

Characterization of the First α -(1 \rightarrow 3) Branching Sucrases of the GH70 Family

Marlène Vuillemin, Marion Claverie, Yoann Brison, Etienne Séverac, Pauline Bondy, Sandrine Morel, Pierre Monsan, Claire Moulis, Magali Remaud Simeon

▶ To cite this version:

Marlène Vuillemin, Marion Claverie, Yoann Brison, Etienne Séverac, Pauline Bondy, et al.. Characterization of the First α -(1 \rightarrow 3) Branching Sucrases of the GH70 Family. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2016, 291 (14), pp.7687-7702. 10.1074/jbc.M115.688044 . hal-01601907

HAL Id: hal-01601907

https://hal.science/hal-01601907

Submitted on 27 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright



Characterization of the First α -(1 \rightarrow 3) Branching Sucrases of the GH70 Family*S

Received for publication, August 26, 2015, and in revised form, January 11, 2016 Published, JBC Papers in Press, January 13, 2016, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M115.688044

Marlène Vuillemin, Marion Claverie, Yoann Brison, Etienne Séverac, Pauline Bondy, Sandrine Morel, Pierre Monsan, Claire Moulis¹, and Magali Remaud-Siméon²

From the Université de Toulouse, Institut National des Sciences Appliquées (INSA), Université Paul Sabatié (UPS), Institut National Polytechnique (INP), Laboratoire d'Ingénieries des Systèmes Biologiques et des Procédés (LISBP), 135 Avenue de Ranqueil, F-31077 Toulouse, France, CNRS, UMR5504, F-31400 Toulouse, France, and Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), UMR792 Ingénierie des Systèmes Biologiques et des Procédés, F-3140 Toulouse, France

Leuconostoc citreum NRRL B-742 has been known for years to produce a highly α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-branched dextran for which the synthesis had never been elucidated. In this work a gene coding for a putative α -transglucosylase of the GH70 family was identified in the reported genome of this bacteria and functionally characterized. From sucrose alone, the corresponding recombinant protein, named BRS-B, mainly catalyzed sucrose hydrolysis and leucrose synthesis. However, in the presence of sucrose and a dextran acceptor, the enzyme efficiently transferred the glucosyl residue from sucrose to linear α -(1 \rightarrow 6) dextrans through the specific formation of α -(1 \rightarrow 3) linkages. To date, BRS-B is the first reported α -(1 \rightarrow 3) branching sucrase. Using a suitable sucrose/dextran ratio, a comb-like dextran with 50% of α -(1 \rightarrow 3) branching was synthesized, suggesting that BRS-B is likely involved in the comb-like dextran produced by L. citreum NRRL B-742. In addition, data mining based on the search for specific sequence motifs allowed the identification of two genes putatively coding for branching sucrases in the genome of Leuconostoc fallax KCTC3537 and Lactobacillus kunkeei EFB6. Biochemical characterization of the corresponding recombinant enzymes confirmed their branching specificity, revealing that branching sucrases are not only found in L. citreum species. According to phylogenetic analyses, these enzymes are proposed to constitute a new subgroup of the GH70 family.

Numerous lactic acid bacteria from the *Leuconostoc* genus isolated from different habitats, such as sugar juice, fermenting vegetables, or dairy products, have long been known to produce slimes in sucrose solution (1, 2). These slimy compounds were rapidly characterized as dextrans, homopolymers of α -D-glucopyranosyl units mainly linked by α -(1 \rightarrow 6) linkages. Dextrans with a high content of α -(1 \rightarrow 6) linkages and a low degree of branching found their first industrial applications in the 1940s

as a source of synthetic blood volume expanders (3). These findings motivated Jeanes et al. (22) to investigate the diversity of polymers produced during sucrose fermentation by different strains of lactic acid bacteria. A total of 96 strains were screened, and their dextrans were structurally characterized. In this study one strain, Leuconostoc mesenteroides NRRL B-742, also known as L. mesenteroides ATCC 13146 and renamed Leuconostoc citreum NRRL B-742 (4), received particular attention. Indeed, this strain, first isolated from a can of spoiled tomatoes in 1927 (1), was shown to produce two types of dextrans that differed by their structures and degree of solubility from sucrose. The less soluble polymer contained 75% of α -(1 \rightarrow 6) linkages and 15% of α -(1 \rightarrow 4) linkages, whereas the more soluble polymer displayed an uncommon comb-like structure consisting of a linear backbone of α -(1 \rightarrow 6)-D-glucopyranosyl residues grafted with one α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-linked glucosyl unit on every glucosyl moiety (5–9). Concomitantly with those findings, dextrans were shown to be synthesized by α -transglucosylases, commonly named glucansucrases, and today classified in the family 70 of glycoside hydrolases (GH70)³ (10). The GH70 family belongs to the clan GH-H, together with families GH13 and GH77. To date, 279 α -transglucosylase sequences have been reported in the CAZy database, and only 25% has been functionally characterized (11). Furthermore, only four three-dimensional structures of GH70 enzymes have been solved (12-15). The enzymes are organized into five different structural domains, A, B, C, IV, and V. The A, B, and C domains are related to GH13 family enzymes, whereas domains IV and V are GH70specific domains. The fold of these enzymes is unique, and except for domain C, all domains are formed by non-contiguous fragments of sequences that assemble around a U-turn (15). These enzymes are α -retaining enzymes. They mainly catalyze α -transglucosylation reactions through a classical double displacement mechanism. The reaction starts with the formation of a β -D-glucosyl-enzyme intermediate involving a nucleophilic aspartate, an acid-base glutamic acid, and a third aspartate that stabilizes the covalent intermediate. In a second step the intermediate can be intercepted by a water molecule (hydrolysis reaction) or by the hydroxyl group of an acceptor (transgluco-


^{*} This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR-12-CDII-0005, Engel 2012-2015) and the Région Midi-Pyrénées (France). The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest with the contents of this article.

This article contains supplemental Tables S1–S4 and Figs. S1–S3.

¹ To whom correspondence may be addressed: INSA, LISBP, 135 Avenue de Rangueil, F-31077 Toulouse, France. Tel.: 33-561-559-446; Fax: 33-561-559-400; E-mail: moulis@insa-toulouse.fr.

² To whom correspondence may be addressed: INSA, LISBP, 135 Avenue de Rangueil, F-31077 Toulouse, France. Tel.: 33-561-559-446; Fax: 33-561-559-400; E-mail: remaud@insa-toulouse.fr.

³ The abbreviations used are: GH, glycoside hydrolase; HPAEC-PAD, high performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection; HPSEC, high performance size exclusion chromatography; contig, group of overlapping clones.



SCHEME 1. Main reactions catalyzed by GH70 sucrose-active enzymes.

sylation). The synthesis of the polymer occurs via successive transfers of glucosyl units onto the non-reducing extremity of the growing α -glucan chain (Scheme 1) (16–18).

Among GH70 sucrose-active enzymes, four subgroups of glucansucrases can be distinguished: the dextransucrases, alternansucrases, mutansucrases, and reuteransucrases, which are all efficient polymerases (10). In addition, one branching sucrase (GBD-CD2) was engineered from *L. citreum* NRRL B-1299 DSR-E dextransucrase (19). This enzyme displays no polymerase activity but catalyzes α -(1 \rightarrow 6) dextran branching very efficiently with single α -(1 \rightarrow 2)-linked glucosyl units (Scheme 1) (20). Recently, genome sequencing of *L. citreum* NRRL B-1299 allowed the identification of the first natural α -(1 \rightarrow 2) branching sucrase, BRS-A, that shows the same specificity as GBD-CD2. This enzyme was proposed to be involved in the formation of the native dextrans of *L. citreum* NRRL B-1299 that contain a high percentage of α -(1 \rightarrow 2) linkages (21, 22).

The formation of the regular hyper-branched dextran of *L. citreum* NRRL B-742 is even more intriguing. Several attempts to isolate the GH70 enzymes of *L. citreum* NRRL B-742 were reported. However, although the enzyme responsible for the production of dextran with both α -(1 \rightarrow 6) and

 α -(1 \rightarrow 4) linkages was isolated, the enzyme contributing to the comb-like structure was never identified (5). Of note, most of the GH70 enzyme activities were found strongly attached to the cell wall, making the enzymes difficult to purify (7). Crude enzyme extracts or sucrose fermentation broths were thus used to produce α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-branched glucooligosaccharides from sucrose and maltose (7), and these compounds were shown to possess interesting functional properties. In particular, they stimulated in vitro growth of beneficial bacteria such as bifidobacteria or lactobacilli and inhibited the development of pathogens such as Salmonella sp. or Escherichia coli (23, 24). In 2000, Kim and Robyt (25) cloned a gene from the mutant strain L. citreum NRRL B-742CB. The encoded protein, DSR-B-742, shared >95% amino acid identity with DSR-B dextransucrase from L. citreum NRRL B-1299 and synthesized a quasilinear dextran with >95% of α -(1 \rightarrow 6) linkages (26). Thus far the mode of synthesis of the comb-like dextran has remained unknown.

