

Natural genomic amplification of cholinesterase genes in animals

Arnaud Chatonnet, Nicolas Lenfant, Pascale Marchot, Murray E. Selkirk

▶ To cite this version:

Arnaud Chatonnet, Nicolas Lenfant, Pascale Marchot, Murray E. Selkirk. Natural genomic amplification of cholinesterase genes in animals. Journal of Neurochemistry, 2017, Cholinergic Mechanisms, 142 (2), pp.73-81. 10.1111/jnc.13990. hal-01608096

HAL Id: hal-01608096 https://hal.science/hal-01608096

Submitted on 21 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



doi: 10.1111/jnc.13990

REVIEW

Natural genomic amplification of cholinesterase genes in animals

Arnaud Chatonnet,* Nicolas Lenfant,*'† Pascale Marchot† and Murray E. Selkirk‡

*Dynamique Musculaire et Métabolisme, INRA, Université Montpellier, Place Viala, Montpellier France

†Aix-Marseille Université / Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Architecture et Fonction des Macromolécules Biologiques laboratory, Marseille, France

‡Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London, UK

Abstract

Tight control of the concentration of acetylcholine at cholinergic synapses requires precise regulation of the number and state of the acetylcholine receptors, and of the synthesis and degradation of the neurotransmitter. In particular, the cholinesterase activity has to be controlled exquisitely. In the genome of the first experimental models used (man, mouse, zebrafish and drosophila), there are only one or two genes coding for cholinesterases, whereas there are more genes for their closest relatives the carboxylesterases. Natural amplification of cholinesterase genes was first found to occur in some cancer cells and in insect species subjected to evolutionary pressure by insecticides. Analysis of the complete genome sequences of numerous representatives of the various metazoan phyla show that moderate amplification of cholinesterase genes is not uncommon in molluscs, echinoderms, hemichordates, prochordates or lepidosauria. Amplification of acetylcholinesterase genes is also a feature of parasitic nematodes or ticks. In these parasites, over-production of cholinesterase-like proteins in secreted products and the saliva are presumed to have effector roles related to host infection. These amplification events raise questions about the role of the amplified gene products, and the adaptation processes necessary to preserve efficient cholinergic transmission.

Keywords: acetylcholinesterase, amplification, butyrylcholinesterase, carboxylesterase, nematode, tick, α/β -hydrolase fold proteins.

J. Neurochem. (2017) 142 (Suppl. 2), 73-81.

This is an article for the special issue XVth International Symposium on Cholinergic Mechanisms.

Cholinergic transmission involves the synthesis and release of the neurotransmitter, acetylcholine (ACh), and its binding to the postsynaptic receptor, triggering their activation and channel opening. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) is involved in the termination of impulse transmission by rapid hydrolysis of ACh. Every partner of this pathway (choline acetyltransferase, muscarinic or nicotinic receptors and cholinesterases) can be found together or separately in non-neuronal tissues. Reduction in cholinesterase activity by inhibitors or by genetic mutation affects both neuronal transmission and the non-neuronal cholinergic systems. Likewise, experimental overexpression of AChE also alters cholinergic neurotransmission (Beeri *et al.* 1997).

Acetylcholinesterase belongs to a subset of the α/β hydrolase fold superfamily, the carboxylesterase B (COesterase) family, which is defined by a prosite motif containing a cysteine involved in a first N-terminal disulfide bond (Prilusky *et al.* 2011; Lenfant *et al.* 2013). This family comprises both enzymes (e.g. carboxylesterase, cholinesterase, bile salt-activated lipase) and non-enzymatic proteins (e.g. the cholinesterase-like domains of the neuroligins or of thyroglobulin) that all have many representatives in fungi and animals (Lenfant *et al.* 2013). Divergence of the carboxylesterases/cholinesterases and their non-catalytic relatives occurred early in the evolution of metazoa. In

Received December 16, 2016; revised manuscript received February 7, 2017; accepted February 9, 2017.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Arnaud Chatonnet, Dynamique Musculaire et Métabolisme, INRA, Université Montpellier, Place Viala, 34060 Montpellier France. E-mail: arnaud.chatonnet@inra.fr

Abbreviations used: AChE, acetylcholinesterase; amp, amplified; CXE, carboxylesterase; JHE, juvenile hormone esterase; non-catal, non-catalytic.

particular, divergence of the cholinesterases and neuroligins occurred at the same time as emergence of the first neural cells (Lenfant *et al.* 2014). More recent duplications of nonspecific carboxylesterase and cholinesterase genes occurred in representatives of most animal classes. The possible role of the extra AChE-like genes is only recently being unveiled in a few animal species. The mechanism by which efficient cholinergic neurotransmission is preserved in situations of cholinesterase overexpression is not fully understood.

