



HAL
open science

Cauchy theory and exponential stability for inhomogeneous boltzmann equation for hard potentials without cut-off

Frédéric Hérau, Daniela Tonon, Isabelle Tristani

► **To cite this version:**

Frédéric Hérau, Daniela Tonon, Isabelle Tristani. Cauchy theory and exponential stability for inhomogeneous boltzmann equation for hard potentials without cut-off. 2017. hal-01599973v1

HAL Id: hal-01599973

<https://hal.science/hal-01599973v1>

Preprint submitted on 2 Oct 2017 (v1), last revised 18 Nov 2020 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CAUCHY THEORY AND EXPONENTIAL STABILITY FOR INHOMOGENEOUS BOLTZMANN EQUATION FOR HARD POTENTIALS WITHOUT CUT-OFF

FRÉDÉRIC HÉRAU, DANIELA TONON, AND ISABELLE TRISTANI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate both the problems of Cauchy theory and exponential stability for the inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off. We only deal with the physical case of hard potentials type interactions (with a moderate angular singularity). We prove a result of existence and uniqueness of solutions in a close-to-equilibrium regime for this equation in weighted Sobolev spaces with a polynomial weight, contrary to previous works on the subject, all developed with a weight prescribed by the equilibrium. It is the first result in this more physically relevant framework for this equation. Moreover, we prove an exponential stability for such a solution, with a rate as close as we want to the optimal rate given by the semigroup decay of the linearized equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 76P05 Rarefied gas flows, Boltzmann equation; 47H20 Semigroups of nonlinear operators; 35B40 Asymptotic behavior of solutions.

Keywords: Boltzmann equation without cut-off; hard potentials; Cauchy theory; spectral gap; dissipativity; exponential rate of convergence; long-time asymptotic.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. The model	2
1.2. Function spaces	4
1.3. Notations	5
1.4. Main results and known results	5
2. Preliminaries on the Boltzmann collision operator	9
2.1. Homogeneous estimates	9
2.2. Non homogeneous estimates	12
3. The linearized equation	19
3.1. Functional spaces	19
3.2. Main results on the linearized operator	19
3.3. Splitting of the linearized operator	20
3.4. Dissipativity properties	21
3.5. Regularization properties	40
3.6. Proof of Theorem 3.1	42

Date: October 2, 2017.

4.	The nonlinear equation	42
4.1.	Functional spaces	42
4.2.	Dissipative norm for the whole linearized operator	43
4.3.	Proof of Theorem 1.1	44
	References	46

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The model. In the present paper, we investigate the Cauchy theory and the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off, that is, for long-range interactions. Previous works have shown that there exist solutions in a close-to-equilibrium regime but in spaces of type $H^q(e^{|v|^2/2})$ which are very restrictive. Here, we are interested in improving this result in the following sense: we enlarge the space in which we develop a Cauchy theory in several ways, we do not require any assumption on the derivatives in velocity and more importantly, our weight is polynomial. We thus only require a condition of finite moments on our data, which is more physically relevant. Moreover, we jointly obtain a convergence to equilibrium for the solutions that we construct with an exponential and explicit rate.

We consider particles described by their space inhomogeneous distribution density $f = f(t, x, v)$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the time, $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$ the position and $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the velocity. We hence study the so-called spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation:

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f).$$

The Boltzmann collision operator is defined as

$$Q(g, f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) [g'_* f' - g_* f] d\sigma dv_*.$$

Here and below, we are using the shorthand notations $f = f(v)$, $g_* = g(v_*)$, $f' = f(v')$ and $g'_* = g(v'_*)$. In this expression, v, v_* and v', v'_* are the velocities of a pair of particles after and before collision. We make a choice of parametrization of the set of solutions to the conservation of momentum and energy (physical law of elastic collisions):

$$\begin{aligned} v + v_* &= v' + v'_*, \\ |v|^2 + |v_*|^2 &= |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that the pre-collisional velocities are given by:

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2} \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^2.$$

The Boltzmann collision kernel $B(v - v_*, \sigma)$ only depends on the relative velocity $|v - v_*|$ and on the deviation angle θ through $\cos \theta = \langle \kappa, \sigma \rangle$ where $\kappa = (v - v_*)/|v - v_*|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual scalar product in \mathbb{R}^3 . By a symmetry argument, one can always reduce to the case where $B(v - v_*, \sigma)$ is supported on $\langle \kappa, \sigma \rangle \geq 0$ i.e. $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$. So, without loss of generality, we make this assumption.

In this paper, we shall be concerned with the case when the kernel B satisfies the following conditions:

- it takes product form in its arguments as

$$(1.2) \quad B(v - v_*, \sigma) = \Phi(|v - v_*|) b(\cos \theta);$$

- the angular function b is locally smooth, and has a nonintegrable singularity for $\theta \rightarrow 0$: it satisfies for some $c_b > 0$ and $s \in (0, 1/2)$ (moderate angular singularity)

$$(1.3) \quad \forall \theta \in (0, \pi/2], \quad \frac{c_b}{\theta^{1+2s}} \leq \sin \theta b(\cos \theta) \leq \frac{1}{c_b \theta^{1+2s}};$$

- the kinetic factor Φ satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(|v - v_*|) = |v - v_*|^\gamma \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma \in (0, 1),$$

this assumption could be relaxed to assuming only that Φ satisfies $\Phi(\cdot) = C_\Phi |\cdot|^\gamma$ for some $C_\Phi > 0$.

Our main physical motivation comes from particles interacting according to a repulsive potential of the form

$$(1.5) \quad \phi(r) = r^{-(p-1)}, \quad p \in (2, +\infty).$$

The assumptions made on B throughout the paper include the case of potentials of the form (1.5) with $p > 5$. Indeed, for repulsive potentials of the form (1.5), the collision kernel cannot be computed explicitly but Maxwell [24] has shown that the collision kernel can be computed in terms of the interaction potential ϕ . More precisely, it satisfies the previous conditions (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) in dimension 3 (see [14, 15, 33]) with $s := \frac{1}{p-1} \in (0, 1)$ and $\gamma := \frac{p-5}{p-1} \in (-3, 1)$.

One traditionally calls *hard potentials* the case $p > 5$ (for which $0 < \gamma < 1$), *Maxwell molecules* the case $p = 5$ (for which $\gamma = 0$) and *soft potentials* the case $2 < p < 5$ (for which $-3 < \gamma < 0$). We can hence deduce that our assumptions made on B include the case of hard potentials.

Let us give a weak formulation of the collision operator Q . For any suitable test function $\varphi = \varphi(v)$, we have:

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f)(v) \varphi(v) dv = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) [f'_* f' - f_* f] (\varphi + \varphi_* - \varphi' - \varphi'_*) d\sigma dv_* dv.$$

From this formula, we can deduce some features of equation (1.1): it preserves mass, momentum and energy. Indeed, at least formally, we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(f, f)(v) \varphi(v) dv = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi(v) = 1, v, |v|^2;$$

from which we deduce that a solution f_t to equation (1.1) is conservative, meaning that

$$(1.7) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, v) \varphi(v) dv dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_0(x, v) \varphi(v) dv dx \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi(v) = 1, v, |v|^2.$$

We introduce the entropy $H(f) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f \log(f) dv dx$ and the entropy production $D(f)$ defined through:

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} D(f) &:= -\frac{d}{dt} H(f) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) (f' f'_* - f f_*) \log \frac{f' f'_*}{f f_*} d\sigma dv_* dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

Boltzmann's H theorem asserts that

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} H(f) = -D(f) \leq 0$$

and states that any equilibrium (i.e. any distribution which maximizes the entropy) is a Maxwellian distribution. Moreover, it is known that global equilibria of (1.1) are global Maxwellian distributions that are independent of time t and position x . In this paper, we shall only consider the case of an initial datum satisfying

$$(1.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_0 \, dv \, dx = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_0 v \, dv \, dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} f_0 |v|^2 \, dv \, dx = 3,$$

and therefore consider μ the Maxwellian with same mass, momentum and energy as f_0 :

$$\mu(v) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{-|v|^2/2}.$$

1.2. Function spaces. Through all the paper, we shall consider function of two variables $f = f(x, v)$ with $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Let $\nu = \nu(v)$ be a positive Borel weight function and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We define the space $L_{x,v}^p(\nu)$ as the Lebesgue space associated to the norm, for $f = f(x, v)$,

$$\|f\|_{L_{x,v}^p(\nu)} := \left\| \|f\|_{L_v^p(\nu)} \right\|_{L_x^p} := \left\| \|\nu f\|_{L_v^p} \right\|_{L_x^p}$$

which writes if $p < \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_{x,v}^p(\nu)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_x^3} \|f(x, \cdot)\|_{L_v^p(\nu)}^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}_x^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}_v^3} |f(x, v)|^p \nu(v)^p \, dv \, dx \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

We define the high-order Sobolev spaces $H_x^n H_v^\ell(\nu)$, for $n, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(1.11) \quad \|f\|_{H_x^n H_v^\ell(\nu)} := \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n, |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq \max(\ell, n)} \|\partial_v^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (f\nu)\|_{L_{x,v}^2}.$$

This definition reduces to the usual weighted Sobolev space $H_{x,v}^\ell(\nu)$ when $\ell = n$. We also introduce the fractional Sobolev space $H_{x,v}^{r,\zeta}(\nu)$ for $r, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ associated to the norm:

$$(1.12) \quad \|f\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,\zeta}(\nu)}^2 := \|f\nu\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,\zeta}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2)^r (1 + |\eta|^2)^\zeta |\widehat{f\nu}(\xi, \eta)|^2 \, d\eta \, d\xi$$

where the hat corresponds to the Fourier transform in both x (with corresponding variable $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}^3$) and v (with corresponding variable $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$). When $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we can also define the space $H_{x,v}^{r,\zeta}(\nu)$ through the norm:

$$(1.13) \quad \|f\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,\zeta}(\nu)}^2 := \sum_{0 \leq j \leq r} \int_{\mathbb{T}_x^3} \|\nabla_x^j f\|_{H_v^\zeta(\nu)}^2 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq r} \|\nabla_x^j f\|_{L_x^2 H_v^\zeta(\nu)}^2.$$

In this case, the norms given by (1.12) and (1.13) are equivalent. We won't make any difference in the notation and will use one norm or the other at our convenience. It won't have any impact on our estimates since it will only add multiplicative universal constants. Finally, denoting for $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_v^\zeta(\nu)}^2 := \|f\nu\|_{\dot{H}_v^\zeta}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\eta|^{2\zeta} |\widehat{f\nu}(\eta)|^2 \, d\eta,$$

we introduce the space $\dot{H}_{x,v}^{n,\varsigma}(\nu)$ for $(n, \varsigma) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ defined through the norm:

$$(1.14) \quad \|f\|_{\dot{H}_{x,v}^{n,\varsigma}(\nu)}^2 := \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{T}_x^3} \|\nabla_x^j f\|_{\dot{H}_v^\varsigma(\nu)}^2 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|\nabla_x^j f\|_{L_x^2 \dot{H}_v^\varsigma(\nu)}^2.$$

Notice also that in the case $\varsigma = 0$, the spaces $H_x^n L_v^2(\nu)$ and $H_{x,v}^{n,0}(\nu)$ associated respectively to the norms given by (1.11) and (1.13) are the same.

Finally, we introduce some “twisted” Sobolev spaces (useful for the development of our Cauchy theory in Section 4), we denote them $\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{n,\varsigma}(\nu)$ for $(n, \varsigma) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and they are associated to the norm:

$$(1.15) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{n,\varsigma}(\nu)}^2 := \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \int_{\mathbb{T}_x^3} \|\nabla_x^j f\|_{H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{-2js\nu})}^2 = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} \|\nabla_x^j f\|_{L_x^2 H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{-2js\nu})}^2$$

where s is the angular singularity of the Boltzmann kernel introduced in (1.3) and $\langle v \rangle = (1 + |v|^2)^{1/2}$. For the case $\varsigma = 0$, since the notation is consistent, we will use the notation $\mathcal{H}_x^n L_v^2(\nu)$ or $\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{n,0}(\nu)$ indifferently.

1.3. Notations. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and consider a linear operator $\Lambda : X \rightarrow X$. When defined, we shall denote by $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t) = e^{t\Lambda}$ the semigroup generated by Λ . Moreover we denote by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ the space of bounded linear operators from X to Y and by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(X, Y)}$ its norm operator, with the usual simplification $\mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X, X)$.

For simplicity of notations, hereafter, we denote $\langle v \rangle = (1 + |v|^2)^{1/2}$; $a \approx b$ means that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 b \leq a \leq c_2 b$; we shall use the same notation C for positive constants that may change from line to line or abbreviate “ $\leq C$ ” to “ \lesssim ”, where C is a positive constant depending only on fixed number.

In what follows, we denote $m(v) := \langle v \rangle^k$ with $k > 0$, the range of admissible k will be specified throughout the paper.

1.4. Main results and known results.

1.4.1. Cauchy theory and convergence to equilibrium. We state now the main result on the fully nonlinear problem (1.1). We denote $X := \mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)$ and $Y := \mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{3,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)$ (see (1.15) for the definition of those spaces).

Theorem 1.1. *Consider $m(v) = \langle v \rangle^k$ with $k > 5\gamma/2 + 8 + 24s$. We assume that f_0 has same mass, momentum and energy as μ (i.e. satisfies (1.10)). There is a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $\|f_0 - \mu\|_X \leq \varepsilon_0$, then there exists a unique global weak solution f to the Boltzmann equation (1.1), which satisfies, for some constant $C > 0$,*

$$\|f - \mu\|_{L^\infty([0,\infty); X)} + \|f - \mu\|_{L^2([0,\infty); Y)} \leq C\varepsilon_0.$$

Moreover, this solution satisfies the following estimate: for any $0 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|f(t) - \mu\|_X \leq C e^{-\lambda_2 t} \|f_0 - \mu\|_X,$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0$ is the optimal rate given by the semigroup decay of the associated linearized operator in Theorem 3.1.

We refer to Remark 4.1 in which the imposed condition on the power k of our weight is explained. Let us now comment our result and give an overview on the previous works on the Cauchy theory for the inhomogeneous Boltzmann equation. For general large data, we refer to the paper of DiPerna-Lions [18] for global existence of the so-called renormalized

solutions in the case of the Boltzmann equation with cut-off. This notion of solution has been extended to the case of long-range interactions by Alexandre-Villani [8] where they construct global renormalized solutions with a defect measure. We also mention the work of Desvillettes-Villani [17] that proves the convergence to equilibrium of a priori smooth solutions for both Boltzmann and Landau equations for general initial data.

