

Cross-sectional study of toxoplasma gondii infection in pig farms in england

Georgina Limon, Wendy Beauvais, Nikolaos Dadios, Isabelle Villena,

Charlotte Cockle, Radu Blaga, Javier Guitian

► To cite this version:

Georgina Limon, Wendy Beauvais, Nikolaos Dadios, Isabelle Villena, Charlotte Cockle, et al.. Cross-sectional study of toxoplasma gondii infection in pig farms in england. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 2017, 14 (5), pp.269-281. 10.1089/fpd.2016.2197. hal-01606646

HAL Id: hal-01606646 https://hal.science/hal-01606646v1

Submitted on 25 Sep 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

OPEN LETTER



Improving anthelmintic treatment for schistosomiasis and

soil-transmitted helminthiases through sharing and reuse of

individual participant data [version 1; peer review: 2

approved]

Martin Walker^{1-3*}, Luzia T. Freitas^{1-3*}, Julia B. Halder^{1-3*}, Matthew Brack³, Jennifer Keiser^{4,5}, Charles H. King⁶, Bruno Levecke⁷, Yvonne Ai-Lian Lim⁸, Otavio Pieri⁹, Doudou Sow¹⁰, J. Russell Stothard¹¹, Joanne P. Webster^{1,2}, Xiao-Nong Zhou¹², Robert F. Terry¹³, Philippe J. Guérin¹³, Maria-Gloria Basáñez^{2,3}

¹Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, London, Hatfield, UK

²Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis and London Centre for

Neglected Tropical Disease Research, Imperial College London, London, UK

³Infectious Diseases Data Observatory, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁴Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland

⁵University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

⁶Center for Global Health and Diseases, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA

⁷Department of Translational Physiology, Ghent University, Merelbeke, Belgium

⁸Department of Parasitology, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

⁹Laboratory of Health and Environment Education, Oswaldo Cruz Institute, Fiocruz, Rio de Janiero, Brazil

¹⁰Service de Parasitologie, Université Gaston Berger de Saint Louis, Saint Louis, Senegal

¹¹Department of Tropical Disease Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

¹²National Institute of Parasitic Diseases, China Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Shanghai, China

¹³Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

* Equal contributors

| V1 First published: 05 Jan 2022, 7:5 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17468.1 | Open Peer Revie |
|--|-----------------|
| Latest published: 05 Jan 2022, 7 :5 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17468.1 | Approval Status |
| Abstract | |

The Infectious Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO, https://www.iddo.org) has launched a clinical data platform for the collation, curation, standardisation and reuse of individual participant data (IPD) on treatments for two of the most globally important neglected tropical diseases (NTDs), schistosomiasis (SCH) and soiltransmitted helminthiases (STHs). This initiative aims to harness the power of data-sharing by facilitating collaborative joint analyses of pooled datasets to generate robust evidence on the efficacy and safety of anthelminthic treatment regimens. A crucial component of this endeavour has been the development of a Research Agenda to

w 2 1 version 1 view view 05 Ian 2022 1. John Gilleard ^[D], University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada 2. Nana-Kwadwo Biritwum 🛄, Ghana Health

promote engagement with the SCH and STH research and disease control communities by highlighting key questions that could be tackled using data shared through the IDDO platform. Here, we give a contextual overview of the priority research themes articulated in the Research Agenda—a 'living' document hosted on the IDDO website—and describe the three-stage consultation process behind its development. We also discuss the sustainability and future directions of the platform, emphasising throughout the power and promise of ethical and equitable sharing and reuse of clinical data to support the elimination of NTDs.

Keywords

schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminthiasis, data sharing, data reuse, treatment, anthelmintic, neglected tropical diseases

Services (GHS), Accra, Ghana

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

Corresponding author: Martin Walker (mwalker@rvc.ac.uk)

Author roles: Walker M: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Freitas LT: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Halder JB: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Brack M: Conceptualization, Visualization, Writing – Review & Editing; Keiser J: Writing – Review & Editing; King CH: Writing – Review & Editing; Levecke B: Writing – Review & Editing; Ai-Lian Lim Y: Writing – Review & Editing; Pieri O: Writing – Review & Editing; Sow D: Writing – Review & Editing; Stothard JR: Writing – Review & Editing; Webster JP: Writing – Review & Editing; Zhou XN: Writing – Review & Editing; Terry RF: Writing – Review & Editing; Guérin PJ: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Basáñez MG: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Revi

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information: MW acknowledges funding from Research England's Connecting Capabilities Fund (Bloomsbury SET [https://bloomsburyset.org.uk/]; BSA34). This work was supported by Wellcome (208378, https://doi.org/10.35802/208378; a Wellcome Biomedical Resources grant to MW, LTF, JBH, MB and PJG for the Infectious Diseases Data Observatory [https://www.iddo.org/]). MGB acknowledges funding from the Medical Research Council (MRC) Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis (MR/R015600/1), jointly funded by the UK MRC and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO), under the MRC/FCDO Concordat agreement and is also part of the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP2) programme supported by the European Union.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Copyright: © 2022 Walker M *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Walker M, Freitas LT, Halder JB *et al.* Improving anthelmintic treatment for schistosomiasis and soiltransmitted helminthiases through sharing and reuse of individual participant data [version 1; peer review: 2 approved] Wellcome Open Research 2022, **7**:5 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17468.1

First published: 05 Jan 2022, 7:5 https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17468.1

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors. Publication in Wellcome Open Research does not imply endorsement by Wellcome.

Introduction

At least one billion of the world's poorest people suffer from neglected tropical diseases (NTDs). Two of the most common NTDs are schistosomiasis (SCH) and soil-transmitted helminthiases (STHs), caused by parasitic worms (helminths, trematodes and nematodes, respectively) that are endemic throughout the tropical and sub-tropical regions and intimately associated with poverty^{1–3}. The World Health Organization (WHO), supported by global health partners, has spearheaded efforts to eliminate these diseases as a public health problem by 2030⁴, predominantly using a strategy of preventive chemotherapy (PC). This entails the distribution of anthelmintic drugs (anthelmintics) to at-risk populations on an annual or semi-annual basis, by mass drug administration (MDA), upon pre-determined infection prevalence thresholds.

The scale up of these PC programs over the past decade is unprecedented and they are among the first public health interventions to resume after recent disruptions caused by the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Every year, since 2017, more than a billion people have been treated for NTDs^{5,6}, including in 2019, 105 million people were given praziguantel for SCH and 613 million people were given benzimidazoles for STHs⁷. Despite this, there remain questions on the factors that shape individual responses to treatment; responses to treatment in understudied groups; anthelmintic safety and tolerability profiles, and methodological questions on how and when responses should be measured and how future studies, including clinical trials, should be best designed to address these questions. Moreover, although the spectre of emerging anthelmintic resistance is ever-present-having already arisen to all major classes of anthelmintics in the veterinary field⁸⁻¹¹—there remains little systematic monitoring of anthelmintic efficacy in human populations.

The Infectious Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO) is working with the SCH and STH research and disease control communities to develop a clinical data platform for the collation, curation, standardisation and reuse of individual participant data (IPD) on treatment responses to anthelminitics. It is becoming increasingly well-recognised that data sharing and curation to a standardised format maximises the utility of data by enabling joint analysis of pooled datasets to increase the power of analysis, uncover new information and generate new evidence^{12–14}. The goal of the IDDO initiative is to facilitate collaborative research to improve the efficacy and sustainability of treatments for SCH and STHs through ethical and equitable sharing and reuse of data for scientific outputs that produce better evidence^{13,15,16}.