Number of cholinesterase genes in animals

Most vertebrates have one to two genes encoding AChE or butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) (Pezzementi and Chatonnet 2010; Pezzementi et al. 2015). In insects, there are one or two AChE genes (ace1, ace2), of which at least one plays a major role in the central cholinergic nervous system (Huchard et al. 2006; Kim and Lee 2013; Cha and Lee 2015). In Arachnida (e.g. spiders and ticks), three to four genes have been described (Temeyer et al. 2013; Meng et al. 2016). Although the cholinesterase sequences in ticks can be quite divergent from the canonical sequence of cholinesterases from other phyla, they exhibit true cholinesterase activity (Temeyer et al. 2010). Four AChE genes have been described in Caenorhabditis elegans (Grauso et al. 1998; Combes et al. 2000). At least three genes are conserved in rhabditididae (Grauso et al. 1998; Combes et al. 2000), and in many nematodes including vertebrate, insect or plant parasites (Selkirk et al. 2005), for example, in pinewood nematodes where the soluble AChE provides chemical defense against xenobiotics (Kang et al. 2011a,b). Natural amplification of genes coding for cholinesterases has been found in arthropods which gained insecticide resistance. However, genome sequences available for representatives of many animal phyla contain multiple copies of cholinesterase-like genes independently of modification of the environment by man. Figure 1 represents a phylogenetic tree of the true orthologs of cholinesterase genes in animals together with some representatives of the amplified genes. For sake of clarity, only representative sequences of complete amplified genes that had conserved a complete catalytic triad and the important tryptophan 84 residue involved in the choline-binding site were used. Branches of some amplified genes in hemichordates, prochordates, nematodes, ticks, reptiles are visible. To show the relationship of the full set of amplified genes in comparison with the carboxylesterase and cholinesterase genes, the whole carboxylesterase B family is presented as a group network (Fig. 2). Groups of amplified genes in parasitic nematodes and ticks are clearly separated from the non-specific carboxylesterases. The occurrence of amplification events raise the question of how neo-functionalization preserves cholinergic transmission efficiency, but this most likely requires tissue-specific expression and, in the case of soluble enzymes, compartmentalization in order to minimize the impact on neuronal function. Measuring evolutionary distance in groups of amplified genes and comparing them with parent genes or between particular phyla could give hints about acquisition of functions of amplified genes. A full analysis of this aspect is out of the scope of this review. Previous studies showed that BChE is less conserved (i.e. accumulated more non-synonymous substitutions) than AChE in vertebrates (Pezzementi & Chatonnet 2010). A more thorough study determined that duplicated AChE genes in insects are under purifying selection and that some new specialization or essentiality appeared in the most divergent copy. Even though there are differences in the evolutionary rates of the two genes, the smallest value is not always associated with the gene that retained the main neuronal function (Cha and Lee 2015). However, carboxylesterases and AChE are rather large proteins and the number of amino acid residues crucial for the catalytic activity is limited (Sussman et al. 1991). The substitution of residues in surface loops can evolve more randomly according to the position of the gene in the genome. For example, in vertebrates the gene for BChE is embedded in a AT-rich region, whereas that for AChE is in a CG-rich region, which are not equally prone to mutations (Chatonnet and Lockridge 1989). The AChE gene can accommodate a great number of mutations that do not alter the enzyme activity of the protein (Goldenzweig et al. 2016).

Amplification of cholinesterase genes in cancer cells

Amplification of cholinesterases was first described in some cancer cells and in blood cells of humans exposed to organophosphates (Lapidot-Lifson et al. 1989; Prody et al. 1989). Massive changes in karyotype of cancer cells is very frequent; however, specific amplification of cholinesterase genes have been described (Lapidot-Lifson et al. 1989; Zakut et al. 1990; Bernardi et al. 2010; Boberg et al. 2013). These amplifications modify the cholinergic system locally only and thus may not interfere with the neuronal cholinergic system. Yet, cholinesterase overexpression may modulate the immunological or inflammatory response toward the cancer cells. Recently, it was shown that cholinesterase genes contain sequences that can interact with microRNAs, and a subgroup of miRNAs (mirs) associated with the regulation of cholinergic elements have been termed 'cholinomiRs' (Soreq 2015). For example, mir-132 suppresses AChE expression, and transgenic mice which over-express 3'-UTR null AChE show excessive production of inflammatory cytokines (Shaked et al. 2009). Overexpression of cholinesterases in cancer cells may also disturb normal gene regulation provided by miRNAs (Soreq 2015).



Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree of the cholinesterase and cholinesterase-like sequences. The sequences were retrieved from GenBank (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), Uniprot (http://www.uniprot.org/), the current assembly of the genomes using the BLAST servers dedicated to species from DOE Joint genome institute (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/), ENSEMBL (http://www.ensembl.org/), NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/) or Genoscope (http://www.genoscope.cns.fr). The sequences used along with Individual references and links to Genbank and Uniprot can be retrieved in the ESTHER database (http://bioweb.su pagro.inra.fr/esther) (Lenfant et al. 2013). The cholinesterase and cholinesterase-like sequences were used. From the 781 entries in the initial set, we retained only the 404 sequences for which the catalytic triad residues and tryptophan 84 were conserved (Torpedo fish AChE numbering (Sussman et al. 1991)). Alignment was performed with ClustalO (Sievers et al. 2011). The evolutionary history was inferred using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei 1987). Bootstrap test (1000 replicates) was used (Felsenstein 1985). The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method

