}}v \rangle - 1_{\{t\leqslant\tau_{D}\}} e^{\lambda t/2} \phi(X_{t}) \int_{0}^{t} \langle \dot{h}_{s} Q_{s}v, u_{s} dB_{s} \rangle\right]\right|.$$

This together with (3.7) yields

$$|\nabla \phi(x)| \le e^{(\lambda + K_V)^+ t/2} \|\nabla \phi\|_{\partial D, \infty} + e^{\lambda t/2} \|\phi\|_{\infty} \left(\int_0^t (\dot{h}_s)^2 e^{K_V s} \, ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

Taking

$$h_s = \frac{e^{-K_V t} - e^{-K_V s}}{e^{-K_V t} - 1}, \quad s \in [0, t],$$

we obtain (3.2). Finally, noting that

$$\frac{K_V}{1 - e^{-K_V t}} \le \frac{K_V^+}{1 - e^{-K_V^+ t}} \le t^{-1} e^{K_V^+ t},$$

and taking $t = (K_V^+ + \lambda)^{-1}$ in (3.2), we prove (3.3).

To estimate the term $\|\nabla\phi\|_{\partial D,\infty}$, we shall compare $\phi(x)$ and

$$\psi(t,x) := \mathbb{P}(\tau_D^x > t), \quad t > 0,$$

for small $\rho_{\partial D}(x) := \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial D)$. Let P_t^D be the Dirichlet semigroup generated by $\frac{1}{2}L$. Then $\psi(t, x) = P_t^D 1_D(x)$, so that

(3.9)
$$\partial_t \psi(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} L \psi(t, \cdot)(x), \quad t > 0.$$

Lemma 3.3. For any $(\phi, \lambda) \in \text{Eig}(L)$,

(3.10)
$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{\partial D,\infty} \leqslant \|\phi\|_{\infty} \inf_{t>0} e^{\lambda t/2} \|\nabla\psi(t,\cdot)\|_{\partial D,\infty}.$$

Proof. To prove (3.10), we fix $x \in \partial D$. For small $\varepsilon > 0$, let $x^{\varepsilon} = \exp_x(\varepsilon N)$, where N is the inward unit normal vector field of ∂D . Since $\phi|_{\partial D} = 0$ and $\psi(t, \cdot)|_{\partial D} = 0$, we have

$$(3.11) |\nabla \phi(x)| = |N\phi(x)| = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{|\phi(x^{\varepsilon})|}{\varepsilon}, |\nabla \psi(t, \cdot)(x)| = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{|\psi(t, x^{\varepsilon})|}{\varepsilon}.$$

Let X_t^{ε} be the L-diffusion starting at x^{ε} and τ_D^{ε} its first hitting time of ∂D . Note that

$$N_t := \phi(X_{t \wedge \tau_D^{\varepsilon}}) e^{\lambda(t \wedge \tau_D^{\varepsilon})/2}, \quad t \geqslant 0,$$

is a martingale. Thus, for each fixed t > 0, we can estimate as follows:

$$\begin{split} |\nabla \phi(x)| &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{|\phi(x^{\varepsilon})|}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\left| \mathbb{E}[\phi(X_t^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau_D^{\varepsilon}\}}] \, e^{\lambda(t \wedge \tau_D^{\varepsilon})/2} \right|}{\varepsilon} \\ &\leqslant \|\phi\|_{\infty} \, e^{\lambda t/2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau_D^{\varepsilon}\}}]}{\varepsilon} \\ &\leqslant \|\phi\|_{\infty} \, e^{\lambda t/2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\psi(t, x^{\varepsilon})}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \|\phi\|_{\infty} \, e^{\lambda t/2} \, |\nabla \psi(t, \cdot)|(x). \end{split}$$

Taking the infimum over t gives the claim.