As part of the platform development phase, we have developed a Research Agenda highlighting research questions that could be tackled using data shared through the IDDO platform. This is intended to spur the SCH and STHs research communities to engage with the platform which will ultimately enhance research aimed at improving treatments for these diseases. Here, we first describe the consultative process used to develop the Research Agenda, an approach shared across the IDDO portfolio of infectious diseases. We then provide a contextual overview of the priority research areas identified by this process, highlighting areas where sufficient data exist and could be tackled within the short- to mid-term (2–3 years) as well as questions for which there are currently insufficient data, or which are out of the current scope of the platform, but which may in the long-term be important or trigger new research.

Developing the Research Agenda

By taking an inclusive, consultative approach to developing the Research Agenda we have promoted engagement with the SCH and STHs research and disease control communities and developed a document to spur new research. In Box 1, we describe briefly how the Research Agenda developed for visceral leishmaniasis (VL)—another NTD in the IDDO portfolio—has successfully galvanised the VL research community.

Box 1. Development of a Research Agenda for visceral leishmaniasis

The Research Agenda for visceral leishmaniasis (VL) was completed in 2019 following the same consultative development process as used for the schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiases Research Agenda as outlined in Figure 1. The VL Research Agenda provided a clear indication of which knowledge gaps could be addressed by the research community if the individual participant data (IPD) were made available. This inspired research proposals for two collaborative Study Groups which were drafted with the VL Scientific Advisory Committee, as representatives of the global VL research community, and key partners, including non-governmental organisations, regional health agencies and funders dedicated to serving VL patients. These proposals were in turn shared with relevant investigators identified from the scoping review inviting them to contribute data and participate in the analysis for each Study Group. By presenting clear research proposals to investigators outlining the outcomes to be achieved through their data contribution, these Study Groups—which were originally inspired by the Research Agenda—have greatly enhanced data contribution, participation and engagement with the VL platform and have led to collaborative analyses of data received from across all endemic regions.

The SCH and STH Research Agenda has been developed following the same process as used for VL (and across IDDO platforms, Figure 1), reflecting topics identified by systematic scoping reviews^{17,18}, engagement with the communities, and written and revised through a three-stage consultation process:

- 1. Internal process: development of the first draft by the Secretariat and the Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC) members
- 2. External expert process: review of the first draft by key experts in the field and production of a second version of the research agenda



Figure 1. The Infectious Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO) Research Agenda development process. Schematic reproduced with permission from https://www.iddo.org/research-agenda-development-process.

3. Public consultation process: the second version is publicly shared with the scientific community and comments were called from April to July 2020 to produce a first 'living document' that can be updated as the research needs of the disease communities evolve.

Version 1.0 of the SCH and STHs Research Agenda is hosted on the IDDO website. This document reflects current consensus from the scientific community on priority research areas that could help to improve treatment (and control/elimination) of SCH and STHs. Hereafter, we provide a contextual overview of these research areas.

Heterogeneity in treatment responses

Responses to anthelmintic treatments vary among individuals¹⁹⁻²² and among populations^{23,24}. Variation in treatment responses can be driven by multiple factors, including those relating to demography, spatiotemporal effects^{22,23,25,26}, such as potentially emerging anthelminthic resistance or the distribution of low-quality medicine^{27,28}, drug effects, such as differences in the efficacy of treatment regimens and poorly understood individual-level differences. Heterogeneity is also enhanced by methodological, study design and reporting variation that affect the apparent treatment response (i.e., the response measured through the lens of a particular diagnostic used in a particular manner and reported in a particular way). Indeed, it is because of these high levels of heterogeneity in study methodologies, analyses and reporting^{17,18} that discriminating and quantifying different sources of variation using only group/ population-level responses (i.e. traditional meta-analyses) becomes difficult to impossible. Comprehensive IPD meta-analyses can overcome some of these challenges and would permit better understanding of the role of different pharmacological, (host and parasite) biological and methodological components in shaping treatment responses. In turn, such understanding will enable optimal evidence-based recommendations and guidance on how best to deploy the medicines to current and future demographic groups that are the cornerstone of global efforts to control and eliminate SCH and STHs.

Characterising spatiotemporal heterogeneity

For both SCH and STHs, there exist examples of geographical and temporal variability in responses to anthelminthics, which have sometimes been linked to the duration of MDA, a proxy of drug pressure and potential driver of anthelminthic resistance. In Uganda, the efficacy of praziquantel against intestinal SCH caused by *Schistosoma mansoni*, has been shown to be lower in schools with a longer duration (higher drug pressure) of MDA²⁹. Elsewhere, *S. mansoni* populations with reduced susceptibility to praziquantel have been documented in Egypt³⁰, Kenya³¹ and Senegal³². Notwithstanding these reports—and although resistance to praziquantel can be induced in the laboratory—and can emerge in natural populations³⁰, there remains no conclusive evidence for its establishment in the field and the topic remains controversial^{25,33,34}. Moreover, a recent meta-analysis found that the efficacy of praziquantel has been maintained since its introduction as the preferred treatment for SCH in the late 1970s³⁵.

Links between the duration of MDA and the efficacy of benzimidazoles have also been reported. In Pemba Island, which has a long history of MDA, poor efficacy of albendazole against Trichuris trichiura (whipworm) and hookworm has been reported^{22,23,36}, and a population-level meta-analysis found the efficacy of benzimidazoles against whipworm had declined globally between 1995 and 2015 (although this global trend may be biased by the abundance of trials conducted in Pemba Island where the intensity of whipworm is very high and responses are consistently poor)²⁶. Benzimidazole treatment is known to select for β -tubulin mutations which are associated with resistance^{37,38} (and particularly so in helminths of veterinary importance³⁹) although currently, no direct association between duration of MDA (drug pressure) and the selection of β -tubulin mutations resulting in reduced efficacy has been documented in the species infecting human populations.

Naturally, factors other than emerging resistance can shape treatment efficacy variation over time and space. An important neglected possible driver is medicine quality, which may differ between countries and regions depending on the source and distribution of the drugs. For example, a relatively high prevalence of poor quality benzimidazoles—linked to the country of origin—has been identified in Ethiopia²⁸ and quality has also shown to be variable among brands and could cause variable responses²⁷. The paucity of published studies that explore medicine quality for SCH⁴⁰ and STHs^{27,28} means that it is unclear how quality may shape spatiotemporal heterogeneity in responses. In malaria, poor-quality medicines are recognised as a determinant of treatment failure, morbidity, mortality and drug resistance^{41–43}. The IDDO Medicine Quality Research Group is dedicated to strengthening knowledge about the scale and extent of problems associated with substandard and falsified medicines for human and veterinary diseases.

Numerous factors such as co-infections, drug-drug and host-drug interactions may also shape the response landscape. Many of these may be difficult to distinguish from the variables that typically comprise clinical data. Nevertheless, there exist some intriguing possibilities such as genetic diversity in the cytochrome P_{450} enzyme across SCH-endemic regions in Africa being linked to the metabolism—and possibly the efficacy—of praziquantel^{44,45}. But ultimately, a better phenomenological understanding of treatment efficacy—irrespective of the underlying drivers—would be highly beneficial from a pragmatic perspective, particularly as this is so crucial to the effectiveness of PC programmes.

