# LOCAL  $L^2$ -REGULARITY OF RIEMANN'S FOURIER SERIES

STÉPHANE SEURET\* AND ADRIÁN UBIS

ABSTRACT. We are interested in the convergence and the local regularity of the lacunary Fourier series  $F_s(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{2i\pi n^2 x}}{n^s}$ . In the 1850's, Riemann introduced the series  $F_2$  as a possible example of nowhere differentiable function, and the study of this function has drawn the interest of many mathematicians since then. We focus on the case when  $1/2 < s \leq 1$ , and we prove that  $F_s(x)$ converges when x satisfies a Diophantine condition. We also study the  $L^2$ -local regularity of  $F_s$ , proving that the local  $L^2$ -norm of  $F_s$  around a point x behave differently around different  $x$ , according again to Diophantine conditions on  $x$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Riemann introduced in 1857 the Fourier series

$$
R(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi n^2 x)}{n^2}
$$

as a possible example of continuous but nowhere differentiable function. Though it is not the case (R is differentiable at rationals  $p/q$  where p and q are both odd [\[5\]](#page-20-0)), the study of this function has, mainly because of its connections with several domains: complex analysis, harmonic analysis, Diophantine approximation, and dynamical systems  $[6, 7, 5, 4, 8, 10]$  $[6, 7, 5, 4, 8, 10]$  $[6, 7, 5, 4, 8, 10]$  $[6, 7, 5, 4, 8, 10]$  $[6, 7, 5, 4, 8, 10]$  $[6, 7, 5, 4, 8, 10]$  and more recently  $[2, 3, 12]$  $[2, 3, 12]$  $[2, 3, 12]$ .

In this article, we study the local regularity of the series

(1.1) 
$$
F_s(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{2i\pi n^2 x}}{n^s}
$$

when  $s \in (1/2, 1)$ . In this case, several questions arise before considering its local behavior. First it does not converge everywhere, hence one needs to characterize its set of convergence points; this question was studied in [\[12\]](#page-20-8), and we will first find a slightly more precise characterization. Then, if one wants to characterize the local regularity of a (real) function, one classically studies the pointwise Hölder exponent defined for a locally bounded function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  at a point x by using the functional spaces  $C^{\alpha}(x)$ :  $f \in C^{\alpha}(x)$  when there exist a constant C and a

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 42A20,11K60,28C15, 28A78.

Key words and phrases. Fourier series, Diophantine approximation, local regularity, Hausdorff dimension.

<sup>∗</sup> Research partially supported by the ANR project MUTADIS, ANR-11-JS01-0009.

polynomial P with degree less than  $|\alpha|$  such that, locally around x (i.e. for small  $H$ ), one has

$$
||(f(\cdot) - P(\cdot - x))\mathbf{1}_{B(x,H)}||_{\infty} := \sup(|f(y) - P(y - x)| : y \in B(x,H)) \leq CH^{\alpha},
$$

where  $B(x,H) = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y-x| \leq H\}$ . Unfortunately these spaces are not appropriate for our context since  $F_s$  is nowhere locally bounded (for instance, it diverges at every irreducible rational  $p/q$  such that  $q \neq 2 \times \text{odd}$ .

Following Calderon and Zygmund in their study of local behaviors of solutions of elliptic PDE's [\[1\]](#page-20-9), it is natural to introduce in this case the pointwise  $L^2$ -exponent defined as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function belonging to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The function f is said to belong to  $C_2^{\alpha}(x)$  if there exist a constant C and a polynomial P with degree less than  $|\alpha|$  such that, locally around x (i.e. for small  $H > 0$ , one has

$$
\left(\frac{1}{H}\int_{B(x,H)}|f(h)-P(h-x)|^2dh\right)^{1/2}\leq CH^{\alpha}.
$$

Then, the pointwise  $L^2$ -exponent of f at x is

$$
\alpha_f(x) = \sup \big\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} : f \in C_2^{\alpha}(x) \big\}.
$$

This definition makes sense for the series  $F_s$  when  $s \in (1/2, 1)$ , and are based on a natural generalizations of the spaces  $C^{\alpha}(x)$  be replacing the  $L^{\infty}$  norm by the  $L^2$  norm. The pointwise  $L^2$ -exponent has been studied for instance in [\[11\]](#page-20-10), and is always greater than  $-1/2$  as soon as  $f \in L^2$ .

Our goal is to perform the multifractal analysis of the series  $F_s$ . In other words, we aim at computing the Hausdorff dimension, denoted by dim in the following, of the level sets of the pointwise  $L^2$ -exponents.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function belonging to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . The  $L^2$ multifractal spectrum  $d_f : \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{+\infty\} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{-\infty\}$  of f is the mapping

 $d_f(\alpha) := \dim E_f(\alpha),$ 

where the iso-Hölder set  $E_f(\alpha)$  is

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
E_f(\alpha) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha_f(x) = \alpha \}.
$$

By convention one sets  $d_f(\alpha) = -\infty$  if  $E_f(\alpha) = \emptyset$ .

Performing the multifractal analysis consists in computing its  $L^2$ -multifractal spectrum. This provides us with a very precise description of the distribution of the local  $L^2$ -singularities of f. In order to state our result, we need to introduce some notations.

**Definition 1.3.** Let x be an irrational number, with convergents  $(p_i/q_i)_{i\geq 1}$ . Let us define

(1.2) 
$$
x - \frac{p_j}{q_j} = h_j, \qquad |h_j| = q_j^{-r_j}
$$

with  $2 \leq r_j < \infty$ . Then the approximation rate of x is defined by

$$
r_{\text{odd}}(x) = \overline{\lim}\{r_j : q_j \neq 2 * \text{odd}\}.
$$

This definition always makes sense because if  $q_j$  is even, then  $q_{j+1}$  and  $q_{j-1}$  must be odd (so cannot be equal to 2  $\ast$  odd). Thus, we always have  $2 \le r_{\text{odd}}(x) \le +\infty$ . It is classical that one can compute the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points with the Hausdorff dimension of the points  $x$  with a given approximation rate  $r \geq 2$ :

(1.3) for all 
$$
r \ge 2
$$
,  $\dim\{x \in \mathbb{R} : r_{\text{odd}}(x) = r\} = \frac{2}{r}$ .

When  $s > 1$ , the series  $F_s$  converges, and the multifractal spectrum of  $F_s$  was computed by S. Jaffard in [\[10\]](#page-20-5). For instance, for the classical Riemann's series  $F_2$ , one has

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
d_{F_2}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 4\alpha - 2 & \text{if } \alpha \in [1/2, 3/4], \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 3/2, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Here our aim is to somehow extend this result to the range  $1/2 < s \leq 1$ . The convergence of the series  $F_s$  is described by our first theorem.

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $s \in (1/2, 1]$ , and let  $x \in (0, 1)$  with convergents  $(p_j/q_j)_{j\geq 1}$ . We set for every  $j \geq 1$ 

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\delta_j = \begin{cases}\n1 & \text{if } s \in (1/2, 1) \\
\log(q_{j+1}/q_j) & \text{if } s = 1,\n\end{cases}
$$

and

(1.4) 
$$
\Sigma_s(x) = \sum_{j: q_j \neq 2 \text{ * odd}} \delta_j \sqrt{\frac{q_{j+1}}{(q_j q_{j+1})^s}}.
$$

- (i)  $F_s(x)$  converges whenever  $\frac{s-1+1/r_{\text{odd}}(x)}{2} > 0$ . In fact, it converges whenever  $\Sigma_s(x) < +\infty$ .
- (ii)  $F_s(x)$  does not converge if  $\frac{s-1+1/r_{\text{odd}}(x)}{2} < 0$ . In fact, it does not converge whenever

$$
\overline{\lim}_{j:\,q_j\neq 2 \text{*odd}} \,\,\delta_j \sqrt{\frac{q_{j+1}}{(q_j q_{j+1})^s}} > 0,
$$

In the same way we could extend this results to rational points  $x = p/q$ , by proving that  $F_s(x)$  converges for  $q \neq 2*$ odd and does not for  $q \neq 2*$ odd. Observe that the convergence of  $\Sigma_s(x)$  implies that  $r_{odd}(x) \leq \frac{1}{1-s}$ . Our result asserts that  $F_s(x)$  converges as soon as  $r_{\text{odd}}(x) < \frac{1}{1-s}$ , and also when  $r_{\text{odd}}(x) = \frac{1}{1-s}$  when  $\Sigma_s(x) < +\infty$ .

Jaffard's result is then extended in the following sense:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Theorem 1.5. Let  $s \in (1/2, 1]$ .