In a close-to-equilibrium framework, Gressman and Strain [19] in parallel with Alexandre et al. [6] have developed a Cauchy theory in spaces of type $H_x^n H_v^\ell(\mu^{-1/2})$. One of the famous difficulty of the Boltzmann equation without cut-off is to well understand coercivity estimates. In both papers [6] and [19], the gain induced is seen and understood through a non-isotropic norm. Our strategy is a bit different since we exploit the fact that the linearized Boltzmann operator can be seen as a pseudo-differential operator in order to understand the gain induced by the linearized operator. It allows us to obtain regularization estimates (quantified in time) on the semigroup associated to the linearized operator. We refer to the paper of the same authors [22] for more details on the subject. To end this brief review, we also refer to a series of papers by Alexandre et al. [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] in which the Boltzmann equation without cut-off is studied in various aspects (different type of collision kernels, Cauchy theory in exponentially weighted spaces, regularity of the solutions etc...).

Let us underline the fact that Theorem 1.1 largely improves previous results on the Cauchy theory associated to the Boltzmann equation without cut-off for hard potentials in a perturbative setting. Indeed, we have enlarged the space in which the Cauchy theory has been developed in the sense that the weight of our space is much less restrictive (it is polynomial instead of the inverse Maxwellian equilibrium) and we also require few assumptions on the derivatives, in particular no derivatives in the velocity variable. However, we need three derivatives in the space variable (Gressman and Strain only require two derivatives in x in [19]): this is the counterpart of the gain in weight we have obtained. Indeed, our framework is less favorable and needs more attention due to the lack of symmetry of the operator in our spaces to obtain nonlinear estimates on the Boltzmann collision operator. And thus, to close our estimates, we require regularity on three derivatives in x .

Our strategy is based on the study of the linearized equation. And then, we go back to the fully nonlinear problem. This is a standard strategy to develop a Cauchy theory in a close-to-equilibrium regime. However, we point out that our study of the nonlinear problem is very tricky. Indeed, usually (for example in the case of the non-homogeneous Boltzmann equation for hard spheres in [20]), the gain induced by the linear part of the equation is enough to directly control the loss due to the nonlinear part of the equation so that the linear part is dominant and thus dictates the dynamics of the equation. In our case, it is more difficult because the gain induced by the linear part is not strong enough and it is not possible to conclude using only natural estimates on the Boltzmann collision operator (this fact was for example pointed out by Mouhot and Neumann in [29]). As a consequence, we establish some new very accurate estimates on the Boltzmann collision operator (see Lemma 2.3). We also have to study very carefully the regularization properties of the semigroup associated to the linearized operator: to this end, we use results from the same authors [22] in which the linearized Boltzmann operator is seen as a pseudo-differential operator, following the framework introduced in [2] by Alexandre, Li and the first author. Also, in the spirit of what was done in [13] by Carrapatoso, Wu and the third author, we work in Sobolev spaces in which the weights depend on the order of

the derivative in the space variable. Those key elements allow us to close our estimates and thus, to develop our Cauchy theory in our “twisted” Sobolev spaces.

1.4.2. *The linearized equation.* The linearized operator around equilibrium is defined at first order through

$$\Lambda h := Q(\mu, h) + Q(h, \mu) - v \cdot \nabla_x h.$$

We study spectral properties of the linearized operator Λ in various weighted Sobolev spaces of type $H_x^n H_v^\ell(\langle v \rangle^k)$ up to $L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^k)$ for k large enough. It is important to highlight the fact that, in order to take advantage of symmetry properties, most of the previous studies have been made in Sobolev weighted spaces of type $H_{x,v}^q(\mu^{-1/2})$. We largely improve these previous results in the sense that we are able to get similar spectral estimates in larger Sobolev spaces, with a polynomial weight and with less assumptions on the derivatives. Here is a rough version of the main result (Theorem 3.1) that we obtain on the linearized operator Λ :

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{E} be one of the admissible spaces defined in (3.4). Then, there exist explicit constants $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $C \geq 1$ such that*

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{E}, \quad \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)h - \Pi_0 h\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq C e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|h - \Pi_0 h\|_{\mathcal{E}},$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)$ is the semigroup associated to Λ and Π_0 the projector onto the null space of Λ defined by (1.18).

As mentioned above, the operator Λ (and its homogeneous version $\mathcal{L}h := Q(\mu, h) + Q(h, \mu)$) has already been widely studied. Let us first briefly review the existing results concerning spectral gap estimates for the homogeneous case. Pao [31] studied spectral properties of the linearized operator \mathcal{L} for hard potentials by non-constructive and very technical means. This article was reviewed by Klaus [23]. Then, Baranger and Mouhot gave the first explicit estimate on this spectral gap in [9] for hard potentials ($\gamma > 0$). If we denote \mathcal{D} the Dirichlet form associated to $-\mathcal{L}$:

$$\mathcal{D}(h) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\mathcal{L}h) h \mu^{-1},$$

and $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})^\perp$ the orthogonal of the null space of \mathcal{L} , $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})$ which is given by

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Span}\{\mu, v_1 \mu, v_2 \mu, v_3 \mu, |v|^2 \mu\},$$

the Dirichlet form \mathcal{D} satisfies

$$(1.16) \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})^\perp, \quad \mathcal{D}(h) \geq \lambda_0 \|h\|_{L^2(\mu^{-1/2})}^2,$$

for some constructive constant $\lambda_0 > 0$. This result was then improved by Mouhot [27] and later by Mouhot and Strain [30]. In the last paper, it was conjectured that a spectral gap exists if and only if $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$. This conjecture was finally proven by Gressman and Strain in [19]. Finally, let us point out that the analysis that we carry on can be seen as the sequel of the one handled in [32] by the third author which focuses on the homogeneous linearized operator \mathcal{L} . We also improve it in several aspects: we are able to deal with the spatial dependency and we are able to do computations in L^2 (only the L^1 -case was treated in the latter).

Concerning the non-homogeneous case, we state here a result coming from Mouhot and Neumann [29] (which takes advantage of the results proven in [9] by Baranger and Mouhot), it gives us a spectral gap estimate in $H_{x,v}^q(\mu^{-1/2})$, $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, thanks to hypocoercivity methods. Let us underline the fact that it provides us the existence of spectral gap and

an estimate on the semigroup decay associated to Λ in the “small” space $E = H_{x,v}^q(\mu^{-1/2})$, which is a crucial point in view of applying the enlargement theorem of [20]. It is also important to precise that Mouhot and Neumann [29] only obtain a result on the linearized operator, they are not able to go back to the nonlinear problem.

Theorem 1.3 ([29]). *Consider $E := H_{x,v}^q(\mu^{-1/2})$ with $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, there exists a constructive constant $\lambda_0 > 0$ (spectral gap) such that Λ satisfies on E :*

- (i) *the spectrum $\Sigma(\Lambda) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z \leq -\lambda_0\} \cup \{0\}$;*
- (ii) *the null space $N(\Lambda)$ is given by*

$$(1.17) \quad N(\Lambda) = \text{Span}\{\mu, v_1\mu, v_2\mu, v_3\mu, |v|^2\mu\},$$

and the projection Π_0 onto $N(\Lambda)$ by

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pi_0 h = & \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h \, dv \, dx \right) \mu + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} v_i h \, dv \, dx \right) v_i \mu \\ & \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2 - 3}{6} h \, dv \, dx \right) \frac{(|v|^2 - 3)}{6} \mu; \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) *Λ is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)$ that satisfies*

$$(1.19) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall h \in E, \quad \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)h - \Pi_0 h\|_E \leq e^{-\lambda_0 t} \|h - \Pi_0 h\|_E.$$

To prove Theorem 1.2, our strategy follows the one initiated by Mouhot in [28] for the homogeneous Boltzmann equation for hard potentials with cut-off. This argument has then been developed and extended in an abstract setting by Gualdani, Mischler and Mouhot [20], and Mischler and Mouhot [25]. Let us describe in more details this strategy. We want to apply the abstract theorem of enlargement of the space of semigroup decay from [20, 25] to our linearized operator Λ . We shall deduce the spectral/semigroup estimates of Theorem 1.2 on “large spaces” \mathcal{E} using the already known spectral gap estimates for Λ on $H_{x,v}^\ell(\mu^{-1/2})$, for $\ell \geq 1$, described in Theorem 1.3. Roughly speaking, to do that, we have to find a splitting of Λ into two operators $\Lambda = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ which satisfy some properties. The first part \mathcal{A} has to be bounded, the second one \mathcal{B} has to have some dissipativity properties, and also the operator $(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{B}(t))$ is required to have some regularization properties.

We end this introduction by describing the organization of the paper. In Section 2, we prove nonlinear estimates on the Boltzmann collision operator. In Section 3 we consider the linearized equation and prove a precise version of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we come back to the nonlinear equation and prove our main result Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgments. The third author has been partially supported by the *Fondation Mathématique Jacques Hadamard*. This research has been supported by the *École Normale Supérieure* through the project Actions incitatives *Analyse de solutions d’équations de la théorie cinétique des gaz*. The first author thanks the Centre Henri Lebesgue ANR-11-LABX-0020-01 for its support and the third author thanks the ANR EFI: ANR-17-CE40-0030. The authors thank Kleber Carrapatoso and Stéphane Mischler for fruitful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON THE BOLTZMANN COLLISION OPERATOR

In this part, we give estimates on the trilinear form $\langle Q(g, h), f \rangle$ in our physical framework (meaning that the collision kernel B satisfies conditions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4)). We start by recalling some homogeneous estimates and then establish some new estimates in weighted Sobolev (or Lebesgue) non homogeneous spaces. These new estimates will be used both in the linear (Section 3) and nonlinear (Section 4) studies.

For sake of clarity, we recall that $m(v) = \langle v \rangle^k$ with $k > 0$ and that we will specify the range of admissible k in each result.

2.1. Homogeneous estimates.

Lemma 2.1 ([16, Theorem 1.1]). *For smooth functions g, h, f , one has:*

$$|\langle Q(f, g), h \rangle_{L_v^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s})} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{N_1})} \|h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{N_2})}$$

with $N_1, N_2 \geq 0$ and $N_1 + N_2 = \gamma + 2s$.

The goal of what follows is to extend this type of estimates to weighted Lebesgue spaces. Lemma 2.2 is a “weighted version” of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2s$.*

(i) *For any $\ell > \gamma + 1 + 3/2$, there holds*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle Q(f, g), h \rangle_{L_v^2(m)} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+2s}m)} \|h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)} \\ &\quad + \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *For any $\ell > \max(2 - \gamma, \gamma + 1) + 3/2$, there holds*

$$(2.2) \quad \langle Q(f, g), g \rangle_{L_v^2(m)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)}^2 + \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)}.$$

(iii) *For any $\ell > \max(2 - \gamma, \gamma + 1) + 3/2$, there holds*

$$\langle Q(f, f), f \rangle_{L_v^2(m)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)}^2.$$

Proof of (i). We write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Q(f, g), h \rangle_{L_v^2(m)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) (f'_* g' - f_* g) h m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) (f'_* g' m' - f_* g m) h m d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) f'_* g' h m (m - m') d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

We deal with the first term I_1 using Lemma 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = \langle Q(f, gm), hm \rangle_{L_v^2} &\lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s})} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+2s}m)} \|h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+2s}m)} \|h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2}m)} \end{aligned}$$

because $\ell > \gamma + 2s + 3/2$. To deal with I_2 , we use the following estimate on $|m' - m|$ (see the proof in [3, Lemma 2.3]):

$$(2.3) \quad |m' - m| \lesssim \sin(\theta/2) \left(m' + \langle v'_* \rangle \langle v' \rangle^{k-1} + \sin^{k-1}(\theta/2) m'_* \right).$$

Notice that $|v - v_*| = |v' - v'_*| \lesssim |v - v'_*|$ which implies

$$(2.4) \quad |v - v_*|^\gamma \lesssim |v' - v'_*|^{\gamma/2} |v - v'_*|^{\gamma/2} \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{\gamma/2} \langle v'_* \rangle^\gamma.$$

Also, we have,

$$(2.5) \quad |v - v_*|^\gamma \lesssim |v' - v|^{1/2} \sin^{-\gamma/2}(\theta/2) |v' - v'_*|^{\gamma/2} \lesssim \sin^{-\gamma/2}(\theta/2) \langle v' \rangle^\gamma \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} \langle v'_* \rangle^{\gamma/2}.$$

This bound induces the appearance of a singularity in θ . However, we notice that in the third term of the estimate (2.3) we have a gain in the power of $\sin(\theta/2)$ depending on the value of k , the power of our polynomial weight. As a consequence, if k is large enough, we can keep a power of $\sin(\theta/2)$ that is enough to remove the singularity of $b(\cos \theta)$ at $\theta = 0$. Consequently, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^\gamma |f'_*| |g'| |h| m \\ &\quad \left(m' + \langle v'_* \rangle \langle v' \rangle^{k-1} + \sin^{k-1}(\theta/2) m'_* \right) d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &=: I_{21} + I_{22} + I_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

The two first terms I_{21} and I_{22} are treated in the same way using the estimate (2.4), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} + I_{22} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |f'_*| \langle v'_* \rangle^{\gamma+1} |g'| m' \langle v' \rangle^{\gamma/2} |h| m \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} d\sigma dv_* dv \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |f'_*| \langle v'_* \rangle^{\gamma+1} (g'_*)^2 (m'_*)^2 \langle v'_* \rangle^\gamma d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |f'_*| \langle v'_* \rangle^{\gamma+1} h_*^2 m_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\ &=: J_1 \times J_2. \end{aligned}$$

The term J_1 is easily handled just using the pre-post collisional change of variable:

$$J_1^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+1})} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2$$

since $\ell > \gamma + 1 + 3/2$. To deal with J_2 , we use the regular change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$ meaning that for each σ , with v_* still fixed, we perform the change of variables $v \rightarrow v'$. This change of variables is well-defined on the set $\{\cos \theta > 0\}$. Its Jacobian determinant is

$$\left| \frac{dv'}{dv} \right| = \frac{1}{8} (1 + \kappa \cdot \sigma) = \frac{(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2}{4},$$

where $\kappa := (v - v_*)/|v - v_*|$ and $\kappa' := (v' - v_*)/|v' - v_*|$. We have $\kappa' \cdot \sigma = \cos(\theta/2) \geq 1/\sqrt{2}$. The inverse transformation $v' \rightarrow \psi_\sigma(v') = v$ is then defined accordingly. Using the fact that

$$\cos \theta = \kappa \cdot \sigma = 2(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\theta/2) = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2(\theta/2)} = \sqrt{1 - (\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |f'| \langle v' \rangle^{\gamma+1} d\sigma dv \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(2(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 - (\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} |f'| \langle v' \rangle^{\gamma+1} d\sigma dv \\
&= \int_{\kappa' \cdot \sigma \geq 1/\sqrt{2}} b(2(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 - (\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} |f'| \langle v' \rangle^{\gamma+1} d\sigma \frac{4 dv'}{(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos 2\theta) \sin \theta d\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f| \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+1} dv.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce:

$$J_2^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+1})} \|h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2.$$