A genomic approach was undertaken to gain insight into the formation of this hyper-branched α -glucan and to have access to enzymatic tools of interest for functional glucooligosaccharide synthesis. First, the genome of *L. citreum* NRRL B-742 was sequenced (27) and mined to determine its content in GH70 α -transglucosylase encoding genes. One putative GH70 gene

TABLE 1 Primers, vectors, and strains used in this study and their main features

·					
Primers					
Description	Main features				
5'- <u>CACC</u> ATGGAAATGAAAGAAACAATCACTCG-3'	Forward primer used to amplify brsB gene				
5'-AAGCTTGCAAAGCACGCTTATCAATC-3'	Reverse primer used to amplify brsB gene				
5'- <u>CACC</u> GATACACAAACGCCGGTTGGTACA-3'	Forward primer used to amplify $brsB\Delta 1$ gene				
5'-GAGTTGTTGGTAGGCTATATTG-3'	Reverse primer used to amplify $brsB\Delta 1$ gene				
5'- <u>CACC</u> ATGAAGCAGCAAGAGAGCATC-3 Forward primer used to amplify <i>brsC</i> gene					
5'-AAACGCACGTTTATCAATCCATAC-3' Reverse primer used to amplify <i>brsC</i> gene					
Vectors					
Description	Main features	Supplier			
pBAD-DEST49	Used for <i>brsB</i> expression N-terminal HP-Thioredoxin fusion C-terminal V5 and 6xHis tags	Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA			
pET-55-DEST	Used for $brsB\Delta I$ expression N-terminal Strep Tag II tag C-terminal 6xHis tag	Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany			
Strains					
Description	Main features	Supplier			
E. coli TOP10	Used for all cloning experiments				
E. coli BL21-AI	Used for BRS-B production Life Technol				
E. coli BL21 star DE3	Used for BRS-B-Δ1 production				

showing divergence from various consensus sequences of GH70 family enzymes was cloned for recombinant expression in E. coli. The corresponding protein, named BRS-B, is an α -(1 \rightarrow 3) branching sucrase. A shorter version of BRS-B, named BRS-B- $\Delta 1$, was rationally designed and characterized. The specificity of BRS-B and its truncated form was investigated and revealed the interest of these enzymes for the production of α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-branched dextrans with a controlled degree of branching. In addition, comparison of these enzymes with the recently discovered α -(1 \rightarrow 2) branching sucrase (BRS-A) allowed the identification of two enzymes in public databases that were found to catalyze dextran branching and were clustered with previously characterized branching sucrases in the GH70 phylogenetic tree.

Experimental Procedures

Isolation of brsB Gene and Analysis of BRS-B Primary Structure

The brsB gene was identified in the L. citreum NRRL B-742 genome (27) (NCBI reference sequence: NZ_CCNG01000013.1) by performing a nucleotide BLAST against a homemade GH70 α -transglucosylase-encoding gene database. The protein sequence of BRS-B is deposited under the GenBankTM accession number CDX65123.1. Protein sequence comparison was performed either using protein Blast (NCBI) against the non-redundant protein sequence database (nr) for local alignments or using Clustal Omega for global alignments with other GH70 enzymes. Protein sequence global alignment with GTF-180- Δ N glucansucrase (15) and Δ N₁₂₃-GBD-CD2 (12) was used to determine length and position of domains A, B, C, IV,

and V. The signal peptide cleavage was predicted using SignalP 4.1 server.

Isolation of Genomic DNA

L. citreum NRRL B-742 was grown on 1.5 ml of MRS medium (Sigma-Aldrich) at 30 °C overnight. Genomic DNA was isolated using the Wizard® genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI) according to the supplier's recommendations for Gram-positive bacteria.

Cloning of brsB and brsB Δ 1 Genes

The gene brsB and a truncated form lacking the signal peptide and the last 575 amino acids at the C terminus of the protein ($brsB\Delta 1$) were amplified by PCR from L. citreum NRRL B-742 genomic DNA template. The primers used are described in Table 1. The addition of the CACC sequence (underlined) to the 5'-forward primers allowed the correct insertion of the genes into the pENTR/D-TOPO® vector (Life Technologies). From a positive entry clone, LR recombination (Gateway® LR Clonase® II enzyme mix, Life Technologies) was performed using the destination vectors described in Table 1. Expression clones were selected on LB agar plates supplemented with 100 μ g ml⁻¹ of ampicillin. Plasmids were extracted with the Sigma GenElute HP Plasmid Miniprep kit, verified by restriction analyses and sequencing (GATC Biotech, Constance, Germany).

Recombinant Production of BRS-B and BRS-B- Δ 1

Starter Culture-E. coli BL21-AI and E. coli BL21 star DE3 cells (detailed in Table 1) were freshly transformed by pBAD-

49/brsB and pET-55/brsB $\Delta 1$, respectively. Thirty milliliters of LB medium, supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹), were inoculated with 200 μ l of transformation mix and incubated overnight at 37 °C under agitation (200 rpm).

Erlenmeyer Flask Cultures—One liter of modified ZYM5052 medium (28) contained (i) 0% lactose, 0% glucose, 0.5% glycerol and 0.01% L-arabinose for BRS-B production or (ii) 0.1% lactose, 0% glucose and 1% glycerol for BRS-B-Δ1 production. Both were supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg ml $^{-1}$) and were inoculated with the corresponding starter culture at an $A_{600\,\mathrm{nm}}$ of 0.05. Cultures were incubated at 21 °C under agitation (150 rpm). After a 26-h incubation, cells were harvested by centrifugation, dispersed in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.75, at a final $A_{600\,\mathrm{nm}}$ 80 and disrupted by sonication. The recombinant enzymes were recovered in the soluble fraction after centrifugation (15,000 \times g, 30 min, 4 °C) of the crude cell extract.

Affinity Chromatography Purification of Enzymes

All purification assays were performed using the ÄKTAxpress (GE Healthcare) at 12 °C. For His₆ tag affinity chromatography, cells were centrifuged and resuspended in binding buffer (20 mm phosphate sodium buffer, pH 7.4, 500 mm NaCl, 20 mm imidazole, 2.5% (v/v) glycerol) at a final $A_{600\;\mathrm{nm}}$ of 200. After disruption by sonication, centrifugation (30,000 \times g, 30 min, 4 °C), and filtration through a 0.22-μm cartridge (Sartorius Stedim Biotech, Aubagne, France), lysates were applied onto a 1-ml HisTrap HP® column (GE Healthcare) that had been equilibrated with the binding buffer. The proteins were eluted by an imidazole gradient from 10 to 500 mm over 25 min. Eluate fractions of 3 ml were desalted onto a 10-DG column (Bio-Rad) with 50 mm sodium acetate buffer at pH 5.75 with 100 mm NaCl, $0.05 \,\mathrm{g}\,\mathrm{liter}^{-1}\,\mathrm{CaCl}_2$, and 0.1% (v/v) Tween 80. In order to remove small contaminants, purified eluates were washed 3 times onto Vivaspin 2 with a molecular weight cut-off of 100 (Sartorius Stedim Biotech).

Enzymatic Activity Assay

One unit of BRS-B, BRS-B- Δ 1, BRS-C, or BRS-D is defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the production of 1 μ mol of fructose/min at 30 °C in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer at pH 5.75 from 292 mM sucrose. The enzyme activities were determined by measuring the amount of reducing sugars using the dinitrosalicylic acid method (29).

pH and Temperature Optima; Effect of Divalent Ions

Optimal pH and temperature were determined in duplicate using 1 unit·ml $^{-1}$ of enzyme in the presence of 292 mm sucrose and 119 mm dextran (68,400 g·mol $^{-1}$) for both BRS-B (in sonicated extract) and the pure BRS-B- $\Delta 1$. To evaluate the optimal pH, the assays were performed at 30 °C in 50 mm citrate phosphate buffer with pH values varying from 3.5 to 7.0 in increments of 0.5 units. Optimal temperature was determined from assays carried out in 50 mm sodium acetate buffer at pH 5.75 at temperatures of 23, 26, 30, 33, 37, 40, or 45 °C. The effect of salts on transferase activity of BRS-B- $\Delta 1$ was determined by incubating the enzyme (1 unit·ml $^{-1}$) with 1 mm EDTA to trap the possible presence of any divalent ion in the catalytic core of the

enzyme or with 1 mm CaCl₂, CuCl₂, ZnCl₂, or MgCl₂ salts. Activity assays were performed at 30 °C in 50 mm sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.75, 292 mm sucrose, and 119 mm of dextran (68,400 g·mol $^{-1}$). For all these experiments, the enzymatic activity was determined by measuring fructose release by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a Dionex system and using an Aminex® HPX-87K carbohydrate analysis column (300 \times 7.8 mm; Bio-Rad). Column oven temperature was set at 65 °C, and ultrapure water was used as eluent (0.6 ml min $^{-1}$).