Consequently, we envisage that an important first step in better characterising spatiotemporal heterogeneity will be to map and visualise anthelminthic responses (Table 1), inspired by

| Research theme | Activity | Timescale |
|--|--|-----------------|
| Characterising spatiotemporal variation in treatment | Development of an efficacy explorer to map responses in space and time | Short-term |
| responses | • Engagement to increase research and data collection on anthelminthic quality | Mid-/long-term |
| Improving evidence base for treatment regimens | Individual participant data (IPD) meta-analyses on drug combinations for treating hookworm & <i>Trichuris trichiura</i> (whipworm) | Short-/mid-term |
| | • IPD meta-analyses on effect of dose and frequency of administration on efficacy of praziquantel (e.g. 40 mg/kg vs. 60 mg/kg in single or multiple doses) | Short-/mid-term |
| Evaluating new antigen- detection & molecular | • Define reference range of responses to praziquantel measured using antigen detection methods (CCA or CAA) | Short-/mid-term |
| diagnostics | Comparison of assays and laboratory protocols for molecular identification of soil-transmitted helminth infection and promotion of standardised approaches | Short-/mid-term |
| Standardising study design and reporting | • Development of a case record form incorporating the data standard developed by the Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) | Short-term |
| | Engagement to foster adoption of standardised protocols and reporting | Mid-/long-term |
| Medicine safety in pregnancy | • IPD meta-analyses of safety (and efficacy) of praziquantel and benzimidazoles in pregnant and breastfeeding women | Short-/mid-term |
| Medicine formulation for preschool-age children | Collation, curation & standardisation of phase III clinical trial data on paediatric praziquantel formulation | Short-/mid-term |
| Analytical approaches | • Testing, comparison and recommendations on optimal statistical approaches for IPD (meta-) analyses using 'gold standard' datasets | Short-/mid-term |

Table 1. Priority research themes, activities & timescales.

the pioneering work of the Worldwide Antimalarial Resistance Network (WWARN) in tracking the emergence and spread of artemisinin-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Southeast Asia⁴⁶. This would provide an overview of the current geographical picture of anthelmintic responses, and spur more detailed analysis of the IPD hosted by the platform to identify drivers of geographical and temporal heterogeneity, particularly with respect to PC history. This process can begin now with existing submissions to the IDDO platform and be updated as new data are contributed.

Improving evidence-base for treatment regimens

Praziquantel is used exclusively for PC regimens against SCH, typically given at a dose of 40 mg/kg. Some (but not all⁴⁷) studies have shown 60 mg/kg (typically divided into three 20 mg/kg doses given over the course of a single day) to be more efficacious than the single 40 mg/kg dose^{19,35,48,49}. There also exists evidence that repeated doses of praziquantel may improve responses⁵⁰ and that co-administration of food increases bioavailability^{51,52}, which is increasingly recommended as best practice in MDA. Moreover, the efficacy of praziquantel is variable against different *Schistosoma* species⁵³—with little yet known on the susceptibility of hybrids^{54–56}—indicating that modified regimens could be recommended where either intestinal or urogenital infections dominate.

Preventive chemotherapy programmes for STHs are based on single-dose benzimidazoles (albendazole or mebendazole). However, this regimen has poor efficacy against *T. trichiura*^{26,57,58}, particularly in heavily infected individuals^{59,60}, and the efficacy of mebendazole against hookworm has also been questioned^{61,62}. There is thus growing consensus that more efficacious regimens are needed to reach control and elimination goals²². Combination therapies of benzimidazoles with ivermectin^{63–66}, oxantel pamoate^{63,67,68} or moxidectin⁶⁹, and tribendimidine with oxantel pamoate⁶⁷ have shown substantive improvement in efficacy compared to benzimidazoles alone⁵⁷.

Other demographic (e.g. age, sex), socioeconomic, nutritional and health indicators may influence how an individual responds to treatment. For example, treatment efficacy may be affected by the intensity of both schistosome70-72 and soil-transmitted helminths^{24,59,60,73} infections, and responses may vary among age groups (e.g. 19,24). Combined analyses of existing IPD could provide the strength of evidence required to prompt revised recommendations on optimised PC, critically in terms of optimal dosing to treat SCH and optimal combinations to treat STHs (Table 1), but also considering other individual-level factors that may be important determinants of treatment response. A more nuanced approach to treatment recommendations may be required to take into consideration the various factors that can modify treatment responses, including the geographical distribution and local dominance of different schistosome and soil-transmitted helminth infections.

Evaluating new antigen-detection & molecular diagnostics

Traditionally, responses to anthelmintic treatment have been measured using classical microscopy-based parasitological

techniques. Indeed, the WHO defines responses as either 'satisfactory', 'doubtful' or 'reduced' based on so-called egg reduction rates (ERRs), the percent reduction in the post-treatment parasitological egg count compared to the corresponding pre-treatment measurement in a population of treated individuals⁷⁴. However, new antigen-detection and molecular techniques—such as the detection of schistosome circulating cathodic or anodic antigen (CCA/CAA) in urine or serum^{75,76} and the quantification of STH DNA by PCR of stool samples^{23,77,78}—are increasingly being used as more sensitive alternatives to classical parasitological diagnostic techniques for evaluating treatment responses.

The move towards molecular approaches (particularly in research contexts) brings challenges when interpreting responses that have for decades been quantified using well-understood parasitological measures (Table 1). More sensitive molecular and antigen-detection diagnostics may yield estimates of efficacy that are lower than those measured by traditional parasitological methods, but this may also depend on the infecting species, when after treatment assessments are undertaken, and what parasitological technique it is being compared with. For example, CCA/CAA levels drop rapidly (within 24 hours) after treatment of schistosome infection with praziquantel⁷⁹⁻⁸¹ but may indicate lower efficacy than parasitology-based assessments (e.g. Kato Katz) because of their higher sensitivity for detecting low-level infections⁸². This is further complicated by the differential performance of antigen-detection diagnostics for the detection of intestinal and urogenital schistosome infections^{83,84}.

The standardisation and commercial availability of some antigen-detection diagnostics (e.g. point-of-care CCA) provide advantages in comparability of results between studies (as well as for other activities such as epidemiological mapping). However, most diagnostics are not standardised and there remain questions on the comparability of molecular diagnostics results derived from assays run in different laboratories⁸⁵⁻⁸⁸ and different epidemiological settings⁸⁹. Ultimately, the sharing of data and detailed laboratory protocols and procedures will permit formal comparison of diagnostics both within the context of assessing responses to anthelmintics and more generally^{87,88}.

Standardising study design and reporting

The design of a study assessing anthelmintic responses is crucial to the interpretation of the resulting data. For example, it is known that post-treatment egg counts for both schistosome and soil-transmitted helminths infections tend to be at their lowest approximately 2–3 weeks after treatment, which is why this time window is recommended for efficacy assessment^{90,91}. Although there maybe subtleties in optimal timing for different infections (e.g. between *S. mansoni*⁹² and *S. haematobium*⁹³), it is more important that a standardised and adequate followup time is employed consistently to facilitate comparison and interpretability of results, although the time window for assessment may be quite different for detection of antigens rather than excreted eggs⁷⁹. Similar arguments can be made for the ubiquitous use of the Kato-Katz method for egg microscopy; any inferiority in performance compared to other diagnostics maybe outweighed by the advantages of standardisation²³.

Notwithstanding, even widely used and relatively standardised tools have elements that can vary between studies and should be recorded (just as detailed protocols should be documented for molecular laboratory techniques). For example, even Kato-Katz test kits from different providers may sometimes yield differences in weight of stool (although this has not been found to require adjustment to the multiplication factor to convert to eggs per gram⁹⁴). Therefore, details of manufacturers and other specifications of diagnostics and study protocols should be recorded and reported in a standardised fashion to enhance interpretability and comparison. Similarly, there will be variation among technicians in the reading and preparing of Kato-Katz slides, and other microscopy-based approaches, which should be captured by recording individual identifiers for who processed each slide as observed in malaria⁹⁵.

Variability in eligibility criteria among studies can also lead to systematic differences and bias in study outcomes. For example, a common inclusion criterion in an efficacy assessment is for individuals to be diagnosed with infection using a single Kato-Katz slide. But this can lead to positive bias (overestimation) of drug efficacy, particularly when infection levels and/or efficacy are/is low. This can be mitigated by re-testing individuals after the initial eligibility screen and using this re-test measure of infection in subsequent estimations of drug efficacy⁹⁶.

