



HAL
open science

Influential publications in ecological economics revisited

Robert Costanza, Steve Hatfield-Dodds, Richard B. Howarth, Ida Kubiszewski, Shuang Liu, Chunbo Ma, Gaël Plumecocq, David.I Stern

► To cite this version:

Robert Costanza, Steve Hatfield-Dodds, Richard B. Howarth, Ida Kubiszewski, Shuang Liu, et al.. Influential publications in ecological economics revisited. 11. International Conference of the European Society for Ecological Economics (ESEE) : Transformations, Jun 2015, Leeds, United Kingdom. 13 p. hal-01606182

HAL Id: hal-01606182

<https://hal.science/hal-01606182>

Submitted on 5 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

Influential Publications in Ecological Economics Revisited

Robert Costanza (The Australian National University, Australia); Steve Hatfield-Dodds (Land and Water Flagship, CSIRO, Australia); Richard Howarth (Dartmouth College, USA); Ida Kubiszewski (The Australian National University, Australia); Shuang Liu (Land and Water Flagship, CSIRO, Australia); Chunbo Ma (University of Western Australia, Australia); Gaël Plumecocq (INRA, France); David I. Stern (The Australian National University, Australia, david.stern@anu.edu.au)

Introduction

Follow up of Costanza et al., 2004:

Results

- Broad range of influences on the field of ecological economics
- A field that was quite young
- Prediction: some articles published in the journal were receiving high numbers of citations per year

Why focus on Ecological Economics?

- Comparability, feasibility, representativeness

The dynamic of citation reflects the evolution and the structuration of a field

Has the field matured, and how?

Ma and Stern, 2006:

- Citation analysis
- Ecological economics tends to cite (but not be cited by) general natural science journals
- Influential themes: green accounting, sustainability, and EKC

Castro e Silva and Texeira, 2011:

- Theme analysis
- Influential papers are applied papers

Hoepner et al., 2012:

- Citation analysis
- Influential articles, authors, journals and institutions
- But, problem of boundaries (Spash, 2013)

Plumecocq, 2014:

- Lexicometric analysis of the abstracts
- The themes of « ecosystem services » and « monetary valuation » are growing



Data, method... and results

Citation analysis : counting citations between 2004 and 2014


- ISI WoS (11,500 journals; but 8,000 in medicine and natural sciences and 3,500 in social sciences)
- Google Scholar (no control over what is in it)

Influential disciplines in Ecological Economics 2004-2014



Distinction between:

Outward influence → 289 articles 390 references ← Inward influence



Cluster method to characterize influential themes

- Weighting choices
- Clusterization of weighted titles according to the co-occurrence of words



Thank you

Conclusion:

The theme of "ecosystem services" is dominant, but other influential themes are emerging (e.g. input-output analysis)

A field that is getting more applied (maybe look at the influence of reports)

Importance of economic references

Founding publications disappear while other keep being cited



Influential Publications in Ecological Economics Revisited

Robert Costanza (The Australian National University, Australia); Steve Hatfield-Dodds (Land and Water Flagship, CSIRO, Australia); Richard Howarth (Dartmouth College, USA); Ida Kubiszewski (The Australian National University, Australia); Shuang Liu (Land and Water Flagship, CSIRO, Australia); Chunbo Ma (University of Western Australia, Australia); Gaël Plumecocq (INRA, France); David I. Stern (The Australian National University, Australia, david.stern@anu.edu.au)

Introduction

Follow up of Costanza et al., 2004:

Results

- Broad range of influences on the field of ecological economics
- A field that was quite young
- Prediction: some articles published in the journal were receiving high numbers of citations per year

Why focus on Ecological Economics?

- Comparability, feasibility, representativeness

The dynamic of citation reflects the evolution and the structuration of a field

Has the field matured, and how?

Ma and Stern, 2006:

- Citation analysis
- Ecological economics tends to cite (but not be cited by) general natural science journals
- Influential themes: green accounting, sustainability, and EKC

Castro e Silva and Texeira, 2011:

- Theme analysis
- Influential papers are applied papers

Hoepner et al., 2012:

- Citation analysis
- Influential articles, authors, journals and institutions
- But, problem of boundaries (Spash, 2013)

Plumecocq, 2014:

- Lexicometric analysis of the abstracts
- The themes of « ecosystem services » and « monetary valuation » are growing



Data, method... and results

Citation analysis : counting citations between 2004 and 2014


- ISI WoS (11,500 journals; but 8,000 in medicine and natural sciences and 3,500 in social sciences)
- Google Scholar (no control over what is in it)

Influential disciplines in Ecological Economics 2004-2014



Distinction between:

Outward influence → 289 articles 390 references ← Inward influence



Cluster method to characterize influential themes

- Weighting choices
- Clusterization of weighted titles according to the co-occurrence of words



Thank you

Conclusion:

The theme of "ecosystem services" is dominant, but other influential themes are emerging (e.g. input-output analysis)

A field that is getting more applied (maybe look at the influence of reports)

Importance of economic references


Founding publications disappear while other keep being cited



Has the field matured, and how?


