

## Impact of maize management variability modeled as decision rules on yield and drainage at the regional scale

Julie Constantin, Jacques-Eric Bergez, Helene Raynal, Holger Hoffmann,

Frank Ewert

### ▶ To cite this version:

Julie Constantin, Jacques-Eric Bergez, Helene Raynal, Holger Hoffmann, Frank Ewert. Impact of maize management variability modeled as decision rules on yield and drainage at the regional scale. iCROPM 2016 International Crop Modelling Symposium "Crop Modelling for Agriculture and Food Security under Global Change", Mar 2016, Berlin, Germany. , 441 p., 2016, International Crop Modelling Symposium "Crop Modelling Symposium "Crop Modelling Symposium".

## HAL Id: hal-01604126 https://hal.science/hal-01604126v1

Submitted on 3 Jun 2020  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## **Editors**

Frank Ewert Kenneth J. Boote Reimund P. Rötter Peter Thorburn Claas Nendel

Welcome Note	1
Abstracts of Keynote Presentations	4
Abstracts of Oral Presentations	17
Abstracts of Poster Presentations	184

#### Welcome

It is with pleasure that we welcome the international crop and agroecosystem modelling community and scientists from related disciplines to the International Crop Modelling Symposium 2016, in Berlin.

The past decade has seen a number of research initiatives launched to advance crop modelling and related research. Among these initiatives, The European Knowledge Hub MACSUR (Modelling European Agriculture with Climate Change for Food Security, http://macsur.eu/) and the international AgMIP project (Agricultural Model Intercomparison and Improvement Project, <u>http://www.agmip.org/</u>) stand out in terms of the breadth of their research scope. A large and important part of the activities in both projects is comprised of the improvement, comparison and application of crop models for climate change impact and risk assessment for food security in Europe (MACSUR) and further (AgMIP). These projects have brought together a large number of scientists from around the world and produced a substantial body of novel results. The international MACSUR symposium on crop modelling in Oslo in 2014 and the annual Global Workshops of AgMIP have provided forums to exchange some of these results and have been initial and important events towards this symposium. The increasing interest from within and beyond the crop modelling community for a more comprehensive forum for the exchange of results ultimately motivated representatives of MACSUR and AgMIP to organise this symposium, reflecting the successful and joint work of both projects including successful interaction with other international networks.

The overwhelming interest in participation in this symposium has exceeded original expectations. From the large number of submitted papers, it was possible to develop what we, the Symposium Chairs, hope is an exciting programme of oral and poster presentations combined with a range of internationally recognised keynote speakers. The workshop structure follows the main activities related to model improvement and model application, as well as anticipating improvements in genetics, and links between crop and related modelling fields such as grassland and vegetation modelling, and

functional structural plant modelling. Accordingly, four sessions have been organised:

- Session 1: Improvement of crop models and modelling approaches
- Session 2: Linking crop models and genetics
- Session 3: Crop modelling for risk/impact assessment
- Session 4: Expanding and supporting modelling activities

The organisation of this symposium was only possible due to the help of several people. Special thanks go to the Session Chairs and the Scientific Committee Members for supporting the development of the symposium programme. We are particularly grateful for the effort of the local host ZALF (Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research) for organising the venue, registration, website and logistics of the programme. The financial and in-kind support from the Research Council of Norway through MACSUR, CSIRO, AgMIP, University of Bonn, Luke and the University of Florida are likewise gratefully acknowledged.

We wish all participants a very fruitful and inspiring symposium and we look forward to the many interesting keynotes, oral and poster presentations. We also hope to have the chance to interact with many of you during the course of the symposium and that the symposium may help to support ongoing and initiate new collaborations to further advance research on crop modelling.

Frand Duch

Frank Ewert

On behalf of the Symposium Chairs, Kenneth J Boote, Peter Thorburn and Reimund Rötter, and the local host at ZALF, Claas Nendel.