FIGURE 1.  $L^2$ -multifractal spectrum of  $F_s$ 

- (i) For every x such that  $\Sigma_s(x) < +\infty$ , one has  $\alpha_{F_s}(x) = \frac{s 1 + 1/r_{\text{odd}}(x)}{2}$ .
- (ii) For every  $\alpha \in [0, s/2 1/4]$

$$
d_{F_s}(\alpha) = 4\alpha + 2 - 2s.
$$

The second part of Theorem [1.5](#page-2-0) follows directly from the first one. Indeed, using part (i) of Theorem [1.5](#page-2-0) and [\(1.3\)](#page-2-1), one gets

$$
d_{F_s}(\alpha) = \dim \left\{ x : \frac{s - 1 + 1/r_{\text{odd}}(x)}{2} = \alpha \right\} = \dim \{ x : r_{\text{odd}}(x) = (2\alpha + 1 - s)^{-1} \}
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{(2\alpha + 1 - s)^{-1}} = 4\alpha + 2 - 2s.
$$

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some notations and preliminary results. In Section 3, we obtain other formulations for  $F_s$  based on Gauss sums, and we get first estimates on the increments of the partial sums of the series  $F_s$ . Using these results, we prove Theorem [1.4](#page-2-2) in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we use the previous estimates to obtain upper and lower bounds for the local  $L^2$ means of the series  $F_s$ , and compute in Section 6 the local  $L^2$ -regularity exponent of  $F_s$  at real numbers x whose Diophantine properties are controlled, namely we prove Theorem [1.5.](#page-2-0)

Finally, let us mention that theoretically the  $L^2$ -exponents of a function  $f \in$  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  take values in the range  $[-1/2, +\infty]$ , so they may have negative values. We believe that this is the case at points x such that  $r_{odd}(x) > \frac{1}{1-s}$ , so that in the end the entire  $L^2$ -multifractal spectrum of  $F_s$  would be  $d_{F_s}(\alpha) = 4\alpha + 2 - 2s$  for all  $\alpha \in [s/2-1/2, s/2-1/4].$ 

Another remark is that for a given  $s \in (1/2, 1)$ , there is an optimal  $p > 2$  such that  $F_s$  belongs locally to  $L^p$ , so that the p-exponents (instead of the 2-exponents) may carry some interesting information about the local behavior of  $F_s$ .

#### 2. Notations and first properties

In all the proofs, C will denote a constant that does not depend on the variables involved in the equations.

For two real numbers  $A, B \geq 0$ , the notation  $A \ll B$  means that  $A \leq CB$  for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of the variables in the problem.

In Section 2 of [\[3\]](#page-20-7) (also in [\[2\]](#page-20-6)), the key point to study the local behavior of the Fourier series  $F_s$  was to obtain an explicit formula for  $F_s(p/q + h) - F_s(p/q)$  in the range  $1 < s < 2$ ; this formula was just a twisted version of the one known for the Jacobi theta function. In our range  $1/2 < s \leq 1$ , such a formula cannot exist because of the convergence problems, but we will get some truncated versions of it in order to prove Theorems [1.4](#page-2-2) and [1.5.](#page-2-0)

Let us introduce the partial sum

$$
F_{s,N}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{e^{2i\pi n^2 x}}{n^s}.
$$

For any  $H \neq 0$  let  $\tilde{\mu}_H$  be the probability measure defined by

(2.1) 
$$
\widetilde{\mu}_H(g) = \int_{\mathcal{C}(H)} g(h) \frac{dh}{2H},
$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(H)$  is the annulus  $\mathcal{C}(H) = [-2H, -H] \cup [H, 2H]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\alpha_f(x) < 1$ , then

(2.2)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\alpha_f(x) = \sup \left\{ \beta \in [0,1) : \exists C > 0, \exists f_x \in \mathbb{R} \, \| f(x + \cdot) - f_x \|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} \le C |H|^{\beta} \right\}.
$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\alpha := \alpha_f(x) < 1$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $C > 0$  and a real number  $f_x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $H > 0$  small enough

$$
\left(\frac{1}{H}\int_{B(x,H)}\left|f(h)-f_x\right|^2dh\right)^{1/2}\leq CH^{\alpha-\varepsilon}
$$

.

Since  $\mathcal{C}(H) \subset B(x, 2H)$ , one has (2.3)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\left\|f(x+\cdot)-f_x\right\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)}=\left(\int_{\mathcal{C}(H)}\left|f(x+h)-f_x\right|^2\frac{dh}{2H}\right)^{1/2}\leq C|2H|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}\ll |H|^{\alpha-\varepsilon}.
$$

Conversely, if  $(2.3)$  holds for every  $H > 0$ , then the result follows from the fact that  $B(x, H) = x + \bigcup_{k \geq 1} C(H/2^k)$  $\Box$ 

So, we will use equation [\(2.2\)](#page-4-1) as definition of the local  $L^2$  regularity.

It is important to notice that the frequencies in different ranges are going to behave differently. Hence, it is better to look at  $N$  within dyadic intervals. Moreover, it will be easier to deal with smooth pieces. This motivates the following definition.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $N \geq 1$  and let  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  function with support included in  $[1/2, 2]$ . One introduces the series

(2.4) 
$$
F_{s,N}^{\psi}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{2i\pi n^2 x}}{n^s} \psi\left(\frac{n}{N}\right),
$$

and for  $R > 0$  one also sets

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
w_R^{\psi}(t) = e^{2i\pi R t^2} \psi(t)
$$

and

$$
E_N^{\psi}(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} w_{N^2 x}^{\psi} \left(\frac{n}{N}\right),
$$

For the function  $\psi_s(t) = t^{-s}\psi(t)$  (which is still  $C^{\infty}$  with support in  $(1/2, 2)$ ) it is immediate to check that

(2.5) 
$$
F_{s,N}^{\psi}(x) = N^{1-s} E_N^{\psi_s}(x).
$$

<span id="page-5-3"></span><span id="page-5-2"></span>3. SUMMATION FORMULA FOR 
$$
F_{s,N}
$$
 and  $F_{s,N}^{\psi}$ 

3.1. Poisson Summation. Let  $p, q$  be coprime integers, with  $q > 0$ . In this section we obtain some formulas for  $F(p/q + h) - F(p/q)$  with  $h > 0$ . This is not a restriction, since

(3.1) 
$$
F_s\left(\frac{p}{q}-h\right)=\overline{F_s\left(\frac{-p}{q}+h\right)}.
$$

We are going to write a summation formula for  $E_N^{\psi}$  $N \choose N(p/q+h)$ , with  $h > 0$ .

#### Proposition 3.2. We have

<span id="page-5-0"></span>(3.2) 
$$
E_N^{\psi} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_m \cdot \widehat{w_{N^2 h}^{\psi}} \left( \frac{Nm}{q} \right)
$$

where  $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-2i\pi t\xi}dt$  stands for the Fourier transform of f and  $(\theta_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$  $J_{\mathbb{R}}$ <br>are some complex numbers whose modulus is bounded by  $\sqrt{2}$ .

.

Proof. We begin by splitting the series into arithmetic progressions

$$
E_N^{\psi} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} e^{2i\pi n^2 \frac{p}{q}} \cdot w_{N^2 h}^{\psi} \left( \frac{n}{N} \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{b=0}^{q-1} e^{2i\pi b^2 \frac{p}{q}} \sum_{\substack{n=1:\\n \equiv b \mod q}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{N} w_{N^2 h}^{\psi} \left( \frac{n}{N} \right).
$$

Now we apply Poisson Summation to the inner sum to get

$$
\sum_{\substack{n=1:\\n\equiv b\bmod{q}}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{N} w_{N^2 h}^{\psi} \left(\frac{n}{N}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{N} w_{N^2 h}^{\psi} \left(\frac{b+nq}{N}\right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{q} e^{2i\pi \frac{bm}{q}} \cdot \widehat{w_{N^2 h}^{\psi}} \left(\frac{Nm}{q}\right)
$$

This yields

$$
E_N^{\psi}\left(\frac{p}{q} + h\right) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_m \cdot \widehat{w_{N^2 h}^{\psi}}\left(\frac{Nm}{q}\right)
$$

with

$$
\tau_m = \sum_{b=0}^{q-1} e^{2i\pi \frac{pb^2 + mb}{q}}.
$$

This term  $\tau_m$  is a Gauss sum. One has the following bounds:

• for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tau_m = \theta_m \sqrt{q}$  with  $\theta_m := \theta_m (p/q)$  satisfying

$$
0 \le |\theta_m| \le \sqrt{2}.
$$

- if  $q = 2 * \text{odd}$ , then  $\theta_0 = 0$ .
- if  $q \neq 2 * \text{odd}$ , then  $1 \leq \theta_0 \leq$ √ 2.