In summary, gathering the three previous estimates, we have

$$I_{21} + I_{22} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)} \|h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}.$$

Concerning I_{23} , we take advantage of the bound given by (2.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{23} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin^{k-\gamma/2}(\theta/2) |f'_*| m'_* \langle v'_* \rangle^{\gamma/2} |g'| \langle v' \rangle^\gamma |h| m \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} d\sigma dv_* dv \\
&\lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin^{k-\gamma/2}(\theta/2) |g'| \langle v' \rangle^\gamma |f'_*|^2 m'^2 \langle v'_* \rangle^\gamma d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin^{k-\gamma/2}(\theta/2) |g'| \langle v' \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^\gamma d\sigma dv_* dv \right)^{1/2} \\
&=: T_1 \times T_2.
\end{aligned}$$

As far as T_1 is concerned, a simple pre-post collisional change of variable allows us to get

$$T_1^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^\gamma)} \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2$$

since $\ell > \gamma + 3/2$. The second term requires more attention since we have to perform a singular change of variable $v_* \rightarrow v'$ showed for example in the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [3]. Recall that the Jacobian of this transform is

$$\left| \frac{dv_*}{dv'} \right| = \frac{4}{\sin^2(\theta/2)} \leq 16 \theta^{-2}, \quad \theta \in (0, \pi/2],$$

therefore, this change of variable gives rise to an additional singularity in θ around 0. However, we can take advantage of the fact that we have a power k in $\sin(\theta/2)$, indeed taking k large enough allows us to control this singularity. Notice that θ is no longer the good polar angle to consider, we set $\psi = (\pi - \theta)/2$ for $\psi \in [\pi/4, \pi/2]$ so that

$$\cos \psi = \frac{v' - v}{|v' - v|} \cdot \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad d\sigma = \sin \psi d\psi d\phi.$$

This measure does not cancel any of the singularity of $b(\cos \theta)$ unlike in the case of the usual polar coordinates but it will be counterbalanced taking k large enough. We then

have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin^{k-\gamma/2}(\theta/2) |g'| \langle v' \rangle^\gamma d\sigma dv_* &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (\pi - 2\psi)^{k-\gamma/2-4-2s} |g'| \langle v' \rangle^\gamma d\sigma dv' \\ &\lesssim \int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/2} (\pi - 2\psi)^{k-\gamma/2-4-2s} \sin \psi d\psi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g| \langle v \rangle^\gamma dv \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g| \langle v \rangle^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

since $k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2s$. We deduce that

$$T_2^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^\gamma)} \|h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \lesssim \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2$$

and thus

$$I_{23} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)},$$

which concludes the proof of estimate (2.1).

Proof of (ii). The first part of the estimate comes from the proof of [3, Theorem 2.6]. And the second one is done in the first step of the proof, it corresponds to the term I_2 replacing h by g , we conclude that (2.2) is satisfied.

Proof of (iii). The result is immediately obtained taking $g = f$ in (2.2). \square

2.2. Non homogeneous estimates. We now prove non homogeneous estimates on the trilinear form $\langle Q(f, g), h \rangle$ in order to get some accurate estimates on the terms coming from the nonlinear part of the equation. Basically, we give a non homogeneous version of Lemma 2.2. We introduce the spaces

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} X := \mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m) \\ Y := \mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{3,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m) \\ \bar{Y} := \mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{3,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+2s} m) \end{cases}$$

that are defined through their norms by (1.15). We also introduce Y' the dual space of Y with respect to the pivot space X , meaning that the Y' -norm is defined through:

$$(2.7) \quad \|f\|_{Y'} := \sup_{\|\phi\|_Y \leq 1} \langle f, \phi \rangle_X = \sup_{\|\phi\|_Y \leq 1} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq 3} \langle \nabla_x^j f, \nabla_x^j \phi \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{-2js} m)}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *The following estimates hold:*

(i) For $k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 8s$,

$$\langle Q(f, g), h \rangle_X \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y;$$

therefore,

$$\|Q(f, g)\|_{Y'} \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X.$$

(ii) For $k > \max(1/2 - \gamma, \gamma/2) + 3 + 8s$,

$$\langle Q(f, g), g \rangle_X \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.$$

(iii) For $k > \max(1/2 - \gamma, \gamma/2) + 3 + 8s$,

$$\langle Q(f, f), f \rangle_X \lesssim \|f\|_X \|f\|_Y^2.$$

Proof of (i). We write

$$\langle Q(f, g), h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)} = \langle Q(f, g), h \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(m)} + \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq 3} \langle \partial_x^\beta Q(f, g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(m \langle v \rangle^{-2|\beta|s})},$$

and

$$\partial_x^\beta Q(f, g) = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} C_{\beta_1, \beta_2} Q(\partial_x^{\beta_1} f, \partial_x^{\beta_2} g).$$

In this proof, we use Lemma 2.2-(i) together with the following inequalities, that we shall use in the sequel when integrating in $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$,

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}_x^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}_x^3)}. \quad \|u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{T}_x^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_x^3)}, \quad \|u\|_{L^3(\mathbb{T}_x^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}_x^3)}.$$

In the following steps we will always consider $\ell > \max(2 - \gamma, \gamma + 1) + 3/2$.

Step 1. Using Lemma 2.2-(i) and (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Q(f, g), h \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(m)} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+2sm})} \|h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \right) \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+2sm})} \|h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \\ & \quad + \|f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \|g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Case $|\beta| = 1$. Arguing as in the previous step,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Q(f, \partial_x^\beta g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{-2sm})} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \|\nabla_x h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \right) \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \\ & \quad + \|f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle Q(\partial_x^\beta f, g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{-2sm})} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \|\nabla_x h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \right) \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2m})} \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \\ & \quad + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Case $|\beta| = 2$. When $\beta_2 = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(f, \partial_x^\beta g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \quad + \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

When $\beta_1 = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^\beta f, g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^{2,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, when $|\beta_1| = |\beta_2| = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^{\beta_1} f, \partial_x^{\beta_2} g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Case $|\beta| = 3$. When $\beta_2 = \beta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(f, \partial_x^\beta g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{-6sm})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \quad + \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|\beta_1| = 1$ and $|\beta_2| = 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^{\beta_1} f, \partial_x^{\beta_2} g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_x^2 L_v^2(m\langle v \rangle^{-6s})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\beta_1| = 2$ and $|\beta_2| = 1$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^{\beta_1} f, \partial_x^{\beta_2} g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_x^2 L_v^2(m\langle v \rangle^{-6s})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_{x,v}^{2,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, when $\beta_1 = \beta$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^\beta f, g), \partial_x^\beta h \rangle_{L_x^2 L_v^2(m\langle v \rangle^{-6s})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x^3 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x^3 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x^3 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^{2,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x^3 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \|g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6s} m)} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\bar{Y}} \|h\|_Y + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|h\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (ii). As in the proof of (i), we write

$$\langle Q(f, g), g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)} = \langle Q(f, g), g \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(m)} + \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq 3} \langle \partial_x^\beta Q(f, g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(m\langle v \rangle^{-2|\beta|s})},$$

and

$$\partial_x^\beta Q(f, g) = \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} C_{\beta_1, \beta_2} Q(\partial_x^{\beta_1} f, \partial_x^{\beta_2} g).$$

In the following steps, we will always consider $\ell > \max(2 - \gamma, \gamma + 1) + 3/2$.

Step 1. Using Lemma 2.2-(ii) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(f, g), g \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}(m)} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|f\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)} \|g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)} \|g\|_{H^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Case $|\beta| = 1$. Arguing as in the previous step,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(f, \partial_x^\beta g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{-2s} m)} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|f\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)}^2 \\
& \quad + \|f\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we also have using Lemma 2.2-(i),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^\beta f, g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{-2s} m)} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H^s_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \|g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{H^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L^2_x H^s_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_x H^s_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \|g\|_{H^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2s} m)} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Case $|\beta| = 2$. When $\beta_2 = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(f, \partial_x^\beta g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{-4s} m)} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|f\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)}^2 \\
& \quad + \|f\|_{L^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)}^2 \\
& \quad + \|f\|_{H^1_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{H^1_x L^2_v(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4s} m)} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

When $\beta_1 = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^\beta f, g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{L_x^3 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{H_x^1 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^{1,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, when $|\beta_1| = |\beta_2| = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^{\beta_1} f, \partial_x^{\beta_2} g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-2sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Case $|\beta| = 3$. When $\beta_2 = \beta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(f, \partial_x^\beta g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{-6sm})} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})}^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})}^2 \\
& \quad + \|f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|\beta_1| = 1$ and $|\beta_2| = 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^{\beta_1} f, \partial_x^{\beta_2} g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L_x^2 L_v^2(m\langle v \rangle^{-6s})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x f\|_{L_x^3 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_x^6 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x f\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x f\|_{H_x^1 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{H_x^1 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

When $|\beta_1| = 2$ and $|\beta_2| = 1$ then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^{\beta_1} f, \partial_x^{\beta_2} g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L_x^2 L_v^2(m\langle v \rangle^{-6s})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_x^6 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{L_x^3 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{H_x^1 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_{x,v}^{1,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x^2 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|\nabla_x g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, when $\beta_1 = \beta$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle Q(\partial_x^\beta f, g), \partial_x^\beta g \rangle_{L_x^2 L_v^2(m\langle v \rangle^{-6s})} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\|\nabla_x^3 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|\nabla_x^3 f\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^2 g\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \right) \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla_x^3 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|g\|_{H_{x,v}^{2,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-4sm})} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla_x^3 f\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \|g\|_{H_x^2 L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^\ell)} \|\nabla_x^3 g\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2-6sm})} \\
& \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y^2 + \|f\|_Y \|g\|_X \|g\|_Y.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (iii). The result is immediate using the inequality (ii). \square

3. THE LINEARIZED EQUATION

We linearize the equation around the equilibrium μ . If we set $f = \mu + h$, h satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h = Q(\mu, h) + Q(h, \mu) - v \cdot \nabla_x h + Q(h, h) \\ h|_{t=0} = h_0 = f_0 - \mu. \end{cases}$$

In what follows, we denote

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}h := Q(h, \mu) + Q(\mu, h) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda h := \mathcal{L}h - v \cdot \nabla_x h.$$

The aim of the present section is to prove that the semigroup associated to Λ enjoys exponential decay properties in various Sobolev spaces.

3.1. Functional spaces. We recall that m is a polynomial weight $m(v) = \langle v \rangle^k$. We introduce the spaces $H_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ and $\mathcal{H}_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$, $(n, \ell) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ which are respectively associated to the following norms:

$$(3.2) \quad \|h\|_{H_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)}^2 := \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n, |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq \max(\ell, n)} \|\partial_v^\alpha \partial_x^\beta h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m \langle v \rangle^{-2|\alpha|s})}^2,$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)}^2 := \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \ell, 0 \leq |\beta| \leq n, |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq \max(\ell, n)} \|\partial_v^\alpha \partial_x^\beta h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m \langle v \rangle^{-2|\alpha|s - 2|\beta|s})}^2.$$

We want to establish exponential decay of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)$ in various Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces that we will denote \mathcal{E} :

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{E} := \begin{cases} H_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m), & (n, \ell) \in \mathbb{N}^2, n \geq \ell \quad \text{with} \quad k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2(n+1)s \\ \mathcal{H}_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m), & (n, \ell) \in \mathbb{N}^2, n \geq \ell \quad \text{with} \quad k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2(n+1)s. \end{cases}$$

Notice that those definitions include the case $L_{x,v}^2(m)$ obtained taking $n = \ell = 0$ in one or the other type of space.

3.2. Main results on the linearized operator. The main result of this section is a precise version of Theorem 1.2 and reads

Theorem 3.1. *Let us consider \mathcal{E} be one of the admissible spaces defined in (3.4) and introduce $E = H_{x,v}^{\max(1,n)}(\mu^{-1/2})$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the order of x -derivatives in the definition of \mathcal{E} . Then, for any $\lambda < \lambda_*$ and any $\lambda_1 \leq \min\{\lambda_0, \lambda\}$, where we recall that $\lambda_0 > 0$ is the spectral gap of Λ on E (see (1.19)) and λ_* is defined in Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, there is a constructive constant $C \geq 1$ such that the operator Λ satisfies on \mathcal{E} :*

- (i) $\Sigma(\Lambda) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re z \leq -\lambda_1\} \cup \{0\}$;
- (ii) the null-space $N(\Lambda)$ is given by (1.17) and the projection Π_0 onto $N(\Lambda)$ by (1.18);
- (iii) Λ is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)$ on \mathcal{E} that verifies

$$\forall t \geq 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{E}, \quad \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)f - \Pi_0 f\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq C e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|f - \Pi_0 f\|_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

To prove this theorem, we exhibit a splitting of the linearized operator into two parts, one which is regular and the second one which is dissipative. We shall also study the regularization properties of the semigroup. The latter point is based on the paper [22] in which a precise study of the short time regularization properties of the linearized operator are studied. We can then use the abstract theorem of enlargement of the functional

space of the semigroup decay from Gualdani et al. [20] using the result of Mouhot and Neumann [29] (Theorem 1.3) as a starting point.