The IDDO platform intends to facilitate the development of a standard case reporting form (CRF) to foster increased standardisation of protocols and reporting for clinical studies on SCH and STHs (Table 1). This will be achieved through engagement with the respective research communities and will be informed by current and future contributions to the platform. The CRF will also integrate the principles of the Clinical data standards that the platform is using to curate data contributions, further enhancing data interpretability, clarity and interoperability.

Medicine safety, tolerability and side effects

The drugs used to treat SCH and STHs are considered very safe and associated predominantly with only relatively mild side effects, although the published research in this area is limited. A particular strength of aggregating IPD from multiple studies is to increase power when individual studies are comparatively scarce. Side effects of praziquantel, particularly in heavily infected individuals, can be substantial97,98 and may have a deleterious effect on participation with subsequent rounds of MDA⁹⁹. Side effects of benzimidazoles are generally mild (but see 100), including in young children under 5 years old^{101,102} but it will be necessary to assess side effect and tolerability profiles of new combination therapies that improve treatment of T. trichiura and hookworm infections63,103. A key component of any analysis of tolerability is the robust assessment of bias; studies actively collecting information on adverse events are likely rare and those based on passive surveillance, such as during MDA programmes, will be subject to reporting bias¹⁰⁴.

Safety and responses in understudied groups

Determining safety and drug responses in understudied groups is crucial to defining appropriate inclusion/exclusion criteria for PC. This directly impacts the success of PC programmes that must strive to maximise therapeutic coverage to meet control and elimination goals. Like studies on side effects, data on understudied groups are, by definition, limited which only emphasises further the importance of data sharing to maximise the information and power of IPD. Indeed, the 'leaving no one behind' principle, as articulated in the Sustainable Development Goals, has gained prominence as an indicator of neglected tropical disease (NTD) programme success¹⁰⁵.

Medicine safety in pregnancy

The WHO recommend inclusion of pregnant and breastfeeding women for treatment with praziquantel based on studies that have indicated that it is safe and efficacious¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁸. However, praziquantel is still frequently not offered during PC to pregnant or breastfeeding women, sometimes because of prioritisation of school-based rather than community-based delivery of MDA¹⁰⁹. Data on the safety of other anthelmintics for use in pregnancy are limited^{110,111} and current recommendations indicate treatment only on the second and third trimesters as an important component of antenatal care^{112,113}. New updated analyses using pooled IPD could add considerable value to the evidence-base to support treatment recommendations (Table 1).

Medicine formulations for preschool-age children

In recent years it has been recognised that treating preschool-age children (less than 5 years old) for SCH may have substantial benefits for preventing morbidity and reducing community transmission^{45,114}. It is recommended that children aged ≤5 years be given a 40 mg/kg dose of praziquantel (doses above 40 mg/kg appear to offer no improvement in response)^{47,115} as crushed tablets⁴⁵. However, the bitter taste of praziquantel has led to operational difficulties^{47,116} and spurred the development of a paediatric formulation by the Paediatric Praziguantel Consortium. Phase III trials are currently ongoing and an important component along the pathway towards registration will be the curation and standardisation of the clinical data and, ideally, its sharing for scrutiny and comparison with existing formulations (Table 1). More research should also be conducted on the pharmacokinetics of praziquantel in preschool-age children and its bioavailability profile⁴⁵.

The optimal formulation of benzimidazoles for STHs, which are periodically given to preschool-age children over 12 months old¹⁰¹, also remains an open question. Both albendazole and mebendazole are available as chewable tablets^{117–119} or can be crushed¹²⁰ to minimise the likelihood of choking events^{100,120}. Collating available safety data on the administration of benzimidazole tablets to preschool-age children could provide important information on the frequency of these adverse events and, crucially, highlight safest modes of administration.

Analytical approaches

The WHO provides guidance on analysis protocols for calculating anthelmintic efficacy as ERRs on a population-level basis⁹⁰. These approaches, however, do not extend to IPD when incorporating covariates of the treatment response. A number of new methods have been proposed in both the human^{19-22,121} and veterinary^{122,123} domains for analysing IPD, but there remain no standardised or consensus approach and many unresolved technical questions. For example, how quantitative expressions of the distribution of drug responses among individuals (e.g., percentage of individuals with a 'satisfactory' or 'reduced' response) relate to more traditional population-level summaries (e.g., average ERR or cure rate), how accurately can individual suboptimal (or 'reduced') responses be identified, and importantly, be distinguished from response variation not associated with decreased efficacy? should responses measured using (multiple) molecular diagnostics be integrated into analytical frameworks? These questions will not be answered by the sharing of data per se, but the assemblage of an abundance of IPD will provide the raw material to test different analytical approaches. We envisage that the platform will play an important role in facilitating collaboration to improve the quality and consistency of methods for IPD meta-analyses in the helminth and NTD domain.

Conclusions

Here, we have given a contextual overview of the Research Agenda that has been developed as part of the process building a SCH and STHs sharing and reuse platform for clinical data. The Research Agenda is not intended to be either prescriptive or exhaustive, but rather to provide a guide to research questions—identified as priorities by the disease control and research communities—that could be tackled through the sharing and reuse of IPD identified by scoping review. Clearly, with time, priority areas will change and the online 'living' Research Agenda will evolve to reflect these changes. Indeed, although the scope of the platform is currently restricted to clinical IPD on SCH and STHs, IDDO is committed to responding to the future needs of disease control and research communities. This includes, where practicable, broadening its scope to capture new data and new diseases. For example, in response to strongyloidiasis being included under STHs in the WHO's priority list of NTDs, future searches to identify studies with suitable IPD will be designed to capture data on treatment of infection by Strongyloides stercoralis¹²⁴. Ultimately, of course, the scope and sustainability of this platform and others are limited by resources and funding. Although the principles of data sharing are widely acclaimed and highly encouraged, stable funding streams are crucial to sustain data platforms as digital resources for the research and disease control communities for future generations. Resources to keep platforms operational, active and updated will ensure that the utility of clinical data and the beneficial impact of data-sharing are fully realised.

Data availability

No data are associated with this article.

Acknowledgements

We thank the following people for providing feedback on the content of this manuscript: Professor Daniel G Colley, University of Georgia; Dr Thomas Crellen, Big Data Institute, University of Oxford; Dr Julia Dunn, Clinton Health Access Initiative; Dr Cristin Fergus, Firoz Lalji Institute for Africa, London School of Economics and Political Science; Mr Amadou Jallow, Ministry of Health, The Gambia; Dr William Lin, EJD Global Health; Mr Bakary Sanneh, Ministry of Health, The Gambia; Dr Anthony Solomon, World Health Organization.