Determination of BRS-B- Δ 1 Kinetic Parameters

Reaction with Sucrose—Kinetic parameters with sucrose as the sole substrate were determined in 50 mm sodium acetate buffer at pH 5.75 supplemented with 250 $\mu \mathrm{g} \, \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ bovine serum albumin, 23 $\mu \mathrm{g} \, \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ of purified BRS-B- $\Delta 1$ at 30 °C and using initial sucrose concentrations ranging from 3 to 600 mm. All initial velocities were measured by quantifying fructose release at the early stage of reaction (when <5% of the substrate is consumed and when leucrose and other oligosaccharide production can be neglected). At regular time intervals samples were withdrawn and immediately heated at 95 °C for 5 min to stop the reaction. Fructose concentration was determined by HPLC as described above. K_m , K_i , and V_{max} parameters were determined using SigmaPlot Kinectics software and fitted to a non-competitive substrate inhibition model.

 α -(1 \rightarrow 3) Transglucosylation—Kinetic parameters for α -(1 \rightarrow 3) transglucosylation were determined at 30 °C in 50 mm sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.75, supplemented with 250 µg ml⁻¹ BSA, 9.5 mg liter⁻¹ of enzyme, 300 mm sucrose, and dextran of 68,400 g·mol⁻¹ at final concentrations ranging from 31 to 617 mm. For the sake of clarity, dextran concentrations will be expressed as molar concentrations of anhydroglucosyl units within the dextran, as determined by dividing dextran mass concentrations by 162 g·mol⁻¹. All initial velocities were measured when <5% of the sucrose was consumed. Initial α -(1 \rightarrow 3) transglucosylation velocities were calculated by subtracting fructose (representing the total activity) by free glucose (representing hydrolase activity) production rates. Glucose and fructose concentrations were determined by HPLC using the same conditions as those described previously. $K_{mdextran}$ and V_{max} were estimated using SigmaPlot Kinetics software and fitted to a Michaelis-Menten model.

Synthesis of α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-branched Dextrans Using BRS-B and BRS-B- Δ 1

Various α -(1 \rightarrow 6)-linked dextrans of average molar masses ranging from 1500 to 2 \times 10⁶ g·mol⁻¹ (Sigma) were incubated at different concentrations with sucrose (9 – 438 mm) using 1 unit·ml⁻¹ BRS-B (or BRS-B- Δ 1) at 30 °C in 50 mm sodium acetate buffer at pH 5.75 for 8 –16 h. Reactions were stopped by a 5-min incubation at 95 °C. The various tested sucrose/dextran ratios are reported in supplemental Table S1.

HPAEC-PAD Analysis

To determine production yield and assay sucrose depletion, reaction media were analyzed by HPAEC-PAD (high performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed ampero-

metric detection) using a CarboPacTM PA100 (4 \times 250 mm) analytical column coupled with a CarboPacTM PA-100 guard (4 \times 50 mm). Glucose, fructose, leucrose, and sucrose were separated using a 30-min sodium acetate gradient from 6 to 300 mM in 150 mM NaOH. The amount of each sugar was determined using standards of 5, 10, 15, and 20 mg kg $^{-1}$. Samples were prepared in ultra-pure water and diluted to a final concentration of 10-15 mg kg $^{-1}$ of total sugars for quantitative analyses and a final concentration of 250 mg·kg $^{-1}$ (total sugars) for qualitative analyses. The percentage of glucosyl units from sucrose incorporated into glucose (% $G_{\rm glucose}$) or leucrose (% $G_{\rm leucrose}$) was calculated as follows.

$$\% G_{glucose} = \frac{([glucose]tf - [glucose]t0) \times 342}{([sucrose]t0 - [sucrose]tf) \times 180} \times 100$$

(Eq. 1)

$$\%G_{leucrose} = \frac{([leucrose]tf - [leucrose]t0)}{([sucrose]t0 - [sucrose]tf)} \times 100$$
 (Eq. 2)

High Performance Size Exclusion Chromatography (HPSEC) Analysis

HPSEC analyses were carried out using Shodex OH-Pak SB-802.5 and SB-805 columns in series coupled with a Shodex OH-Pak SB-G guard column and placed in an oven at 70 °C. Elution was performed using 0.45 M NaNO $_{\rm 3}$ and 1% ethylene glycol (v/v) as the eluent using a flow rate of 0.3 ml min $^{-1}$. The samples were diluted at 10 g kg $^{-1}$ of total sugars and also in 0.45 M NaNO $_{\rm 3}$ and 1% ethylene glycol.

The percentage of glucosyl units from sucrose incorporated into α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-branched dextran was calculated as,

$$\%G_{dextran} = \frac{Area_{dextran} \times 342}{Area_{sucrose} \times 162}$$
 (Eq. 3)

The percentage of glucosyl units from sucrose incorporated into small oligosaccharides was deduced as,

$$\%G_{small \ oligosaccharides} = 100\% - (\%G_{dextran} + \%G_{glucose} + \%G_{lourges})$$
 (Fq. 4)

Here $\%G_{\rm dextran}$, $\%G_{\rm glucose}$, and $\%G_{\rm leucrose}$ have been determined from HPSEC and HPAEC-PAD analyses.

¹H and ¹³C NMR Analysis of Oligosaccharides and Polymers

To determine α -(1 \rightarrow 3) linkage content, freeze-dried polymer samples were dispersed in deuterated water at a final concentration of 20 mg ml $^{-1}$. NMR spectra were recorded on an Advance 500 MHz spectrometer (Bruker) operating at 500 MHz for 1 H NMR and 125 MHz for 13 C using a 5-mm z-gradient TBI probe. The data were processed using TopSpin 3 software. One-dimensional 1 H NMR spectra was acquired by using a zgpr pulse sequence (with water suppression). All measurements were performed at 298 K, and chemical shifts were referenced to an internal reference sodium 2,2,3,3-tetradeutero-3-trimethylsilylpropanoate (1 H = 0 ppm) and acetone (13 C = 31.08 ppm). The percentages of α (1 \rightarrow 3) and α (1 \rightarrow 6) linkages in

 α -glucans were calculated by integrating the corresponding anomeric proton signals (30).

Model Construction

Sequence alignment between BRS-B (from residues Ile-220 to Ile-1300) and $\Delta N123\text{-}GBD\text{-}CD2$ was generated using MUS-CLE (31). This alignment was checked, locally corrected in variable loop regions, and submitted to SWISS-MODEL online service for protein structure prediction using the alignment mode (32). The same procedure was applied for the sequence of BRS-A (from residues Gly-223 to Val-1289). BRS-B and $\Delta N123\text{-}GBD\text{-}CD2$ share 53% sequence identity; BRS-A and $\Delta N123\text{-}GBD\text{-}CD2$ share 60% sequence identity. All drawings were realized using PyMOL software (DeLano Scientific).

Identification of New Branching Sucrases

Blast analysis (blastp and blastn) were performed to identify new putative branching sucrases in NCBI public databases. The putative proteins displaying similarities with characterized branching sucrases (*i.e.* BRS-A, BRS-B, and/or GBD-CD2) were selected considering that the sequence should display (i) one phenylalanine at subsite +1 (position 675, BRS-B numbering), (ii) a non-aromatic residue at subsite +1 (position 711, BRS-B numbering), (iii) one isoleucine at position 783 (BRS-B numbering), (v) one histidine at position 785 (BRS-B numbering), one lysine at position 789 (BRS-B numbering), and (vi) one valine at position 795 (BRS-B numbering).

Cloning of the brsC Gene

First, a synthetic brsC gene (contig GenBankTM accession number AEIZ01000002, positions 68,715-63,391 on reverse strand) was designed to optimize its expression in E. coli (Gene-Cust, Dudelange, Luxembourg). The gene was then amplified by PCR from pUC57/brsC plasmid DNA template using the primers described in Table 1. The PCR product was then inserted into the pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Life Technologies). From a positive entry clone, LR recombination (Gateway LR Clonase II enzyme mix; Life Technologies) was performed with the pET-55-DEST destination vector (Merck Millipore). Expression clones were selected on LB agar plates supplemented with 100 μg ml⁻¹ of ampicillin. Plasmids were then extracted using the GenElute HP Plasmid Miniprep kit (Sigma), verified by restriction analyses, and sequenced (GATC Biotech). E. coli TOP10 competent cells (Life Technologies) were used for all cloning experiments.

Recombinant Production of BRS-C and BRS-D Branching Sucrases

The construction pET28b/*brD* was provided by Biomatik (Cambridge, ON, Canada) where the *brsD* gene (GenBankTM accession number AZBY01000038.1, locus tag LAKU_38c00010) was designed to optimize the heterologous expression in *E. coli*.