3.3. Splitting of the linearized operator. We first split the linearized operator \mathcal{L} defined in (3.1) into two parts, separating the grazing collisions and the cut-off part. To do that we introduce the truncation function $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies $\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]} \leq \chi \leq \mathbb{1}_{[-2,2]}$ and $\chi_\delta(\cdot) := \chi(\cdot/\delta)$ for $\delta > 0$. We then define

$$b_\delta(\cos \theta) := \chi_\delta(\theta) b(\cos \theta) \quad \text{and} \quad b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) := (1 - \chi_\delta(\theta)) b(\cos \theta)$$

for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$ to be chosen later, it induces the following splitting of \mathcal{L} :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}h &= \mathcal{L}_\delta h + \mathcal{L}_\delta^c h \\ &=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [\mu'_* h' - \mu_* h + h'_* \mu' - h_* \mu] b_\delta(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma d\sigma dv_* \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [\mu'_* h' - \mu_* h + h'_* \mu' - h_* \mu] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma d\sigma dv_*. \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of the paper, we shall use the notations

$$B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) := b_\delta(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad B_\delta^c(v - v_*, \sigma) := b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma.$$

As far as the cut-off part is concerned, our strategy is similar to the one adopted in [20] for hard-spheres. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we consider $\Theta_\varepsilon = \Theta_\varepsilon(\theta, v, v_*) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty$ bounded by one, which equals one on

$$\{|v| \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \text{ and } 2\varepsilon \leq |v - v_*| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\}$$

and whose support is included in

$$\{|v| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1} \text{ and } \varepsilon \leq |v - v_*| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1}\}.$$

We then denote the truncated operator

$$\mathcal{A}_{\delta, \varepsilon}(h) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \Theta_\varepsilon [\mu'_* h' + \mu' h'_* - \mu h_*] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma d\sigma dv_*$$

and the corresponding remainder operator

$$\mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon}^c(h) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) [\mu'_* h' + \mu' h'_* - \mu h_*] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma d\sigma dv_*.$$

We also introduce the so-called collision frequency

$$\nu_\delta(v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mu_* b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma d\sigma dv_*,$$

so that we have the following splitting: $\mathcal{L}_\delta^c = \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \varepsilon} + \mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon}^c - \nu_\delta$.

Moreover, ν_δ satisfies

$$\nu_\delta(v) = K_\delta (\mu * |\cdot|^\gamma)(v)$$

with

$$(3.5) \quad K_\delta := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) d\sigma \approx \int_\delta^{\pi/2} b(\cos \theta) \sin \theta d\theta \approx \delta^{-2s} - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{-2s} \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} +\infty$$

using the spherical coordinates to get the second equality and (1.3) to get the final one; and

$$(\mu * |\cdot|^\gamma)(v) \approx \langle v \rangle^\gamma.$$

We then define

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^0 := \mathcal{L}_\delta + \mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^c - \nu_\delta$$

so that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon} + \mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^0$. Finally, we denote

$$\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon} := -v \cdot \nabla_x + \mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^0$$

so that $\Lambda = \mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon} + \mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$.

3.4. Dissipativity properties. In this part, we prove some dissipativity estimates on $\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$, the proof is separated into several lemmas (each part of $\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon} = -v \cdot \nabla_x + \mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^0$ defined in the splitting (3.6) is handled separately). We start by estimating the part coming from grazing collisions.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2s$. There exist nonnegative functions $\theta_i = \theta_i(\delta)$, $i = 1, 2$, tending to 0 as δ tends to 0 such that the following estimate holds:*

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{L}_\delta h) h m^2 dv dx \leq -\theta_1(\delta) \|h\|_{\dot{H}_{x,v}^{0,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+m})}^2 + \theta_2(\delta) \|h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+m})}^2.$$

Proof. Let us first make a remark coming from the assumption (1.3) which is going to be useful in the sequel of the proof:

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta) d\sigma = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \chi_\delta(\theta) b(\cos \theta) \sin^2(\theta) d\theta d\phi \lesssim \delta^{1-2s} \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin^2(\theta) d\sigma = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \chi_\delta(\theta) b(\cos \theta) \sin^3(\theta) d\theta d\phi \lesssim \delta^{2-2s} \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

We here underline the fact that considering a moderate singularity, meaning $s \in (0, 1/2)$, is here needed to get the first above convergence.

We split \mathcal{L}_δ into two parts in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\delta h &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [\mu'_* h' - \mu_* h] b_\delta(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma d\sigma dv_* \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [h'_* \mu' - h_* \mu] b_\delta(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma d\sigma dv_* \\ &=: \mathcal{L}_\delta^1 h + \mathcal{L}_\delta^2 h, \end{aligned}$$

this splitting corresponds to the splitting of \mathcal{L}_δ as $Q_\delta(\mu, h) + Q_\delta(h, \mu)$, where Q_δ denotes the collisional operator associated to the kernel B_δ .

Let us first consider $\mathcal{L}_\delta^1 h$ and estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{L}_\delta^1 h) h m^2 dv dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) [\mu'_* h' - \mu_* h] h m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) \mu'_* [h' - h] h m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) [\mu'_* - \mu_*] h^2 m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we use the cancellation lemma [1, Lemma 1]

$$I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (S_\delta * \mu) h^2 m^2 dv dx,$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad S_\delta(z) := 2\pi \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin \theta b_\delta(\cos \theta) \left(\frac{|z|^\gamma}{\cos^{\gamma+3}(\theta/2)} - |z|^\gamma \right) d\theta \lesssim \delta^{2-2s} |z|^\gamma,$$

for details, see computations in [32, proof of Lemma 2.2]. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \delta^{2-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (|\cdot|^\gamma * \mu) h^2 m^2 dv dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) (h' - h)^2 \mu'_* m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) (h'^2 - h^2) \mu'_* m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &=: I_1^- + I_1^+. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first analyze the term I_1^+ which has no sign: using the pre-post collisional change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^+ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) ((h')^2 (m')^2 - h^2 m^2) \mu'_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) (h')^2 (m^2 - (m')^2) \mu'_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) (h_*^2 m_*^2 - (h'_*)^2 (m'_*)^2) \mu d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) h^2 ((m')^2 - m^2) \mu_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &=: I_{11}^+ + I_{12}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Using again the cancellation lemma together with (3.9),

$$I_{11}^+ = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (S_\delta * h^2 m^2) \mu dv dx \leq 0.$$

In order to analyze I_{12}^+ , we estimate the difference $|(m')^2 - m^2|$:

$$|(m')^2 - m^2| \leq \left(\sup_{z \in B(v, |v' - v|)} |\nabla m^2|(z) \right) |v' - v|,$$

with

$$|v' - v| = |v - v_*|/2 \sin(\theta/2) \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} |v - v_*| \sin \theta.$$

Then, we use the fact that

$$\sup_{z \in B(v, |v' - v|)} |\nabla m^2|(z) \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{2k-1} + \langle v_* \rangle^{2k-1},$$

which implies that

$$(3.10) \quad |(m')^2 - m^2| \lesssim \sin \theta \langle v \rangle^{2k} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12}^+ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin \theta |v - v_*|^\gamma \langle v \rangle^{2k} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k} h^2 \mu_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \langle v \rangle^{2k+\gamma} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k+\gamma} h^2 \mu_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.8) and the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu_* \langle v_* \rangle^{2k+\gamma} dv_* < +\infty$. Let us analyze now the non-positive term

$$I_1^- = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) (h' - h)^2 \mu'_* m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^- &\leq -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) (h'm' - hm)^2 \mu'_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) (h')^2 (m - m')^2 \mu'_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &=: I_{11}^- + I_{12}^-. \end{aligned}$$

We treat I_{12}^- as previously. We estimate the difference $(m' - m)^2$ in the same spirit as (3.10) and obtain:

$$|m' - m|^2 \lesssim \sin^2(\theta) \langle v \rangle^{2k} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12}^- &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin^2(\theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma \langle v \rangle^{2k} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k} h^2 \mu_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin^2(\theta) \langle v \rangle^{2k+\gamma} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k+\gamma} h^2 \mu_* d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.8) and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu_* \langle v_* \rangle^{2k+\gamma} dv_* < +\infty$. Concerning I_{11}^- , we use some estimates coming from [21, Theorem 3.1]. Adapting the proof to our truncated kernel B_δ , one can prove that

$$I_{11}^- \leq -c_0 \delta^{2-2s} \|h\|_{H_{x,v}^{0,s}(m\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2})}^2 + C \delta^{2-2s} \|h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2})}^2$$

for some positive constants c_0 and C .

We consider now $\mathcal{L}_\delta^2 h$ and write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\delta^2 h &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [h'_* \mu' - h_* \mu] b_\delta(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma d\sigma dv_* \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) h'_* [\mu' - \mu] d\sigma dv_* + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) [h'_* - h_*] d\sigma dv_* \mu \\ &=: \mathcal{L}_\delta^{2,1} h + \mathcal{L}_\delta^{2,2} h. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning $\mathcal{L}_\delta^{2,2}$, we use again the cancellation lemma. It implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_\delta^{2,2} h = (S_\delta * h) \mu.$$

Hence, from (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{L}_\delta^{2,2} h) h m^2 dv dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (S_\delta * h) \mu h m^2 dv dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v - v_* \rangle^\gamma |h_*| |h| \mu m^2 dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma |h_*| |h| \tilde{\mu} dv_* dv dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mu} := \mu m^2 \langle v \rangle^\gamma$. Observe that for any $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\langle v_* \rangle^\gamma |h_*| |h| = \langle v_* \rangle^{\gamma+\ell} |h_*| \langle v_* \rangle^{-\ell} |h| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2(\gamma+\ell)} h_*^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle v_* \rangle^{-2\ell} h^2.$$

Let now $\ell \in (\frac{3}{2}, k - \frac{7}{2}]$ (which is possible since $k > \gamma/2 + 3/2$), then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{L}_\delta^{2,2} h) h m^2 dv dx &\lesssim \delta^{2-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v_* \rangle^{2(\gamma+\ell)} h_*^2 \tilde{\mu} dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \delta^{2-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v_* \rangle^{-2\ell} h^2 \tilde{\mu} dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{2-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $2k + \gamma \geq 2\gamma + 2\ell$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{\mu} dv < +\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v_* \rangle^{-2\ell} dv_* < +\infty$.

We now deal with $\mathcal{L}_\delta^{2,1}$. We introduce the notation $M := \sqrt{\mu}$ and write that $\mu' - \mu = (M' - M)(M' + M)$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{L}_\delta^{2,1}(h) h m^2 dv dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) |h'_*| |h| |M' - M| (M' + M) m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) |h'_*| |h| |M' - M| M m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) |h'_*| |h| |M' - M| M' (m')^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) |h'_*| |h| |M' - M| M' |(m')^2 - m^2| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $Mm^2 \leq C$ and $|M' - M| \lesssim |v' - v| \lesssim |v - v_*| \sin(\theta/2)$ because M is Lipschitz-continuous, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad J_1 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) |h'_*| |h| |M' - M| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} |h_*| |h'| d\sigma dv_* dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now perform the change of variables $v \rightarrow v'$ which is explained in the treatment of J_2 in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Let us underline the fact that

$$|\psi_\sigma(v) - v_*| = |v - v_*|/\kappa \cdot \sigma,$$

where we recall that $v' \rightarrow \psi_\sigma(v')$ is the inverse transformation. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} |h'| d\sigma dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(2(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 - (\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} |\psi_\sigma(v') - v_*|^{\gamma+1} |h'| d\sigma dv \\ &= \int_{\kappa' \cdot \sigma \geq 1/\sqrt{2}} b_\delta(2(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 - (\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} |\psi_\sigma(v') - v_*|^{\gamma+1} |h'| d\sigma \frac{4 dv'}{(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} \\ &= \int_{\kappa \cdot \sigma \geq 1/\sqrt{2}} b_\delta(2(\kappa \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 - (\kappa \cdot \sigma)^2} |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} |h| \frac{4}{(\kappa \cdot \sigma)^{\gamma+3}} d\sigma dv \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos 2\theta) \sin(\theta) d\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+1} |h| dv \langle v_* \rangle^{\gamma+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $k > \gamma/2 + 1 + 3/2$, we can pick $\ell \in (3/2, k - \gamma/2 - 1]$ and observing that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{-2\ell} dv < +\infty$ together with (3.8), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\lesssim \delta^{1-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+1} |h| dv \right)^2 dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{2(\gamma+1+\ell)} h^2 dv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{-2\ell} dv \right) dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

Reasoning in the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) |h'_*| |h| |M' - M| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx. \end{aligned}$$

For J_3 , since $|M' - M| \leq C$, we have

$$J_3 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B_\delta(v - v_*, \sigma) |h'_*| |h| M' |(m')^2 - m^2| d\sigma dv_* dv dx.$$

We are now going to establish a variant of estimate (3.10). Using the fact that

$$\sup_{z \in B(v, |v' - v|)} |\nabla m^2|(z) \lesssim \left(\langle v \rangle^{2k-1} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1} \right),$$

it follows

$$|(m')^2 - m^2| \lesssim \left(\langle v \rangle^{2k-1} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1} \right) |v - v_*| \sin(\theta/2).$$

Moreover,

(3.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v \rangle^{2k-1} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1} &= (1 + |v' + v - v'|^2)^{\frac{2k-1}{2}} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1} \\
&\lesssim |v' - v|^{2k-1} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1} \\
&\lesssim |v' - v|^{k-1-\gamma/2} |v' - v|^{k+\gamma/2} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1} \\
&\lesssim (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-1-\gamma/2} |v - v_*|^{k-1-\gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{k+\gamma/2} \langle v \rangle^{k+\gamma/2} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1},
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} |v - v_*|^{k+\gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{k+\gamma/2} \langle v \rangle^{k+\gamma/2} \\
&\quad |h'_*| |h| M' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1} |h'_*| |h| M' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&=: J_{31} + J_{32}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have since $|v' - v'_*| = |v - v_*|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{31} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} |v' - v'_*|^{k+\gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{k+\gamma/2} \langle v \rangle^{k+\gamma/2} \\
&\quad |h'_*| |h| M' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} \langle v'_* \rangle^{k+\gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{2k+\gamma} \langle v \rangle^{k+\gamma/2} |h'_*| |h| M' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2k+\gamma} |h'_*|^2 \tilde{M}' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} \langle v \rangle^{2k+\gamma} |h|^2 \tilde{M}' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&=: J_{311} + J_{312},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{M} := M \langle v \rangle^{2k+\gamma}$. Now, observing that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{M} dv < +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{311} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k+\gamma} |h_*|^2 \tilde{M} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} d\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k+\gamma} |h_*|^2 dv_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{M} dv dx \\
&\lesssim \delta^{1-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx,
\end{aligned}$$

since $k - \gamma/2 \geq 1$.