We now estimate $\|\nabla \psi(t,\cdot)\|_{\infty}$. Let $\operatorname{cut}(D)$ be the cut-locus of ∂D , which is a zero-volume closed subset of D such that $\rho_{\partial D} := \operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \partial D)$ is smooth in $D \setminus \operatorname{cut}(D)$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

(3.12)
$$\frac{1}{2}L\rho_{\partial D}(x) \leqslant \alpha, \quad x \in D \setminus \text{cut}(D).$$

Then

(3.13)
$$\|\nabla \psi(t,\cdot)\|_{\partial D,\infty} \leqslant \alpha + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + \int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} ds$$
$$\leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + \min\left\{2\alpha^+, \ \alpha + \frac{\alpha^2 \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right\}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in D$ and let X_t solve SDE (3.4). As shown in [5], $(\rho_{\partial D}(X_t))_{t \leq \tau_D}$ is a semi-martingale satisfying

(3.14)
$$\rho_{\partial D}(X_t) = \rho_{\partial D}(x) + b_t + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t L\rho_{\partial D}(X_s) \, \mathrm{d}s - l_t, \quad t \leqslant \tau_D,$$

where b_t is a real-valued Brownian motion starting at 0, and l_t a non-decreasing process which increases only when $X_t^x \in \text{cut}(D)$. Hence, setting $\varepsilon = \rho_{\partial D}(x)$, we deduce from (3.12) and (3.14) that

(3.15)
$$\rho_{\partial D}(X_t(x)) \leqslant Y_t^{\alpha}(\varepsilon) := \varepsilon + b_t + \alpha t, \quad t \leqslant \tau_D.$$

Consequently, letting $T^{\alpha}(\varepsilon)$ be the first hitting time of 0 by $Y_t^{\alpha}(\varepsilon)$, we obtain

(3.16)
$$\psi(t,x) \leqslant \mathbb{P}(t < T^{\alpha}(\varepsilon)).$$

On the other hand, since $\psi(t,\cdot)$ vanishes on the boundary and is positive in D, we have for all $y \in \partial D$

(3.17)
$$|\nabla \psi(t,y)| = \lim_{x \in D, x \to y} \frac{\psi(t,x)}{\rho_{\partial D}(x)}.$$

Hence, by (3.16), to prove the first inequality in (3.13) it is enough to establish that

(3.18)
$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{P}(t < T^{\alpha}(\varepsilon))}{\varepsilon} \leqslant \alpha + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^{2} s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^{3}}} ds.$$

It is well known that the (sub-probability) density $f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ of $T^{\alpha}(\varepsilon)$ is

(3.19)
$$f_{\alpha,\varepsilon}(s) = \frac{\varepsilon \exp\left(\frac{-(\varepsilon + \alpha s)^2}{2s}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}},$$

which can be obtained by the reflection principle for $\alpha = 0$ and the Girsanov transform for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

(3.20)
$$\mathbb{P}(t \geq T^{\alpha}(\varepsilon)) = \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-(\varepsilon + \alpha s)^{2}}{2s}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi s^{3}}} ds$$

$$= \varepsilon \exp(-\alpha \varepsilon) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{e^{-\frac{\alpha^{2} s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^{3}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2s}\right) ds$$

$$= \exp(-\alpha \varepsilon) \int_{0}^{2t/\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{e^{-1/r}}{\sqrt{\pi r^{3}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha^{2} \varepsilon^{2} r}{4}\right) dr,$$

where we have made the change of variable $r = 2s/\varepsilon^2$. With the change of variable v = 1/r we easily check that

(3.21)
$$\int_0^\infty r^{-3/2} e^{-1/r} dr = \Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi},$$

and this allows to write

$$(3.22) \quad \mathbb{P}(t \geqslant T^{\alpha}(\varepsilon)) = \exp(-\alpha\varepsilon) \left(1 - \int_{2t/\varepsilon^2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-1/r}}{\sqrt{\pi r^3}} \, \mathrm{d}r - \int_{0}^{2t/\varepsilon^2} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-1/r}}{\sqrt{\pi r^3}} \left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\alpha^2 \varepsilon^2 r}{4}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \right).$$