Finally, we get the summation formula  $(3.2)$ .

3.3. Behavior of the Fourier transform of  $w_R^{\psi}$  $_{R}^{\psi}$ . To use formula [\(3.2\)](#page-5-0), one needs to understand the behavior of the Fourier transform of  $w_R^{\psi}$ R

$$
\widehat{w_R^{\psi}}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) e^{2i\pi (Rt^2 - \xi t)} dt.
$$

On one hand, we have the trivial bound  $|w_R^{\psi} \rangle$  $\vert R(\xi) \vert \ll 1$  since  $\psi$  is  $C^{\infty}$ , bounded by 1 and compactly supported. On the other hand, one has

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $R > 0$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\psi$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  function compactly supported inside [1/2,2]. Let us introduce the mapping  $g_R^{\psi}$  $R^{\psi}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
g_R^{\psi}(\xi) = e^{i\pi/4} \frac{e^{-i\pi\xi^2/(2R)}}{\sqrt{2R}} \psi\left(\frac{\xi}{2R}\right).
$$

Then one has

(3.3) 
$$
\widehat{w_R^{\psi}}(\xi) = g_R^{\psi}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}_{\psi} \left( \frac{\rho_{R,\xi}}{\sqrt{R}} + \frac{1}{(1 + R + |\xi|)^{3/2}} \right),
$$

with 
$$
\rho_{R,\xi} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \xi/2R \in [1/2,2] \text{ and } R < 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
. Moreover, one has

<span id="page-6-1"></span>(3.4) 
$$
\widehat{w_{2R}^{\psi}}(\xi) - \widehat{w_R^{\psi}}(\xi) = g_{2R}^{\psi}(\xi) - g_R^{\psi}(\xi) + \mathcal{O}_{\psi} \left( \rho_{R,\xi} \sqrt{R} + \frac{R}{(1 + R + |\xi|)^{5/2}} \right),
$$

Moreover, the constant implicit in  $\mathcal{O}_{\psi}$  depends just on the L<sup>∞</sup>-norm of a finite number of derivatives of  $\psi$ .

*Proof.* For  $\xi/2R \notin [1/2, 2]$  the upper bound [\(3.3\)](#page-6-0) comes just from integrating by parts several times; for  $\xi, R \ll 1$  the bound [\(3.3\)](#page-6-0) is trivial. The same properties hold true for the upper bound in  $(3.4)$ .

Let us assume  $\xi/2R \in [1/2, 2]$ , and  $R > 1$ . The lemma is just a consequence of the stationary phase theorem. Precisely, Proposition 3 in Chapter VIII of [\[13\]](#page-20-11) (and the remarks thereafter) implies that for some suitable functions  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , and if g is such that  $g'(t_0) = 0$  at a unique point  $t_0$ , if one sets

$$
S(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{i\lambda g(t)} dt - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{-i\lambda g''(t_0)}} f(t_0)e^{i\lambda g(t_0)},
$$

then  $|S(\lambda)| \ll \lambda^{-3/2}$  and also  $|S'(\lambda)| \ll \lambda^{-5/2}$ , where the implicit constants depend just on upper bounds for some derivatives of  $f$  and  $g$ , and also on a lower bound for  $g''$ .

In our case, we can apply it with  $f = \psi$ ,  $\lambda = R$ , and  $g(t) = 2\pi(t^2 - \xi/\lambda)$  to get precisely [\(3.3\)](#page-6-0).

With the same choices for  $f$  and  $g$ , by applying the Mean Value Theorem and our bound for  $S'(\lambda)$ , we finally obtain formula [\(3.4\)](#page-6-1).

3.5. Summation formula for the partial series  $F_{s,N}$ . This important formula will be useful to study the convergence of  $F_s(x)$ .

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Proposition 3.6.** Let p, q be two coprime integers. For  $N \ge q$  and  $0 \le h \le q^{-1}$ , we have

$$
F_{s,2N}\left(\frac{p}{q}+h\right) - F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p}{q}+h\right) = \frac{\theta_0}{\sqrt{q}} \int_N^{2N} \frac{e^{2i\pi ht^2}}{t^s} dt + G_{s,2N}(h) - G_{s,N}(h) + \mathcal{O}(N^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \log q),
$$

where

(3.5) 
$$
G_{s,N}(h) = (2hq)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}e^{i\pi/4}\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor 2Nhq \rfloor} \frac{\theta_m}{m^s}e^{-i\pi\frac{m^2}{q^2h}}.
$$

Pay attention to the fact that  $G_{s,N}$  depends on p and q. We omit this dependence in the notation for clarity.

Proof. We can write

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
F_{s,2N}(x) - F_{s,N}(x) = F_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}(x).
$$

Hence, we would like to use the formulas proved in the preceding section, but those formulas apply only to compactly supported  $C^{\infty}$  functions. We thus decompose the indicator function  $\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}$  into a countable sum of  $C^{\infty}$  functions, as follows. Let us consider  $\eta$ , a  $C^{\infty}$  function with support [1/2, 2] such that

$$
\eta(t) = 1 - \eta(t/2) \qquad 1 \le t \le 2.
$$

Then, the function

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\psi(t) = \sum_{k \ge 2} \eta\left(\frac{t}{2^{-k}}\right)
$$

has support in [0, 1/2], equals 1 in [0, 1/4] and is  $C^{\infty}$  in [1/4, 1/2]. Therefore, we have

(3.6) 
$$
\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}(t) = \psi(t-1) + \psi(2-t) + \widetilde{\psi}(t)
$$

with  $\widetilde{\psi}$  some  $C^{\infty}$  function with support included in [1, 2].

In order to get a formula for  $F_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}(x)$ , we are going to use [\(3.6\)](#page-8-0) and the linearity in  $\psi$  of the formula [\(2.4\)](#page-5-1).

We will first get a formula for  $F_{s,N}^{\phi}$ , where  $\phi$  is any  $C^{\infty}$  function supported in [1/2, 2]. In particular, this will work with  $\phi = \tilde{\psi}$ .

<span id="page-8-4"></span>**Lemma 3.7.** Let  $\phi$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  function supported in [1/2, 2]. Then,

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
(3.7) \quad F_{s,N}^{\phi} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right) = \frac{N^{1-s} \theta_0}{\sqrt{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2i\pi N^2 h t^2}}{t^s} \phi(t) dt + G_{s,N}^{\phi}(h) + \mathcal{O}_{\phi} \left( \frac{q}{N^{1/2+s}} \right),
$$
  
where

where

(3.8) 
$$
G_{s,N}^{\phi}(h) = (2hq)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}e^{i\pi/4} \sum_{m\neq 0} \frac{\theta_m}{m^s} e^{-i\pi \frac{m^2}{2q^2h}} \phi\left(\frac{m}{2Nhq}\right).
$$

*Proof.* First, by [\(2.5\)](#page-5-2) one has  $F_{s,N}^{\phi}(x) = N^{1-s} E_N^{\phi_s}$  $_N^{\varphi_s}(x)$ . Further, by  $(3.2)$  one has

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
E_N^{\phi_s} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_m \cdot \widehat{w_{N^2 h}^{\phi_s}} \left( \frac{Nm}{q} \right),
$$

and then, applying Lemma [3.4](#page-6-2) with  $\xi = \frac{Nm}{a}$  $\frac{q}{q}$  and  $R = N^2 h$ , one gets

$$
E_N^{\phi_s} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right) = \theta_0 \frac{\hat{w}_{N^2 h}^{\phi_s}(0)}{\sqrt{q}} + \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m \neq 0} \left( \theta_m \phi_s \left( \frac{m}{2Nqh} \right) \frac{e^{-i\pi \frac{m^2}{2q^2 h}}}{\sqrt{2N^2 h}} + \mathcal{O}\left( (Nm/q)^{-3/2} \right) \right).
$$

When  $\frac{\xi}{2R} = \frac{m}{2Nqh} > 2$ ,  $\phi_s\left(\frac{m}{2Nqh}\right) = 0$ . Recalling that  $N \geq q$ , since  $\phi_s(t) =$  $\phi(t)t^{-s}$ , the above equation can be rewritten

<span id="page-8-3"></span>(3.9) 
$$
F_{s,N}^{\phi} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right) = \frac{N^{1-s} \theta_0}{\sqrt{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2i\pi N^2 h t^2}}{t^s} \phi(t) dt + G_N^{\phi}(h) + \frac{N^{1-s}}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m \ge 1} \mathcal{O}_{\phi} \left( (Nm/q)^{-3/2} \right).
$$

The last term is controlled by

$$
\frac{N^{1-s}}{\sqrt{q}}\left(\frac{1}{(N/q)^{3/2}}\right) = \frac{q}{N^{1/2+s}},
$$

which yields  $(3.7)$ .