Ma and Stern, 2006:

- Citation analysis
- Ecological economics tends to cite (but not be cited by) general natural science journals
- Influential themes: green accounting, sustainability, and EKC



Castro e Silva and Texeira, 2011:

- Theme analysis
- Influential papers are applied papers




Hoepner et al., 2012:

- Citation analysis
- Influential articles, authors, journals and institutions
- But, problem of boundaries (Spash, 2013)



Plumecocq, 2014:

- Lexicometric analysis of the abstracts
- The themes of « ecosystem services » and « monetary valuation » are growing




Data, method... and results

Citation analysis : counting citations between 2004 and 2014

- ISI WoS (11,500 journals; but 8,000 in medicine and natural sciences and 3,500 in social sciences)
- Google Scholar (no control over what is in it)

Influential disciplines in Ecological Economics 2004-2014




25% of the references most cited are books (vs. 57% before 2004)
27% of influential references are published in Ecological Economics

On the remaining half:

- Economics is the most influential discipline (60% vs. 68%)
- Interdisciplinarity (21% vs. 20%)
- Biology and other social sciences: 6% (vs. 11%)


Distinction between:

Outward influence → 289 articles 390 references ← **Inward influence**



Sustainable development (valuation, concept, principles) remains an important topic


Ecosystem services is increasing both inward and outward



Energy issues (input-output) and emissions are emerging

Method: produced in early period of EE (transdisciplinary), but used as reference in later period (stat)

In the latest period: core ref of EE (GR + Daly), and niche (Degrowth debate)



Cluster method to characterize **influential themes**

- Weighting choices
- Clusterization of weighted titles according to the **co-occurrence of words**

	1989-2003	2004-2014
Outward	Sustainable development (13%)	Ecosystem service (14.5%)
	Ecological economics (13%)	Payment service (9%)
Inward	Sustainable development (9%)	Ecological footprint (5.5%)
	Ecological Economics (8%)	Environmental Kuznets curve (5%)


⚠ 5% of the titles: "case" + "study" since 2009

Thank you

Outward influence



Pimentel et al. on environmental and economic costs of specie invasion




Fisher et al. and Boyd on ecosystem services (+ Engel on PES)

Influence is increasing with time


ISI WoS and GS citation seem to provide convergent results

Dinda on EKC

Inward influence



Influential disciplines in Ecological Economics 2004-2014



25% of the references most cited are books (vs. 57% before 2004)
27% of influential references are published in Ecological Economics

On the remaining half:

- Economics is the most influential discipline (60% vs. 68%)
- Interdisciplinarity (21% vs. 20%)
- Biology and other social sciences: 6% (vs. 11 %)


← **Inward influence**

Data, method... and results

Citation analysis : counting citations between 2004 and 2014

- ISI WoS (11,500 journals; but 8,000 in medicine and natural sciences and 3,500 in social sciences)
- Google Scholar (no control over what is in it)

Influential disciplines in Ecological Economics 2004-2014




25% of the references most cited are books (vs. 57% before 2004)
27% of influential references are published in Ecological Economics

On the remaining half:

- Economics is the most influential discipline (60% vs. 68%)
- Interdisciplinarity (21% vs. 20%)
- Biology and other social sciences: 6% (vs. 11%)


Distinction between:

Outward influence → 289 articles 390 references ← **Inward influence**



Sustainable development (valuation, concept, principles) remains an important topic


Ecosystem services is increasing both inward and outward



Energy issues (input-output) and emissions are emerging

Method: produced in early period of EE (transdisciplinary), but used as reference in later period (stat)

In the latest period: core ref of EE (GR + Daly), and niche (Degrowth debate)



Cluster method to characterize **influential themes**

- Weighting choices
- Clusterization of weighted titles according to the **co-occurrence of words**


	1989-2003	2004-2014
Outward	Sustainable development (13%)	Ecosystem service (14.5%)
	Ecological economics (13%)	Payment service (9%)
Inward	Sustainable development (9%)	Ecological footprint (5.5%)
	Ecological Economics (8%)	Environmental Kuznets curve (5%)

⚠ 5% of the titles: "case" + "study" since 2009

Thank you

Sustainable development (valuation, concept, principles) remains an important topic