Starter Culture—E. coli BL21 star DE3 cells were freshly transformed by pET-55/brsC, pET28b/brD. 30 ml of LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g ml⁻¹) were inoculated with 200 μ l of transformation mix and incubated overnight at 37 °C under agitation (200 rpm).

	dsrA-like	dsrB-742	dsrE-like	brsB	dsrF-like
ORF	567 3606	4356	789 6540 849	5667	5808
Blastn (best blast hit)	99% id <i>dsrA</i> <i>Ln. citreum</i> NRRL B -12 99	-	99% id <i>dsrE</i> Ln. citreum NRRL B-1299	76% id <i>brsA Ln. citreum</i> NRRL B-1299	99% id <i>dsrF</i> <i>Ln. citreum</i> B110-1-2
Specificity	Dextransucrase (Putative)	Dextransucrase (Characterized)	Dextransucrase (Putative)	Characterized herein	Dextransucrase (Putative)

FIGURE 1. **GH70 encoding genes in** *L. citreum* **NRRL B-742 genome**. *Yellow squares* represent putative signal peptide encoding sequences. *Hatched arrows* indicate sequences showing >99% identity with previously characterized glucansucrases. The *solid blue arrow* indicates the gene previously characterized dsrB-742 (26). The *green arrow* stands for the *brsB* gene characterized in this study. *Numbers below the arrows* correspond to the size of the ORF in bp. The enzyme specificity was predicted according to sequence homology with other characterized GH70 enzymes.




FIGURE 2. **Schematic representation of the structural organization of BRS-B.** The five different structural domains were assigned by comparison with those of GTF180- Δ N and Δ N₁₂₃-GBD-CD2: (i) domain V in *red*), (ii) domain IV in *yellow*, (iii) domain B in *green*, (iv) domain A in *blue*, and (v) domain C in *purple*. The catalytic residues (*DED*) are indicated in *bold* and *white*, YG repeats (36) with *checkered red* motifs and APY repeats (39) with *white triangles*. Signal peptide is represented by a *blue circle*. The *red hatched regions* correspond to zones for which no structural information is available.

Erlenmeyer Flask Cultures—1 liter of modified ZYM5052 medium (28) (with the changes 0.1% lactose, 0% glucose, and 1% glycerol, supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg ml $^{-1}$) was inoculated with the starter culture at an $A_{600~\rm nm}$ of 0.05. Cultures were incubated at 21 °C under agitation (150 rpm). After 26 h of incubation, cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 50 mM sodium acetate buffer, pH 5.75, at a final $A_{600~\rm nm}$ of 80, and disrupted by sonication. Recombinant enzymes were recovered after centrifugation (15,000 \times g, 30 min, 4 °C) in the soluble fraction of the crude cell extract.

Phylogenetic Analysis

A phylogenetic tree was constructed from 60 protein sequences, including the functionally characterized GH70 enzymes indexed in the CAZY database and the branching sucrases BRS-A, BRS-B, BRS-C, BRS-D, and GBD-CD2 Protein sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega. GBlock program was used to remove highly variable regions. A total of 1371 positions (42%) were then conserved. The tree was constructed using the Neighbor-joining method using the SeaView 4.5.4 software. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (100 replicates) is shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Poisson correction method and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. The tree was displayed using FigTree v1.4.2 software.

Results and Discussion

Characterization of a Novel GH70 Branching Sucrase from L. citreum NRRL B-742—The analysis of L. citreum NRRL B-742 genome (27) revealed the presence of five putative α-transglucosylase encoding genes: (i) the dsrB-742 gene previously isolated by Kim et al. (26), (ii) three additional genes, dsrA-like, dsrE-like, and dsrF-like, that share 99% identity with the characterized dextransucrase genes from L. citreum NRRL B-1299 and B110-1-2 (33–35), and (iii) one gene of 5667 bp, named brsB, putatively encoding a 1888-amino acid protein showing 76% of amino acid identity and 83% of similarity with BRS-A from L. citreum NRRL B-1299 (21) (GenBankTM accession number WP_040177263.1, best Blast hit against the non-redundant (nr) protein database) (Fig. 1).

For BRS-B, a signal peptide with a cleavage site between positions 39 and 40 was predicted using SignalP 4.1 server. Based on sequence alignment, the protein was predicted to belong to the GH70 enzyme family and to adopt the same structure as those displayed by other GH70 enzymes (12–14), which consist of five structural domains A, B, C, IV, and V, of which the limits were assigned by comparison with those of GTF180- Δ N and Δ N₁₂₃ GBD-CD2 (12, 15) (Fig. 2). The three catalytically important amino acids involved in the formation of the β -D-glucosylenzyme intermediate are conserved in the BRS-B sequence and are located in catalytic domain A. By analogy with other GH70 α -transglucosylases, Asp-671, Glu-709, and Asp-788 residues are predicted to play the role of the nucleophile, the acid/base catalyst, and the transition state stabilizer, respectively. Domain V is formed by two fragments, one at the N terminus and

the other at the C-terminal extremity of the protein, and is predicted to constitute both extremities of the U-shape fold (15). Like most of the domains V of GH70 enzymes, this domain is rich in YG repeats that were previously suggested to play a key role in interactions between glucan sucrases and their polymers (36). Eight and two YG repeats that could be involved in α -glucan binding were identified at the N- and C-terminal extremities, respectively (Fig. 2). Additionally, at the C terminus, 6 APY repeats were identified and shared 99% of identity with those found at the C-terminal ends of the alternansucrase (ASR) from L. citreum NRRL B-1355 and the branching sucrase BRS-A from L. citreum NRRL B-1299 (513 out of 518 amino acids) (37, 38). The APY repeats of alternansucrase (ASR) were previously deleted without causing any alteration of enzyme activity or specificity (39).

The brsB gene was cloned into pBAD-DEST49 vectors and expressed in E. coli BL21-AI host strain to characterize the corresponding protein. The level of production reached 700 units·liter⁻¹ of culture. Western blot analyses confirmed that the full-length BRS-B was the most abundant protein, even if slightly degraded forms could also be observed (data not shown).

As the native *E. coli* BL21-AI does not produce any sucroseactive enzymes, the sonication extract was used to characterize the catalytic activities of BRS-B. The optimal temperature and pH were estimated at 30 – 33 °C and pH 5.5 – 6 (data not shown). All subsequent assays were thus performed at 30 °C and at pH 5.75. Enzymatic reactions were first carried out in the presence of 292 mm sucrose, the natural substrate for most of GH70 enzymes. The main reaction products formed were glucose and leucrose ($O-\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl-($1\rightarrow 5$)-D-fructopyranose). A total of 51% of the glucosyl units from sucrose were incorporated into leucrose, and 33% were transferred onto water (hydrolysis reaction). The remaining part, representing 16% of the transferable glucosyl units, was incorporated into various small size glucooligosaccharides, which were not isolated and characterized due to their very low level of production (supplemental Fig. S1). No trace of high molar mass α -glucans was detected using HPSEC-RI, indicating that BRS-B is not an efficient polymerase.

Acceptor reactions were carried out using 1500 g·mol⁻¹ dextran as the acceptor (66.6 mm) and sucrose as the donor (292 mm). As seen on HPAEC-PAD chromatograms, the product profile rapidly changed during the course of the reaction (Fig. 3a). The intensity of the peaks corresponding to linear isomaltooligosaccharides decreased, whereas new signals were clearly visible. The products synthesized after total sucrose depletion were analyzed by NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed two peaks assigned to anomeric resonances at 4.99 ppm and 5.33 ppm, which correspond to the anomeric proton of a glucosyl unit engaged in α -(1 \rightarrow 6) or α -(1 \rightarrow 3) linkages, respectively. Integration of the anomeric signals showed that the amount of α -(1 \rightarrow 3) linkages in dextran reached 39% that of the total osidic linkages (Fig. 3b). These results demonstrate that BRS-B catalyzes the transglucosylation reaction from sucrose onto dextran acceptors through the formation of α -(1 \rightarrow 3) linkages.

Several dextrans of higher molar mass between 39,100 $g \cdot mol^{-1}$ and $2 \times 10^6 g \cdot mol^{-1}$ were also tested as acceptors. All of them were efficiently branched by BRS-B, as evidenced by the percentage of α -(1 \rightarrow 3) linkages reaching a maximum of 50%, indicating that each glucosyl moiety of the α -(1 \rightarrow 6) backbone chain was substituted by an α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-linked glucosyl residue (Fig. 4a).

The J-Mod spectrum of the dextran shows two anomeric ¹³C chemical shifts at 98.63 ppm and 100.30 ppm corresponding to the ¹H anomeric signals at 4.98 ppm and 5.33 ppm of the α -(1 \rightarrow 6) and α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-linked glucosyl units, respectively. The presence of α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-linked branching was further attested by the typical signal at 81.37 ppm, which was assigned to C-3 of the 3,6-O-disubstituted glucopyranosyl units (9, 40). Only one type of resonance is observed at 100.30 ppm, indicating that the α -(1 \rightarrow 3) linkages of the dextran are solely branching linkages. This is confirmed by the presence of only one chemical shift at 61.20 ppm attributed to free C6. At 66.33 ppm, the resonances are attributed to bound C6 of the 3,6-O-disubstituted and 6-Odisubstituted glucosyl units of the main chain (Fig. 4b).

