

An immersed interface method for the solution of the standard parabolic equation in range-dependent ocean environments

Roberto Sabatini, Paul Cristini

▶ To cite this version:

Roberto Sabatini, Paul Cristini. An immersed interface method for the solution of the standard parabolic equation in range-dependent ocean environments. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 2018, 143 (4), pp.EL243. 10.1121/1.5029394 . hal-01624959

HAL Id: hal-01624959 https://hal.science/hal-01624959

Submitted on 26 Oct 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

An immersed interface method for the solution of the standard parabolic equation in range-dependent ocean environments

Roberto Sabatini^{a)} and Paul Cristini

Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, LMA, Marseille, France sabatini@lma.cnrs-mrs.fr, cristini@lma.cnrs-mrs.fr

(Dated: 26 October 2017)

Abstract: A novel approach for the treatment of irregular ocean 1 bottoms within the framework of the standard parabolic equation is 2 proposed. The present technique is based on the immersed interface 3 method originally developed by LeVeque and Li [SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 4 **31**(4), 1019–1044, (1994)]. It is intrinsically energy-conserving and al-5 lows to naturally handle generic range-dependent bathymetries, with-6 out requiring any additional specific numerical procedure. An illus-7 tration of its capabilities is provided by solving the well-known wedge 8 problem. 9

© 2017 Acoustical Society of America.

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

10 1. Introduction

Propagation models based on different types of parabolic equations have been extensively 11 used during the last four decades in underwater acoustics. Interested readers can find an 12 exhaustive review in the book of Jensen et al. (2011) as well as in the paper of Xu et al. 13 (2016). Since the earliest investigations of ocean sound propagation, one of the main issues 14 has been related to the correct treatment of the interface between the water column and the 15 seabed. Indeed, when solving a parabolic equation by approximating the seafloor as a series 16 of stair-steps, a fundamental problem of energy conservation arises (see Jensen *et al.* (2011)) 17 and references therein). Current methods which allow to properly handle range-dependent 18 bottoms essentially include: stair-step approximations within energy-conserving parabolic 19 models (Collins and Westwood, 1991); domain rotations (Collins, 1990); and mapping tech-20 niques (Metzler et al., 2014). In this letter, a novel approach, based on the immersed interface 21 method (IIM) originally developed by LeVeque and Li (1994), is proposed. The parabolic 22 equation is solved on a regular Cartesian grid, in which the bathymetry is "immersed". Away 23 from the bottom interface, standard centered finite difference schemes are employed to com-24 pute the derivatives along the vertical axis and the Crank-Nicolson method is used for the 25 integration in range. Conversely, at grid points lying across the bottom, the aforementioned 26 numerical schemes are slightly modified in order for the acoustic field to satisfy not only the 27 governing equation but also the physical interface conditions. As a result, the proposed ap-28 proach is intrinsically energy-conserving and allows to handle generic range-dependent ocean 29

³⁰ bottoms. Therefore, it can be viewed as a generalization of the IFD method developed by ³¹ Lee and McDaniel (1988).

In this letter, the new technique is developed for the standard parabolic equation and for fluid-fluid interfaces. Extensions to more wide-angle parabolic equations and to fluidsolid interfaces will be considered in future works. The letter is organized as follows: after a brief review of the standard parabolic model (Section 2), the new method is presented (Section 3); an example of application is then described (Section 4); concluding remarks are finally drawn.

³⁸ 2. The standard parabolic equation and interface conditions

In the context of this work, wave propagation is assumed to be azimuthally symmetric. A cylindrical coordinate system Orz, with the origin O on the sea surface, is thus considered. The bottom interface $z = \xi(r)$ is supposed to be irregular and the seabed is modeled as an equivalent fluid medium. A point source is placed on the z-axis at depth z_s . A sketch of the problem is illustrated in Fig. 1. In both the water column (medium 1) and the seabed (medium 2), the acoustic field in the far field can be described, in the frequency domain, by the standard parabolic equation¹ (Jensen *et al.*, 2011)

$$\psi_r = \mathcal{F}, \qquad \mathcal{F} = \frac{ik_0}{2} \left(\varepsilon^2 - 1\right) \psi + \frac{i}{2k_0} \psi_{zz}, \qquad (1)$$

with $\hat{p}'(r,z) = \psi(r,z)\mathcal{H}_0^{(1)}(k_0r)$, where \hat{p}' is the temporal Fourier transform of the perturbation of pressure, ψ an envelope function, \mathcal{H}_0^1 the zeroth-order Hankel function of the first kind, $k_0 = \omega/c_0$ a reference wavenumber computed with respect to a reference speed of sound