References

- Herricks JR, Hotez PJ, Wanga V, et al.: The global burden of disease study 2013: What does it mean for the NTDs? PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11(8): e0005424.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hotez PJ, Molyneux DH, Fenwick A, et al.: Control of neglected tropical diseases. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357(10): 1018–27.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hotez PJ, Fenwick A, Savioli L, et al.: Rescuing the bottom billion through control of neglected tropical diseases. Lancet. 2009; 373(9674): 1570–5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- World Health Organization: Ending the neglect to attain the Sustainable Development Goals - A road map for neglected tropical diseases 2021-2030. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021. Reference Source
- World Health Organization: Neglected tropical diseases: treating more than one billion people for the fifth consecutive year. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. Reference Source
- World Health Organization: Crossing the Billion. Preventive chemotherapy for neglected tropical diseases. Lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis, schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminthiases and trachoma. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. Reference Source

- World Health Organization: Schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiases: numbers of people treated in 2019. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2020; 95: 629-40. Reference Source
- Traversa D, von Samson-Himmelstjerna G: Anthelmintic resistance in sheep gastro-intestinal strongyles in Europe. Small Rumin Res. 2016; 135: 75–80.
 Publisher Full Text
- Matthews JB: Anthelmintic resistance in equine nematodes. Int J Parsitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2014; 4(3): 310–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kaplan RM: Drug resistance in nematodes of veterinary importance: a status report. Trends Parasitol. 2004; 20(10): 477–81.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wolstenholme AJ, Fairweather I, Prichard R, et al.: Drug resistance in veterinary helminths. Trends Parasitol. 2004; 20(10): 469–76.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Pisani E, Aaby P, Breugelmans JG, et al.: Beyond open data: realising the health benefits of sharing data. BMJ. 2016; 355: 15295.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Merson L, Gaye O, Guérin PJ: Avoiding data dumpsters—toward equitable and useful data sharing. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374(25): 2414–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Humphreys GS, Tinto H, Barnes KI: Strength in Numbers: The WWARN case 14. study of purpose-driven data sharing. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2019; **100**(1): 13–5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Merson L, Guérin PJ, Barnes KI, et al.: Secondary analysis and participation of 15. those at the data source. Lancet Glob Health. 2018; 6(9): e965. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Bull S, Cheah PY, Denny S, et al.: Best Practices for Ethical Sharing of Individual-Level Health Research data from low- and middle-income 16. settings. J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics. 2015; 10(3): 302-13 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Halder JB, Benton J, Julé AM, et al.: Systematic review of studies generating individual participant data on the efficacy of drugs for treating 17. soil-transmitted helminthiases and the case for data-sharing. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11(10): e0006053. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 18. Julé AM, Vaillant M, Lang TA, et al.: The schistosomiasis clinical trials landscape: a systematic review of antischistosomal treatment efficacy studies and a case for sharing individual participant-level data (IPD). PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016; 10(6): e0004784. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Walker M, Mabud TS, Olliaro PL, et al.: New approaches to measuring 19. anthelminthic drug efficacy: parasitological responses of childhood schistosome infections to treatment with praziguantel. Parasit Vectors. 2016; 9: 41. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 20.
- Walker M, Churcher TS, Basáñez MG: Models for measuring anthelmintic drug efficacy for parasitologists. *Trends Parasitol.* 2014; 30(11): 528–37. Med Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Olliaro PL, Vaillant M, Diawara A, et al.: Toward measuring Schistosoma 21. response to praziquantel treatment with appropriate descriptors of egg excretion. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015; 9(6): e0003821 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Walker M, Cools P, Albonico M, et al.: Individual responses to a single oral dose of albendazole indicate reduced efficacy against soil-transmitted helminths in an area with high drug pressure. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021; 15(10): e0009888.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Vlaminck J, Cools P, Albonico M, et al.: Therapeutic efficacy of albendazole 23. against soil-transmitted helminthiasis in children measured by five diagnostic methods. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019; 13(8): e0007471. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Vercruysse J, Behnke JM, Albonico M, et al.: Assessment of the anthelmintic 24. efficacy of albendazole in school children in seven countries where soil-transmitted helminths are endemic. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011; 5(3): e948. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Levecke B, Vlaminck J, Andriamaro L, et al.: Evaluation of the therapeutic efficacy of praziquantel against schistosomes in seven countries with ongoing large-scale deworming programs. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2020; 14: 183-7.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Moser W, Schindler C, Keiser J: Efficacy of recommended drugs against soil transmitted helminths: systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 26. 2017; 358: j4307.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Belew S, Getachew M, Suleman S, et al.: Assessment of efficacy and quality of 27. two albendazole brands commonly used against soil-transmitted helminth infections in school children in Jimma Town, Ethiopia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015: 9(9): e0004057.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- 28. Suleman S, Zeleke G, Deti H, et al.: Quality of medicines commonly used in the treatment of soil-transmitted helminths and *Giardia* in Ethiopia: a nationwide survey. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014; 8(12): e3345. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 29. Crellen T, Walker M, Lamberton PH, et al.: Reduced efficacy of praziguantel against Schistosoma mansoni is associated with multiple rounds of mass drug administration. Clin Infect Dis. 2016; 63(9): 1151-9 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ismail M, Botros S, Metwally A, et al.: Resistance to praziquantel: direct 30. evidence from Schistosoma mansoni isolated from Egyptian villagers. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1999; 60(6): 932–5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 31. Melman SD, Steinauer ML, Cunningham C, et al.: Reduced susceptibility to praziquantel among naturally occurring Kenyan isolates of Schistosoma mansoni. PLoS Neal Trop Dis. 2009: 3(8): e504. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Stelma FF, Talla I, Sow S, et al.: Efficacy and side effects of praziquantel in 32 an epidemic focus of Schistosoma mansoni. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1995; 53(2): PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Vale N, Gouveia MJ, Rinaldi G, et al.: Praziguantel for schistosomiasis: single-33. drug metabolism revisited, mode of action, and resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017; 61(5): e02582-16 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- King CH, Muchiri EM, Ouma JH: Evidence against rapid emergence of praziguantel resistance in Schistosoma haematobium,. Kenya. Emerg Infect 34. Dis. 2000; 6(6): 585-94. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Fukushige M, Chase-Topping M, Woolhouse MEI, et al.: Efficacy of 35. praziquantel has been maintained over four decades (from 1977 to 2018): A systematic review and meta-analysis of factors influence its efficacy. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021; **15**(3): e0009189. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Albonico M, Rinaldi L, Sciascia S, et al.: Comparison of three copromicroscopic 36 methods to assess albendazole efficacy against soil-transmitted helminth infections in school-aged children on Pemba Island. *Trans R Soc Trop Med* Hyg. 2013; 107(8): 493-501. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 37. Orr AR, Quagraine JE, Suwondo P, et al.: Genetic markers of benzimidazole resistance among human hookworms (Necator americanus) in Kintampo North municipality, Ghana. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2019; **100**(2): 351–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Diawara A, Halpenny CM, Churcher TS, et al.: Association between response 38. to albendazole treatment and β-tubulin genotype frequencies in soil-transmitted helminths. *PLoS Neql Trop Dis.* 2013; **7**(5): e2247. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Redman E, Whitelaw F, Tait A, *et al.*: **The emergence of resistance to the benzimidazole anthlemintics in parasitic nematodes of livestock is** 39 characterised by multiple independent hard and soft selective sweeps. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015; 9(2): e0003494. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 40 Sulaiman SM, Traoré M, Engels D, et al.: Counterfeit praziquantel. Lancet. 2001; **358**(9282): 666–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Evans DR, Higgins CR, Laing SK, et al.: Poor-quality antimalarials further 41. health inequities in Uganda. Health Policy Plan. 2019; 34(Supplement 3): iii36-iii47