Now, one wants to obtain a comparable formula for  $\eta^k(t) := \eta((t-1)/2^{-k})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . We begin with a bound which is good just for large k.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Lemma 3.8.** For any  $k \ge 1$  and  $0 < h \le 1/q$ , one has

$$
F_{s,N}^{\eta^k} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right) = \frac{\theta_0 N^{1-s}}{\sqrt{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2i\pi N^2 h t^2}}{t^s} \eta^k(t) dt + G_{s,N}^{\eta^k}(h) + \mathcal{O}_{\eta} \left( N^{1-s} 2^{-k} \right).
$$

*Proof.* First, when k becomes large, since  $\eta$  has support in [1/2, 2], one has directly: - by [\(2.4\)](#page-5-1):

$$
|F_{s,N}^{\eta^k}(x)| \le \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \left| \eta \left( \frac{\frac{n}{N} - 1}{2^{-k}} \right) \right| \le \sum_{n=N+N}^{N+N} \frac{1}{n^s} \ll N^{1-s} 2^{-k}
$$

- by [\(3.8\)](#page-8-2):

$$
|G_{s,N}^{\eta^k}(h)| \ll (qh)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m:\eta^k(\frac{m}{2Nhq})\neq 0} \frac{1}{m^s} \ll (qh)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2Nhq2^{-k}}{(2Nhq)^s} \ll \sqrt{qh2^{-k}} N^{1-s}
$$

- and

$$
\left|\frac{\theta_0 N^{1-s}}{\sqrt{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2i\pi N^2 h t^2}}{t^s} \eta^k(t) dt \right| \ll N^{1-s} q^{-1/2} \int_{1+2^{-k-1}}^{1+2^{-k+1}} \frac{dt}{t^s} \ll 2^{-k} N^{1-s} q^{-1/2},
$$

hence the result by [\(3.9\)](#page-8-3), where we used that  $qh \leq 1$ .

$$
\Box
$$

One can obtain another bound that is good for any  $k$ .

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Lemma 3.9.** For every  $k \geq 2$  and  $0 < h \leq 1$ , one has

$$
F_{s,N}^{\eta^k}\left(\frac{p}{q}+h\right) = \frac{\theta_0 N^{1-s}}{\sqrt{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2i\pi N^2 h t^2}}{t^s} \eta^k(t) dt + G_{s,N}^{\eta^k}(h) + \mathcal{O}_\eta \left(\frac{\sqrt{q}}{N^s} + N^{1/2-s} \gamma_k\right),
$$
  
where the sequence  $(\gamma_k)_{k>1}$  is positive and satisfies  $\sum_{k>1} \gamma_k \ll 1$ 

where the sequence  $(\gamma_k)_{k\geq 1}$  is positive and satisfies  $\sum_{k\geq 1} \gamma_k \ll 1$ .

Proof. The proof starts as the one of Lemma [3.7.](#page-8-4) Using the fact that for any  $(\eta^k)_s(t) = \frac{\eta((t-1)/2^{-k})}{t^s}$  and that

$$
\widehat{w_R^{(\eta^k)s}}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\eta^k)_s(t) e^{2i\pi (Rt^2 - t\xi)} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta(\frac{t-1}{2^{-k}})}{t^s} e^{2i\pi (Rt^2 - t\xi)} dt
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2^{-k} e^{2i\pi (R-\xi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\eta(u)}{(1 + u^{2^{-k}})^s} e^{2i\pi (R2^{-2k}u^2 - 2^{-k}(\xi - 2R)u)} du
$$
  
\n
$$
= 2^{-k} e^{2i\pi (R-\xi)} \widehat{w_{R2^{-2k}}}^{\eta^k}(2^{-k}(\xi - 2R)),
$$

<span id="page-10-0"></span>where 
$$
\widetilde{\eta^k}(u) = \frac{\eta(u)}{(1+u^{2-k})^s}
$$
. Hence,  
\n
$$
E_N^{(\eta^k)s} \left(\frac{p}{q} + h\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_m \cdot \widehat{w_{N^2h}^{(\eta^k)s}} \left(\frac{Nm}{q}\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2^{-k}}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_m \cdot e^{2i\pi(N^2h - \frac{Nm}{q})} \widehat{w_{N^2h2^{-2k}}^{(\eta^k)}} \left(2^{-k} \left(\frac{Nm}{q} - 2N^2h\right)\right)
$$

Here we apply again Lemma [3.4](#page-6-2) and we obtain

$$
E_N^{(\eta^k)_s} \left(\frac{p}{q} + h\right) = \frac{2^{-k}}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{m \neq 0} \theta_m e^{2i\pi (N^2 h - \frac{Nm}{q})} \times \left(e^{i\pi/4} \widetilde{\eta^k} \left(\frac{2^{-k} \left(\frac{Nm}{q} - 2N^2 h\right)}{2N^2 h 2^{-2k}}\right) e^{-i\pi \frac{2^{-2k} \left(\frac{Nm}{q} - 2N^2 h\right)^2}{2N^2 h 2^{-2k}}} + \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\eta^k}} \left(\frac{\rho_{R_k, \xi_k}}{\sqrt{2^{-2k} N^2 h}} + \left(1 + 2^{-k} \left|\frac{Nm}{q} - 2N^2 h\right| + N^2 h 2^{-2k}\right)^{-3/2}\right)\right).
$$

with  $R_k = 2^{-2k} N^2 h$  and  $\xi_k = 2^{-k} |Nm/q - 2N^2 h|$ . Finally, after simplification, one gets

$$
F_{s,N}^{\eta^k} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right) = N^{1-s} E_N^{(\eta^k)s} \left( \frac{p}{q} + h \right)
$$
  
(3.11)
$$
= \frac{(2qh)^{s-\frac{1}{2}}}{e^{-i\pi/4}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\theta_m}{m^s} e^{-2i\pi \frac{m^2}{q^2 h}} \eta^k \left( \frac{m}{2Nhq} \right)
$$

(3.12)

$$
= \frac{\theta_0 N^{1-s}}{\sqrt{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2i\pi N^2 h t^2}}{t^s} \eta^k(t) dt + G_{s,N}^{\eta^k}(h) + L_N^k,
$$

where by Lemmas [3.7](#page-8-4) and [3.8](#page-9-0) one has

$$
L_N^k = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\eta^k}}\left( \sum_{m \in J_N^k \cap \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{2^{-k} N^{1-s} / \sqrt{q}}{\sqrt{2^{-2k} N^2 h}} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{2^{-k} N^{1-s} / \sqrt{q}}{(1 + 2^{-k} |\frac{Nm}{q} - 2N^2 h| + N^2 h 2^{-2k})^{3/2}} \right),
$$

with  $J_N^k = [(2 + 2^{-k-1})Nqh, (2 + 2^{-k+1})Nqh].$ 

First, as specified in Lemma [3.4,](#page-6-2) the constants involved in the  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\eta^k}}$  depend on upper bounds for some derivatives of  $\widetilde{\eta^k}$ , and then by the definition of  $\widetilde{\eta^k}$  we can assume they are fixed and independent on both  $k$  and  $s$ .

Let  $\{x\}$  stand for the distance from the real number x to the nearest integer. The first sum in  $L_N^k$  is bounded above by:

- $\sqrt{q}N^{-s} + N^{1/2-s}$  when  $2^{-k} \in \left[ \frac{\{2Nhq\}}{\{4Nhq\}} \frac{\{2Nhq\}}{\{Nhq\}} \right]$ ,
- $\sqrt{q}N^{-s}$  otherwise.

In particular, x being fixed, the term  $N^{1/2-s}$  may appear only a finite number of times when  $k$  ranges in  $\mathbb N$ .

In the second sum, there is at most one integer m for which  $|Nm/q - 2N^2h|$  $N/2q$ , and the corresponding term is bounded above by

$$
2^{-k}N^{1-s}q^{-1/2}(1+N/q2^{-k}+|N^2h|^{-2k})^{-3/2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq 2^{-k}N^{1-s}q^{-1/2}(1+N/q2^{-2k})^{-3/2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= N^{1/2-s}\frac{N^{1/2}q^{-1/2}2^{-k}}{(1+N/q2^{-2k})^{-3/2}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq N^{1/2-s}\gamma_k,
$$

where  $\gamma_k = \sqrt{\frac{u_k}{(1+u_k)^3}}$  and  $u_k = 2^{-2k}N/q$ . The sum over k of this upper bound is finite, and this sum can be bounded above independently on  $N$  and  $q$ .