Fig. 1. Sketch of the problem

 c_0 and ω the angular frequency. Finally, the term $\varepsilon = c_0/c$ represents the index of refraction, where c is the speed of sound.

At the interface $z = \xi(r)$ between the water column and the seabed, two conditions must be satisfied: the continuity of pressure and the continuity of the normal component of the particle velocity, which can be expressed in terms of the envelope function ψ as (see also Lee and McDaniel (1988))

$$\psi^- = \psi^+, \tag{2a}$$

56

$$\psi_{z}^{-} - \psi_{r}^{-}\xi_{r} + k_{0}\psi^{-}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{(1)}(k_{0}r)}{\mathcal{H}_{0}^{(1)}(k_{0}r)}\xi_{r} = \frac{\rho^{-}}{\rho^{+}}\left[\psi_{z}^{+} - \psi_{r}^{+}\xi_{r} + k_{0}\psi^{+}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{(1)}(k_{0}r)}{\mathcal{H}_{0}^{(1)}(k_{0}r)}\xi_{r}\right],$$
(2b)

⁵⁷ where ρ is the density of the medium, \mathcal{H}_1^1 is the first-order Hankel function of the first kind ⁵⁸ and the superscripts \pm indicate the limits $\lim_{z\to\xi(r)^{\pm}}$ for a given range r.

⁵⁹ 3. An IIM method for the standard parabolic equation



Fig. 2. Seabed interface immersed in the computational grid. Intersection between the interface and (a) the radial direction or (b) the vertical axis.

A uniform mesh $r^n = n\Delta r$, $z_j = j\Delta z$, with $n = 0, 1, ..., N_r$, $j = 0, 1, ..., N_z$, is employed, where Δr and Δz are the step sizes in the radial and vertical directions respectively. In what follows, the subscript j and the superscript n will be used to refer to point (r^n, z_j) . In the present approach, the bathymetry is "immersed" in the computational domain and, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b), might cross the grid both in the radial direction and on the vertical axis. To introduce the new technique, a node (r^n, z_j) away from the interface is first considered. At this regular mesh point, the second derivative $\psi_{zz,j}^n$ is approximated through the standard second order finite difference scheme

$$\psi_{zz,j}^n = \sum_{m=-1}^1 b_m \psi_{j+m}^n$$
, with $b_{-1} = b_{+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta z^2}$, $b_0 = -\frac{2}{\Delta z^2}$

and the solution ψ_j^{n+1} at range r^{n+1} is integrated using the Crank-Nicolson method

$$\frac{\psi_j^{n+1} - \psi_j^n}{\Delta r} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathcal{F}_j^n + \mathcal{F}_j^{n+1} \right].$$

The resulting algorithm is second order accurate both in depth and in range. Nevertheless, at nodes (r^n, z_j) close to the seafloor, the aforementioned schemes cannot be employed. As described in the following two paragraphs, their coefficients are then modified in such a way that the unknown function satisfies not only the governing equation but also the jump conditions.

