

# Regional variability of the climate change effect on grassland production

Francoise Ruget, Dominique Ripoche, Anne-Isabelle Graux, Jean-Louis Durand

### ► To cite this version:

Francoise Ruget, Dominique Ripoche, Anne-Isabelle Graux, Jean-Louis Durand. Regional variability of the climate change effect on grassland production. iCROPM2016 International Crop Modelling Symposium, Mar 2016, Berlin, Germany. 437 p., 2016, Crop modelling for agriculture and food security under global change. hal-01604095

## HAL Id: hal-01604095 https://hal.science/hal-01604095

Submitted on 3 Jun2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. International Crop Modelling Symposium iCROPM2016 15-17 March 2016, Berlin

### Regional variability of the climate change effect on grassland production

<u>F. Ruget</u><sup>1</sup> – D. Ripoche<sup>2</sup> – A.-I. Graux<sup>3</sup>–J.-L. Durand<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> UMR 1114 EMMAH, INRA, 84914 AVIGNON Cedex 9, France, ruget@avignon.inra.fr

<sup>2</sup> UMR 1116 AgroClim, INRA, 84914 AVIGNON Cedex 9, France

<sup>3</sup> UMR 1348 PEGASE, Domaine de la Prise, 35590 Saint-Gilles, France

<sup>4</sup> UR P3F, 8660 Lusignan, France

#### Introduction

Even if all the GCM don't give exactly the same intensity of climate change, climate change is known to be highly variable among countries and even among regions (IPCC, 2013). In France, the future of the southern part seems to be very different from this of the northern part. The aim of this paper is to show the expected yield evolutions and their differences between regions, using future climate series and crop models.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The grassland yields were calculated inside 2 main scientific projects (ACTA\_CC (Ruget et al., 2010) and Climator (Brisson et al., 2010)), using the outputs of the one circulation model, ARPEGE from Météo-France, 2 grassland models (STICS and Pasim), 3 SRES scenarios (B1 and A2 in ACTA-CC, A1B in Climator), 2 time frames (N near, 2020-2050 and D distant, 2070-2100). The cutting practices are similar (4 cuts during the year), without irrigation (as currently managed in grasslands). A MFA on spatial data was done on the meteorological present (1980-2006) data for 235 fictive stations (Ruget et al., 2010), in order to reach a climate description, allowing to gathering of 34 stations into 8 main climatic regions.

In the crop models, the  $CO_2$  concentration affects stomatal conductance, increasing RUE and decreasing transpiration. The outputs used are the annual yield, expressed as relative variation of yield.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Whatever the region, the yield is decreasing when not taking into account the  $CO_2$  effect (Table 1), while when taking it into account, the yield increases in most of the regions, except one (in the West). The following estimations are made considering  $CO_2$ .

*Table 1*. Relative variation of grassland yield as function of  $CO_2$  effect (ACTA-CC, STICS, SRES A2, time frame D distant, zone names: 1 mountain foots, 3 North-East, 5 and 7 North-West, 6 Mediterranean region, 8 West).

Regions	1	3	5	6	7	8
With CO <sub>2</sub>	0.084	0.019	0.065	0.056	-0.037	-0.053
Without CO <sub>2</sub>	-0.178	-0.233	-0.227	-0.186	-0.275	-0.278

In the near future (A2N, A1BN), the yield is increasing, whatever the region (Figure 1). Between time frames, results are slightly different: in most of the stations, the yield in the distant future is decreasing when compared to the near future, except for the International Crop Modelling Symposium iCROPM2016 15-17 March 2016, Berlin

Climator STICS exercise. Differences can be explained partly from the differences of climate evolution: (Climator uses A1B, less warm than A2, and increased radiation) and partly by management practices (higher fertilization in Climator).



*Figure 1*. Variability of grassland yield among stations, gathered among climatic zones. Legend: 1mountain foots, 3 North-East, 5 and 7 North-West, 6 Mediterranean region, 8 West, A2, B1, A1B, 3 SRES scenarios, N near, D distant: time frame in the future, ACTA-CC/ Climator, projects, STICS/Pasim, grassland models.

#### Conclusions

Even if most of the grassland yields increase in the near future for all the models, the evolution is variable among models in the distant future, except in the West region (8) where yields are decreasing in all the exercises, in the distant future. An analysis of climate (not shown here) shows that it is the region with highest temperature increase and rin decrease. A similar work is on-going on the French dairy systems in the future, using the new outputs from GCMs.

#### Acknowledgements

The ACTA-CC work was founded by ACTA\_-MIRES founding and the Climator project by a French ANR

#### References

Brisson, N., F. Levrault (2010) Livre vert du projet Climator, ADEME Ed., 334pp.

IPCC, (2013): Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2013. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 28 pp.

Ruget, F., J.-C. Moreau, M. Ferrand et al., (2010). Adv. Sci. Res., 4, 99–104.