The rest of the sum is bounded, up to a multiplicative constant, by

$$
\int_{u=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{q}N^{-s} (2^{-k}N/q)du}{(1+N^2h2^{-2k}+2^{-k}|\frac{Nu}{q}-2N^2h|)^{3/2}} \ll \frac{\sqrt{q}N^{-s}}{(1+N^2h2^{-2k})^{1/2}} \ll \sqrt{q}N^{-s},
$$
  
hence the result.

Now we are ready to prove Proposition [3.6.](#page-7-0)

Recall that  $N \ge q$  and  $0 \le h \le q^{-1}$ . Let K be the unique integer such that  $2^{-K} \leq \frac{\sqrt{q}}{N}$  $\frac{\sqrt{q}}{N}$  < 2<sup>-(K+1)</sup>. We need to bound by above the sum of the errors  $L_N^k$ .

• when  $k \geq K$ : we use Lemma [3.8](#page-9-0) to get

$$
\sum_{k\geq K} |L_N^k| \ll N^{1-s} 2^K \ll N^{1-s} \frac{\sqrt{q}}{N} = \frac{\sqrt{q}}{N^s} \leq \frac{1}{N^{s-1/2}}.
$$

• the remaining terms are simply bounded using Lemma [3.9](#page-9-1) by

$$
\sum_{k=2}^K |L_N^k| \ll K \frac{\sqrt{q}}{N^s} + N^{1/2-s} \ll \log N \frac{\sqrt{q}}{N^s} + N^{1/2-s} \ll \log q \frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^s} = \frac{\log q}{N^{s-1/2}},
$$

where we use that the mapping  $x \mapsto$  $\sqrt{x}$  $\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}$  is increasing for large x.

Gathering all the informations, and recalling that  $\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \eta^k(t) = \psi(t-1)$ , we have that

$$
F_{s,N}^{\psi(\cdot-1)}\left(\frac{p}{q}+h\right)=\frac{N^{1-s}\theta_0}{\sqrt{q}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{e^{2i\pi N^2ht^2}}{t^s}\psi(t-1)dt+G_{s,N}^{\psi(\cdot-1)}(h)+\mathcal{O}_\eta\left(\frac{\log q}{N^{s-1/2}}\right).
$$

The same inequalities remain true if we use the functions  $\eta^k = \eta((2-t)/2^{-k}),$ so the last inequality also holds for  $\psi(2-\cdot)$ 

Finally, recalling the decomposition [\(3.6\)](#page-8-0) expressing  $\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}$  in terms of smooth functions, we get

$$
(3.13) \quad F_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}\left(\frac{p}{q}+h\right) = \frac{N^{1-s}\theta_0}{\sqrt{q}} \int_1^2 \frac{e^{2i\pi N^2 h t^2}}{t^s} dt + G_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}(h) + \mathcal{O}_\eta\left(\frac{\log q}{N^{s-1/2}}\right),
$$

and the result follows.  $\Box$ 

### 4. Proof of the convergence theorem [1.4](#page-2-2)

## 4.1. Convergence part: item (i). Let x be such that  $(1.4)$  holds true.

Recall the definition  $(1.2)$  of the convergents of x. We begin by bounding  $F_{s,M}(x) - F_{s,N}(x)$  for any

$$
q_j/4 \le N < M < q_{j+1}/4.
$$

We apply Proposition [3.6](#page-7-0) with  $p/q = p_j/q_j$  and  $h = h_j$ , so that  $x = p/q + h$ . Due to [\(3.1\)](#page-5-3), we can assume that  $h_j > 0$ . It is known that for  $\frac{1}{2q_j q_{j+1}} \leq h_j =$  $|x-p_j/q_j| < \frac{1}{q_jq_j}$  $\frac{1}{q_j q_{j+1}}$ .

First, since  $4Nh_jq_j < 4N/q_{j+1} < 1$ , the sums [\(3.5\)](#page-7-1) appearing in  $G_{s,2N}(h_j)$  and  $G_{s,N}(h_j)$  have no terms, hence are equal to zero. This yields

$$
F_{s,2N}(x) - F_{s,N}(x) = \frac{\theta_0}{\sqrt{q_j}} \int_N^{2N} \frac{e^{2i\pi h_j t^2}}{t^s} dt + O(N^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \log q_j).
$$

It is immediate to check that  $\int_a^{2a} t^{-s} e^{2i\pi t^2} dt \ll \min(a^{-s-1}, a^{-s+1})$ , thus

$$
\left| \int_{N}^{2N} \frac{e^{2i\pi h_j t^2}}{t^s} dt \right| \ll |h_j|^{s/2 - 1/2} \left| \int_{N\sqrt{h_j}}^{2N\sqrt{h_j}} \frac{e^{2i\pi u^2}}{u^s} du \right|
$$
  

$$
\ll |h_j|^{-1/2} N^{-s} \min(|N\sqrt{h_j}|^{-1}, |N\sqrt{h_j}|).
$$

One deduces (using that  $q_j h_j$  is equivalent to  $q_{j+1}^{-1}$ ) that

$$
|F_{s,2N}(x)-F_{s,N}(x)| \ll |\theta_0|\frac{\sqrt{q_{j+1}}}{N^s}\min(\frac{N}{\sqrt{q_jq_{j+1}}},\frac{\sqrt{q_jq_{j+1}}}{N})+N^{\frac{1}{2}-s}\log q_j.
$$

Thus, by writing  $F_{s,M}(x) - F_{s,N}(x)$  as a dyadic sum we have

$$
|F_{s,M}(x) - F_{s,N}(x)| \ll |\theta_0|\delta_j \frac{\sqrt{q_{j+1}}}{(\sqrt{q_j q_{j+1}})^s} + \frac{\log q_j}{q_j^{s-1/2}}.
$$

Recalling that  $\theta_0$  is equal to zero when  $q_j \neq 2 \times \text{odd}$ , fixing an integer  $j_0 \geq 1$ , for any  $M > N > q_{j_0}$ , one has

$$
|F_{s,M}(x) - F_{s,N}(x)| \ll \sum_{j \ge j_0, q_j \ne 2 \text{*odd}} \delta_j \frac{\sqrt{q_{j+1}}}{(\sqrt{q_j q_{j+1}})^s} + \sum_{j \ge j_0} \frac{\log q_j}{q_j^{s-1/2}} + \sum_{j \ge j_0} \frac{1}{q_{j+1}^{s-1/2}}.
$$

The second and third series always converge when  $j_0 \to \infty$ , and the first does when  $\Sigma_s(x) < \infty$ .

4.2. Divergence part: item (ii). Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$  a small constant. Let  $N_j = \varepsilon q_j$  and  $M_j = 2\varepsilon \sqrt{q_j q_{j+1}}$ . Proceeding exactly as in the previous proof we get

$$
F_{s,M_j}(x) - F_{s,N_j}(x) = \frac{\theta_0}{\sqrt{q_j}} \int_{N_j}^{M_j} \frac{e^{2i\pi h_j t^2}}{t^s} dt + \mathcal{O}\left(q_j^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \log q_j\right).
$$

Since  $e^{2i\pi h_j t^2} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  inside the integral, as soon as  $q_j \neq 2 * \text{odd}$ , one has

$$
|F_{s,M_j}(x)-F_{s,N_j}(x)| \geq \frac{|\theta_0|}{\sqrt{q_j}} \frac{M_j-N_j}{2 \cdot M_j^s} \geq |\theta_0| \varepsilon \frac{2\sqrt{q_{j+1}}-\sqrt{q_j}}{2^{1+s} \cdot \varepsilon^s \cdot (q_j q_{j+1})^{s/2}} \gg \sqrt{\frac{q_{j+1}}{(q_j q_{j+1})^s}},
$$

which is infinitely often large by our assumption. Hence the divergence of the series.

# 5. LOCAL  $L^2$  bounds for the function  $F_s$

Further intermediary results are needed to study the local regularity of  $F_s$ .

<span id="page-13-2"></span>**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $h > 0$ ,  $1/2 < s < 3/2$  and  $q^2h \ll 1$ . We have

<span id="page-13-0"></span>(5.1) 
$$
F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p}{q}+2h\right) - F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p}{q}+h\right) = \frac{\theta_0}{\sqrt{q}} \int_0^N \frac{e^{2i\pi 2ht^2} - e^{2i\pi ht^2}}{t^s} dt + G_{s,N}(2h) - G_{s,N}(h) + \mathcal{O}\left(|qh|^{s-1/2}\right).
$$

Proof. First, one writes

<span id="page-13-1"></span>(5.2) 
$$
F_{s,N}(x) = F_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}}(x) = \sum_{m \ge 1} F_{s,N/2^m}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}(x).
$$

Observe that when  $N$  is divisible by 2, there may be some terms appearing twice in the preceding sum, so there is not exactly equality. Nevertheless, in this case, only a few terms are added and they do not change our estimates. This is left to the reader.