65 3.1 Range-marching

Let $\rho \in [r^n, r^{n+1}]$ be the interface position on the line $z = z_j$. To integrate the solution ψ_j between ranges r^n and r^{n+1} , Li (1997) elaborated the following first-order accurate scheme

$$\frac{\psi_j^{n+1} - \psi_j^n}{\Delta r} - Q_k^{n+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{F}_j^{n+1} + \mathcal{F}_j^n \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{F}_j^{n+1} - \mathcal{F}_j^n \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{F}_j^n - \mathcal{F}_j^n \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{F$$

⁶⁶ where the correction term $Q_j^{n+1/2}$ is given by

$$Q_{j}^{n+1/2} = -\frac{r^{n} + \Delta r/2 - \varrho}{\Delta r} \xi_{r}(\varrho) \times \begin{cases} +\psi_{z,j}^{n+1} - \psi_{z,j}^{n} & \xi^{n} \ge \xi^{n+1} \\ & & \\ -\psi_{z,j}^{n+1} + \psi_{z,j}^{n} & \xi^{n} < \xi^{n+1} \end{cases}$$
(3)

⁶⁷ Depending on the interface location along the vertical axis, the first derivatives appearing ⁶⁸ in Eq. (3) are computed using a standard or a modified finite difference scheme.

69 3.2 Depth derivative

Let $\xi^n \in [z_j, z_{j+1}]$ be the interface position at range r^n (cf. Fig. 2(b)). As previously mentioned, modified standard finite difference methods, which take into account the jump conditions, are needed at the irregular nodes z_j and z_{j+1} . In what follows, the derivation of such methods shall be treated in details only for the grid point z_j . To begin with, schemes for the derivatives $\psi_{z,j}^n$ and $\psi_{zz,j}^n$ are sought in the forms $\psi_{z,j}^n = \sum_{m=-1}^{1} a_m^{(n,j)} \psi_{j+m}^n$ and ⁷⁵ $\psi_{zz,j}^{n} = \sum_{m=-1}^{1} b_{m}^{(n,j)} \psi_{j+m}^{n}$. Second, up to first order accuracy, the terms $\psi_{z,j}^{n}$ and $\psi_{zz,j}^{n}$ can be ⁷⁶ written as $\psi_{z,j}^{n} = \psi_{z}^{n-} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta z)$ and $\psi_{zz,j}^{n} = \psi_{zz}^{n-} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta z)$. As a consequence, determining ⁷⁷ the $a_{m}^{(n,j)}$'s and the $b_{m}^{(n,j)}$'s amounts to expressing ψ_{z}^{n-} and ψ_{zz}^{n-} as functions of the grid values ⁷⁸ $\psi_{j-1}, \psi_{j}, \psi_{j+1}$. Since ψ_{z}^{n-} and ψ_{zz}^{n-} are linked to the eight jump values $\psi^{n\pm}, \psi_{z}^{n\pm}, \psi_{r}^{n\pm}, \psi_{zz}^{n\pm},$ ⁷⁹ eight equations are required to compute the unknowns $\psi^{n\pm}, \psi_{z}^{n\pm}, \psi_{r}^{n\pm}, \psi_{zz}^{n\pm}$. Two relations ⁸⁰ are provided by the jump conditions (2a) and (2b),

$$\psi^{n-} = \psi^{n+}, \tag{4a}$$

$$\psi_{z}^{n-} - \xi_{r}^{n}\psi_{r}^{n-} + k_{0}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{(1)}(k_{0}r^{n})}{\mathcal{H}_{0}^{(1)}(k_{0}r^{n})}\xi_{r}^{n}\psi^{n-} = \frac{\rho^{n-}}{\rho^{n+}}\left[\psi_{z}^{n+} + \xi_{r}^{n}\psi_{r}^{n+} + k_{0}\frac{\mathcal{H}_{1}^{(1)}(k_{0}r^{n})}{\mathcal{H}_{0}^{(1)}(k_{0}r^{n})}\xi_{r}^{n}\psi^{n+}\right].$$
 (4b)

According to Li (1997), a supplementary expression can be obtained by deriving Eq. (2a) with respect to r. Using the chain rule, it follows that

$$\psi_r^{n-} + \xi_r^n \psi_z^{n-} = \psi_r^{n+} + \xi_r^n \psi_z^{n+}.$$
 (5)

⁸³ Furthermore, Eq. (1) must be satisfied on both sides of the interface, *i.e.*

84

$$\psi_r^{n-} = \mathcal{F}^-, \quad (6a) \qquad \qquad \psi_r^{n+} = \mathcal{F}^+ \quad (6b)$$