Amplification of carboxylesterase genes

Amplification of carboxylesterase genes is not uncommon, and analysis of these occurrences can help understand similar (Zuckerkandl and Pauling 1965) and are displayed/expressed in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. The scale on top of the figure is for 0.1 unit. All positions containing alignment gaps and missing data were eliminated only in pairwise sequence comparisons (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 241 positions in the final data set. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013). Maximum likelihood analysis was performed using PhyML (http://www.atgcmontpellier.fr/phyml/) (Guindon et al. 2009). Subtrees containing only orthologues (one sequence for one species) are compressed as a black triangle. The 5 character nomenclature of Uniprot is used for species (for example: nipbr: Nippostrongylus brasiliensis (rat hookworm), dicvi: Dictyocaulus viviparus (bovine lungworm), anoca: Anolis carolinensis (green anole) (American chameleon), boiir: Boiga irregularis (brown tree snake), sacko: Saccoglossus kowalevskii (acorn worm), ixosc: Ixodes scapularis (blacklegged tick or deer tick), boomi : Boophilus microplus (Rhipicephalus microplus (cattle tick))

events in the cholinesterase family. Non-specific carboxylesterases are the closest enzyme relatives of cholinesterases. These are thought to play a role in digestion, detoxification, or hydrolysis of odorant molecules (Holmes



Fig. 2 Network representation of the metazoan CO-esterase family. The total number of 3777 sequences of metazoan carboxylesterase B retrieved from ESTHER was reduced to a set of 2032 sequences excluding fragments or sequences from very close species (for example, we retained only sequences from *Drosophila melanogaster* and not the other 20 *Drosophila* species). An all-versus-all blast comparison was performed (Camacho *et al.* 2009) and a blast bit-score matrix was generated. The result is presented as a network, where nodes represent sequences and edges represent Blast bit-

et al. 2010; Hatfield *et al.* 2016). They can hydrolyze a great variety of xenobiotics both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, but clearly defined natural substrates have not been identified. The fact that genes organized in arrays or contigs can be found in the same order on chromosomes of distantly related species indicates that amplification occurred early in evolution in animals (Robin *et al.* 2009; Hatfield *et al.* 2016; Howe *et al.* 2016). These amplified genes are conserved in animals in contact with many environmental esters, either as elements of their food or poisons produced as defense strategies by plants (Rane *et al.* 2016). In humans, the CES1 gene is complex with a head to tail pseudogene replaced in some individuals by a copy of the normal gene. Multiple haplotypes are found in different populations and some are found more frequently in cancer patients (Sai *et al.* 2010).

One of the first molecularly described mechanisms of resistance of mosquitoes to insecticides was amplification of

score between two sequences. Each sequence is represented by a dot that makes the circumference of each group. Groups are ordered by the number of sequences that they contain (size of the circle, e.g. group 1 contains the largest number of sequences). Gray lines between dots indicate that the BLAST bit-score between two sequences belonging either to the same group or to distinct groups is higher than 345. The rectangular form includes the groups closely related to AChEs.

a carboxylesterase gene (Mouches et al. 1990; Raymond et al. 1991). Similar amplification of esterase E4 was also found in aphids (Field and Devonshire 1997). The protein is responsible for sequestration of the insecticide before it can reach the AChE target. Since amplification of carboxylesterase did not interfere with the metabolism of the insect, the only drawback of amplification was probably a reduction in fitness because of the massive hijacking of RNA and protein synthesis. The amplified protein can account for 10% of the total protein of the insect (Fournier et al. 1987). Different alleles with up regulation or carboxylesterase gene amplification have been described. Each of these can coexist in populations of insects and can be differentially selected according to the distance from the treatment zone or level and duration. This led to an exceptionally long (30 years) and unique analysis of evolution of fitness toward a well defined selective pressure in a natural environment (Milesi *et al.* 2016). These amplified genes can coexist with the mutations of the AChE gene which result in a more specific resistance to organophosphates or carbamates.

Amplification of AChE genes in deuterostomes

Some extra cholinesterase-like genes have been found in the genome of Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (purple sea urchin, Echinodermata), Saccoglossus kowalevskii (acorn worm, Hemichordata), Branchiostoma floridae (Florida lancelet, amphioxus, Cephalochordata), snakes, turtles and some fish. Most of these animals are not commonly used as laboratory model organisms, and thus far no experiments have been performed to understand the role of the products of the extra genes. It is not known if these genes are expressed and if the products actually hydrolyze ACh. Sequence comparison indicates that they are more closely related to cholinesterases than to carboxylesterases. Three BChE genes are found in Takifugu rubripes and Tetraodon nigroviridis. These puffer fish are known to accumulate tetrodotoxin in their liver and ovaries by dietary uptake or symbiosis of bacteria producing this compound. Tetrodotoxin serves as an antipredator defense, functioning as a voltage-gated sodium channel blocker in nerves and muscle cells. Mutations of the sodium channel of the fish are expected to produce varying degrees of resistance toward the toxin (Soong and Venkatesh 2006), but other adaptations of cholinergic proteins such as overexpression of BChE might also play a role in resistance.