To date, BRS-B is the first reported α -(1 \rightarrow 3) branching sucrase. Its ability to branch high molar mass dextran up to 50% suggests that BRS-B is likely involved in the synthesis of L. citreum NRRL B-742 comb-like dextran. Based on sequence alignment, the other putative GH70 enzymes encoded by L. citreum NRRL B-742 are predicted to be dextransucrases synthesizing linear dextrans. Dextransucrase activities were previously isolated in the fermentation broth of L. citreum NRRL B-742 (5, 22, 26). It can thus be assumed that the synthesis of the hyper-branched dextran is due to the synergistic action of at least one dextransucrase and the branching enzyme, BRS-B. The dextransucrase would synthesize the α -(1 \rightarrow 6) backbone, and the α -(1 \rightarrow 3) branching sucrase would be involved in branching via α -(1 \rightarrow 3) osidic bond formation. There are several questions that remain, including understanding which dextransucrases of *L. citreum* NRRL B-742 work in tandem with BSR-B. Additionally, it is not known whether or not elongation and branching are concomitant. These pending questions require additional experiments, such as RT-quantitative PCR studies, secretome analysis at regular intervals of the culture, and knock-out of either polymerases or branching sucrase encoding genes combined with structural analyses of the produced dextran.

Construction of BRS-B- $\Delta 1$, a Truncated Form of BRS-B— Despite several attempts, the purification of BRS-B did not give satisfying results due to very weak protein binding onto nickel and cobalt affinity resins. This was attributed to an inadequate exposure of the tags, which could prevent correct interaction with the resin. To facilitate the purification process, a shorter form deleted of the signal peptide and all APY motifs at the C-terminal end, was constructed (Fig. 2). Notably, 8 of the 10 identified YG repeats were conserved. The position of the truncations at the C- and N terminus ends were determined from sequence alignment with the α -(1 \rightarrow 2) branching sucrase ΔN_{123} GBD-CD2. The production of the truncated protein BRS-B- $\Delta 1$ was optimized to a level of 1200 units liter using pET-55-DEST and BL21 Star DE3 E. coli strain. Western blot analysis showed that the protein was not degraded at the N-terminal





FIGURE 3. Analysis of the products synthesized with BRS-B enzyme from sucrose (292 mm) and dextran 1500 g·mol $^{-1}$ (66.6 mm). a, HPAEC-PAD chromatograms of enzymatic reaction stopped after 1 min (1) and enzymatic reaction stopped after 8 h (2). Peaks corresponding to glucose (G), fructose (F), leucrose (F), sucrose (F), and isomaltooligosaccharides with a degree of polymerization (F) of F. H NMR spectrum (in the anomeric region) of the products synthesized by BRS-B at 500 MHz in F0. Leu, leucrose; F0. Equicose; F0. Equicose.

extremity. The C-terminal His $_6$ tag was then used to purify the enzyme onto Ni-NTA affinity resin. The purification yield reached 85%, with a corresponding purification factor of 17. BRS-B- Δ 1-specific activity on sucrose (292 mm) was estimated at 24 units mg $^{-1}$ of protein. The optimal temperature and pH were determined to be between 33 and 40 °C and 5–5.75, respectively (Fig. 5, a and b) and are in the same range as those observed for the non-purified enzyme BRS-B. A slight improvement of transferase activity (near 6%) was observed with the addition of 1 mm Ca $^{2+}$ (Fig. 5c), as previously described for the branching sucrase Δ N123-GBD-CD2 (increase of 13% (12)). In

contrast, Zn^{2+} ions had the most deleterious effect on BRS-B- $\Delta 1$ activity, which decreased by 23% in the presence of Zn^{2+} ions (Fig. 5c). From a sucrose substrate, BRS-B- $\Delta 1$ showed a slightly higher hydrolytic activity than BRS-B and produced 42% glucose, 48% leucrose, and 10% of small oligosaccharides. The profiles of products obtained with dextran acceptors were similar to those obtained with the full-length protein (data not shown). Of note, the deletion of the APY repeats at the C terminus extremity of the protein did not affect the enzyme specificity and efficiency, as previously observed with the alternansucrase and inulosucrase (39, 41).






FIGURE 4. ¹H NMR (a) and ¹³C NMR (b) analyses of the purified branched dextran of 2×10^6 g·mol⁻¹ synthesized by BRS-B. ¹³C chemical shifts are given using acetone as reference (¹³C = 31.08 ppm).




FIGURE 5. Effect of temperature (a), pH (b), and the addition of divalent ions (c) on BRS-B- Δ 1 transferase activity. Results are given as the means \pm S.D. n=3.

The kinetic parameters of the recombinant protein BRS-B- $\Delta 1$ were determined with and without dextran acceptor. Without the acceptor, sucrose hydrolysis was inhibited by >150 mm sucrose, and the kinetic data fitted a non-competitive substrate inhibition modeled by Equation 1 with a K_m , K_i , and $k_{\rm cat}$ values estimated at 7.0 \pm 0.7 mm, 595 \pm 88 mm, and at 81.4 $\rm s^{-1}$, respectively. An initial rate of transglucosylation was determined at a fixed sucrose concentration (300 mm) and using dextran acceptor (68,400 g·mol⁻¹) concentrations varying from 31 to 617 mм (in anhydroglucosyl unit equivalents). A classical Michaelis-Menten profile was obtained with K_m dextran and $k_{\rm cat}$ values of 0.27 \pm 0.08 mm and 949 s⁻¹, respectively. A 12-fold increase of the catalytic constant was observed compared with the reaction without dextran (Table 2). The formation of α -(1 \rightarrow 3) branches onto dextran molecules is very efficient $(k_{\text{cat}}/K_{m\text{dextran}} = 3514 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1})$, and the k_{cat} value is close to that reported for α -(1 \rightarrow 2) branching formation catalyzed by the α -(1 \rightarrow 2) branching sucrase GBD-CD2 (20) (Table 2). Such values range among the highest k_{cat} values reported for GH70 members.

Synthesis of Dextrans with Controlled Amounts of α - $(1\rightarrow 3)$ Linkages—The opportunity to synthesize different α -glucan structures with a controlled degree of branching using BRS-B- $\Delta 1$ was investigated in more details. To this end, low and high molar mass dextrans (1500 and 2×10^6 g·mol $^{-1}$, respectively) were tested as acceptors at different sucrose:acceptor ratios. When sucrose was in large excess, the production yields of α - $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -branched dextrans decreased in favor of the formation of side products (mainly glucose and leucrose, production of small oligosaccharides being negligible). In contrast, when the dextran concentration increased, glucose and leucrose production was reduced (Fig. 6a). The percentage of α - $(1\rightarrow 3)$ link-

ages in branched dextrans was tightly controlled and evolved from 5 to 50% by adjusting the sucrose:acceptor ratio (Fig. 6b). This parameter was also shown to be critical for controlling the percentage of α -(1 \rightarrow 2) branching catalyzed by the α -(1 \rightarrow 2) branching sucrase, GBD-CD2 (20). An initial sucrose/dextran ratio higher than three has to be used to obtain a highly branched dextran. By controlling the sucrose:acceptor ratio and the size of the dextran acceptor, a large array of products can be produced, opening the possibility of new applications for these kinds of molecules.

Comparison of BRS-B with Other Branching Sucrases and Glucansucrases—The four functional sequence signatures (motifs I-IV), usually well conserved in the GH70 family and also found in related the GH13 family (10), were aligned with the corresponding motifs found in the characterized GH70 enzymes from other organisms (Table 3). Interestingly, BRS-B exhibits numerous sequence similarities with GBD-CD2 and BRS-A from *L. citreum* NRRL B-1299, two branching sucrases (19, 21). In particular, Phe-675 of motif II and Ile-783, His-785, Lys-789, and Val-795 of motif IV are only conserved in the branching sucrases. They are not found in other GH70 conserved motifs and could thus be suggested to be important for branching activity. Other amino acids are uniquely found in BSR-B. They include residues 673-IS of motif II, 711-PKGE of motif 796-IH of motif IV, and F1184 of motif I (Table 3).

The three-dimensional model of BRS-B was constructed by homology modeling using $\Delta N_{123}\text{-}GBD\text{-}CD2$ branching sucrase as template (Fig. 7). The model was compared with the 3D-structures of $\Delta N_{123}\text{-}GBD\text{-}CD2$ (PDB: 3TTQ) and with the inactive mutant of GTF-180- ΔN in complex with sucrose substrate (PDB: 3HZ3). According to the model, BRS-B also adopts a U-shape fold organized in five domains. As shown in Fig. 7,

TABLE 2 Kinetic parameters of truncated variant BRS-B- $\Delta 1$ for hydrolysis reaction (in the presence of sucrose) and for α - $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ transglucosylation (in the presence of sucrose and dextran 68.4 kg. mol $^{-1}$)

Comparison with the kinetic parameters of GBD-CD2 and ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2 is shown.