⁸⁵ The last three equations are given by the following truncated Taylor expansions

$$\psi_{j-1}^{n} = \psi^{n-} + (z_{j-1} - \xi^{n}) \,\psi_{z}^{n-} + \frac{1}{2} (z_{j-1} - \xi^{n})^{2} \,\psi_{zz}^{n-}, \tag{7a}$$

$$\psi_j^n = \psi^{n-} + (z_j - \xi^n) \,\psi_z^{n-} + \frac{1}{2} (z_j - \xi^n)^2 \,\psi_{zz}^{n-}, \tag{7b}$$

$$\psi_{j+1}^n = \psi^{n+} + (z_{j+1} - \xi^n) \,\psi_z^{n+} + \frac{1}{2} (z_{j+1} - \xi^n)^2 \,\psi_{zz}^{n+}. \tag{7c}$$

Finally, solving the system (4-5-6-7) allows to express the terms ψ_z^- and ψ_{zz}^- as functions of the grid values $\psi_{j-1}, \psi_j, \psi_{j+1}$ and thus to identify the coefficients $a_m^{(n,j)}, b_m^{(n,j)}, m = -1, \dots, 1$. In a similar manner, the derivatives $\psi_{z,j+1}^n$ and $\psi_{zz,j+1}^n$ at node z_{j+1} are computed as $\psi_{z,j+1}^n = \psi_z^{n+} = \sum_{m=0}^2 a_m^{(n,j+1)} \psi_{j+m}^n$ and $\psi_{zz,j+1}^n = \psi_{zz}^{n+} = \sum_{m=0}^2 b_m^{(n,j+1)} \psi_{j+m}^n$. The coefficients $a_m^{(n,j+1)}, b_m^{(n,j+1)}, m = 0, \dots, 2$ are determined from a linear system analogous to the previous one, where Eq. (7a) is replaced by a Taylor expansion for the term ψ_{j+2}^n .

It is worth emphasizing that, since the interface position $\xi(r)$ depends on the range r, the terms $a_m^{(n,j)}, b_m^{(n,j)}, m = -1, ..., 1$, and $a_m^{(n,j+1)}, b_m^{(n,j+1)}, m = 0, ..., 2$, must be computed at each step n.

It is also worth noting that, although the local truncation error near the bottom becomes one order lower than at regular points, the global second order accuracy of the solution remains unaffected (Li, 1997).

To conclude, as in the IFD method, the implicit finite-difference equations which are obtained at grid points away from and close to the seafloor can be recast into a tridiagonal form, allowing standard fast linear solver to be employed. In addition, it is straightforward (although tedious) to show that the present numerical algorithm reduces to the IFD method in the case of an horizontal interface located on the line $z = z_j$.

4. Example of application

In order to show the capabilities of the new approach, the second wedge problem proposed by Jensen and Ferla (1990) and graphically illustrated in Fig. 3 is solved. The environment consists of a homogeneous water column ($c_1 = 1500 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$, $\rho_1 = 1000 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$), limited above by a pressure-release flat sea surface ($\psi(r, 0) = 0$) and below by a sloping seafloor. The water depth is equal to 200 m at the source position and decreases to zero at 4 km range. The



Fig. 3. Test environment

bottom is modeled as a homogeneous fluid half-space with a sound speed of $c_2 = 1700 \,\mathrm{m.s^{-1}}$ 110 and a density of $\rho_2 = 1500 \,\mathrm{kg.m^{-3}}$. A source of frequency equal to $f = 25 \,\mathrm{Hz}$ is placed at 111 $z_s = 100 \,\mathrm{m}$ depth. Finally, the Gaussian starter $\psi(0, z) = \sqrt{k_0} e^{-k_0^2 (z-z_s)^2/2}$ is used (Jensen 112 et al., 2011), where the reference wavenumber k_0 is defined with respect to the speed of sound 113 in the water column, $k_0 = 2\pi f/c_1$. The computational domain is truncated at $D = 350 \,\mathrm{m}$ 114 depth by a pressure-release false bottom ($\psi(r, D) = 0$). In order to avoid spurious reflections, 115 the PML technique developed by Lu and Zhu (2007) is employed. The absorbing layer is 116 located below 300 m depth. Finally, for the present calculations, the grids steps Δr and Δz 117 are both set equal to 1 m. 118

