

Do maize crop models catch the impact of future [CO₂] on maize yield and water use ?

*K. Delusca*¹ – *J. L. Durand*¹ – *K. Boote*² – *J. I. Lizaso*³ – *R. Manderscheid*⁴ – *H. J. Weigel*⁴ –
*A. Ruane*⁵ – *C. Rosenzweig*⁵ – *J. Jones*² – *L. Ahuja*⁶ – *S. Anapalli*⁷ – *B. Basso*⁸ – *C. Baron*⁹ –
*P. Bertuzzi*¹⁰ – *C. Biernath*¹¹ – *D. Derynge*¹² – *F. Ewert*¹³ – *T. Gaiser*¹³ – *S. Gayler*¹⁴ –
*F. Heinlein*¹¹ – *K. C. Kersebaum*¹⁵ – *S. H. Kim*¹⁶ – *C. Müller*¹⁷ – *C. Nendel*¹⁵ – *E. Priesack*¹¹ –
*J. Ramirez*¹⁸ – *D. Ripoche*¹⁰ – *R. Rötter*¹⁹ – *S. Seidel*²⁰ – *A. Srivastava*¹³ – *F. Tao*²¹ –
*D. Timlin*²² – *T. Twine*²³ – *K. Waha*¹⁷ – *E. Wang*²⁴ – *H. Webber*¹³ – *Z. Zhao*²⁵.

¹ INRA, Lusignan, France; jean-louis.durand@lusignan.inra.fr

² University of Florida, Gainesville, United States of America

³ Technical University of Madrid, UPM, Madrid, Spain

⁴ Johann Heindrich von Thunen Institute, Braunschweig, Germany

⁵ NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York city, USA

⁶ ASRU, USDA-ARS, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.

⁷ CPSRU, USDA-ARS, Stoneville, Mississippi, USA.

⁸ Department of Geological Sciences, Michigan State University, Michigan, USA

⁹ CIRAD, UMR TETIS, Montpellier, France

¹⁰ INRA, Avignon, France

¹¹ Institute of Biochemical Plant Pathology, Helmholtz Zentrum, München, Neuherberg, Germany

¹² Tyndall Centre for Climate Change research and School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

¹³ Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

¹⁴ Institute of Soil Science and Land Evaluation, University of Hohenheim, D-70599 Stuttgart, Germany.

¹⁵ Institute of Landscape Systems Analysis, ZALF, Leibniz-Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research, Muencheberg, Germany

¹⁶ School of Environmental and Forest Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, USA

¹⁷ Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany

¹⁸ School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Cali, Colombia International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Cali, Colombia

¹⁹ Natural Resources Institute, Luke, Finland

²⁰ Technische Universität Dresden, Germany

²¹ Institute of Geographical Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

²² Crop Systems and Global Change Laboratory, USDA/ARS, Beltsville, USA

²³ Department of Soil, Water, & Climate, University of Minnesota, Minnesota, USA

²⁴ CSIRO, Land and Water, Black Mountain, Australia

²⁵ China Agricultural University, Beijing, China

Introduction

Maize is a major crop in the world. The ability of crop models to predict the complexity of the interactions behind the yield response to climate and especially to air CO₂ concentration [CO₂] needs to be tested (Basso et al., 2012). Furthermore, the water use is a key issue for assessing our ability to sustain maize yields under future climate, since hotter and dryer conditions may become more frequent. In the study reported here, a Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) showing a very large impact of [CO₂] on yield

under drought (Manderscheid et al., 2014) was used to test the ability of 20 maize models to simulate the observed responses of yield and water use.

Materials and Methods

The Experiment combined two [CO₂] air concentrations: ambient and 550 ppm, approximately, crossed with two irrigation regimes bringing about contrasted soil water contents. Yield, water use, leaf area index, soil water content and [CO₂] levels were recorded in 2007 and 2008. However, only 2008 exhibited a significant water deficit. On that year a 40 % increase of yield, approximately, was observed under 550 ppm [CO₂], the crop water use remaining unaltered.

20 modelling groups using different crop models were given the same instructions and input data. Following a preliminary calibration (cultivar parameters) based on non-limiting water conditions and under ambient [CO₂] treatments of both years, a simulation was undertaken for the other treatments: High [CO₂] (550 ppm) 2007 and 2008, both irrigation regimes, and DRY AMBIENT 2007 and 2008.

Results and Discussion

As in the experiment, simulations showed virtually no yield responses to [CO₂] under non-limiting water conditions. Only under severe water deficits did models simulate an increase in yield for CO₂ enrichment, which was related to a higher harvest index and, for those models which simulated it, a higher grain number. However, the CO₂ enhancement under water deficit simulated by the 20 models was 20 % at most and 10 % on average only. As in the experiment, the simulated impact of [CO₂] on water use was negligible, with a general displacement of the water deficit toward later phases of the crop along with a longer green leaf area duration.

The very large impact of CO₂ reported in that experiment was mainly due to the coincidence of a strong water stress with anthesis, a short and sensitive phase of the growth cycle bringing about a large decrease in grain number. This was not detected properly by models which simulated a maximum water stress in later phase of the growing cycle. Both the ability of current models to catch the water use induced positive impact of CO₂ on yield and their difficulty to match the actual increase will be discussed.

References

- Bassu, S., Brisson, N., Durand, J.L. et al., (2014). How do various maize crop models vary in their responses to climate change factors? *Global change biology*, 20: 2301-2320.
- Manderscheid, R., Erbs, M., & Weigel, H. J. (2014). Interactive effects of free-air CO₂ enrichment and drought stress on maize growth. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 52: 11-21.