Amplification of AChE genes in arthropods

Amplification of AChEs in arthropods exposed to insecticides has been well documented. At least 35 amino acid positions (alone or in combinations) have been found mutated in cholinesterases of more than 30 arthropod populations resistant to insecticides (Hotelier et al. 2010) (http://bioweb.supagro.inra.fr/ESTHER/preformed.pl?cat=in secticide%20resistance). The most common mutations which result in cholinesterase insensitive to insecticide give rise to enzymes which are less efficient in terms of catalysis of acetylcholine. In spider mites, overexpression of the AChE gene can compensate for the reduced catalytic activity caused by resistance-conferring mutations (Kwon et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2015). In mosquitoes, similar mutations were found (Weill et al. 2003). Secondary amplification of the unmutated allele occurred, and gave rise to a resistant population with both resistant and sensitive enzymes. Consequently, fitness and efficient cholinergic function was preserved (Labbe et al. 2014; Assogba et al. 2015). Heterogeneous amplifications (resistant and susceptible copies) give lower resistance and fitness costs, whereas homogeneous duplications (only resistant copies of the gene) increase both resistance and fitness costs, and both phenotypes were described in different locations. The alleles selected depend on the intensity and distribution of the selective pressure. An optimum of three mutated copies may proceed from the deleterious effect of genes coamplified in the amplicon encompassing the ace-1 gene (Assogba *et al.* 2016). Chlorpyrifos resistance is associated with mutation and amplification of the AChE-1 gene in the tomato red spider mite, *Tetranychus evansi* (Carvalho *et al.* 2012).

The initial search for mutations in the three AChE genes of the cattle tick *Rhipicephalus microplus* which might be responsible for insecticide resistance was delayed because the three genes are present at an amplified copy number in the genome (Bellgard *et al.* 2012; Temeyer *et al.* 2012; Bendele *et al.* 2015). Subsequently, it was found that AChE genes are also amplified in the genome of *Ixodes scapularis*, the tick vector of Lyme disease (Gulia-Nuss *et al.* 2016). Sequencing of the genome of the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae*, also revealed expansions and radiations in the carboxylesterase/cholinesterase family (Van Leeuwen and Dermauw 2016; Wu and Hoy 2016).

In addition to conventional membrane-bound enzymes associated with neuromuscular transmission, secreted AChEs have been found in the saliva of several blood-feeding arthropods. Four variants were described in the bed bug Cimex lectularius (Francischetti et al. 2010), and two transcripts were found in salivary glands of the kissing bug Triatoma matogrossensis (Assumpção et al. 2012). More recently, AChE activity has been described in saliva of R. microplus (Temeyer & Tuckow, 2016). The saliva of blood-feeding arthropods contains numerous bioactive compounds which aid feeding, for example, inhibitors of coagulation, vasodilators, painkillers, anti-inflammatory agents and immunomodulators (Ribeiro & Francischetti, 2003). The latter are important as biting arthropods may stay in place on the skin for some time, and immunity can be acquired upon tick infestation (Trager, 1939). Although the function of AChE in arthropod saliva is not known, parasitic nematodes also secrete AChE (see below) and there is mounting evidence that this most likely acts to modulate host immunity.

Amplification of AChE genes in parasitic nematodes

Four genes for AChE (*ace-1–4*) have been described in *C. elegans* (Grauso *et al.* 1998) although ace-4 is likely to be non-functional in terms of enzymatic activity (Combes *et al.* 2000). On the whole, these genes are expressed in discrete neurons and muscle cells, with a few examples of coordinated expression (Combes *et al.* 2003). This implies specific functions for each enzyme, and anatomical separation of expression ensures that neuromuscular function is precisely regulated. This hints at an evolutionary scenario which would include modification of expression of one extra copy, followed by amplification of the gene with the most relaxed constraint for cholinergic transmission (Combes *et al.* 2003).

Secretion of AChE by parasitic nematodes has been known for a long time (Bremner et al. 1973, Ogilvie et al. 1973; Rothwell et al. 1973; Rapson et al. 1986). The discovery of AChE proteins secreted by Nippostrongylus brasiliensis and Dictyocaulus viviparus, with characteristic sequence differences from the canonical neuronal AChE were the first demonstration of AChE gene amplification in nematodes beyond the 3-4 genes encoding neuronal enzymes (Hussein et al. 1999, 2000, 2002; Lazari et al. 2003; Selkirk et al. 2005). Not all animal-parasitic nematodes secrete AChE into the host environment, and this adaptation appears to be predominantly restricted to parasites which colonize mucosal surfaces (Selkirk et al. 2005). While soluble AChEs have been described in plant-parasitic nematodes, there is little evidence to suggest they are secreted into plant tissues, as they appear to be absent from secretomes defined by proteomics or from other analyses of effector proteins (Rehman et al. 2016). Most of these studies are focused on pre-parasitic J2 larvae, however, and may miss identification of secreted AChE proteins, as in animalparasitic forms, expression of secreted AChEs is absent in free-living stages and is activated on transition to the host (Huang et al. 2010).