We are going to estimate [\(5.1\)](#page-13-0) but with  $F_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}$  and  $G_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}$  instead of  $F_{s,N}$  and  $G_{s,N}$ , with an error term suitably bounded by above. Then, using this result with N substituted by  $N/2^m$ , and then summing over  $m = 1, ..., \lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor$  will give the result (for  $m > \lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor$ , the sum  $F_{s, N/2^m}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}$  is empty).

We start from equation  $(3.10)$  applied with h and  $2h$ , and then we apply Lemma [3.4,](#page-6-2) but this time equation [\(3.4\)](#page-6-1) instead of [\(3.3\)](#page-6-0). Let us introduce for all integers  $k$  the quantity

(5.3) 
$$
E_N^k := F_{s,N}^{\eta^k} \left(\frac{p}{q} + 2h\right) - F_{s,N}^{\eta^k} \left(\frac{p}{q} + h\right) + \frac{\theta_0 N^{1-s}}{\sqrt{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2i\pi N^2 2ht^2} - e^{2i\pi N^2 ht^2}}{t^s} \eta^k(t) dt + G_{s,N}^{\eta^k}(2h) - G_{s,N}^{\eta^k}(h),
$$

with  $\eta^k$  defined as in Proposition [3.6.](#page-7-0) By the exact same computations as in Lemma [3.9,](#page-9-1) one obtains the upper bound

$$
|E_N^k| \ll \beta_N^k \sum_{m \in J_k \cap \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{2^{-k} N^{1-s} / \sqrt{q}}{(2^{-2k} N^2 h)^{-1/2}} + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^*} \frac{(2^{-k} N^{1-s} / \sqrt{q}) N^2 h 2^{-2k}}{(1 + N^2 h 2^{-2k} + 2^{-k} |\frac{Nm}{q} - 2N^2 h|)^{5/2}},
$$
  
with  $J_N^k = [(2 + 2^{-k-1}) N q h, (2 + 2^{-k+1}) N q h]$  and  
 $\beta_N^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2^{-2k} N^2 h \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

Then, as at the end of the proof of Lemma [3.9,](#page-9-1) since  $h \ll q^{-2}$ , we can bound the sums by

$$
|E_N^k| \ll \beta_N^k 2^{-2k} N^{2-s} \frac{\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{q}} + \frac{(N^{1/2-s})\sqrt{(N/q)2^{-2k}}N^2h2^{-2k}}{(1+N^2h2^{-2k}+(N/q)2^{-2k})^{5/2}} + \frac{(\sqrt{q}N^{-s})N^2h2^{-2k}}{(1+N^2h2^{-2k})^{3/2}}
$$

and then adding up in  $k \geq 1$  we get

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_N^k| \ll \widetilde{E}_N = \frac{\sqrt{1/hq}}{N^s} \min(1, Nqh) + \frac{\sqrt{N}}{N^s} \min(1, Nqh) + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{N^s} \min(1, N^2h).
$$

The same holds true for the functions  $\eta^k = \eta((2-t)/2^{-k})$ , and for  $\tilde{\psi}$  since it is similar to  $\eta^1$ , so by [\(3.6\)](#page-8-0) we finally obtain that

$$
(5.4) \tF_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}\left(\frac{p}{q}+2h\right)-F_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}\left(\frac{p}{q}+h\right) = \frac{\theta_0}{\sqrt{q}}\int_0^N \frac{e^{2i\pi 2ht^2}-e^{2i\pi ht^2}}{t^s}dt
$$

$$
+G_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}(2h)-G_{s,N}^{\mathbf{1}_{[1,2]}}(h)
$$

$$
+\mathcal{O}\left(\widetilde{E}_N\right).
$$

The same holds true with  $N/2^m$  instead of N. To get the result, using  $(5.2)$ , it is now enough to sum the last inequality over  $m = 1, ..., \lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor$ . Let us treat the first term. One has

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor} \frac{\sqrt{1/hq}}{N^s} \min(1, Nqh) = \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor} \frac{\sqrt{1/hq}}{(N2^m)^s} \min(1, N2^m qh)
$$
  

$$
= \frac{\sqrt{1/hq}}{N^s} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor} \min(2^{ms}, N2^{m(1-s)} qh)
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{\sqrt{1/hq}}{N^s} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \log_2 N \rfloor} \min(2^{ms}, N2^{m(1-s)} qh)
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{\sqrt{1/hq}}{N^s} 2^{Ms},
$$

where M is the integer part of the solution of the equation  $2^{Ms} = N2^{m(1-s)}qh$ , i.e.  $2^M \approx Nqh$ . Hence the first sum is bounded above by  $\frac{\sqrt{1/qh^{s}}}{(1/qh)^s}$  $\frac{\sqrt{1/qh}}{(1/qh)^s}$ . The other terms are treated similarly, and finally [\(5.1\)](#page-13-0) is true with an error term bounded by above by

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1/qh}}{(1/qh)^s} + \frac{\sqrt{q}}{(h^{-1/2})^{-s}}\right)
$$
  
\$\ll q^{-2}\$.

which is  $\mathcal{O}((qh)^{s-1/2})$  on  $h \ll q^{-2}$ 

We also need to control the  $L^2$  norm of the main term.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $0 < s \le 1$  and fix  $0 < H < 1$ . Let

$$
f_{s,N}(\cdot) = \int_0^N \frac{e^{2i\pi t^2(\delta+2\cdot)} - e^{2i\pi t^2(\delta+\cdot)}}{t^s} dt.
$$
  
0.  $|| f_{s,N}(\cdot) ||_{s,s,\infty} \ll \min(H^{(s-1)/2} H^{|\delta| (s-3))}$ 

Then for any  $N > 0$ ,  $||f_{s,N}(\cdot)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} \ll \min\left(H^{(s-1)/2}, H|\delta|\right)$  $\frac{(s-3)}{2}$ .

*Proof.* • Let us treat first the case  $|\delta|$  < H/4. Using a change of variable, one has

$$
f_{s,N}(h) = H^{(s-1)/2} \int_0^{N\sqrt{H}} \frac{e^{2i\pi t^2 \frac{\delta + 2h}{H}} - e^{2i\pi t^2 \frac{\delta + h}{H}}}{t^s} dt.
$$

We are interested in the range  $H < h < 2H$ , and in this case the ratios  $\frac{\delta + 2h}{H}$ ,  $\delta + h$  $\frac{+h}{H}$  are bounded, so that the integral is bounded by a constant independent of N. One deduces that  $||f_{s,N}(\cdot)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} \ll H^{(s-1)/2}$ .

• Assume then that  $|\delta| > 4H$ . Assume that  $\delta > 0$  (the same holds true with negative  $\delta$ 's). Using a change of variable, one has

$$
f_{s,N}(h) = |\delta|^{(s-1)/2} \int_0^{N\sqrt{|\delta|}} \frac{e^{2i\pi t^2(1+\frac{2h}{\delta})} - e^{2i\pi t^2(1+\frac{h}{\delta})}}{t^s} dt.
$$

The integral between 0 and 1 is clearly  $\mathcal{O}(h/|\delta|)$ . For the other part, one has (after integration by parts)

$$
\int_1^{N\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{e^{2i\pi t^2(1+\frac{2h}{\delta})}-e^{2i\pi t^2(1+\frac{h}{\delta})}}{t^s} dt = \mathcal{O}(h/|\delta|),
$$

so that  $|f_{s,N}(h)| \ll H|\delta|^{(s-3)/2}$  for any  $H < h < 2H$ . Hence  $||f_{s,N}(\cdot)||_{L^2(\tilde{\mu}_H)} \ll$  $H|\delta|^{(s-3)/2}.$ 

• It remains us to deal with the case  $H/4 < \delta \leq 4H$ . One observes that

$$
f_{s,N}(h) = D(\delta + 2h) - D(\delta + h) + \mathcal{O}(H), \quad \text{where} \quad D(v) = \int_1^N t^{-s} e^{2i\pi vt^2} dt.
$$

It is enough to get the bound

$$
\int_0^H |D(v)|^2 dv \ll H^s,
$$

which follows from the fact that  $|D(v)| \ll |v|^{(s-1)/2}$  when  $s < 1$  and  $|D(v)| \ll$  $1 + \log(1/|v|)$  when  $s = 1$ .