Enzyme	$K_{m \text{ sucrose}}$	$K_{m \text{ dex}}$	$K_{m \text{ dex}}$	$V_{ m max}$	k_{cat}
	тм	mм of anhydroglucosyl units	mм	$\mu mol mg^{-1} min^{-1}$	s^{-1}
Sucrose					
BRS-B $-\Delta 1$	7.0 ± 0.7			34.5 ± 1.1	81.4
ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2	7.5 ± 1.0			36.3 ± 0.6	76
GBD-CD2	10.8 ± 0.8			34.6 ± 0.5	109
Sucrose and dextran (68.4 kg·mol ⁻¹)					
BRS-B $-\Delta 1$		112 ± 33	0.27 ± 0.08	402 ± 36	949
ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2	206 ± 34	125 ± 21	0.3 ± 0.05	462 ± 45	947
GBD-CD2	42 ± 2	75 ± 3	0.174	303 ± 5	970




FIGURE 6. Synthesis of α -(1 \rightarrow 3)-branched dextrans using BRS-B- Δ 1 and varying sucrose:acceptor initial ratio (mass concentrations). a, determination of the percentage of glucosyl moieties from sucrose incorporated into free glucose (*black*), leucrose (*light gray*), and α -(1 \rightarrow 3) dextran (*dark gray*). The production of other oligosaccharides was negligible. b, control of the amount of α -(1 \rightarrow 3) linkages in branched dextrans of 1500 (\blacktriangle) and 2 \times 10⁶ g·mol $^{-1}$ (\bigcirc) as the function of the sucrose:acceptor initial ratio.

TABLE 3 Sequence alignment of the conserved motifs (I-IV) of BRS-C and BBRS-D catalytic core with other characterized GH70 enzymes

			•		•					
		Motif II		Motif III		Motif IV		Motif I	Spe ¹	
GTF-I [Sd] ^{2,3}	449	SIRV D AVD N VD	486	HVSIV E AWSDN	559	FARA HD SEV Q DLIRD	931	ADWVPDQ		
GTF-B [Sm]	1011	SIRVDAVDNVD	1048	HLSIL E AWSDN	1120	FIRA HD SEV Q DLIAD	1488	ADWVPDQ	M	
GTF-A [Lr]	1020	SVRV D APD <mark>N</mark> ID	1056	HINIL E DWNHA	1128	FVRA HD NNS <mark>Q</mark> DQIQN	1508	ADWVPDQ	R	
GTF-O [Lr]	1020	SVRV D APD <mark>N</mark> ID	1056	HINIL E DWNSS	1128	FIRA HD NNS <mark>Q</mark> DQIQN	1508	ADWVPDQ		
ASR [Lm]	631	GIRVDAVDNVD	668	HLSIL E DWNGK	762	FVRA HD YDA Q DPIRK	1168	ADWVPDQ	A	
GTF-W [Lr]	748	GF R V D AAD <mark>N</mark> ID	785	HLVYN E G Y HSG	568	FVTNHDQR-KNVINQ	1216	EDLVMNQ	4.6.CT	
GTF-ML4 [Lr]	1012	GFRVDAADNID	1049	HLSYN E G Y HSG	1121	FVTN HD QR-KNLINR	1479	EDIVMNQ	4,6 GT	
GTF-180 [Lr]	1021	GIRVDAVDNVD	1058	HINIL E DWGWD	1131	FVRAHDSNAQDQIRQ	1503	ADWVPDQ	D	
DSR-S [Lm]	547	GIRV <mark>D</mark> AVD <mark>N</mark> VD	584	HLSIL E DWSHN	657	FVRAHDSEVQTVIAQ	1023	ADWVPDQ	D	
GBD-CD ₂ [Lc]	2206	SIRIDAVDFIH	2243	HISLV E AGLDA	2317	IIHA HD KGV <mark>Q</mark> EKVGA	2688	ADVVDNQ	DC	
BRS-A [Lc]	668	SIRIDAVDFVS	705	HLSLV e aglda	779	IIHA HD KDI Q DKVGA	1151	ADVVANQ	BS	
BRS-B [Lc]	667	SMRIDAISFVD	704	HISIV E APKGE	783	IVHA HD KDIQDTVIH	1182	ADFVANQ		
BRS-C [Lf]	734	SIRIDAISFVD	771	HVSIV E ASADQ	845	IVHA HD KDI <mark>Q</mark> DAVSN	1232	ADYVANQ	This work	
BRS-D [LbK]	520	SIRIDAVDFIS	557	HISLV E GGVDA	638	IVHA HD KDV <mark>Q</mark> EKVGQ	1010	ADVVYNQ	WOIK	

¹ Spe, Specificity. Enzymes are grouped together according to their linkage specificity: M for mutansucrase, R for reuteransucrase, A for alternansucrase, 4,6 GT for α-4,6 glucanotransferase, D for dextransucrase, BS for branching sucrase.

³ [Sd] Streptococcus downei, [Sm], Streptococcus mutans, [Lr], Lactobacillus reuteri, [Lm], L. mesenteroides, [Lc], L. citreum.



The two catalytic residues are indicated in bold red the nucleophile (D) and the acid/base catalyst (E). The transition state stabilizer (D) is represented in bold blue. The residues in bold green and in bold orange correspond to residues of substrate binding subsites -1 and +1, respectively, as originally identified in GTF180-\Delta N: sucrose complex (PDB entry 3HZ3) (15).




FIGURE 7. Surface representation of BRS-B model and ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2 crystal structure (PDB code 3TTQ). Domains A, B, C, IV, and V are colored in *light blue, green, pink, yellow,* and *red,* respectively. Variable loop regions are shown in bright colors. Sucrose is shown in the active site as *yellow* and *red sticks* for carbon and oxygen atoms, respectively.





FIGURE 8. Comparison of the active sites of BRS-B, ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2 (PDB code 3TTQ) and GTF-180- ΔN glucansucrase (PDB code 3HZ3). a, superimposition of BRS-B with GTF-180- ΔN in complex with sucrose (PDB code 3HZ3). Side chains forming the subsites -1 and +1 are shown as sticks. Sucrose is depicted as yellow and red sticks. GTF-180- ΔN is represented in gray, whereas domains A and B of BRS-B are represented in blue and green, respectively. b, superimposition of BRS-B with ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2 structure (PDB code 3TTQ). ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2 is represented in gray. Domain A and domain B of BRS-B are represented in gray.

the BRS-B model displays loops in the vicinity of the catalytic gorge, which differs in length and amino acid composition in comparison with ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2. Of note, the β -hairpin loop partly covering the domain A of ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2 is absent in BRS-B. Near the subsite +1, two loops that belong to the domain B are shorter than in ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2 (G2130-W2135 and Q2158-F2163). In addition, in the catalytic gorge, the two loops connecting strand $\beta 4$ to helix $\alpha 5$ and strand $\beta 7$ to helix $\alpha 8$ of the $(\beta/\alpha)_8$ barrel are longer in BRS-B.

Then, we compared the active site residues delineating the subsites -1 and +1 of BRS-B with those of GTF-180- ΔN and ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2. As shown in Fig. 8, the residues of subsite -1 are all conserved. In subsite +1, BRS-B and ΔN_{123} -GBD-CD2 only differ by one residue: P711 is replaced by G2250 in ΔN_{123} -

GBD-CD2. In contrast, only a few residues constituting subsite +1 of GTF-180- Δ N are conserved in BRS-B (*i.e.* Q792, D788 and E709, BRS-B numbering). In particular, N1029 and W1065 of GTF-180- Δ N are not conserved in BRS-B. N1029 is replaced by Phe-675 (Fig. 8a). Notably, one phenylalanine was also found in Δ N₁₂₃-GBD-CD2, and was shown to be critical for dextran branching (12). Moreover, comparison of BRS-B and GTF-180 backbone, also revealed a rearrangement around residues A710 and P711that was observed in Δ N₁₂₃-GBD-CD2. This rearrangement was previously suggested to be responsible for a weaker binding of the fructosyl ring in branching sucrases (12). The identification of the determinants for α-(1→3) branching specificity remains difficult in the absence of three-dimensional structures of BRS-B in apo form or in complex with sucrose or





BRS-A and BRS-D




FIGURE 9. Analysis of the products synthesized using BRS-C and BRS-D enzymes from sucrose (292 mm) and dextran 1500 g·mol⁻¹ (66.6 mm). a, comparison of BRS-B and BRS-C HPAEC-PAD chromatograms. (1) Enzymatic reaction at the initial time (t = 0 min), (2) enzymatic reaction using BRS-B stopped after 8 h, and (3) enzymatic reaction using BRS-C stopped after 8 h. nC, nanocoulombs. b, comparison of BRS-A and BRS-D HPAEC-PAD chromatograms. (1) Enzymatic reaction at the initial time (t = 0 min), (2) enzymatic reaction using BRS-B stopped after 8 h, and (3) enzymatic reaction using BRS-C stopped after 8 h. G, peak corresponding to glucose fructose (F), leucrose (F), sucrose (F), and isomaltooligosaccharides with a degree of polymerization (F) of F0 of F1. Sucrose (F3), and BRS-C (F3) leucrose (F4) and BRS-C (F3) leucrose (F4) and F5. Inked glucosyl residues (F4) and F6. Inked glucosyl residues (F6) linked glucosyl residues (F7) linked glucosyl residues (F8) linked glucosyl the products synthesized by BRS-A (1) and BRS-D (2) at 500 MHz in D₂O. The ¹H NMR spectra of the BRS-A modified dextran displayed all the chemical shift characteristics of α -(1 \rightarrow 2)-branched dextran. The anomeric region of the spectrum contained three main resonances corresponding, respectively, to anomeric resonance of α -(1 \rightarrow 6)-linked D-Glcp residues of the main linear chain with free carbon 2 (4.98 ppm, peak C), to anomeric resonance from α -(1 \rightarrow 6)-linked p-Glcp residues of the main linear chain, with the carbon 2 involved in an α -(1 \rightarrow 2) linkage with a branched glucosyl unit (5.18 ppm, peak A), to anomeric resonance from α -(1 \rightarrow 2)-linked D-Glcp (5.11 ppm, branching points, *peak B*).

dextran. However, according to the structural comparison of branching enzymes and polymerases, amino acids can be pointed out that constitute interesting targets for mutation to assess their role in the α -(1 \rightarrow 3) branching specificity.