Various hypotheses have been proposed for the function of nematode secreted AChEs, based on neutralizing ACh in its diverse signaling roles. Cholinergic signaling promotes smooth muscle contraction and peristalsis in the gut, mucus secretion by goblet cells, and fluid secretion from enterocytes, all of which contribute to the 'weep and sweep' response which helps to promote parasite expulsion, so it would clearly benefit parasites to block this (Selkirk et al. 2005a,b). Cholinergic signaling plays a role in a number of immune cell functions, including acting as a costimulatory factor for T lymphocyte activation and cytokine secretion (Darby et al. 2015), and there are many ways in which AChEs could inhibit or modulate these responses. Alternatively, secreted AChEs could protect against inhibitors ingested in foodstuffs, i.e. providing a shield much like that previously discussed in insects resistant to pesticides. However, these hypotheses are not mutually exclusive. Recently, a direct role for parasitic nematode AChEs in altering the host cytokine environment to promote parasite survival was suggested via expression in vivo (Vaux et al. 2016). Irrespective of the functions of the secreted AChEs, it is important that they do not interfere with the cholinergic system of the parasite, and the restricted expression of relevant genes in specialized amphidial and secretory glands ensures that the enzymes are anatomically segregated prior to secretion to the exterior.

More recently, whole genome sequencing showed a remarkable amplification of AChE-like genes in *Strongyloides* and *Parastrongyloides* species, although this was not evident in the closely related free-living nematode *Rhabditophanes* (Hunt *et al.* 2016). Interestingly, these gene

sequences predict proteins which lack the C-terminal membrane anchors found in neuronal AChEs and are likely to be secreted. Consistent with this interpretation, proteomic analysis has identified 20 AChE-like proteins in the secretome of adult female parasitic *Strongyloides ratti* (Hunt *et al.* 2016). Nevertheless, the vast majority of these sequences lack residues critical for AChE activity, such as those which make up the catalytic triad, the choline-binding site, or those lining the active site gorge, and are almost certainly catalytically inactive. The function of these proteins is therefore unclear, but they may act as a smokescreen to minimize the possibility of host antibodies neutralizing parasite secretory AChE activity.

Concluding remarks

It is clear that natural amplification of cholinesterase genes has occurred commonly in many animals, in particular those subjected to environmental or evolutionary pressures, for example via exposure to pesticides, or in the case of endo- or ectoparasites, challenge from the immune system of their host. During this process, AChEs have acquired functions unrelated to their classical enzymatic role in neurotransmission, and we still have a lot to learn about cholinergic signaling outside the neuromuscular system.

Acknowledgments and conflict of interest disclosure

Nicolas Lenfant was supported by the Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie (ADEME, grant number 1201C102). The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Assogba B. S., Djogbenou L. S., Milesi P. et al. (2015) An ace-1 gene duplication resorbs the fitness cost associated with resistance in Anopheles gambiae, the main malaria mosquito. Sci. Rep. 5, 14529.
- Assogba B. S., Milesi P., Djogbenou L. S., Berthomieu A., Makoundou P., Baba-Moussa L. S., Fiston-Lavier A. S., Belkhir K., Labbe P. and Weill M. (2016) The ace-1 locus Is amplified in all resistant anopheles gambiae mosquitoes: fitness consequences of homogeneous and heterogeneous duplications. *PLoS Biol.* 14, e2000618.
- Assumpção T. C., Eaton D. P., Pham V. M., Francischetti I. M., Aoki V., Hans-Filho G., Rivitti E. A., Valenzuela J. G., Diaz L. A. and Ribeiro J. M. (2012) An insight into the sialotranscriptome of Triatoma matogrossensis, a kissing bug associated with fogo selvagem in South America. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 86, 1005– 1014.
- Beeri R., Le Novere N., Mervis R., Huberman T., Grauer E., Changeux J. P. and Soreq H. (1997) Enhanced hemicholinium binding and attenuated dendrite branching in cognitively impaired acetylcholinesterase-transgenic mice. J. Neurochem. 69, 2441–2451.
- Bellgard M. I., Moolhuijzen P. M., Guerrero F. D. et al. (2012) CattleTickBase: an integrated Internet-based bioinformatics

resource for Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus. Int. J. Parasitol. 42, 161–169.