 $\Box$ 

Finally, the oscillating behavior of  $G_{s,N}(h)$  gives us the following.

<span id="page-16-1"></span>**Proposition 5.3.** Let  $0 < H \leq q^{-2}$  and  $|\delta| \leq \sqrt{H/q}$ . Let

$$
g_{s,N}(\cdot) = F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p}{q} + \delta + 2\cdot\right) - F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p}{q} + \delta + \cdot\right) - \frac{\theta_0}{\sqrt{q}}f_{s,N}(\cdot).
$$

One has  $||g_{s,N}||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} \ll H^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}}$ .

*Proof.* We consider  $\mu_H = (\tilde{\mu}_H)_{\mathbb{R}^+}$ . By [\(3.1\)](#page-5-3), it is enough to treat the case  $\delta + h > 0$ and  $\delta + 2h > 0$ . Proposition [5.1,](#page-13-2) applied successively with  $h_n := 2^{-n}(\delta + h)$  and  $\widetilde{h}_n := 2^{-n}(\delta + h/2)$ , and summing over  $n \geq 0$ , we get that

(5.5) 
$$
g_{s,N}(h) = G_{s,N}(\delta + 2h) - G_{s,N}(\delta + h) + O((q(|\delta| + |h))^{s-1/2}).
$$

Thus, since  $q(|\delta|+|h|) \ll$  $H$ , it is enough to show that

(5.6) 
$$
||G_{s,N}(\delta+\cdot)||_{L^2(\mu_H)} \ll H^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}}.
$$

Assume first that  $|\delta| \geq 3H$ . By expanding the square and changing the order of summation, and using that  $\delta + 2H \leq 2|\delta|$ , we have for some  $c_{n,m} \geq 0$ 

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
||G_{s,N}(\delta + \cdot)||_{L^{2}(\mu_{H})}^{2} \ll (q|\delta|)^{2s-1} \sum_{n,m=1}^{2\lfloor 2N|\delta|q \rfloor} \frac{|\theta_{m}|}{m^{s}} \frac{|\theta_{n}|}{n^{s}} \left| \int_{\delta + H + c_{n,m}}^{\delta + 2H} e^{2i\pi \frac{n^{2} - m^{2}}{q^{2}h}} \frac{dh}{H} \right|
$$
  

$$
\ll (q|\delta|)^{2s-1} \sum_{n,m=1}^{2\lfloor 2N|\delta|q \rfloor} \frac{|\theta_{m}|}{m^{s}} \frac{|\theta_{n}|}{n^{s}} \left| \int_{\delta + H}^{\delta + 2H} e^{2i\pi \frac{n^{2} - m^{2}}{q^{2}h}} \frac{dh}{H} \right|.
$$

Since for  $|M| \geq 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ 

$$
\int_{1}^{1+\varepsilon} e^{2i\pi \frac{M}{t}} dt \ll \frac{1}{|M|},
$$

the previous sum is bounded above by

$$
(q|\delta|)^{2s-1} \left[ \sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{1}{m^{2s}} + \frac{|\delta|}{H} q^2 |\delta| \sum_{m\geq 1} \frac{1}{m^{1+s}} \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{1}{j^{1+s}} \right],
$$

with  $j = |n - m|$ . The term between brackets is bounded by a universal constant (since  $q^2\delta^2/H \leq 1$ ), hence [\(5.6\)](#page-16-0) holds true. It is immediate that the same holds true with  $(\widetilde{\mu}_H)_{|\mathbb{R}^-}$ .

Further, assume that  $|\delta| < 3H$ . Setting  $H_k = 2^{-k}H$ , one has

$$
||G_{s,N}(\delta+\cdot)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)}^2 \leq \int_0^{5H} |G_{s,N}(h)|^2 \frac{dh}{H} \leq \sum_{k \geq -2} 2^{-k} ||G_{s,N}(\cdot)||_{L^2(\mu_{H_k})}^2.
$$

Now, observing that  $[H_k, H_{k-1}] \subset 3H_k + ([-2H_k, -H_k] \cup [H_k, 2H_k])$ , one can apply [\(5.6\)](#page-16-0) with  $H = H_k$  and  $\delta = 3H_k$  to get

$$
\|G_{s,N}(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mu_{H_k})}^2\leq \|G_{s,N}(\delta_k+\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mu_{H_k})}\leq H_k^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}}=H^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}}2^{-k\frac{s-1/2}{2}}.
$$

Summing over k yields the result.  $\square$ 

### 6. Proof of Theorem [1.5](#page-2-0)

6.1. Lower bound for the local  $L^2$ -exponent  $\alpha_{F_s}$ . Assume that  $\Sigma_s(x) < \infty$ (see equation [\(1.4\)](#page-2-3)), so that the series  $F_{s,N}(x)$  converges to  $F_s(x)$ . Recall that  $p_j/q_j$  stands for the partial quotients of x.

Pick N such that  $0 \leq |F_s(x) - F_{s,N}(x)| < H$  and  $N^{\frac{1}{2} - s} \leq H^2$ . Since

$$
||F_s(x + \cdot) - F_{s,N}(x + \cdot)||_{L^2(\tilde{\mu}_H)} \le \frac{||F_s(x + \cdot) - F_{s,N}(x + \cdot)||_{L^2([0,1])}}{H/2}
$$
  

$$
\ll \frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}-s}}{H} \le H,
$$

and since one has

 $F_s(x+) - F_s(x) = F_s(x+) - F_{s,N}(x+) + F_{s,N}(x+) - F_{s,N}(x) + F_{s,N}(x) - F_s(x),$ one deduces that

$$
||F_s(x + \cdot) - F_s(x)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} = ||F_{s,N}(x + \cdot) - F_{s,N}(x)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} + \mathcal{O}(H).
$$

Thus, it is enough to take care of the local  $L^2$ -norm of  $F_{s,N}(x+h)-F_{s,N}(x)$ . One has

$$
||F_{s,N}(x+h) - F_{s,N}(x)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} ||F_{s,N}(x+2\frac{1}{2^k}) - F_{s,N}(x+\frac{1}{2^k})||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)}
$$
  
(6.1)  

$$
\leq \sum_{k \geq 1} ||F_{s,N}(x+2\cdot) - F_{s,N}(x+\cdot)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)},
$$

where  $H_k = H2^{-k}$ . Let us introduce the function  $f(h) = F_{s,N}(x+2h) - F_{s,N}(x+2h)$  $h$ ).

Let  $j_H$  be the smallest integer such that  $q_j^{-2} \leq H$ . For every  $k \geq 1$ , and let j be the unique integer such that  $q_{j+1}^{-2} \leq H_k < q_j^{-2}$  (necessarily  $j \geq j_H - 1$ ). Using that  $|x - p_j/q_j| = |h_j| \le q_j^{-2}$ , one sees that

$$
||f||_{L^{2}(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_{k}})} = \left||F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}} + h_{j} + 2\cdot\right) - F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}} + h_{j} + \cdot\right)\right||_{L^{2}(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_{k}})}.
$$

Since  $|h_j|$  <  $1/q_jq_{j+1}$   $\leq$  $\overline{H_k}/q_j$ , we can apply Proposition [5.3](#page-16-1) and Lemma [5.2](#page-15-0) with  $H_k$  and  $\delta = h_j$  to get

$$
||f||_{L^{2}(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_{k}})} \le H_{k}^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}} + \frac{|\theta_{0}|}{\sqrt{q_{j}}}\min\left(H_{k}^{(s-1)/2}, H_{k}|h_{j}|^{(s-3)/2}\right)
$$
  

$$
\le H_{k}^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}} + \frac{|\theta_{0}|}{\sqrt{q_{j}}}H_{k}^{(s-1)/2}\min\left(1, \left|\frac{h_{j}}{H_{k}}\right|^{(s-3)/2}\right).
$$

In order to finish the proof we are going to consider three different cases:

(1) 
$$
s - 1 + 1/2r_{\text{odd}}(x) > 0
$$
: Since  $h_j = q_j^{-r_j}$  we have  

$$
||f||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_k})} \ll H_k^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}} + |\theta_0| H_k^{(s-1)/2} \min\left(|h_j|^{\frac{1}{2r_j}}, \frac{H_k^{(3-s)/2}}{|h_j|^{\frac{3-s}{2} - \frac{1}{2r_j}}}\right)
$$

and optimizing in  $|h_i|$  we get

$$
\|f\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_k})} \ll H_k^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}}+ |\theta_0| H_k^{\frac{s-1+1/2r_j}{2}} \ll H_k^{(s-1+1/2r_{\text{odd}}(x)+o(H_k))/2}
$$

by the definition of  $r_{\text{odd}}(x)$ . Adding up in k finishes the proof in this case.