Searching for New Branching Sucrases in Public Databases— The emergence of natural branching activities through the isolation and characterization of BRS-A (21) and BRS-B in L. citreum raised the question of the representation of such type of activity in lactic acid bacteria clade. To shed some light on this issue, a blast search against public sequence databases were carried out to ascertain which sequences contain the residues uniquely conserved in branching enzyme catalytic cores. Two new putative branching sucrases (renamed BRS-C and BRS-D) were identified, one in Leuconostoc fallax KCTC3537 and the other in Lactobacillus kunkeei EFB6. The percentage of amino

acid identity and similarity with BRS-A and BRS-B is detailed in supporting information (supplemental Table S2), and the alignment of the conserved motifs is presented in Table 3. Based on the sequence alignment, the two putative branching sucrases are predicted to possess the catalytic triad DED and the five structural domains, A, B, C, IV, and V, specific to the GH70 family enzymes (supplemental Fig. S2). Similar to the characterized BRS-A (21) and BRS-B, YG repeats (36) were mainly identified at the N-terminal extremity of the protein. All newly identified genes encoding putative branching sucrases are located on the reverse strand of their genome, as previously observed for brsA in L. citreum NRRL B-1299 genome (21) and brsB in L. citreum NRRL B-742 genome. All of them are organized in tandem with another putative glucansucrase (supplemental Fig. S3).




FIGURE 10. **Phylogenetic tree of GH70 enzymes.** Each enzyme is labeled with its GenBankTM accession number and its origin. The species of microorganism are indicated with *Ln*. for *Leuconostoc*, *Lb*. for *Lactobacillus*, *S*. for *Streptococcus*, and *W*. for *Weissella*. Glucansucrase enzymes are highlighted in *green*, α -4,6 glucanotransferases are in *pink*, and branching sucrases are in *bold* and *purple*. The *scale bar* corresponds to a genetic distance of 0.05 substitutions per position.

Characterization of Two New Putative Branching Sucrases and Phylogenetic Analysis—The genes encoding the putative branching sucrases were expressed in *E. coli*. The production levels of the two new proteins are reported in supplemental Table S3. As already observed for BRS-A, BRS-B, and GBD-CD2, the putative BRS-C and BRS-D mainly produced glucose, leucrose, and a low proportion of small oligosaccharides from sucrose substrate (for detailed production yields, see supplemental Table S4). Acceptor reactions were then performed using sucrose and a small dextran of 1500 g·mol⁻¹ as an acceptor. At the end of the reaction, HPAEC-PAD and H1 NMR analyses demonstrated that dextran was modified by BRS-C and BRS-D (Fig. 9). BRS-C from L. fallax KCTC 3537 catalyzed α -(1 \rightarrow 3) transglucosylation from sucrose to dextrans, whereas BRS-D from *L. kunkeei* EFB6 was specific for α -(1 \rightarrow 2) transglucosylation. These findings reveal for the first time that the branching specificity is not restricted to *L. citreum* sp. but is also present in *L. fallax* as well as in *L. kunkeei*. Interestingly, the phylogenetic analyses show that the branching sucrases cluster together in a distinct branch (Fig. 10). In addition, the branching sucrase subtree is divided into two subgroups,

one corresponding to enzymes showing an α - $(1\rightarrow 2)$ linkage specificity and the other to enzymes with α - $(1\rightarrow 3)$ linkage specificity.

The discovery of the new branching sucrases expands the natural repertoire of the characterized GH70 α -transglucosylases. For years the GH70 family has been mainly known to house glucansucrases. Recently, a new subgroup was disclosed that contains 4,6- α glucanotransferases, a type of α -transglucosylases that uses α -(1 \rightarrow 4)-linked glucans as a donor instead of sucrose (42, 43). According to our biochemical and phylogenetic analyses, branching sucrases could also constitute a new GH70 subgroup. Five enzymes of this group have now been characterized, including the engineered α -(1 \rightarrow 2) branching sucrase GBD-CD2 (19, 20), BRS-A (21), BRS-B, BRS-C, and BRS-D.

The route is now opened to promising structure-function relationship studies that will aim at identifying the structural determinants responsible for polymerization or branching ability and linkage specificity. Despite common structural motifs with GH70 glucansucrases, the branching sucrases also display clear distinctive features. According to sequence analyses, dif-

ferences have been highlighted in the substrate binding subsite +1, which can be used to rapidly sort out branching sucrases from genomic data. Mutational and structural studies will now be necessary to better define the signature of the branching specificity and to provide further insight into the branching mechanism. Finally, a broad variety of branched dextrans varying in terms of size, osidic linkage and branching degree can be easily synthesized using these enzymes, emphasizing the potential of GH70 enzymes to access new structures of biotechnological interest.

Author Contributions—M. V., P. M., M. C., and M. R.-S. planned the experiments. M. V., M. C., Y. B., E. S., P. B., and S. M. performed the experiments. M. V., Y. B., S. M., C. M., and M. R.-S. analyzed the data. M. V., Y. B., C. M., and M. R.-S. wrote the paper. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments—We are grateful to MetaSys, the Metabolomics and Fluxomics Center at the Laboratory for engineering of Biological Systems and Processes (Toulouse, France) for NMR experiments. We thank the ICEO facility, which is dedicated to enzyme screening and discovery and part of the Integrated Screening Platform of Toulouse (PICT, IBiSA) for providing access to HPLC equipment and protein purification systems.

References

- 1. Hucker, G., and Perderson, C. (1930) Studies on the coccaceae. XVI. The genus Leuconostoc. Tech. Bull. N. Y. Agric Exp. Stn. 167
- 2. Van Tieghem, P. (1878) On sugar-mill gum. Ann. Sci. Nat. Bot. Biol. Végétales. 7, 180-203
- 3. De Belder, A. (2003) Dextran, AA Editions, Handbook Amersham Biosciences
- 4. Bounaix, M.-S., Gabriel, V., Morel, S., Robert, H., Rabier, P., Remaud-Siméon, M., Gabriel, B., and Fontagné-Faucher, C. (2009) Biodiversity of exopolysaccharides produced from sucrose by sourdough lactic acid bacteria. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 10889-10897
- 5. Côté, G. L., and Robyt, J. F. (1983) The formation of α -D-(1 \rightarrow 3) branch linkages by an exocellular glucan sucrase from $Leuconostoc\ mesenteroides$ NRRL B-742. Carbohydr. Res. 119, 141-156
- 6. Jeanes, A., and Seymour, F. R. (1979) The α -D-glucopyranosidic linkages of dextrans: comparison of percentages from structural analysis by periodate oxidation and by methylation. Carbohydr. Res. 74, 31-40
- 7. Remaud, M., Paul, F., Monsan, P., Lopez-Munguia, A., and Vignon, M. (1992) Characterization of α -(1 \rightarrow 3) branched oligosaccharides synthesized by acceptor reaction with the extracellular glucosyltransferases from L. mesenteroides NRRL B742. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 11, 359-378
- 8. Robyt, J. F. (1985) Encyclopedia of Polymer Science, (Kroschwitz, J. I., ed) Wiley VCH, New York
- 9. Seymour, F. K., Knapp, R. D., Chen, E. C. M., Bishop, S. H., and Jeanes, A. (1979) Structural analysis of Leuconostoc dextrans containing 3-O-α-Dglucosylated α -D-glucosyl residues in both linear-chain and branch-point positions, or only in branch-point positions, by methylation and by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. Carbohydr. Res. 74, 41-62
- 10. Leemhuis, H., Pijning, T., Dobruchowska, J. M., van Leeuwen, S. S., Kralj, S., Dijkstra, B. W., and Dijkhuizen, L. (2013) Glucansucrases: three-dimensional structures, reactions, mechanism, α -glucan analysis, and their implications in biotechnology and food applications. J. Biotechnol. 163, 250-272
- 11. Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P. M., and Henrissat, B. (2014) The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, 490 – 495
- 12. Brison, Y., Pijning, T., Malbert, Y., Fabre, É., Mourey, L., Morel, S., Potocki-Véronèse, G., Monsan, P., Tranier, S., Remaud-Siméon, M., and Dijkstra, B. W. (2012) Functional and structural characterization of a-1,2 branching sucrase derived from DSR-E glucansucrase. J. Biol. Chem. 287,