As an illustration, the envelope function $\psi(r, z)$ is displayed in Fig. 4. Acoustic energy penetrates into the bottom at short ranges, where the incidence angle of the beam on the interface is close to $\pi/2$, and around 3.5 km. Besides, the PML technique clearly proves to be effective: in the PML layer, outgoing waves are absorbed without generating spurious reflections toward the water column. The transmission losses $TL(r, z) = -20 \log_{10}(|\psi(r, z)|/\sqrt{r})$



Fig. 4. Envelope function $\psi(r, z)$.

¹²⁵ computed at 30 m and 150 m depth are plotted in Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 5(b) respectively, along ¹²⁶ with the curves obtained by a coordinate rotation (Collins, 1990) and using a standard ¹²⁷ stair-step approximation of the bottom. At both depth, a very good agreement with the ¹²⁸ reference solution determined with the rotated PE equation is observed, which means that, ¹²⁹ as expected, the present results are not affected by energy losses.

130 5. Conclusion

A novel approach for the correct treatment of irregular fluid-fluid interfaces in parabolic wave equation models has been presented. The proposed technique is intrinsically energyconserving and allows to consider generic range-dependent ocean floors. It is based on the immersed interface method, which consists in modifying the numerical algorithm in such a way that the acoustic field near an interface satisfies not only the governing equation but also the jump conditions. The present approach has been derived for the standard parabolic equation and has been validated with a well-known test case. This work represents a first



Fig. 5. Transmission loss at (a) z = 30 m and (b) z = 150 m: present results (solid lines), results obtained with a stair-step approximation (dashed lines), solution computed from a rotated equation (red crosses).

step toward the development of a new methodology for the proper handling of irregular
bottoms in the context of generic wide-angle parabolic equations.

140 Acknowledgments

¹⁴¹ The authors would like to thank Dr. Bruno Lombard for fruitful discussions.

142 References and links

¹⁴³ ¹The partial derivative of a function ψ with respect to a variable r is denoted by ψ_r .

144

¹⁴⁵ Collins, M. D. (1990). "The rotated parabolic equation and sloping ocean bottoms," J.

¹⁴⁶ Acoust. Soc. Am. **87**(3), 1035–1037.

- ¹⁴⁷ Collins, M. D., and Westwood, E. K. (1991). "A higher-order energy-conserving parabolic
- equation for range-dependent ocean depth, sound speed, and density," J. Acoust. Soc. Am.
 89(3), 1068–1075.
- Jensen, F. B., and Ferla, C. M. (1990). "Numerical solutions of range-dependent benchmark
- problems in ocean acoustics," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 87(4), 1499–1510.
- Jensen, F. B., Kuperman, W. A., Porter, M. B., and Schmidt, H. (2011). Computational
 Ocean Acoustics (Springer, New York).
- Lee, D., and McDaniel, S. T. (1988). Ocean acoustic propagation by finite difference method
 (Pergamon Press).
- LeVeque, R. J., and Li, Z. (**1994**). "The immersed interface method for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients and singular sources," SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **31**(4), 1019– 158 1044.
- Li, Z. (1997). "Immersed interface methods for moving interface problems," Numerical Algorithms 14(4), 269–293.
- Lu, Y. Y., and Zhu, J. (2007). "Perfectly matched layer for acoustic waveguide modeling benchmark calculations and perturbation analysis," CMES 22(3), 235–247.
- ¹⁶³ Metzler, A. M., Moran, D., Collis, J. M., Martin, P. A., and Siegmann, W. L. (2014). "A
- scaled mapping parabolic equation for sloping range-dependent environments," J. Acoust.
 Soc. Am. 135(3), EL172–EL178.
- ¹⁶⁶ Xu, C.-X., Tang, J., Piao, S.-C., Liu, J.-Q., and Zhang, S.-Z. (**2016**). "Developments of ¹⁶⁷ parabolic equation method in the period of 2000-2016," Chin. Phys. B **11**(2), 1–12.