As $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_{2t/\varepsilon^2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-1/r}}{\sqrt{r^3}} \, \mathrm{d}r = \int_{2t/\varepsilon^2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^3}} \, \mathrm{d}r + \mathrm{o}(\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}} + \mathrm{o}(\varepsilon),$$

and with change of variable $s = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 r$

$$\int_0^{2t/\varepsilon^2} \frac{e^{-1/r}}{\sqrt{\pi r^3}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 \varepsilon^2 r}{4}} \right) dr = \varepsilon \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2s}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}} \right) ds$$
$$= \varepsilon \int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} ds + o(\varepsilon)$$

by monotone convergence. Combining these with $e^{-\alpha\varepsilon} = 1 - \alpha\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)$, we deduce from (3.22) that

(3.23)
$$\mathbb{P}(t \geqslant T^{\alpha}(\varepsilon)) = 1 - \varepsilon \left(\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^{2} s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^{3}}} ds \right) + o(\varepsilon)$$

which yields (3.18).

Obviously, the inequality $1 - e^{-s} \leq s$ for $s \geq 0$ implies

(3.24)
$$\int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} ds \leqslant \int_0^t \frac{\alpha^2}{2\sqrt{2\pi s}} ds = \frac{\alpha^2 \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Moreover, we we will show that

(3.25)
$$\int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} ds = |\alpha| - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \int_0^{|\alpha|/\sqrt{t}} dr \int_r^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds \leqslant |\alpha|,$$

which then together with (3.24) gives the second inequality in (3.13).

Thus, to finish the proof, it remains to establish (3.25). Indeed, noting that

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \int_0^{|\alpha|\sqrt{t}} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds \right) dr = |\alpha|,$$

we see that (3.25) is equivalent to

(3.26)
$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \int_0^{|\alpha|\sqrt{t}} \left(\int_0^r e^{-s^2/2} ds \right) dr = \int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} ds.$$

Two changes of variables give

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \int_0^{|\alpha|\sqrt{t}} \left(\int_0^r e^{-s^2/2} ds \right) dr = \frac{\alpha^2}{2\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \left(\int_0^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 v}{2}} dv \right) du.$$

A first integration by parts choosing $2(\sqrt{u}-\sqrt{t})$ as primitive of $1/\sqrt{u}$ yields

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{2\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} \left(\int_0^u \frac{1}{\sqrt{v}} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 v}{2}} dv \right) du = \frac{\alpha^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 u}{2}} du - \frac{\alpha^2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 u}{2}} du - \frac{\alpha^2 u}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^t e$$

A second integration by parts shows that the right hand side is equal to $\int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} ds$. Therefore, (3.26) holds and hence (3.25) as well.

Remark 3.1. We proved that

$$\|\nabla \psi(t,\cdot)\|_{\partial D,\infty} \leqslant \alpha + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi t}} + \int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 s}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi s^3}} ds$$

$$= 2 \max(0,\alpha) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \int_{|\alpha|\sqrt{t}}^{\infty} \left(\int_r^{\infty} e^{-s^2/2} ds \right) dr$$
(3.27)

where the last equality follows from (3.25) and the observation that $\int_0^\infty \int_r^\infty e^{-s^2/2} ds dr = 1$. This implies that for all a > 1

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla \psi(t,\cdot)\|_{\partial D,\infty} &\leqslant 2 \max(0,\alpha) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t\alpha^2}{2a}} \int_{|\alpha|\sqrt{t}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{r^2(a-1)}{4a}} \left(\int_{r}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{s^2(a-1)}{4a}} \, \mathrm{d}s \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \\ &\leqslant 2 \max(0,\alpha) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi t}} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t\alpha^2}{2a}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{r^2(a-1)}{4a}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{s^2(a-1)}{4a}} \, \mathrm{d}s \right) \, \mathrm{d}r \\ &\leqslant 2 \max(0,\alpha) + \frac{a}{a-1} \, \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{t}} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{t\alpha^2}{2a}}. \end{split}$$

In the case when $4 < t\alpha^2$, taking $a = \frac{\alpha^2 t}{\alpha^2 t - 4}$ yields

$$\|\nabla \psi(t,\cdot)\|_{\partial D,\infty} \leqslant 2 \max(0,\alpha) + \frac{e^2 \alpha^2 t}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{t}} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2 t}{2}}$$

and in particular

$$\|\nabla \psi(1/\lambda, \cdot)\|_{\partial D, \infty} \leqslant 2 \max(0, \alpha) + \frac{e^2 \alpha^2}{4\lambda} \sqrt{2\pi\lambda} e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2\lambda}}.$$

Combined with the Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, this gives estimate (1.3) of Remark 1.1.