- Bendele K. G., Guerrero F. D., Miller R. J. et al. (2015) Acetylcholinesterase 1 in populations of organophosphateresistant North American strains of the cattle tick, Rhipicephalus microplus (Acari: Ixodidae). Parasitol. Res. 114, 3027–3040.
- Bernardi C. C., Ribeiro Ede S., Cavalli I. J., Chautard-Freire-Maia E. A. and Souza R. L. (2010) Amplification and deletion of the ACHE and BCHE cholinesterase genes in sporadic breast cancer. *Cancer Genet. Cytogenet.* 197, 158–165.
- Boberg D. R., Batistela M. S., Pecharki M., Ribeiro E. M., Cavalli I. J., Lima R. S., Urban C. A., Furtado-Alle L. and Souza R. L. (2013) Copy number variation in ACHE/EPHB4 (7q22) and in BCHE/ MME (3q26) genes in sporadic breast cancer. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 203, 344–347.
- Bremner K. C., Ogilvie B. M., Keith R. K. and Berrie D. A. (1973) Acetylcholinesterase secretion by parasitic nematodes. 3 *Oesophagostomum* spp.. *Int J Parasitol.* 3, 609–618.
- Camacho C., Coulouris G., Avagyan V., Ma N., Papadopoulos J., Bealer K. and Madden T. L. (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10, 421.
- Carvalho R. A., Yang Y., Field L. M., Gorman K., Moores G. D., Williamson M. S. and Bass C. (2012) Chlorpyrifos resistance is associated with mutation and amplification of the acetylcholinesterase-1 gene in the tomato red spider mite, Tetranychus evansi. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **104**, 143–149.
- Cha D. J. and Lee S. H. (2015) Evolutionary origin and status of two insect acetylcholinesterases and their structural conservation and differentiation. *Evol. Dev.* 17, 109–119.
- Chatonnet A. and Lockridge O. (1989) Comparison of butyrylcholinesterase and acetylcholinesterase. *Biochem. J.* 260, 625–634.
- Combes D., Fedon Y., Grauso M., Toutant J. P. and Arpagaus M. (2000) Four genes encode acetylcholinesterases in the nematodes Caenorhabditis elegans and Caenorhabditis briggsae. cDNA sequences, genomic structures, mutations and in vivo expression. J. Mol. Biol. 300, 727–742.
- Combes D., Fedon Y., Toutant J. P. and Arpagaus M. (2003) Multiple ace genes encoding acetylcholinesterases of Caenorhabditis elegans have distinct tissue expression. *Eur. J. Neuorsci.* 18, 497–512.
- Darby M., Schnoeller C., Vira A., Culley F. J., Bobat S., Logan E., Kirstein F., Wess J., Cunningham A. F., Brombacher F., Selkirk M. E. and Horsnell W. G. (2015) The M3 muscarinic receptor is required for optimal adaptive immunity to helminth and bacterial infection. *PLoS Pathog.* 11, e1004636.
- Felsenstein J. (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evol. Inter. J. Org. Evol.* **39**, 783–791.
- Field L. M. and Devonshire A. L. (1997) Structure and organization of amplicons containing the E4 esterase genes responsible for insecticide resistance in the aphid Myzus persicae (Sulzer). *Biochem. J.* **322**(Pt 3), 867–871.
- Fournier D., Brides J. M., Mouches C., Raymond M., Magnin M., Bergé J. B., Pasteur N. and Georghiou G. P. (1987) Biochemical characterization of the esterases A1 and B1 associated with organophosphate resistance in the Culex pipiens L. Complex. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 27, 211–217.
- Francischetti I. M., Calvo E., Andersen J. F., Pham V. M., Favreau A. J., Barbian K. D., Romero A., Valenzuela J. G. and Ribeiro J. M. (2010) Insight into the Sialome of the Bed Bug *Cimex lectularius*. *J. Proteome Res.* 9, 3820–3831.
- Goldenzweig A., Goldsmith M., Hill S. E. *et al.* (2016) Automated structure- and sequence-based design of proteins for high bacterial expression and stability. *Mol. Cell* **63**, 337–346.

- Grauso M., Culetto E., Combes D., Fedon Y., Toutant J. P. and Arpagaus M. (1998) Existence of four acetylcholinesterase genes in the nematodes Caenorhabditis elegans and Caenorhabditis briggsae. FEBS Lett. 424, 279–284.
- Guindon S., Delsuc F., Dufayard J. F. and Gascuel O. (2009) Estimating maximum likelihood phylogenies with PhyML. *Methods Mol. Biol.* (Clifton, N.J.), 537, 113–137.
- Gulia-Nuss M., Nuss A. B., Meyer J. M. et al. (2016) Genomic insights into the Ixodes scapularis tick vector of Lyme disease. Nat. Commun. 7, 10507.
- Hatfield M. J., Umans R. A., Hyatt J. L., Edwards C. C., Wierdl M., Tsurkan L., Taylor M. R. and Potter P. M. (2016) Carboxylesterases: general detoxifying enzymes. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 259, 327–331.
- Holmes R. S., Wright M. W., Laulederkind S. J. *et al.* (2010) Recommended nomenclature for five mammalian carboxylesterase gene families: human, mouse, and rat genes and proteins. *Mamm. Genome* 21, 427–441.
- Hotelier T., Nègre V., Marchot P. and Chatonnet A. (2010) Insecticide resistance through mutations in cholinesterases or carboxylesterases: data mining in the ESTHER database. J. Pestic. Sci. 35, 315–320.
- Howe K. L., Bolt B. J., Cain S. *et al.* (2016) WormBase 2016: expanding to enable helminth genomic research. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, D774–D780.
- Huang S. C., Chan D. T., Smyth D. J., Ball G., Gounaris K. and Selkirk M. E. (2010) Activation of Nippostrongylus brasiliensis infective larvae is regulated by a pathway distinct from the hookworm Ancylostoma caninum. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **40**, 1619–1628.
- Huchard E., Martinez M., Alout H., Douzery E. J., Lutfalla G., Berthomieu A., Berticat C., Raymond M. and Weill M. (2006) Acetylcholinesterase genes within the Diptera: takeover and loss in true flies. *Proceedings. Biol. Sci.* 273, 2595–2604.
- Hunt V. L., Tsai I. J., Selkirk M. E. and Viney M. (2016) The genome of Strongyloides spp. gives insights into protein families with a putative role in nematode parasitism. *Parasitology* 1–16.
- Hussein A. S., Chacon M. R., Smith A. M., Tosado-Acevedo R. and Selkirk M. E. (1999) Cloning, expression, and properties of a nonneuronal secreted acetylcholinesterase from the parasitic nematode Nippostrongylus brasiliensis. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 9312–9319.
- Hussein A. S., Smith A. M., Chacon M. R. and Selkirk M. E. (2000) Determinants of substrate specificity of a second nonneuronal secreted acetylcholinesterase from the parasitic nematode Nippostrongylus brasiliensis. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 267, 2276–2282.
- Hussein A. S., Harel M. and Selkirk M. E. (2002) A distinct family of acetylcholinesterases is secreted by Nippostrongylus brasiliensis. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **123**, 125–134.
- Kang J. S., Lee D. W., Choi J. Y., Je Y. H., Koh Y. H. and Lee S. H. (2011a) Three acetylcholinesterases of the pinewood nematode, Bursaphelenchus xylophilus: insights into distinct physiological functions. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **175**, 154–161.
- Kang J. S., Lee D. W., Koh Y. H. and Lee S. H. (2011b) A soluble acetylcholinesterase provides chemical defense against xenobiotics in the pinewood nematode. *PLoS ONE* 6, e19063.
- Kim Y. H. and Lee S. H. (2013) Which acetylcholinesterase functions as the main catalytic enzyme in the Class Insecta? *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 43, 47–53.
- Kwon D. H., Choi J. Y., Je Y. H. and Lee S. H. (2012) The overexpression of acetylcholinesterase compensates for the reduced catalytic activity caused by resistance-conferring mutations in Tetranychus urticae. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 42, 212–219.