 $\sum_{k\geq 1} ||f||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_k})} < \infty$ . We have (2)  $s - 1 + 1/2r_{odd}(x) = 0$  and  $s = 1$ : In this case it is enough to show that

$$
||f||_{L^{2}(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_{k}})} \ll H_{k}^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}} + \frac{|\theta_{0}|}{\sqrt{q_{j}}}
$$

which implies

$$
\sum_{q_{j+1}^{-2} \le H_k \le q_j^{-2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_k})} \ll \sum_{q_{j+1}^{-2} \le H_k \le q_j^{-2}} H_k^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}} + \frac{|\theta_0|}{\sqrt{q_j}} \log(q_{j+1}/q_j).
$$

This yields

$$
\sum_{k\geq 1}||f||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_k})}\ll H^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}}+\sum_{j:\,q_j\neq 2*{\rm odd}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_j}}\log\frac{q_{j+1}}{q_j}\ll 1+\Sigma_s(x)<+\infty.
$$

(3)  $s - 1 + 1/2r_{\text{odd}(x)} = 0$  and  $s < 1$ : Since  $h_j \approx 1/q_jq_{j+1}$ , we have

$$
||f||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_k})} \ll H_k^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}} + \frac{|\theta_0|}{\sqrt{q_j}} \min\left(H_k^{(s-1)/2}, \frac{H_k}{(q_j q_{j+1})^{(s-3)/2}}\right),
$$

so

$$
\sum_{q_{j+1}^{-2}\leq H_k\leq q_j^{-2}}\|f\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_k})}\ll (\sum_{q_{j+1}^{-2}\leq H_k\leq q_j^{-2}}H_k^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}})+\frac{|\theta_0|}{\sqrt{q_j}}(\frac{1}{q_jq_{j+1}})^{(s-1)/2}.
$$

Finally,

$$
\sum_{k\geq 1} \|f\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_k})} \ll H^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}} + \sum_{j,q_j\neq 2 \text{ * odd}} \sqrt{\frac{q_{j+1}}{(q_j q_{j+1})^s}} \ll 1 + \Sigma_s(x) < \infty.
$$

## 6.2. Upper bound for the local  $L^2$ -exponent. Assume first that  $s < 1$ .

Let K be a large constant. Let  $0 < H \leq (1/K)q^{-2}$ , with  $q \neq 2 *$  odd and  $N > H^{-2}$ . We apply Propositions [5.1](#page-13-2) and [5.3](#page-16-1) to get

$$
\left\| F_{s,N} \left( \frac{p}{q} + 2 \cdot \right) - F_{s,N} \left( \frac{p}{q} + \cdot \right) \right\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} = \frac{|\theta_0|}{\sqrt{q}} \left\| \widetilde{F}_s(\cdot) \right\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} + \mathcal{O}(H^{\frac{s-1/2}{2}})
$$

with

$$
\widetilde{F}_s(h) = \int_0^N \frac{e^{4i\pi ht^2} - e^{2i\pi ht^2}}{t^s} dt.
$$

,

Using a change of variable, and then after integrating by parts, one obtains

$$
\widetilde{F}_s(h) = h^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \int_0^{N\sqrt{h}} \frac{e^{4i\pi t^2} - e^{2i\pi t^2}}{t^s} dt
$$
  
=  $h^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \left( (2^s - 1) \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{2i\pi t^2}}{t^s} dt + \mathcal{O}\left( (N\sqrt{|h|})^{-s-1} \right) \right)$ 

It is easily checked that  $\int_0^{+\infty}$  $e^{2i\pi t^2}$  $\frac{t^{n}t^{n}}{t^{s}}$  dt is not zero. This leads us to the estimate

.

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\left\|\widetilde{F}_s(\cdot)\right\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} = C_s H^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(H)\right)
$$

for some non-zero constant  $C_s$ . Since  $0 < H \leq q^{-2}/K$ , we deduce that

(6.2) 
$$
\left\| F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p}{q}+2\cdot\right) - F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p}{q}+\cdot\right) \right\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_H)} \ge \frac{H^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{q}}
$$

when  $H$  becomes small enough.

Now, pick a convergent  $p_j/q_j$  of x with  $q_j \neq 2 *$  odd, and take  $H_j = (1/K)|h_j|$ . One can check that

$$
H_j \le (1/K)\frac{1}{q_j q_{j+1}} \le (1/K)\frac{1}{q_j^2}.
$$

Then, we apply [\(6.2\)](#page-19-0) to obtain that for every  $N \ge H_j^{-2}$ , one has

$$
\left\|F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p_j}{q_j}+2\cdot\right)-F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p_j}{q_j}+\cdot\right)\right\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_j})} \ge \frac{H_j^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{q_j}}=H_j^{\frac{s-1}{2}}h_j^{1/(2r_j)} \gg H_j^{\frac{s-1+1/r_j}{2}}.
$$

On the other hand, by the triangular inequality,

$$
\left| F_{s,N} \left( \frac{p_j}{q_j} + 2h \right) - F_{s,N} \left( \frac{p_j}{q_j} + h \right) \right| \leq \left| F_{s,N} \left( \frac{p_j}{q_j} + 2h \right) - F_{s,N}(x) \right| + \left| F_{s,N} \left( \frac{p_j}{q_j} + h \right) - F_{s,N}(x) \right|,
$$

which implies that for  $\widetilde{H}_j = H_j$  or  $\widetilde{H}_j = 2H_j,$  one has

$$
||F_{s,N}(x+\cdot)-F_{s,N}(x)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{\widetilde{H}_j})} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\|F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p_j}{q_j}+2\cdot\right)-F_{s,N}\left(\frac{p_j}{q_j}+\cdot\right)\right\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{H_j})}
$$
  

$$
\gg H_j^{\frac{s-1+1/r_j}{2}}
$$

Now, we can choose  $N$  so large that

$$
||F_{s,N}(x+\cdot)-F_{s,N}(x)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{\widetilde{H}_j})}=||F_s(x+\cdot)-F_s(x)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{\widetilde{H}_j})}+\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{H}_j),
$$

and we finally obtain

$$
||F_s(x + \cdot) - F_s(x)||_{L^2(\widetilde{\mu}_{\widetilde{H}_j})} \gg \widetilde{H}_j^{\frac{s-1+1/r_j}{2}}.
$$

Since this occurs for an infinite number of  $j$ , i.e. for an infinite number of small real numbers  $H_i$  converging to zero, one concludes that

$$
\alpha_{F_s}(x) \le \liminf_{j \to +\infty} \frac{s - 1 + 1/r_j}{2} = \frac{s - 1 + 1/r_{odd}(x)}{2}.
$$

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[1] A.P. Calderon, A. Zygmund, Local properties of solutions of ellipitc partial differential equations, Studia Math. 20, 171–227 (1961).
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[2] F. Chamizo and A. Ubis, Some Fourier Series with gaps, J. Anal. Math. 101 179–197 2007.
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>[3] F. Chamizo and A. Ubis, Multifractal Behaviour of Polynomial Fourier Series, Preprint, 2012.
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>[4] J.J. Duistermaat, Self-similarity of "Riemann's nondifferentiable function", Nieuw Arch. Wisk. 4(9) no. 3, 303-337, 1991
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[5] J. Gerver, The differentiability of the Riemann function at certain rational multiples of  $\pi$ . Amer. J. Math. 92 33-55, 1970.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[6] G.H. Hardy, Weierstrass's non-differentiable function. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 301-325, 1916.
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>[7] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, Some problems of Diophantine approximation, Acta Math. 37 193-239, 1914.
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>[8] S. Itatsu, Differentiability of Riemann's function, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 57 10, 492-495, 1981
- [9] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, Analytic number theory, AMS Colloq. Pub. 53. AMS Providence, RI, 2004.
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>[10] S. Jaffard, The spectrum of singularities of Riemann's function, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 12 (2) 441–460, 1996.
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>[11] S. Jaffard, C. Melot, Wavelet analysis of fractal Boundaries, Part 1: Local regularity, Commun. Math. Phys. 258 (3) 513–539, 2005.
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>[12] T. Rivoal and S. Seuret, Hardy-Littlewood Series and even continued fractions. To appear in J. Anal. Math, 2014.
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>[13] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-variable methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory Integrals. Princeton Math. Series, 43. Monographs in Harm. Analysis, III. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

STÉPHANE SEURET, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-EST, LAMA (UMR 8050), UPEMLV, UPEC, CNRS, F-94010, CRÉTEIL, FRANCE E-mail address: seuret@u-pec.fr

ADRIÁN UBIS, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: adrian.ubis@uam.es