For the term J_{312} , we have:

$$J_{312} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} \tilde{M}' d\sigma dv_* \langle v \rangle^{2k+\gamma} |h|^2 dv dx$$

we are going to apply the singular change of variable $v_* \rightarrow v'$ presented in the proof of Lemma 2.2 for the treatment of the term T_2 . Given that $b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sim_0 \theta^{-2-2s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k-\gamma/2} \tilde{M}' d\sigma dv_* &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathbb{1}_{0 \leq \theta \leq 2\delta} \theta^{k-2-2s-\gamma/2} \tilde{M}' d\sigma dv_* \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathbb{1}_{\pi/2-\delta \leq \psi \leq \pi/2} (\pi - 2\psi)^{k-4-2s-\gamma/2} \tilde{M}' \sin \psi d\psi dv' \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{2\delta} \psi^{k-4-2s-\gamma/2} d\psi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{M}' dv' \\ &\lesssim \delta^{k-3-2s-\gamma/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{M}' dv' < +\infty$. This estimate is acceptable since $k > 3 + 2s + \gamma/2$. Therefore

$$J_{312} \lesssim \delta^{k-3-2s-\gamma/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx.$$

Finally, using the pre-post collisional change of variable,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{32} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \langle v' \rangle^{2k-1} |h'_*| |h| M' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \langle v \rangle^{2k-1} |h_*| |h'| M d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} |h_*| |h'| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \delta^{1-2s} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\langle v \rangle^{2k-1} M \leq C$ and then the same strategy as in J_1 (see (3.11)). This concludes the proof. \square

We now want to deal with the part $\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^c - \nu_\delta$. To do that, we shall review a classical tool in the Boltzmann theory, a version of the Povzner lemma (see [34, 11, 26, 12]). The version stated here is a consequence of the proof of Lemma 2.2 from [26] and a sketch of a proof can be found in [32].

Lemma 3.3. *For any $k > 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v, v_* \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left[\langle v'_* \rangle^k + \langle v' \rangle^k - \langle v_* \rangle^k - \langle v \rangle^k \right] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) d\sigma \\ \leq c_k \left(\langle v_* \rangle^{k-1} \langle v \rangle + \langle v \rangle^{k-1} \langle v_* \rangle \right) - c'_k |v|^k \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $c_k, c'_k > 0$ depending on k .

We can now prove the following estimate on $\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^c - \nu_\delta$.

Lemma 3.4. *Consider $k > 2 + \gamma/2$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ small enough, we have the following estimate: for any $h \in L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^c h) h m^2 dv dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \nu_\delta h^2 m^2 dv dx \leq (\Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_*) \|h\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2$$

where $\lambda_* > 0$ is an explicit constant (depending only on k) and $\Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon)$ is a nonnegative constant depending on δ which tends to 0 as ε goes to 0 when δ is fixed.

Proof. In this proof, we use the notation C_δ for any constant depending only on δ that may change from line to line. Let us first consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon}^c(h) h m^2 dv dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) [\mu'_* h' + \mu' h'_* - \mu h_*] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma h m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) [\mu'_* |h'| + \mu' |h'_*|] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma \\
&\quad |h| m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/4} \langle v \rangle^{-1/4} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) \mu |h_*| b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma |h| m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) \mu'_* \left[\langle v \rangle^{1/4} |h'| \langle v \rangle^{-1/4} |h| \right] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) \mu' \left[\langle v \rangle^{1/4} |h'_*| \langle v \rangle^{-1/4} |h| \right] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) \mu |h_*| b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma |h| m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) [\mu'_* |h'|^2 + \mu' |h'_*|^2] \\
&\quad b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) [\mu'_* + \mu'] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} (1 - \Theta_\varepsilon) \mu |h_*| b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma |h| m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning I_1 , we treat this term together with the one coming from ν_δ . According to the definition of the truncation function $(1 - \Theta_\varepsilon)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \Theta_\varepsilon)(\theta, v, v_*) &\leq \mathbb{1}_{|v - v_*| \leq \varepsilon}(v, v_*) + \mathbb{1}_{\{|v| \geq \varepsilon^{-1} \text{ or } |v - v_*| \geq \varepsilon^{-1}\}} \\
&\leq \mathbb{1}_{|v - v_*| \leq \varepsilon}(v, v_*) + \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}}(v, v_*)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}}$ is the characteristic function of the set

$$\left\{ \sqrt{|v|^2 + |v_*|^2} \geq \varepsilon^{-1} \text{ or } |v - v_*| \geq \varepsilon^{-1} \right\}.$$

We then use the following bound on the function $\chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}}(v, v_*) &\leq \mathbb{1}_{|v| \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{2}}(v, v_*) + \mathbb{1}_{|v_*| \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{2}}(v, v_*) \\
&= \left(\mathbb{1}_{|v| \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{2}}(v, v_*) \right)^{1/2} + \left(\mathbb{1}_{|v_*| \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-1}}{2}}(v, v_*) \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2^{1/2} \varepsilon^{1/2} \left(|v|^{1/2} + |v_*|^{1/2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We then write:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} b_{\delta}^{\varepsilon}(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^{\gamma} [\mu'_* (h')^2 + \mu' (h'_*)^2] m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} b_{\delta}^{\varepsilon}(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^{\gamma} \\
&\quad [\mu'_* (h')^2 + \mu' (h'_*)^2 - \mu_* h^2 - \mu h_*^2] m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_{\delta}^{\varepsilon}(\cos \theta) \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_{\delta}^{\varepsilon}(\cos \theta) \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu h_*^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&=: T_1 + T_2 + T_3.
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that the characteristic function $\chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}}$ is invariant under the usual pre-post collisional change of variables as it only depends on the kinetic energy and momentum. We hence bound the term T_1 thanks to Lemma 3.3 (we denote $C_k := c_{2k+1/2}$ and $C'_k := c'_{2k+1/2}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} \\
&\quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\langle v'_* \rangle^{2k+1/2} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k+1/2} - \langle v_* \rangle^{2k+1/2} - \langle v \rangle^{2k+1/2} \right) b_{\delta}^{\varepsilon}(\cos \theta) d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\leq C_k \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} \left(\langle v \rangle^{2k-1/2} \langle v_* \rangle + \langle v \rangle \langle v_* \rangle^{2k-1/2} \right) dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad - C'_k \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} |v|^{2k+1/2} dv_* dv dx \\
&\leq C_k \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} \left(\langle v \rangle^{2k-1/2} \langle v_* \rangle + \langle v \rangle \langle v_* \rangle^{2k-1/2} \right) dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + C'_k \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad - C'_k 2^{3/4-k} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} \langle v \rangle^{2k+1/2} dv_* dv dx \\
&=: T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{13}.
\end{aligned}$$

We treat together the terms T_{11} , T_{12} and T_{13} using (3.13) and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(3.14) \\
&\quad T_{11} + T_{12} + T_{13} \\
&\leq \varepsilon^{1/2} C_k \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^{1/2} + |v_*|^{1/2}) \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} \left(\langle v \rangle^{2k-1/2} \langle v_* \rangle + \langle v \rangle \langle v_* \rangle^{2k-1/2} \right) dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{1/2} C'_k \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^{1/2} + |v_*|^{1/2}) \mu_* h^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{1/2} C_{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (|v|^{1/2} + |v_*|^{1/2}) \mu h_*^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma} m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} dv_* dv dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon^{1/2} C_k C_{\delta} \|h\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 =: \Lambda_{\delta}(\varepsilon) \|h\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

up to increasing the value of C_k . We now put together the terms T_{13} , T_2 and the term coming from ν_δ , and we denote $C_k'' := C_k' 2^{3/4-k}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_{13} + T_2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \nu_\delta h^2 m^2 dv dx \\
& \leq -C_k'' \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} dv_* dv dx \\
& \quad + K_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} dv_* dv dx \\
& \quad - K_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mu_* |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv_* dv dx \\
& \leq -K_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mu_* |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 (1 - \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \langle v \rangle^{1/2}) dv_* dv dx \\
& \quad - C_k'' \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}} \mu_* |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{1/2} dv_* dv dx
\end{aligned}$$

where we recall that K_δ is defined in (3.5). Taking now δ small enough so that $K_\delta \geq C_k''$, we get:

$$T_{13} + T_2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \nu_\delta h^2 m^2 dv dx \leq -C_k'' \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mu_* |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv_* dv dx$$

and thus

$$(3.15) \quad T_{13} + T_2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \nu_\delta h^2 m^2 dv dx \leq -\lambda_* \|h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2$$

for some constant $\lambda_* > 0$ depending only on k . Gathering (3.14) and (3.15), we are able to get the right estimate,

$$I_1 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \nu_\delta h^2 m^2 dv dx \leq (C_k C_\delta \varepsilon^{1/2} - \lambda_*) \|h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2.$$

Let us now consider I_2 . We again use the following estimate on $1 - \Theta_\varepsilon$:

$$(1 - \Theta_\varepsilon)(\theta, v, v_*) \leq \mathbb{1}_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon}(v, v_*) + \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}}(v, v_*).$$

It induces a splitting of I_2 into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathbb{1}_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon}(v, v_*) [\mu'_* + \mu'] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}}(v, v_*) [\mu'_* + \mu'] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
& \lesssim I_{21} + I_{22}.
\end{aligned}$$

We split once more I_{21}

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{21} & = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \int_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon} \mu'_* b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} dv_* dv d\sigma dx \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \int_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon} \mu' b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} dv_* dv d\sigma dx \\
& =: I_{211} + I_{212}.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate I_{211} using again the regular change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$ and that $b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) \leq C_\delta$ in \mathbb{S}^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{211} &\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \int_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon} \mu'_* h^2 m^2 dv_* d\sigma dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \int_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon} \mu'_* h^2 m_*^2 dv_* dv d\sigma dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\kappa' \cdot \sigma \geq 1/\sqrt{2}} \mu' \frac{1}{(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} dv' d\sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h_*^2 m_*^2 dv_* dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mu dv d\sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 dv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we estimate I_{212} using again the singular change of variable $v_* \rightarrow v'$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{212} &\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon} \mu' h^2 m^2 dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathbf{1}_{\theta \geq \delta} \mu' d\sigma dv_* \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\pi/4}^{\pi/2-\delta} (\pi - 2\psi)^{-2} \sin \psi d\psi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu' dv' \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 dv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning I_{22} , we use the estimate (3.13) combined with the fact that,

$$|v_*|^{1/2} = |v_* - v + v|^{1/2} \leq (|v_* - v| + |v|)^{1/2} \lesssim |v_* - v|^{1/2} + |v|^{1/2}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{22} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \left(|v|^{1/2} + |v_*|^{1/2} \right) [\mu'_* + \mu'] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma \\
&\quad h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \left(|v|^{1/2} + |v_* - v|^{1/2} \right) [\mu'_* + \mu'] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma \\
&\quad h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [\mu'_* + \mu'] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1/2} h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} [\mu'_* + \mu'] b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma h^2 m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&:= I_{221} + I_{222} + I_{223} + I_{224}.
\end{aligned}$$

For I_{221} and I_{223} we proceed in the same way, we perform the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{221} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mu' |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1/2} h_*^2 m_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^{-1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mu |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1/2} d\sigma dv \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h_*^2 m_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^{-1/2} dv_* dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h_*^2 m_*^2 \langle v_* \rangle^\gamma dv_* dx, \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^\gamma dv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, for I_{222} and I_{224} we proceed in the same way. Using the fact that for $\theta \geq \delta$,

$$|v - v_*| \lesssim \theta^{-1} |v' - v| \lesssim C_\delta \langle v' \rangle \langle v \rangle,$$

consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{222} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathbb{1}_{\theta \geq \delta} \mu' |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1/2} h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^{-1/2} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathbb{1}_{\theta \geq \delta} \mu' \langle v' \rangle^{\gamma+1/2} d\sigma dv_* \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^\gamma dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 \langle v \rangle^\gamma dv dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used again the singular change of variable $v_* \rightarrow v'$ (which only changes the value of C_δ).

Concerning I_3 , we use again the estimate for $(1 - \Theta_\varepsilon)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \mathbb{1}_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon} (v, v_*) \mu |h_*| b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma |h| m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \chi_{\varepsilon^{-1}}(v, v_*) \mu |h_*| b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) |v - v_*|^\gamma |h| m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&=: I_{31} + I_{32}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider $\ell \in (3/2, k]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{31} &\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{|v-v_*| \leq \varepsilon} \mu |h_*| |h| m^2 dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h_*| \langle v_* \rangle^\ell \langle v_* \rangle^{-\ell} dv_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h| \mu m^2 dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 \langle v \rangle^{2\ell} dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\gamma C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h^2 m^2 dv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the same technique as for I_{31} , for all $\ell \in (3/2, k - \gamma/2 - 1/2]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{32} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b_\delta^c(\cos \theta) \left(|v|^{1/2} + |v_*|^{1/2} \right) |v - v_*|^\gamma |h_*| |h| \mu m^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{1/2+\gamma} |h| \mu m^2 dv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+1/2} \langle v \rangle^\ell \langle v \rangle^{-\ell} |h| dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^{2\gamma+1+2\ell} h^2 dv dx \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{1/2} C_\delta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \langle v \rangle^\gamma h^2 m^2 dv dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. \square

We can now prove the dissipativity properties of $\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon} = -v \cdot \nabla_x + \mathcal{L}_\delta + \mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}^c - \nu_\delta$ in $L_{x,v}^2(m)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let us consider $k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2s$ and $a \in (-\lambda_*, 0)$ where λ_* is defined in Lemma 3.4. For $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon} - a$ is dissipative in $L_{x,v}^2(m)$, namely*

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_{x,v}^2(m))} \leq e^{at}.$$

We even have the following estimate (which is better than simple dissipativity as stated above), for any $h \in L_{x,v}^2(m)$:

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}(t)h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m)}^2 \leq -c_\delta \|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}(t)h\|_{L_x^2 \dot{H}_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + a \|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}(t)h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2$$

for some constant $c_\delta > 0$ depending on δ .

Proof. Consider $a \in (-\lambda_*, 0)$. We first notice that performing an integration by parts, the term coming from the transport operator gives no contribution. Then, gathering results coming from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon} h) h m^2 dv dx \\
&\leq -\theta_1(\delta) \|h\|_{L_x^2 \dot{H}_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_*) h^2 \langle v \rangle^\gamma m^2 dv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We first take δ small enough so that $\theta_2(\delta) \leq (a + \lambda_*)/2$. We then chose ε small enough so that $\Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) \leq (a + \lambda_*)/2$. With this choice of δ and ε , we have the following inequality:

$$\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_* \leq a.$$

It implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon} h) h m^2 dv dx \leq -\theta_1(\delta) \|h\|_{L_x^2 \dot{H}_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + a \|h\|_{L^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

The goal of the next lemma is to generalize previous dissipativity results to higher order derivatives spaces of type $H_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ and $\mathcal{H}_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ defined through their norms in (3.2) and (3.3). Notice that, in order to get our dissipativity result, it is necessary to have less weight on v -derivatives (which is induced by the weight $\langle v \rangle^{-2|\alpha|s}$ in the definitions of the norms of $H_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ and $\mathcal{H}_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$). However, the introduction of the weight $\langle v \rangle^{-2|\beta|s}$ in order to have less weight on the x -derivatives in the space $\mathcal{H}_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ is not needed at this point but dissipativity results still hold true doing that and we will make use of it in the nonlinear study in Section 4.