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Newton PN, Caillet C, Guerin PJ: A link between poor quality antimalarials and malaria drug resistance? *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* 2016; **14**(6): 531–3. 42. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- World Health Organization: Guidlines for the treatment of malaria. 3rd 43. edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015. **Reference Source**
- Raiman I, Knapp L, Morgan T, et al.: African Genetic Diversity: Implications 44. for cytochrome P450-mediated drug metabolism and drug development. EBioMedicine. 2017; 17: 67-74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Osakunor DNM, Woolhouse MEJ, Mutapi F: Paediatric schistosomiasis: 45 What we know and what we need to know. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018; 12(2): e0006144.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Ashley EA, Dhorda M, Fairhurst RM, et al.: Spread of artemisinin resistance in 46 Plasmodium falciparum. malaria. N Eng | Med. 2014; 371: 411–423. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Coulibaly JT, Panic G, Silue KD, et al.: Efficacy and safety of praziquantel in preschool-aged and school-aged children infected with Schistosoma 47 mansoni: a randomised controlled, parallel-group, dose-ranging, phase 2 trial. Lancet Glob Health. 2017; 5(7): e688-e98. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 48. Bustinduy AL, Waterhouse D, de Sousa-Figueiredo JC, et al.: Population pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of praziquantel in Ugandan children with intestinal schistosomiasis: higher dosages are required for maximal efficacy. mBio. 2016; 7(4): e00227-16. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kabuyaya M, Chimbari MJ, Mukaratirwa S: Efficacy of praziquantel 49. treatment regimens in pre-school and school aged children infected with schistosomiasis in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. Infect Dis Poverty. 2018; 7(1): 73. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- King CH, Olbrych SK, Soon M, et al.: Utility of repeated praziquantel dosing 50. in the treatment of schistosomiasis in high-risk communities in Africa: a systematic review. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2011; **5**(9): e1321. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Castro N, Medina R, Sotelo J, et al.: Bioavailability of praziquantel increases with concomitant administration of food. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000; 44(10): 2903-4.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text Mandour ME, el Turabi H, Homeida MM, et al.: Pharmacokinetics of 52.
- praziquantel in healthy volunteers and patients with schistosomiasis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1990; 84(3): 389–93. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Zwang J, Olliaro PL: Clinical efficacy and tolerability of praziquantel for 53. intestinal and urinary schistosomiasis-a meta-analysis of comparative and non-comparative clinical trials. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014; 8(11): e3286. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Fall CB. Lambert S. Léger E. et al.: Hybridized zoonotic Schistosoma, infections 54

result in hybridized morbidity profiles: a clinical morbidity study amongst co-infected human populations of Senegal. *Microorganisms*. 2021; 9(8): 1776. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

 Leger E, Webster JP: Hybridizations within the Genus Schistosoma: implications for evolution, epidemiology and control. Parasitology. 2017; 144(1): 65–80.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Gower CM, Vince L, Webster JP: Should we be treating animal schistosomiasis in Africa? The need for a One Health economic evaluation of schistosomiasis control in people and their livestock. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2017; 111(6): 244–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Namwanje H, Kabatereine NB, Olsen A: Efficacy of single and double doses of albendazole and mebendazole alone and in combination in the treatment of *Trichurs trichiura*. in school-age children in Uganda. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2011; 105: 586–90.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Olsen A, Namwanje H, Nejsum P, et al.: Albendazole and mebendazole have low efficacy against *Trichuristrichiura* in school-age children in Kabale District, Uganda. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2009; 103(5): 443–6. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Mekonnen Z, Levecke B, Boulet G, et al.: Efficacy of different albendazole and mebendazole regimens against heavy-intensity *Trichuris trichiura*. infections in school children, Jimma Town, Ethiopia. Pathog Glob Health. 2013; 107(4): 207–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Levecke B, Mekonnen Z, Albonico M, *et al.*: The impact of baseline faecal egg counts on the efficacy of single-dose albendazole against *Trichuris trichiura*. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2012; **106**(2): 128–30.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Palmeirim MS, Ame SM, Ali SM, et al.: Efficacy and safety of a single dose versus a multiple dose regimen of mebendazole against hookworm infections in children: a randomised, double-blind trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2018; 1: 7–13.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Flohr C, Tuyen LN, Lewis S, et al.: Low efficacy of mebendazole against hookworm in Vietnam: two randomized controlled trials. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 76(4): 732-6.
 PubMed Abstract
- Speich B, Ali SM, Ame SM, et al.: Efficacy and safety of albendazole plus ivermectin, albendazole plus mebendazole, albendazole plus oxantel pamoate, and mebendazole alone against *Trichuris trichiura* and concomitant soil-transmitted helminth infections: a four-arm, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2015; 15(3): 277–84. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Knopp S, Mohammed KA, Speich B, et al.: Albendazole and mebendazole administered alone or in combination with ivermectin against *Trichuris* trichiura: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2010; 51(12): 1420–8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Olsen A: Efficacy and safety of drug combinations in the treatment of schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminthiasis, lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2007; 101(8): 747–58.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Belizario VY, Amarillo ME, de Leon WU, et al.: A comparison of the efficacy of single doses of albendazole, ivermectin, and diethylcarbamazine alone or in combinations against Ascaris and Trichuris spp. Bull World Health Organ. 2003; 81(1): 35-42.
 PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text
- 67. Moser W, Coulibaly JT, Ali SM, et al.: Efficacy and safety of tribendimidine, tribendimidine plus ivermectin, tribendimidine plus oxantel pamoate, and albendazole plus oxantel pamoate against hookworm and concomitant soil-transmitted helminth infections in Tanzania and Cote d'Ivoire: a randomised, controlled, single-blinded, non-inferiority trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2017; 17(11): 1162–71. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Speich B, Ame SM, Ali SM, et al.: Oxantel pamoate-albendazole for Trichuris trichiura infection. N Engl J Med. 2014; 370(7): 610–20.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Keller L, Palmeirim MS, Ame SM, et al.: Efficacy and safety of ascending dosages of moxidectin and moxidectin-albendazole against *Trichuris* trichiura in adolescents: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2020; 70(6): 1193–201.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Danso-Appiah A, De Vlas SJ: Interpreting low praziquantel cure rates of Schistosoma mansoni infections in Senegal. Trends Parasitol. 2002; 18(3): 125-9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gryseels B, Mbaye A, De Vlas SJ, et al.: Are poor responses to praziquantel for the treatment of Schistosoma mansoni infections in Senegal due to resistance? An overview of the evidence. Trop Med Int Health. 2001; 6(11): 864–73.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Coulibaly JT, Furst T, Silue KD, et al.: Intestinal parasitic infections in schoolchildren in different settings of Cote d'Ivoire: effect of diagnostic

approach and implications for control. Parasit Vectors. 2012; 5: 135. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Albonico M, Bickle Q, Ramsan M, et al.: Efficacy of mebendazole and levamisole alone or in combination against intestinal nematode infections after repeated targeted mebendazole treatment in Zanzibar. Bull World Health Organ. 2003; 81(5): 343-52.
 PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text
- World Health Organization: Ninth meeting of Working Group on Monitoring of Neglected Tropical Diseases Drug Efficacy. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2020. Reference Source
- 75. Prada JM, Touloupou P, Adriko M, *et al.*: **Understanding the relationship between egg- and antigen-based diagnostics of** *Schistosoma mansoni* **infection pre- and post-treatment in Uganda.** *Parasit Vectors.* 2018; **11**(1): 21. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**
- Knopp S, Corstjens PL, Koukounari A, et al.: Sensitivity and specificity of a urine circulating anodic antigen test for the diagnosis of *Schistosoma* haematobium in low endemic settings. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015; 9(5): e0003752.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Vaz Nery S, Qi J, Llewellyn S, et al.: Use of quantitative PCR to assess the efficacy of albendazole against Necator americanusand Ascarisspp. in Manufahi District, Timor-Leste. Parasit Vectors. 2018; 11(1): 373. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Easton AV, Oliveira RG, O'Connell EM, et al.: Multi-parallel qPCR provides increased sensitivity and diagnostic breadth for gastrointestinal parasites of humans: field-based inferences on the impact of mass deworming. *Parasit Vectors*. 2016; 9: 38.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kildemoes AO, Vennervald BJ, Tukahebwa EM, et al.: Rapid clearance of Schistosoma mansonicirculating cathodic antigen after treatment shown by urine strip tests in a Ugandan fishing community - Relevance for monitoring treatment efficacy and re-infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11(11): e0006054.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text van Lieshout L, De Jonge N, Mansour MM, et al.: Circulating cathodic antigen
- van Lieshout L, De Jonge N, Mansour MM, et al.: Circulating cathodic antigen levels in serum and urine of schistosomiasis patients before and after chemotherapy with praziquantel. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1993; 87(3): 311–2.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- van Lieshout L, de Jonge N, Bassily S, et al.: Assessment of cure in schistosomiasis patients after chemotherapy with praziquantel by quantitation of circulating anodic antigen (CAA) in urine. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1991; 44(3): 323-8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hoekstra PT, Casacuberta-Partal M, van Lieshout L, et al.: Efficacy of single versus four repeated doses of praziquantel against Schistosoma mansoni infection in school-aged children from Cote d'Ivoire based on Kato-Katz and POC-CCA: An open-label, randomised controlled trial (RePST). PLoS Neg/ Trop Dis. 2020; 14(3): e0008189.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Midzi N, Butterworth AE, Mduluza T, et al.: Use of circulating cathodic antigen strips for the diagnosis of urinary schistosomiasis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2009; 103(1): 45–51.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 de Dood CJ, Hoekstra PT, Mngara J, et al.: Refining Diagnosis of Schistosoma haematobium Infections: Antigen and Antibody Detection in Urine. Front