Finally, to estimate the constant α in (3.12), we shall use the Laplacian comparison theorem to bound $\Delta \rho_{\partial D}$ from above. See [4, 9] for the corresponding lower bound estimate.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\theta, k \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\mathbb{I}_{\partial D} \geqslant -\theta$ and $\operatorname{Ric}_{D} \geqslant -(d-1)k$. For $t \geqslant 0$ let

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \cos(\sqrt{-k}t) + \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{-k}}\sin(\sqrt{-k}t), & \text{if } k < 0, \\ 1 + \theta t, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \cosh(\sqrt{k}t) + \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{k}}\sinh(\sqrt{k}t), & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $h^{-1}(0)$ be the first zero of h (where $h^{-1}(0) := \infty$ if h(t) > 0 for all $t \ge 0$). Then for any $x \in D \setminus \text{cut}(D)$ such that $\rho_{\partial D}(x) < h^{-1}(0)$, there holds

(3.28)
$$\Delta \rho_{\partial D}(x) \leqslant (d-1)\frac{h'}{h}(\rho_{\partial D}(x)).$$

In particular, if $\theta, k \ge 0$ we have

(3.29)
$$\Delta \rho_{\partial D}(x) \leqslant (d-1)(\theta + \sqrt{k}), \quad x \in D \setminus \text{cut}(D).$$

Proof. The proof of (3.28) is adapted from [10, Theorem 1.2.2] where the corresponding Hessian upper bound is presented. For fixed $x \in D \setminus \text{cut}(D)$, let p be the orthogonal projection of x on ∂M , which is the unique point on ∂D such that $\text{dist}(x,p) = \rho := \rho_{\partial D}(x)$. Then

$$\gamma(s) := \exp_p(sN), \quad s \in [0, \rho],$$

is the minimal geodesic in D linking p and x. Let $X_0(0) = N(p)$, and $\{X_i(0)\}_{1 \le i \le d-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p \partial D$. For $0 \le i \le d-1$, let

$$X_i(s) = //_{p \to \gamma(s)} X_i(0), \quad s \in [0, \rho],$$

be the parallel transport of $X_i(0)$ along the geodesic γ . Moreover, for any $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, let $\{J_i(s)\}_{s \in [0,\rho]}$ be the Jacobi field along γ such that $J(\rho) = X_i(\rho)$ and

$$\langle \dot{J}_i(0), U \rangle = -\mathbb{I}_{\partial D}(J_i(0), U), \quad U \in T_p \partial D.$$

By the second variational formula (see e.g. page 321 in [2]), we have

$$\Delta \rho_{\partial D}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \operatorname{Hess}_{\rho_{\partial D}}(X_i, X_i)(\rho_{\partial D}(x))$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \mathbb{I}_{\partial D}(J_i(0), J_i(0))$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \int_0^{\rho_{\partial D}(x)} \left(|\dot{J}_i(s)|^2 - \langle \mathcal{R}(X_0(s), J_i(s)) X_0(s), J_i(s) \rangle \right) ds$$
(3.30)

where \mathcal{R} is the curvature tensor. Define

$$\tilde{J}_i(s) = \frac{h(s)}{h(\rho_{\partial D}(x))} X_i(s), \quad s \in [0, \rho_{\partial D}(x)], \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant d - 1.$$

Then $\tilde{J}_i(\rho_{\partial D}(x)) = J_i(\rho_{\partial D}(x)) = X_i$, and by $\mathbb{I}_{\partial D} \geqslant -\theta$,

$$\langle \dot{\tilde{J}}_i(0), \tilde{J}_i(0) \rangle = \frac{\theta}{h(\rho_{\partial D}(x))^2} \geqslant -\mathbb{I}_{\partial D}(\tilde{J}_i(0), \tilde{J}_i(0)), \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d-1.$$