Lemma 3.6. *Let us consider $(n, \ell) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $n \geq \ell$. In what follows, $\mathcal{E} = H_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ with $k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2(\ell + 1)s$ or $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H}_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ with $k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2(n + 1)s$. Then for any $a \in (-\lambda_*, 0)$ where λ_* is defined in Lemma 3.4, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon} - a$ is dissipative in \mathcal{E} in the sense that*

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon}}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})} \leq C e^{at}.$$

Proof. The case $n = \ell = 0$ is nothing but Lemma 3.5. Let us notice that the operator ∇_x commutes with the operator $\mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$, the treatment of x -derivatives is thus simple and one can always reduce to the case $n = \ell$. Moreover, we only handle the case $\mathcal{E} = H_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$, the other case being similar. We now deal with the case $n = \ell = 1$, the higher-order derivatives being treatable in the same way. To do that, we introduce the following norm on $H_x^1 \mathcal{H}_v^1(m)$:

$$\|h\|_{H_x^1 \mathcal{H}_v^1(m)}^2 := \|h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m)}^2 + \|\nabla_x h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m)}^2 + \zeta \|\nabla_v h\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2$$

where $\zeta > 0$ is a positive constant to be chosen later and $m_0(v) := \langle v \rangle^{-2s} m(v) = \langle v \rangle^{k_0}$ with $k_0 := -2s + k$. This norm is equivalent to the classical norm on $H_x^1 \mathcal{H}_v^1(m)$ defined through (3.2).

In the subsequent proof, η is a positive constant that will be fixed later on. Let us introduce $h_t := \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon}}(t)h$ with $h \in H_x^1 \mathcal{H}_v^1(m)$.

Thanks to Lemma 3.5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m)}^2 &\leq -\theta_1(\delta) \|h_t\|_{L_x^2 \dot{H}_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \\ &\quad + (\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_*) \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since the x -derivatives commute with $\mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t \geq 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_x h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m)}^2 &\leq -\theta_1(\delta) \|\nabla_x h_t\|_{L_x^2 \dot{H}_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \\ &\quad + (\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_*) \|\nabla_x h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it remains to consider the v -derivatives. In what follows ∂_x and ∂_v stand for $\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}$ or ∂_{x_3} and $\partial_{v_1}, \partial_{v_2}$ or ∂_{v_3} , respectively.

We have

$$\partial_t(\partial_v h_t) = \mathcal{B}_{\delta, \varepsilon}(\partial_v h_t) - \partial_x h_t - \partial_v(\mathcal{A}_{\delta, \varepsilon} h_t) + \mathcal{A}_{\delta, \varepsilon}(\partial_v h_t) + Q(h_t, \partial_v \mu) + Q(\partial_v \mu, h_t),$$

thus, we can split $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2$ into six terms, according to the previous computation,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 := I_1 + \dots + I_6.$$

For the first term we can use again Lemma 3.5, obtaining

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad I_1 \leq -\theta_1(\delta) \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_x^2 \dot{H}_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2 + (\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) - \lambda_*) \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2.$$

For the second term, we have

$$I_2 = - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_x h_t) (\partial_v h_t) m_0^2 dv dx \leq \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\partial_x h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2.$$

The terms I_3 and I_4 can be treated together to obtain

$$I_3 + I_4 \lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2.$$

Indeed, using Carleman representation (see [33, Chapter 1]), one can write the truncated operator $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ as an integral operator

$$\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}h(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{\delta,\varepsilon}(v, v_*) h(v_*) dv_*$$

for some smooth kernel $k_{\delta,\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore,

$$\|\partial_v(\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}h_t)\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 \leq \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2$$

and performing an integration by part

$$\|\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(\partial_v h_t)\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 \leq \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \partial_v(\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}h_t) (\partial_v h_t) m_0^2 dv dx \lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\partial_v(\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}h_t)\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(\partial_v h_t) (\partial_v h_t) m_0^2 dv dx \lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}(\partial_v h_t)\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m_0)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider now I_5 . Define $\tilde{\mu} := \partial_v \mu$

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} Q(\tilde{\mu}, h_t) (\partial_v h_t) m_0^2 dv dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) (\tilde{\mu}'_* h'_t - \tilde{\mu}_* h_t) (\partial_v h_t) m_0^2 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) (\tilde{\mu}'_* h'_t m'_0 - \tilde{\mu}_* h_t m_0) (\partial_v h_t) m_0 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) \tilde{\mu}'_* h'_t (m_0 - m'_0) (\partial_v h_t) m_0 d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &=: I_{51} + I_{52}. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term we can use Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} I_{51} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \langle Q(\tilde{\mu}, h_t m_0), (\partial_v h_t) m_0 \rangle_{L_v^2} dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s})} \|h_t m_0\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{N_1})} \|(\partial_v h_t) m_0\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{N_2})} dx, \end{aligned}$$

with $N_1, N_2 \geq 0$ and $N_1 + N_2 = \gamma + 2s$. Therefore, setting $N_2 = \gamma/2$, $N_1 = \gamma/2 + 2s$ we have

$$I_{51} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \|h_t \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m\|_{H_v^s} \|(\partial_v h_t) \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0\|_{H_v^s} dx,$$

since $m_0 = \langle v \rangle^{-2s} m$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_{51} &\lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|h_t \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|(\partial_v h_t) \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s}^2 \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|h_t\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second one, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{52} &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) \tilde{\mu}'_* (h'_t)^2 |m_0 - m'_0| m_0 \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) \tilde{\mu}'_* (\partial_v h_t)^2 |m_0 - m'_0| m_0 \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \\ &=: I_{521} + I_{522}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\bar{\mu}_* := \tilde{\mu}_* m_{0*}$ and $\hat{\mu}_* := \bar{\mu}_* \langle v_* \rangle^{k_0-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{521} &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) \tilde{\mu}'_* (h'_t)^2 |m_0 - m'_0| m'_0 m'_{0*} \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) \tilde{\mu}'_* (h'_t)^2 |m_0 - m'_0| m'_0 \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) \bar{\mu}_* (h_t)^2 |m_0 - m'_0| m_0 \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} (h_t)^2 m_0 \langle v \rangle^{k_0-1} \hat{\mu}_* \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\eta} \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $|m_0 - m'_0| \lesssim |v - v_*| \sin(\theta/2) \langle v \rangle^{k_0-1} \langle v_* \rangle^{k_0-1}$. Moreover, setting $v_\tau = v + \tau(v' - v)$ for $\tau > 0$, we have

$$|m_0 - m'_0| \lesssim |v - v_*| \sin(\theta/2) \langle v_\tau \rangle^{k_0-1}$$

and using that $|v' - v| \lesssim |v - v_*|$,

$$\langle v_\tau \rangle^{k_0-1} \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{k_0-1} + \langle v' - v \rangle^{k_0-1} \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{k_0-1} + (1 + |v' - v|^2)^{\frac{k_0-1}{2}} \lesssim \langle v \rangle^{k_0-1} + \langle v - v_* \rangle^{k_0-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} I_{522} &\lesssim \eta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) \tilde{\mu}'_* (\partial_v h_t)^2 |m_0 - m'_0| m_0 \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \\ &\lesssim \eta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) \tilde{\mu}'_* (\partial_v h_t)^2 \langle v - v_* \rangle^{\gamma+k_0} m_{0*} \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \\ &\quad + \eta \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) \tilde{\mu}'_* (\partial_v h_t)^2 |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \langle v_* \rangle^{k_0-1} m_{0*} \, d\sigma \, dv_* \, dv \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\alpha \in \{\gamma + k_0, \gamma + 1\}$, performing the change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) \tilde{\mu}' \langle v - v_* \rangle^\alpha d\sigma dv \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(2(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 - (\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} |\psi_\sigma(v') - v_*|^\alpha \tilde{\mu}' d\sigma dv \\
&= \int_{\kappa' \cdot \sigma \geq 1/\sqrt{2}} b(2(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 - (\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} |\psi_\sigma(v') - v_*|^\alpha \tilde{\mu}' \frac{1}{(\kappa' \cdot \sigma)^2} d\sigma dv' \\
&= \int_{\kappa \cdot \sigma \geq 1/\sqrt{2}} b(2(\kappa \cdot \sigma)^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 - (\kappa \cdot \sigma)^2} |\psi_\sigma(v) - v_*|^\alpha \tilde{\mu} \frac{1}{(\kappa \cdot \sigma)^2} d\sigma dv \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} \cos(2\theta) \sin \theta \langle v - v_* \rangle^\alpha \tilde{\mu} d\sigma dv \\
&\lesssim \langle v_* \rangle^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$I_{522} \lesssim \eta \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2.$$

It remains to consider the last term I_6 . Using again the notation $\tilde{\mu} = \partial_v \mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} Q(h_t, \partial_v \mu) (\partial_v h_t) m_0^2 dv dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) (h'_{t*} \tilde{\mu}' (m'_0)^2 - h_{t*} \tilde{\mu} m_0^2) (\partial_v h_t) d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) h'_{t*} \tilde{\mu}' (\partial_v h_t) (m_0^2 - (m'_0)^2) d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&=: I_{61} + I_{62}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term we can use again Lemma 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{61} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \langle Q(h_t, \tilde{\mu} m_0^2), \partial_v h_t \rangle_{L_v^2} dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \|h_t\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s})} \|\tilde{\mu} m_0^2\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{N_1})} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{H_v^s(\langle v \rangle^{N_2})} dx,
\end{aligned}$$

with $N_1, N_2 \geq 0$ and $N_1 + N_2 = \gamma + 2s$. Therefore, setting $N_2 = 0$, $N_1 = \gamma/2 + 2s$ and using the fact that $\|h_t\|_{L_v^1(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s})} \lesssim \|h_t\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s+2})}$, we have

$$I_{61} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \|h_t\|_{L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s+2})} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{H_v^s} dx.$$

Due to the fact that $k > \gamma/2 + 2s + 2$, it follows $\gamma + 2s + 2 < k + \gamma/2$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{61} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \|h_t\|_{L_v^2(m \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2})} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{H_v^s} dx \\
&\lesssim \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L_x^2 H_v^s}^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|h_t\|_{L_{x,v}^2(m \langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we proceed as in the case of J_3 in the proof of Lemma 3.2. We have

$$I_{62} = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} B(v - v_*, \sigma) h'_{t*} \tilde{\mu}' (\partial_v h_t) (m_0^2 - (m'_0)^2) d\sigma dv_* dv dx.$$

Using the fact that

$$\sup_{z \in B(v, |v' - v|)} |\nabla m_0^2|(z) \lesssim (\langle v \rangle^{2k_0 - 1} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k_0 - 1}),$$

it follows

$$|(m'_0)^2 - m_0^2| \lesssim (\langle v \rangle^{2k_0 - 1} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k_0 - 1}) |v - v_*| \sin(\theta/2).$$

Moreover, performing the same estimate as in (3.12),

$$\langle v \rangle^{2k_0 - 1} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k_0 - 1} \lesssim (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - 1 - \gamma/2} |v - v_*|^{k_0 - 1 - \gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{k_0 + \gamma/2} \langle v \rangle^{k_0 + \gamma/2} + \langle v' \rangle^{2k_0 - 1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{62} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - \gamma/2} |v - v_*|^{k_0 + \gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{k_0 + \gamma/2} \langle v \rangle^{k_0 + \gamma/2} \\ &\quad |h'_{t_*}| \tilde{\mu}' |\partial_v h_t| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \langle v' \rangle^{2k_0 - 1} |h'_{t_*}| \tilde{\mu}' |\partial_v h_t| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &=: I_{621} + I_{622}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{621} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - \gamma/2} |v' - v'_*|^{k_0 + \gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{k_0 + \gamma/2} \langle v \rangle^{k_0 + \gamma/2} \\ &\quad |h'_{t_*}| \tilde{\mu}' |\partial_v h_t| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - \gamma/2} \langle v'_* \rangle^{k_0 + \gamma/2} \langle v' \rangle^{2k_0 + \gamma} \langle v \rangle^{k_0 + \gamma/2} |h'_{t_*}| \tilde{\mu}' |\partial_v h_t| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - \gamma/2} \langle v'_* \rangle^{2k_0 + \gamma} |h'_{t_*}|^2 \tilde{M}' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - \gamma/2} \langle v \rangle^{2k_0 + \gamma} |\partial_v h_t|^2 \tilde{M}' d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &=: I_{6211} + I_{6212}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{M}' = \tilde{\mu}' \langle v' \rangle^{2k_0 + \gamma}$. Now, observing that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{M} dv < +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{6211} &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - \gamma/2} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k_0 + \gamma} |h_{t_*}|^2 \tilde{M} d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - \gamma/2} d\sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle v_* \rangle^{2k_0 + \gamma} |h_{t_*}|^2 dv_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tilde{M} dv dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $k_0 - \gamma/2 \geq 1$ for $k \geq 1 + 2s + \gamma/2$.

For the term

$$I_{6212} = \frac{\eta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) (\sin(\theta/2))^{k_0 - \gamma/2} \tilde{M}' d\sigma dv_* \langle v \rangle^{2k_0 + \gamma} |\partial_v h_t|^2 dv dx,$$

using the change of variable $v_* \rightarrow v'$ and the fact that $k_0 > 3 + 2s + \gamma/2$ since $k > 3 + 4s + \gamma/2$, we have:

$$I_{6212} \lesssim \frac{\eta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \langle v \rangle^\gamma (\partial_v h_t)^2 m_0^2 dv dx.$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{622} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \langle v' \rangle^{2k_0-1} |h'_{t*}| \tilde{\mu}' |\partial_v h_t| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} \langle v \rangle^{2k_0-1} |h_{t*}| \tilde{\mu} |\partial_v h'_t| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos \theta) \sin(\theta/2) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} |h_{t*}| |\partial_v h'_t| d\sigma dv_* dv dx \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^2} b(\cos 2\theta) \sin(\theta) |v - v_*|^{\gamma+1} |h_{t*}| |\partial_v h_t| d\sigma dv_* dv dx
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the regular change of variable $v \rightarrow v'$ and the fact that $\langle v \rangle^{2k_0-1} \tilde{\mu} \leq C$. Then, for $\ell > 3/2$, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{622} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h_t|^2 \langle v \rangle^{2(\gamma+1+\ell)} dv \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_v h_t|^2 \langle v \rangle^{2(\gamma+1+\ell)} dv \right)^{1/2} dx \\
&\lesssim \frac{1}{2\eta} \|h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $k + \gamma/2 > \gamma + 1 + 3/2 + 2s$.