Immunol. 2018; 9: 2635. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Papaiakovou M, Pilotte N, Baumer B, et al.: A comparative analysis of preservation techniques for the optimal molecular detection of hookworm DNA in a human fecal specimen. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018; 12(1): e0006130. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cools P, van Lieshout L, Koelewijn R, et al.: First international external quality assessment scheme of nucleic acid amplification tests for the detection of Schistosoma and soil-transmitted helminths, including Strongyloides: a pilot study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020; 14(6): e0008231.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Cools P, Vlaminck J, Verweij JJ, et al.: Quantitative PCR in soil-transmitted helminth epidemiology and control programs: Toward a universal standard. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021; 15(3): e0009134.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Papaiakovou M, Littlewood DTJ, Gasser RB, et al.: How qPCR complements the WHO roadmap (2021-2030) for soil-transmitted helminths. *Trends Parasitol.* 2021; 37(8): 698–708.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Graeff-Teixeira C, Favero V, Pascoal VF, et al.: Low specificity of point-of-care circulating cathodic antigen (POCCCA) diagnostic test in a non-endemic area for schistosomiasis mansoni in Brazil. Acta Trop. 2021; 217: 105863. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- World Health Organization: Assessing the efficacy of anthelmintic drugs against schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiases. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013. Reference Source

- Levecke B, Easton AV, Cools P, et al.: The optimal timing of post-treatment sampling for the assessment of anthelminthic drug efficacy against Ascaris infections in humans. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2018; 8(1): 67–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Scherrer AU, Sjoberg MK, Allangba A, et al.: Sequential analysis of 92. helminth egg output in human stool samples following albendazole and praziquantel administration. Acta Trop. 2009; 109(3): 226-31. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Stete K, Krauth SJ, Coulibaly JT, et al.: Dynamics of Schistosoma haematobium egg output and associated infection parameters following treatment with praziquantel in school-aged children. Parasit Vectors. 2012; 5: 298. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Leuenberger A, Nassoro T, Said K, et al.: Assessing stool quantities generated 94. by three specific Kato-Katz thick smear templates employed in different settings. Infect Dis Poverty. 2016; 5(1): 58. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 95. Das D, Dahal P, Dhorda M, et al.: A systematic literature review of microscopy methods reported in malaria clinical trials. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2020; 104(3): 836-841

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Coffeng LE, Levecke B, Hattendorf J, et al.: Survey design to monitor 96. drug efficacy for the control of soil-transmitted helminthiasis and schistosomiasis. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2021; **72**(Suppl 3): S195–S202. **PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text**
- Jaoko WG, Muchemi G, Oguya FO: Praziquantel side effects during 97 treatment of Schistosoma mansoni infected pupils in Kibwezi, Kenya. East Afr Med J. 1996; 73(8): 499-501. PubMed Abstract
- Berhe N, Gundersen SG, Abebe F, et al.: Praziguantel side effects and efficacy 98 related to Schistosoma mansoni egg loads and morbidity in primary school children in north-east Ethiopia. Acta Trop. 1999; 72(1): 53-63 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Muhumuza S, Olsen A, Katahoire A, et al.: Reduced uptake of mass treatment for schistosomiasis control in absence of food: beyond a randomized trial. BMC Infect Dis. 2015; **15**: 423. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 100. Kernell JW, DePaola RV, Maglione AM, et al.: Risk of adverse swallowing events and choking during deworming for preschool-aged children. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2018; **12**(6): e0006578. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 101. Montresor A, Awasthi S, Crompton DWT: Use of benzimidazoles in children younger than 24 months for the treatment of soil-transmitted helminthiasis. Acta Trop. 2003; 86(2–3): 223–32. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Albonico M, Allen H, Chitsulo L, et al.: Controlling soil-transmitted 102. helminthiasis in pre-school-age children through preventive chemotherapy. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2008; 2(3): e126. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 103. Palmeirim MS, Hurlimann E, Knopp S, et al.: Efficacy and safety of coadministered ivermectin plus albendazole for treating soil-transmitted helminths: a systematic review, meta-analysis and individual patient data analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018; 12(4): e0006458. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jittamala P, Monteiro W, Smit MR, et al.: A systematic review and an 104. individual patient data meta-analysis of ivermectin use in children weighing less than fifteen kilograms: is it time to reconsider the current contraindication? *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2021; **15**(3): e0009144. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Fitzpatrick C, Engels D: Leaving no one behind: a neglected tropical disease indicator and tracers for the Sustainable Development Goals. Int Health. 105. 2016; 8 Suppl 1(Suppl 1): i15-8. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Adam I, Elwasila ET, Homeida M: Is praziquantel therapy safe during pregnancy? Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2004; 98(9): 540–3 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 107. Olveda RM, Acosta LP, Tallo V, et al.: Efficacy and safety of praziquantel for the treatment of human schistosomiasis during pregnancy: a phase 2, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016; 16(2): 199-208. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- 108. Qian C, Gong F: Praziquantel for schistosomiasis in pregnancy. Lancet Infect Dis. 2016: 16(5): 525-6 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Friedman JF, Olveda RM, Mirochnick MH, et al.: Praziquantel for the treatment 109. of schistosomiasis during human pregnancy. Bull World Health Organ. 2018; **96**(1): 59-65.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 110. Haider BA, Humayun Q, Bhutta ZA: Effect of administration of antihelminthics for soil transmitted helminths during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009; (2): CD005547. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 111. Ndibazza J, Muhangi L, Akishule D, et al.: Effects of deworming during pregnancy on maternal and perinatal outcomes in Entebbe, Uganda: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2010; **50**(4): 531–40. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 112. St-Denis K, Blouin B, Rahme E, et al.: Ruling out early trimester pregnancy when implementing community-based deworming programs. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020; 14(1): e0007901. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Bangert M, Bancalari P, Mupfasoni D, et al.: Provision of deworming 113. endemic for soil-transmitted helminthiasis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2019; **13**(5): e0007406 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Faust CL, Osakunor DNM, Downs JA, et al.: Schistosomiasis control: leave no age group behind. Trends Parasitol. 2020; 36(7): 582–91.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Montresor A, Garba A: Treatment of preschool children for schistosomiasis. Lancet Glob Health. 2017; 5(7): e640-e1. 115. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 116. Sousa-Figueiredo JC, Pleasant J, Day M, et al.: Treatment of intestinal schistosomiasis in Ugandan preschool children: best diagnosis, treatment efficacy and side-effects, and an extended praziguantel dosing pole. *Int* Health. 2010; 2(2): 103-13. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- World Health Organization: Pharmacopoeial standards: ensuring the efficacy of a deworming medicine: albendazole chewable tablets. WHO Drug Information. 2015; **29**(4): 440–445. **Reference Source**
- Palmeirim MS, Bosch F, Ame SM, et al.: Efficacy, safety and acceptability of a new chewable formulation versus the solid tablet of mebendazole against 118. hookworm infections in children: an open-label, randomized controlled trial. EClinicalMedicine. 2020; 27: 100556. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Silber SA, Diro E, Workneh N, et al.: Efficacy and safety of a single-dose mebendazole 500 mg chewable, rapidly-disintegrating tablet for Ascaris lumbricoides and Trichuris trichiura infection treatment in pediatric patients: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2017; 97(6): 1851-1856. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- World Health Organization: Promoting safety of medicines for children. 120. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007. Reference Source
- 121. Denwood MJ, Innocent GT, Prentice JC, et al.: A hypothesis testing framework for the ratio of means of two negative binomial distributions: classifying the efficacy of anthelmintic treatment against intestinal parasites. 2019. Reference Source
- 122. Denwood MJ, Reid SWJ, Love S, et al.: Comparison of three alternative methods for analysis of equine Faecal Egg Count Reduction Test data. Prev Vet Med. 2010; 93(4): 316-23. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Torgerson PR, Paul M, Furrer R: **Evaluating faecal egg count reduction using** a specifically designed package "eggCounts" in R and a user friendly web 123. interface. Int J Parasitol. 2014; 44(5): 299-303. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hofmann D, Sayasone S, Sengngam K, et al.: Efficacy and safety of ascending doses of moxidectin against Strongyloides stercoralis infections in adults: a randomised, parallel-group, single-blinded, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging, phase 2a trial. Lancet Infect Dis. 2021; 21(8): 1151–60. Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status:

Version 1

Reviewer Report 19 April 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19316.r49330

© **2022 Biritwum N.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Nana-Kwadwo Biritwum 匝

National Neglected Tropical Disease Control Programme, Ghana Health Services (GHS), Accra, Ghana

Improving anthelminthic treatment for schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis through sharing and reuse of individual participant data.

This is an excellent article on the subject area of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis control and elimination involving ensuring decisions, recommendations, and guidelines are driven by strong evidential data. The Infectious Diseases Data Observatory (IDDO) will contribute in no small way to informing the thinking around global technical and priority topics for further research and implementation under schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis by institutions, organizations, and partners including the WHO, and therefore the research agenda. Information from this platform will be most useful to technical advisory groups including the WHO technical advisory group on schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis.

The article clearly outlines and articulates a clear agenda and processes to enable the achievement of its goal of establishing a scientific engagement process involving a diverse group of research partners whose efforts are focused on schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis. The role of the WHO in providing technical leadership in working with countries should however be acknowledged somewhere in the paper.

The gaps and the methodology for identifying these gaps are well discussed. However, the paper makes little reference to discussions or information on the currently available schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis subject matter experts, research partners, observatories, data repositories, and other data platforms within this space, and what they do. This can be mentioned without going into great detail about what these platforms offer and their potential role in supporting the research agenda and IDDO. These could be identified and briefly touched on to provide more context with specific examples. The utility and potential for interactions and interoperability between IDDO and other platforms though not a key objective of this paper could

serve as an interesting area to be considered. Noting that the highest burden of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis occurs in Africa, the ESPEN data portal, and also Global Atlas of Helminth Infection (GAHI), and how these platforms will interact with IDDO will provide more clarity on the functionality and purpose of IDDO while dispelling any views of potential duplication.

The value of routine reporting data from country NTD programs in Africa in particular with the highest disease burden and research needs and the role of the Joint Application Package (JAP) for the Preventive Chemotherapy Neglected Tropical Disease (PC-NTDs) reporting including research data has not been captured in the paper. This, in my estimation, could throw some light on the gaps in available or currently used data collection tools employed by countries.

In conclusion, this is a brilliant paper with all the expected elements required for the establishment of the IDDO using individual participant data (IPD). The paper is written in clear technical but easy-to-understand language. Additional comments made may be considered if it is convincing enough which I will leave to the discretion of the writers and editors, however, the article should be accepted for indexing with or without addressing these comments.

Is the rationale for the Open Letter provided in sufficient detail?

Yes

Does the article adequately reference differing views and opinions? Yes

Are all factual statements correct, and are statements and arguments made adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the Open Letter written in accessible language?

Yes

Where applicable, are recommendations and next steps explained clearly for others to follow?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Neglected Tropical Diseases implementation research.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 27 January 2022

https://doi.org/10.21956/wellcomeopenres.19316.r47843

© **2022 Gilleard J.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



John Gilleard 匝

Dept of Comparative Biology and Experimental Medicine, Host-Parasite Interactions Program, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

This review describes the priority research themes of the clinical data platform of the Infectious Diseases Data Observatory. This is an extremely important initiative that aims to promote research and provide a framework for meaningful data sharing and research collaborations aiming to eliminate NTDs. The paper is extremely well written and will be a valuable resource for NTD workers.

I have some minor comments on a number things that are missing and inclusion of which could perhaps add value.

- In the section on "Characterizing temporospatial heterogeneity" I don't see a comment on the possibility of genetic variation of the parasite potentially being a contributory factor for this (this goes much wider than potential drug resistance mutations), eg, cryptic species, hybrids, or within species genetic variation.
- The recent paper by Hurlimann et al Lancet Infect Dis. 2022 Jan;22(1):123-135¹ is an excellent example of several key points being made and would be worth citing. It reports three well designed and controlled clinical trials showing the higher efficacy of Ivermectin and albendazole combination therapy against T. trichiura and so is very relevant in the section on "improving evidence base for treatment regimes" when combination treatments are discussed. Also the study finds markedly lower efficacy of the combination treatment in the Cote d'Ivoire trial than in the Laos or Pemba,Tanzania trials and so is very relevant to the "Characterizing temporo-spatial heterogeneity" section particularly as these are well matched studies in the three regions in terms of design, execution and analysis (comparisons are often confounded by very different methodologies of different studies).

There are some important themes/potential research priorities which don't seem to have emerged from the process of developing the research priorities through the consultative process and so are not covered in the review. It might be worth some brief comments on such aspects.

In my opinion, three important ones are:

- 1. The standardization of collecting, fixing and archiving (making biobanks) of samples associated with clinical studies and data. This is hugely important for future studies monitoring changes in parasite species over time, investigating possible reasons for differences in efficacy across studies and also investigating the potential emergence of resistance.
- 2. In the priority research theme "Evaluating new antigen detection and molecular diagnostics"; drug resistance markers are not mentioned nor is investigating general genetic differences between parasite populations that might contribute to the differing responses to drugs treatments. A comment on these would be worth including.

3. One Health aspect is not mentioned. Eg. the investigation of potential role of animal reservoirs in variability on progress in elimination programs. Again this is a potentially important research area that doesn't seem to have emerged from the consultative process.

References

1. Hürlimann E, Keller L, Patel C, Welsche S, et al.: Efficacy and safety of co-administered ivermectin and albendazole in school-aged children and adults infected with Trichuris trichiura in Côte d'Ivoire, Laos, and Pemba Island, Tanzania: a double-blind, parallel-group, phase 3, randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2022; **22** (1): 123-135 Publisher Full Text

Is the rationale for the Open Letter provided in sufficient detail?

Yes

Does the article adequately reference differing views and opinions?

Yes

Are all factual statements correct, and are statements and arguments made adequately supported by citations?

Yes

Is the Open Letter written in accessible language?

Yes

Where applicable, are recommendations and next steps explained clearly for others to follow?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Parasitology

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.