# Abstracts of Keynote Presentations

## Impact of maize management variability modeled as decision rules on yield and drainage at the regional scale

<u>J. Constantin</u><sup>1</sup> – J-E Bergez<sup>1</sup> – H. Raynal<sup>2</sup> – H. Hoffmann<sup>3</sup> – F. Ewert<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INRA, UMR 1248 AGIR, Castanet F-31326 FR - Email: jconstantin@toulouse.inra.fr

<sup>2</sup> INRA, UR 0875 MIA-T, Castanet F-31326 FR

<sup>3</sup> Crop Science Group, INRES, University of Bonn, Katzenburgweg 5, 53115 Bonn, DE

#### Introduction

Crop model are often applied at large scale to assess the impact of climate change on production for instance. Generally input data for models are not available all over the target area, and average values are often used. For management practices, fixed dates and quantities of inputs are used, whose values correspond to the mean of observed values over the region. However, management is highly variable within a region. The impact of this variability at such scale on model outputs is not well known. We assess the impact of management variability on crop model output at the regional scale for crop yield, water losses and as compared to a fixed management.

#### **Materials and Methods**

We selected a region in Germany, North Rhine-Westphalia (NRW), of ~34 000 km<sup>2</sup>, for which we used climate and soil data at 1, 10, 25, 50 and 100 km resolution (Hoffmann et al., 2015) as input to the STICS crop model (Brisson et al., 2003). We simulated silage maize growth in NRW over 30 years (1982-2011), fertilized with nitrogen (N) in 2 dressings of 30 and 208 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> using fixed and adapted management dates.

For fixed management, sowing and first N dressing were on April 20<sup>th</sup>, the second N dressing on June 1<sup>st</sup> and harvest on September 20<sup>th</sup>. For adapted management, the sowing and fertilization dates were generated through decision rules independent of the crop model and harvest was simulated by the model. To choose the first possible date for sowing, we calculate the day for which the probability over 30 years to have frost (Temperature <-5°C) is null and the mean temperature in the 5 previous days of the year is above 8 °C. Then based on rainfall (r), we check each day if it rains more than 10 mm and if the soil is not too wet (r < 15 mm in the last 5 days) or too dry (r > 0 mm in the 10 previous days). If conditions are not met before May 10<sup>th</sup>, we force sowing at this date. For the 2<sup>nd</sup> N dressing, we calculate crop development stage as a sum of temperature (in base 6) since sowing. Fertilization on maize is often applied between the 6<sup>th</sup> and the 9<sup>th</sup> leaf. Considering that 80 °C·d are required for emergence and 45 °C·d for each leaf, we calculate the earliest date for fertilization since sowing. Then, each day, we check if the soil was not too wet and if it rained as for sowing. If these conditions are not reached at the 9<sup>th</sup> leaf, we forced fertilization.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The variability of sowing and fertilization dates was higher for finer than coarser resolutions due to a higher variability of climatic data. It resulted in slightly lower crop yields and higher water whatever the resolution of soil and climate, as compared to fixed dates. The standard deviation was almost the same for fixed and adapted management dates but extreme values were different particularly for drainage with lower minimum and higher maximum for adapted than fixed dates.

 Table 1. Mean sowing and fertilization dates (with standard deviation), aboveground biomass and yearly drainage according to soil, climate and management resolution.

Soil x climate x management	Sowing	2 <sup>nd</sup> N input	Aboveground	Drainage
	20 April			(IIIII yr ) 200 + 152
100 x 100 x 100	20 April + 6	12 June + 9	$13.7 \pm 5.0$ 13.1 + 5.6	390 ± 152 398 + 155
10 x 10 x fixed	20 April	1 June	15.1 ± 5.0	434 ± 216
10 x 10 x 10	17 April ± 11	10 June ± 11	$14.2 \pm 4.8$	447 ± 219

Adapting sowing dates results in earlier sowing in the south-west and extreme northeast of the region and slightly later dates in the south-east. The impact on aboveground biomass of maize was less than 1 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in average, except in the west where difference reached almost 4 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The pattern for drainage is less clear but a general trend of drainage increase with adapted dates appears.



Figure 1. Mean differences over 1982-2011 of fixed and adapted management at 10 km resolution.

#### Conclusions

These preliminary results underline the interest to better understand the potential impact of using adapted management practices for crop model applications at regional scale. They should be extended to more models to confirm these results and other practices such as choice of crop maturity and nitrogen fertilization amounts.

#### Acknowledgements

This work within the project MACSUR was financially supported by: ACCAF INRA; German Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture (BMEL) through the Federal Office for Agriculture and Food (BLE), grant 2851ERA01J.

#### References

Brisson, N., Gary, C., Justes, E., et al., (2003). Eur. J. Agron. 18, 309–332. Hoffmann, H., Zhao, G., Van Bussel, L.G.J., et al., (2015). Clim. Res. 65, 53-69.