- 13. Ito, K., Ito, S., Shimamura, T., Weyand, S., Kawarasaki, Y., Misaka, T., Abe, K., Kobayashi, T., Cameron, A. D., and Iwata, S. (2011) Crystal structure of glucansucrase from the dental caries pathogen Streptococcus mutans. J. Mol. Biol. 408, 177-186
- 14. Pijning, T., Vujičić-Žagar, A., Kralj, S., Dijkhuizen, L., and Dijkstra, B. W. (2012) Structure of the α -1,6/ α -1,4-specific glucan sucrase GTFA from Lactobacillus reuteri 121. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F Struct. Biol. Cryst. Com*mun.* **68,** 1448 –1454
- 15. Vujicic-Zagar, A., Pijning, T., Kralj, S., López, C. A., Eeuwema, W., Dijkhuizen, L., and Dijkstra, B. W. (2010) Crystal structure of a 117-kDa glucan sucrase fragment provides insight into evolution and product specificity of GH70 enzymes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107, 21406-21411
- 16. Moulis, C., Joucla, G., Harrison, D., Fabre, E., Potocki-Veronese, G., Monsan, P., and Remaud-Simeon, M. (2006) Understanding the polymerization mechanism of glycoside-hydrolase family 70 Glucansucrases. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 31254-31267
- 17. Mooser, G., Hefta, S. A., Paxton, R. J., Shively, J. E., and Lee, T. D. (1991) Isolation and sequence of an active-site peptide containing a catalytic aspartic acid from two *Streptococcus sobrinus* α -glucosyltransferases. J. Biol. Chem. 266, 8916 - 8922
- 18. MacGregor, E. A., Jespersen, H. M., and Svensson, B. (1996) A circularly permuted α -amylase-type α/β -barrel structure in glucan-synthesizing glucosyltransferases. FEBS Lett. 378, 263-266
- Fabre, E., Bozonnet, S., Arcache, A., Willemot, R.-M., Vignon, M., Monsan, P., and Remaud-Simeon, M. (2005) Role of the two catalytic domains of DSR-E dextransucrase and their involvement in the formation of highly α -1,2 branched dextran. *J. Bacteriol.* **187,** 296 – 303
- 20. Brison, Y., Fabre, E., Moulis, C., Portais, J.-C., Monsan, P., and Remaud-Siméon, M. (2010) Synthesis of dextrans with controlled amounts of α -1,2 linkages using the transglucosidase GBD-CD2. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 86,
- 21. Passerini, D., Vuillemin, M., Ufarté, L., Morel, S., Loux, V., Fontagné-Faucher, C., Monsan, P., Remaud-Siméon, M., and Moulis, C. (2015) Inventory of the GH70 enzymes encoded by Leuconostoc citreum NRRL B-1299: identification of three novel α -transglucosylases. FEBS J. 282, 2115–2130
- 22. Jeanes, A., Haynes, W. C., Wilham, C. A., Rankin, J. C., Melvin, E. H., Austin, M. J., Cluskey, J. E., Fisher, B. E., Tsuchiya, H. M., and Rist, C. E. (1954) Characterization and classification of dextrans from ninety-six strains of bacteria1b. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 76, 5041-5052
- 23. Chung, C. H., and Day, D. F. (2004) Efficacy of Leuconostoc mesenteroides (ATCC 13146) isomaltooligosaccharides as a poultry prebiotic. Poult. Sci. 83, 1302-1306
- 24. Chung, C.-H., and Day, D. F. (2002) Glucooligosaccharides from Leuconostoc mesenteroides B-742 (ATCC 13146): a potential prebiotic. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 29, 196-199
- 25. Kim, D., and Robyt, J. F. (1995) Production, selection, and characteristics of mutants of Leuconostoc mesenteroides B-742 constitutive for dextransucrases. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 17, 689-695
- 26. Kim, H., Kim, D., Ryu, H. J., and Robyt, J. F. (2000) Cloning and sequencing of the α -1-> 6 dextransucrase gene from Leuconostoc mesenteroides B-742CB. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 10, 559 - 563
- 27. Passerini, D., Vuillemin, M., Laguerre, S., Amari, M., Loux, V., Gabriel, V., Robert, H., Morel, S., Monsan, P., Gabriel, B., Fontagné-Faucher, C., Remaud-Simeon, M., and Moulis, C. (2014) Complete genome sequence of Leuconostoc citreum strain NRRL B-742. Genome Announc. 2, e01179-14
- 28. Studier, F. W. (2005) Protein production by auto-induction in high-density shaking cultures. Protein Expr. Purif. 41, 207-234
- 29. Miller, G. L. (1959) Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar. Anal. Chem. 31, 426-428
- 30. Irague, R., Massou, S., Moulis, C., Saurel, O., Milon, A., Monsan, P., Remaud-Siméon, M., Portais, J.-C., and Potocki-Véronèse, G. (2011) NMRbased structural glycomics for high-throughput screening of carbohydrate-active enzyme specificity. Anal. Chem. 83, 1202-1206
- 31. Edgar, R. C. (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 1792-1797
- 32. Biasini, M., Bienert, S., Waterhouse, A., Arnold, K., Studer, G., Schmidt, T., Kiefer, F., Cassarino, T. G., Bertoni, M., Bordoli, L., and Schwede, T.



- (2014) SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, W252-W258
- 33. Fraga Vidal, R., Moulis, C., Escalier, P., Remaud-Siméon, M., and Monsan, P. (2011) Isolation of a gene from Leuconostoc citreum B/110-1-2 encoding a novel dextransucrase Enzyme. Curr. Microbiol. 62, 1260-1266
- 34. Monchois, V., Willemot, R.-M., Remaud-Simeon, M., Croux, C., and Monsan, P. (1996) Cloning and sequencing of a gene coding for a novel dextransucrase from Leuconostoc mesenteroides NRRL B-1299 synthesizing only $\alpha(1-6)$ and $\alpha(1-3)$ linkages. Gene 182, 23–32
- 35. Bozonnet, S., Dols-Laffargue, M., Fabre, E., Pizzut, S., Remaud-Simeon, M., Monsan, P., and Willemot, R.-M. (2002) Molecular characterization of DSR-E, an α -1,2 linkage-synthesizing dextransucrase with two catalytic domains. J. Bacteriol. 184, 5753-5761
- 36. Giffard, P. M., and Jacques, N. A. (1994) Definition of a fundamental repeating unit in streptococcal glucosyltransferase glucan-binding regions and related sequences. J. Dent. Res. 73, 1133-1141
- 37. Argüello-Morales, M. A., Remaud-Simeon, M., Pizzut, S., Sarçabal, P., Willemot, R., and Monsan, P. (2000) Sequence analysis of the gene encoding alternansucrase, a sucrose glucosyltransferase from Leuconostoc mesenteroides NRRL B-1355. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 182, 81-85
- 38. Janecek, S., Svensson, B., and Russell, R. R. (2000) Location of repeat ele-

- ments in glucansucrases of Leuconostoc and Streptococcus species. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 192, 53-57
- 39. Joucla, G., Pizzut, S., Monsan, P., and Remaud-Simeon, M. (2006) Construction of a fully active truncated alternansucrase partially deleted of its carboxyl-terminal domain. FEBS Lett. 580, 763-768
- 40. Maina, N. H., Tenkanen, M., Maaheimo, H., Juvonen, R., and Virkki, L. (2008) NMR spectroscopic analysis of exopolysaccharides produced by Leuconostoc citreum and Weissella confusa. Carbohydr. Res. 343, 1446-1455
- 41. Olivares-Illana, V., López-Munguía, A., and Olvera, C. (2003) Molecular characterization of inulosucrase from Leuconostoc citreum: a fructosyltransferase within a glucosyltransferase. J. Bacteriol. 185, 3606-3612
- 42. Kralj, S., Grijpstra, P., van Leeuwen, S. S., Leemhuis, H., Dobruchowska, J. M., van der Kaaij, R. M., Malik, A., Oetari, A., Kamerling, J. P., and Dijkhuizen, L. (2011) 4,6- α -Glucanotransferase, a novel enzyme that structurally and functionally provides an evolutionary link between glycoside hydrolase enzyme families 13 and 70. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 8154-8163
- 43. Leemhuis, H., Dijkman, W. P., Dobruchowska, J. M., Pijning, T., Grijpstra, P., Kralj, S., Kamerling, J. P., and Dijkhuizen, L. (2013) 4,6- α -Glucanotransferase activity occurs more widespread in Lactobacillus strains and constitutes a separate GH70 subfamily. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 97,