Hence, by the index lemma (see the first displayed formula on page 322 in [2]), and using the lower bound conditions on $\mathbb{I}_{\partial D}$ and Ric_D , we deduce from (3.30) that

$$\begin{split} \Delta \rho_{\partial D}(x) \leqslant &- \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \mathbb{I}_{\partial D}(\tilde{J}_i(0), \tilde{J}_i(0)) \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \int_0^{\rho_{\partial D}(x)} \left(|\dot{\tilde{J}}_i(s)|^2 - \langle \mathcal{R}(X_0(s), \tilde{J}_i(s)) X_0(s), \tilde{J}_i(s) \rangle \right) \mathrm{d}s \\ \leqslant &\frac{1}{h(\rho_{\partial D}(x))^2} \bigg(- (\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{I}_{\partial D})(p) + \int_0^{\rho_{\partial D}(x)} \left\{ h'(s)^2 - h(s)^2 \operatorname{Ric}(X_0(s), X_0(s)) \right\} \mathrm{d}s \bigg) \\ \leqslant &\frac{d-1}{h(\rho_{\partial D}(x))^2} \bigg(-\theta + \int_0^{\rho_{\partial D}(x)} \left\{ h'(s)^2 + kh(s)^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}s \bigg) \\ &= (d-1) \frac{h'}{h} (\rho_{\partial D}(x)), \end{split}$$

where in the last step we used the facts that $(hh')(0) = \theta$ and h'' = kh, and the latter implies

$$(h')^2 + kh^2 = (hh')' - hh'' + kh^2 = (hh')'.$$

Thus (3.28) holds. When $\theta, k \ge 0$, we have $h^{-1}(0) = \infty$ and

$$\frac{h'(t)}{h(t)} = \frac{\sqrt{k}\sinh(\sqrt{k}t) + \theta\cosh(\sqrt{k}t)}{\cosh(\sqrt{k}t) + \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{k}}\sinh(\sqrt{k}t)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\sqrt{k}\cosh(\sqrt{k}t) + \theta\cosh(\sqrt{k}t)}{\cosh(\sqrt{k}t)} = \sqrt{k} + \theta.$$

Then (3.29) follows from (3.28).

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Theorem 3.5 with $k = \frac{K_0}{d-1}$, condition (3.12) holds for α as given in (3.1). Applying Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 with $t = s = \frac{1}{\lambda}$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{\infty} \leqslant e^{1/2} \left(\sqrt{\lambda + K_V} \|\phi\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla\phi\|_{\partial D, \infty} \right)$$

$$\leqslant \|\phi\|_{\infty} \left\{ \sqrt{e(\lambda + K_V)} + e\left(\alpha + \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \alpha \wedge \frac{\alpha^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\lambda}} \right) \right\}.$$

The result follows by substitution.

References

- [1] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 119 (2009), 3653–3670.
- [2] I. Chavel, Riemannian Geometry: a Modern Introduction, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [3] E. P. Hsu, Stochastic Analysis on Manifolds, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 38. American Mathematical Society, 2002.
- [4] A. Kasue, Applications of Laplacian and Hessian comparison theorems, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 3 (1984), 333–386.
- [5] W. S. Kendall, The radial part of Brownian motion on a manifold: a semimartingale property, Ann. Probab. 15 (1987), 1491–1500.
- [6] Y. Shi, B. Xin, Gradient estimate of a Dirichlet eigenfunction on a compact manifold with boundary, Forum Math. 25 (2013), 229–240.
- [7] A. Thalmaier, F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates for harmonic functions on regular domains in Riemannian manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 155 (1998), 109–124.

- [8] F.-Y. Wang, Estimates of the first Dirichlet eigenvalue by using diffusion processes, Probab. Theory Related Fields **101** (1995), 363–369.
- [9] F.-Y. Wang, Gradient estimates and the first Neumann eigenvalue on manifolds with boundary, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 115 (2005), 1475–1486.
- [10] F.-Y. Wang, Analysis for Diffusion Processes on Riemannian Manifolds, World Scientific, 2014.