In order to conclude, we put all the estimates together

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|h_t\|_{H^1_x \mathcal{H}^1_v(m)}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(m)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_x h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(m)}^2 + \zeta \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla_v h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(m_0)}^2 \\
&\leq \left(-\theta_1(\delta) + \frac{C\zeta}{\eta} \right) \|h_t\|_{L^2_x \dot{H}^s_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \\
&\quad - \theta_1(\delta) \|\nabla_x h_t\|_{L^2_x \dot{H}^s_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \\
&\quad + \zeta (-\theta_1(\delta) + C\eta) \|\nabla_v h_t\|_{L^2_x \dot{H}^s_v(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{C\zeta}{\eta} - \lambda_* \right) \|h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{C\zeta}{\eta} - \lambda_* \right) \|\nabla_x h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 \\
&\quad + (\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) + C\eta - \lambda_*) \zeta \|\nabla_v h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for a constant $C > 0$. We first take δ, ε small enough so that $\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) \leq (a + \lambda_*)/2$. Secondly, we chose η small enough so that $C\eta \leq (a + \lambda_*)/2$ and $-\theta_1(\delta) + C\eta \leq 0$ then ζ small enough that $C\zeta \leq \eta(a + \lambda_*)/2$ and $-\theta_1(\delta) + \frac{C\zeta}{\eta} \leq 0$. With this choice of $\delta, \varepsilon, \eta, \zeta$, we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) + C\eta - \lambda_* &\leq a \\
\theta_2(\delta) + \Lambda_\delta(\varepsilon) + \frac{C\zeta}{\eta} - \lambda_* &\leq a \\
-\theta_1(\delta) + C\eta &\leq 0 \\
-\theta_1(\delta) + \frac{C\zeta}{\eta} &\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|h_t\|_{H^1_x \mathcal{H}^1_v(m)}^2 \lesssim a \|h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + a \|\nabla_x h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + \zeta a \|\partial_v h_t\|_{L^2_{x,v}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m_0)}^2,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

3.5. Regularization properties. We first state a regularity estimate on the truncated operator $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ which comes from [20, Lemma 4.16].

Lemma 3.7. *For any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ maps $L_v^1(\langle v \rangle)$ into H_v^q functions with compact support.*

We now focus on the short-time regularization properties the semigroup \mathcal{S}_Λ , which are also going to be of crucial importance in the study of the nonlinear equation in Section 4. For the sake of clarity, and because it is the case that we shall use in the sequel, we only present this result of regularization for the particular case of $\ell = 0$ in the spaces defined in (3.4).

Lemma 3.8. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > \gamma/2 + 3 + 2(r+1)s$. Consider $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}_x^r L_v^2(\langle v \rangle^k)$. Then, for $k' > k + \gamma + 5/2 + 6s$, we have the following estimate:*

$$(3.16) \quad \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)f_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^k)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \|f_0\|_{(\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k'}))'}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1],$$

where $(\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k'}))'$ is the dual space of $\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})$.

We also have for $k' > k + \gamma + 5/2$:

$$(3.17) \quad \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r+s,0}(\langle v \rangle^k)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{1/2+s}} \|f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r-s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

Proof. Let us start this proof noticing an embedding property:

$$(3.18) \quad \forall k_1 \leq k_2, \varsigma \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{k_2}) \hookrightarrow H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{k_1}).$$

This property is clear in the case $\varsigma \in \mathbb{N}$. It is less evident in the case $\varsigma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$. This case can be shown using a pseudo-differential argument or even more simply, using real interpolation. Indeed, since the weighted space $H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{k_i})$ is defined through $h \in H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{k_i}) \Leftrightarrow h\langle v \rangle^{k_i} \in H_v^\varsigma$, we can use that (see for example [10]):

$$H_v^\varsigma = \left[H_v^{E(\varsigma)}, H_v^{E(\varsigma)+1} \right]_{\varsigma-E(\varsigma), 2}$$

to prove that

$$H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{k_i}) = \left[H_v^{E(\varsigma)}(\langle v \rangle^{k_i}), H_v^{E(\varsigma)+1}(\langle v \rangle^{k_i}) \right]_{\varsigma-E(\varsigma), 2}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

From this, since $H_v^\ell(\langle v \rangle^{k_2}) \hookrightarrow H_v^\ell(\langle v \rangle^{k_1})$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce the desired embedding result: $H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{k_2}) \hookrightarrow H_v^\varsigma(\langle v \rangle^{k_1})$.

The first part of the result is a twisted version of Theorem 1.2 from [22], the only difference being in the weights. First, we notice that

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)f_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^k)} \lesssim \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^k)}.$$

The result from [22] gives us that for $k'' > k + \gamma + 5/2$, we have:

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^k)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \|f_0\|_{(H_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k''}))'}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1]$$

where $(H_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k''}))'$ is the dual space of $H_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k''})$ with respect to $H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k''})$. It remains to show that if $k' = k'' + 6s > k + \gamma + 5/2 + 6s$, we have

$$\|f\|_{(H_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k''}))'} \lesssim \|f\|_{(\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k'}))'}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{(H_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k''}))'} &= \sup_{\sum_{j=0}^r \|\nabla_x^j(\varphi \langle v \rangle^{k''})\|_{H_{x,v}^{0,s}} \leq 1} \sum_{j=0}^r \langle \nabla_x^j f \langle v \rangle^{k'-2js}, \nabla_x^j \varphi \langle v \rangle^{2k''-(k'-2js)} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&= \sup_{\sum_{j=0}^r \|\nabla_x^j(\psi \langle v \rangle^{2(k'-2js)-k''})\|_{H_{x,v}^{0,s}} \leq 1} \sum_{j=0}^r \langle \nabla_x^j f \langle v \rangle^{k'-2js}, \nabla_x^j \psi \langle v \rangle^{k'-2js} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&\leq \sup_{\sum_{j=0}^r \|\nabla_x^j(\psi \langle v \rangle^{k'-2js})\|_{H_{x,v}^{0,s}} \leq 1} \sum_{j=0}^r \langle \nabla_x^j f \langle v \rangle^{k'-2js}, \nabla_x^j \psi \langle v \rangle^{k'-2js} \rangle_{L_{x,v}^2} \\
&= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})} \leq 1} \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})} \\
&\leq \|f\|_{(\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{r,s}(\langle v \rangle^{k'}))'},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.18) to obtain the third bound and this concludes the proof of (3.16). Concerning (3.17), it is a more direct consequence of Theorem 1.2 from [22]. Indeed, from the latter, we have:

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^k)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{1/2+s}} \|f_0\|_{(H_{x,v}^{r+s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'}))'}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_0\|_{(H_{x,v}^{r+s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'}))'} &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H_{x,v}^{r+s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})} \leq 1} \langle f_0, \varphi \rangle_{H_{x,v}^{r,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})} \\
&= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H_{x,v}^{r+s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}^3} \widehat{f_0 \langle v \rangle^{k'}}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{\varphi \langle v \rangle^{k'}}(\xi, \eta) \langle \xi \rangle^{r+s} \langle \eta \rangle^{r-s} d\xi d\eta \\
&\leq \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{H_{x,v}^{r+s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})} \leq 1} \|\varphi\|_{H_{x,v}^{r+s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})} \|f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r-s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})} \\
&\leq \|f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r-s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})},
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of (3.17). \square

We define the convolution of two semigroups $\mathcal{S}_1 * \mathcal{S}_2$ by

$$(\mathcal{S}_1 * \mathcal{S}_2)(t) := \int_0^t \mathcal{S}_1(\tau) \mathcal{S}_2(t - \tau) d\tau,$$

and, for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we define $\mathcal{S}^{(*p)}$ by $\mathcal{S}^{(*p)} = \mathcal{S} * \mathcal{S}^{*(p-1)}$ with $\mathcal{S}^{*(1)} = \mathcal{S}$.

In what follows, in order to be able to apply Theorem 2.13 from [20], we study the regularization properties of $(\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}})^{(*p)}$. We recall that the ‘‘large’’ space \mathcal{E} is given by (3.4) and the associated ‘‘small’’ one by $E = H_{x,v}^{\max(1,n)}(\mu^{-1/2})$. We then prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.9. *For any $\lambda < \lambda_*$ and any $a \in (-\min\{\lambda_0, \lambda\}, 0)$, where we recall that $\lambda_0 > 0$ is the spectral gap of Λ on E (see (1.19)) and λ_* is defined in Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}})^{(*p)}(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, E)} \leq C e^{at}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let us point out the fact that, from Lemma 3.7, the operator $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon}$ provides us all the regularization that we want in the velocity variable. In particular, when $\mathcal{E} = H_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ or $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{H}_x^n \mathcal{H}_v^\ell(m)$ with $n \geq \ell$, $(n, \ell) \neq (0, 0)$ and $E = H_{x,v}^n(\mu^{-1/2})$, the result is clear since we only need to regularize in the velocity variable and thus from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7, we are able to prove that the conclusion of the lemma holds with $m = 1$.

We now treat the most difficult case $\mathcal{E} = L_{x,v}^2(m)$ and $E = H_{x,v}^1(\mu^{-1/2})$. First, we prove that Lemma 3.8 implies that the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}$ has similar regularization properties as \mathcal{S}_Λ . Indeed, using Duhamel formula, we have:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}(t) = \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t) - (\mathcal{S}_\Lambda * \mathcal{A}_{\delta,\varepsilon} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}})(t)$$

From this, using that $1/2 + s < 1$ and thus $\frac{1}{t^{1/2+s}}$ is integrable at 0, we can prove that for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(3.19) \quad \|\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}_{\delta,\varepsilon}}(t)f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r+s,0}(\langle v \rangle^k)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{1/2+s}} \|f_0\|_{H_{x,v}^{r-s,0}(\langle v \rangle^{k'})}, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

To conclude, we use Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 combined with (3.19). Indeed, all this results allow us to use the criterion given in [25, Lemma 2.4] and gives us the conclusion. \square

3.6. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Thanks to the estimates proven in previous section, we now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let \mathcal{E} be one of the admissible space (3.4) and $E = H_{x,v}^{\max(1,n)}(\mu^{-1/2})$ so that in all the cases, we have $E \subset \mathcal{E}$ and we already have the decay of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)$ in E from Theorem 1.3. We then apply Theorem 2.13 from [20] whose assumptions are fulfilled thanks to Lemmas 3.7, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.9.

4. THE NONLINEAR EQUATION

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1: we develop a Cauchy theory in a perturbative framework. Our proof is based on the study of the linearized equation that we made in previous section. The idea is to prove that, using suitable norms, there exists a neighborhood of the equilibrium in which the linear part of the equation is dominant and thus dictates the dynamic. Consequently, taking an initial datum close enough to the equilibrium, one can construct solutions to the equation and prove exponential stability.

4.1. Functional spaces. In what follows, we use notations of Subsection 2.2. More precisely, we define the spaces X , Y , \bar{Y} and Y' as in (2.6) and (2.7) with a weight

$$m(v) = \langle v \rangle^k, \quad k > 5\gamma/2 + 8 + 24s.$$

Similarly, for $i = 0, \dots, 3$, we define the spaces X_i , Y_i , \bar{Y}_i and Y'_i as in (2.6) and (2.7) associated to the weights $m_i(v) = \langle v \rangle^{k_i}$. The exponents k_0 and k_1 satisfy the following conditions:

$$k_0 := k - 2s \quad \text{and} \quad 3\gamma/2 + 11/2 + 16s < k_1 < k_0 - \gamma - 5/2 - 6s.$$

Concerning k_2 and k_3 , we set:

$$k_2 := k_1 - 2s \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma/2 + 3 + 8s < k_3 < k_2 - \gamma - 5/2 - 6s.$$

Remark 4.1. *Let us comment briefly those conditions imposed on the weights and explain the introduction of so many spaces.*

- First, in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we need to be able to apply the result from Proposition 4.2 in X_1 , this explains the introduction of the spaces X_2 and X_3 .
- The last condition $k_3 > \gamma/2 + 3 + 8s$ comes from the fact that we want to apply Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.3 in X_3 .
- In our argument explained in the two next subsections, there are two levels in which we have a loss of weight. The first one comes from the regularization estimate (3.16) (m_0 to m_1 and m_2 to m_3), which explains the conditions: $k_1 < k_0 - \gamma - 5/2 - 6s$ and $k_3 < k_2 - \gamma - 5/2 - 6s$. The second one comes from the nonlinear estimates in Lemma 2.3 (m to m_0 and m_1 to m_2), which explains the conditions: $k_0 := k - 2s$ and $k_2 := k_1 - 2s$ (a key element is that we have $\|f\|_{\bar{Y}_0} \lesssim \|f\|_Y$ and $\|f\|_{\bar{Y}_2} \lesssim \|f\|_{Y_1}$).
- The two first conditions $5\gamma/2 + 8 + 24s < k$ and $3\gamma/2 + 11/2 + 16s < k_1$ are then naturally induced.

4.2. Dissipative norm for the whole linearized operator. Before going into the proof of an a priori estimate which is going to be the cornerstone of our Cauchy theory, we introduce a norm which is (better than) dissipative for the whole linearized operator Λ .