Ecosystem services is increasing both inward and outward



Energy issues (input-output) and emissions are emerging

Method: produced in early period of EE (transdisciplinary), but used as reference in later period (stat)

In the latest period: core ref of EE (GR + Daly), and niche (Degrowth debate)

influential themes

Influential Publications in Ecological Economics Revisited

Robert Costanza (The Australian National University, Australia); Steve Hatfield-Dodds (Land and Water Flagship, CSIRO, Australia); Richard Howarth (Dartmouth College, USA); Ida Kubiszewski (The Australian National University, Australia); Shuang Liu (Land and Water Flagship, CSIRO, Australia); Chunbo Ma (University of Western Australia, Australia); Gaël Plumecocq (INRA, France); David I. Stern (The Australian National University, Australia, david.stern@anu.edu.au)

Introduction

Follow up of Costanza et al., 2004:

Results

- Broad range of influences on the field of ecological economics
- A field that was quite young
- Prediction: some articles published in the journal were receiving high numbers of citations per year

Why focus on Ecological Economics?

- Comparability, feasibility, representativeness

The dynamic of citation reflects the evolution and the structuration of a field

Has the field matured, and how?

Ma and Stern, 2006:

- Citation analysis
- Ecological economics tends to cite (but not be cited by) general natural science journals
- Influential themes: green accounting, sustainability, and EKC

Castro e Silva and Texeira, 2011:

- Theme analysis
- Influential papers are applied papers

Hoepner et al., 2012:

- Citation analysis
- Influential articles, authors, journals and institutions
- But, problem of boundaries (Spash, 2013)

Plumecocq, 2014:

- Lexicometric analysis of the abstracts
- The themes of « ecosystem services » and « monetary valuation » are growing




Data, method... and results

Citation analysis : counting citations between 2004 and 2014


- ISI WoS (11,500 journals; but 8,000 in medicine and natural sciences and 3,500 in social sciences)
- Google Scholar (no control over what is in it)

Influential disciplines in Ecological Economics 2004-2014



Distinction between:

Outward influence → 289 articles 390 references ← Inward influence



Cluster method to characterize influential themes

- Weighting choices
- Clusterization of weighted titles according to the co-occurrence of words



Thank you

Conclusion:

The theme of "ecosystem services" is dominant, but other influential themes are emerging (e.g. input-output analysis)


A field that is getting more applied (maybe look at the influence of reports)

Importance of economic references

Founding publications disappear while other keep being cited



Total publication in EE



Influential Publications in Ecological Economics Revisited

Robert Costanza (The Australian National University, Australia); Steve Hatfield-Dodds (Land and Water Flagship, CSIRO, Australia); Richard Howarth (Dartmouth College, USA); Ida Kubiszewski (The Australian National University, Australia); Shuang Liu (Land and Water Flagship, CSIRO, Australia); Chunbo Ma (University of Western Australia, Australia); Gaël Plumecocq (INRA, France); David I. Stern (The Australian National University, Australia, david.stern@anu.edu.au)

Introduction

Follow up of Costanza et al., 2004:

Results

- Broad range of influences on the field of ecological economics
- A field that was quite young
- Prediction: some articles published in the journal were receiving high numbers of citations per year

Why focus on Ecological Economics?

- Comparability, feasibility, representativeness

The dynamic of citation reflects the evolution and the structuration of a field

Has the field matured, and how?

Ma and Stern, 2006:

- Citation analysis
- Ecological economics tends to cite (but not be cited by) general natural science journals
- Influential themes: green accounting, sustainability, and EKC

Castro e Silva and Texeira, 2011:

- Theme analysis
- Influential papers are applied papers

Hoepner et al., 2012:

- Citation analysis
- Influential articles, authors, journals and institutions
- But, problem of boundaries (Spash, 2013)

Plumecocq, 2014:

- Lexicometric analysis of the abstracts
- The themes of « ecosystem services » and « monetary valuation » are growing




Data, method... and results

Citation analysis : counting citations between 2004 and 2014


- ISI WoS (11,500 journals; but 8,000 in medicine and natural sciences and 3,500 in social sciences)
- Google Scholar (no control over what is in it)

Influential disciplines in Ecological Economics 2004-2014



Distinction between:

Outward influence → 289 articles 390 references ← Inward influence



Cluster method to characterize influential themes

- Weighting choices
- Clusterization of weighted titles according to the co-occurrence of words



Thank you

Conclusion:

The theme of "ecosystem services" is dominant, but other influential themes are emerging (e.g. input-output analysis)

A field that is getting more applied (maybe look at the influence of reports)

Importance of economic references

Founding publications disappear while other keep being cited