- Labbe P., Milesi P., Yebakima A., Pasteur N., Weill M. and Lenormand T. (2014) GENE-dosage effects on fitness in recent adaptive duplications: ace-1 in the mosquito Culex pipiens. *Evolution* 68, 2092–2101.
- Lapidot-Lifson Y., Prody C. A., Ginzberg D., Meytes D., Zakut H. and Soreq H. (1989) Coamplification of human acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase genes in blood cells: correlation with various leukemias and abnormal megakaryocytopoiesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 86, 4715–4719.
- Lazari O., Hussein A. S., Selkirk M. E., Davidson A. J., Thompson F. J. and Matthews J. B. (2003) Cloning and expression of two secretory acetylcholinesterases from the bovine lungworm, Dictyocaulus viviparus. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **132**, 83–92.
- Lee S. H., Kim Y. H., Kwon D. H., Cha D. J. and Kim J. H. (2015) Mutation and duplication of arthropod acetylcholinesterase: implications for pesticide resistance and tolerance. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* **120**, 118–124.
- Lenfant N., Hotelier T., Velluet E., Bourne Y., Marchot P. and Chatonnet A. (2013) ESTHER, the database of the α/β -hydrolase fold superfamily of proteins: tools to explore diversity of functions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**, D423–D429.
- Lenfant N., Hotelier T., Bourne Y., Marchot P. and Chatonnet A. (2014) Tracking the origin and divergence of cholinesterases and neuroligins: the evolution of synaptic proteins. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 53, 362–369.
- Meng X., Li C., Xiu C., Zhang J., Li J., Huang L., Zhang Y. and Liu Z. (2016) Identification and biochemical properties of two new acetylcholinesterases in the pond wolf spider (Pardosa pseudoannulata). *PLoS ONE* 11, e0158011.
- Milesi P., Lenormand T., Lagneau C., Weill M. and Labbe P. (2016) Relating fitness to long-term environmental variations in natura. *Mol. Ecol.* 25, 5483–5499.
- Mouches C., Pauplin Y., Agarwal M. *et al.* (1990) Characterization of amplification core and esterase B1 gene responsible for insecticide resistance in Culex. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 2574–2578.
- Ogilvie B. M., Rothwell T. L., Bremner K. C., Schnitzerling H. J., Nolan J. and Keith R. K. (1973) Acetylcholinesterase secretion by parasitic nematodes. I. Evidence for secretion of the enzyme by a number of species. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **3**, 589–597.
- Pezzementi L. and Chatonnet A. (2010) Evolution of cholinesterases in the animal kingdom. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **187**, 27–33.
- Pezzementi L., Geiss C., King W., Lenfant N. and Chatonnet A. (2015) Molecular characterization of an acetylcholinesterase from the hemichordate Saccoglossus kowalevskii. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B, Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 181, 50–58.
- Prilusky J., Hodis E., Canner D., Decatur W. A., Oberholser K., Martz E., Berchanski A., Harel M. and Sussman J. L. (2011) Proteopedia: a status report on the collaborative, 3D web-encyclopedia of proteins and other biomolecules. J. Struct. Biol. 175, 244–252.
- Prody C. A., Dreyfus P., Zamir R., Zakut H. and Soreq H. (1989) De novo amplification within a "silent" human cholinesterase gene in a family subjected to prolonged exposure to organophosphorous insecticides. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 86, 690–694.
- Rane R. V., Walsh T. K., Pearce S. L., Jermiin L. S., Gordon K. H., Richards S. and Oakeshott J. G. (2016) Are feeding preferences and insecticide resistance associated with the size of detoxifying enzyme families in insect herbivores? *Curr. Opin. Insect. Sci.* 13, 70–76.
- Rapson E. B., Chilwan A. S. and Jenkins D. C. (1986) Acetylcholinesterase secretion–a parameter for the interpretation of in vitro anthelmintic screens. *Parasitology* **92**(Pt 2), 425–430.
- Raymond M., Callaghan A., Fort P. and Pasteur N. (1991) Worldwide migration of amplified insecticide resistance genes in mosquitoes. *Nature* 350, 151–153.