Proposition 4.2. *Define for any $\eta > 0$ and any $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ (where $\lambda_1 > 0$ is the optimal rate in Theorem 3.1) the equivalent norm on X for $\Pi_0 h = 0$,*

$$\| \| h \| \|_X^2 := \eta \| h \|_X^2 + \int_0^\infty \| \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} h \|_{X_1}^2 d\tau.$$

Then there is $\eta > 0$ small enough such that the solution $h_t = \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)h$ to the linearized equation satisfies, for any $t \geq 0$ and some constant $K > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)h \|_X^2 \leq -\lambda_2 \| \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)h \|_X^2 - K \| \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)h \|_Y^2, \quad \forall h \in X, \Pi_0 h = 0.$$

Proof. First we remark that the norm $\| \| \cdot \| \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}$ is equivalent to the norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}$ defined in (1.15) for any $\eta > 0$ and any $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$. Indeed, using Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \| h \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2 &\leq \| \| h \| \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2 = \eta \| h \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2 + \int_0^\infty \| \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} h \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau \\ &\leq \eta \| h \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2 + \int_0^\infty C^2 e^{-2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\tau} \| h \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau \leq (\eta + C) \| h \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now compute, denoting $h_t = \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(t)h$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \| h_t \| \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2 = \eta \langle \Lambda h_t, h_t \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \| \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} h_t \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau =: I_1 + I_2.$$

For I_1 we write $\Lambda = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$. Using the fact that \mathcal{A} is a truncation operator (see for example Lemma 3.7), we first obtain that

$$\langle \mathcal{A} h_t, h_t \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)} \leq C \| h_t \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2.$$

Moreover, repeating the estimates for the hypodissipativity of \mathcal{B} in Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 we easily get, for any $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda < \lambda_*$ and some $K > 0$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B} h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)} \leq -\lambda \| h \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2 - K \| h \|_{\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{3,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2,$$

therefore it follows

$$I_1 \leq -\lambda \eta \| h_t \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2 - \eta K \| h_t \|_{\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{3,s}(\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2} m)}^2 + \eta C \| h_t \|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2.$$

The second term is computed exactly

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau+t)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau+t)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau - \lambda_2 \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 \right]_{\tau=0}^{\tau=+\infty} - \lambda_2 \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \|h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 - \lambda_2 \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the semigroup decay from Theorem 3.1.

Gathering previous estimates and using that $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 + I_2 &\leq -\lambda_2 \left\{ \eta \|h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)}^2 + \int_0^\infty \|\mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 d\tau \right\} \\
&\quad - \eta K \|h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_{x,v}^{3,s}((v)^{\gamma/2}m)}^2 + \eta C \|h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|h_t\|_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof choosing $\eta > 0$ small enough. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We consider the Cauchy problem for the perturbation h defined through $h = f - \mu$. The equation satisfied by $h = h(t, x, v)$ is

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t h = \Lambda h + Q(h, h) \\ h|_{t=0} = h_0 = f_0 - \mu. \end{cases}$$

From the conservation laws (see (1.7)), for all $t > 0$, $\Pi_0 h_t = 0$ since $\Pi_0 h_0 = 0$, more precisely $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} h_t(x, v) dv dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} v_j h_t(x, v) dv dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |v|^2 h_t(x, v) dv dx = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Note that we also have $\Pi_0 Q(h_t, h_t) = 0$.

4.3.1. *A priori estimates.*

Proposition 4.3. *Any solution $h = h_t$ to (4.1) satisfies, at least formally, the following differential inequality: for any $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ (where $\lambda_1 > 0$ is the optimal rate in Theorem 3.1), there holds*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \|h\|_X^2 \leq -\lambda_2 \| \|h\|_X^2 - (K - C \| \|h\|_X) \| \|h\|_Y^2,$$

for some constants $K, C > 0$ and where we recall that the norm $\| \| \cdot \| \|$ is defined in Proposition 4.2.

Proof. We compute the evolution of $\| \|h\| \|$ where $h = h_t$ is solution of (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \|h\|_X^2 &= \eta \langle h, \Lambda h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)} + \int_0^\infty \langle \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h, \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}\Lambda h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)} d\tau \\
&\quad + \eta \langle h, Q(h, h) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m)} + \int_0^\infty \langle \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}h, \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2\tau}Q(h, h) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_x^3 L_v^2(m_1)} d\tau \\
&=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

For the linear part $I_1 + I_2$, we already have from Proposition 4.2 that, for any $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$,

$$I_1 + I_2 \leq -\lambda_2 \| \|h\|_X^2 - K \| \|h\|_Y^2.$$

We now deal with the nonlinear part, using first Lemma 2.3:

$$I_3 \lesssim \langle Q(h, h), h \rangle_X \lesssim \|h\|_X \|h\|_Y^2 \lesssim \|h\|_X \|h\|_Y^2.$$

For the last term I_4 , we use the fact that $\Pi_0 f_t = 0$ and $\Pi_0 Q(f_t, f_t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, together with the estimate (3.16) from Lemma 3.8. More precisely, if $\Pi_0 h = 0$, using Theorem 3.1 in X_1 , we have:

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|S_\Lambda(t)h\|_{X_1} \lesssim e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|h\|_{X_1}.$$

Combined with the estimate (3.16) from Lemma 3.8, we deduce that for $\Pi_0 h = 0$,

$$\forall t > 0, \quad \|S_\Lambda(t)h\|_{X_1} \lesssim \frac{e^{-\lambda_1 t}}{\min(1, \sqrt{t})} \|h\|_{Y'_0}.$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \langle S_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2 \tau} f, S_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2 \tau} Q(f, f) \rangle_{X_1} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \|S_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2 \tau} f\|_{X_1} \|S_\Lambda(\tau)e^{\lambda_2 \tau} Q(f, f)\|_{X_1} d\tau \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{X_1} \|Q(f, f)\|_{Y'_0} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\tau} \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\tau}}{\min(\sqrt{\tau}, 1)} d\tau \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{X_1} \|Q(f, f)\|_{Y'_0}. \end{aligned}$$

To conclude, we use Lemma 2.3:

$$I_4 \lesssim \|f\|_{X_1} \|f\|_{X_0} \|f\|_{Y'_0} \lesssim \|h\|_X \|h\|_Y^2.$$

□

We prove now an a priori estimate on the difference of two solutions to (4.1).

Proposition 4.4. *Consider two solutions g and h to (4.1) associated to initial data g_0 and h_0 , respectively. Then, at least formally, the difference $g - h$ satisfies the following differential inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|g - h\|_{X_1}^2 & \leq -K \|g - h\|_{Y_1}^2 + C(\|g\|_{X_1} + \|h\|_{X_1}) \|g - h\|_{Y_1}^2 \\ & \quad + C(\|h\|_{Y_1} + \|g\|_Y) \|g - h\|_{X_1} \|g - h\|_{Y_1}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $K, C > 0$.

Proof. We write the equation satisfied by $g - h$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(g - h) = \Lambda(g - h) + Q(h, g - h) + Q(g - h, g), \\ (g - h)|_{t=0} = g_0 - h_0. \end{cases}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|g_t - h_t\|_{X_1}^2 \\
&= \eta \langle (g - h), \Lambda(g - h) \rangle_{X_1} + \int_0^\infty \langle \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} (g - h), \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} \Lambda(g - h) \rangle_{X_3} d\tau \\
&\quad + \eta \langle (g - h), Q(h, g - h) \rangle_{X_1} + \int_0^\infty \langle \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} (g - h), \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} Q(h, g - h) \rangle_{X_3} d\tau \\
&\quad + \eta \langle (g - h), Q(g - h, g) \rangle_{X_1} + \int_0^\infty \langle \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} (g - h), \mathcal{S}_\Lambda(\tau) e^{\lambda_2 \tau} Q(g - h, g) \rangle_{X_3} d\tau \\
&=: T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5 + T_6.
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in Proposition 4.3 we easily obtain,

$$T_1 + T_2 \leq -K \|g - h\|_{Y_1}^2,$$

and also

$$T_3 + T_4 \lesssim \|h\|_{X_1} \|g - h\|_{Y_1}^2 + \|h\|_{Y_1} \|g - h\|_{X_1} \|g - h\|_{Y_1}.$$

Moreover, for the last part $T_5 + T_6$, arguing as in Proposition 4.3 and using Lemma 2.3-(i), we get

$$T_5 + T_6 \lesssim \|g - h\|_{X_1} \|g\|_{Y_1} \|g - h\|_{Y_1} \lesssim \|g - h\|_{X_1} \|g\|_Y \|g - h\|_{Y_1},$$

which completes the proof. \square

4.3.2. End of the proof. The end of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is classical and we do not enter into details here. It follows a standard argument by introducing an iterative scheme whose convergence and stability is shown thanks to Propositions 4.3 and 4.4. The framework being exactly the same, we refer to Subsections 3.4.2. and 3.4.3 from [13] in which a more precise proof is given.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALEXANDRE, R., DESVILLETES, L., VILLANI, C., AND WENBERG, B. Entropy dissipation and long-range interactions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 152, 4 (2000), 327–355.
- [2] ALEXANDRE, R., HÉRAU, F., AND LI, W.-X. Global hypoelliptic and symbolic estimates for the linearized Boltzmann operator without angular cutoff. arxiv:1212.4632.
- [3] ALEXANDRE, R., MORIMOTO, Y., UKAI, S., XU, C.-J., AND YANG, T. Regularizing effect and local existence for the non-cutoff Boltzmann equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 198, 1 (2010), 39–123.
- [4] ALEXANDRE, R., MORIMOTO, Y., UKAI, S., XU, C.-J., AND YANG, T. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: II, global existence for hard potential. *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)* 9, 2 (2011), 113–134.
- [5] ALEXANDRE, R., MORIMOTO, Y., UKAI, S., XU, C.-J., AND YANG, T. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: qualitative properties of solutions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 202, 2 (2011), 599–661.
- [6] ALEXANDRE, R., MORIMOTO, Y., UKAI, S., XU, C.-J., AND YANG, T. Global existence and full regularity of the Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 304, 2 (2011), 513–581.
- [7] ALEXANDRE, R., MORIMOTO, Y., UKAI, S., XU, C.-J., AND YANG, T. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: I, global existence for soft potential. *J. Funct. Anal.* 262, 3 (2012), 915–1010.
- [8] ALEXANDRE, R., AND VILLANI, C. On the Boltzmann equation for long-range interactions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 55, 1 (2002), 30–70.
- [9] BARANGER, C., AND MOUHOT, C. Explicit spectral gap estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators with hard potentials. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 21, 3 (2005), 819–841.

- [10] BERGH, J., AND LÖFSTRÖM, J. *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223.
- [11] BOBYLEV, A. V. Moment inequalities for the Boltzmann equation and applications to spatially homogeneous problems. *J. Statist. Phys.* 88, 5-6 (1997), 1183–1214.
- [12] BOBYLEV, A. V., GAMBA, I. M., AND PANFEROV, V. A. Moment inequalities and high-energy tails for Boltzmann equations with inelastic interactions. *J. Statist. Phys.* 116, 5-6 (2004), 1651–1682.
- [13] CARRAPATOSO, K., TRISTANI, I., AND WU, K.-C. Cauchy problem and exponential stability for the inhomogeneous Landau equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 221, 1 (2016), 363–418.
- [14] CERCIGNANI, C. *The Boltzmann equation and its applications*, vol. 67 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [15] CERCIGNANI, C., ILLNER, R., AND PULVIRENTI, M. *The mathematical theory of dilute gases*, vol. 106 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [16] CHEN, Y., AND HE, L. Smoothing estimates for Boltzmann equation with full-range interactions: spatially homogeneous case. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 201, 2 (2011), 501–548.
- [17] DESVILLETES, L., AND VILLANI, C. On the trend to global equilibrium for spatially inhomogeneous kinetic systems: the Boltzmann equation. *Invent. Math.* 159, 2 (2005), 245–316.
- [18] DIPERNA, R. J., AND LIONS, P.-L. On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 130, 2 (1989), 321–366.
- [19] GRESSMAN, P. T., AND STRAIN, R. M. Global classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24, 3 (2011), 771–847.
- [20] GUALDANI, M., MISCHLER, S., AND MOUHOT, C. Factorization for non-symmetric operators and exponential H-Theorem. arxiv:1006.5523.
- [21] HE, L. Asymptotic analysis of the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation: grazing collisions limit. *J. Stat. Phys.* 155, 1 (2014), 151–210.
- [22] HÉRAU, F., TONON, D., AND TRISTANI, I. Short time diffusion properties of inhomogeneous kinetic equations with fractional collision kernel. arXiv:1709.09943.
- [23] KLAUS, M. Boltzmann collision operator without cut-off. *Helv. Phys. Acta* 50, 6 (1977), 893–903.
- [24] MAXWELL, J. C. On the dynamical theory of gases. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 157 (1867), 49–88.
- [25] MISCHLER, S., AND MOUHOT, C. Exponential stability of slowly decaying solutions to the kinetic-Fokker-Planck equation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 221, 2 (2016), 677–723.
- [26] MISCHLER, S., AND WENNERBERG, B. On the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 16, 4 (1999), 467–501.
- [27] MOUHOT, C. Explicit coercivity estimates for the linearized Boltzmann and Landau operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 31, 7-9 (2006), 1321–1348.
- [28] MOUHOT, C. Rate of convergence to equilibrium for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation with hard potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 261, 3 (2006), 629–672.
- [29] MOUHOT, C., AND NEUMANN, L. Quantitative perturbative study of convergence to equilibrium for collisional kinetic models in the torus. *Nonlinearity* 19, 4 (2006), 969–998.
- [30] MOUHOT, C., AND STRAIN, R. M. Spectral gap and coercivity estimates for linearized Boltzmann collision operators without angular cutoff. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* 87, 5 (2007), 515–535.
- [31] PAO, Y. P. Boltzmann collision operator with inverse-power intermolecular potentials. I, II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 27 (1974), 407–428; *ibid.* 27 (1974), 559–581.
- [32] TRISTANI, I. Exponential convergence to equilibrium for the homogeneous Boltzmann equation for hard potentials without cut-off. *J. Stat. Phys.* 157, 3 (2014), 474–496.
- [33] VILLANI, C. A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. In *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics, Vol. I*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 71–305.
- [34] WENNERBERG, B. The Povzner inequality and moments in the Boltzmann equation. In *Proceedings of the VIII International Conference on Waves and Stability in Continuous Media, Part II (Palermo, 1995)* (1996), no. 45, part II, pp. 673–681.

LMJL - UMR6629, UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES, CNRS, 2 RUE DE LA HOUSSINIÈRE, BP 92208, F-44322 NANTES CEDEX 3, FRANCE. E-MAIL: frederic.herau@univ-nantes.fr

CEREMADE, UMR 7534, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS IX-DAUPHINE, PSL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY, PLACE DU MARÉCHAL DE LATTRE DE TASSIGNY, 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16, FRANCE. E-MAIL: tonon@ceremade.dauphine.fr

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPRIEURE, CNRS, PSL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY, 45 RUE D'ULM, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE E-MAIL: isabelle.tristani@ens.fr