- Rehman S., Gupta V. K. and Goyal A. K. (2016) Identification and functional analysis of secreted effectors from phytoparasitic nematodes. *BMC Microbiol.* 21, 16–48.
- Ribeiro J. M. and Francischetti I. M. (2003) Role of arthropod saliva in blood feeding: sialome and post-sialome perspectives. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 48, 73–88.
- Robin C., Bardsley L. M., Coppin C. and Oakeshott J. G. (2009) Birth and death of genes and functions in the beta-esterase cluster of Drosophila. J. Mol. Evol. 69, 10–21.
- Rothwell T. L., Ogilvie B. M. and Love R. J. (1973) Acetylcholinesterase secretion by parasitic nematodes. II. Int. J. Parasitol. 3, 599–608.
- Sai K., Saito Y., Tatewaki N. *et al.* (2010) Association of carboxylesterase 1A genotypes with irinotecan pharmacokinetics in Japanese cancer patients. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **70**, 222–233.
- Saitou N. and Nei M. (1987) The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406–425.
- Selkirk M. E., Lazari O. and Matthews J. B. (2005) Functional genomics of nematode acetylcholinesterases. *Parasitology* 131(Suppl), S3– S18.
- Shaked I., Meerson A., Wolf Y., Avni R., Greenberg D., Gilboa-Geffen A. and Soreq H. (2009) MicroRNA-132 potentiates cholinergic anti-inflammatory signaling by targeting acetylcholinesterase. *Immunity.* **31**, 965–973.
- Sievers F., Wilm A., Dineen D. et al. (2011) Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol. Syst. Biol. 7, 539.
- Soong T. W. and Venkatesh B. (2006) Adaptive evolution of tetrodotoxin resistance in animals. *Trends Genet.* 22, 621–626.
- Soreq H. (2015) Checks and balances on cholinergic signaling in brain and body function. *Trends Neurosci.* 38, 448–458.
- Sussman J. L., Harel M., Frolow F., Oefner C., Goldman A., Toker L. and Silman I. (1991) Atomic structure of acetylcholinesterase from Torpedo californica: a prototypic acetylcholine-binding protein. *Science* 253, 872–879.
- Tamura K., Stecher G., Peterson D., Filipski A. and Kumar S. (2013) MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729.
- Temeyer K. B., Pruett J. H. and Olafson P. U. (2010) Baculovirus expression, biochemical characterization and organophosphate sensitivity of rBmAChE1, rBmAChE2, and rBmAChE3 of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus. *Vet. Parasitol.* **172**, 114– 121.
- Temeyer K. B., Olafson P. U. and Pruett J. H. (2012) Sequence polymorphism in acetylcholinesterase transcripts and genotyping survey of BmAchE1 in laboratory and Mexican strains of Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus. J. Med. Entomol. 49, 555– 562.
- Temeyer K. B., Tuckow A. P., Brake D. K., Li A. Y. and Perez de Leon A. A. (2013) Acetylcholinesterases of blood-feeding flies and ticks. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 203, 319–322.
- Temeyer K. B. and Tuckow A. P. (2016) Tick Salivary Cholinesterase: A Probable Immunomodulator of Host-parasite Interactions. J. Med. Entomol. 53, 500–504.
- Trager W. (1939) Acquired immunity to ticks J. Parasit.25, 57-83.
- Van Leeuwen T. and Dermauw W. (2016) The molecular evolution of Xenobiotic metabolism and resistance in chelicerate mites. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **61**, 475–498.
- Vaux R., Schnoeller C., Berkachy R., Roberts L. B., Hagen J., Gounaris K. and Selkirk M. E. (2016) Modulation of the immune response by nematode secreted Acetylcholinesterase revealed by heterologous expression in trypanosoma musculi. *PLoS Pathog.* 12, e1005998.

- Weill M., Lutfalla G., Mogensen K., Chandre F., Berthomieu A., Berticat C., Pasteur N., Philips A., Fort P. and Raymond M. (2003) Comparative genomics: Insecticide resistance in mosquito vectors. *Nature*. 423, 136–137.
- Wu K. and Hoy M. A. (2016) The glutathione-S-transferase, cytochrome P450 and carboxyl/cholinesterase gene superfamilies in predatory mite metaseiulus occidentalis. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0160009.

- Amplification of cholinesterase genes 81
- Zakut H., Ehrlich G., Ayalon A., Prody C. A., Malinger G., Seidman S., Ginzberg D., Kehlenbach R. and Soreq H. (1990) Acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase genes coamplify in primary ovarian carcinomas. J. Clin. Invest. 86, 900–908.
- Zuckerkandl E. and Pauling L. (1965) Evolutionary divergence and convergence in proteins, in *Evolving Genes and Proteins*, (Bryson V. and Vogel H. J., eds), pp. 97–166. Academic Press, New York.